

General SIGW source for reheating dynamics

M. Laine^a, S. Procacci^{b,1}

^a*AEC, Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern,
Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland*

^b*KKM BKW AG, Mühleberg, Switzerland*

Abstract

Working in an arbitrary gauge, we derive the source term for scalar-induced gravitational waves (SIGW) valid during a general reheating epoch. Specifically, the dominant energy component is allowed to transition smoothly from an inflaton field to a radiation fluid, possibly via a period of matter domination. Gauge invariance is verified up to second order.

¹Previously at: Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève, 24 quai Ernest Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Derivation of the effective source term	3
2.1	General setup	3
2.2	Left-hand side of the Einstein equations	3
2.3	Right-hand side of the Einstein equations	6
2.4	Equating the sides	8
3	Physical interpretation of the effective wave equation	9
4	Summary and outlook	11
A	Shear part of the second-order Einstein tensor	12

1. Introduction

The observed anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) and large-scale structure (LSS) suggest that the early universe featured a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of density (“scalar”) perturbations. However, CMB and LSS observations constrain the amplitude of the spectrum only in a relatively narrow range of small momenta, leaving over the possibility of large deviations from scale invariance at larger momenta. The idea of having large-momentum peaks is exciting, because they might facilitate the formation of exotic structures, like early galaxies or primordial black holes, both actively searched for.

At second order in perturbation theory, peaked features in scalar power spectra (whether of curvature or isocurvature type) lead also to the enhanced generation of gravitational waves (cf. refs. [1, 2] for key notions, refs. [3–6] for influential early works, and ref. [7] for a review). This in turn opens a door for their experimental search (cf., e.g., refs. [8–11]). This mechanism is conventionally referred to as “scalar-induced gravitational waves” (SIGW).

The theoretical computation of the SIGW spectrum consists of two parts. The first is the derivation of the source term, appearing on the right-hand side of the inhomogeneous differential equation satisfied by gravitational perturbations. The second is the solution of the differential equation with the Green’s functions method, and the evaluation of the gravitational-wave energy density at the time of observation (cf., e.g., refs. [12–17]). Of particular intrigue are gravitational waves sourced at relatively late times, for instance during a period of matter domination at the end of inflation (cf., e.g., refs. [18–23]). In the present paper, we concentrate on the first part, the derivation of the source term, however one application that we have in mind is indeed related to the reheating epoch (cf. sec. 4). Our

source term is general enough to also have other applications, such as gravitational waves generated by irrotational velocity perturbations ($\delta\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\delta v$ so that $\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$) during a first-order phase transition in the radiation-dominated epoch.

In every SIGW-related computation, gauge dependence is an important issue. When deriving the source term, the early SIGW papers had fixed a gauge. Subsequently, relaxing the assumption, the result inevitably appears to depend on the gauge (cf., e.g., refs. [24–28]). This then questions the physical significance of the SIGW mechanism.

However, an apparent gauge dependence is not the end of the story. The fields that appear in the equations are not independent of each other, but they are interrelated by the Einstein equations. It could be that when posing a well-defined initial-value problem, solving the evolution equations, and evaluating a consistently defined gravitational-wave energy density at the end, the apparent gauge dependence drops out. This has led to a large body of work, ranging from theoretical considerations about how to properly define the observable, via arguments about the superiority of certain “well-behaved” gauges, to practical checks, suggesting that in the end the SIGW signal should be physical after all (cf., e.g., refs. [29–43]). A clearly expressed summary on many of these approaches (and their possible shortcomings) can be found in ref. [40].

Despite the work done, there are arguably gaps to fill. One of them is that in the literature, the source term is regularly represented by a single curvature perturbation. However, at horizon re-entry, as they start to undergo acoustic oscillation, different scalar degrees of freedom (inflaton field, fluid velocities, fluid temperatures) obtain independent dynamics, even in a minimal system in which no primordial isocurvature perturbations are present. As we will show, this changes the structure of the source term (cf. eq. (3.3)).

The concrete goal of the present study is to enrich the gauge-independent SIGW setup so that a general reheating epoch can be investigated. Specifically, we keep track of four scalar degrees of freedom in the metric, an energy-momentum tensor containing both an inflaton field and fluids, and an expanding background whose nature is left unspecified. Following ref. [40], we show that the resulting equation is gauge invariant at second order. However, it does contain non-propagating metric perturbations evaluated at the observation time, whose values are ambiguous. We subsequently argue that unambiguous results can be obtained by carrying out measurements in a locally flat patch.

Our presentation is organized as follows. After defining our setup (cf. sec. 2.1), we work out the left-hand side of the Einstein equations, i.e. the Einstein tensor (cf. sec. 2.2). Then we turn to the right-hand side of the Einstein equations, i.e. the energy-momentum tensor (cf. sec. 2.3). This requires more thought than the left-hand side, as background and first-order relations need to be properly inserted in order to obtain a transparent outcome. The key part is to equate the two sides, finding a substantial cancellation between gauge-dependent terms (cf. sec. 2.4). Subsequently, we show that the non-cancelled remainder is gauge-invariant,

and discuss how physical information can be extracted from it (cf. sec. 3). We conclude this study with a summary and outlook (cf. sec. 4).

