

A note on degree conditions for Ramsey goodness of trees

Zhidan Luo^{*} Yuejian Peng[†]

Abstract

For given graphs G_1, G_2 and G , let $G \rightarrow (G_1, G_2)$ denote that each red-blue-coloring of $E(G)$ yields a red copy of G_1 or a blue copy of G_2 . Aragão, Marciano and Mendonça [L. Aragão, J. Pedro Marciano and W. Mendonça, Degree conditions for Ramsey goodness of paths, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **124** (2025), 104082] proved the following. Let G be a graph on $N \geq (n-1)(m-1)+1$ vertices. If $\delta(G) \geq N - \lceil n/2 \rceil$, then $G \rightarrow (P_n, K_m)$, where P_n is a tree on n vertices. In this note, we generalize P_n to any tree T_n with n vertices, and improve the lower bound of $\delta(G)$. We further improve the lower bound when $T_n \neq K_{1,n-1}$, which partially confirms their conjecture.

Keywords: Schelp problem; Ramsey goodness; tree.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C55; 05D10.

1 Introduction

For graphs G_1, \dots, G_t and G , let $G \rightarrow (G_1, \dots, G_t)$ denote that any t -coloring of $E(G)$ yields a monochromatic copy of G_i in color i for some $i \in [t]$. The Ramsey number $r(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ is the minimum positive integer N such that $K_N \rightarrow (G_1, \dots, G_t)$. Let $v(G)$

^{*}School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, P. R. China. Research supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12401449), the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No.125QN209) and the Research Foundation Project of Hainan University (No. KYQD(ZR)-23155). Email: luodan@hainanu.edu.cn

[†]Corresponding author. School of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P. R. China. Research supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12571363) and National Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Grant No.2025JJ30003) Email: ypeng1@hnu.edu.cn

and $\chi(G)$ be the number of vertices and the chromatic number of G , respectively. Let $s(G)$ be the surplus of G , which is the size of a minimum color class taken over all proper colorings of G with $\chi(G)$ colors. Let $T_n, K_{1,n-1}$ and P_n denote a tree, a star and a path on n vertices, respectively.

In 1981, Burr [3] observed the following. For a connected graph G and a graph H with $v(G) \geq s(H)$, $r(G, H) \geq (v(G) - 1)(\chi(H) - 1) + s(H)$ (let G' be a red copy of the complete $\chi(H)$ -partite graph such that all parts have sizes $v(G) - 1$ except one with size $s(H) - 1$. Moreover, color all edges in each part by blue. The resulting graph contains neither a red copy of G nor a blue copy of H). We say that G is H -good if the equality holds. There is a classical result from Chvátal [4] showing that T_n is K_m -good for all positive integers n and m , that is, $r(T_n, K_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + 1$. Recently, a similar question has attracted a lot of attention, which originated from Schelp [6]. Let G be a graph on $N \geq r(G_1, G_2)$ vertices, what minimum degree condition guarantees that $G \rightarrow (G_1, G_2)$? Recently, Aragão, Pedro Marciano and Mendonça studied P_n versus K_m .

Theorem 1.1 (Aragão, Pedro Marciano and Mendonça [1]) *Let G be a graph on $N \geq r(P_n, K_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + 1$ vertices. If $\delta(G) \geq N - \lceil n/2 \rceil$, then $G \rightarrow (P_n, K_m)$.*

Moreover, they conjectured the following and showed that their conjecture holds for $m = 3$.

Conjecture 1.2 (Aragão, Pedro Marciano and Mendonça [1]) *For given positive integers n, m, N and a non-negative integer t , assume that $(t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t + 2)(n - 1)(m - 1)$. Let G be a graph on N vertices with $\delta(G) \geq N - \lceil \frac{t+1}{t+2} \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil \rceil$. Then $G \rightarrow (P_n, K_m)$.*

If Conjecture 1.2 is true, then the lower bound of $\delta(G)$ in Conjecture 1.2 is tight as evidenced by the following construction.

Construction I. Assume that $(t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t + 2)(n - 1)(m - 1)$. Let G' be a complete almost balanced $(m - 1)$ -partite graph (the size of each part differs by at most one) on N vertices whose edges are colored by blue. Moreover, in each part, we embed a red copy of the graph consisting of the union of $t + 2$ cliques such that the sizes of each clique differ by at most one. Denote the resulting graph by G . Note that $G \not\rightarrow (P_n, K_m)$ (in fact, $G \not\rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$) and $\delta(G) = N - \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{1}{t+2} \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil \rfloor - 1 = N - \lceil \frac{t+1}{t+2} \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil \rceil - 1$.

