

PARABOLIC PROBLEMS WHOSE FUJITA CRITICAL EXPONENT IS NOT GIVEN BY SCALING

AHMAD Z. FINO AND BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates the (fractional) heat equation with a nonlocal nonlinearity involving a Riesz potential:

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = I_\alpha(|u|^p), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $\beta \in (0, 2]$, $n \geq 1$, $p > 1$. We introduce the Fujita-type critical exponent $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha) = 1 + (\beta + \alpha)/(n - \alpha)$, which characterizes the global behavior of solutions: global existence for small initial data when $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, and finite-time blow-up when $p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$.

It is remarkable that the critical Fujita exponent is not determined by the usual scaling argument that yields $p_{sc} = 1 + (\beta + \alpha)/n$, but instead arises in an unconventional manner, similar to the results of Cazenave et al. [Nonlinear Analysis, 68 (2008), 862-874] for the heat equation with a nonlocal nonlinearity of the form $\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\gamma} |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

The result on global existence for $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, 2, \alpha)$, provides a positive answer to the hypothesis proposed by Mitidieri and Pohozaev in [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 248 (2005) 164–185]. We further establish global nonexistence results for the above heat equation, where the Riesz potential term $I_\alpha(|u|^p)$ is replaced by a more general convolution operator $(\mathcal{K} * |u|^p)$, $\mathcal{K} \in L^1_{loc}$, thereby extending the Mitidieri–Pohozaev’s results established in the aforementioned work.

Proofs of the blow-up results are obtained using a nonlinear capacity method specifically adapted to the structure of the problem, while global existence is established via a fixed-point argument combined with the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Historical background	2
1.2. Motivation	4
1.3. Main results	4
2. Preliminaries	8
3. Local existence.	9
4. Blow-up	12
5. Nonexistence	15
6. Global existence	18
Acknowledgments	20
Funding	20
Declaration of competing interest	20
Data Availability Statements	20
References	21

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K58, 35B33, 35A01, 35B44.

Key words and phrases. Convolution operator; Riesz potential; parabolic equation; critical exponent; blow-up; fractional Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to the study of the Fujita critical exponent for an evolution equation involving a Riesz potential term coupled with a power-law nonlinearity

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = I_\alpha(|u|^p), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $\beta \in (0, 2]$, $n \geq 1$, $p > 1$, and the initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here, for $\beta \in (0, 2)$, $(-\Delta)^{\beta/2}$ denotes the fractional Laplacian, and I_α is the Riesz potential of order α , given for $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$I_\alpha f(x) := A_\alpha(|x|^{-(n-\alpha)} * f) = A_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy,$$

with normalization constant $A_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}2^\alpha}$. Moreover, the identity

$$-\Delta I_2 = \delta,$$

holds, where δ denotes the Dirac delta distribution. This implies that I_2 serves as the Green's function of the Laplacian $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{R}^n . More generally, the Riesz potential I_α can be interpreted as the inverse of the fractional Laplacian operator, in the sense that

$$(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f(x) = I_\alpha f(x).$$

For further details on these fundamental properties of Riesz potentials, we refer the reader to [19, Section I.1], [28, Section V.1.1].

In addition, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the Riesz potential I_α converges to the Dirac delta δ in the vague sense [19, p. 46]. On the other hand, as $\alpha \rightarrow n$, one has

$$I_\alpha f \rightarrow A_n \log\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) * f,$$

for every $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = 0$, where the constant A_n is given by

$$A_n = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow n} (n - \alpha) A_\alpha = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \pi^{n/2} 2^{n-1}} \quad [19, \text{p. 50}].$$

The definition of Riesz potentials can be extended from $\alpha \in (0, n)$ to arbitrary complex α with $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $(\alpha - n)/2 \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In this more general setting, the convolution $I_\alpha f$ must be interpreted in the sense of distributions, see for instance [19] or [26, Chapter 2]. Throughout this paper, we restrict to the case $\alpha \in (0, n)$, where the convolution $I_\alpha f$ is understood in the classical Lebesgue integral sense.

1.1. Historical background. In this subsection, we will provide historical background related to the problem (1.1).

1.1.1. Classical semilinear heat equations. In 1966 (see [13]) Fujita considered the semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = u^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

to discuss the conditions for the existence of the global positive solutions and proved that:

- (i) for any nonnegative u_0 the Cauchy problem (1.2) possesses no global positive solutions if

$$1 < p < p_{\text{Fuj}}(n) = 1 + \frac{2}{n};$$

- (ii) there exists a positive global solution of (1.2) if $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n)$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ is smaller than a Gaussian.

Moreover, the critical case $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}(n)$ was considered by Hayakawa [16] for $n = 1, 2$, Sugitani [29] for $n \geq 3$. They have been established that the $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}(n)$ also belongs to case (i). The number $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n) = 1 + 2/n$ is called the critical exponent in the sense of Fujita.

Later, in [30] Weissler proved that there exists a global solution of the problem (1.2), if $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n)$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_c}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is sufficiently small with $p_c = n(p-1)/2 > 1$. Mitidieri and Pohozaev in [22] extended the results of Fujita, by replacing u^p with $|u|^p$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ with

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} u_0(x) dx \geq 0,$$

and proved that the problem (1.2) possesses no global sign-changing solutions if $p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}}(n)$. Almost simultaneously, Zhang [32] established the same results for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx > 0$ and $p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}}(n)$.

1.1.2. Fractional extensions. If, instead of the classical Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ in (1.2), one considers its fractional power $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ with $\beta \in (0, 2)$, that is,

$$(1.3) \quad u_t + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,$$

then the corresponding Fujita critical exponent for problem (1.3) is given by

$$p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

The result was first established by Nagasawa et al. [24]; the critical case was later proved by Sugitani [29], and further extensions were developed in [9, 14, 15].

1.1.3. Parabolic problems with convolution nonlinearities. In [4] Cazenave et al. studied the semilinear heat equation with time-nonlocal convolution nonlinearity

$$(1.4) \quad u_t - \Delta u = \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\gamma} |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s) ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

In particular, they proved that the critical exponent ensuring global existence of solutions to equation (1.4) is given by

$$p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \gamma) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma}, p_\gamma \right\} \in (0, +\infty], \quad \text{with } p_\gamma = 1 + \frac{2(2-\gamma)}{(n-2+2\gamma)_+},$$

that is, it has been proven that: if $p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \gamma)$, and u_0 is nonnegative, then u blows up in finite time, while there exists a global positive solution for sufficiently small $u_0 \geq 0$, if $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \gamma)$. Further developments and generalizations of the above result were examined in many papers (see, for example, [9, 17, 21]).

