On The Complexity of Atypical Special Points

David Urbanik

December 5, 2025

Abstract

Given an integral variation of Hodge structure \mathbb{V} on a complex algebraic variety S, polarized by some bilinear form $Q: \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{Z}$, it is believed that the set $\mathscr{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}} \subset S(\mathbb{C})$ of isolated atypical special points associated to (\mathbb{V},Q) forms a finite set. Here we show that the number of such points s is $O(Q(t_s,t_s)^{\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, where t_s is a minimal integral Hodge tensor defining s (in an appropriate sense). This resolves a conjecture of Grimm and Monnee.

Contents

	0.1	Conventions	
1	Introduction		
	1.1	General Background	
	1.2	Period Domains of Definition	
	1.3	Complexity of Atypical Points	
	1.4	Acknowledgements	
2	Reco	ollections	
3	Proofs		
	3.1	Proof of Theorem 1.10	
	3.2	Application to Conjecture 1.11	

0.1 Conventions

All Mumford-Tate groups in this paper are special Mumford-Tate groups. This means that if $h: \mathbb{S} \to \mathrm{GL}(V)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Hodge structure, with \mathbb{S} the Deligne torus, its Mumford-Tate group is the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of $h(\mathbb{U})$, where $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{S}$ is the "circle" subtorus defined in [GGK12, §I.A].

1 Introduction

1.1 General Background

Given a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure (V, Q) on a smooth complex algebraic variety S, one obtains a set S of *special subvarieties* of S. They are defined as follows. Given

any point $s_0 \in S(\mathbb{C})$, and any tensor $t \in \bigoplus_{a \ge 0} \mathbb{V}_{s_0}^{\otimes a}$, there is a locus

$$\operatorname{HL}(S,t) = \left\{ s \in S(\mathbb{C}) : \text{some parallel translate of } t \text{ to } \bigoplus_{a \geqslant 0} \mathbb{V}_s^{\otimes a} \text{ is Hodge} \right\}.$$

A theorem of Cattani-Deligne-Kaplan [CDK94] guarantees that $\operatorname{HL}(S,t)$ is an algebraic subvariety. Then $\mathcal S$ is the set of subvarieties of S that are obtained as irreducible components of $\operatorname{HL}(S,t)$ for some t. Note that $S \in \mathcal S$ always by taking t=0.

Definition 1.1. We say a special subvariety $Z \subset S$ is strict if it is strictly contained in S.

The Zilber-Pink conjecture studies the subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}$ of maximal atypical special subvarieties. To define the term atypical one can use $Hodge\ data$. We recall that, following [Kli17], to any variation of Hodge structure \mathbb{W} on an irreducible algebraic variety Y one can associate a pair $(\mathbf{G}_{Y,\mathbb{W}}, D_{Y,\mathbb{W}})$ called the Hodge datum of (Y,\mathbb{W}) . When \mathbb{W} is understood we write simply (\mathbf{G}_Y, D_Y) . In this case, we are interested in the situations $(Y,\mathbb{W}) = (Z,\mathbb{V}|_Z)$, with $Z \subset S$ a special subvariety, and their associated Hodge data (\mathbf{G}_Z, D_Z) . When Z = S we write $(\mathbf{G}, D) := (\mathbf{G}_S, D_S)$.

Definition 1.2. A special subvariety $Z \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be atypical if $\operatorname{codim}_S Z < \operatorname{codim}_{D_S} D_Z$.

One then has, following [BKU24, Conj 2.5]:

Conjecture 1.3 (Zilber-Pink). Given any polarizable variation of Hodge structure \mathbb{V} on a complex algebraic variety S, there are only finitely many maximal atypical special subvarieties of S for \mathbb{V} under inclusion.

To simplify matters, let us work in the additional setting where the adjoint group $\mathbf{G}_S^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -simple group; this assumption is usually satisfied in practice, for instance whenever the image of monodromy acting on the fibres of \mathbb{V} is sufficiently large. In this case *geometric Zilber-Pink* [BKU24, Thm 3.1] gives the following result:

Theorem 1.4 (BKU). When G_S^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple, the Zariski closure Z_{poscl} in S of the collection of all atypical special subvarieties of positive period dimension is contained in a finite union $Z_1 \cup \cdots \cup Z_k$ of strict special subvarieties of S.

Proof. Apply [BKU24, Thm. 3.1] to each component Z of Z_{poscl} . If condition (a) in loc. cit. occurs then we are done, otherwise (b) occurs and $Z \subsetneq S$ since \mathbf{G}_S^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple and (b) gives a non-trivial splitting of \mathbf{G}_Z . Then Z is contained in a special subvariety since $\mathbf{G}_Z \subsetneq \mathbf{G}_S$.

Remark 1.5. Note that the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 does not guarantee that the Z_i are atypical, which would follow from Conjecture 1.3.

