

# On Formulas and Fractional Exponents for Umbral Operators

Kei Beauduin

## Abstract

This study presents a new formula for umbral operators which provides three key insights. First, it clarifies a connection between umbral calculus and iteration theory. Second, it paves the way for a definition of fractional exponents of umbral operators. And lastly, its proof synthesizes a multitude of existing operational calculus results that demonstrates a new level of effectiveness in the field. We demonstrate its application through a new and natural extension of the Laguerre polynomials.

## 1 Introduction

There has been some notable research on *Laguerre polynomials* [11, 12, 10, 9] leading to an explicit expression for the *Laguerre umbral operator*  $L$ , defined as the linear map sending the monomials  $\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  to the Laguerre polynomials  $\{L_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ . This line of research shows that  $L = e^{-x D^2}$ , where  $x$  denotes multiplication by  $x$  and  $D$  the derivative with respect to  $x$ .

This fact motivated the present study of expressing a general umbral operator  $\varphi$  in the general form

$$\varphi = e^{xV(D)} = 1 + xV(D) + \frac{1}{2}(xV(D))^2 + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where  $V$  is a formal power series, a question we fully resolved. Our main result is, admittedly, not of great practical use on its own, but its significance is methodological: the proof synthesizes and exposes the strength of two centuries of developments in operational calculus.

We introduce our operational calculus notation in Section 2, together with several known expressions for  $\varphi$  in Section 3. Along the way, and in Section 4, we piece a number of existing results to obtain our expression for  $V$ . In particular, we present the very basics of *iteration theory* required to express  $V$  in terms of the *iterative logarithm*. The connection between umbral calculus and iteration theory appears to be largely unexplored; to the best of our knowledge, it has been noted explicitly only in the work of Labelle [22].

In Section 4.3, we prove the converse statement: given  $V$ , we show that equation (1) indeed defines an umbral operator. Then, in Section 5, we rigorously define and explicit fractional exponents of umbral operators. Finally, in Section 6, these results will be illustrated by a new family of generalized Laguerre polynomials.

## 2 Operational calculus preliminaries

Let  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  denote the set of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps between two vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , and  $\text{End}(V) := \text{Hom}(V, V)$  be the set of endomorphism over  $V$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  respectively denote the set of polynomials and formal power series in the variable  $x$ . A linear map of  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}[x], \mathbb{C}[[x]])$  will be called an *operator*.

### 2.1 Pincherle derivative

The *Pincherle derivative*  $U'$  [27, 29, 1] of an operator  $U$  is defined as

$$U' := Ux - xU. \quad (2)$$

The Pincherle derivative is  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ -linear and, for any power series  $g$ ,  $g(D)' = g'(D)$ . In other words, for shift-invariant operators, the Pincherle derivative acts like the usual derivative, but with respect to  $D$ . Equation (2) extends to the  $n$ -th Pincherle derivative as follows [27]:

$$U^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-x)^{n-k} U x^k, \quad (3)$$

which is readily shown through induction, and which can be inverted to the equation

$$U\mathbf{x}^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{x}^{n-k} U^{(k)}. \quad (4)$$

## 2.2 Generalized exponentiation

We will employ the *generalized exponentiation* operation for operators  $U, V$ , introduced in [3] and defined as

$$U^V := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (U-1)^n \binom{V}{n}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\binom{x}{n} := \frac{(x)_n}{n!}$  represents the *generalized binomial coefficient*, and  $(x)_n := x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$  denotes the *falling factorial*. It's important to note that in general

$$U^V \neq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{V}{n} (U-1)^n, \quad (6)$$

as operators do not always commute. In [3, Prop. 2.2] the following identity for exponentiation was established:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U^n V^n = (e^U)^V. \quad (7)$$

Again, note that  $(e^U)^V = e^{UV}$  only when  $U$  and  $V$  commute. This identity enables us to express, in a compact form, a type of series that arises frequently in operational calculus.

## 2.3 Generating function of an operator

For any operator  $U$ , we associate a sequence of polynomials  $(U_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  defined by  $U_n(x) := Ux^n$ . Their generating function  $G_U$  is given by

$$G_U(x, t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_n(x)}{n!} t^n. \quad (8)$$

A sequence of polynomial  $(p_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  for which the degree of  $p_n(x)$  is  $n$ , for all nonnegative integers  $n$ , is called a *polynomial sequence* denoted  $\{p_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ . For these sequences, it is possible to associate an invertible operator  $p$  such that  $px^n = p_n(x)$ .

