

# A CONTINUUM OF NON-MEASURE EQUIVALENT GROUPS

ADRIAN IOANA AND ROBIN TUCKER-DROB

ABSTRACT. We construct a continuum sized family  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  of pairwise non-measure equivalent countable groups which have property (T) (hence are finitely generated), have zero  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers of all orders, and are torsion-free.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of measure equivalence was introduced by Gromov in [27] as a measurable counterpart of the notion of quasi-isometric equivalence of groups (see the survey [24]). Two countable groups  $G$  and  $H$  are said to be *measure equivalent* if they admit commuting actions on an infinite measure space, such that both of their actions have fundamental domains of finite measure. Several natural families of countable groups are known to be pairwise measure equivalent: (i) lattices in a fixed locally compact second countable group, (ii) infinite amenable groups [40], and (iii) finitely generated non-abelian free groups.

On the other hand, in order to distinguish groups up to measure equivalence, one uses either measure equivalence invariants or rigidity results. Measure equivalence invariants come in two flavors. First, we have binary invariants associated to properties that are preserved under measure equivalence. Examples of such properties include amenability, Kazhdan's property (T), Haagerup's property, containment in various classes ( $\mathcal{C}_{\text{reg}}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  [39] and  $\mathcal{S}$  [42]), treability [34], weak amenability [33] and proper proximality [9, 32]. Second, we have numerical invariants that are preserved (or scaled appropriately) under measure equivalence, including the Cowling-Haagerup invariant [17, 18, 33], cost (for groups of fixed price) [25],  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers and ergodic dimension [26].

Measure equivalence rigidity results aim to show that, within certain families of groups, various aspects of the groups can be recovered from their measure equivalence classes, see, e.g., [39, 42, 5, 31, 21]. The most extreme rigidity results in this context completely determine the measure equivalence class of a given group, often showing that it remembers the group itself. Such superrigidity phenomena have been obtained for several groups, including higher-rank lattices [23], mapping class groups [35], surface braid groups [15],  $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}_N)$  for  $N \geq 3$  [28], and generalized Higman groups [30].

The works discussed above, whether they give measure equivalence invariants or establish rigidity statements, lead to infinite but typically countable families of pairwise non-measure equivalent groups. As such, it remains a challenging problem to construct large, uncountable, families of non-measure equivalent groups. We contribute to this problem here by proving the following:

---

AI was supported by NSF grants DMS-2153805 and DMS-2451697.  
RTD was supported by NSF grant DMS-2246684.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a continuum sized family  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  of pairwise non-measure equivalent ICC countable groups which have property (T) (and hence are finitely generated).*

*Moreover, each  $G_x$  satisfies  $\beta_k^{(2)}(G_x) = 0$ , for every  $k \geq 1$ , and can be taken torsion-free.*

Gaboriau's work on cost [25] can be used to construct continuum many countable groups which are pairwise non-measure equivalent, see Proposition 5.1; note, however, that these groups are neither finitely generated nor torsion-free. Recently, Drimbe and Vaes found in [20, Theorem A] a continuum of torsion-free but not finitely generated countable groups which are pairwise not von Neumann equivalent and in particular not measure equivalent.

In a preliminary version of our paper, we proved the main assertion of Theorem 1.1 for a family of groups  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  which were constructed using Proposition 5.1 and thus contain torsion. Independently of this preliminary result, Fournier-Facio and Sun found in [22, Theorem D] a continuum  $(M_S)_S$  of non-measure equivalent finitely generated groups which are also torsion-free and which can also be chosen to have property (T). The groups constructed in [22] are indexed by sets  $S \subset \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$  and have the remarkable property that the set  $S$  can be recovered from the  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers  $\{\beta_n^{(2)}(M_S)\}_{n \geq 3}$ , considered up to a scalar multiple. Since measure equivalent groups have proportional  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers by [26], the groups  $(M_S)$  follow non-measure equivalent.

After [22] was posted on arXiv, we showed that a variation of our construction (using Proposition 5.2 instead of Proposition 5.1) ensures that the groups  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  can be taken torsion-free, thus yielding the moreover assertion of Theorem 1.1. Since the groups  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  have zero  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers of all orders, they are distinct from the groups considered in [22]. Indeed, a principal novelty of Theorem 1.1 consists of providing a continuum of non-measure equivalent finitely generated groups in the regime where the usual invariants –  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers and cost – are trivial and thus provide no useful information.

In the remaining of the introduction, we outline the proof of Theorem 1.1. The groups  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  arise as *wreath-like* products in the sense introduced by Chifan, Osin, Sun and the first author in [14, 13]. We refer the reader to Subsection 2.4 for the definition of the class  $\mathcal{WR}(A, B)$  of wreath-like products of groups  $A$  and  $B$ . Here we only note that the classical wreath product  $A \wr B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{WR}(A, B)$ , and that there exist many wreath-like products with property (T) belonging to  $\mathcal{WR}(A, B)$ , for certain hyperbolic groups  $B$ .

The construction of  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  relies on the following four ingredients, which appear to be of independent interest:

- (1) (Proposition 5.2) There exists an infinite family  $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  of pairwise non-measure equivalent, torsion-free, ICC, 4-generated groups belonging to class  $\mathcal{C}$  of [39].
- (2) (Proposition 2.4) For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a non-trivial, torsion-free (hence ICC), hyperbolic group  $B$  with the following property: for any sequence  $(C_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $n$ -generated groups, the class  $\mathcal{WR}(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k, B)$  contains a property (T) group.
- (3) (Theorem 6.1) Let  $A, A'$  be countable groups and  $B, B'$  be ICC hyperbolic groups. If  $G \in \mathcal{WR}(A, B)$  and  $G' \in \mathcal{WR}(A', B')$  are measure equivalent, then  $A^{(B)}$  and  $A'^{(B')}$  are measure equivalent.

- (4) (Theorem 4.4) If  $(C_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (C'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are ICC groups in class  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the product groups  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k, \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C'_k$  are measure equivalent, then modulo a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the groups  $C_k, C'_k$  are measure equivalent, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem 4.4 extends Monod and Shalom's well-known measure equivalence rigidity for products theorem [39, Theorem 1.16] from finite to infinite products, and from torsion-free to ICC groups in class  $\mathcal{C}$ . The same conclusion with class  $\mathcal{C}$  groups replaced by nonamenable biexact groups was established recently by Ding and Drimbe in [19, Theorem E].

The article [19] also established (as part of the proof of [19, Corollary F]) the following case of Theorem 6.1: if  $A, A'$  are countable groups,  $B, B'$  are ICC hyperbolic groups, and the wreath product groups  $A \wr B, A' \wr B'$  are measure equivalent, then  $A^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and  $A'^{(\mathbb{N})}$  are measure equivalent. Note that in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we use Theorem 6.1 in the case when  $G \in \mathcal{WR}(A, B), G' \in \mathcal{WR}(A', B')$  have property (T), and thus are not wreath products. For other measure equivalence rigidity results for wreath product groups, see [43, 16].

Finally, we explain briefly how ingredients (1)-(4) are combined to prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be the groups provided by (1). For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ ), let  $D_x = \bigoplus_{k \in x} A_k$ . Then (2) implies the existence of a property (T) group  $G_x \in \mathcal{WR}(D_x, B)$ . Moreover,  $G_x$  is torsion-free since it is built from the torsion-free groups  $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  and  $B$ . If  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  are measure equivalent, then (3) implies that  $D_x^{(\mathbb{N})} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in x} A_k^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and  $D_y^{(\mathbb{N})} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in y} A_k^{(\mathbb{N})}$  are also measure equivalent. Using the defining properties of the sequence  $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  from (1), the product rigidity statement from (4) implies that  $x = y$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Changying Ding for helpful comments.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Terminology.** We start this section with some notation which we will use later on.

For a standard Borel space  $Y$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  the space of Borel probability measures on  $Y$ , and by  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(Y)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}(Y)$ ) the space of Borel probability measures on  $Y$  whose support has at most (respectively, at least)  $k$  points. If  $Y$  is countable, we identify  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  with  $\ell^1(Y)_{+,1} := \{f \in \ell^1(Y) \mid f \geq 0, \|f\|_1 = 1\}$ . For  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ , we denote by  $\text{supp}(\eta)$  and  $\|\eta\|$  its support and norm. We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(Y)$  the collection of finite subsets of  $Y$ .

Let  $Y$  be a standard Borel space. An equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R} \subset Y \times Y$  is called *countable Borel* if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Borel subset of  $Y \times Y$  and the  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalence class of every  $y \in Y$  is countable. If  $G \curvearrowright Y$  is a Borel action of a countable group  $G$ , then the *orbit equivalence relation*  $\mathcal{R}(G \curvearrowright Y) = \{(y_1, y_2) \in Y \times Y \mid G \cdot y_1 = G \cdot y_2\}$  is a countable Borel equivalence relation.

Let  $G, H$  be countable groups. Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a probability space, which we always assume to be standard, i.e.,  $X$  is a standard Borel space and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  be a probability measure preserving (*pmp*) action. A Borel map  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  is called a *cocycle* if it satisfies  $c(g_1 g_2, x) = c(g_1, g_2 x) c(g_2, x)$ , for every  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . We say that  $c$  is *cohomologous* to a cocycle  $c' : G \times X \rightarrow H$  if there exists a Borel map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow H$  such that  $c'(g, x) = \varphi(gx) c(g, x) \varphi(x)^{-1}$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ .

**2.2. Measure equivalence.** Two countable groups  $G, H$  are *measure equivalent (ME)* [27] if there exists a standard infinite measure space  $(\Omega, m)$  and a measure preserving action  $G \times H \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$  such that both the  $G$ - and  $H$ -actions admit a finite measure (measurable) fundamental domain. Any such space  $(\Omega, m)$  is called an *ME-coupling* of  $G$  and  $H$ .

Let  $Y, X \subset \Omega$  be fundamental domains for the action of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Define  $\nu = m(Y)^{-1}m|_Y \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$  and  $\mu = m(X)^{-1}m|_X \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then we have pmp actions  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  and  $H \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$  given by  $\{g \cdot x\} = gHx \cap X$  and  $\{h \cdot y\} = hGy \cap Y$ . Moreover, we have cocycles  $\alpha : G \times X \rightarrow H$  and  $\beta : H \times Y \rightarrow G$  given by  $g \cdot x = g\alpha(g, x)x$  and  $h \cdot y = h\beta(h, y)y$ . Cocycles arising this way are called *ME-cocycle* [24].

**2.3. Essentially trivial, amenable and elementary cocycles.** In the next section we will prove a rigidity result for cocycles with values into hyperbolic groups (Theorem 3.1) and derive a corollary (Corollary 3.2) needed in the proof of our main result. In preparation for these results, we introduce the following terminology here.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G, H$  be countable groups,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle.

- (1) If  $S$  is a set endowed with an action of  $H$ , a map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$  is called *c-equivariant* if it satisfies that  $\varphi(gx) = c(g, x)\varphi(x)$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ .
- (2) We say that  $c$  is *essentially trivial* if there is a  $c$ -equivariant Borel map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$ .
- (3) If  $H$  is a hyperbolic group and  $\partial H$  denotes its Gromov boundary, then we say that  $c$  is *elementary* if there is a  $c$ -equivariant Borel map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$ .
- (4) We say that  $c$  is *amenable* if there exist Borel maps  $\varphi_n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\|\varphi_n(gx) - c(g, x)\varphi_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ .

In (2)-(4), we consider the natural actions of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$ , respectively.

We note that the above notion of an elementary cocycle is a variation of (but different from) the notion introduced in [38, Definition 1.1]. Thus,  $c$  is elementary in the sense of [38, Definition 1.1] if and only if it is essentially trivial or elementary in the sense of our Definition 2.1. The notion of an amenable cocycle is inspired by [6] and the proof of [10, Theorem 4.1]. If  $H$  is amenable, then any cocycle  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  is amenable.

In Corollary 3.5 we clarify the relationship between the above notions if  $H$  is hyperbolic. Thus, we show that any elementary cocycle is amenable, and, conversely, any amenable cocycle whose restriction to any  $G$ -invariant set is not elementary trivial must be elementary.

We next record a few basic facts concerning the above notions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G, H$  be countable groups,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle. Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  is essentially trivial if and only if there exists a  $c$ -equivariant Borel map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ . In particular, if  $c$  is essentially trivial then it is amenable.
- (2) If  $\{G_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is an increasing sequence of subgroups of  $G$  with  $G = \cup_{k \geq 1} G_k$ , then a cocycle  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  is amenable if and only if  $c|_{(G_k \times X)}$  is amenable for all  $k \geq 1$ .
- (3) If  $G$  has property (T) and  $c$  is amenable, then  $c$  is essentially trivial.
- (4) Let  $\{H_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be an increasing sequence of subgroups of  $H$  with  $H = \cup_{k \geq 1} H_k$ . Assume that  $H = H_k \times H'_k$  for some subgroup  $H'_k$  of  $H$ , and let  $p_k : H \rightarrow H_k$  be the quotient homomorphism, for every  $k \geq 1$ . If the cocycle  $p_k \circ c : G \times X \rightarrow H_k$  is amenable, for every  $k \geq 1$ , then  $c$  is amenable.
- (5) Assume that  $c$  is an ME-cocycle arising from an ME-coupling of  $G$  and  $H$ . If  $c|_{(G_0 \times X)}$  is an amenable cocycle, for some subgroup  $G_0 < G$ , then  $G_0$  is amenable.

- (6) Assume that  $c$  is an ME-cocycle arising from an ME-coupling of  $G$  and  $H$ . Let  $N \triangleleft H$  be a normal subgroup and denote by  $p : H \rightarrow H/N$  the quotient homomorphism. If  $p \circ c : G \times X \rightarrow H/N$  is an amenable cocycle, then the group  $H/N$  is amenable.

*Proof.* The proofs of parts (1)-(3) are standard and are left to the reader.

(4) Assume that  $p_k \circ c$  is amenable for every  $k \geq 1$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , view  $\mathcal{P}(H_k) \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$  using the embedding  $H_k < H$ . Since  $p_k \circ c$  is amenable, we can find a sequence of Borel maps  $\xi_{n,k} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\|\xi_{n,k}(gx) - p_k(c(g, x)) \cdot \xi_{n,k}(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . Thus, we can find  $n_k \geq 1$  such that  $\eta_k := \xi_{n_k, k} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  satisfies  $\|\eta_k(gx) - p_k(c(g, x))\eta_k(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . Since for every  $h \in H$  we have that  $p_k(h) = h$ , for large enough  $k \geq 1$ , we conclude that  $\|\eta_k(gx) - c(g, x)\eta_k(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . Hence,  $c$  is amenable.

(5) Assume that  $c$  is an ME-cocycle. Thus, there exists an ME-coupling  $(\Omega, m)$  of  $G$  and  $H$  such that we can view  $X \subset \Omega$  in such a way that  $X$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $H$  and  $c$  is given by  $g \cdot x = gc(g, x)x$ , for every  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ . Assuming that  $c|(G_0 \times X)$  is an amenable cocycle, we can find a sequence of Borel maps  $\xi_n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\|\xi_n(g \cdot x) - c(g, x)\xi_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G_0$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . For every  $n \geq 1$ , we define a Borel function  $\eta_n \in L^1(\Omega, m)_{+,1}$  by letting  $\eta_n(x) = \xi_n(hx)(h)$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $h \in H$  such that  $hx \in X$ . A direct calculation shows that if  $g \in G$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\eta_n(gx) - \eta_n(x)| \, dm(x) = \int_X \|\xi_n(g \cdot x) - c(g, x)\xi_n(x)\|_1 \, dm(x).$$

From this we deduce that  $\|g \cdot \eta_n - \eta_n\|_{L^1(\Omega, m)} \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G_0$ . Since the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  has a measurable fundamental domain, the action  $G_0$  also has a measurable fundamental domain, say  $Z$ . If we define  $\zeta_n \in \ell^1(G_0)_{+,1} = \mathcal{P}(G_0)$  by letting  $\zeta_n(g_0) = \int_{g_0 Z} \eta_n \, dm$ , then it follows that  $\|g \cdot \zeta_n - \zeta_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G_0$ . This proves that  $G_0$  is amenable.

(6) Assume that  $c$  is an ME-cocycle and keep the notation from the proof of (5). Let  $Y \subset \Omega$  be a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  and consider the associated action of  $H$  on  $(Y, \nu)$ , where  $\nu = m(Y)^{-1}m|_Y$ . After possibly replacing  $Y$  by  $gY$ , for some  $g \in G$ , we may assume that  $Z := X \cap Y$  is non-negligible. Assume that  $p \circ c : G \times X \rightarrow H/N$  is amenable. Thus, there exists a sequence of Borel maps  $\xi_n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H/N)$  such that  $\|\xi_n(g \cdot x) - p(c(g, x))\xi_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ .

Let  $z \in Z \subset Y$  and  $h \in H$  such that  $h \cdot z \in Z$ . Then  $h \cdot z = ghz$ , for some  $g \in G$ . Since  $z, ghz \in Z \subset X$ , we get that  $h = c(g, z)$  and that  $h \cdot z = g \cdot z$ . From this we deduce that  $\|\xi_n(h \cdot z) - p(h)\xi_n(z)\|_1 = \|\xi_n(g \cdot z) - p(c(g, z))\xi_n(z)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $h \in H$  and almost every  $z \in Z$  such that  $h \cdot z \in Z$ . Enumerate  $H = \{h_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ , put  $T = H \cdot Z \subset Y$  and for every  $n \geq 1$ , define a Borel map  $\eta_n : T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H/N)$  by letting  $\eta_n(y) = p(h_k)\xi_n(h_k^{-1} \cdot y)$ , where  $k \geq 1$  is smallest integer with  $h_k \cdot y \in Z$ . It is easy to see that  $\|\eta_n(h \cdot y) - p(h) \cdot \eta_n(y)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $h \in H$  and a.e.  $y \in T$ . But then  $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{P}(H/N)$  given by  $\zeta_n = \nu(T)^{-1} \int_T \eta_n(y) \, d\nu(y)$  satisfy  $\|\zeta_n - p(h)\zeta_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $h \in H$ . This proves that  $H/N$  is amenable.  $\square$

**2.4. Wreath-like product groups.** We continue by recalling from [14, 13] the notion of a wreath-like product of groups.

**Definition 2.3.** A group  $G$  is called a *wreath-like product* of two groups  $A$  and  $B$  if it is an extension of the form  $\{e\} \rightarrow A^{(B)} \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow \{e\}$ , where the action of  $G$  on

$A^{(B)} = \bigoplus_{b \in B} (A)_b$  by conjugation satisfies  $g(A)_b g^{-1} = (A)_{\pi(g)b}$ , for every  $g \in G$  and  $b \in B$ , with  $\pi : G \rightarrow B$  being the quotient homomorphism.

We denote by  $\mathcal{WR}(A, B)$  the family of all wreath-like products of  $A$  and  $B$ . The usual *wreath product*  $A \wr B = A^{(B)} \rtimes B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{WR}(A, B)$ . More precisely,  $G \in \mathcal{W}(A, B)$  is (canonically) isomorphic to  $A \wr B$  if and only if the extension used to define  $G$  is split. The wreath-product  $A \wr B$  does not have property (T) unless  $A$  has property (T) and  $B$  is finite. One of the main findings of [14, 13] is that there exist an abundance of wreath-like products with property (T). In particular, group theoretic Dehn filling was used to show that hyperbolic groups  $G$  with property (T) admit many quotients which can be decomposed as wreath-like products and which inherit property (T) from  $G$  (see [13, Theorem 2.7]).

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will need the following result.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a property (T) group  $V \in \mathcal{WR}(\mathbb{F}_n^{(\mathbb{N})}, B)$ , where  $B$  is a torsion-free (hence, ICC) hyperbolic group.*

*Moreover, if  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of  $n$ -generated groups, then there exists a property (T) group belonging to  $\mathcal{WR}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty A_k, B)$ .*

This result is a variation of [14, Proposition 2.11] which established the case  $n = 1$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of [14, Proposition 2.11], let  $S$  be any finitely presented, residually finite, torsion-free group with property (T). By [14, Lemma 2.10], there exists a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\gamma} S \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$ , where  $G$  is torsion-free hyperbolic and  $N$  is a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$  with property (T).

Since  $G_0 := \gamma^{-1}(S \times \{1\})$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $K(G) = \{1\}$  (as  $G$  is torsion-free and  $K(G)$  is the maximal finite normal subgroup of  $G$ ), [13, Lemma 3.22] implies that  $G_0$  is a suitable subgroup of  $G$ , in the sense of [13, Definition 3.21]. Applying [13, Proposition 4.21] provides a Cohen-Lyndon subgroup  $H \cong \mathbb{F}_n$  of  $G$  such that  $H$  is contained in  $G_0$  and  $G/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle$  is an ICC hyperbolic group, where  $\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle \triangleleft G$  denotes the normal subgroup generated by  $H$ . Since  $G$  is torsion-free, we may also assume that  $G/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle$  is torsion-free.

Since  $G_0 \triangleleft G$ , we have  $\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle \triangleleft G_0$ . Let  $T = \{[sHs^{-1}, tHt^{-1}] \mid s\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle \neq t\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle\}$ . By [13, Corollary 4.18], we get  $W := G/\langle T \rangle \in \mathcal{WR}(H, G/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle)$ .

Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow W$  be the quotient homomorphism, and define  $V = \pi(G_0) = G_0/T$ . If  $\rho : W \rightarrow G/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle$  is the quotient homomorphism, then  $V = \rho^{-1}(G_0/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle)$ . Thus, by [14, Lemma 2.8] we get  $V \in \mathcal{WR}(H^{(I)}, G/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle)$ , where  $I$  is a set of cardinality equal to  $[G : G_0]$ . Since  $[G : G_0] = [S \times \mathbb{Z} : S \times \{1\}] = \infty$ , we deduce that  $H^{(I)} \cong \mathbb{F}_n^{(\mathbb{N})}$ .