Let us explain the term positive period dimension. Because the polarizing form induces a positive-definite bilinear form on the lattice of Hodge tensors, the image of $\pi_1(S)$ lies in \mathbf{G}_S after replacing S with a finite étale covering. Choosing a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$ containing the image of monodromy, one obtains a period map $\varphi: S \to \Gamma \backslash D_S$. We write $\pi: D_S \to \Gamma \backslash D_S$ for the obvious quotient map.

Definition 1.6. A special subvariety $Z \subset S$ is said to be of positive period dimension if $\dim \varphi(Z) > 0$. In other words, $\varphi(Z)$ is not a point.

Now let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}$ denote the subset of maximal atypical special subvarieties, and further partition \mathcal{A} as $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{pos}$, where \mathcal{A}_0 is the subset of period dimension zero and \mathcal{A}_{pos} is the subset of positive period dimension. As the union of all subvarieties in \mathcal{A}_{pos} is contained in a finite collection of strict special subvarieties by Theorem 1.4, one might expect that the main difficulty in resolving Conjecture 1.3 is to control the subset of \mathcal{A}_0 which does not lie in a strict special subvariety of S. We therefore focus in on a particular subset of \mathcal{A}_0 defined by

 $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}} := \{Z \in \mathcal{A}_0 : Z \text{ is a maximal strict special subvariety of } S\}.$

We call the varieties in $\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{iso}}$ the *isolated* atypical special subvarieties of period dimension zero.

1.2 Period Domains of Definition

In the remainder of the paper we assume that $\mathbf{G}_S^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -simple, sometimes without mentioning it. We moreover fix a representation $\rho: \mathbf{G}_S \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ coming from \mathbb{V} , where $V = \mathbb{V}_{s_0}$ is a fibre of \mathbb{V} , the choice of which is unimportant. We may thereby consider $D = D_S$ to be a collection of Hodge structures on V, and up to the action of Γ view each period domain D_Z associated to $Z \in \mathcal{S}$ as a complex submanifold of D.

Definition 1.7. A period subdomain $D' \subset D$ is a complex submanifold of the form $M_h(\mathbb{R}) \cdot h \subset D$, where $h \in D$ is a Hodge structure with Mumford-Tate group M_h .

Definition 1.8. A special subvariety Z is said to be defined by a Mumford-Tate subdomain D' if it is an irreducible component of the inverse image of $\varphi^{-1}(\pi(D'))$. It is additionally said to be atypical for D' if $\operatorname{codim}_S Z < \operatorname{codim}_D D'$. We also call D' a domain of definition for Z.

Hyperelliptic Example: We illustrate the above definition with an example. Consider the hyperelliptic curve

$$C_{t_0}: y^2 = x^5 + 20x^4 - 26x^3 + 20x^2 + x$$

= $x(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3)(x - \alpha_4).$

According to [KS08, pg. 8], the Jacobian of C_{t_0} splits up to isogeny as a product of two elliptic curves, one of which has complex multiplication. We can consider C_{t_0} as a fibre of the family

$$C_t: y^2 = x(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3)(x - t)$$

where t ranges over the complement in \mathbb{A}^1 of some finite set E where the discriminant of the right-hand side vanishes. We obtain a family $f: C \to T := \mathbb{A}^1 - E$ of hyperelliptic curves, and then a variation of integral Hodge structure $\mathbb{V} := R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}$ which is naturally polarized by the cup product. The monodromy representation associated to \mathbb{V} has image equal to a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ by [Yu97] (cf. [Hal08, §5]). The corresponding period map is a map $\varphi: S \to \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$, with $(\mathbf{G}, D) = (\mathrm{Sp}_4, \mathbb{H}_2)$.

Let $\widetilde{t}_0 \in \mathbb{H}_2$ be a point lifting $\varphi(t_0)$. Then the Hodge structure h_0 corresponding to \widetilde{t}_0 decomposes over \mathbb{Q} as a direct sum $h_{0,\mathbb{Q}} = h_1 \oplus h_1$, and we have a corresponding decomposition $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = V_1 \oplus V_2$. Since only one of the summands has CM, say it is V_1 , then there exists unique Hodge-theoretic idempotents e_1 and e_2 such that $e_i : V_{\mathbb{Q}} \to V_i$ is a surjective map of Hodge

structures and $1 = e_1 + e_2$. The summand V_1 also carries an additional Hodge-theoretic endomorphism $\eta: V_1 \to V_1$ such that $\eta^2 = -d$, with d > 0 a positive integer.

One can now consider the period subdomains $D_{e_1,\eta} \subset D_{e_1} \subset \mathbb{H}_2$ passing through \widetilde{t}_0 . The first is the one-dimensional period subdomain where both e_1 and η remain Hodge endomorphisms, and the second is the two-dimensional period subdomain where e_1 remains a Hodge idempotent. They are orbits of the real points of \mathbb{Q} -groups isogenous to $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times SO_2$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$, respectively. Both period subdomains define the point $t_0 \in S$, but only the first one does so atypically, since

$$\operatorname{codim}_{S}\{t_{0}\}=1, \quad \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{H}_{2}} D_{e_{1},\eta}=2, \quad \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{H}_{2}} D_{e_{1}}=1.$$

Definition 1.9. A period subdomain $D' \subset D$ is said to be defined by a tensor $t \in \bigoplus_{a \ge 0} V^{\otimes a}$ if the Mumford-Tate group of a very general Hodge structure $h \in D'$ is exactly the stabilizer of t.