## 2.4 Umbral calculus

Let  $f$  be a compositionally invertible formal power series, meaning  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) \neq 0$ . It can be expressed as

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} a_n, \quad a_1 \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

Such functions enable the definition of a sequence of polynomials  $(\varphi_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  via a generating function:

$$e^{xf(t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \varphi_n(x). \quad (10)$$

They are characterized by the properties that  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a polynomial sequence,  $\varphi_0(x) = 1$ ,  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$  for  $n > 0$  and the existence of a *delta operator*  $Q$  such that for all  $n > 0$ ,  $Q\varphi_n(x) = n\varphi_{n-1}(x)$ .  $Q$  is, in fact, unique and satisfy

$$Q = f^{-1}(D). \quad (11)$$

The associated operator  $\varphi$  is called an *umbral operator*. Since it evidently satisfies  $G_{\varphi}(x, t) = e^{xf(t)}$ , we say that  $\varphi$  is generated by  $f$ .

## 3 Known expressions for umbral operators

The problem of finding closed forms for umbral operators is challenging. Aside from the identity, such operators are not shift-invariant, and therefore the expansion theorem [29, 1] cannot be applied. Nevertheless, several noteworthy explicit formulas do appear in the literature, and we collect them in this section.

### 3.1 A formula of Garsia and Joni

The first formula ever published is due to Garsia and Joni [18]:

**FORMULA 1.**

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{x}^k}{k!} \mathcal{E}f(D)^k, \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}f(x) := f(0)$  is the operator of evaluation at the origin. This result is in fact its own extension of the Taylor expansion in a different direction from the expansion theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $\psi := \varphi^{-1}$ , then according to [1, Thm. 3.3],  $\psi$  is the umbral operator for the delta operator  $f(D)$ . Expanding  $E^y$  into powers of  $f(D)$  via the expansion theorem, and applying the result to a polynomial  $p(x)$  yields

$$p(x+y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n(y)}{n!} f(D)^n p(x). \quad (13)$$

We use equation (13) in the following calculation, where  $x$  and  $y$  indices on operators denotes the variable onto which the operator acts:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi p(x) &= \varphi_x \mathcal{E}_y p(x+y) = \varphi_x \mathcal{E}_y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n(y)}{n!} f(D)^n p(y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \mathcal{E}_y f(D)^n p(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{x}^n}{n!} \mathcal{E}f(D)^n p(x). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Finally, we notice the equality of the operators. ■

Some credit for Formula 1 is also owed to Rota and his collaborators as equation (14) appears as [29, Cor. 7, p. 711].

### 3.2 Operational form of the Steffensen formulas

The second and third formulas follow directly from well-known closed forms for basic sequences, which are due to Steffensen [30] (see also [29, 1]):

$$\varphi_n(x) = Q'(D/Q)^{n+1} x^n = x(D/Q)^n x^{n-1}. \quad (15)$$

A direct identification of operators is not possible here, as the right-hand side operators depend on  $n$ . The identification can be achieved, however, with a simple trick. We begin with the identity  $\mathbf{x}Dx^n = nx^n$ . By linearity, this generalizes to

$$g(\mathbf{x}D)x^n = g(n)x^n, \quad (16)$$

for any power series  $g$  convergent at  $n$  [5, eq. (VI.)]. This leads to the subsequent formulas.

**FORMULA 2.**

$$\varphi = Q'(D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D+1}. \quad (17)$$

**Example 3.1.** When  $Q = D/\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we call  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)}$  the associated umbral operator. It follows from Formula 2 that  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)} = \lambda^{\mathbf{x}D}$ . Additionally, according to equation (16),  $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\lambda)}(x) = \lambda^{\mathbf{x}D} x^n = \lambda^n x^n$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of the exponentiation (5) and equation (16),

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D} x^n &= Q' \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (D/Q - 1)^k \binom{\mathbf{x}D + 1}{k} x^n \\ &= Q' \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (D/Q - 1)^k \binom{n + 1}{k} x^n \\ &= Q'(D/Q)^{n+1} x^n = \varphi_n(x) = \varphi x^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we can identify  $\varphi$ . ■

The following is shown identically.

**FORMULA 3.**

$$\varphi = \mathbf{x}(D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D} \mathbf{x}^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

*Remark 1.* Some remarks are in order regarding exponentiation. One should note that we only have

$$(D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D+1} = \frac{D}{Q}(D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D}, \quad (19)$$

and generally not “ $(D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D+1} = (D/Q)^{\mathbf{x}D} \frac{D}{Q}$ ”. This is a consequence of the convention chosen in equation (5). While this choice is arbitrary, it is justified here because the alternative convention (6) would yield the less elegant closed form “ $\varphi = Q'(e^{\mathbf{x}D+1})^{\log(D/Q)}$ ”.

