

A NOTE ON LIFESPAN ESTIMATES FOR HIGHER-ORDER PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

NURDAULET N. TOBAKHANOV AND BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

ABSTRACT. We investigate the lifespan of solutions to the higher-order semilinear parabolic equation

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^m u = |u|^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$$

with initial data. We focus on the precise asymptotic behavior of the lifespan of nontrivial solutions. By combining the test function method and semigroup estimates, we derive both upper and lower bounds for the lifespan of solutions

$$T_\varepsilon \simeq \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m}\right)^{-1}}, & 1 < p < p_{Fuj}, \\ \exp(\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}), & p = p_{Fuj}, \end{cases}$$

where $p_{Fuj} = 1 + \frac{2m}{n}$ is the critical exponent of Fujita. These estimates refine and extend the earlier results of Caristi–Mitidieri [J. Math. Anal. Appl., 279:2 (2003), 710–722] and Sun [Electron. J. Differential Equations, 17 (2010),], who obtained only upper bounds under slowly decaying initial data assumptions. In our setting, the above condition on the initial data is replaced by the assumption $L^1 \cap L^\infty$, which sharpens the results of the aforementioned works.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, we study the higher-order semilinear parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^m u = |u|^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varepsilon u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $m \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, and $p > 1$.

This model naturally arises in various physical and engineering contexts as a higher-order diffusion equation. For instance, it describes thin film dynamics under surface tension, see Bernis and Friedman [1] and the monograph [2].

The study of semilinear parabolic equations of the form

$$u_t - \Delta u = |u|^p \quad (1.2)$$

has attracted much attention in recent decades. For the classical semilinear heat equation, Fujita [3] identified the critical exponent $p_F = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ which separates the global existence and blow-up regimes for nontrivial, nonnegative solutions (see also [4, 5, 6]). Subsequently, Lee and Ni [7] obtained precise estimates for the lifespan of solutions, where the lifespan denotes the maximal time interval of the solution. In particular, they proved that if the initial data decay sufficiently fast, then the lifespan

Key words and phrases. Lifespan; Critical exponent; Blow-up.

of the local solution satisfies the sharp asymptotic estimate

$$T_\varepsilon \simeq \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2}\right)^{-1}}, & 1 < p < p_F, \\ \exp\left(\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}\right), & p = p_F. \end{cases}$$

The full analysis of the lifespan for problem (1.2), under various initial data, is presented in [8] and the references therein.

Compared with the classical heat equation, the higher-order case presents analytical difficulties due to the lack of the maximum principle and the sign-changing nature of its fundamental solution. However, Egorov et al. [9] showed that if

$$1 < p \leq p_{\text{Fuj}} := 1 + \frac{2m}{n}$$

and the initial data satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx \geq 0$, then any nontrivial solution to problem (1.1) blows up in finite time. Moreover, it was proved by Galaktionov and Pohozaev [10] that for $p > p_{\text{Fuj}}$, the corresponding problem admits global solutions provided the initial data are sufficiently small. In [11], the authors show that the equation admits type-I blow-up solutions and a characterization of the corresponding asymptotic behavior. Several studies have addressed blow-up solutions in the setting of polyharmonic operators (see, for example [12, 13, 14]).

It is worth mentioning that Caristi and Mitidieri [15], and later Sun [16], investigated the higher-order semilinear parabolic equation (1.1) under slowly decaying initial data. They proved that any nontrivial solution blows up in finite time and established an upper bound for the lifespan

$$T_\varepsilon \leq C \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{\kappa}{2m}\right)^{-1}}.$$

The analysis was carried out for initial data satisfying

$$u_0(x) \geq \begin{cases} \delta, & |x| \leq \varepsilon_0, \\ C_0 |x|^{-\kappa}, & |x| > \varepsilon_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, and the decay rate parameter κ lies in the range

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} n < \kappa < 2m/(p-1), & 1 < p < p_{\text{Fuj}}, \\ 0 < \kappa < n, & p = p_{\text{Fuj}}. \end{cases}$$

These results reveal that the spatial decay of the initial data affects the blow-up time, indicating that slower decay leads to faster blow-up.