Since  $G_0$  sits in a short exact sequence  $\{e\} \rightarrow N \rightarrow G_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} S \rightarrow \{e\}$ , and  $N$  and  $S$  have property (T), we find that  $G_0$  has property (T). Therefore,  $V = \pi(G_0)$  has property (T). Since  $B = G/\langle\langle H \rangle\rangle$  is a torsion-free, ICC hyperbolic group and  $H \cong \mathbb{F}_n$ , it follows that  $V$  is a group with the desired properties, proving the main assertion.

Since the groups  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$  are  $n$ -generated, their direct sum  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty A_k$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{F}_n^{(\mathbb{N})}$ . The moreover assertion then follows from [14, Lemma 2.12].  $\square$

### 3. COCYCLE RIGIDITY

The goal of this section is to prove following theorem and its corollary needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G_1, G_2, G$  be countable groups such that  $G_1 \times G_2 \triangleleft G$  is a normal subgroup. Let  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  be a pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle, with  $H$  a hyperbolic group. Then there is a measurable partition  $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2 \sqcup Y$  such that  $X_i$  is  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant and  $c|(G_i \times X)$  is essentially trivial, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $Y$  is  $G$ -invariant and  $c|(G \times Y)$  is elementary.*

The statement of Theorem 3.1 is inspired by Popa and Vaes' powerful dichotomy theorem [41] for von Neumann algebras arising from actions of hyperbolic groups. While Theorem 3.1 can be proved using [41], we give a more elementary, ergodic-theoretic approach relying on results from [2, 3, 4, 29, 10].

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{WR}(A, B)$ , for countable groups  $A, B$ . Let  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  be an ergodic pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  be a cocycle, where  $H$  is a hyperbolic group. Then  $c$  is amenable or  $c|(A^{(B)} \times X)$  is essentially trivial.*

Corollary 3.2 will be deduced at the end of the section from Theorem 3.1.

In preparation for the proof of Theorem 3.1, we first establish some additional basic facts concerning the notions in Definition 2.1.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G < K, H$  be countable groups,  $K \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : K \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a  $G$ -invariant measurable set. Define  $Z = \cup_{k \in N_K(G)} kY$ .*

- (1) *If  $c|(G \times Y)$  is essentially trivial, then  $c|(G \times Z)$  is essentially trivial.*
- (2) *If  $H$  is hyperbolic and  $c|(G \times Y)$  is elementary, then  $c|(G \times Z)$  is elementary.*
- (3) *If  $c|(G \times Y)$  is amenable, then  $c|(G \times Z)$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a standard Borel space endowed with a Borel action of  $H$ . Let  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow S$  be a  $c|(G \times Y)$ -equivariant Borel map. For  $k \in K$ , put  $\varphi_k : k^{-1}Y \rightarrow S$  by  $\varphi_k(x) = c(k, x)^{-1}\varphi(kx)$ .

**Claim 1.**  *$\varphi_k$  is a  $c|(k^{-1}Gk \times k^{-1}Y)$ -equivariant Borel map.*

Indeed, for every  $g \in k^{-1}Gk$  and a.e.  $x \in k^{-1}Y$ , we have  $kx \in Y$  and  $kgk^{-1} \in G$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(gx) &= c(k, gx)^{-1}\varphi(kgk^{-1}kx) = c(k, gx)^{-1}c(kgk^{-1}, kx)\varphi(kx) \\ &= c(k, gx)^{-1}c(kgk^{-1}, kx)c(k, x)\varphi_k(x) \\ &= c(g, x)\varphi_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 gives that if  $k \in N_K(G)$ , then  $\varphi_k$  is  $c|(G \times k^{-1}Y)$ -equivariant. Thus, if  $c|(G \times Y)$  is essentially trivial (respectively, elementary), then so is  $c|(G \times k^{-1}Y)$ , for every  $k \in N_K(G)$ . This implies parts (1) and (2). If  $S = \mathcal{P}(H)$ , then the same calculation as in the proof of Claim 1 shows that  $\|\varphi_k(gx) - c(g, x)\varphi_k(x)\|_1 = \|\varphi(kgk^{-1}kx) - c(kgk^{-1}, kx)\varphi(kx)\|_1$ , for every  $g \in k^{-1}Gk$  and  $x \in k^{-1}Y$ . This gives that if  $c|(G \times Y)$  is amenable and  $k \in N_K(G)$ , then  $c|(G \times k^{-1}Y)$  is amenable, which implies part (3).  $\square$

Next, we clarify the relationship between elementary and amenable cocycles, see Corollary 3.5. To this end, we first prove the following result.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $G, H$  be countable groups,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  be an amenable cocycle. Let  $H \curvearrowright S$  be an action by homeomorphisms on a compact metric space  $S$ . Then there exists a  $c$ -equivariant Borel map  $\eta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ .*

The proof of this result is standard, but for lack of a reference, we include a detailed proof.

*Proof.* Since  $(X, \mu)$  is a standard probability space, we may assume that  $X$  is a compact metric space. Since  $c$  is an amenable cocycle, we can find a sequence of Borel maps  $\psi_n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\|\psi_n(gx) - c(g, x)\psi_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . Fix  $s \in S$  and define  $\pi : \mathcal{P}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$  by letting  $\pi(f) = \sum_{h \in H} f(h)\delta_{hs}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ . Then  $\pi$  is a Borel  $H$ -equivariant map and  $\|\pi(f) - \pi(g)\| = \|f - g\|_1$ , for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ . Thus,  $\eta_n = \pi \circ \psi_n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are Borel maps such that  $\|\eta_n(gx) - c(g, x)\eta_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : X \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a Borel function, then  $\int_S f(x, t) d\eta_n(x)(t) = \sum_{h \in H} f(x, hs)\psi_n(x)(h)$ , for every  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $X \ni x \mapsto \int_S f(x, t) d\eta_n(x)(t) \in \mathbb{C}$  is a Borel function, so we can define  $\rho_n \in \mathcal{P}(X \times S)$  by  $\rho_n := \int_X (\delta_x \otimes \eta_n(x)) d\mu(x)$ . Consider the Borel action  $G \curvearrowright X \times S$  given by  $g \cdot (x, t) = (gx, c(g, x)t)$ . Then  $g\rho_n = \int_X (\delta_x \otimes c(g, g^{-1}x)\eta_n(g^{-1}x)) d\mu(x)$ . In combination with the dominated convergence theorem this implies that

$$\|g\rho_n - \rho_n\| \leq \int_X \|c(g, g^{-1}x)\eta_n(g^{-1}x) - \eta_n(x)\| d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ for every } g \in G.$$

Therefore, any weak\*-limit point  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(X \times S)$  of  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $G$ -invariant. Moreover, let  $p : X \times S \rightarrow X$  be the projection  $p(x, s) = x$ . Then  $p_*\rho_n = \mu$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus  $p_*\rho = \mu$ . Thus, we find a Borel map  $\eta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$  such that  $\rho = \int_X (\delta_x \otimes \eta(x)) d\mu(x)$ . Since  $\eta$  is unique up to measure zero and  $g\rho = \int_X (\delta_x \otimes c(g, g^{-1}x)\eta(g^{-1}x)) d\mu(x)$ , we conclude that  $\eta(x) = c(g, g^{-1}x)\eta(g^{-1}x)$ , for all  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

An action of a countable group  $H$  on a compact space  $Y$  is called (topologically) *amenable* [7] if there is a sequence of continuous maps  $\eta_n : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that for every  $h \in H$  we have  $\sup_{x \in Y} \|\eta_n(hx) - h\eta_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Assume that  $H \curvearrowright Y$  is an amenable action. Then the action  $H \curvearrowright Y_0$  is amenable, for every closed  $H$ -invariant subset  $Y_0 \subset Y$ . Moreover, the action  $H \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}(Y)$  is also amenable, where  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  is endowed with the weak\*-topology, as witnessed by the maps  $\tilde{\eta}_n : \mathcal{P}(Y) \ni \mu \mapsto \int_Y \eta_n(y) d\mu(y) \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ .

By a result of Adams [2, Theorem 5.1] (see also [11, Theorem 5.3.15]), for any hyperbolic group  $H$ , the action  $H \curvearrowright \partial H$  is amenable. Since  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H) \subset \mathcal{P}(\partial H)$  is a closed  $H$ -invariant subset, we get that the actions  $H \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}(\partial H)$  and  $H \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$  are also amenable, for any hyperbolic group  $H$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $G, H$  be countable groups, with  $H$  hyperbolic,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle. Then  $c$  is amenable if and only if there is a  $G$ -invariant measurable set  $Y \subset X$  with  $c|(G \times Y)$  elementary and  $c|(G \times (X \setminus Y))$  essentially trivial.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $c$  is amenable. By Lemma 3.4, there is a  $c$ -equivariant Borel map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\partial H)$ . The conclusion follows from [38, Lemma 3.2]; for completeness, we include the argument here. Let  $Y = \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)\}$ . Then  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant measurable set and  $c|(G \times Y)$  is elementary. Since  $H$  is hyperbolic, there is a  $H$ -equivariant Borel map  $\pi : \mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}(\partial H) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$  (see [4, Corollary 5.3]). Since  $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}(\partial H)$ , for every  $x \in X \setminus Y$ , the composition  $\pi \circ \varphi$  witnesses that  $c|(G \times (X \setminus Y))$  is essentially trivial.

Since any essentially trivial cocycle is amenable (see Proposition 2.2(1)), in order to prove the converse, it remains to argue that any elementary cocycle is amenable. Assume that  $c$  is elementary and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$  be a Borel  $c$ -equivariant map. Since the action  $H \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$  is amenable, there is a sequence of continuous maps  $\eta_n : \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$



such that  $\|\eta_n(hy) - h\eta_n(y)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $h \in H$  and  $y \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$ . The Borel maps  $\psi_n := \eta_n \circ \varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  then satisfy  $\|\psi_n(gx) - c(g, x)\psi_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . In other words,  $c$  is amenable.  $\square$

We next show that elementarity of cocycles passes to normalizers. This relies on ideas of Adams (see [3, Section 2]) and their refinement by Hjorth and Kechris (see [29, Theorem 2.2]).

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $G < K, H$  be countable groups, with  $H$  hyperbolic,  $K \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : K \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle. Assume that  $c|(G \times X)$  is elementary and  $c|(G \times Y)$  is not essentially trivial, for every non-negligible  $G$ -invariant measurable set  $Y \subset X$ . Then  $c|(N_K(G) \times X)$  is elementary.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all  $c$ -equivariant Borel maps  $\eta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\partial H)$ . Since  $c|(G \times X)$  is elementary,  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ . We claim that for every  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\eta(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$ , for a.e.  $x \in X$ . Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}$  and put  $Y = \{x \in X \mid \eta(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}(\partial H)\}$ . Then  $Y$  is a  $G$ -invariant measurable set. Since  $H$  is hyperbolic, there is an  $H$ -equivariant Borel map  $\pi : \mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}(\partial H) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$  (see [4, Corollary 5.3]). Then the Borel map  $\varphi =: \pi \circ \eta : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$  is  $c$ -equivariant. Hence  $c|(G \times Y)$  is essentially trivial and therefore  $\mu(Y) = 0$ , which proves our claim.