### 3.3 Pincherle’s contributions

The expansion theorem provides a formula for expanding shift-invariant operators in terms of the derivative  $D$ . When considering non shift-invariant operators, given that the multiplication operator  $\mathbf{x}$  is the simplest of them, an inquiry arises as to whether an analogous expansion in terms of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $D$  exists, and, if so, the specific formulation of such an expansion. These questions were, in fact, addressed by Pincherle in 1895 [27] (see also [26, Chap. II.II] and [28, Chap. 6]). His demonstration relies on the following theorem, which extends the Leibniz rule to arbitrary operators using the Pincherle derivative.

**THEOREM 3.1** (Pincherle’s formula). *If  $U$  is an operator and  $p$  is a formal power series, then*

$$Up(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})U^{(k)}}{k!}. \quad (20)$$

The general Leibniz rule corresponds to the case  $U = D^n$ . This case extends easily to operators  $U$  of the form  $q(D)$ , a fact known since 1848 [20], but equation (20) exhibits a strikingly broader level of generality.

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be the coefficients of  $p$  and  $q$  be a polynomial. Then, using equation (4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} Up(\mathbf{x})q(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Ux^n q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{x}^{n-k} U^{(k)} q(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{x}^{n-k} \right) U^{(k)} q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})}{k!} U^{(k)} q(x). \end{aligned}$$

■

**COROLLARY 3.1** (Pincherle). *If  $U$  is an operator, then*

$$U = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-\mathbf{x})^{k-j} U_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) \frac{D^k}{k!}. \quad (21)$$

*Remark 2.* This formula, also due to Pincherle [27], establishes that *every* operator in  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}[x])$  is expressible in terms of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $D$ , i.e.,

$$\text{End}(\mathbb{C}[x]) = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}][[D]]. \quad (22)$$

An analogous result holds for our set of operators:

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}[x], \mathbb{C}[[x]]) = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}][[D]]. \quad (23)$$

Since  $[D, \mathbf{x}] = 1$ , the operators  $D$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  generate the Weyl algebra, making  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}][D]$  isomorphic to it. Consequently,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}[x], \mathbb{C}[[x]])$  represents a completion of the Weyl algebra, and indeed the largest one we can consider. It is important to note, however, that not all such operators can be extended to  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}[[x]])$ , as shown by the counterexample in [1, Ex. 4.7].

Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 appear to have remarkably vanished from the literature after Pincherle's original work; they are not mentioned in references such as [13, 29, 8, 24]. As far as we know, the only notable exception is [25].

*Proof.* According to equation (3),

$$U^{(k)}1 = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-x)^{k-j} U_j(x).$$

So, applying to Equation (20) on 1 yields

$$Up(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (U^{(k)}1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-x)^{k-j} U_j(x) \right) \frac{D^k}{k!} p(x),$$

which gives equation (21). ■

An immediate corollary is the following formula for  $\varphi$ .

**FORMULA 4.**

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-x)^{k-j} \varphi_j(x) \right) \frac{D^k}{k!}. \quad (24)$$

A more interesting example is the *composition operator*  $C_g$ , defined for a formal power series  $g$  and a polynomial  $p$  by

$$C_g p(x) = p(g(x)), \quad (25)$$

which is an example of operator that is not necessarily in  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}[x])$ . While the composition operator was first introduced by Pincherle [27], the following formula for it is due to Bourlet [7, Sec. V].

**PROPOSITION 3.1** (Bourlet). *If  $g$  is a formal power series*

$$C_g = (e^{g(x)-x})^D. \quad (26)$$

*Proof.* Since  $C_g x^n = g(x)^n$ , according to Corollary 3.1

$$C_g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-x)^{k-j} g(x)^j \right) \frac{D^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (g(x) - x)^k \frac{D^k}{k!}.$$

So equation (26) follows from equation (7). ■

**Example 3.2.** The operator  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)}$  of Example 3.1 is a composition operator as the equation  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)} x^n = (\lambda x)^n$  extends to polynomials to  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)} p(x) = p(\lambda x)$ . To find the expression given in Example 3.1 from Proposition 3.1, we only require the identity  $x^n D^n = (xD)_n$  due to Boole [5, eq. (VII.)] and equation (5):

$$\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)} = (e^{\lambda x - x})^D = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda - 1)^n}{n!} x^n D^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - 1)^n \binom{x D}{n} = \lambda^{xD}.$$

### 3.4 The Kurbanov-Maksimov expansion

The fact that operators can be expressed in terms of  $x$  and  $D$  dates back to Pincherle's discovery of Corollary 3.1. An alternative construction was provided by Kurbanov and Maksimov [21], which Di Buccianico and Loeb later clarified and generalized using delta operators [8]. We further extend their work by formalizing a formula that was used implicitly, but not stated explicitly, in the proof of their [8, Prop. 21] (our Formula 5).