In this work, we refine and extend the above results by considering initial data $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ without any decay assumption. We establish both upper and lower estimates for the lifespan of solutions to problem (1.1), including the critical Fujita case $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}$. Our analysis is based on the test function method and the semigroup theory. These findings offer a more detailed understanding of the blow-up mechanism and extend several known results for the higher-order semilinear parabolic equations.

To proceed, we first recall the definition of a solution to problem (1.1).

Definition 1.1. Given $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a function

$$u \in C([0, T_\varepsilon); L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

is said to be a mild solution of (1.1) if it satisfies the integral form

$$u(x, t) = \varepsilon e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} |u(s)|^p ds. \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover, the lifespan of a mild solution is given by

$$T_\varepsilon := \sup\{T > 0 \mid \exists \text{ a unique solution } u \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \text{ to (1.1)}\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. If $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) dx > 0$, then problem (1.1) admits a unique mild solution

$$u \in C([0, T_\varepsilon); L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^\infty((0, T_\varepsilon); L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

for some $T_\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, the lifespan satisfies

$$T_\varepsilon \simeq \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m}\right)^{-1}}, & 1 < p < p_{Fuj}, \\ \exp(\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}), & p = p_{Fuj}. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1.3. The results of Theorem 1.2 strengthen and extend those of Caristi et al. [15] and Sun [16] in the following ways:

- (i) The result of Caristi and Mitidieri [15] and Sun [16] provided only an upper lifespan of solutions to problem (1.1) under slowly decaying nonnegative initial data $u_0(x)$ satisfying (1.3). In our setting, the above condition on the initial data is replaced by the assumption $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which sharpens the results of the aforementioned works.
- (ii) Caristi and Mitidieri [15], and Sun [16] established the upper bound for the lifespan

$$T_\varepsilon \leq C \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{\kappa}{2m}\right)^{-1}}, \quad \kappa = \begin{cases} n < \kappa < 2m/(p-1), & 1 < p < p_{Fuj}, \\ 0 < \kappa < n, & p = p_{Fuj}. \end{cases}$$

In our setting, the above estimate becomes sharper in the subcritical regime $p < p_{Fuj}$, and in the critical case $p = p_{Fuj}$, it further improves to the bound $T_\varepsilon \simeq \exp(-\varepsilon^{-(p-1)})$.

Remark 1.4. When $m = 1$, estimate (1.5) coincides with the lifespan estimates for the classical semilinear heat equation established by Lee and Ni in [7]. Consequently, our results include the Lee–Ni estimates as a particular instance. We also note that the authors of this paper have recently obtained in [17] an upper bound for the lifespan estimate

$$T_\varepsilon \lesssim \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{4}\right)^{-1}}, & 1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{n}, \\ \exp(\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}), & p = 1 + \frac{4}{n}, \end{cases}$$

for the semilinear biharmonic heat equation in an exterior domain Ω^c , which is a particular case of (1.5) when $\Omega^c \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$. To the best of the authors' knowledge, estimate (1.5) is new even for $m = 2$, i.e., for the semilinear biharmonic heat equation $u_t + \Delta^2 u = |u|^p$.

The proof of the upper bound for the lifespan relies on the test-function method developed by Ikeda and Sobajima [18], together with an application of Faà di Bruno's formula. In contrast, the lower-bound proof is obtained via a fixed-point argument that makes essential use of the properties of the heat kernel associated with the polyharmonic heat equation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We first define the cut-off functions

$$\psi_R(x, t) := \left[\phi \left(\frac{|x|^{2m} + t}{R} \right) \right]^l, \quad \psi_R^*(x, t) := \left[\phi^* \left(\frac{|x|^{2m} + t}{R} \right) \right]^l, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $(x, t) \in Q$, where $l \geq 2mp'$ and $\phi \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ satisfies