Problem (1.1) in the case $\beta = 2$ was first studied by Mitidieri and Pohozaev in [23]. They proved that if

$$(1.5) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-\alpha} \int_{B_R} u_0(x) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p \leq 1 + \frac{2+\alpha}{n-\alpha},$$

then problem (1.1) has no nontrivial weak solutions. Here, B_R stands for the closed ball centered at O with radius R . However, the case $p > 1 + (2+\alpha)/(n-\alpha)$ was not explored

in that paper, and the authors **conjectured** that (see [23, Remark 7]) when $p > 1 + (2 + \alpha)/(n - \alpha)$, there exists a global solution corresponding to initial data u_0 , which satisfies

$$u_0(x) \leq c(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}, \quad c > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for $\gamma > (2 + \alpha)/(p - 1)$.

Several years ago, in [7, 8] Filippucci and Ghergu investigated the heat equation with the nonlocal nonlinearity $I_\alpha(|u|^p)|u|^q$ and proved that the considered problem admits no global weak solutions whenever the initial data satisfy

$$u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx > 0$$

and

$$2 < p + q \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha + 2}{2n - \alpha}, \quad p, q > 0.$$

This result was recently extended in [11] by the authors of this paper to the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, $\beta \in (0, 2]$, and the nonexistence of global solutions was established for $2 < p + q < 1 + (\alpha + \beta)/n$, $p, q > 0$, thereby improving the previous result obtained in the case $\beta = 2$.

1.2. Motivation. Motivated by the above results, this paper poses the following natural questions:

Question 1: Is the Mitidieri–Pohozaev conjecture true? This **conjecture** asserts that for $p > 1 + (2 + \alpha)/(n - \alpha)$, there exists a global solution for sufficiently small initial data. If this statement holds, then $1 + (2 + \alpha)/(n - \alpha)$ represents the critical Fujita exponent for problem (1.1) for $\beta = 2$, that is,

$$p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \alpha, \beta) = 1 + \frac{2 + \alpha}{n - \alpha}.$$

Similar questions arise for all $\beta \in (0, 2)$.

Question 2: Is it possible to interpret the nonexistence of nontrivial solutions as a finite-time blow-up phenomenon in the case $p \leq 1 + (\alpha + \beta)/(n - \alpha)$? In other words, can one assert the existence of a local continuous solution on $(0, T_{\max})$ that blows up as $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$, that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_X \rightarrow \infty?$$

Here X is a Banach space. It should be noted that in [23], the nonexistence of nontrivial solutions was demonstrated, which, in the general case, does not necessarily imply blow-up of the solution in finite time.

Question 3: It is natural to ask whether the results of Mitidieri and Pohozaev can be **extended** to more general kernel $K(x, y)$. In fact, in [23], Mitidieri and Pohozaev considered problem (1.1) with a more general kernel satisfying $K^{-1} \in L^1_{loc}$. However, the nonexistence results were established only in the case of the Riesz potential I_α .

The main purpose of the paper is to answer the above questions.

1.3. Main results. This subsection presents the main results of the paper: local existence, blow-up behavior, and global existence of solutions to problem (1.1).

Let us start with the definition of the mild solution of (1.1).

Definition 1.1. A function $u \in L^\infty((0, T), X)$ is called a **mild solution** of (1.1) if u has the initial data u_0 and satisfies the integral equation

$$(1.6) \quad u(t) = S_\beta(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\beta(t - \tau)I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $S_\beta(t)$ is defined by (2.2) below.

Below, we formulate a statement about local existence.

Theorem 1.2 (Local existence). *Let $\beta \in (0, 2]$, $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $p > n/(n - \alpha)$, and $u_0 \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $n/(n - \alpha) < s < n(p - 1)/\alpha$. Then there exists a time $T = T(u_0) > 0$ such that problem (1.1) possesses a unique mild solution*

$$u \in C([0, T], L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty((0, T), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Moreover, the following properties hold:

(i) *Problem (1.1) possesses a maximal mild solution*

$$u \in C([0, T_{\max}), L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty((0, T_{\max}), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

with $u(0) = u_0$, where $T_{\max} = T_{\max}(u_0) \leq \infty$. Furthermore, either $T_{\max} = \infty$, or else $T_{\max} < \infty$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}^-} (\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u(t)\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}) = +\infty.$$

(ii) *If $u_0 \geq 0$, then the mild solution $u \geq 0$ remains nonnegative for all $t \in [0, T]$.*

Remark 1.3. As shown in Theorem 1.2, the local existence of a solution to problem (1.1) requires that $p > n/(n - \alpha)$. This restriction arises from the nonlocal nature of the nonlinear source term. Consequently, in the case $1 < p \leq n/(n - \alpha)$, whether the local solution exists or not remains an open question.

It is straightforward to verify that if $u(t, x)$ is a solution of equation (1.1) with initial data u_0 , then for all $\lambda > 0$, the rescaled function

$$u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{\frac{\beta+\alpha}{p-1}} u(\lambda^\beta t, \lambda x)$$

is also a solution, with initial value $u_\lambda(0, x) = \lambda^{\frac{\beta+\alpha}{p-1}} u_0(\lambda x)$. Moreover, the L^q -norm of the rescaled initial data satisfies

$$\|u_\lambda(0)\|_{L^q} = \lambda^{\frac{\beta+\alpha}{p-1} - \frac{n}{q}} \|u_0\|_{L^q}.$$

This observation shows that the scaling-invariant Lebesgue exponent for equation (1.1) is

$$(1.8) \quad q_{\text{sc}} = \frac{n(p-1)}{\beta+\alpha}.$$

One might therefore expect that if $q_{\text{sc}} > 1$, that is, $p > p_{\text{sc}}$, where the critical exponent is given by

$$(1.9) \quad p_{\text{sc}} = 1 + \frac{\beta+\alpha}{n},$$

and if $\|u_0\|_{L^{q_{\text{sc}}}}$ is sufficiently small, then the corresponding solution should exist globally in time. However, the next result shows that this expectation does not hold.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $0 < \beta \leq 2$, and $p > 1$.*

(i) *If $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_0 \geq 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx > 0$, and*

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{n}{n-\alpha} < p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha) := 1 + \frac{\beta+\alpha}{n-\alpha},$$

then the mild solution of problem (1.1) blows-up in finite time.

(ii) If

$$(1.11) \quad p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha),$$

and $u_0 \in L^{q_{\text{sc}}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (where q_{sc} is given by (1.8)), then the mild solution $u \in L^\infty((0, \infty), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ exists globally in time, provided that $\|u_0\|_{L^{q_{\text{sc}}}}$ is sufficiently small.

Remark 1.5.

- (a) Based on (1.10) and (1.11), we identify $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ as the critical exponent for problem (1.1). However, since $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha) > p_{\text{sc}}$, where p_{sc} is the scaling exponent defined in (1.9), it follows that the critical exponent $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ is **not governed by scaling arguments**. Cazenave et al. [4] reported a similar phenomenon while examining the Fujita-type critical exponent for the semilinear heat equation involving nonlocal nonlinearity of the form (1.4).
- (b) Part (ii) of Theorem 1.4 provides a **positive answer to the conjecture** of Mitidieri and Pohozaev posed in [23], which states that if $p > 1 + (2 + \alpha)/(n - \alpha)$ and u_0 is sufficiently small, then problem (1.1) (when $\beta = 2$) admits a global solution. In the case of the fractional Laplacian with $\beta \in (0, 2)$, Theorem 1.4 yields significant new results, identifying a new critical Fujita exponent given by

$$p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha) := 1 + \frac{\beta + \alpha}{n - \alpha}.$$

From this expression, it is evident that as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta = 2$, the quantity $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, 2, 0)$ reduces to the classical Fujita critical exponent $p_{\text{Fuj}}(n) = 1 + 2/n$. Moreover, as $\alpha \rightarrow n$, the critical exponent tends to ∞ .