By applying [29, Proposition B4.1] (which goes back to work of Adams and Zimmer, see, e.g., [3, Lemma 2.6]) we find  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}$  such that for every  $\eta' \in \mathcal{S}$  we have that  $\text{supp}(\eta'(x)) \subset \text{supp}(\eta(x))$ , for a.e.  $x \in X$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $\eta(x)$  assigns equal weights to the elements of its support, for every  $x \in X$ . We claim that

$$(3.1) \quad \eta(kx) = c(k, x)\eta(x), \text{ for every } k \in N_K(G) \text{ and a.e. } x \in X.$$

To prove the claim, let  $k \in N_K(G)$  and define  $\eta_k : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq 2}(\partial H)$  by  $\eta_k(x) = c(k, x)^{-1}\eta(kx)$ . Claim 1 from the proof of Lemma 3.3 shows that  $\eta_k \in \mathcal{S}$ . By the defining property of  $\eta$ ,  $\text{supp}(\eta_k(x)) \subset \text{supp}(\eta(x))$ , for a.e.  $x \in X$ . Putting  $s(x) = |\text{supp}(\eta(x))| \in \{1, 2\}$ , we get  $s(kx) \leq s(x)$ , for a.e.  $x \in X$ . Since  $\int_X (s(x) - s(kx)) d\mu(x) = 0$ , we deduce that  $s(kx) = s(x)$ , for a.e.  $x \in X$ . Thus  $|\text{supp}(\eta_k(x))| = |\text{supp}(\eta(x))|$ , hence  $\text{supp}(\eta_k(x)) = \text{supp}(\eta(x))$  and  $\eta_k(x) = \eta(x)$ , for a.e.  $x \in X$ . This proves (3.1) which implies the conclusion.  $\square$

Finally, to prove Theorem 3.1, we will need a straightforward generalization of [10, Theorem 4.1]. Following [10], we say that a countable group  $G$  has *property (S)* if there exists a map  $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta(gxh) - g\eta(x)\|_1 = 0$ , for every  $g, h \in G$ . We note that a group belongs to Ozawa's class  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if it is exact and has property (S), see [11, Definition 15.1.2].

**Theorem 3.7** (Brothier-Deprez-Vaes, [10]). *Let  $G_1, G_2, H$  be countable groups,  $G_1 \times G_2 \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  a pmp action and  $c : (G_1 \times G_2) \times X \rightarrow H$  a cocycle. Assume that  $H$  has property (S). Then there is a partition  $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$  into measurable,  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant sets such that*

- (1)  $c|(G_1 \times X_1)$  is amenable.
- (2)  $c|(G_2 \times X_2)$  is essentially trivial.

*Proof.* By using a maximality argument and Lemma 3.3, in order to prove the conclusion, it suffices to show that if  $Y \subset X$  is a non-negligible  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant measurable set, then either  $c|(G_1 \times Y)$  is amenable or  $c|(G_2 \times Z)$  is essentially trivial, for a non-negligible  $G_2$ -invariant set  $Z \subset Y$ .

This assertion follows from the proof of [10, Theorem 4.1]. We sketch the argument, leaving the details to the reader. Denoting  $\omega = c|(G_1 \times G_2 \times Y)$ , we have that either

- (1) There exists no sequence  $g_n \in G_2$  such that  $\omega(g_n, \cdot) \rightarrow \infty$  in measure.
- (2) There exists a sequence  $g_n \in G_2$  such that  $\omega(g_n, \cdot) \rightarrow \infty$  in measure.

In case (1), the proof of [10, Theorem 4.1] provides a Borel map  $\xi : Y \rightarrow \ell^2(H)$  which is not zero a.e. and satisfies  $\xi(gx) = \omega(g, x)\xi(x)$ , for every  $g \in G_2$  and a.e.  $x \in Y$ . Let  $Z = \{x \in Y \mid \xi(x) \neq 0\}$ . For  $x \in Z$ , let  $\varphi(x) = \{h \in H \mid \xi(x)(h) = \|\xi(x)\|_\infty\}$ . Then  $Z$  is non-negligible and  $G_2$ -invariant. Moreover,  $\varphi(x) \subset H$  is finite and  $\varphi(g_2x) = \omega(g_2, x)\varphi(x)$ , for all  $g_2 \in G_2$  and a.e.  $x \in Z$ , and thus  $c|(G_2 \times Z) = \omega|(G_2 \times Z)$  is essentially trivial.

In case (2), the proof of [10, Theorem 4.1] shows the existence of a sequence of measurable functions  $\psi_n : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that the sequence of functions  $\|\psi_n(gx) - \omega(g, x)\psi_n(x)\|_1$  converges to zero in measure, for every  $g \in G_1$ . After replacing  $(\psi_n)$  with a subsequence we can assume that  $\|\psi_n(gx) - \omega(g, x)\psi_n(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in G_1$  and a.e.  $x \in Y$ . This shows that  $c|(G_1 \times Y) = \omega|(G_1 \times Y)$  is amenable, which finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Since every hyperbolic group  $H$  has property (S) (see [11, Proposition 15.2.3 and Example 15.2.5]), Theorem 3.7 gives a partition  $X = Y_0 \sqcup Y_2$  into measurable,  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant sets such that  $c|(G_1 \times Y_0)$  is amenable and  $c|(G_2 \times Y_2)$  is essentially trivial. By Lemma 3.3, there is a measurable  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant subset  $Y_1 \subset Y_0$  such that  $c|(G_1 \times Y_1)$  is essentially trivial and  $c|(G_1 \times Z)$  is not essentially trivial, for any measurable  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant non-null subset  $Z$  of  $V := Y_0 \setminus Y_1$ .

Letting  $Y = \cup_{g \in G} gV$ , we will argue that  $c|(G \times Y)$  is elementary. Since  $c|(G_1 \times V)$  is amenable, and  $c|(G_1 \times Z)$  is not essentially trivial, for any measurable  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant non-null subset  $Z \subset V$ , Corollary 3.5 implies that  $c|(G_1 \times V)$  is elementary. Further, Proposition 3.6 gives that  $c|(G_1 \times G_2 \times V)$  is elementary. Since  $G_1 \times G_2$  is normal in  $G$ , Lemma 3.3 implies that  $c|(G_1 \times G_2 \times Y)$  is elementary and  $c|(G_1 \times G_2 \times W)$  is not essentially trivial, for any non-null measurable  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -invariant set  $W \subset Y$ . By applying Proposition 3.6 again we get that  $c|(G \times Y)$  is amenable. If  $W \subset Y$  is a non-null measurable  $G$ -invariant set, then  $c|(G_1 \times G_2 \times W)$  and thus  $c|(G \times W)$  is not essentially trivial. Lemma 3.5 implies that  $c|(G \times Y)$  is elementary.

Finally, since  $X = Y_0 \cup Y_2 = V \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2$  and  $V \subset Y$ , we have that  $X = Y \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2$ . Letting  $X_1 = Y_1 \setminus Y$  and  $X_2 = Y_2 \setminus Y$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 3.2.* Recall that  $G \in \mathcal{WR}(A, B)$  means we have a short exact sequence  $\{e\} \mapsto A^{(B)} \mapsto G \mapsto B \mapsto \{e\}$  such that if  $\pi : G \rightarrow B$  is the quotient homomorphism, then  $g(A)_b g^{-1} = (A)_{\varepsilon(g)b}$ , for every  $g \in G$  and  $b \in B$ .

Assuming that  $c$  is not amenable, we will prove that  $c|(A^{(B)} \times X)$  is essentially trivial.

We first claim that  $c|(A_e \times X)$  is essentially trivial. Since  $c$  is not amenable,  $c$  is not elementary by Proposition 3.5. Since the action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is ergodic, Theorem 3.1 gives a partition into measurable  $A^{(B)}$ -invariant sets  $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$  such that  $c|(A_e \times X_1)$  is essentially trivial and  $c|(A^{(B \setminus \{e\})} \times X_2)$  is essentially trivial. If  $g \in G$ , then  $gA^{(B \setminus \{e\})}g^{-1} = A^{(B \setminus \{\pi(g)\})}$  and therefore Claim (1) implies that  $c|(A^{(B \setminus \{\pi(g)\})} \times gX_2)$  is essentially trivial. If  $g \in G \setminus A^{(B)}$ , then  $A_e \subset A^{(B \setminus \{\pi(g)\})}$  and hence  $c|(A_e \times gX_2)$  is essentially trivial. Since  $X_2$  is  $A^{(B)}$ -invariant,  $Y = \cup_{g \in G \setminus A^{(B)}} gX_2$  is  $G$ -invariant and  $c|(A_e \times Y)$  is essentially trivial. Since the action

$G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is ergodic,  $\mu(Y) \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $\mu(Y) = 1$ , then  $c|(A_e \times X)$  is essentially trivial. If  $\mu(Y) = 0$ , then  $\mu(X_2) = 0$  and hence  $\mu(X_1) = 1$  and  $c|(A_e \times X)$  is again essentially trivial.

Now, if  $b \in B$ , then  $gA_eg^{-1} = A_b$ , for any  $g \in \pi^{-1}(\{b\})$ . This implies that  $c|(A_b \times X)$  is essentially trivial, for every  $b \in B$ . Applying Lemma 3.8 below gives that  $c|(A^{(F)} \times X)$  is essentially trivial, for every finite set  $F \subset B$ . Writing  $A^{(B)} = \cup_n A^{(F_n)}$ , where  $(F_n) \subset B$  is an increasing sequence of finite subsets with  $\cup_n F_n = B$ , and using Proposition 2.2, it follows that  $c|(A^{(B)} \times X)$  is amenable.