**THEOREM 3.2** (Kurbanov-Maksimov). *If  $U$  is an operator and*

$$\frac{G_{U\varphi}(x, t)}{G_{\varphi}(x, t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x) h_k(t),$$

*for some formal power series  $\{g_k(x)\}_{k \geq 0}$  and  $\{h_k(x)\}_{k \geq 0}$  then  $U$  can be written as*

$$U = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x) h_k(Q). \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* Since  $G_{Q\varphi}(x, t) = t G_\varphi(x, t)$ , if we denote by  $V$  the right-hand side of equation (27), then by linearity and construction

$$G_{V\varphi}(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x) h_k(t) G_\varphi(x, t) = G_{U\varphi}(x, t).$$

After identifying the coefficients of  $t^n$ , we see that equation (27) holds true when applied to elements of the basis  $\{\varphi_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ , and thus for all polynomials.  $\blacksquare$

Following Di Bucchianico and Loeb [8, Prop. 21], we infer the next formula for  $\varphi$ .

**FORMULA 5** (Di Bucchianico, Loeb).

$$\varphi = (e^x)^{f(D)-D}. \quad (28)$$

**Example 3.3.** With  $f(t) = \lambda t$ ,  $\varphi = \mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)}$  and the formula  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)} = \lambda^{x D}$  follows by a calculation similar to that of Example 3.2.

*Proof.* According to equation (10)

$$\frac{G_\varphi(x, t)}{G_1(x, t)} = e^{x(f(t)-t)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^k (f(t) - t)^k,$$

hence, by the Theorem 3.2, with the identity operator and  $U = \varphi$ , and equation (7)

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} x^k (f(D) - D)^k = (e^x)^{f(D)-D}.$$

$\blacksquare$

Note that expanding  $G_\varphi$  via its definition (8) in the proof above leads directly to Formula 4.

*Remark 3.* In [29, p. 711, Prop. 3] it is established that

$$\varphi' = x\varphi(f'(D) - 1), \quad (29)$$

after which Rota expresses the idea of solving the “Pincherle differential equations” to obtain an explicit expression for  $\varphi$ . He restates this challenge as the 15th problem [29, p. 753], describing it as “an untouched subject of great interest”, a characterization that still appears accurate. Yet the idea now appears more promising, since it becomes apparent that the solution to the Pincherle differential equation (29) is given precisely by Formula 5. Indeed, the right-hand side of equation (28) also satisfies equation (29), by  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ -linearity and the equality  $(f(D) - D)' = f'(D) - 1$ . The only missing ingredient is a noncommutative analog of the Cauchy uniqueness theorem.

## 4 A new expression for umbral operators

We now aim to express umbral operators in their simplest possible form:

$$\varphi = e^{xV(D)} = 1 + xV(D) + \frac{1}{2}(xV(D))^2 + \dots \quad (30)$$

The existence of such a representation was anticipated by Zeilberger [32, §4.2]. Although his argument was not fully rigorous, it can be adapted to provide a proof of the existence of  $V$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *There exists a formal power series  $V$  such that  $V(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi = e^{xV(D)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.1, there exists a sequence of formal power series  $(p_k(x))_{k \geq 0}$  such that

$$L := \log \varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(x) D^k.$$

Our goal is to show that  $p_0(x) = 0$  and that for  $k > 0$ ,  $p_k(x)$  is linear. Operationally, the binomial-type property reads  $\varphi_{x+y} = \varphi_x \varphi_y$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x+y]$  [1, Prop. 2.3], where the subscript indicates the variable on which  $\varphi$  acts. Since  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  commute, translating this identity to  $L$  yields

$$e^{L_{x+y}} = e^{L_x} e^{L_y} = e^{L_x + L_y},$$

and therefore  $L_{x+y} = L_x + L_y$ . We now apply this identity to the monomial  $(x+y)^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (p_k(x+y) - p_k(x) - p_k(y)) \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} (x+y)^{n-k} = 0.$$

By induction, we conclude that for every nonnegative  $k$ ,  $p_k(x+y) = p_k(x) + p_k(y)$ , which implies the existence of a sequence  $(a_k)_{k \geq 0}$  of complex numbers such that  $p_k(x) = a_k x$ . Defining  $V(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k t^k$ , we obtain  $\varphi = e^{xV(D)}$ . Finally, for  $\varphi x^n$  to remain a polynomial, we must have  $a_0 = 0$ , or equivalently  $V(0) = 0$ . ■

Proposition 4.1 will not be used in what follows, since we will derive an expression for  $V$  directly.