1.3 Complexity of Atypical Points

We now assume S is quasi-projective and count the varieties in $\mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}$. For each integer r, we write $\mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r) \subset \mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}$ for the subset of special subvarieties which are defined atypically by a period domain which is itself defined by a tensor t in $\bigoplus_{a \leqslant r} V^{\otimes a}$. For a positive integer q, we additionally write $\mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r,q)$ for the further subset satisfying the property that the tensor t can be chosen such that $Q(t,t) \leqslant q$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r,q)$ is a finite set. We also use the analogous notation $\mathfrak{A}_0(r)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_0(r,q)$ to consider the same question but considering all maximal atypical special varieties of zero period dimension, not necessarily isolated. After fixing a projective compactification \overline{S} with ample line bundle \mathscr{L} , we can assign to each subvariety $Z \subset S$ a number $\deg Z := \deg_{\mathscr{L}} \overline{Z}$, with \overline{Z} the closure of Z in \overline{S} . We then write $\# \mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r,q)$ for the sum of the degrees of the elements of $\mathfrak{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r,q)$, and likewise with $\# \mathfrak{A}_0(r,q)$. In what follows, we always fix a compactification $S \subset \overline{S}$ with ample line bundle \mathscr{L} , the choice of which will be unimportant.

Theorem 1.10. Let (\mathbb{V}, Q) be an integral polarized variation of Hodge structure on a smooth quasi-projective complex algebraic variety S. Assume \mathbf{G}_S^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple. Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Then

$$\#\mathcal{A}_0^{iso}(r,q) = O(q^{\varepsilon})$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

This result can be applied to a conjecture of Grimm and Monnee. We recall from [BKU24, Def. 4.15] that the *level* of the variation of Hodge structure \mathbb{V} is the unique integer k such that the Hodge structure on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_S of \mathbf{G}_S has exactly 2k+1 non-zero Hodge summands. Using this, Grimm and Monnee predict in [GM24, Conjecture 1] that:

Conjecture 1.11 (Grimm-Monnee). Let (\mathbb{V}, Q) be a variation of polarized integral Hodge structures of even weight 2k on a smooth quasi-projective variety S, and suppose that the level of \mathbb{V} is at least three. Then

$$\# \mathcal{A}_0(1,q) = O(q^{\varepsilon})$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.10 we obtain:

Corollary 1.12. The conjecture Conjecture 1.11 of Grimm-Monnee holds when \mathbf{G}_{S}^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple.

Note that the simplicity assumption on $\mathbf{G}_S^{\mathrm{ad}}$ almost always holds in explicit examples. Since by the main result of [And92] the derived subgroup of \mathbf{G}_S contains a finite index subgroup of the image of monodromy, this assumption is satisfied whenever one has a large monodromy theorem, as for instance in the case of universal families of hypersurfaces and complete intersections in projective space [Bea09].

One may wonder why one would conjecture Conjecture 1.11, given that the Zilber-Pink conjecture Conjecture 1.3 together with the atypicality of Hodge loci of level 3 proven in [BKU24, Thm 3.3] suggests that in fact \mathcal{A}_0 should be finite. It was explained to the author by the first author of [GM24] that he expects in many situations that the function $\#\mathcal{A}_0(1,q)$ of q has a natural "small q" regime of initial growth where it behaves like an increasing subpolynomial function, before tapering off and becoming constant for large q. As a sanity check that such an expectation is not unreasonable one can then ask whether it is possible to show that the growth of $\#\mathcal{A}_0(1,q)$ is at most subpolynomial, which is what we do in this paper.

1.4 Acknowledgements

The author thanks Thomas Grimm for encouraging him to write up a proof of Theorem 1.10, as well as Gregorio Baldi and Gal Binyamini for helpful discussions.

2 Recollections

We collect various results from Hodge and unlikely intersection theory. We start with [BKT20, Thm 1.5]

Theorem 2.1 (BKT). Given a period map $\varphi: S \to \Gamma \backslash D$, there exists a finite definable cover $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ by definable simply-connected open subsets and $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ -definable local lifts

$$\psi_i: B_i \to \mathfrak{O}_i \subset D$$

of φ , where the \mathfrak{O}_i are Hodge-theoretic Siegel sets.

We refer to [BKT20] and [Urb24, §2.1] for an introduction to Hodge-theoretic Siegel sets. For the purposes of reading this paper, the reader need not know the details of the definition. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ for some m, its naive height is the maximum of the sizes of the numerators and denominators appearing the entries of v. If V is integral, this is just the magnitude of the largest entry. The crucial property we will need is the following, which is a consequence of our work in [Urb24].