2. Derivation of the effective source term

2.1. General setup

Our goal is to derive a SIGW source term that is broadly applicable to a single-field inflationary scenario. Notably, we allow for a smooth transition from the inflationary epoch to a heating-up period, as well as to the subsequent radiation or matter-dominated expansion. To this aim, we consider an energy-momentum tensor composed of a scalar field, φ , and a set of fluids, numbered by n , with their respective flow velocities $u_{n\mu}$. The overall energy density, e , and pressure, p , include contributions from the energy densities and pressures of the various fluids, and from the self-interaction potential of φ , $e \equiv \sum_n e_n + V$, $p \equiv \sum_n p_n - V$. We also incorporate an anisotropic stress, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$, which could involve shear-viscous corrections to fluid motions; for the moment we keep it as such, and return *a posteriori* to its interpretation (cf. sec. 3). With these ingredients, the energy-momentum tensor takes the form

$$T_{\mu\nu} \equiv \varphi_{,\mu}\varphi_{,\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}\varphi_{,\alpha}\varphi^{,\alpha}}{2} + \sum_n (e_n + p_n) u_{n\mu}u_{n\nu} + \sum_n p_n g_{\mu\nu} + a^2 \Pi_{\mu\nu} , \quad (2.1)$$

where $(\dots)_{,\mu} \equiv \partial_\mu(\dots)$; the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$, is assumed to have the signature $(-+++)$; and a is the cosmological scale factor. We note that the kinetic energy of φ is kept apart, as it appears in a different covariant structure than the fluid terms.

The metric that appears in eq. (2.1) can be parametrized as

$$g_{\mu\nu} \equiv a^2(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} -1 - 2h_0 & -h_j \\ -h_i & (1 - 2h_D) \delta_{ij} + 2\vartheta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (2.2)$$

where τ denotes the conformal time coordinate, and the function ϑ_{ij} is defined to be traceless. Up to this point, no approximation has been made. From the metric, we can derive the Einstein tensor ($G_{\mu\nu}$), and then impose the Einstein equations between $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$,

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} , \quad (2.3)$$

where $G = 1/m_{\text{pl}}^2$ is the Newton constant and $m_{\text{pl}} = 1.2209 \times 10^{19}$ GeV is the Planck mass. We place both indices down in $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$, as this guarantees that the tensors are symmetric.

2.2. Left-hand side of the Einstein equations

We expand the left-hand side of eq. (2.3) in a perturbative approach. In considerations of SIGW, it is sufficient to restrict to scalar and tensor fluctuations of the metric, with “scalar”

and “tensor” referring to transformations in a plane transverse to ∂_i . Concretely, we write

$$-h_i \equiv h_{,i}, \quad \vartheta_{ij} \equiv \vartheta_{ij}^t + \vartheta_{,ij} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \vartheta_{,kk}, \quad Y \equiv h_D + \frac{\vartheta_{,kk}}{3}, \quad (2.4)$$

where latin indices are assumed spatial; the tensor part satisfies $\vartheta_{kk}^t = 0 = \vartheta_{ik,k}^t$; and a sum over repeated indices is understood. The metric then takes the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = a^2(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} -1 - 2h_0 & h_{,j} \\ h_{,i} & (1 - 2Y) \delta_{ij} + 2(\vartheta_{,ij} + \vartheta_{ij}^t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

It involves four scalar degrees of freedom, h_0 , h , Y , and ϑ . Given the freedom of coordinate transformations, only two of their linear combinations are physical, but we retain all four, in order to parametrize the ambiguity and to be able to reconstruct any gauge.

For inverting the metric, we note that for a matrix of the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = a^2(\eta + A), \quad \eta \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

the inverse reads

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{a^2} (\eta - \eta A \eta + \eta A \eta A \eta + \dots). \quad (2.7)$$

Treating h_0 , h , Y , and ϑ as first-order variables, i.e. of $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$ (though they may contain second and higher-order corrections), we work up to second order in scalar perturbations (i.e. up to and including $\mathcal{O}(A^2) \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$). The tensor perturbations are counted as second-order variables, i.e. of $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$, so that we can remain at linear order in their treatment.

Given the metric and its inverse, we compute the Christoffel symbols, $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \equiv g^{\rho\sigma}(g_{\sigma\mu,\nu} + g_{\sigma\nu,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\sigma})/2$; the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma_{\mu\nu,\alpha}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha,\nu}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha$; the Ricci scalar, $R \equiv g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$; and the Einstein tensor, $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} R/2$. For the non-diagonal spatial part, the result of this computation can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij} \stackrel{i \neq j}{=} & \left[\partial_\tau^2 + 2\mathcal{H}\partial_\tau - 2(2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2) \right] (\vartheta_{,ij} + \vartheta_{ij}^t) - \nabla^2 \vartheta_{ij}^t \\ & - [h_0 - Y + (\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})h]_{,ij} \\ & + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times h_0)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times Y)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times h)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times \vartheta)} \\ & + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(Y \times Y)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(Y \times h)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(Y \times \vartheta)} \\ & + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h \times h)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h \times \vartheta)} + \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(\vartheta \times \vartheta)} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the terms $\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(f \times g)}$ are shown in eqs. (A.1)–(A.10).

Next, we go over to first-order gauge-invariant variables. Two of these are needed (cf. the discussion below eq. (2.5)), and we choose the Bardeen potentials [44] for this, defined as

$$\phi \equiv h_0 + (\partial_\tau + \mathcal{H})(h - \vartheta') , \quad (2.9)$$

$$\psi \equiv Y + \mathcal{H}(\vartheta' - h) . \quad (2.10)$$

We substitute $h_0 = \phi - (\partial_\tau + \mathcal{H})(h - \vartheta')$ and $Y = \psi + \mathcal{H}(h - \vartheta')$. Consequently, the functions $\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(\phi \times \phi)}$, $\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(\phi \times \psi)}$, $\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(\psi \times \psi)}$ are gauge invariant and unambiguous up to second order, whereas the presence of either h or ϑ signals ambiguity.