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in [0, 1/2], \\ \searrow & \text{if } s \in (1/2, 1), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in [1, \infty), \end{cases} \quad \phi^*(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in [0, 1/2), \\ \phi(s) & \text{if } s \in [1/2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. Let ψ_R and ψ_R^* be the cutoff functions defined in (2.1). For all sufficiently large $R > 0$, one can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t \psi_R(t, x)| + |\Delta^m \psi_R(t, x)| \leq CR^{-1} [\psi_R^*(t, x)]^{1/p}, \quad (t, x) \in Q := (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. Let us introduce scaled space–time variables

$$y = \frac{x}{R^{1/(2m)}}, \quad \tau = \frac{t}{R}, \quad s(y, \tau) := |y|^{2m} + \tau, \quad s_R(x, t) = \frac{|x|^{2m} + t}{R}.$$

The differential operators scale as

$$\partial_t \psi_R(s_R) = R^{-1} \partial_\tau \psi(s), \quad \partial_{x_i} \psi_R(s_R) = R^{-1/(2m)} \partial_{y_i} \psi(s),$$

and therefore

$$\Delta_x^m \psi_R(s_R) = R^{-1} \Delta_y^m \psi(s). \quad (2.2)$$

Let us briefly compute the time derivative

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t \psi_R(t, x)| &= R^{-1} \partial_\tau \psi(s) = 2mp' R^{-1} [\phi(s_R)]^{2mp'-1} \phi'(s_R) \\ &\leq CR^{-1} [\phi^*(s_R)]^{\frac{2mp'}{p}} [\phi(s_R)]^{2m-1} |\phi'(s_R)| \\ &\leq CR^{-1} [\psi_R^*(t, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the test function with the polyharmonic operator begins by viewing

$$\psi(s) = (h \circ g)(s), \quad g(s) = \phi(s), \quad h(z) = z^{2mp'},$$

as a composition. By Faà-di Bruno formula [19], for any multiindex α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ one has

$$|\partial_y^\alpha(\psi(s))| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{|\alpha|} h^{(k)}(g(s)) \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_k \leq \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| + \dots + |\gamma_k| = |\alpha|}} C_{\alpha, \gamma} \prod_{i=1}^k \partial_y^{\gamma_i}(g(s)) \right|, \quad (2.3)$$

where the $C_{\alpha, \gamma}$ are combinatorial constants. Next expand each factor by the chain rule:

$$\partial_y^{\gamma_i}(g(s)) = \sum_{r=1}^{|\gamma_i|} g^{(r)}(s) \sum_{\substack{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_r \leq \gamma_i \\ |\beta_1| + \dots + |\beta_r| = |\gamma_i|}} C_{\gamma_i, \beta} \prod_{j=1}^r \partial_y^{\beta_j} s,$$

where $s = s(y, \tau) = |y|^{2m} + \tau$. Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty$, all derivatives $g^{(r)}(s) = \phi^{(r)}(s)$ are bounded, and each derivative $\partial_y^\beta s$ is a fixed polynomial in y, τ . Thus, every term in the Faà-di Bruno expansion is bounded by a constant times a power of $\phi(s)$. Taking $|\alpha| = 2m$ in (2.3) and using (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_x^m \psi_R(s_R)| &= R^{-1} |\Delta_y^m \psi(s)| \\ &\leq C R^{-1} \phi(s)^{2mp' - 2m} \\ &\leq C R^{-1} [\psi_R^*(s_R)]^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the definitions $\psi_R = \phi^{2mp'}(s_R)$ and from auxiliary cutoff function $\psi_R^*(s_R)$ defined in (2.1). This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Assume $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} f\|_{L^q} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2m}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, and $t > 0$.