Lemma 2.2. Let D be any period space for Hodge structures on a polarized lattice (V,Q), and let $\mathfrak{O} \subset D$ be a Hodge-theoretic Siegel set. Then the naive heights of integral Hodge vectors $v \in V$ associated to points $x \in \mathfrak{O}$ are bounded by a polynomial in Q(v,v).

Proof. By replacing V with $V \otimes V$ and the vectors v with $v \otimes v$, and restricting to just those Hodge vectors which are pure tensors, we may assume that Q(v,v) is always a perfect square (cf. the proof in [Urb24, §5.4]). Then by making the replacement $v \mapsto v/\sqrt{Q(v,v)}$, we can reduce to considering rational Hodge vectors $v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ where Q(v,v) = 1, and bounding the heights of those vectors by a polynomial in their denominators. This is now immediate from [Urb24, Proposition 3.3].

We will also have need for "compact duals":

Definition 2.3. The compact dual \check{D} of D is the orbit under $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$ of any point $h \in D$ inside the variety of all flags on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of subspaces with the same dimensions as those of the Hodge flags parameterized by D.

Definition 2.4. Given a period subdomain $D' = M_h(\mathbb{R}) \cdot h \subset D$, its compact dual is $\check{D}' := M_h(\mathbb{C}) \cdot h$. This is the Zariski closure of D' in \check{D} , and D' is open in \check{D}' .

The following is proven in [Urb25, Lem 7.12], based on [Voi10, Thm 4.14]:

Proposition 2.5. Hodge subdata $(M, D_M) \subset (\mathbf{G}, D)$ belong to finitely many $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivalence classes, where the action on the first entry is by conjugation and the action on the second is by translation.

Recall that for a definable subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $A^{\text{alg}} \subset A$, the algebraic part, to be the union of all real semi-algebraic curves contained in A, and set $A^{\text{tr}} = A \setminus A^{\text{alg}}$. For a definable set A, we write A[d,T] to be the set of points contained in A, defined over a number field of degree at most d, and with naïve height at most T. As a consequence of the Northcott property, this set is finite, and we write #A[d,T] for its cardinality. We have the following important result of Pila-Wilkie [PW06], in the strengthened form proven by Pila in [Pil09, Thm. 5.3].

Definition 2.6. A block in \mathbb{R}^m is the image under a semi-algebraic map $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ of a connected open definable submanifold of a regular semi-algebraic set in \mathbb{R}^n (cf. [Pil09, Def 3.2, 1]).

Theorem 2.7. For any definable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, integer d, and constant $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $J = J(A, d, \varepsilon)$ and definable families of blocks $A_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{\mu_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, J$, such that:

- (1) For each j and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{\mu_j}$, we have $A_{j,\eta} \subset A$.
- (2) The set A[d,T] is contained in $O_{A,d,\varepsilon}(T^{\varepsilon})$ blocks contained in A, each a fibre of one of the families A_i ; in particular,

$$A^{tr}[d,T] = O_{A,d,\varepsilon}(T^{\varepsilon}).$$

(3) Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the union over all j of all the fibres of the A_j of positive dimension. Then W is definable, contained in A^{alg} , and

$$(A-W)[d,T] = O_{A,d,\varepsilon}(T^{\varepsilon}).$$

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.10

Using the main result of [And92], the algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{H}_S of S (cf. [Urb24, Def. 1.15]) is a normal subgroup of the derived subgroup of \mathbf{G}_S . The fact that we assume $\mathbf{G}_S^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -simple then means that \mathbf{H}_S is either trivial or equal to the derived subgroup of \mathbf{G}_S . In the former case the period map φ is constant (cf. [GGK12, III.A.2]) and there is nothing to show, so we assume the latter. Then \check{D} is a homogeneous space for \mathbf{H}_S .

One easily reduces to considering just those elements of $\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{iso}}(r)$ with dimension d, and then by intersecting S with generic hyperplane sections and arguing as in [Urb24, §5.1] we may reduce to the case where d=0. We therefore write $\mathcal{P}_0^{\text{iso}} \subset \mathcal{A}_0^{\text{iso}}$ for the subset of maximal atypical varieties of zero dimension (instead of just zero period dimension), and analogously for $\mathcal{P}_0^{\text{iso}}(r)$ and $\mathcal{P}_0^{\text{iso}}(r,q)$. Note we can now identify $\mathcal{P}_0^{\text{iso}}$ and all of its subsets with subsets of $S(\mathbb{C})$, and we will do so; the quantities $\#\mathcal{P}_0^{\text{iso}}(r,q)$ are then just the cardinalities of these sets. Considering the definable cover $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$, it suffices to reduce to proving the same bound for the subsets $\mathcal{P}_i(q) \subset \mathcal{P}_0^{\text{iso}}(r,q)$ corresponding to those points that lie in B_i , and then by computing the image $\psi_i(B_i)$ and using that the number of isolated points in the fibres of the definable map ψ_i is universally bounded, to proving the same bound for the subsets $\mathcal{B}_i(q) := \psi_i(\mathcal{P}_i(q)) \subset \psi_i(B_i)$. We likewise set $\mathcal{B}_i := \psi_i(\mathcal{P}_i)$. After replacing $\mathbb V$ with $\mathbb V \otimes \mathbb V$ if necessary we may assume that the Hodge structures parameterized by $\mathbb V$ have even weight. Twisting if necessary, we may then assume that the Hodge structures parameterized by $\mathbb V$ have weight zero.