Let us recall that the choice of Bardeen potentials as gauge-invariant variables does not amount to gauge fixing. In the Newton gauge ($h = \vartheta = 0$), we have $\phi = h_0$ and $\psi = h_D$. But in another gauge, like the synchronous one ($h_0 = h = 0$), we still have $\phi \neq 0$ according to eq. (2.9), and also $\psi \neq 0$. What we achieve by the substitutions below eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) is that h and ϑ do *not* appear in the first-order Einstein equations, once the right-hand side is also expressed in terms of gauge-invariant variables. Therefore, h and ϑ display no specific time evolution, and can be assigned arbitrary values at any time.

For gravitational waves, we project out the tensor part of eq. (2.8). By definition the tensor projector, \mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl} , is transverse with respect to spatial derivatives,

$$\mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl}(\dots)_{,k} = \mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl}(\dots)_{,l} = 0 . \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore indices can be re-ordered [2], $\mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl} f g_{,kl} = -\mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl} f_{,k} g_{,l} = \mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl} f_{,kl} g$. In the following, we denote $\{(\dots)_{ij}\}^t \equiv \mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl}(\dots)_{kl}$.

Inserting eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) into eq. (2.8) and projecting with \mathbb{T}_{ij}^{kl} , we find

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij}^t = & -[a^2 \square + 2(2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2)] \vartheta_{ij}^t + \{ \phi \phi_{,ij} + (2\phi - \psi) \psi_{,ij} \}^t \\ & + \left\{ (\phi' + 3\psi' + 4\mathcal{H}\phi) h_{,ij} \right. \\ & + 4[\mathcal{H}\phi' + (2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2)\phi] \vartheta_{,ij} - (\phi' + 4\mathcal{H}\phi) \vartheta'_{,ij} + \phi_{,k} \vartheta_{,ijk} \\ & + 4(\psi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi') \vartheta_{,ij} - 3\psi' \vartheta'_{,ij} - \psi_{,k} \vartheta_{,ijk} + 2(\phi_{,kk} - \psi_{,kk}) \vartheta_{,ij} \\ & + 2(\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}^2)(h - \vartheta')(h - \vartheta')_{,ij} + 4(\mathcal{H}'' - \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}^3)(h - \vartheta') \vartheta_{,ij} \\ & \left. + \frac{a^2 \square}{2} [(h - \vartheta' - 4\mathcal{H}\vartheta)(h - \vartheta')_{,ij} - 4\psi \vartheta_{,ij} - \vartheta_{,k} \vartheta_{,ijk}] \right\}^t + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) . \quad (2.12) \end{aligned}$$

Here the d'Alembert operator acts in conformal coordinates as

$$a^2 \square Q \equiv (-\partial_\tau^2 - 2\mathcal{H}\partial_\tau + \nabla^2) Q , \quad (2.13)$$

and can in practical computations be identified as the combination

$$a^2 \square(fg) = -f''g - 2f'g' - fg'' - 2\mathcal{H}(f'g + fg') + f_{,kk}g + 2f_{,k}g_{,k} + fg_{,kk} . \quad (2.14)$$

2.3. Right-hand side of the Einstein equations

Moving to the right-hand side of the Einstein equations, we expand $T_{\mu\nu}$ from eq. (2.1) up to second order in scalar perturbations. For this we write the scalar quantities as

$$\varphi \equiv \bar{\varphi} + \delta\varphi , \quad p_n \equiv \bar{p}_n + \delta p_n , \quad e_n \equiv \bar{e}_n + \delta e_n , \quad (2.15)$$

which can be viewed as definitions of $\delta\varphi$, δp_n and δe_n . The background values $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{p}_n, \bar{e}_n)$ are set to be independent of the spatial coordinates. We denote $\bar{p} \equiv \sum_n \bar{p}_n$, $\delta p \equiv \sum_n \delta p_n$, $\bar{e} \equiv \sum_n \bar{e}_n$, and $\delta e \equiv \sum_n \delta e_n$. The scalar (or irrotational) velocity perturbation, δv_n , needs to be defined so that the normalization condition $u_{n\mu}u_n^\mu = -1$ is satisfied $\forall n$, which leads to

$$u_{n\mu} = a(-1 - h_0, h_{,i} - \delta v_{n,i}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) . \quad (2.16)$$

The anisotropic (shear) stress, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$, is assumed spatial and traceless, but is otherwise kept as such, though at the end we find it natural to consider it as a *second-order* quantity, $\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$, just like ϑ_{ij}^t . It is decomposed into scalar and tensor parts like ϑ_{ij} in eq. (2.4),

$$\Pi_{ij} \equiv \Pi_{ij}^t + \Pi_{,ij} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \Pi_{,kk} . \quad (2.17)$$

Inserting eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) as well as the metric from eqs. (2.5) into eq. (2.1), and expanding to second order, the components contributing to the $i \neq j$ part of T_{ij} become

$$\varphi_{,i} \varphi_{,j} \stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \delta\varphi_{,i} \delta\varphi_{,j} , \quad (2.18)$$

$$-\frac{g_{ij} \varphi_{,\alpha} \varphi^{,\alpha}}{2} \stackrel{(2.5)}{=}_{i \neq j} (\vartheta_{ij}^t + \vartheta_{,ij}) \left[(1 - 2h_0)(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + 2\bar{\varphi}'\delta\varphi' \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) , \quad (2.19)$$

$$(e_n + p_n) u_{ni} u_{nj} \stackrel{(2.15)}{=} a^2(\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n)(h - \delta v_n)_{,i}(h - \delta v_n)_{,j} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) , \quad (2.20)$$

$$p_n g_{ij} \stackrel{(2.5)}{=}_{i \neq j} 2a^2(\vartheta_{ij}^t + \vartheta_{,ij})(\bar{p}_n + \delta p_n) . \quad (2.21)$$