By Lemma 3.3, since  $A^{(B)} \triangleleft G$  and the action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is ergodic, either  $c|(A^{(B)} \times X)$  is essentially trivial, or  $c|(A^{(B)} \times Z)$  is not essentially trivial, for any non-null measurable  $A^{(B)}$ -invariant set  $Z \subset X$ . In the latter case, Corollary 3.5 implies that  $c|(A^{(B)} \times X)$  is elementary. Proposition 3.6 further gives that  $c|(G \times X)$  is elementary, which contradicts our assumption and finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $G_1, G_2 < G$  and  $H$  be countable group such that  $G_2 \subset N_G(G_1)$ . Let  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  be a pmp action and  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  be a cocycle such that  $c|(G_1 \times X)$  and  $c|(G_2 \times X)$  are essentially trivial. Then  $c|(G_1 G_2 \times X)$  is essentially trivial.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that given a non-null  $G_1 G_2$ -invariant measurable subset  $Y \subset X$ , there is a non-null  $G_1 G_2$ -invariant measurable subset  $Z \subset Y$  such that  $c|(G_1 G_2 \times X)$  is essentially trivial. Since  $c|(G_i \times Y)$  is essentially trivial, we can find  $F_i \subset H$  finite such that

$$\mu(\{x \in Y \mid c(g, x) \in F_i\}) > \frac{2\mu(Y)}{3}, \text{ for all } g \in G_1 \text{ and } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Using this fact and the cocycle identity and denoting  $F = F_1 F_2$  it is immediate that

$$\mu(\{x \in Y \mid c(g, x) \in F\}) > \frac{\mu(Y)}{3}, \text{ for all } g \in G_1 G_2.$$

We now proceed as in the proof of [10, Theorem 4.1]. Consider the unitary representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(X \times H))$  given by  $(\pi(g)^* \xi)(x, h) = \xi(gx, c(g, x)h)$ , for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\xi \in L^2(X \times H)$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $h \in H$ . Then for every  $g \in G_1 G_2$  we have that

$$\langle \pi(g)^*(1_Y \otimes 1_F), 1_Y \otimes 1_{\{e\}} \rangle = \mu(\{y \in Y \mid c(g, y) \in F\}) > \frac{\mu(Y)}{3}, \text{ for every } g \in G_1 G_2.$$

From this it follows that the element  $\eta \in L^2(X \times H)$  of minimal  $\|\cdot\|_2$  in the convex hull of  $\{\pi(g)^*(1_Y \otimes 1_F) \mid g \in G_1 G_2\}$  is non-zero and  $\pi(G)$ -invariant. Thus, we get a Borel map  $\zeta : X \rightarrow \ell^2(H)$  such that  $\zeta(gx) = c(g, x)\zeta(x)$ , for all  $g \in G_1 G_2$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ . Let  $Z = \{x \in Y \mid \zeta(x) \neq 0\}$ . For  $x \in Z$ , let  $\varphi(x) = \{h \in H \mid \zeta(x)(h) = \|\zeta(x)\|_\infty\}$ . Then  $Z$  is non-null and  $G_1 G_2$ -invariant. Moreover,  $\varphi(x) \subset H$  is finite and  $\varphi(gx) = c(g, x)\varphi(x)$ , for all  $g \in G_1 G_2$  and a.e.  $x \in Z$ . Thus,  $c|(G_1 G_2 \times Z)$  is essentially trivial, as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. AN EXTENSION OF RESULTS OF MONOD-SHALOM

The goal of this section is to extend certain results of Monod and Shalom from [39] to infinite direct sums and to ICC groups in class  $\mathcal{C}$ . A countable group  $\Gamma$  belongs to class  $\mathcal{C}$  [39, Definition 2.15] if it admits a mixing unitary representation  $\pi$  such that  $H_b^2(\Gamma, \pi) \neq 0$ . The following ‘‘cocycle reduction lemma’’ allows us to extend the results in [39] so that they apply not just to torsion-free groups in  $\mathcal{C}$ , but, more generally (since by [39, Proposition 7.11] any torsion-free group in  $\mathcal{C}$  is ICC), to all ICC groups in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be countable groups with normal subgroups  $M \triangleleft G$  and  $N \triangleleft H$ . Let  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  be a pmp action and let  $H \curvearrowright Z$  be a smooth Borel action. Assume that:*

- (1) *we have an ME cocycle  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$ , along with a Borel map  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  with  $f(g \cdot x) = c(g, x) \cdot f(x)$ , for every  $g \in M$  and almost every  $x \in X$ ,*
- (2) *for all  $z \in Z$ , the projection of the stabilizer  $H_z$  of  $z \in Z$  to  $H/N$  is finite,*
- (3)  *$H/N$  has no nontrivial finite invariant random subgroups.*

*Then there exists a Borel map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow H$  such that  $\varphi(g \cdot x)c(g, x)\varphi(x)^{-1} \in N$ , for every  $g \in M$  and almost every  $x \in X$ .*

This result holds more generally for locally compact second countable groups (with “finite” in items (2) and (3) replaced by “compact”), but we state it in the case of countable groups, since this is the only case needed later on. An *invariant random subgroup (IRS)* [1] of a countable group  $H$  is a conjugation-invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on the compact space  $\text{Sub}_H$  of subgroups of  $H$ . An IRS  $\mu$  of  $H$  is *finite* if  $\mu$ -a.e.  $H_0 \in \text{Sub}_H$  is finite, and *trivial* if it is supported on the trivial subgroup of  $H$ . We note that the assumption that  $H/N$  has no nontrivial finite IRS is rather mild, and holds for instance if  $H/N$  has trivial amenable radical [8] or is ICC.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_G$  and  $\sigma_H$  be the counting measures on  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Consider the action  $G \times H \curvearrowright (X \times H, \mu \times \sigma_H)$  given by  $g(x, h_0) = (g \cdot x, c(g, x)h_0)$  and  $h(x, h_0) = (x, h_0h^{-1})$ , for  $g \in G, h \in H$ . Since  $c$  is an ME-cocycle, there exist a pmp action  $H \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ , a cocycle  $d : H \times Y \rightarrow G$ , and a measure preserving isomorphism  $\Phi : (Y \times G, \nu \times \sigma_G) \rightarrow (X \times H, \mu \times \sigma_H)$  that is  $(G \times H)$ -equivariant, where  $Y \times G$  is equipped with the  $(G \times H)$ -action given by  $g(y, g_0) = (y, g_0g^{-1})$  and  $h(y, g_0) = (h \cdot y, d(h, y)g_0)$ , for  $g \in G, h \in H$ .

For  $y \in Y$ , let  $p(y) \in X$  and  $\rho(y) \in H$  be such that  $\Phi(y, e) = (p(y), \rho(y))$ . Then for each  $h \in H$  and  $y \in Y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p(h \cdot y), \rho(h \cdot y)h) &= h^{-1}\Phi(h \cdot y, e) \\ &= \Phi(y, d(h, y)^{-1}) \\ &= d(h, y)\Phi(y, e) \\ &= (d(h, y) \cdot p(y), c(d(h, y), p(y))\rho(y)), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $p(h \cdot y) = d(h, y)p(y)$  and  $c(d(h, y), p(y)) = \rho(h \cdot y)h\rho(y)^{-1}$ .

Get  $\pi : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (W, \zeta)$  be the ergodic decomposition map for the action  $M \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Since  $M$  is normal in  $G$ , the action of  $G$  on  $(X, \mu)$  descends through  $\pi$  to a pmp action of  $G$  on  $(W, \zeta)$ . Applying Zimmer’s cocycle reduction lemma [44, Lemma 5.2.11] measurably across the  $M$ -ergodic components on  $(X, \mu)$ , we obtain measurable maps  $\theta : W \rightarrow Z$  and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow H$  such that  $c^\varphi(g, x) := \varphi(g \cdot x)c(g, x)\varphi(x)^{-1} \in H_{\theta(\pi(y))}$  for all  $g \in M, x \in X$ . Let  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  denote the projection map  $H \rightarrow H/N$  so that  $\bar{H} = H/N$ . For a map  $\chi$  taking values in  $H$ , denote by  $\bar{\chi}$  its composition with the projection to  $\bar{H}$ , and for a subgroup  $H_0 < H$  denote  $\bar{H}_0 = \{\bar{h} \mid h \in H_0\}$ . For  $w \in W$ , let  $\bar{c}_w^\varphi : M \times \pi^{-1}(w) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{\theta(w)}$  denote the restriction of  $\bar{c}^\varphi$  to  $M \times \pi^{-1}(w)$ . Since the groups  $\bar{H}_{\theta(w)}$  are finite, by conjugating  $c^\varphi$  further if necessary we may assume without loss of generality that for almost every  $w \in W$  the cocycle  $\bar{c}_w^\varphi$  is minimal, i.e., it takes values in a subgroup  $K_w < \bar{H}_{\theta(w)}$  and is not cohomologous to a cocycle taking values in a proper subgroup of  $K_w$ .

Our next goal is to use the subgroups  $(K_w)_{w \in W}$  to construct a finite IRS of  $\bar{H}$ . Let  $\sigma_{\bar{H}}$  be the counting measure of  $\bar{H}$ . Consider the action  $G \curvearrowright (X \times \bar{H}, \mu \times \sigma_{\bar{H}})$  given by  $g(x, \bar{h}) = (g \cdot x, \bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)\bar{h})$ . Then an ergodic decomposition for the restriction of this action to  $M$  is obtained as follows: for almost every  $w \in W$  and each  $\bar{h} \in \bar{H}$ , since the cocycle  $\bar{c}_w^\varphi$  is minimal, the action of  $M$  on  $(\pi^{-1}(w) \times K_w \bar{h}, \mu_w \times \sigma_{K_w \bar{h}})$  is ergodic, where  $\mu_w$  is the measure on  $\pi^{-1}(w)$  coming from the disintegration of  $\mu$  over  $\pi$ , and  $\sigma_{K_w \bar{h}}$  is the right translation by  $\bar{h}$  of the counting measure  $\sigma_{K_w}$  of  $K_w$ . Let  $\nu_w$  be the pushforward of  $\sigma_{\bar{H}}$  to the right coset space  $K_w \backslash \bar{H}$  under the map  $\bar{h} \mapsto K_w \bar{h}^{-1}$ . Then  $\sigma_{\bar{H}} = \int_{K_w \backslash \bar{H}} \sigma_{K_w \bar{h}} d\nu_w(K_w \bar{h})$ . Hence,

$$\mu \times \sigma_{\bar{H}} = \int_W \int_{K_w \backslash \bar{H}} (\mu_w \times \sigma_{K_w \bar{h}}) d\nu_w(K_w \bar{h}) d\zeta(w)$$

which exhibits an ergodic decomposition for the action of  $M$  on  $X \times \bar{H}$ .

Since  $M$  is normal in  $G$ , the action of  $G$  on  $X \times \bar{H}$  permutes the  $M$ -ergodic components. Therefore, for every  $g \in G$ , for almost every  $w \in W$  and  $\bar{h}_0 \in \bar{H}$  there is some  $\bar{h} \in \bar{H}$  (depending on  $g$ ,  $w$ , and  $\bar{h}_0$ ) such that the measures  $g_*(\mu_w \times \sigma_{K_w \bar{h}_0})$  and  $\mu_{g \cdot w} \times \sigma_{K_{g \cdot w} \bar{h}}$  are equivalent. Thus, for  $\mu_w$ -a.e.  $x \in \pi^{-1}(w)$  the measures  $\bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)_* \sigma_{K_w \bar{h}_0}$  and  $\sigma_{K_{g \cdot w} \bar{h}}$  have the same support, i.e.,  $\bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)K_w \bar{h}_0 = K_{g \cdot w} \bar{h}$ . For such  $x$  we have  $\bar{c}^\varphi(g, x) \in K_{g \cdot w} \bar{h} \bar{h}_0^{-1}$ , so  $\bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)K_w = K_{g \cdot w} \bar{h} \bar{h}_0^{-1} = K_{g \cdot w} \bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)$  and hence

$$(4.1) \quad K_{\pi(g \cdot x)} = \bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)K_{\pi(x)}\bar{c}^\varphi(g, x)^{-1}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in X.$$

Letting  $C_x := \varphi(x)^{-1}K_{\pi(x)}\varphi(x)$ , we then have  $C_{g \cdot x} = c(g, x)C_x c(g, x)^{-1}$  for every  $g \in G$  and almost every  $x \in X$ . For  $y \in Y$ , define  $C^y := \rho(y)^{-1}C_{p(y)}\rho(y)$ . Since  $p(h \cdot y) = d(h, y)p(y)$  and  $c(d(h, y), p(y)) = \rho(h \cdot y)h\rho(y)^{-1}$ , for every  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^{h \cdot y} &= \rho(h \cdot y)^{-1}C_{p(h \cdot y)}\rho(h \cdot y) = \rho(h \cdot y)^{-1}C_{d(h, y)p(y)}\rho(h \cdot y) \\ &= \rho(h \cdot y)^{-1}c(d(h, y), p(y))C_{p(y)}c(d(h, y), p(y))^{-1}\rho(h \cdot y) \\ &= h\rho(y)^{-1}C_{p(y)}\rho(y)h^{-1} \\ &= hC^y h^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the image of  $\nu$  under  $y \mapsto C^y$  is a finite IRS of  $\bar{H}$ . Hence  $C^y$  is trivial almost surely since by assumption  $\bar{H}$  has no nontrivial finite IRS. This implies that  $K_{\pi(p(y))}$  is trivial for a.e.  $y \in Y$ . Since  $G \cdot \Phi(Y \times \{e\}) = \Phi(G \cdot (Y \times \{e\})) = \Phi(Y \times G) = X \times H$  and  $G \cdot \Phi(Y \times \{e\}) \subset G \cdot (p(Y) \times H) \subset G \cdot p(Y) \times H$ , we get that  $G \cdot p(Y) \subset X$  is  $\mu$ -conull. By combining the last two facts with (4.1) we further deduce that  $K_{\pi(x)}$  is trivial for a.e.  $x \in X$ .