Definition 3.1. We say that a point $h \in \mathcal{B}_i$ is defined by a Hodge subdatum $(M, D') \subset (\mathbf{G}, D)$ if $(M_h, M_h(\mathbb{R}) \cdot h) \subset (M, D')$ and h is an isolated point of $\psi(B_i) \cap D'$.

Via Proposition 2.5 above, we have finitely many $\mathbf{G}_S(\mathbb{R})$ -equivalence classes of Hodge subdata $(M, D_M) \subset (\mathbf{G}, D)$. Call such an equivalence class a type, and label it by τ . Because there are finitely many types, it suffices to prove the statement just for those elements of \mathfrak{B}_i for which the period domain which defines it atypically has type τ . More precisely, we may consider

$$\mathfrak{B}_i(\tau) := \left\{ h \in \mathfrak{B}_i : \underset{\text{and such that } D' \text{ is defined by some } t \in \bigoplus_{a \leqslant r} V^{\otimes a} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_i(\tau,q) := \left\{ h \in \mathfrak{B}_i : \underset{\text{that } D' \text{ is defined by some } t \in \bigoplus_{a \leqslant r} V^{\otimes a} \right\}$$

We may then reduce to showing that $|\mathfrak{B}_i(\tau,q)| = O(q^{\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. We therefore drop the subscript and just write $\mathfrak{B}(\tau) = \mathfrak{B}_i(\tau)$, $\mathfrak{B}(\tau,q) = \mathfrak{B}_i(\tau,q)$ and $\psi(B) = \psi_i(B_i)$.

We write \check{D} for the compact dual of D; one can view \check{D} as the $G(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of any point $h \in D$ inside the algebraic variety of all flags on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of subspaces with the same dimensions as those of the Hodge flags parameterized by D. Note that D is an open subset of \check{D} . Write W_a for the affine space associated to the lattice $V^{\otimes a}$. The naive height on V induces a naive height on each $V^{\otimes a}$, and these heights can be extended to a Weil heights $\theta_a:W_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\to\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ and more generally a Weil height $\theta:\prod_{a\leqslant r}W_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\to\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. One likewise obtains, using the polarization-induced isomorphism $V\simeq V^\vee$, heights on the affine spaces associated to V^\vee and the tensor powers $V^{\otimes a}\otimes (V^\vee)^{\otimes b}$, and then a height on \mathbf{G}_S which one regards inside the affine space associated to $V\otimes V^\vee$.

In what follows we fix, for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}(\tau)$, the data of:

- a pair (M_{ξ}, D_{ξ}) of type τ which defines ξ atypically; and
- a tensor $t_{\xi} \in \bigoplus_{a \leq r} V^{\otimes a}$ defining D_{ξ}

subject to the condition that $Q(t_{\xi}, t_{\xi})$ is minimized.

Notation. For a tensor t we write $NL_t \subset D$ for the locus of flags F^{\bullet} such that $t \in F^0$, and $\mathbf{M}_t \subset \mathbf{G}$ for the subgroup stabilizing t. Given a Hodge datum (M, D'), we write $NL_M \subset D$ for the locus of all flags F^{\bullet} such that all tensors stabilized by M lie in F^0 .

Fix a representative (M, D') for the type τ , and let $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be the subgroup which stabilizes \check{D}' as a subvariety (each point of \check{D}' is sent to another point of \check{D}'). Note that $M \subset \mathbf{L}$.

Proposition 3.2. Fix a quasi-projective embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$, and consider the induced Weil height $\theta_{\mathbf{L}} : (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L})(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. Then there exists a map $\beta : \mathfrak{B}(\tau) \to (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L})(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with the following properties:

- (i) there exists a constant d such that each point in the image of β lies inside a number field of degree at most d;
- (ii) for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}(\tau)$ the height $\theta_{\mathbf{L}}(\beta(\xi))$ is bounded by a polynomial in $Q(t_{\xi}, t_{\xi})$; and
- (iii) for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}(\tau)$ we have $\beta(\xi) \cdot \check{D}' = \check{D}_{\xi}$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to [Urb25, Prop. 7.15]. For illustrative purposes we divide into two cases. In what follows we write $W = \prod_{a \leq r} W_a$, which is the affine space corresponding to $\bigoplus_{a \leq r} V^{\otimes a}$.