- (c) Observe that one may assume the decay condition $|u_0(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > (\beta + \alpha)/(p - 1)$ instead of the integrability condition $u_0 \in L^{q_{\text{sc}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This assumption is consistent with that made by Mitidieri and Pohozaev [23], who hypothesized that for such u_0 , the solution exists globally. Moreover, it highlights its relevance to Theorem 1.9 (ii) below.
- (d) When $\beta = 2$, the condition $u_0 \geq 0$ is not required; see, for example, [9]. For $\beta \in (0, 2)$, the test function recently used in [5] is also recommended; however, due to (4.8), we refrain from using it.

In the following, we consider a more general version of problem (1.1), in which the Riesz potential is replaced by a general convolution with kernel \mathcal{K} .

Let

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u = (\mathcal{K} * |u|^p), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $\beta \in (0, 2]$, $n \geq 1$, $p > 1$, $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The function $\mathcal{K} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous, it satisfies $\mathcal{K}(\cdot|\cdot) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and there exists $R_0 > 1$ such that

$$\inf_{r \in (0, R)} \mathcal{K}(r) = \mathcal{K}(R), \quad \text{for all } R > R_0.$$

The nonlinear convolution term $\mathcal{K} * |u|^p$ is the Fourier convolution between \mathcal{K} and $|u|^p$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K} * |u|^p)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(|x - y|) |u(y)|^p dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(|y|) |u(x - y)|^p dy. \end{aligned}$$

First, we give the definition of a weak solution to problem (1.12).

Definition 1.6. (Weak solution of (1.12))

Let $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T > 0$. We say that $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak solution of (1.12) on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$(\mathcal{K} * |u|^p) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{K} * |u|^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(0, x) \psi(0, x) dx &= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \psi(t, x) dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \psi_t(t, x) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

holds for all compactly supported test function $\psi \in C^{1,2}_{t,x}([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $0 \leq \tau < T$. If $T = \infty$, u is called a global in time weak solution to (1.12).

Theorem 1.7. Let $n \geq 1$, $\beta \in (0, 2]$, and $p > 1$.

(i) If $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R) R^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}} \right) > 0,$$

then problem (1.12) has no global weak nonnegative solutions.

(ii) If $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-n} (\mathcal{K}(R))^{-1} \int_{B_R} u_0(x) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R) R^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}} \right) > 0,$$

then problem (1.12) has no global weak nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

(iii) If $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$u_0(x) \geq \varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-\gamma/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R)^{-1} R^{\gamma(p-1)-n-\beta} \right) = 0,$$

for some positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and any exponent $\gamma > 0$, then problem (1.12) has no global weak nonnegative solutions.

Remark 1.8. For $\beta = 2$, nonnegativity of the solutions in Theorem 1.7 is not necessary; see, e.g., [10].

Since problem (1.12) reduces to problem (1.1) when $\mathcal{K}(r) = A_\alpha r^{-(n-\alpha)}$, Theorem 1.7 immediately yields the following result, using the fact that

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R)^{-1} R^{\gamma(p-1)-n-\beta} \right) = 0 \iff p < p_* := 1 + \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\gamma}.$$

Theorem 1.9. Let $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $0 < \beta \leq 2$, and $p > 1$.

(i) If $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-\alpha} \int_{B_R} u_0(x) dx \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha),$$

then the (1.1) has no global nontrivial nonnegative weak solutions.

(ii) If $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$u_0(x) \geq \bar{\varepsilon} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\gamma/2} \quad \text{and} \quad p < p_* = 1 + \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\gamma},$$

for some positive constant $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ and any exponent $\gamma > 0$, then problem (1.1) has no global weak solutions.

Remark 1.10. Theorems 1.7 and 1.9 give us reason to present the following arguments:

- a) Theorem 1.7 complements the work [23] for more general convolution operators instead of the Riesz potential where in [23] was studied.
- b) It is worth noting that choosing $\gamma < n - \alpha$ allows Theorem 1.9-(ii) to extend the blow-up result from Theorem 1.9-(i).
- c) Under the weaker assumption $u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Theorem 1.9-(i)—as opposed to the stronger condition $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Theorem 1.4-(i)—the conclusion is correspondingly weakened: the solution fails to exist globally in the weak sense, rather than blowing up in finite time. However, the restriction on p of the form $p > n/(n - \alpha)$ is no longer required.

In summary, Theorems 1.2, 1.4, 1.7, and 1.9 offer comprehensive answers to **Questions 1–3**. The subsequent sections are devoted to detailed proofs of these results. Proofs of blow-up and nonexistence of solutions are based on the test function method, originally proposed by various authors (see, for example, [1, 2, 22, 31]). In our case, we construct test functions within the corresponding classes that are specifically adapted to the nonlocal nature of the problems under consideration. Global existence is obtained through the fixed-point method (see [4, 25]), using the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality, the fractional heat kernel properties, and various functional and algebraic inequalities.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some preliminary knowledge needed in our proofs hereafter. First, we recall that the fundamental solution $S_\beta = S_\beta(x, t)$ of the linear equation

$$(2.1) \quad u_t + (-\Delta)^{\beta/2} u = 0, \quad \beta \in (0, 2], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

can be written via the Fourier transform as follows

$$(2.2) \quad S_\beta(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi - t|\xi|^\beta} d\xi.$$

It is well-known that for each $\beta \in (0, 2]$, this function satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad S_\beta(1) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad S_\beta(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_\beta(x, t) dx = 1,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$. Hence, using Young's inequality for the convolution and the following self-similar form

$$S_\beta(x, t) = t^{-n/\beta} S_\beta(xt^{-1/\beta}, 1),$$

we have

Lemma 2.1 ($L^p - L^q$ estimate). *Let $\beta \in (0, 2]$, and $1 \leq r \leq q \leq \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for every $v \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the following inequalities hold*

$$(2.4) \quad \|S_\beta(t) * v\|_q \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{\beta}(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{q})} \|v\|_r, \quad t > 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|S_\beta(t) * v\|_r \leq \|v\|_r, \quad t > 0.$$

The following Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev is a particular case of [20, Theorem 4.3].

Lemma 2.2 (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev).