The scalar perturbations introduced in eq. (2.15) are not gauge invariant. In analogy with using the Bardeen potentials for describing metric perturbations, we employ curvature perturbations for capturing gauge-invariant matter fluctuations. They are defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_\varphi \equiv -Y - \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\varphi}{\bar{\varphi}'} , \quad (2.22)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{nv} \equiv -Y + \mathcal{H}(h - \delta v_n) , \quad (2.23)$$

where $Y = h_D + \vartheta_{,kk}/3$ is the shorthand from eq. (2.4). One can similarly define a curvature perturbation for the energy density, $\mathcal{R}_{ne} \equiv -Y - \mathcal{H} \delta e_n / \bar{e}'_n$, and this is what is frequently denoted by ζ in the literature. When the first-order Einstein equations are written in terms of \mathcal{R}_φ , \mathcal{R}_{nv} , \mathcal{R}_{ne} , and the Bardeen potentials ϕ and ψ , we obtain a closed set of stochastic differential equations, in which the remaining metric perturbations h and ϑ do not appear [45]. The stochastic-noise autocorrelators are determined by the local temperatures [46].

With the help of eqs. (2.22) and (2.23), we can substitute

$$\delta\varphi_{,i} \delta\varphi_{,j} \stackrel{(2.18)}{\stackrel{(2.22)}{=}} \frac{(\bar{\varphi}')^2}{\mathcal{H}^2} (\mathcal{R}_\varphi + Y)_{,i} (\mathcal{R}_\varphi + Y)_{,j} , \quad (2.24)$$

$$(h - \delta v_n)_{,i} (h - \delta v_n)_{,j} \stackrel{(2.20)}{\stackrel{(2.23)}{=}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} (\mathcal{R}_{nv} + Y)_{,i} (\mathcal{R}_{nv} + Y)_{,j} . \quad (2.25)$$

In order to simplify the outcome, we can make use of lower-order Einstein equations. The background (Friedmann) equations read

$$8\pi G [(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + 2a^2 \bar{p}] = -2(2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2) , \quad (2.26)$$

$$8\pi G [(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + a^2(\bar{e} + \bar{p})] = 2(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}') . \quad (2.27)$$

In addition, we can make use of first-order relations. The components $0i$, $i \neq j$, and $i = j$ of the Einstein equations yield, respectively,

$$\mathcal{H}h_0 + Y' = 4\pi G [a^2 \sum_n (\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n) (\delta v_n - h) + \bar{\varphi}' \delta\varphi] , \quad (2.28)$$

$$h_0 + (\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})(h - \vartheta') - Y = -8\pi G a^2 \Pi , \quad (2.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [2(2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2) + 2\mathcal{H}\partial_\tau + \nabla^2] h_0 + [2(\partial_\tau^2 + 2\mathcal{H}\partial_\tau) - \nabla^2] Y + (\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})\nabla^2(h - \vartheta') \\ = 8\pi G \left[a^2 \left(\delta p - \frac{\nabla^2 \Pi}{3} \right) + \bar{\varphi}' (\delta\varphi' - h_0 \bar{\varphi}') \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Eq. (2.28) can be used for expressing the combination $(\bar{\varphi}')^2 \mathcal{R}_\varphi + a^2 \sum_n (\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n) \mathcal{R}_{nv}$ in terms of metric perturbations, and eq. (2.30) allows us to eliminate $\delta p = \sum_n \delta p_n$.

After having eliminated $\delta\varphi$, δv_n and δp in favour of \mathcal{R}_φ , \mathcal{R}_{nv} , and metric perturbations, we replace h_0 and Y by Bardeen potentials, as specified below eq. (2.10). Subsequently, we project T_{ij} to the tensor channel. Putting everything together, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi G T_{ij}^t &= -2(2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2) \vartheta_{ij}^t + 8\pi G a^2 \Pi_{ij}^t + \left\{ 2 \left[2\phi + \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) \psi + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} \psi' \right] \psi_{,ij} \right\}^t \\ &+ \frac{8\pi G}{\mathcal{H}^2} \left\{ (\bar{\varphi}')^2 \mathcal{R}_{\varphi,i} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi,j} + a^2 \sum_n (\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n) \mathcal{R}_{nv,i} \mathcal{R}_{nv,j} \right\}^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\{ 4(\psi' + \mathcal{H}\phi)(h - \vartheta')_{,ij} + 4[\mathcal{H}\phi' + (2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2)\phi]\vartheta_{,ij} \right. \\
& + 4(\psi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi')\vartheta_{,ij} + 2\left(\phi - \psi + \frac{8\pi G a^2 \Pi}{3}\right)_{,kk} \vartheta_{,ij} \\
& + 2(\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}^2)(h - \vartheta')(h - \vartheta')_{,ij} + 4(\mathcal{H}'' - \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}^3)(h - \vartheta')\vartheta_{,ij} \left. \right\}^t \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) . \tag{2.31}
\end{aligned}$$

The first two rows of eq. (2.31) are manifestly gauge invariant, whereas the remaining rows contain the unconstrained fields h and ϑ , and are therefore ambiguous.