Hence  $K_w$  is trivial for a.e.  $w \in W$ . Thus,  $\varphi(g \cdot x)c(g, x)\varphi(x)^{-1} \in N$ , for every  $g \in M$  and almost every  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

In what follows, given a direct sum  $H = \bigoplus_{j \in J} H_j$  and  $j_0 \in J$ , we write  $\hat{H}_{j_0}$  for  $\bigoplus_{j \neq j_0} H_j$ . In the case where  $J$  is finite and  $G/M$  is assumed torsion-free, the following is shown in [39, Proposition 5.1]. We extend this result to the case  $J$  is countable (i.e., either finite or countably infinite) and  $G/M$  is only assumed ICC.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $(\Omega, m)$  be an ME-coupling of countable groups  $G$  and  $H = \bigoplus_{j \in J} H_j$ . Suppose that  $M$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/M$  is ICC and belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist  $j_0 \in J$  and a  $G$ -fundamental domain  $Y \subset \Omega$  such that  $\hat{H}_{j_0}Y \subset MY$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p : G \rightarrow G/M$  be the quotient homomorphism and  $\pi : G/M \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  be a mixing unitary representation with  $H_b^2(G/M, \pi) \neq 0$ . Fix measurable fundamental domains  $Y_0, X_0 \subset \Omega$  for  $G, H$  respectively. After possibly replacing  $Y_0$  by  $gY_0$ , for some  $g \in G$ , we may assume that  $Y_0 \cap X_0$  is non-negligible. Let  $\mu = m(X_0)^{-1}m|_{X_0}$  and  $G \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu)$  be the associated pmp action of  $G$  given by  $\{g \cdot x\} = Gx \cap X_0$ , and likewise denote the pmp action  $H \curvearrowright (Y_0, \nu)$  by  $h \cdot y$ . Let  $c : H \times Y_0 \rightarrow G$  be the associated ME-cocycle given by  $c(h, y)hy = h \cdot y$ .

Assume first that  $J$  is finite. If  $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ p : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $H_b^2(\Gamma, \tilde{\pi}) \neq 0$  by [39, Corollary 3.6]. Arguing as in the first part of the proof of [39, Proposition 5.1], we find some  $j_0 \in J$  and a nonzero measurable function  $f : Y_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(h \cdot y) = \tilde{\pi}(c(h, y))f(y)$  for all  $h \in \hat{H}_{j_0}$  and almost every  $y \in Y_0$ . Since  $\pi$  is mixing,  $\tilde{\pi}$  is smooth. Since  $G/M$  is ICC, we can apply Lemma 4.1 to obtain a Borel map  $\varphi : Y_0 \rightarrow G$  with  $\varphi(h \cdot y)c(h, y)\varphi(y)^{-1} \in M$  for all  $h \in \hat{H}_{j_0}$  and almost every  $y \in Y_0$ . Then  $Y := \{\varphi(y)y \mid y \in Y_0\}$  satisfies the conclusion. Indeed, for every  $y \in \hat{H}_{j_0}$  and almost every  $y \in Y_0$ , we have

$$h(\varphi(y)y) = \varphi(y)hy = (\varphi(h \cdot y)c(h, y)\varphi(y)^{-1})^{-1}\varphi(h \cdot y)(h \cdot y) \in MY.$$

Moreover, for further reference, we note that the cocycle  $(p \circ c)|_{\hat{H}_{j_0} \times Y_0}$  is cohomologous to the trivial homomorphism  $\hat{H}_{j_0} \rightarrow G/M$ , hence amenable.

Assume now that  $J$  is infinite. For a subset  $I$  of  $J$ , we denote by  $H_{(I)}$  the subgroup of  $H$  comprising of elements whose projection to each coordinate outside of  $I$  is the identity. We claim that there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $J$  such that the cocycle  $(p \circ c)|_{H_{(F)} \times Y_0}$  is not amenable. Otherwise, Proposition 2.2(2) implies that the cocycle  $p \circ c : H \times Y_0 \rightarrow G/M$  is amenable. By Proposition 2.2(6), this gives that  $G/M$  is amenable, which is a contradiction.

If  $F \subset J$  finite is such that the cocycle  $(p \circ c)|_{H_{(F)} \times Y_0}$  is not amenable, the conclusion follows by applying the finite case to the finite direct sum decomposition  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{(J \setminus F)} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in F} \Lambda_j$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(\Omega, m)$  be an ME-coupling of countable groups  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i$  and  $H = \bigoplus_{j \in J} H_j$  with  $I$  and  $J$  countable. Assume that each  $G_i$  is an ICC group in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there exists a map  $t : I \rightarrow J$  such that for each finite subset  $F$  of  $I$  there exists a fundamental domain  $Y_F \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $G$  such that  $\hat{H}_{t(i)}Y_F \subset \hat{G}_iY_F$  for all  $i \in F$ . Such a map  $t$  is surjective provided that either (1)  $I$  is finite and each  $H_j$  is infinite, or (2)  $I$  is infinite and each  $H_j$  is nonamenable.*

*Moreover, if each  $H_j$  is an ICC group in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ , then there exists a unique such map  $t$ , which is bijective, and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $J$  there exists a fundamental domain  $X_Q \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $H$  such that  $\hat{G}_{t^{-1}(j)}X_Q \subset \hat{H}_jX_Q$  for every  $j \in Q$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of a not necessarily surjective map  $t : I \rightarrow J$  with the stated property follows from Proposition 4.2 and the inductive step in the proof of [39, Proposition 5.1]. The fact that  $t$  is surjective under assumption (1) follows exactly as in the proof of surjectivity in [39, Proposition 5.1] (which requires the assumption that each  $H_j$  is infinite).

Suppose that (2) holds, and assume the notation from the proof of Proposition 4.2. Since  $H_j$  is nonamenable and  $c$  is an ME-cocycle, the cocycle  $c|(H_j \times Y_0)$  is not amenable by Proposition 2.2(4). Proposition 2.2(4) further provides a finite set  $F \subset I$  such that the cocycle  $q \circ c : H_j \times Y_0 \rightarrow G_{(F)}$  is not amenable, where  $q : G \rightarrow G_{(F)}$  is the quotient homomorphism.

We claim that  $j \in t(F)$ . Otherwise, we have

$$\Lambda_j Y_F \subset \bigcap_{i \in F} \widehat{H}_{t(i)} Y_F \subset \bigcap_{i \in F} \widehat{G}_i Y_F = G_{(I \setminus F)} Y_F,$$

so there exists a measurable map  $\varphi : Y_0 \rightarrow G$  such that  $\varphi(h \cdot y)c(h, y)\varphi(y)^{-1} \in G_{(I \setminus F)}$  for all  $h \in H_j$  and a.e.  $y \in Y_0$ . Hence  $q(\varphi(h \cdot y))q(c(h, y))q(\varphi(y)^{-1}) = 0$ , for all  $h \in H_j$  and a.e.  $y \in Y_0$ . This shows that the cocycle  $q \circ \beta : H_j \times Y_0 \rightarrow G_{(F)}$  is essentially trivial, which is a contradiction. The map  $t$  is therefore surjective.

The proof of the last statement of the proposition is similar to the second paragraph of the proof of [39, Theorem 1.16], and does not depend on the surjectivity that we already proved above. Assume that each  $H_j$  is an ICC group in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then we can find a map  $s : J \rightarrow I$  such that for each finite  $Q \subset J$  there is a fundamental domain  $X_Q \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $H$  with  $\widehat{G}_{s(j)} X_Q \subset \widehat{H}_j X_Q$  for all  $j \in Q$ .

We claim that  $t(s(j)) = j$ , for every  $j \in J$ . Given  $j \in J$ , we find fundamental domains  $X, Y \subset \Omega$  for the actions of  $H, G$ , respectively, with  $\widehat{G}_{s(j)} X \subset \widehat{H}_j X$  and  $\widehat{H}_{t(s(j))} Y \subset \widehat{G}_{s(j)} Y$ . By translating  $Y$  by an element of  $G$  if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that the intersection  $A = X \cap Y$  is non-negligible. To show that  $t(s(j)) = j$ , it is enough to show that each infinite subgroup  $K < \widehat{H}_{t(s(j))}$  has nontrivial intersection with  $\widehat{H}_j$ . Indeed, this implies that  $H_j$  is not contained in  $\widehat{H}_{t(s(j))}$  and hence  $t(s(j)) = j$ .

Given an infinite subgroup  $K$  of  $\widehat{H}_{t(s(j))}$ , by Poincaré recurrence there is some nontrivial  $h \in K$  such that  $h \cdot A \cap A$  has positive measure, and hence there is some  $g \in G$  such that  $hA \cap gA$  has positive measure. Then

$$hA \cap gA \subset \widehat{H}_{t(s(j))} Y \cap gY \subset \widehat{G}_{s(j)} Y \cap gY,$$

and since  $Y$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  it must be that  $g \in \widehat{G}_{s(j)}$ . Therefore,

$$hA \cap gA \subset hX \cap \widehat{G}_{s(j)} X \subset hX \cap \widehat{H}_j X.$$

As  $X$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $H$  it must be that  $h \in \widehat{H}_j$ , so  $K \cap \widehat{H}_j$  is nontrivial, as claimed.