In this note, we generalize P_n to T_n , improve the lower bound of $\delta(G)$ in Theorem 1.1 for all m and $t \geq 1$, and partially confirm Conjecture 1.2. We first show the following result.

Theorem 1.3 *For given positive integers n, m, N and a non-negative integer t , assume that $(t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t+2)(n-1)(m-1)$. Let G be a graph on N vertices with $\delta(G) \geq N - t(n-1) - 1$. Then $G \rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$.*

Let $\Delta = \Delta(T_n)$. We remark that the lower bound on $\delta(G)$ in Conjecture 1.2 is not tight for T_n when $\Delta > \lfloor \frac{1}{t+2} \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil \rfloor$ and n is even as evidenced by the following construction.

Construction II. Let G' be a complete almost balanced $(m-1)$ -partite graph on N vertices whose edges are colored by blue. In each part, we embed a red copy of a $(\Delta-1)$ -regular graph or a red copy of an almost $(\Delta-1)$ -regular graph (all vertices with degree $\Delta-1$ except one vertex with degree $\Delta-2$). Denote the resulting graph by H . Note that $H \not\rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$ and $\delta(H) = N - \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil + \Delta - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 1$ if we can replace it with a $(\Delta-1)$ -regular graph, and otherwise $\epsilon = 2$.

Conjecture 1.4 *For given positive integers n, m, N and a non-negative integer t , assume that $(t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t+2)(n-1)(m-1)$. Let G be a graph on N vertices with $\delta(G) \geq N - \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil + \max\{\Delta - \epsilon + 1, \lfloor \frac{1}{t+2} \lceil \frac{N}{m-1} \rceil \rfloor\}$. Then $G \rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$.*

By **Construction I** and **Construction II**, if Conjecture 1.4 is true, then the lower bound of $\delta(G)$ on Conjecture 1.4 is tight. Applying Theorem 1.3, Conjecture 1.4 holds for n even, $T_n = K_{1, n-1}$ and $(t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + m - 1$.

In Section 2, we will prove Theorem 1.3 by determining the Ramsey number $r(K_{1, \ell}, T_n, K_m)$ for $\ell = t(n-1) + 1$. In Section 3, we strengthen Theorem 1.3 if $T_n \neq K_{1, n-1}$. A result in Section 3 implies that Conjecture 1.2 holds for $3 \leq n \leq t+4, m-2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n-1}$ and $(t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + m - 1$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We will prove Theorem 1.3 by establishing the following result on the Ramsey number.

Theorem 2.1 *If $\ell = t(n-1) + 1$, then*

$$r(K_{1, \ell}, T_n, K_m) = (\ell + n - 2)(m - 1) + 1 = (t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + 1.$$

We will prove Theorem 2.1 later. Let us first apply Theorem 2.1 to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $(t+1)(n-1)(m-1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t+2)(n-1)(m-1)$, and let G be a graph on N vertices with $\delta(G) \geq N - t(n-1) - 1$. We need to show that $G \rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$. Color $E(G)$ arbitrarily by blue and green and color $E(\overline{G})$ by red. Then we get a red-blue-green edge-colored K_N . By Theorem 2.1, $N \geq r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m)$, where $\ell = t(n-1) + 1$. Consequently, $K_N \rightarrow (K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m)$. Note that $\Delta(\overline{G}) \leq N - 1 - \delta(G) \leq t(n-1) < \ell$. Thus, there is no red copy of $K_{1,\ell}$. Consequently, $G \rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$. \square

In what follows, we divide the proof of Theorem 2.1 into two parts.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $r' = r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n)$. Then*

$$r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m) \geq (r' - 1)(m - 1) + 1.$$

Proof: Let G' be a red-blue edge-colored $K_{r'-1}$ such that $G' \not\rightarrow (K_{1,\ell}, T_n)$ and let G'' be a green copy of K_{m-1} . Replace each vertex of G'' with a copy of G' . Each edge of G'' expands into a green copy of $K_{r'-1, r'-1}$. Denote the resulting graph by G . Note that G is a red-blue-green edge-colored $K_{(r'-1)(m-1)}$ such that $G \not\rightarrow (K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m)$. Consequently, $r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m) \geq (r' - 1)(m - 1) + 1$. \square