dim D'=0: In this case $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L}=\check{D}$, and the points in $\mathfrak{B}(\tau)$ all correspond to Hodge structures with complex multiplication. We consider the algebraic family $y:\mathcal{T}\to W$ whose fibres are the loci NL_t . Then there is a constructible sublocus $W_0\subset W$, defined over \mathbb{Q} , such that the fibres of y above W_0 are zero dimensional. We then consider the family $y_0:\mathcal{T}_0\to W_0$, where $\mathcal{T}_0=y^{-1}(W_0)$. Then y_0 is quasi-finite, so the heights of the points in the fibres are polynomially bounded by the heights of the points in the image. In particular for each $t\in W_0$ associated to a point of $\mathfrak{B}(\tau)$ we obtain that the associated point in $y_0^{-1}(t_\xi)$ corresponding to D_ξ has uniformly bounded field of definition and height bounded by a polynomial in the height of t_ξ , and hence a polynomial in $Q(t_\xi, t_\xi)$ using Lemma 2.2. Using the identification $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L}=\check{D}$, properties (i), (ii), and (iii) follow immediately.

dim D' > 0: Arguing in a similar fashion to [Urb25, Prop. 7.15], there exists a finite union of locally closed \mathbb{Q} -subvarieties $\mathcal{T}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_\ell \subset W \times \operatorname{GL}_m$ such that the union $\bigcup_i \mathcal{T}_i$ is exactly the locus of (t,g) satisfying property that $\operatorname{NL}_t = g \operatorname{NL}_M$. Indeed, this is a constructible algebraic condition over \mathbb{Q} . Note that in the situation where t is a Hodge tensor for some Hodge structure $h \in D$ with $M_h = \mathbf{M}_t$, and $(M_h, M_h(\mathbb{R}) \cdot h)$ has type τ , then one can take for g any $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g(M, D') = (M_h, M_h(\mathbb{R}) \cdot h)$ and the corresponding point (t, g) lies inside some \mathcal{T}_i .

By considering the projections to W, we obtain a finite collection $y_i : \mathcal{T}_i \to W_i$ of algebraic families, with $W_i \subset W$, such that the fibre of y_i above t consists of those g satisfying (a) and (b). Each fibre of y_i is naturally a torsor under the algebraic group \mathbf{N} which preserves the algebraic variety NL_M , which by an analogous argument to [GGK12,

VI.A.3] (where $\mathbf{G} = \operatorname{Aut}(V, Q)$) is just the normalizer of M in \mathbf{G} . Fix some $y = y_i$ which we write as $y : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{W}$.

Claim: It suffices to show that, for any $t \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Q})$, we can construct some $g_t \in y^{-1}(t)$ defined over a number field of uniformly bounded degree whose height is bounded by a uniform polynomial in in the height $\theta(t)$ of t.

Indeed, let us suppose can achieve this, and fix representatives n_1, \ldots, n_k defined over a number field for the components of \mathbf{N}/M . Considering some $\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)$ we may set $\beta(\xi) := g_{t_{\xi}}\mathbf{L}$ and write $g_{\xi} = g_{t_{\xi}}$. Then (i) is true by assumption. We claim that (iii) is achieved up to replacing g_{ξ} with $g_{\xi}n_i$ for some n_i as above: indeed, by our reasoning above any $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g(M, D') = (M_{\xi}, M_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \xi)$ will produce a point in $y^{-1}(t_{\xi})$ which satisfies (iii). On the other hand action of \mathbf{N} on the set of components of $\mathbf{N}L_M$ factors through $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$, where $\mathbf{N}^{\circ} \supset M$ is the identity component of \mathbf{N} , so it suffices to choose n_i such that $g_{\xi}n_i$ and g lie in the same component of the torsor $y^{-1}(t_{\xi})$. Finally, (ii) is automatic from the properties of heights under polynomial maps together with Lemma 2.2.

To show the claim, observe that **N** acts freely transitively on the fibres of y. Thus, after further stratifying \mathcal{W} (cf. our argument in [Urb25, Prop. 7.15]), the map y is an fppf torsor, and hence an étale torsor by [hmb]. This implies that we can, after replacing \mathcal{W} with an étale cover, choose an algebraic section of y. The result follows.

For a definable set A, write A^0 for its subset of zero-dimensional components (isolated points). We consider the definable set

$$\mathcal{G} := \{ (F^{\bullet}, g) \in \widecheck{D} \times (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L})(\mathbb{C}) : F^{\bullet} \in [g\widecheck{D}' \cap \psi(B)]^{0} \}.$$

By construction, the sets $\mathscr{G} \cap [\check{D} \times \{g\}]$ are finite for each $g \in (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L})(\mathbb{C})$, so applying [Urb24, Lem. 4.2] there exists a definable partition $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{Y}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathscr{Y}_k$ with the property that $\rho_i : \mathscr{Y}_i \to (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{L})(\mathbb{C})$ is injective for each i. If we write \mathscr{F}_i for the image of ρ_i and $\mathfrak{D}_i \subset \check{D}$ for the projection of \mathscr{Y}_i to \check{D} , then we obtain definable maps $e_i : \mathscr{F}_i \to \psi(B) \subset \mathfrak{D}_i$ which are obtained as compositions $\operatorname{pr}_{\check{D}} \circ \rho_i^{-1}$. Note that, for each $\xi \in \mathscr{B}(\tau)$, the point $(\xi, \beta(\xi))$ is a point of some \mathscr{Y}_i .