2.4. Equating the sides

The remaining step is to equate (2.12) and (2.31). Moving most of the terms to the right-hand side, we observe an almost complete cancellation of the gauge-dependent parts of eq. (2.31). Left over is an effective wave equation, reading

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \square \vartheta_{ij}^t \stackrel{(2.12)}{\stackrel{(2.31)}}{=} 8\pi G \Pi_{ij}^t + \frac{6}{a^2} \left\{ \frac{(\bar{\varphi}')^2 \mathcal{R}_{\varphi,i} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi,j} + a^2 \sum_n (\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n) \mathcal{R}_{nv,i} \mathcal{R}_{nv,j}}{(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + 2a^2 \bar{e}} \right\}^t \\
& + \frac{1}{a^2} \left\{ \phi(2\psi - \phi)_{,ij} + \left(3 - \frac{2\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2}\right) \psi \psi_{,ij} + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}} \psi' \psi_{,ij} \right\}^t \\
& + \frac{1}{a^2} \left\{ (\psi - \phi)'(h - \vartheta')_{,ij} + (\psi - \phi)_{,k} \vartheta_{,ijk} + \frac{16\pi G a^2 \Pi_{,kk} \vartheta_{,ij}}{3} \right\}^t \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \square \left\{ (h - \vartheta' - 4\mathcal{H}\vartheta)(h - \vartheta')_{,ij} - 4\psi \vartheta_{,ij} - \vartheta_{,k} \vartheta_{,ijk} \right\}^t + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) . \tag{2.32}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we made use of $8\pi G/\mathcal{H}^2 = 6/[(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + 2a^2 \bar{e}]$, obtained from eqs. (2.26) and (2.27). The first two rows on the right-hand side of eq. (2.32) are gauge invariant, the last two not manifestly so, because they contain the unconstrained functions h and ϑ (however see the discussion leading to eq. (3.3)).

We note that on the last row of eq. (2.32), $-4[\mathcal{H}(h - \vartheta') + \psi]\vartheta_{,ij} = -4Y\vartheta_{,ij}$ according to eqs. (2.4) and (2.10). Comparing with literature, our variable $-2Y$ corresponds to what is denoted by ψ in ref. [40]; our $h - \vartheta'$ corresponds to their σ ; and our 2ϑ to their E . Then the last row agrees with eq. (27) of ref. [40] (even though their T_{ij}^t did not contain φ). This provides for a non-trivial crosscheck of eq. (2.32).

3. Physical interpretation of the effective wave equation

Let us elaborate on a few key properties of eq. (2.32), and on how it can be turned into an unambiguous evolution equation.

Gauge dependence. If $\phi \neq \psi$ or $\Pi \neq 0$, the third row of eq. (2.32) depends on the functions h and ϑ . The dynamics of h and ϑ are not fixed by the first-order Einstein equations, once we have expressed the latter in terms of the Bardeen potentials ϕ , ψ and the curvature perturbations \mathcal{R}_φ , \mathcal{R}_{nv} and \mathcal{R}_{ne} . This leads to an ambiguity. The ambiguity drops out if $\phi - \psi \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ and $\Pi \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$. Expressing eq. (2.29) in terms of the Bardeen potentials from eqs. (2.9) and (2.10), it reads $\psi - \phi = 8\pi G a^2 \Pi$. We thus see that a sufficient condition for the ambiguity to drop out is that $\Pi \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$, i.e. that the anisotropic stress is small enough to be counted as a *second-order quantity*. Then it does not appear in the first-order Einstein equations, but its tensor part still plays a role (see below).

The second apparent source of ambiguity in eq. (2.32) originates from the last row. We note that this term affects the gravitational wave amplitude through its value at the observation time, rather than in the early universe. Concretely, denoting by $G_{\text{R}}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y}) = \square^{-1}$ a retarded Green's function, the wave equation

$$-\square \vartheta_{ij}^{\text{t}}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{S}_{ij}^{\text{t}}(\mathcal{X}) - \square \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{\text{t}}(\mathcal{X}) \quad (3.1)$$

has the solution

$$\vartheta_{ij}^{\text{t}}(\mathcal{X}) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{\text{t}}(\mathcal{X}) = - \int_{\mathcal{Y}} G_{\text{R}}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y}) \mathcal{S}_{ij}^{\text{t}}(\mathcal{Y}) . \quad (3.2)$$

The combination $2(\vartheta_{ij}^{\text{t}} - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{\text{t}})$ corresponds to what is denoted by H_{ij}^{N} in eqs. (27) and (69) of ref. [40]. It is shown to be gauge invariant at second order in their appendix B (building upon ref. [47]). Therefore, eq. (3.2) represents a gauge-invariant solution.

However, though restoring gauge invariance, $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{\text{t}}$ is still ambiguous, because it depends on the unconstrained functions h and ϑ . As h and ϑ are non-propagating, we are free to set their values to zero at the observation time, in order to carry out the measurement in a (conformally) flat region. This promotes $\vartheta_{ij}^{\text{t}}$ to a physical observable at the second order.

We remark in passing that in some of the literature, when non-Newtonian gauges are considered, the functions appearing in $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{\text{t}}$ satisfy evolution equations, and show a definite time dependence (cf., e.g., ref. [36]). The reason is that the first-order evolution equations had not been expressed in terms of the Bardeen potentials and curvature perturbations, as we do here. In that situation, physical information is contained in the difference $\vartheta_{ij}^{\text{t}} - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^{\text{t}}$ [40].

To summarize, the physical content of eq. (2.32) can be captured by solving for the differ-

ence $\vartheta_{ij}^t - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^t$, from

$$\begin{aligned}
- \square(\vartheta_{ij}^t - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^t) &\stackrel{(2.32)}{\underset{\phi \approx \psi}{=}} 8\pi G \Pi_{ij}^t + \frac{6}{a^2} \left\{ \frac{(\bar{\varphi}')^2 \mathcal{R}_{\varphi,i} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi,j} + a^2 \sum_n (\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n) \mathcal{R}_{nv,i} \mathcal{R}_{nv,j}}{(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + 2a^2 \bar{e}} \right\}^t \\
&+ \frac{2}{a^2} \left\{ \left(2 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right) \psi \psi_{,ij} + \frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} \psi' \psi_{,ij} \right\}^t, \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where the approximation refers to $\phi = \psi + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$. We remark that circumstances can be imagined where the anisotropic stress and thus $\phi - \psi$ are not small, like when the hydrodynamic expansion is about to break down due to large gradients, but in such a situation the hydrodynamic expansion should be replaced by a more microscopic treatment in any case, so this does not represent a substantial restriction on the generality of eq. (3.3).