Let $1 < p < r < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < n$ with $1/p + \alpha/n = 1 + 1/r$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(n, \alpha, p) > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the following inequality holds

$$(2.6) \quad \| |x|^{-\alpha} * f \|_r \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Definition 2.3 ([18, 27]). Let $s \in (0, 1)$. Let X be a suitable set of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n . Then, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ in \mathbb{R}^n is a non-local operator given by

$$(-\Delta)^s : v \in X \rightarrow (-\Delta)^s v(x) := C_{n,s} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy,$$

as long as the right-hand exists, where p.v. stands for Cauchy's principal value and

$$C_{n,s} := \frac{4^s \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + s)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(-s)}$$

is a normalization constant.

Lemma 2.4. [6, Lemma 2.4] Let $s \in (0, 1]$, and φ be a smooth function satisfying $\partial_x^2 \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $R > 0$, let φ_R be a function defined by

$$\varphi_R(x) := \varphi(x/R) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, $(-\Delta)^s \varphi_R$ satisfies the following scaling properties:

$$(-\Delta)^s \varphi_R(x) = R^{-2s} ((-\Delta)^s \varphi)(x/R) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

3. LOCAL EXISTENCE.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. Fixed-point argument. Let $T > 0$ be fixed. We define the Banach space

$$E_T = L^\infty((0, T), L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

The norm on E_T is defined by

$$\|u\|_{E_T} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|u(t)\|_{L^s \cap L^\infty} = \sup_{t \in (0, T)} (\|u(t)\|_{L^s} + \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}).$$

We choose $R \geq \|u_0\|_{L^s \cap L^\infty}$. In order to use the Banach fixed-point theorem, we introduce the following nonempty complete metric space

$$(3.1) \quad B_T(R) = \{u \in E_T : \|u\|_{E_T} \leq 2R\},$$

equipped with the distance $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|_E$. For $u \in B_T(R)$, we define $\Lambda(u)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad \Lambda(u)(t) := S_\beta(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_\beta(t - \tau) I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau.$$

Let us prove that $\Lambda : B_T(R) \rightarrow B_T(R)$. Using (2.5), we obtain for any $u \in B_T(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda(u)(t)\|_{L^s} &\leq \|S_\beta(t)u_0\|_{L^s} + \left\| \int_0^t S_\beta(t - \tau) I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^s} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^s} + \int_0^t \|I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau)\|_{L^s} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Applying Lemma 2.2 with the identity $1/q = \alpha/n + 1/s$, and noting that the condition $n/(n - \alpha) < s$ guarantees $q > 1$, we get

$$\|\Lambda(u)(t)\|_{L^s} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^s} + C \int_0^t \| |u(\tau)|^p \|_{L^q} d\tau.$$

Since $s < n(p-1)/\alpha$ implies $1/q < p/s$, then $p > s/q$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda(u)(t)\|_{L^s} &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^s} + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-\frac{s}{q}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^q}^{\frac{s}{q}} d\tau \\
&= \|u_0\|_{L^s} + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-\frac{s}{q}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s}{q}} d\tau \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{L^s} + C \|u\|_{E_T}^p T \\
&\leq R + C 2^p R^p T \\
(3.3) \quad &\leq 2R,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, for a sufficiently small $T > 0$ (depending on R). Moreover, by (2.4)-(2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda(u)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|S_\beta(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \int_0^t S_\beta(t-\tau) I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \|I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau)\|_{L^r} d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Using Lemma 2.2 with $1/\tilde{q} = \alpha/n + 1/r$, we get

$$\|\Lambda(u)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \| |u(\tau)|^p \|_{L^{\tilde{q}}} d\tau.$$

By choosing $1/\tilde{q} < \min\{p/s, (\beta + \alpha)/n\}$, we infer that $n/(\beta r) < 1$ and $p > s/\tilde{q}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda(u)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-\frac{s}{\tilde{q}}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{\tilde{q}}}^{\frac{s}{\tilde{q}}} d\tau \\
&= \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-\frac{s}{\tilde{q}}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s}{\tilde{q}}} d\tau \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C \|u\|_{E_T}^p T^{1-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \\
&\leq R + C 2^p R^p T^{1-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \\
(3.4) \quad &\leq 2R,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, for a sufficiently small $T > 0$ (depending on R). By combining (3.3)-(3.4), we conclude that $\|\Lambda(u)\|_{E_T} \leq 2R$, that is $\Lambda(u) \in B_T(R)$.

Similarly, one can see that Λ is a contraction. For $u, v \in B_T(R)$, we have

$$\|\Lambda(u)(t) - \Lambda(v)(t)\|_{L^s} \leq \int_0^t \|I_\alpha(|u|^p - |v|^p)(\tau)\|_{L^s} d\tau, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with $1/q = \alpha/n + 1/s$, we get

$$\|\Lambda(u)(t) - \Lambda(v)(t)\|_{L^s} \leq C \int_0^t \| |u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p \|_{L^q} d\tau.$$

As

$$||u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p| \leq C(|u(\tau)|^{p-1} + |v(\tau)|^{p-1})|u(\tau) - v(\tau)|$$

and

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{s},$$

by applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\||u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p\|_{L^q} \leq C(\||u(\tau)|^{p-1}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} + \||v(\tau)|^{p-1}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}})\|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^s}.$$

Since $s < n(p-1)/\alpha$ implies $p-1 > s\alpha/n$, we conclude that

$$\| |u(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \leq \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1-\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \leq (2R)^{p-1},$$

and

$$\| |v(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \leq \|v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1-\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \leq (2R)^{p-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\| |u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p \|_{L^q} \leq C2^p R^{p-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^s}.$$

It follows that

$$(3.5) \quad \|\Lambda(u)(t) - \Lambda(v)(t)\|_{L^s} \leq C2^p R^{p-1} T \|u - v\|_{E_T} \leq \frac{1}{2} d(u, v),$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, for a sufficiently small $T > 0$ (depending on R). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda(u)(t) - \Lambda(v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &= \left\| \int_0^t S_\beta(t-\tau) I_\alpha(|u|^p - |v|^p)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \|I_\alpha(|u|^p - |v|^p)(\tau)\|_{L^r} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Using Lemma 2.2 with $1/\tilde{q} = \alpha/n + 1/r$, we get

$$\|\Lambda(u)(t) - \Lambda(v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \| |u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p \|_{L^{\tilde{q}}} d\tau.$$

As

$$|u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p \leq C(|u(\tau)|^{p-1} + |v(\tau)|^{p-1})|u(\tau) - v(\tau)|$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{r},$$

by applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\| |u(\tau)|^{p-1} - |v(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\tilde{q}}} \leq C(\| |u(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} + \| |v(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}}) \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^r}.$$

Since $s < n(p-1)/\alpha$ implies $p-1 > s\alpha/n$, we conclude that

$$\| |u(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \leq \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1-\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \leq (2R)^{p-1},$$

and

$$\| |v(\tau)|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \leq \|v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1-\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s\alpha}{n}} \leq (2R)^{p-1}.$$