We have shown that  $t(s(j)) = j$  for all  $j \in J$ . Switching the roles of  $G$  and  $H$  we obtain  $s(t(i)) = i$  for all  $i \in I$ , so that  $t$  is invertible with inverse  $s$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose  $G_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $H_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , are ICC groups in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ , where  $I, J$  are countable. If  $G := \bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i$  and  $H := \bigoplus_{j \in J} H_j$  are measure equivalent then  $|I| = |J|$  and there exists a bijection  $t : I \rightarrow J$  such that  $G_i$  is measure equivalent with  $H_{t(i)}$  for all  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\Omega, m)$  be an ME-coupling of  $G$  and  $H$ . Let  $t : I \rightarrow J$  be as in Proposition 4.3. By that Proposition,  $t$  is bijective (hence  $|I| = |J|$ ), and for each  $i \in I$  we can find fundamental domains  $Y_i, X_i \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $G, H$ , respectively, such that  $\widehat{H}_{t(i)} Y_i \subset \widehat{G}_i Y_i$  and  $\widehat{G}_i X_i \subset \widehat{H}_{t(i)} X_i$ . Then  $G_i \cong G/\widehat{G}_i$  and  $H_{t(i)} \cong H/\widehat{H}_{t(i)}$  are measure equivalent by Proposition 4.5(2) below.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be countable groups, let  $M \triangleleft G$  and  $N \triangleleft H$  be normal subgroups, and let  $\bar{G} = G/M$  and  $\bar{H} = H/N$ . Let  $(\Omega, m)$  be an ergodic ME-coupling of  $G$*

and  $H$ , and suppose that there exist finite measure fundamental domains  $Y, X \subset \Omega$  for the actions of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively, which satisfy  $NY \subset MY$  and  $MX \subset NX$ . Then

- (1) [36] The groups  $M$  and  $N$  are measure equivalent. Moreover, after replacing  $Y$  by its translate by some element of  $G$ , the action of  $M \times N$  on  $(MY \cap NX, m_{|MY \cap NX})$  is an ME-coupling of  $M$  and  $N$ .
- (2) [39] The groups  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{H}$  are measure equivalent. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all  $(M \times N)$ -invariant measurable subsets of  $\Omega$ , then up to rescaling by a constant there is a unique  $(\bar{G} \times \bar{H})$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  that is equivalent to  $m_{|\mathcal{A}}$ , and the action of  $\bar{G} \times \bar{H}$  on  $(\mathcal{A}, \nu)$  is an ergodic ME coupling of  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{H}$ .

*Proof.* (1) After replacing  $Y$  by  $gY$ , for some  $g \in G$ , we may assume that  $m(Y \cap X) > 0$  and hence that  $m(MY \cap NX) > 0$ . Let  $\Omega_0 = MY \cap NX$  and let  $m_0 = m_{|\Omega_0}$ . Since  $NY \subset MY$  and  $MX \subset NX$ , both  $MY$  and  $NX$  are  $(M \times N)$ -invariant, and hence so is  $\Omega_0$ . The set  $X \cap MY$  has finite measure since  $X$  does, and is a fundamental domain for the action of  $N$  on  $\Omega_0$ . Indeed,  $h(X \cap MY)$ ,  $h \in N$ , are pairwise disjoint since  $X$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $N$  on  $\Omega$ , and cover  $\Omega_0$  since  $N(X \cap MY) = \bigcup_{h \in N} hX \cap hMY = \bigcup_{h \in N} hX \cap MY = \Omega_0$  by the  $N$ -invariance of  $MY$ . Similarly,  $NX \cap Y$  is a finite measure fundamental domain for the action of  $M$  on  $\Omega_0$ , so  $(\Omega_0, m_0)$  is an ME-coupling of  $M$  and  $N$ .

(2) The ergodic  $(G \times H)$ -action on  $(\Omega, m)$  descends to an ergodic  $(\bar{G} \times \bar{H})$ -action on  $(\mathcal{A}, m_{|\mathcal{A}})$ . The restricted measure  $m_{|\mathcal{A}}$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite if  $N$  (equivalently,  $M$ ) is infinite, but by selecting a set  $T_N \subset H$  of representatives for the right cosets of  $N$  in  $H$  we obtain a  $(\bar{G} \times \bar{H})$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  that is equivalent to  $m_{|\mathcal{A}}$  given by  $\nu(Z) = \mu_\Omega(Z \cap T_N X)$ , for  $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\nu$  is equivalent to  $m_{|\mathcal{A}}$ , the  $(\bar{G} \times \bar{H})$ -action on  $(\mathcal{A}, \nu)$  is ergodic, and hence, up to rescaling by a constant,  $\nu$  is the unique  $(\bar{G} \times \bar{H})$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measure that is equivalent to  $m_{|\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $MX \subset NX$ , we get that  $NX$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\nu(NX) = m(X) < \infty$ , we also get that  $NX$  is a finite measure fundamental domain for the action of  $\bar{H}$  on  $(\mathcal{A}, \nu)$ .

On the other hand, selecting a set  $T_M \subset G$  of representatives for the right cosets of  $M$  in  $G$  we get a  $(\bar{G} \times \bar{H})$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu'$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  that is equivalent to  $m_{|\mathcal{A}}$ , defined by  $\nu'(Z) = \mu_\Omega(Z \cap T_M Y)$ , for  $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ . As above we see that  $MY$  is a  $\nu'$ -finite measure fundamental domain for the action of  $\bar{G}$  on  $(\mathcal{A}, \nu')$ . Since  $\nu'$  and  $\nu$  are equivalent measures,  $\nu'$  is a scalar multiple of  $\nu$ , so  $\nu(MY) < \infty$ . Thus,  $(\mathcal{A}, \nu)$  is an ME-coupling of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$ .  $\square$

We end this section with the following consequence of Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $G, H$  be countable groups with normal subgroups  $M \triangleleft G, N \triangleleft H$ . Let  $(\Omega, m)$  be an ME-coupling of  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $Y_0 \subset \Omega$  a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$ , and  $c : H \times Y_0 \rightarrow G$  the associated ME-cocycle. Let  $\bar{G} = G/M$  and denote by  $\bar{c} : H \times Y_0 \rightarrow \bar{G}$  the composition of  $c$  with the projection map  $g \mapsto \bar{g}$  from  $G$  to  $\bar{G}$ . Assume that the cocycle  $\bar{c}|(N \times Y_0)$  is essentially trivial and  $\bar{G}$  is ICC.*

*Then there is a fundamental domain  $Y \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $G$  such that  $NY \subset MY$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\bar{G})$  given by  $g \cdot F = \bar{g}F$ . Then each stabilizer of this action of  $G$  projects to a finite subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . Since  $\bar{c}|(N \times Y_0)$  is essentially trivial, there exists a measurable map  $f : Y_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\bar{G})$  satisfying  $f(h \cdot y) = c(h, y) \cdot f(y)$ , for every  $h \in N$  and almost every  $y \in Y_0$ .



Since  $\bar{G}$  is ICC, the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1 is satisfied, so there exists a Borel map  $\varphi : Y_0 \rightarrow G$  such that  $\varphi(h \cdot y)c(h, y)\varphi(y)^{-1} \in M$ , for every  $h \in N$  and almost every  $y \in Y_0$ . Define  $Y = \{\varphi(y)y | y \in Y_0\}$ . Then  $Y$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$ , and for every  $h \in H$  and almost every  $y \in Y_0$  we have

$$h\varphi(y)y = \varphi(y)hy = \varphi(y)c(h, y)^{-1}(h \cdot y) = (\varphi(h \cdot y)c(h, y)\varphi(y)^{-1})^{-1}\varphi(h \cdot y)(h \cdot y).$$

Therefore,  $NY \subset MY$ , as claimed.  $\square$

## 5. PAIRWISE NON-ME GROUPS IN CLASS $\mathcal{C}$

In this section, we establish another ingredient needed to prove Theorem 1.1 by constructing an infinite family of pairwise non-ME groups in class  $\mathcal{C}$  which can be generated by a fixed number of generators.

**Proposition 5.1.** *There exists a continuum sized family  $\{A_c\}_{c \in I}$  of finitely generated ICC groups in the class  $\mathcal{C}$  which are pairwise not measure equivalent.*

*Proof.* In the proof of [25, Proposition VI.16] Gaboriau constructs, for each irrational number  $c \in (1, 1 + \frac{1}{8})$ , a finitely generated group  $\Gamma_c$  of fixed price  $c$  which is an amalgam of the form  $\Gamma_c = J_c *_{K_c} H_c$ , where the group  $J_c$  is strongly treeable of fixed price  $c$ , both  $H_c$  and  $K_c$  are infinite amenable groups, and  $K_c$  has infinite index in both  $J_c$  and  $H_c$ . Thus,  $\beta_n^{(2)}(H_c) = \beta_n^{(2)}(K_c) = 0$ , for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\beta_1^{(2)}(J_c) = c - 1$  and  $\beta_n^{(2)}(J_c) = 0$ , for every  $n \geq 2$  (see [26, Corollaire 3.23 and Proposition 6.10]). From this and [12] it follows that  $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma_c) = c - 1$  and  $\beta_n^{(2)}(\Gamma_c) = \beta_n^{(2)}(J_c) + \beta_n^{(2)}(H_c) = 0$ , for every  $n \geq 2$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_2$  denote the free group on two generators and let  $A_c = \Gamma_c * (\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2)$ . Then  $\beta_1^{(2)}(A_c) = c$ ,  $\beta_2^{(2)}(A_c) = 1$ , and  $\beta_n^{(2)}(A_c) = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ . It follows from [26] that the groups  $A_c$  are pairwise non-measure equivalent. The groups  $A_c$ , each being a free product of infinite groups, are all ICC and belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}$  (by [39, Theorem 1.3]).  $\square$

Since the family  $\{A_c\}_{c \in I}$  is uncountable, we can find  $n \geq 2$  and an uncountable subfamily  $\{A_c\}_{c \in J}$  such that  $A_c$  can be generated by  $n$  elements, for every  $c \in J$ . This will be enough to prove the main assertion of Theorem 1.1. However, since the groups  $A_c$  contain torsion, in order to derive the moreover part of Theorem 1.1 we will need the following:

**Proposition 5.2.** *There exists a countably infinite family  $\{B_d\}_{d \geq 1}$  of torsion-free, 4-generated ICC groups in the class  $\mathcal{C}$  which are pairwise not measure equivalent.*

In preparation for the proof of this result, recall from [26] that the *approximate ergodic dimension*  $\text{a-erg-dim}(\Gamma)$  of a countable group  $\Gamma$  is the least  $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\aleph_0\}$  such that there exists a free pmp action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  whose orbit equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  may be expressed as an increasing union  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}_i$  of Borel subequivalence relations such that each  $\mathcal{R}_i$  admits a discrete Borel simplicial action on a Borel bundle of contractible simplicial complexes of dimension  $d$ . The approximate ergodic dimension is a measure equivalence invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $d \geq 1$  and consider the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_2$  of  $d$  copies of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  via a cyclic permutation of the direct factors. Let  $C_d := (\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  be the corresponding semi-direct product, which is a torsion-free, 3-generated group with approximate ergodic dimension  $d$  by [26]. Indeed, the inequality  $\text{a-erg-dim}(C_d) \leq d$  is straightforward. We also

have  $d \leq \text{a-erg-dim}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_2) \leq \text{a-erg-dim}(C_d)$  where the first inequality follows from [26, Corollaire 5.13] since  $\beta_d^{(2)}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_2) = 1$ , and the second inequality follows from  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_2$  being a subgroup of  $C_d$ . Thus, the groups  $C_d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , are pairwise non-measure equivalent.