Structure of the effective source term. The first row of eq. (3.3) contains the curvature perturbations associated with a scalar field (\mathcal{R}_φ , cf. eq. (2.22)) and fluid velocities (\mathcal{R}_{nv} , cf. eq. (2.23)). The terms are multiplied by the respective background enthalpy densities, $(\bar{\varphi}')^2$ and $a^2(\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n)$, and divided by (twice) the overall energy density. The (stochastic) evolution equations for the curvature perturbations can be found in eqs. (3.42–44) of ref. [45] (for one fluid), while the evolution equation for the Bardeen potential ψ follows from eq. (2.28),

$$\left(\partial_\tau + \frac{2\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}} \right) \psi \stackrel{(2.28)}{\underset{\phi \approx \psi}{=}} - 3\mathcal{H} \frac{(\bar{\varphi}')^2 \mathcal{R}_\varphi + a^2 \sum_n (\bar{e}_n + \bar{p}_n) \mathcal{R}_{nv}}{(\bar{\varphi}')^2 + 2a^2 \bar{e}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Under certain conditions, eqs. (3.3) and (3.4) can be simplified. If we consider modes outside of the Hubble horizon, then all curvature perturbations take the same value, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_\varphi \approx \mathcal{R}_{nv} \forall n$. In this case, we can use eq. (3.4) to express their common value in terms of ψ . Substituting into eq. (3.3), the right-hand side is a quadratic function of ψ and its derivatives. This is the representation usually employed in the literature. However, as the modes re-enter inside the Hubble horizon, \mathcal{R}_φ and \mathcal{R}_{nv} start to undergo acoustic oscillations, and they rapidly differ from each other [45], making this simplification not viable.

A streamlining is also possible if we are *not* interested in the reheating period. Then one of the enthalpy densities may be much larger than the other ones. Under these conditions, eq. (3.4) allows us to express the corresponding curvature perturbation in terms of ψ , and this can be employed in eq. (3.3), to again give the right-hand side in terms of ψ .

Role of the tensor part of anisotropic stress. The tensor part of the anisotropic stress (Π_{ij}^t) appears on the right-hand side of eq. (3.3). It plays there a natural role, as a counterterm. Namely, when we take two scalar fluctuations at a same point, they can possess short-distance noise (of quantum or thermal origin), and yet combine to give a long-wavelength signal. In momentum space, this means that $\mathbf{p} + (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{k}$ even if $|\mathbf{p}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$. However, the physics of large momenta cannot be described by classical field theory, and a

counterterm is needed for cancelling the contribution from the short-distance domain, whose proper treatment would require a quantum-field-theoretic computation.

4. Summary and outlook

Scalar-induced gravitational waves (SIGW) have a partly controversial history, particularly in view of their gauge dependence. The purpose of this paper has been to demonstrate, for a physically relevant though, to our knowledge, previously unexplored case, how a well-defined second-order source term and wave equation can be derived, without assumptions about the dynamics of scalar perturbations, or the equation of state during or after SIGW generation.

Our main result is the gauge-independent evolution equation (3.3). On the right-hand side, \mathcal{R}_φ and \mathcal{R}_{nv} are gauge-invariant curvature perturbations, whose solution is determined by a coupled set of stochastic differential equations [45, 46], with the stochasticity sourced by thermal noise that is inevitably present as the universe is heating up. The gauge-invariant Bardeen potential, ψ , is related to \mathcal{R}_φ and \mathcal{R}_{nv} by eq. (3.4). The anisotropic stress, Π_{ij}^t , can incorporate viscous corrections to fluid flows, generate hydrodynamic fluctuations, or act as a “counterterm”, cancelling contributions from short-distance vacuum fluctuations that would require a quantum-field-theoretic treatment. On the left-hand side, ϑ_{ij}^t represents the gravitational-wave perturbation, and \mathcal{Q}_{ij}^t is necessary for guaranteeing gauge invariance at second order [40]. The latter contains non-propagating fields, which can be consistently set to zero in a locally flat region at the *observation time*. This renders the gravitational-wave energy density well-defined and measurable.

We end by invoking one fresh motivation for considering eq. (3.3), namely the SIGW spectrum generated by a Standard Model embedded scenario, implementing smooth reheating via a period of sphaleron-friction-induced warm inflation [48]. There are good reasons to expect an interesting signal in this case, given that hydrodynamic fluctuations generate an $\sim f_0^3$ infrared tail to the SIGW energy-density spectrum, proportional to the shear viscosity [49, 50], and that shear viscosity is very large in a weakly-coupled $\lambda\varphi^4$ theory, being proportional to $1/\lambda^2$ [51]. However, the scenario entails other couplings as well, notably from the vertex between φ and Standard Model fields, which may attenuate the fluctuations of φ . To reliably determine the associated fluctuation spectrum probably requires a numerical study, in which our source term should play an important role.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Philipp Klose and Alica Rogelj for previous collaborations, which had built up the basic inspiration for the present study. S.P. thanks Ruth Durrer and Stylianos Papadopoulos for many helpful discussions.