Since the $L^q - L^p$ estimate is already well established (see [20, Prop. 6.1]), we omit its proof here.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

• *Lower estimate.* To establish a lower bound of the lifespan, we employ the standard contraction mapping principle. We first introduce the auxiliary function

$$M(t) := \sup_{\tau \in [0, t)} \left\{ (1 + \tau)^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^1} \right\}.$$

Starting from the mild solution representation (1.4), we derive

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1} \leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^1} + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^p}^p d\tau.$$

By using Hölder's inequality

$$\|u(\tau)\|_{L^p}^p \leq \|u(\tau)\|_{L^1} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1},$$

and definition of $M(t)$, we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1} \leq C\varepsilon + CM(t)^p \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{2m}} d\tau.$$

We now derive an estimate for $\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}$. When $0 < t \leq 1$, the $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate applied to the mild formulation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^p d\tau \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + C \int_0^1 (1+\tau)^{-\frac{np}{2m}} M(\tau)^p d\tau \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + CM(t)^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of $M(t)$. When $t \geq 1$, the $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^1} + C(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2m}} \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2m}} \| |u(\tau)|^p \|_{L^1} d\tau \\ &\quad + C(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2m}} \int_{t/2}^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^p d\tau \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{L^1} + C \int_0^{t/2} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{2m}} M(\tau)^p d\tau \\ &\quad + C(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2m}} \int_{t/2}^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{np}{2m}} M(\tau)^p d\tau \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_{L^1} + CM(t)^p \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{2m}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting both the L^1 and L^∞ estimates, we arrive at the following inequality:

$$M(t) \leq C_0\varepsilon + C_1 M(t)^p \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{2m}} d\tau$$

with some constants $C_0, C_1 > 0$. Let T_1 denote the smallest time such that $M(t) = 2C_0\varepsilon$. Then, substituting $t = T_1$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^p (1+T_1)^{(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m})(p-1)} & \text{if } 1 < p < p_{\text{Fuj}}, \\ C\varepsilon^p \log(T_1 + 1) & \text{if } p = p_{\text{Fuj}}, \end{cases}$$

which, together with the smallness of ε , implies

$$T_\varepsilon > T_1 \geq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{(-\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m})^{-1}} & \text{if } 1 < p < p_{\text{Fuj}}, \\ \exp(C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}) & \text{if } p = p_{\text{Fuj}}. \end{cases}$$

This provides the lower bound of the lifespan.

• *Upper estimate.* The upper bound estimate is derived by employing the approach proposed by Ikeda and Sobajima [21], suitably adapted to the problem (1.1). We now

focus on the representation of the mild solution. Recalling equation (1.4), we multiply both sides by the test function ψ_R and integrate over \mathbb{R}^n . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \psi_R dx &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0(x) \psi_R(x, 0) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} |u(s)|^p ds \psi_R(x, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of the previous identity with respect to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \psi_R dx &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 \psi_R) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} |u(s)|^p ds \psi_R \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute each term separately. For the first one, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 \psi_R) dx &= -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^m e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 \psi_R dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 \partial_t \psi_R dx \quad (3.1) \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 (-\Delta)^m \psi_R dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 \partial_t \psi_R dx, \end{aligned}$$

where integration by parts has been applied in the first term. Similarly, for the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} |u(s)|^p ds \psi_R \right) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t)|^p \psi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} (|u(s)|^p) ds \right) (-\Delta)^m \psi_R dx \quad (3.2) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} (|u(s)|^p) ds \right) \partial_t \psi_R dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \psi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t)|^p \psi_R dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} (|u(s)|^p) ds \right) \partial_t \psi_R dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{-t(-\Delta)^m} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^m} (|u(s)|^p) ds \right) (-\Delta)^m \psi_R dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(t)$ is mild solution (1.4), the right-hand side can be expressed more compactly as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \psi_R dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t)|^p \psi_R dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta)^m \psi_R dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \partial_t \psi_R dx.$$

Integrating this equality over the interval $[0, T]$ and noting that $\psi_R(\cdot, T) = 0$, we obtain the weak identity

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 \psi_R(x, 0) dx + \int_Q |u|^p \psi_R dx dt = \int_Q u (-\Delta)^m \psi_R dx dt - \int_Q u \partial_t \psi_R dx dt. \quad (3.3)$$