Furthermore, by choosing $1/\tilde{q} < \min\{p\alpha/n(p-1), (\beta + \alpha)/n\}$, we infer that $n/(\beta r) < 1$ and $r > n(p-1)/\alpha > s$, so

$$\|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^r} \leq \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{s}{r}} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s}{r}} \leq \|u - v\|_{E_T}.$$

Noting that $1/\tilde{q} < \min\{p\alpha/n(p-1), (\beta + \alpha)/n\} < \min\{p/s, (\beta + \alpha)/n\}$, due to $s < n(p-1)/\alpha$. Therefore,

$$\| |u(\tau)|^p - |v(\tau)|^p \|_{L^{\tilde{q}}} \leq C2^p R^{p-1} \|u - v\|_{E_T}.$$

It follows that

$$(3.6) \quad \|\Lambda(u)(t) - \Lambda(v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C2^p R^{p-1} T^{1-\frac{n}{\beta r}} \|u - v\|_{E_T} \leq \frac{1}{2} d(u, v),$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, for a sufficiently small $T > 0$ (depending on R). By combining (3.5)-(3.6), we conclude that

$$d(\Lambda(u), \Lambda(v)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(u, v).$$

Consequently, by the contraction principle, Λ has a unique fixed point u in $B_T(R)$.

Step 2. Uniqueness in E_T . We are going to extend this uniqueness to E_T . Let $u, v \in E_T$. Then, for sufficiently large $\tilde{R} > 0$ and small $\tilde{T} > 0$, we have $u, v \in B_{\tilde{T}}(\tilde{R})$. As a result, $u(t) = v(t)$ for small $t > 0$, which, by a standard continuation argument, extends to the entire interval $(0, T)$. See, e.g., [12] for further details.

Step 3. Regularity. One can easily check that $f \in L^1((0, T), L^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with

$$f(t) := I_\alpha(|u|^p)(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Applying [3, Lemma 4.1.5], using the continuity of the semigroup $S_\beta(t)$, we conclude that $u \in C([0, T], L^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Step 4. Maximal solution and blow-up alternative. Using the uniqueness of the mild solution, we conclude the existence of a solution on a maximal interval $[0, T_{\max})$ where

$$T_{\max} := \sup \{T > 0; \exists \text{ mild solution } u \in C([0, T], L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty((0, T), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ to (1.1)}\}.$$

Obviously, $T_{\max} \leq \infty$. To prove that $\|u(t)\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$, whenever $T_{\max} < \infty$, we proceed by contradiction. If

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u(t)\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} =: L < \infty,$$

then there exists a time sequence $\{t_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ tending to T_{\max} as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and such that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|u(t_m)\|_{L^s \cap L^\infty} \leq L + 1.$$

Using again a fixed-point argument with $u(t_m)$ as initial condition, one can deduce that there exists $T(L+1) > 0$, depends on $L+1$, such that the solution $u(t)$ can be extended on the interval $[t_m, t_m + T(L+1)]$ for any $m \geq 0$. Thus, by the definition of the maximality time, $T_{\max} \geq t_m + T(L+1)$, for any $m \geq 0$. We get the desired contradiction by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 5. Positivity of solutions. Assume $u_0 \geq 0$. In this case, we can construct a nonnegative solution on some interval $[0, T]$ by applying the fixed point argument within the positive cone $E_T^+ = \{u \in E_T; u \geq 0\}$, and using the nonnegativity of $S_\beta(t)$ (see (2.3)). By the uniqueness of the solutions, it then follows that $u(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in (0, T_{\max})$.

□

4. BLOW-UP

Proof of Theorem 1.4-(i). The proof is by contradiction. Assume that u is a global mild solution of (1.1). Then u satisfies the following weak formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_\alpha(u^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(0, x) \psi(0, x) dx \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \psi(t, x) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \psi_t(t, x) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $T > 0$ and all compactly supported $\psi \in C^{2,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi(T, \cdot) = 0$. Let R and T be large parameters in $(0, \infty)$. Let us choose

$$\psi(t, x) := \varphi_R^\ell(x) \varphi_T^\ell(t),$$

where

$$\varphi_R(t) = \Phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right), \quad \varphi_T(t) = \Phi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

with $\ell = (2p - 1)/(p - 1)$, and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a smooth non-increasing function satisfying $\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]} \leq \Phi \leq \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 1]}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} I_\alpha(|u|^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u \varphi_T^\ell(t) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u \varphi_R^\ell(x) \partial_t(\varphi_T^\ell(t)) dx dt \\ (4.1) \quad &=: I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \leq R\}$. Let us first derive an estimate for I_1 . Using Hölder's inequality together with Ju's inequality $(-\Delta)^{\beta/2}(\varphi_R^\ell) \leq \ell \varphi_R^{\ell-1} (-\Delta)^{\beta/2} \varphi_R$ (see e.g. [9, Appendix]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u \varphi_T^\ell(t) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx dt \\ &\leq \ell \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u \psi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t, x) \psi^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t, x) \varphi_T^\ell(t) \varphi_R^{\ell-1}(x) \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi_R(x) \right| dx dt \\ (4.2) \quad &\leq \ell \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u^p \psi(t, x) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_T^\ell(t) \varphi_R(x) \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi_R(x) \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by $\partial_t \varphi_T^\ell(t) = \ell \varphi_T^{\ell-1}(t) \partial_t \varphi_T(t)$, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad I_2 \leq \ell \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u^p \psi(t, x) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_T(t) \varphi_R^\ell(x) |\partial_t \varphi_T(t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

Inserting (4.2)-(4.3) into (4.1), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} I_\alpha(u^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx \\ (4.4) \quad &\leq J_1 \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u^p \psi(t, x) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + J_2 \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u^p \psi(t, x) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1 := \ell \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_T^\ell(t) \varphi_R(x) \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi_R(x) \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}},$$

and

$$J_2 := \ell \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_T(t) \varphi_R^\ell(x) |\partial_t \varphi_T(t)|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

Let us estimate J_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq \ell \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^T \Phi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \left| \partial_t \Phi \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
 &\leq C R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 \Phi(\tilde{t}) |\Phi'(\tilde{t})|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\tilde{t} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
 (4.5) \quad &\leq C R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the change of variables

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{R}, \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{t}{T}.$$

Similarly, by using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &= \ell \left(\int_0^T \varphi_T^\ell(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_R(x) \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi_R(x) \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
 (4.6) \quad &\leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5)-(4.6), we get from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} I_\alpha(u^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx &\leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \beta} \mathbf{I}_p^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad + C R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}_p^{\frac{1}{p}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{I} := \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u^p \psi(t, x) dx dt \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J} := \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} u^p \psi(t, x) dx dt.$$

To estimate the first term in the left-hand side of (4.7), we have

$$I_\alpha(u^p) = |x|^{-(n-\alpha)} * u^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(t, \xi))^p}{|x - \xi|^{n-\alpha}} d\xi \geq \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{(u(t, \xi))^p}{|x - \xi|^{n-\alpha}} d\xi.$$