## 4.1 The $\mathfrak{L}$ transform

In [3, Thm. 2.1], a new kind of endomorphism  $\mathfrak{L}$  on the set of operators was constructed. We give a characterization of a special instance of this operator [3, Ex. 2.2] for the set of operators.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *There exists a transform  $\mathfrak{L}$  defined over the set of operators such that*

- $\mathfrak{L}$  is linear.
- For all operators  $U, V$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}(UV) = \mathfrak{L}(V)\mathfrak{L}(U)$ .
- $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{x}) = D$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(D) = \mathbf{x}$ .

In particular,  $\mathfrak{L}^{-1} = \mathfrak{L}$ , and for any power series  $g$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}(g(U)) = g(\mathfrak{L}(U))$ .

This transform enables the conversion of operator identities into forms that are otherwise difficult to prove.

**LEMMA 4.1** ([3, Ex. 2.2]).

$$\mathfrak{L}(C_f) = \varphi. \tag{31}$$

This result clearly shows a sort of duality between  $C_f$  and  $\varphi$  and raises the question of what interpretation we can give to the action of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

*Proof.* This result follows from Proposition 3.1, the properties listed in Theorem 4.1 and Formula 5:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}(C_f) &= \mathfrak{L}((e^{f(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{x}})^D) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathfrak{L}(D^n) \mathfrak{L}((f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x})^n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathbf{x}^n (f(D) - D)^n = (e^{\mathbf{x}})^{f(D)-D} = \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

■

## 4.2 Iteration theory and proof of the new formula

The notion of *fractional iteration*, i.e., non-integral compositional iterates of a formal power series can be defined uniquely (see [22, 15, 16]) for a power series  $g$  such that  $g^1 := g$  and for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g^r \circ g^s = g^{r+s}$ . This is the main object of study in *iteration theory*. The *iterative logarithm*  $\text{itlog}$  is the natural analog of the ordinary logarithm in the context where the composition serves as the multiplication. For example, it satisfies  $\text{itlog}(g^s) = s \text{itlog}(g)$  and is defined by the equation

$$\text{itlog}(g) := \left. \frac{dg^s}{ds} \right|_{s=0}. \tag{32}$$

Bourlet was the first to apply the composition operator to the iteration theory [6]. Écalle later reintroduced it and showed the following formula [14, 17, 15, 16].

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *For an invertible formal power series  $g$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$C_{g^s} = e^{s \text{itlog}(g)(\mathbf{x})D}. \tag{33}$$

We follow Labelle's proof [22].

*Proof.* For some power series  $p$ , first we compute via the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}p(g^s(x)) &= \frac{d}{ds}p(g^{s+r}(x)) \Big|_{r=0} = \frac{d}{dr}p(g^{s+r}(x)) \Big|_{r=0} = \frac{d}{dr}p(g^s(g^r(x))) \Big|_{r=0} \\ &= \frac{dg^r(x)}{dr} \Big|_{r=0} \frac{dp(g^s(x))}{dx} = \text{itlog}(g)(x) \frac{dp(g^s(x))}{dx} = \text{itlog}(g)(\mathbf{x}) Dp(g^s(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This constitutes a differential equation in  $s$  with solution

$$p(g^s(x)) = e^{s \text{itlog}(g)(\mathbf{x}) D} p(x),$$

hence equation (33). ■

This directly leads us to our main result.

**FORMULA 6.**

$$\varphi = e^{\mathbf{x} \text{itlog}(f)(D)}. \quad (34)$$

*Proof.* We simply combine the Lemma 4.1 with Proposition 4.2:

$$\varphi = \mathfrak{L}(C_f) = \mathfrak{L}(e^{\text{itlog}(f)(\mathbf{x}) D}) = e^{\mathbf{x} \text{itlog}(f)(D)}.$$
■

**Example 4.1.** If  $f(t) = \lambda t$ , then  $f^s(t) = \lambda^s t$  and

$$\text{itlog}(f)(t) = \frac{d\lambda^s t}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} = \log(\lambda) t.$$

Therefore, with Formula 6, we find once again that  $\mathcal{S}^{(\lambda)} = \lambda^{\mathbf{x} D}$ .

### 4.3 Converse of the theorem

We have expressed  $V$  in terms of  $f$ , but the reverse is also possible: given some  $V$ , we can find  $f$  such that  $e^{\mathbf{x} V}$  is an umbral operator associated to  $f$ .

Our first ingredient is the well-known operational form of Taylor's theorem due to Lagrange in 1772 [23]. If  $E^a p(x) := p(x + a)$ , then

$$E^a = e^{a D}. \quad (35)$$

This identity was extended by Reverend Graves in 1850 [19].