Finally, let  $B_d = C_d * \mathbb{Z}$ , for every  $d \geq 1$ . Then  $B_d \in \mathcal{C}$  by [39, Theorem 1.3] and  $B_d$  is torsion-free and ICC, for every  $d \geq 1$ . Moreover, since  $\beta_1^{(2)}(B_d) = 0$  for every  $d \geq 1$  by [26, Théorème 6.8], applying [5, Theorem 1.5] implies that the groups  $\{B_d\}_{d \geq 1}$  are pairwise non-measure equivalent.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We first establish the following:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $C$  be any countable groups, and  $B$  and  $D$  be ICC hyperbolic groups. Assume that  $G \in \mathcal{WR}(A, B)$  and  $H \in \mathcal{WR}(C, D)$  are measure equivalent groups. Then the groups  $A^{(B)}$  and  $C^{(D)}$  are measure equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\Omega, m)$  be an ergodic ME-coupling of  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $Y_0 \subset \Omega$  a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  and  $H \curvearrowright (Y_0, \nu)$  the associated ergodic pmp action, where  $\nu = m|_{Y_0}^{-1}$ . Let  $c : H \times Y_0 \rightarrow G$  be the associated ME-cocycle and  $\bar{c} : H \times Y_0 \rightarrow G/A^{(B)}$  the composition of  $c$  with the projection from  $G$  to  $G/A^{(B)} \cong B$ .

Since  $B$  is hyperbolic, Corollary 3.2 implies that either the cocycle  $\bar{c}$  is amenable or the cocycle  $\bar{c}|(C^{(D)} \times Y_0)$  is essentially trivial. Since  $c$  is an ME-cocycle, by Proposition 2.2(6),  $c$  being amenable would imply that  $B$  is amenable, which is a contradiction. Thus, we must have that the cocycle  $\bar{c}|(C^{(D)} \times Y_0)$  is essentially trivial. Hence, by using Lemma 4.6 and again that  $B$  is ICC, we find a fundamental domain  $Y \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $G$  such that  $C^{(D)}Y \subset A^{(B)}Y$ . Likewise, there is a fundamental domain  $X \subset \Omega$  for the action of  $H$  with  $A^{(B)}X \subset C^{(D)}X$ . Therefore,  $A^{(B)}$  and  $C^{(D)}$  are measure equivalent by Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Proposition 5.1 implies that there exist  $n \geq 2$  and an infinite family  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $n$ -generated ICC groups in class  $\mathcal{C}$  which are pairwise non-ME.

For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ , define  $N_x = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid x(k) = 1\}$  and  $D_x = \bigoplus_{k \in N_x} A_k$ . Since  $A_k$  is  $n$ -generated, for every  $k \geq 1$ , Proposition 2.4 provides a torsion-free (hence, ICC) hyperbolic group  $B$  such that for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$  we can find a property (T) group  $G_x \in \mathcal{WR}(D_x, B)$ .

We claim that the groups  $\{G_x\}_{x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}}$  are pairwise non-measure equivalent. Assume that  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  are measure equivalent, for some  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $B$  is ICC hyperbolic, Theorem 6.1 implies that  $D_x^{(B)} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in N_x} A_k^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and  $D_y^{(B)} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in N_y} A_k^{(\mathbb{N})}$  are measure equivalent. Since  $A_k$  is ICC and in class  $\mathcal{C}$ , for every  $k \geq 1$ , Theorem 4.4 implies that the set of groups  $\{A_k\}_{k \in N_x}$  and  $\{A_x\}_{x \in N_y}$  coincide up to measure equivalence. Since the groups  $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  are pairwise non-measure equivalent, we get  $N_x = N_y$  hence  $x = y$ , which proves the claim and the main assertion of Theorem 1.1.

Towards proving the moreover assertion, let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ . The Künneth formula implies that  $\beta_p^{(2)}(D_x^{(B)}) = 0$ , for every  $p \geq 1$ . Using this, the fact that we have a short exact sequence  $\{e\} \longrightarrow D_x^{(B)} \longrightarrow G_x \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \{e\}$  and that  $B$  has an element of infinite order, it follows from [37, Theorem 7.2(6)] that  $\beta_p^{(2)}(G_x) = 0$ , for every  $p \geq 1$ .

Finally, we note that by using Proposition 5.2 instead of Proposition 5.1, we may assume that the groups  $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  are torsion-free. Thus, if  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then  $D_x$  is torsion-free. Further, since  $G_x \in \mathcal{WR}(D_x, B)$  and  $B$  is torsion-free, we deduce that  $G_x$  is torsion-free.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Miklós Abért, Yair Glasner, and Bálint Virág. Kesten’s theorem for invariant random subgroups. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(3):465–488, 2014.
- [2] Scot Adams. Boundary amenability for word hyperbolic groups and an application to smooth dynamics of simple groups. *Topology*, 33(4):765–783, 1994.
- [3] Scot Adams. Some new rigidity results for stable orbit equivalence. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 15(2):209–219, 1995.
- [4] Scot Adams. Reduction of cocycles with hyperbolic targets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 16(6):1111–1145, 1996.
- [5] Aurélien Alvarez and Damien Gaboriau. Free products, orbit equivalence and measure equivalence rigidity. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 6(1):53–82, 2012.
- [6] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche. Action moyennable d’un groupe localement compact sur une algèbre de von Neumann. II. *Math. Scand.*, 50(2):251–268, 1982.
- [7] Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche. Systèmes dynamiques non commutatifs et moyennabilité. *Math. Ann.*, 279(2):297–315, 1987.
- [8] Uri Bader, Bruno Duchesne, and Jean Lécureux. Amenable invariant random subgroups (with an appendix by Phillip Wesolek). *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 213(1):399–422, 2016.
- [9] Rémi Boutonnet, Adrian Ioana, and Jesse Peterson. Properly proximal groups and their von Neumann algebras. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 54(2):445–482, 2021.
- [10] Arnaud Brothier, Tobe Deprez, and Stefaan Vaes. Rigidity for von Neumann algebras given by locally compact groups and their crossed products. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 361(1):81–125, 2018.
- [11] Nathanial P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa. *C\*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, volume 88 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [12] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov.  $L_2$ -cohomology and group cohomology. *Topology*, 25(2):189–215, 1986.
- [13] Ionuț Chifan, Adrian Ioana, Denis Osin, and Bin Sun. Wreath-like products of groups and their von Neumann algebras II: Outer automorphisms, preprint arXiv 2304.07457, to appear in *Duke Math. J.*
- [14] Ionuț Chifan, Adrian Ioana, Denis Osin, and Bin Sun. Wreath-like products of groups and their von Neumann algebras I:  $W^*$ -superrigidity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 198(3):1261–1303, 2023.
- [15] Ionuț Chifan and Yoshikata Kida.  $OE$  and  $W^*$  superrigidity results for actions by surface braid groups. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 111(6):1431–1470, 2015.
- [16] Ionut Chifan, Sorin Popa, and James Owen Sizemore. Some  $OE$ - and  $W^*$ -rigidity results for actions by wreath product groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263(11):3422–3448, 2012.
- [17] Michael Cowling and Uffe Haagerup. Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one. *Invent. Math.*, 96(3):507–549, 1989.
- [18] Michael Cowling and Robert J. Zimmer. Actions of lattices in  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n)$ . *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(2):221–237, 1989.
- [19] Changying Ding and Daniel Drimbe. Relative solidity for biexact groups in measure equivalence, preprint arxiv:2503.24167.
- [20] Daniel Drimbe and Stefaan Vaes.  $W^*$ -correlations of  $\mathrm{II}_1$  factors and rigidity of tensor products and graph products, preprint arxiv:2507.04691.
- [21] Amandine Escalier and Camille Horbez. Graph products and measure equivalence: classification, rigidity, and quantitative aspects, preprint arxiv:2401.04635.
- [22] Francesco Fournier-Facio and Bin Sun. Dimensions of finitely generated simple groups and their subgroups, preprint arxiv:2503.01987.

- [23] Alex Furman. Gromov’s measure equivalence and rigidity of higher rank lattices. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 150(3):1059–1081, 1999.
- [24] Alex Furman. A survey of measured group theory. In *Geometry, rigidity, and group actions*, Chicago Lectures in Math., pages 296–374. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011.
- [25] Damien Gaboriau. Cout des relations d’équivalence et des groupes. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 139(1):41–98, 2000.
- [26] Damien Gaboriau. Invariants  $l^2$  de relations d’équivalence et de groupes. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 95:93–150, 2002.
- [27] M. Gromov. Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, volume 182 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–295. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [28] Vincent Guirardel and Camille Horbez. Measure equivalence rigidity of  $Out(F_N)$ , preprint arxiv:2103.03696.
- [29] Greg Hjorth and Alexander S. Kechris. Rigidity theorems for actions of product groups and countable Borel equivalence relations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 177(833):viii+109, 2005.
- [30] Camille Horbez and Jingyin Huang. Measure equivalence rigidity among the higman groups, preprint arxiv:2206.00884.
- [31] Camille Horbez and Jingyin Huang. Measure equivalence classification of transvection-free right-angled Artin groups. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 9:1021–1067, 2022.
- [32] Ishan Ishan, Jesse Peterson, and Lauren Ruth. Von Neumann equivalence and properly proximal groups. *Adv. Math.*, 438:Paper No. 109481, 43, 2024.
- [33] Paul Jolissaint. Proper cocycles and weak forms of amenability. *Colloq. Math.*, 138(1):73–88, 2015.
- [34] Alexander S. Kechris and Benjamin D. Miller. *Topics in orbit equivalence*, volume 1852 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [35] Yoshikata Kida. Measure equivalence rigidity of the mapping class group. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(3):1851–1901, 2010.
- [36] Yoshikata Kida. Invariants of orbit equivalence relations and Baumslag-Solitar groups. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series*, 66(2):205–258, 2014.
- [37] Wolfgang Lück.  $L^2$ -invariants: theory and applications to geometry and  $K$ -theory, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [38] Nicolas Monod and Yehuda Shalom. Cocycle superrigidity and bounded cohomology for negatively curved spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 67(3):395–455, 2004.
- [39] Nicolas Monod and Yehuda Shalom. Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 825–878, 2006.
- [40] Donald S. Ornstein and Benjamin Weiss. Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 2(1):161–164, 1980.
- [41] Sorin Popa and Stefaan Vaes. Unique Cartan decomposition for  $II_1$  factors arising from arbitrary actions of hyperbolic groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 694:215–239, 2014.
- [42] Hiroki Sako. The class  $S$  as an ME invariant. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):2749–2759, 2009.
- [43] Hiroki Sako. Measure equivalence rigidity and bi-exactness of groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(10):3167–3202, 2009.
- [44] Robert J Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*. Birkhäuser Basel, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE, LA JOLLA, CA 92093, USA

Email address: aioana@ucsd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, 1400 STADIUM ROAD, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611, USA

Email address: r.tuckerdrob@ufl.edu