Now suppose that, for some constant c > 0, we have that $|\Re(\tau, q)| \ge cq^{\varepsilon}$ for infinitely many q as $q \to \infty$. Then necessarily, after possibly shrinking c, there is some i such that

$$\left|\underbrace{\{(\xi,\beta(\xi)):\xi\in\mathfrak{B}(\tau,q)\}\cap\mathcal{Y}_{i}}_{\mathfrak{E}_{i}(q)}\right|\geqslant cq^{\varepsilon} \tag{1}$$

for infinitely many q as $q \to \infty$. We fix this index i and write $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_i$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_i$, $\mathcal{C}(q) = \mathcal{C}_i(q)$ and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}_i$. Note that if we start with a point $(\xi, \beta(\xi)) \in \mathcal{C}(q)$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)$, then $e(\beta(\xi)) = \xi$.

Now fix, using Proposition 3.2(ii), an exponent m and constant κ such that $\theta_{\mathbf{L}}(\beta(\xi)) \leq \kappa Q(\xi, \xi)^m$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}(\tau)$. Each point $\xi \in \mathfrak{B}(\tau)$ such that $(\xi, \beta(\xi)) \in \mathfrak{C}(q)$ satisfies the property that $\beta(\xi) \in \mathcal{F}[d, \kappa q^m]$ with d as in Proposition 3.2(i), so necessarily as ρ is injective

$$|\mathcal{F}[d, \kappa q^m]| \geqslant cq^{\varepsilon} \tag{2}$$

for infinitely many q as $q \to \infty$, where we use that ρ is injective. Then using Theorem 2.7(ii) and choosing the ε in Theorem 2.7 to be our $\varepsilon/2m$, the set $\mathcal{F}[d, \kappa q^m]$ is contained in $O(q^{\varepsilon/2})$

blocks contained in \mathcal{F} . In particular, we can take q large enough such that one such block, call it A, contains at least two points $\beta(\xi)$ and $\beta(\xi')$ with $\beta(\xi) \neq \beta(\xi')$. Then the definable function e takes both the values ξ and ξ' on A, hence is non-constant on A. By the definition of block A is connected, so the image e(A) is a connected definable set containing at least two distinct points ξ and ξ' , so necessarily of positive definable dimension.

By replacing A with a semi-algebraic curve $A' \subset A$ which passes through $\beta(\xi)$ and $\beta(\xi')$, we may assume that A has real dimension 1. We can then choose some real semi-algebraic curve $\widetilde{A} \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$ which maps surjectively onto A and thereby learn that the union

$$\bigcup_{a \in \widetilde{A}} a\widecheck{D}' \cap \psi(B) = (\widetilde{A} \cdot \widecheck{D}') \cap \psi(B)$$

has a component of positive definable dimension (i.e., the one containing e(A)) passing through both ξ and ξ' . Applying [PT13, Lem. 4.1] (cf. [Urb25, Lem. 7.18]) and the fact that \mathcal{F} is complex-analytic, we may even assume that \widetilde{A} is a complex-algebraic curve. Set $\widetilde{E} := \widetilde{A} \cdot \widecheck{D}'$. Let $C \subset \widecheck{E} \cap \psi(B)$ be a positive-dimensional complex analytic component containing ξ .

Now because \tilde{A} is a curve, the constructible algebraic set \check{E} has (complex) dimension $\dim \check{D}' + 1$. Since the points in $\mathfrak{B}(\tau)$ are defined atypically by translates of \check{D}' , this means in particular that

$$\operatorname{codim}_{\psi(B)} C = \dim \psi(B) - 1 < \operatorname{codim}_{\check{D}} \check{D}' - 1 = \operatorname{codim}_{\check{D}} \check{E}.$$

Applying the Ax-Schanuel Theorem for variations of Hodge structures [BT17, Thm. 1.1] together with the fact that \check{D} is equal to the **H**-orbit of a point in \check{D} (note in [BT17] that they write **G** for our **H**), one learns that $\varphi^{-1}(\pi(C))$ lies in a finite union of strict positive-dimensional (in the sense of period dimension) weakly special subvarieties of S. By construction the special point in $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r)$ mapping to ξ lies inside one of these subvarieties. Moreover these weakly special subvarieties can be assumed to be special subvarieties of S as a consequence of the \mathbb{Q} -simplicity of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ as well as [KOU23, Lem 2.5]. But points of $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{iso}}(r)$ do not lie in strict positive-dimensional special subvarieties of S by assumption.