We still need a result from Burr to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3 (Burr [2]) *If $\ell = t(n-1) + 1$, then $r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n) = \ell + n - 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let $N = (\ell + n - 2)(m - 1) + 1$. We only need to show that $r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m) \leq N$ by Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. Color $E(K_N)$ arbitrarily by red, blue and green, and denote the resulting graph by H . Moreover, let H_r, H_b and H_g be the graph induced by all edges in red, blue and green, respectively. We will show that $H \rightarrow (K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m)$ using induction on m . It is true for $m = 2$ since $r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_2) = r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n) = \ell + n - 1$ by Theorem 2.3. If $\Delta(H_g) \geq (\ell + n - 2)(m - 2) + 1$, then we are done since $r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_{m-1}) = (\ell + n - 2)(m - 2) + 1$ by the induction hypothesis. Consequently, we may assume that $\Delta(H_g) \leq (\ell + n - 2)(m - 2)$, and thus, $\delta(H_r \cup H_b) \geq \ell + n - 2$. If $\Delta(H_r) \geq \ell$, then we are done. Consequently, we may assume that $\Delta(H_r) \leq \ell - 1$, and thus, $\delta(H_b) \geq n - 1$. Consequently, we can embed T_n into H_b greedily since $\Delta(T_n) \leq n - 1$ and T_n is 1-degenerate (we can label $V(T_n)$ as $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ such that $|N(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}| \leq 1$ for each $i \in [n] \setminus [1]$). \square

3 Beyond Theorem 1.3

In fact, we can improve the lower bound on $\delta(G)$ in Theorem 1.3 when T_n is not a star. In 1995, Guo and Volkmann proved a result similar to Theorem 2.3 as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Guo and Volkmann [5]) *If $\ell = t(n - 1) + 2$ and $T_n \neq K_{1,n-1}$, then $r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n) = \ell + n - 2$.*

The core of the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the following.

Lemma 3.2 (Guo and Volkmann [5]) *Let G be a connected graph on at least n vertices with $\delta(G) \geq n - 2$. If $T_n \neq K_{1,n-1}$, then we can embed T_n into G .*

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, applying Lemma 3.2, we can prove the following.

Theorem 3.3 *If $\ell = t(n - 1) + 2, m - 2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n - 1}$ and $T_n \neq K_{1,n-1}$, then*

$$r(K_{1,\ell}, T_n, K_m) = (\ell + n - 3)(m - 1) + 1 = (t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + 1.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, the following is true by applying Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4 *For given positive integers n, m, N and a non-negative integer t , assume that $(t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t + 2)(n - 1)(m - 1)$. Let G be a graph of N vertices with $\delta(G) \geq N - t(n - 1) - 2$. If $m - 2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n - 1}$ and $T_n \neq K_{1,n-1}$, then $G \rightarrow (T_n, K_m)$.*

Remark 3.5 *Applying Theorem 3.4 and direct calculations, we can obtain that Conjecture 1.2 holds for $3 \leq n \leq t + 4, m - 2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n - 1}$ and $(t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + 1 \leq N \leq (t + 1)(n - 1)(m - 1) + m - 1$.*

In fact, Yan and Peng [7] strengthened Lemma 3.2 (Theorem 1.2 in [7]). They showed that if G is a connected graph on at least n vertices with $\delta(G) \geq n - 3$, then all except some special trees with n vertices can be embedded into G (see Theorem 1.2 in [7]). Applying this result and the same discussion as before, we can confirm Conjecture 1.2 for more values of n, m and N . Moreover, Conjecture 1.2 may be verified by determining all the values of $r(K_{1,\ell}, P_n, K_m)$.

References

- [1] L. Aragão, J. Pedro Marciano and W. Mendonça, Degree conditions for Ramsey goodness of paths, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **124** (2025), 104082.
- [2] S. A. Burr, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs - a survey, in *Graphs and Combinatorics*, Lecture Notes in mathematics **406** (eds. R. A. Bari and F. Harary), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1974), 52–75.
- [3] S. A. Burr, Ramsey numbers involving graphs with long suspended paths, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **24** (1981), 405–413.
- [4] V. Chvátal, Tree-Complete Graph Ramsey Numbers, *Journal of Graph Theory*, **1** (1977), 93.
- [5] Y. Guo and L. Volkmann, Tree-Ramsey numbers, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **11** (1995), 169–175.
- [6] R. Schelp, Some Ramsey-Turán type problems and related questions, *Discrete Mathematics*, **312** (2012), 2158–2161.
- [7] Z. Yan and Y. Peng, Tree embeddings and tree-star Ramsey numbers, *Journal of Graph Theory*, **100** (2022), 733–745.