Note that $|x| \leq R/2$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and $|\xi| \leq R$ on \mathcal{B} , where $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \leq R/2\}$, then

$$(4.8) \quad |x - \xi|^{n-\alpha} \leq 2^{n-\alpha} R^{n-\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \xi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

So,

$$I_\alpha(u^p)(t, x) \geq \frac{R^{-(n-\alpha)}}{2^{n-\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} (u(t, \xi))^p d\xi, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), x \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} I_\alpha(u^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt &\geq \frac{R^{-(n-\alpha)}}{2^{n-\alpha}} \int_0^T \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} (u(t, \xi))^p \psi(t, x) d\xi dx dt \\
 &\geq \text{meas}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \frac{R^{-(n-\alpha)}}{2^{n-\alpha}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (u(t, \xi))^p \psi(t, \xi) d\xi dt \\
 (4.9) \quad &= C R^\alpha \mathbf{I},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\varphi_R \equiv 1$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, and $1 \geq \varphi_R$ on \mathcal{B} . Combining (4.7) and (4.9), we infer that

$$(4.10) \quad C R^\alpha \mathbf{I} + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx \leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \beta} \mathbf{I}_p^{\frac{1}{p}} + C R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}_p^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We consider two separate cases. For $p < p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, choosing $R = T^{1/\beta}$, it follows from (4.10) and using $\mathbf{J} \leq \mathbf{I}$ and $u_0 \geq 0$, that

$$T^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \mathbf{I} \leq C T^{\frac{n(p-1)-\beta}{\beta p}} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

that is,

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{I} \leq C T^{\frac{(n-\alpha)p-n-\beta}{\beta(p-1)}}.$$

Consequently, by letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.11), and using the fact that

$$p < p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow (n - \alpha)p - n - \beta < 0,$$

and the monotone convergence theorem, we arrive at

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p dx dt \leq 0,$$

that is $u = 0$ a.e., which, using (4.10), implies that

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx \leq 0;$$

contradiction. In order to get a contradiction in the critical case $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ too, we apply the same change of variables as before, using $u_0 \geq 0$, we obtain from (4.10),

$$\mathbf{I} \leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \beta - \alpha} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + C R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \alpha} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By setting $R = (KT)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$, where $K \geq 1$ and $K < T$, so that T and K cannot simultaneously tend to infinity, and considering the fact that $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{I} \leq C K^{-\frac{\beta+\alpha}{\beta+n}} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + C K^{\frac{n-\alpha}{\beta+n}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Therefore, by using ε -Young's inequality $C K^{-\frac{\beta+\alpha}{\beta+n}} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon \mathbf{I} + C_\varepsilon K^{-1}$ with $\varepsilon < 1$, it follows that

$$(4.12) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbf{I} \leq C K^{-1} + C K^{\frac{n-\alpha}{\beta+n}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

On the other hand, from (4.11) as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and taking into account that $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, it follows that

$$(4.13) \quad u \in L^p((0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Taking the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12), and applying (4.13) along with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p(t, x) dx dt \lesssim K^{-1}.$$

Therefore, taking a sufficiently large K we obtain the desired contradiction. \square

5. NONEXISTENCE

Proof of Theorem 1.7. (i) Repeating a similar computation as in Section 4, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} * |u|^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx \leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \beta} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ + C R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

To estimate the first term in the left-hand side of (5.1), we have

$$(\mathcal{K} * |u|^p)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(|x - y|) |u(y)|^p dy \geq \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{K}(|x - y|) |u(y)|^p dy.$$

Note that $|x| \leq R/2$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and $|\xi| \leq R$ on \mathcal{B} , where $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| \leq R/2\}$, then

$$|x - \xi|^{n-\alpha} \leq 2^{n-\alpha} R^{n-\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \xi \in \mathcal{B},$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{K}(|x - y|) \geq \mathcal{K}(2R), \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \xi \in \mathcal{B},$$

and for all $R \gg 1$, namely $2R > R_0$. So,

$$(\mathcal{K} * |u|^p)(t, x) \geq \mathcal{K}(2R) \int_{\mathcal{B}} |u(y)|^p dy, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), x \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{K} * |u|^p) \psi(t, x) dx dt &\geq \mathcal{K}(2R) \int_0^T \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} (u(t, \xi))^p \psi(t, x) d\xi dx dt \\ &\geq \text{meas}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \mathcal{K}(2R) \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{B}} (u(t, \xi))^p \psi(t, \xi) d\xi dt \\ (5.2) \quad &= CR^n \mathcal{K}(2R) \mathbf{I}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\varphi_R \equiv 1$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, and $1 \geq \varphi_R$ on \mathcal{B} . Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we infer that

$$(5.3) \quad CR^n \mathcal{K}(2R) \mathbf{I} + \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx \leq CT^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \beta} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + CR^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By choosing $R = T^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$, it follows from (5.3) and using $\mathbf{J} \leq \mathbf{I}$ and $u_0 \geq 0$, that

$$T^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \mathcal{K}(2T^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) \mathbf{I} \leq CT^{\frac{n(p-1)-\beta}{\beta p}} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

that is,

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbf{I}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(2T^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) T^{\frac{n+\beta}{\beta p}}}.$$

As

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R) R^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}} \right) > 0,$$

there exists a sequence $\{R_j\}_j$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad R_j \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}} \rightarrow \ell > 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R_j > R_{j-1}$ for all $j > 1$.

If $\ell = \infty$, replacing T by $(R_j/2)^\beta$, we have

$$\mathbf{I}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}}}.$$

Consequently, passing to the limit when $j \rightarrow \infty$, using (5.5), and the monotone convergence theorem, we arrive at

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p dx dt \leq 0,$$

that is $u = 0$ a.e., which, using (5.3), $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the dominated convergence theorem, implies that

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx \leq 0;$$

contradiction.

If $\ell < \infty$, by setting

$$R = \frac{R_j}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \left(\frac{R_j}{2}\right)^\beta K^{-1},$$

where $K \geq 1$ and $K < R_j$, so that R_j and K cannot simultaneously tend to infinity, using $u_0 \geq 0$, we conclude from (5.3) that

$$\mathbf{I} \leq C \frac{K^{\frac{1-p}{p}}}{\mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}}} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + C \frac{K^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By Young's inequality, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{I} \leq C \frac{K^{-1}}{\left(\mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} + C \frac{K^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\mathcal{K}(R_j) R_j^{\frac{n+\beta}{p}}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $\ell < \infty$, then (5.4) shows that $u \in L^p((0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This implies that $\mathbf{J} \rightarrow 0$, when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Again, passing to the limit when $j \rightarrow \infty$, using (5.5) and $\ell \in (0, \infty)$, along with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p(t, x) dx dt \lesssim K^{-1}.$$

Therefore, taking a sufficiently large K we obtain the desired contradiction.