We conclude that our assumption that $\Re(\tau,q) \ge cq^{\varepsilon}$ for some $c,\varepsilon>0$ as $q\to\infty$ is violated, so $\Re(\tau,q)=O(q^{\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, completing the proof.

Remark 3.3. The second last paragraph of the above argument is the only portion that uses that $\mathbf{G}_S^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -simple, which is used to ensure the notion of atypicality in terms of special varieties agrees with that coming from Ax-Schanuel, as well as to ensure that a strict positive-dimensional weakly special subvariety lies in a strict special subvariety.

3.2 Application to Conjecture 1.11

The statement Theorem 1.10 immediately specializes to Conjecture 1.11 by substituting r = 1, except for the possible difference between $\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{iso}}(1,q)$ and $\mathcal{A}_0(1,q)$. However we observe that:

Lemma 3.4. When the level of \mathbb{V} is at least three, then $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{A}_0^{iso}$.

Proof. If some variety Z is in \mathcal{A}_0 but not in $\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{iso}}$ then it is a maximal atypical special subvariety of zero period dimension contained in some maximal strict special subvariety Y of S of positive period dimension (a priori not necessarily atypical). Since \mathbb{V} has level at

least three, all such Y are in fact atypical by [BKU24, Thm 3.3], so this cannot happen because $Z \in \mathcal{A}_0$ means it is a maximal atypical special subvariety.

Note that in particular one obtains the following strengthening of Corollary 1.12:

Theorem 3.5. Let (\mathbb{V}, Q) be an integral polarized variation of Hodge structure on a smooth quasi-projective complex algebraic variety S. Assume \mathbf{G}_S^{ad} is \mathbb{Q} -simple and \mathbb{V} has level at least three. Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Then

$$\#\mathcal{A}_0(r,q) = O(q^{\varepsilon})$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

References

- [And92] Yves André. Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures and the theorem of the fixed part. *Compositio Mathematica*, 82(1):1–24, 1992.
- [Bea09] Arnaud Beauville. The primitive cohomology lattice of a complete intersection. C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris, 347(23-24):1399–1402, 2009.
- [BKT20] Bakker Benjamin, Bruno Klingler, and Jacob Tsimerman. Tame topology of arithmetic quotients and algebraicity of Hodge loci. *American Mathematical Society*, 33:917–939, 2020.
- [BKU24] Gregorio Baldi, Bruno Klingler, and Emmanuel Ullmo. On the distribution of the Hodge locus. *Invent. Math.*, 235(2):441–487, 2024.
- [BT17] Benjamin Bakker and Jacob Tsimerman. The Ax-Schanuel conjecture for variations of Hodge structures. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 217:77–94, 2017.
- [CDK94] Eduardo Cattani, Pierre Deligne, and Aroldo Kaplan. On the locus of Hodge Classes. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 8, 03 1994.
- [GGK12] Mark Green, Phillip Griffiths, and Matt Kerr. Mumford-Tate Groups and Domains: Their Geometry and Arithmetic (AM-183). Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [GM24] Thomas W. Grimm and Jeroen Monnee. Finiteness theorems and counting conjectures for the flux landscape, 2024.
- [Hal08] Chris Hall. Big symplectic or orthogonal monodromy modulo ℓ . Duke Math. J., $141(1):179-203,\ 2008.$
- [hmb] Laurent Moret-Bailly (https://mathoverflow.net/users/7666/laurent-moret bailly). Does local triviality in the fppf topology imply local triviality in the etale topology? MathOverflow. URL:https://mathoverflow.net/q/51051 (version: 2011-01-03).
- [Kli17] Bruno Klingler. Hodge loci and atypical intersections: conjectures. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:1711.09387, November 2017.
- [KOU23] Bruno Klingler, Ania Otwinowska, and David Urbanik. On the fields of definition of Hodge loci. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 56(4):1299–1312, 2023.

- [KS08] Kiran S Kedlaya and Andrew V Sutherland. Hyperelliptic curves, l-polynomials, and random matrices. arXiv preprint arXiv:0803.4462, 2008.
- [Pil09] Jonathan Pila. On the algebraic points of a definable set. Sel. Math., New Ser., 15(1):151–170, 2009.
- [PT13] Jonathan Pila and Jacob Tsimerman. The André-Oort conjecture for the moduli space of abelian surfaces. *Compos. Math.*, 149(2):204–216, 2013.
- [PW06] J. Pila and A. J. Wilkie. The rational points of a definable set. Duke Math. J., $133(3):591-616,\ 2006.$
- [Urb24] David Urbanik. Degrees of Hodge Loci. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2412.08924, December 2024.
- [Urb25] David Urbanik. Geometric G-functions and atypicality. Duke Math. J., $174(12):2425-2512,\ 2025.$
- [Voi10] Claire Voisin. Hodge loci. Handbook of moduli, 3:507–546, 2010.
- [Yu97] Jiu-Kang Yu. Toward a proof of the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture in the function field case. *preprint*, 1997.