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** For a formal power series  $V$ , if  $h$  is a function such that  $h'(x) = 1/V(t)$ ,  $g(t) := h^{-1}(h(t) + 1)$  is a formal power series satisfying  $g^s(t) = h^{-1}(h(t) + s)$ , for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\text{itlog}(g) = V$ . In addition,

$$e^{s V(\mathbf{x}) D} = C_{g^s}. \quad (36)$$

Combining Propositions 3.1 and 4.3 gives  $e^{V(\mathbf{x}) D} = (e^{g(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}})^D$ , a result can also be found through a theorem due to Viskov [31] (see [3, Cor. 5.2]).

*Proof.* The functions  $G(s, x) := h^{-1}(h(x) + s)$  satisfy the functional equation  $G(s, G(r, x)) = G(s + r, x)$ . Moreover,  $\frac{d}{ds} G(s, x) \Big|_{s=0} = V(x)$ , so with similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, we find that  $G(s, x) = e^{s V(\mathbf{x}) D} x$ . Because  $V$  is a formal power series, so is  $g(x) = G(1, x)$ . By uniqueness of the fractional iterates of the formal power series  $g$ , it follows that  $g^s(x) = G(s, x)$  and therefore  $\text{itlog}(g) = V$ .

Let  $p$  be a polynomial and  $P(x) = p(h^{-1}(x))$ , then by the chain rule  $D_x = h'(\mathbf{x}) D_{h(\mathbf{x})}$  and equation (35)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{v(\mathbf{x}) D t} p(x) &= e^{s v(\mathbf{x}) h'(\mathbf{x}) D_h} P(h) = E_h^s P(h) = P(h + s) \\ &= p(h^{-1}(h(x) + s)) = C_{g^s} p(x). \end{aligned}$$
■



**COROLLARY 4.1.** For  $V$  a shift-invariant operator, if  $h$  is a function such that  $h'(t) = 1/V(t)$ , then for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$e^{sxV(D)} = (e^x)^{h^{-1}(h(D)+s)-D}.$$

In particular, if  $V(0) = 0$ ,  $e^{sxV(D)}$  is the umbral operator generated by  $g^s(t) := h^{-1}(h(t) + s)$ .

*Proof.* We combine Propositions 3.1 and 4.3 and apply  $\mathfrak{L}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L}(e^{sV(x)D}) &= \mathfrak{L}((e^{h^{-1}(h(x)+s)-x})^D), \\ e^{s\mathfrak{L}(V(x)D)} &= (e^{\mathfrak{L}(D)})^{\mathfrak{L}(h^{-1}(h(x)+s)-x)}, \\ e^{sxV(D)} &= (e^x)^{h^{-1}(h(D)+s)-D}.\end{aligned}$$

If  $V(0) = 0$ ,  $e^{sxv(D)}$  is an endomorphism over the polynomials and since  $e^{sxV(D)} = \mathfrak{L}(C_{g^s})$ , by lemma 4.1, it is an umbral operator generated by  $g^s(t)$ .  $\blacksquare$

## 5 Fractional exponents of umbral operators

In light of Formula 6, there is a straightforward way of defining the fractional exponent of an umbral operator:

$$\varphi^s := e^{s\mathfrak{x} \operatorname{itlog}(f)(D)}. \quad (37)$$

Since  $s \operatorname{itlog}(f) = \operatorname{itlog}(f^s)$ ,  $\varphi^s$  is generated by the formal power series  $f^s$ . This is precisely the definition adopted in [2]. It stands in contrast with an alternative definition based on the binomial series, which carries convergence issues.

In [2] we derived several formulas for the coefficients of  $f^s(t)^k$ , which in turn give the coefficients of  $\varphi^s x^n =: \varphi_n^s(x)$ . Among other formulas, letting  $q := f'(0)$ , the coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{\varphi^s}$  of  $x^k$  in the polynomial  $\varphi_n^s(x)$  is given by [2, Thm. 7]

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{\varphi^s} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{\varphi^p} \binom{s}{p}_q \binom{n-k-s}{n-k-p}_q q^{(n-p)(s-p)}, \quad (38)$$

where we have used the  $q$ -binomial coefficient. There, we also derived an expansion for the iterative logarithm of  $f$ . Its coefficients are given by  $\left. \frac{d}{ds} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\varphi^s} \right|_{s=0}$ , which can be interpreted as the coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\log \varphi}$ , since  $\log \varphi = \mathfrak{x} \operatorname{itlog}(f)(D)$ . This logarithm corresponds to the infinitesimal generator of the one-parameter group  $(\varphi^s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ , which satisfies

$$\varphi^{s+t} = \varphi^s \varphi^t. \quad (39)$$

In addition, the delta operator  $Q^{[s]} := f^{-s}(D)$  associated with  $\varphi^s$  satisfies

$$Q^{[t]} \varphi^s = \varphi^s Q^{[t-s]}, \quad (40)$$

for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ , which extends [1, Prop. 2.8]. Furthermore,  $(Q^{[s]})_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$  itself forms a one-parameter group under the law

$$Q^{[s+t]} = Q^{[s]} \diamond Q^{[t]} := (f^{-s} \circ f^{-t})(D), \quad (41)$$

with infinitesimal generator  $-\operatorname{itlog}(f)(D)$ . Thus, the preceding results provide a complete answer to Rota's third problem [29, Sec. 14], now in the broader case where  $f'(0)$  need not be 1.