A. Shear part of the second-order Einstein tensor

For completeness, we list here the terms for the $i \neq j$ part of the Einstein tensor from eq. (2.8), before substitutions of variables or projections to the tensor channel:

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times h_0)} = 2h_0 h_{0,ij} + h_{0,i} h_{0,j} , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times Y)} = -h_{0,i} Y_{,j} - h_{0,j} Y_{,i} , \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times h)} = h'_0 h_{,ij} + 2h_0 (\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H}) h_{,ij} , \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h_0 \times \vartheta)} &= 4[(\mathcal{H}\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2)h_0] \vartheta_{,ij} - h'_0 \vartheta'_{,ij} - 2h_0 (\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H}) \vartheta'_{,ij} \\ &\quad + h_{0,k} \vartheta_{,ijk} + 2h_{0,kk} \vartheta_{,ij} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(Y \times Y)} = 2Y Y_{,ij} + 3Y_{,i} Y_{,j} , \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(Y \times h)} = Y' h_{,ij} - (\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})(Y_{,i} h_{,j} + Y_{,j} h_{,i}) , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(Y \times \vartheta)} &= 2[(3\partial_\tau^2 + 6\mathcal{H}\partial_\tau - \nabla^2)Y] \vartheta_{,ij} + Y' \vartheta'_{,ij} \\ &\quad - Y_{,k} \vartheta_{,ijk} + 2(Y_{,ik} \vartheta_{,jk} + Y_{,jk} \vartheta_{,ik} - Y_{,ij} \vartheta_{,kk} - Y_{,kk} \vartheta_{,ij}) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h \times h)} = h_{,kk} h_{,ij} - h_{,ik} h_{,jk} , \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(h \times \vartheta)} &= 2[(\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})h_{,kk}] \vartheta_{,ij} + [(\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})h_{,k}] \vartheta_{,ijk} \\ &\quad + h_{,ik} \vartheta'_{,jk} + h_{,jk} \vartheta'_{,ik} - h_{,kk} \vartheta'_{,ij} - h_{,ij} \vartheta'_{,kk} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{ij}^{(\vartheta \times \vartheta)} &= -2[(\partial_\tau + 2\mathcal{H})\vartheta'_{,kk}] \vartheta_{,ij} + \vartheta'_{,kk} \vartheta'_{,ij} - 2\vartheta'_{,ik} \vartheta'_{,jk} \\ &\quad + \vartheta_{,ikl} \vartheta_{,jkl} - \vartheta_{,ijk} \vartheta_{,kll} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

A simple **Mathematica** script reproducing these expressions and verifying eq. (2.12) is attached to this paper as an ancillary file.

References

- [1] K. Tomita, *Non-Linear Theory of Gravitational Instability in the Expanding Universe*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 37 (1967) 831.
- [2] R.A. Isaacson, *Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency. II. Nonlinear Terms and the Effective Stress Tensor*, Phys. Rev. 166 (1968) 1272.
- [3] S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach and M. Bruni, *Second order perturbations of the Einstein-de Sitter universe*, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 043504 [astro-ph/9707278].
- [4] V. Acquaviva, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, *Gauge-invariant second-order perturbations and non-Gaussianity from inflation*, Nucl. Phys. B 667 (2003) 119 [astro-ph/0209156].
- [5] K.N. Ananda, C. Clarkson and D. Wands, *Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 123518 [gr-qc/0612013].
- [6] D. Baumann, P.J. Steinhardt, K. Takahashi and K. Ichiki, *Gravitational wave spectrum induced by primordial scalar perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 084019 [hep-th/0703290].
- [7] G. Domènech, *Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves Review*, Universe 7 (2021) 398 [2109.01398].
- [8] L. Bian, S. Ge, J. Shu, B. Wang, X.-Y. Yang and J. Zong, *Gravitational wave sources for pulsar timing arrays*, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) L101301 [2307.02376].
- [9] D.G. Figueroa, M. Pieroni, A. Ricciardone and P. Simakachorn, *Cosmological Background Interpretation of Pulsar Timing Array Data*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 171002 [2307.02399].
- [10] J.E. Gammal *et al.* [LISA Cosmology Working Group], *Reconstructing primordial curvature perturbations via scalar-induced gravitational waves with LISA*, JCAP 05 (2025) 062 [2501.11320].
- [11] A.G. Abac *et al.* [LIGO Scientific, VIRGO and KAGRA], *Cosmological and High Energy Physics implications from gravitational-wave background searches in LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA's O1-O4a runs*, [2510.26848].
- [12] J.R. Espinosa, D. Racco and A. Riotto, *A cosmological signature of the SM Higgs instability: gravitational waves*, JCAP 09 (2018) 012 [1804.07732].
- [13] K. Kohri and T. Terada, *Semianalytic calculation of gravitational wave spectrum nonlinearly induced from primordial curvature perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 123532 [1804.08577].
- [14] K. Inomata, K. Kohri, T. Nakama and T. Terada, *Gravitational waves induced by scalar perturbations during a gradual transition from an early matter era to the radiation era*, JCAP 10 (2019) 071; JCAP 08 (2023) E01 (erratum) [1904.12878].
- [15] K. Inomata, K. Kohri, T. Nakama and T. Terada, *Enhancement of gravitational waves induced by scalar perturbations due to a sudden transition from an early matter era to the radiation era*, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 043532; Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 049901 (erratum) [1904.12879].
- [16] J.O. Gong, *Analytic Integral Solutions for Induced Gravitational Waves*, Astrophys. J. 925 (2022) 102 [1909.12708].