(ii) Repeating exactly the same steps as in case (i), we obtain

$$C \mathcal{K}(2R) \mathbf{I} + R^{-n} \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx \leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{-\frac{n}{p}-\beta} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + C R^{-\frac{n}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{K}(2R) \geq \mathcal{K}(R/2)$ whenever $2R > R_0$, it follows that

$$C \mathcal{K}(R/2) \mathbf{I} + R^{-n} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} u_0(x) dx \leq C T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{-\frac{n}{p}-\beta} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + C R^{-\frac{n}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.6) \quad C \mathbf{I} + R^{-n} (\mathcal{K}(R/2))^{-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} u_0(x) dx \leq C \frac{T^{\frac{p-1}{p}} R^{-\frac{n}{p}-\beta}}{\mathcal{K}(R/2)} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} + C \frac{R^{-\frac{n}{p}} T^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{\mathcal{K}(R/2)} \mathbf{J}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By choosing $R = T^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$, it follows from (5.6), together with the condition $\mathbf{J} \leq \mathbf{I}$ and the assumption on the initial data u_0 , that

$$(5.7) \quad \mathbf{I}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{K}(T^{\frac{1}{\beta}}/2) T^{\frac{n+\beta}{\beta p}}}.$$

We conclude the desired result by proceeding in the same manner as in case (i).

(iii) In this case, as $R > 1$, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}} u_0(x) \varphi_R^\ell(x) dx &\geq \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} u_0(x) dx \\ &\geq \bar{\varepsilon} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\gamma/2} dx \\ &\geq \bar{\varepsilon} C \int_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} (R^2 + R^2)^{-\gamma/2} dx \\ &= \bar{\varepsilon} C R^{n-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by repeating the same calculation as in case (i) (see (5.3)), choosing $R = T^{1/\beta}$, and using $\mathbf{J} \leq \mathbf{I}$, we get

$$T^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \mathcal{K}(2T^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) \mathbf{I} + \bar{\varepsilon} C T^{\frac{n-\gamma}{\beta}} \leq C T^{\frac{n(p-1)-\beta}{\beta p}} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} + \frac{\bar{\varepsilon} C}{T^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \mathcal{K}(2T^{\frac{1}{\beta}})} &\leq \frac{C}{T^{\frac{n+\beta}{\beta p}} \mathcal{K}(2T^{\frac{1}{\beta}})} \mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\left(T^{\frac{n+\beta}{\beta p}} \mathcal{K}(2T^{\frac{1}{\beta}})\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{1}{2} a^p + C b^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

This implies that

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \lesssim \left(\mathcal{K}(2R)^{-1} R^{\gamma(p-1)-n-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

and so,

$$0 < \bar{\varepsilon} \lesssim \left(\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{K}(R)^{-1} R^{\gamma(p-1)-n-\beta} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = 0;$$

contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

6. GLOBAL EXISTENCE

Proof of Theorem 1.4-(ii). Since $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, it is possible to choose a positive constant $q > 0$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta(p-1)} - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{n}{\beta q} < \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta(p-1)} \quad \text{with} \quad q > p.$$

From this, it follows that

$$(6.2) \quad q > \frac{n(p-1)}{\beta + \alpha} = q_{\text{sc}} > 1.$$

We then define

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta^* &:= \frac{n}{\beta q_{\text{sc}}} - \frac{n}{\beta q} \\ &= \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta(p-1)} - \frac{n}{\beta q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, based on relations (6.1)-(6.3), the following relations hold

$$(6.4) \quad \beta^* > 0, \quad 1 - \frac{n(p-1)}{\beta q} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - (p-1)\beta^* = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad p\beta^* < 1.$$

Since $u_0 \in L^{q_{\text{sc}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, applying Lemma 2.1 with $q > q_{\text{sc}}$, and using (6.3), we get

$$(6.5) \quad \sup_{t>0} t^{\beta^*} \|S_{\beta}(t)u_0\|_{L^q} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^{q_{\text{sc}}}} =: \rho < \infty.$$

Set

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbb{X} := \left\{ u \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)); \sup_{t>0} t^{\beta^*} \|u(t)\|_{L^q} \leq \delta \right\},$$

where $\delta > 0$ is chosen to be sufficiently small. For $u, v \in \mathbb{X}$, we define the metric

$$(6.7) \quad d_{\mathbb{X}}(u, v) := \sup_{t > 0} t^{\beta^*} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^q}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that (\mathbb{X}, d) is a nonempty complete metric space. For $u \in \mathbb{X}$, we define the mapping $\Phi(u)$ by

$$(6.8) \quad \Phi(u)(t) := S_{\beta}(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S_{\beta}(t - \tau)I_{\alpha}(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Let us now verify that the operator $\Phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. By employing inequalities (6.5) and (6.6), along with Lemma 2.1, we derive the following estimate for any $u \in \mathbb{X}$,

$$t^{\beta^*} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{L^q} \leq \rho + Ct^{\beta^*} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta}(\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{q})} \|I_{\alpha}(|u|^p)(\tau)\|_{L^{r_1}} d\tau,$$

for any $1 < r_1 < q$. By employing the assumption $q > p$, and using Lemma 2.2 with $p/q = \alpha/n + 1/r_1$, we get

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} t^{\beta^*} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{L^q} &\leq \rho + Ct^{\beta^*} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta}(\frac{p-1}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{n})} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^q}^p d\tau \\ &\leq \rho + C\delta^p t^{\beta^*} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta}(\frac{p-1}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{n})} \tau^{-\beta^* p} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the parameter constraints in (6.1) and (6.4), together with the condition $p\beta^* < 1$, the integral becomes

$$(6.10) \quad \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{n}{\beta}(\frac{p-1}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{n})} \tau^{-\beta^* p} d\tau = Ct^{-\beta^*},$$

valid for all $t \geq 0$. It then follows from estimates (6.9) and (6.10) that

$$(6.11) \quad t^{\beta^*} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{L^q} \leq \rho + C\delta^p.$$

Hence, if ρ and δ are chosen sufficiently small such that $\rho + C\delta^p \leq \delta$, it follows that $\Phi(u) \in \mathbb{X}$, that is, $\Phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. A similar argument shows that, under the same smallness assumptions on ρ and δ , the operator Φ is a strict contraction. Therefore, it has a unique fixed point $u \in \mathbb{X}$ which corresponds to a mild solution of problem (1.1).