From Lemma 2.1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |u|^p \psi_R dx dt + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) \psi_R(0, x) dx &\leq \int_Q |u| (|\partial_t \psi_R| + |(-\Delta)^m \psi_R|) dx dt \\ &\leq R^{-1} \int_Q |u| (\psi_R^*)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to the right-hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-1} \int_Q |u| (\psi_R^*)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx dt &\leq R^{-1} \left(\int_Q |u|^p \psi_R^* dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^T \int_{1/2R < |x|^{2m} < R} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C R^{-\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m} \right)} \left(\int_Q |u|^p \psi_R^* dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

which holds for any $R \in (2R_0, T)$. Consequently, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |u|^p \psi_R dx dt + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) \psi_R(0, x) dx \\ \leq C R^{-\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m} \right)} \left(\int_Q |u|^p \psi_R^* dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We now introduce the auxiliary quantities

$$X(r) := \int_Q |u(t, x)|^p \psi_r(t, x) dx dt,$$

and

$$Y(r) := \int_Q |u(t, x)|^p \psi_r^*(t, x) dx dt$$

and define

$$W(R) := \int_0^R Y(r) r^{-1} dr.$$

By direct differentiation, it follows that $W'(R) = \frac{1}{R} Y(R)$. Using inequality (3.4), we can express it in terms of these new quantities as

$$X(R) + C\varepsilon \lesssim R^{-\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2m} \right)} Y(R)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $C > 0$ is constant comes from the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) > 0$. Furthermore, from the properties of the cut-off functions (see Georgiev and Palmieri [22, Lemma 1, p. 1011]), we have the relation

$$\frac{2}{\log 2} \int_0^R Y(r) \frac{dr}{r} \leq X(R). \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.6)–(3.7) yields

$$\frac{2W(R)}{\log 2} + C\varepsilon \lesssim R^{-\frac{p-1}{p}(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})+\frac{1}{p}} (W'(R))^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This leads to the differential inequality

$$R^{(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})(p-1)-1} \leq CW'(R) \left(C\varepsilon + \frac{2W(R)}{\log 2} \right)^{-p}.$$

Finally, note that the right-hand side can be rewritten in differential form as

$$R^{(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})(p-1)-1} \leq \frac{C \log 2}{2(1-p)} \frac{d}{dR} \left(C\varepsilon + \frac{2W(R)}{\log 2} \right)^{1-p}. \quad (3.8)$$

To proceed, we integrate inequality (3.8) over the interval $[2R_0, T]$. We obtain the following estimates for the left-hand side:

$$\int_{2R_0}^T R^{(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})(p-1)-1} dR = \begin{cases} T^{(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})(p-1)} - (2R_0)^{(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})(p-1)} & \text{if } 1 < p < p_{\text{Fuj}}, \\ \log \left(\frac{T}{2R_0} \right) & \text{if } p = p_{\text{Fuj}}. \end{cases}$$

For the right-hand side, integrating by parts yields

$$\frac{C \log 2}{2(1-p)} \int_{2R_0}^T \frac{d}{dR} \left(C\varepsilon + \frac{2W(R)}{\log 2} \right)^{1-p} dR \leq C\varepsilon^{1-p}.$$

After integrating (3.8) and taking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small for the subcritical case, we obtain

$$T^{(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m})(p-1)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)},$$

which implies

$$T \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)^{-1}}.$$

When $p = p_{\text{Fuj}}$, we instead have

$$\log \left(\frac{T}{2R_0} \right) \leq \frac{\log 2}{2(p-1)} C^{1-p} \varepsilon^{-(p-1)},$$

hence

$$T \lesssim \exp \left(\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \right).$$

Therefore, the statement of the theorem follows.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are deeply grateful to A. Palmieri (Italy) and S. Tayachi (Tunisia) for their insightful recommendations on references concerning lifespan estimates for the parabolic equations.

FUNDING

This research has been funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP23483960).