- [17] G. Domènech, *Induced gravitational waves in a general cosmological background*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 29 (2020) 2050028 [1912.05583].
- [18] H. Assadullahi and D. Wands, *Gravitational waves from an early matter era*, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 083511 [0901.0989].
- [19] L. Alabidi, K. Kohri, M. Sasaki and Y. Sendouda, *Observable induced gravitational waves from an early matter phase*, JCAP 05 (2013) 033 [1303.4519].
- [20] M. Pearce, L. Pearce, G. White and C. Balazs, *Gravitational wave signals from early matter domination: interpolating between fast and slow transitions*, JCAP 06 (2024) 021 [2311.12340].
- [21] S. Kumar, H. Tai and L.-T. Wang, *Towards a complete treatment of scalar-induced gravitational waves with early matter domination*, JCAP 07 (2025) 089 [2410.17291].
- [22] G. Domènech and J. Chluba, *Regularizing the induced GW spectrum with dissipative effects*, JCAP 07 (2025) 034 [2503.13670].
- [23] K. Inomata, K. Kohri and T. Terada, *The poltergeist mechanism — Enhancement of scalar-induced gravitational waves with early matter-dominated era —*, [2511.07266].
- [24] J.-c. Hwang, D. Jeong and H. Noh, *Gauge dependence of gravitational waves generated from scalar perturbations*, Astrophys. J. 842 (2017) 46 [1704.03500].
- [25] K. Tomikawa and T. Kobayashi, *Gauge dependence of gravitational waves generated at second order from scalar perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 083529 [1910.01880].
- [26] M. Sipp and B.M. Schaefer, *Scalar-induced gravitational waves in a Λ CDM cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 063538 [2212.01190].
- [27] A. Ali, Y.-P. Hu, M. Sabir and T. Sui, *On the gauge dependence of scalar induced secondary gravitational waves during radiation and matter domination eras*, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 66 (2023) 290411 [2308.04713].
- [28] C. Yuan, Z.-C. Chen and L. Liu, *Gauge dependence of gravitational waves induced by primordial isocurvature fluctuations*, Phys. Rev. D 111 (2025) 103528 [2410.18996].
- [29] V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, *On the Gauge Invariance of Cosmological Gravitational Waves*, JCAP 03 (2020) 014 [1911.09689].
- [30] K. Inomata and T. Terada, *Gauge Independence of Induced Gravitational Waves*, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 023523 [1912.00785].
- [31] C. Yuan, Z.-C. Chen and Q.-G. Huang, *Scalar induced gravitational waves in different gauges*, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 6 [1912.00885].
- [32] Y. Lu, A. Ali, Y. Gong, J. Lin and F. Zhang, *Gauge transformation of scalar induced gravitational waves*, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 083503 [2006.03450].
- [33] Z. Chang, S. Wang and Q.-H. Zhu, *Note on gauge invariance of second order cosmological perturbations*, Chin. Phys. C 45 (2021) 095101 [2009.11025].

- [34] A. Ali, Y. Gong and Y. Lu, *Gauge transformation of scalar induced tensor perturbation during matter domination*, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 043516 [2009.11081].
- [35] Z. Chang, S. Wang and Q.-H. Zhu, *Gauge Invariant Second Order Gravitational Waves*, [2009.11994].
- [36] Z. Chang, S. Wang and Q.-H. Zhu, *On the Gauge Invariance of Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves: Gauge Fixings Considered*, [2010.01487].
- [37] G. Domènech and M. Sasaki, *Approximate gauge independence of the induced gravitational wave spectrum*, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 063531 [2012.14016].
- [38] R.-G. Cai, X.-Y. Yang and L. Zhao, *Energy spectrum of gravitational waves*, [2109.06865].
- [39] A. Ota, H.J. Macpherson and W.R. Coulton, *Covariant transverse-traceless projection for secondary gravitational waves*, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 063521 [2111.09163].
- [40] V. Comeau, *Gauge-Invariant Scalar-Induced Gravitational Waves from Physical Observables*, [2309.14624].
- [41] C. Yuan, Y. Lu, Z.-C. Chen and L. Liu, *On the gauge invariance of secondary gravitational waves*, JCAP 07 (2025) 016 [2501.13691].
- [42] A.A. Kugarajh, *Gauge-dependence of scalar induced gravitational waves*, Class. Quant. Grav. 42 (2025) 127001 [2503.00083].
- [43] A. Ali, Y. Lei and M. Sabir, *Gauge Dependence of Scalar-Induced Gravitational Waves from Isocurvature Perturbations: Analytical Results*, [2510.07252].
- [44] J.M. Bardeen, *Gauge-invariant cosmological perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 1882.
- [45] M. Laine, S. Procacci and A. Rogelj, *Evolution of coupled scalar perturbations through smooth reheating. Part I. Dissipative regime*, JCAP 10 (2024) 040 [2407.17074].
- [46] M. Laine, S. Procacci and A. Rogelj, *Evolution of coupled scalar perturbations through smooth reheating. Part II. Thermal fluctuation regime*, JCAP, in press [2507.12849].
- [47] M. Bruni, S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach and S. Sonego, *Perturbations of space-time: gauge transformations and gauge invariance at second order and beyond*, Class. Quant. Grav. 14 (1997) 2585 [gr-qc/9609040].
- [48] K.V. Berghaus, M. Drewes and S. Zell, *Warm Inflation with the Standard Model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 135 (2025) 171002 [2503.18829].
- [49] J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine, *Gravitational wave background from Standard Model physics: qualitative features*, JCAP 07 (2015) 022 [1504.02569].
- [50] P. Klose, M. Laine and S. Procacci, *Gravitational wave background from non-Abelian reheating after axion-like inflation*, JCAP 05 (2022) 021 [2201.02317].
- [51] S. Jeon, *Hydrodynamic transport coefficients in relativistic scalar field theory*, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 3591 [hep-ph/9409250].