## 6 Example: degenerate Laguerre polynomials

We will follow the construction of Corollary 4.1 with  $V(t) = -t^{p+1}$ , for some integer  $p \geq 0$ . Let  $L_p := e^{-x D^{p+1}}$ . According to Example 3.1,  $L_0 = \mathcal{S}^{(1/e)}$ , so from now on we only consider the cases  $p > 0$ . Let

$$h(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{dx}{V(x)} = \frac{1}{pt^p},$$

so that

$$f^s(t) := h^{-1}(h(t) + s) = \sqrt[p]{p \left( \frac{1}{pt^p} + s \right)} = \sqrt[p]{\frac{1 + spt^p}{t^p}} = \frac{t}{\sqrt[p]{1 + spt^p}}. \quad (42)$$

The delta operator is therefore given by  $\Psi_p := f^{-1}(D) = D/\sqrt[p]{1-pD^p}$ . The case  $p = 1$  is associated with the *Laguerre polynomials* [1, Sec. 6.5].

We will refer  $L_{p,n}(x) := (L_p)_n(x)$  as the *degenerate Laguerre polynomials* and define their *associated* version by  $L_p^{(\alpha)} := (1-pD^p)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} L_p$ . They are an example of a *cross-sequence*, so according to [1, Prop. 3.6], for all  $\alpha, \beta$ .

$$L_{p,n}^{(\alpha+\beta)}(x+y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} L_{p,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{p,n-k}^{(\beta)}(y), \quad (43)$$

and their generating function is given by [1, Thm. 4.2]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{p,n}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{(\sqrt[p]{1-pt^p})^\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{xt}{\sqrt[p]{1-pt^p}}\right). \quad (44)$$

$\Psi'_p = (1-pD^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}-1}$ , thus, with the transfer formula [1, Thm. 3.4] we have

$$L_{p,n}(x) = \Psi'_p(\sqrt[p]{1-pD^p})^{n+1} x^n = (1-pD^p)^{\frac{n}{p}-1} x^n,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,n}^{(\alpha)}(x) &= (1-pD^p)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}-1} x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}-1}{k} (-pD^p)^k x^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/p \rfloor} \binom{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}-1}{k} \frac{n!(-p)^k}{(n-pk)!} x^{n-pk}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The following identity holds:

$$L_p^{(\alpha)} = \exp(-xD^{p+1} - \alpha D^p). \quad (46)$$

The case  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , corresponding to a different convention for the Laguerre polynomials, was discovered by Dattoli and Torre [11] (see also [12, 10]). Dattoli and Migliorati later extended this result to arbitrary  $\alpha$  [9, eq. (33)] with  $f(x) = x^n$  and  $y = 1$ . Equation (46) then follows quickly from the *Berry identity* [4]: since the *commutator*  $[D^p, xD^{p+1}] = p(D^p)^2$ , it is a consequence of [3, Cor. 3.4 and Prop. 2.4] that

$$\exp(-xD^{p+1} - \alpha D^p) = ((1-pD^p)^{1/p})^\alpha e^{-xD^{p+1}} = L_p^{(\alpha)}.$$

The degenerate associated Laguerre polynomials  $L_{p,n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$  satisfy the following differential equation

$$xpF^{(p+1)} + \alpha pF^{(p)} - xF' + nF = 0, \quad (47)$$

which follows from a computation similar to that of [1, eq. (59)].

The Laguerre polynomials also serve as a good example for real exponents. Using the expression for  $f^s(t)$  from equation (42), we have  $\Psi_p^{[s]} = D/\sqrt[p]{1-spD^p}$ , and a similar calculation gives:

$$L_{p,n}^s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/p \rfloor} \binom{\frac{n}{p}-1}{k} \frac{n!(-sp)^k}{(n-pk)!} x^{n-pk}. \quad (48)$$

For  $p = 1$ , this formula essentially reduces to the bivariate Laguerre polynomials of Dattoli and Torre [11], where  $s$  is the second variable.