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS

The manuscript has no associated data.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bernis and A. Friedman, “Higher order nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations,” *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 179–206, 1990.
- [2] L. A. Peletier and W. C. Troy, *Spatial patterns: higher order models in physics and mechanics*, vol. 45. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [3] H. Fujita, “On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$,” *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I*, vol. 13, pp. 109–124, 1966.
- [4] K. Hayakawa, “On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations,” *Proc. Japan Acad.*, vol. 49, pp. 503–505, 1973.
- [5] S. Sugitani, “On nonexistence of global solutions for some nonlinear integral equations,” *Osaka Math. J.*, vol. 12, pp. 45–51, 1975.
- [6] K. Kobayashi, T. Sirao, and H. Tanaka, “On the growing up problem for semilinear heat equations,” *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 407–424, 1977.
- [7] T.-Y. Lee and W.-M. Ni, “Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 333, no. 1, pp. 365–378, 1992.
- [8] S. Tayachi and F. B. Weissler, “New life-span results for the nonlinear heat equation,” *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 373, pp. 564–625, 2023.
- [9] Y. V. Egorov, V. A. Galaktionov, V. A. Kondratiev, and S. I. Pohozaev, “On the necessary conditions of global existence to a quasilinear inequality in the half-space,” *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, vol. 330, no. 2, pp. 93–98, 2000.
- [10] V. A. Galaktionov and S. I. Pohozaev, “Existence and blow-up for higher-order semilinear parabolic equations: majorizing order-preserving operators,” *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1321–1338, 2002.
- [11] T.-E. Ghoul, V. T. Nguyen, and H. Zaag, “Construction of type I blowup solutions for a higher order semilinear parabolic equation,” *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 388–412, 2020.
- [12] A. Alsaedi, B. Ahmad, M. Kirane, and A. Nabti, “Lifespan of solutions of a fractional evolution equation with higher order diffusion on the Heisenberg group,” *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pp. Paper No. 2, 10, 2020.
- [13] A. Z. Fino, “Blow-up rates for a higher-order semilinear parabolic equation with nonlinear memory term,” *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 101, no. 14, pp. 4775–4792, 2022.
- [14] F. Sun and P. Shi, “Global existence and non-existence for a higher-order parabolic equation with time-fractional term,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 75, no. 10, pp. 4145–4155, 2012.
- [15] G. Caristi and E. Mitidieri, “Existence and nonexistence of global solutions of higher-order parabolic problems with slow decay initial data,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 279, no. 2, pp. 710–722, 2003.
- [16] F. Sun, “Life span of blow-up solutions for higher-order semilinear parabolic equations,” *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pp. No. 17, 9, 2010.
- [17] N. N. Tobakhanov and B. T. Torebek, “On the critical behavior for the semilinear biharmonic heat equation with forcing term in exterior domain,” *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 451, pp. Paper No. 113758, 53, 2026.
- [18] M. Ikeda and M. Sobajima, “Sharp upper bound for lifespan of solutions to some critical semilinear parabolic, dispersive and hyperbolic equations via a test function method,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 182, pp. 57–74, 2019.

- [19] W. P. Johnson, “The curious history of Faà di Bruno’s formula,” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 217–234, 2002.
- [20] M. Majdoub, S. Otsmane, and S. Tayachi, “Local well-posedness and global existence for the biharmonic heat equation with exponential nonlinearity,” *Adv. Differential Equations*, vol. 23, no. 7-8, pp. 489–522, 2018.
- [21] M. Ikeda and M. Sobajima, “Sharp upper bound for lifespan of solutions to some critical semi-linear parabolic, dispersive and hyperbolic equations via a test function method,” *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 182, pp. 57–74, 2019.
- [22] V. Georgiev and A. Palmieri, “Lifespan estimates for local in time solutions to the semilinear heat equation on the Heisenberg group,” *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, vol. 200, no. 3, pp. 999–1032, 2021.

NURDAULET N. TOBAKHANOV:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NAZARBAYEV UNIVERSITY, ASTANA, KAZAKHSTAN
nurdaulet.tobakhanov@nu.edu.kz

BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK:
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING
SHEVCHENKO STREET 28, 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN
torebek@math.kz