We now aim to prove that $u \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty), L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. We begin by showing that $u \in L^{\infty}((0, T), L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for some sufficiently small $T > 0$. Indeed, the previous argument ensures uniqueness in the space \mathbb{X}_T , where for any $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{X}_T := \left\{ u \in L^{\infty}((0, T), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)); \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\beta^*} \|u(t)\|_{L^q} \leq \delta \right\}.$$

Let \tilde{u} denote the local solution of (1.1) established in Theorem 1.2. From inequality (6.2), we know that $q_{\text{sc}} < q < \infty$, which implies

$$u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q_{\text{sc}}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, using $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, we have

$$n/(n - \alpha) < q_{\text{sc}} < q < n(p - 1)/\alpha.$$

Thus, Theorem 1.2 guarantees that $\tilde{u} \in L^{\infty}((0, T_{\text{max}}), L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Consequently, for sufficiently small $T > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} t^{\beta^*} \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^q} \leq \delta.$$

Due to the uniqueness of solutions in \mathbb{X}_T , it follows that $u = \tilde{u}$ on $[0, T]$, leading to the conclusion that $u \in L^{\infty}((0, T), L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

To extend the regularity to $[T, \infty)$, we employ a bootstrap argument. For $t > T$, we express $u(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - S_\beta(t)u_0 &= \int_0^T S_\beta(t-\tau)I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau + \int_T^t S_\beta(t-\tau)I_\alpha(|u|^p)(\tau) d\tau \\ &\equiv I_1(t) + I_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in L^\infty((0, T), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and $n/(n-\alpha) < q < n(p-1)/\alpha$, we immediately have $I_1 \in L^\infty((T, \infty), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Furthermore, by similar estimates used in the fixed-point argument and noting that

$$t^{-\beta^*} \leq T^{-\beta^*} < \infty,$$

we also get $I_1 \in L^\infty((T, \infty), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Next, from (6.2), we observe that $q > q_{sc}$, which guarantees the existence of some $r \in (q, \infty]$ such that

$$(6.12) \quad \frac{n}{\beta} \left(\frac{p}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{n} - \frac{1}{r} \right) < 1.$$

For $T < t$, since $u \in L^\infty((0, \infty), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$, we obtain

$$u^p \in L^\infty((T, t), L^{\frac{q}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Thus, applying Lemma 2.1, condition (6.12), and Lemma 2.2 with $p/q = \alpha/n + 1/r_1$, we deduce that $I_2 \in L^\infty((T, \infty), L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Since the terms $S_\beta(t)u_0$ and I_1 belong to

$$L^\infty((T, \infty), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty((T, \infty), L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subseteq L^\infty((T, \infty), L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

we conclude that $u \in L^\infty((T, \infty), L^r(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Iterating this procedure a finite number of times, eventually leads to $u \in L^\infty((T, \infty), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are deeply grateful to Professor Enzo Mitidieri (Italy) and Professor Manuel Del Pino (UK) for their valuable comments and advice, which significantly improved the content of the Introduction. They also wish to thank Professor Philippe Souplet (France) for his insightful recommendations on references concerning parabolic equations with time-nonlocal nonlinearities.

FUNDING

Ahmad Fino is supported by the Research Group Unit, College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East. Berikbol T. Torebek is supported by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP23483960)

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS

The manuscript has no associated data.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baras, M. Pierre, Critère d'existence de solutions positives pour des équations semi-linéaires non monotones, *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, 2:3, (1985), 185–212.
- [2] P. Baras, R. Kersner, Local and global solvability of a class of semilinear parabolic equations, *J. Diff. Equations*, 68 (1987), 238–252.
- [3] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *Introduction aux problèmes d'évolution semi-linéaires*, Ellipses, Paris, (1990).
- [4] T. Cazenave, F. Dickstein, F. D. Weissler, An equation whose Fujita critical exponent is not given by scaling, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68 (2008), 862–874.
- [5] T.A. Dao, A. Z. Fino, Critical exponent for semi-linear structurally damped wave equation of derivative type, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 43:17 (2020), 9766–9775.
- [6] T.A. Dao, M. Reissig, A blow-up result for semi-linear structurally damped σ -evolution equations, preprint on arXiv:1909.01181v1, 2019.
- [7] R. Filippucci, M. Ghergu, Fujita type results for quasilinear parabolic inequalities with nonlocal terms, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A*, 42 (2022), 1817–1833.
- [8] R. Filippucci, M. Ghergu, Higher order evolution inequalities with nonlinear convolution terms, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 221 (2022), 112881.
- [9] A. Z. Fino, M. Kirane, Qualitative properties of solutions to a time-space fractional evolution equation, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 70:1 (2012), 133–157.
- [10] A. Z. Fino, M. Kirane, B. Barakeh, S. Kerbal, Fujita type results for a parabolic inequality with a nonlinear convolution term on the Heisenberg group, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 48:13 (2025), 12863–12871.
- [11] A. Z. Fino, B. T. Torebek, Fujita-type results for parabolic equations with Hartree-type nonlinearities, *ArXiv*, (2025), arXiv:2510.11648.
- [12] A. Z. Fino, A. Viana, Local and global solvability of the Grushin heat equation with mixed nonlinear memory and reaction terms, arXiv:2507.13547.
- [13] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 13 (1966), 109–124.
- [14] M. Guedda, M. Kirane, A note on nonexistence of global solutions to a nonlinear integral equation, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 6 (1999), 491–497.
- [15] M. Guedda, M. Kirane, Criticality for Some Evolution Equations, *Differ. Equ.*, 37(4) (2001), 540–550.
- [16] K. Hayakawa, On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 4 (1973), 503–505.
- [17] M. Kirane, A. Z. Fino, B. T. Torebek, Z. Sabbagh, Cazenave-Dickstein-Weissler-type extension of Fujita's problem on Heisenberg groups, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, (2025) doi:10.1002/mma.70166.
- [18] M. Kwaśnicki, Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional laplace operator, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 20 (2017), 7–51.
- [19] N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, translated by A. P. Doohovskoy, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [20] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [21] M. Loayza, Global existence and blow up results for a heat equation with nonlinear nonlocal term, *Differential Integral Equations*, 25(7–8) (2012), 665–683.
- [22] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pohozaev, A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, *Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math.*, 234 (2001), 1–383.
- [23] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pohozaev, Liouville theorems for some classes of nonlinear nonlocal problems, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 248 (2005) 164–185.
- [24] M. Nagasawa, T. Sirao, Probabilistic treatment of the blowing up of solutions for a non-linear integral equation, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 139 (1969) 301–310.
- [25] P. Quittner and P. Souplet; *Superlinear parabolic problems, Blow-up, global existence and steady states*, Birkhauser Verlag AG, 2007.
- [26] S. G. Samko, *Hypersingular integrals and their applications, Analytical Methods and Special Functions*, vol. 5, Taylor & Francis, Ltd., London, 2002.
- [27] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(1) (2007), 67–112.
- [28] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [29] S. Sugitani, On nonexistence of global solutions for some nonlinear integral equations, *Osaka Math. J.*, 12 (1975) 45–51.

- [30] F.B. Weissler, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation, *Isr. J. Math.* 38 (1981) 29–40.
- [31] Q. S. Zhang, A new critical phenomenon for semilinear parabolic problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 219 (1998), 125–139.
- [32] Q. S. Zhang, A critical behavior for some semilinear parabolic equations involving sign changing solutions, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 50 (2002) 967–980.

AHMAD Z. FINO
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY,
AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF THE MIDDLE EAST, KUWAIT.
Email address: `ahmad.fino@aum.edu.kw`

BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING
28 SHEVCHENKO STR., 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN.
Email address: `torebek@math.kz`