## References

- [1] K. Beauduin. *Operational Umbral Calculus*, 2024. [arXiv:2407.16348](#).
- [2] K. Beauduin. *Explicit Expressions for Iterates of Power Series*, 2025. [arXiv:2409.09809](#).
- [3] K. Beauduin. Old and new powerful tools for the normal ordering problem and noncommutative binomials. *Enumer. Comb. Appl.*, **5**(1):S2R4, 2025.
- [4] M. V. Berry. “The Diffraction of Light by Ultrasound”. PhD thesis. University of St Andrews: Academic Press, 1966.

- [5] G. Boole. On a General Method in Analysis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*, **134**:225–282, 1844.
- [6] C. Bourlet. Sur le problème de l’itération. *Ann. Fac. sci. Toulouse Math.*, **12**(2):1–12, 1898.
- [7] C. Bourlet. Sur les opérations en général et les équations différentielles linéaires d’ordre infini. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **14**:133–190, 1897.
- [8] A. D. Bucchianico and D. E. Loeb. Operator expansion in the derivative and multiplication by  $x$ . *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **4**(1–2):49–68, 1996.
- [9] G. Dattoli and M. Migliorati. Associated Laguerre Polynomials: Monomiality and Bi-Orthogonal Functions. *Int. Math. Forum*, **3**(19):901–909, 2008.
- [10] G. Dattoli and A. Torre. Exponential operators, quasi-monomials and generalized polynomials. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, **57**(1):21–26, 2000.
- [11] G. Dattoli and A. Torre. Operational methods and two variable Laguerre polynomials. *Atti Accad. Sci. Torino Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, **132**:3–9, 1998.
- [12] G. Dattoli et al. Generalized polynomials and associated operational identities. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **108**(1–2):209–218, 1999.
- [13] H. T. Davis. *The Theory of Linear Operators*. Bloomington: The Principia Press, 1936.
- [14] J. Écalle. *Quasi-analyticité et itération générale*. Palaiseau: École polytechnique, 1970.
- [15] J. Écalle. “Théorie des invariants holomorphes”. State Doctorate thesis. Orsay: Paris-Sud University, 1974.
- [16] J. Écalle. Théorie itérative: introduction à la théorie des invariants holomorphes. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **54**(9):183–258, 1975.
- [17] J. Écalle. “Théorie itératives des transformations formelles à une variable complexe”. PhD thesis. Orsay: Paris-Sud University, 1971.
- [18] A. M. Garsia and S. A. Joni. A new expression for umbral operators and power series inversion. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **64**(1):179–185, 1977.
- [19] C. Graves. On a Generalization of the Symbolic Statement of Taylor’s Theorem. *Proc. R. Ir. Acad.*, **5**:285–287, 1850–1853.
- [20] C. J. Hargeave. On the solution of linear differential equations. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*, **138**:31–54, 1848.
- [21] S. G. Kurbanov and V. M. Maksimov. Mutual expansions of differential operators and divided difference operators. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk UzSSR*, **4**:8–9, 1986.
- [22] G. Labelle. Sur l’Inversion et l’Iteration Continue des Séries Formelles. *European J. Combin.*, **1**(2):113–138, 1980.
- [23] J.-L. Lagrange. Sur une nouvelle espèce de calcul relatif à la différentiation et à l’intégration des quantités variables. *Nouv. Mém. Acad. R. Sci. Belles-Lett. Berlin*, 185–221, 1772. Reprinted in: *Œuvres de Lagrange*, vol. 3, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1867, pp. 439–476.
- [24] T. Mansour and M. Schork. *Commutation Relations, Normal Ordering, and Stirling Numbers*. 1st ed. New York: Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2015.
- [25] R. Mullin and G.-C. Rota. On the foundations of combinatorial theory III. Theory of binomial enumeration. *Graph Theory Appl.*, 167–213, 1970.
- [26] S. Pincherle. Mémoire sur le calcul fonctionnel distributif. *Math. Ann.*, **49**(3–4):325–382, 1897.
- [27] S. Pincherle. Sulle operazioni funzionali distributive. *Rend. Accad. Lincei*, **4**(1):142–149, 1895.
- [28] S. Pincherle and U. Amaldi. *Operazioni distributive e le loro applicazioni all’analisi*. Biblioteca di opere scientifiche. S.l.: Nicola Zanichelli, 1901.
- [29] G.-C. Rota, D. Kahaner, and A. Odlyzko. On the Foundations of Combinatorial Theory. VIII. Finite Operator Calculus. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **42**(3):684–760, 1973.
- [30] J. F. Steffensen. The poweroid, an extension of the mathematical notion of power. *Acta Math.*, **73**:333–366, 1941.
- [31] O. V. Viskov. Expansion in powers of a noncommutative binomial. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **216**:63–69, 1997.
- [32] D. Zeilberger. Some Comments on Rota’s Umbral Calculus. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **74**(2):456–463, 1980.