

Mixing at the Batchelor Scale for White-In-Time Flows

Robin Chemnitz¹ and Dennis Chemnitz²

¹Freie Universität Berlin

²ETH Zürich

December 5, 2025

Abstract

We consider the mixing properties of solutions to the advection-diffusion equation of a white-in-time velocity field on the 2-dimensional torus with four forced modes. As the diffusivity parameter goes to zero, we show that the almost-sure exponential dissipation rate stays bounded from below. Together with the corresponding upper bound established by Gess and Yaroslavtsev, this constitutes an example of a velocity field for which the Batchelor scale conjecture can be verified. In addition, we characterize the exponential mixing rate without diffusion of this system. Our results are not restricted to two dimensions, and we construct a three-dimensional white-in-time velocity field with the same properties.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we discuss the mixing properties of passive particles on the two-dimensional torus advected by a white-in-time shear flow. In particular, we study the long-term behavior of the SPDE

$$df_t = \kappa \Delta f_t dt - \sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_i \cdot \nabla f_t \circ dW_t^i, \quad (1)$$

where $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the concentration of particles initialized at $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $0 \leq \kappa \ll 1$ is a real parameter describing the strength of molecular diffusion, W^1, \dots, W^4 are independent Brownian motions, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_4$ are the divergence-free vector fields given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(x, y) &:= (\sin(2\pi y), 0)^\top, & \sigma_2(x, y) &:= (\cos(2\pi y), 0)^\top \\ \sigma_3(x, y) &:= (0, \sin(2\pi x))^\top, & \sigma_4(x, y) &:= (0, \cos(2\pi x))^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Our main result, Theorem 2.1 below, states that the L^2 -mass of f_t in the four lowest Fourier modes can decay at most exponentially with a rate that is bounded away from $-\infty$ uniformly in κ . Together with the enhanced dissipation result from [GY25], this implies that

the dissipation rate λ^κ is of constant order for small κ and the typical filamentation length $\ell(f_t) := \|f_t\|_{L^2} / \|\nabla f_t\|_{L^2}$ obeys the Batchelor scale $\ell \sim \sqrt{\kappa}$ [MD18a]; see Corollary 2.2 and Figure 1 below. To our knowledge this is the first model of passive scalar turbulence for which this can be shown. In the case of $\kappa = 0$, Theorem 2.1 allows us to determine the dependence of the $H^s \rightarrow H^{-s}$ -mixing rate γ_s on s up to a constant; see Corollary 2.3 below. Our method works in higher dimensions, and we construct a three-dimensional model analogous to (1) for which the same results hold; see Section 2.2.

1.1 Dissipation of a passive scalar in the Batchelor regime

Understanding the nature of turbulence occurring in three-dimensional high Reynolds number fluid flows is one of the major open problems in continuum mechanics. In particular, the Kolmogorov -5/3 scaling, which predicts the energy distribution of a turbulent fluid flow over Fourier modes [Kol91, FK95], is wide open. A related, but mathematically more tractable, problem concerns the Fourier mass distribution of the solution to an advection-diffusion equation for which the advection vector field is given by a turbulent fluid flow. Such an equation models the evolution of passive scalars such as, for example, the concentration of particles dissolved in the fluid or the temperature of the fluid. Depending on the ratio of the Reynolds number and the diffusivity, different scaling constants have been conjectured.

In recent years, there has been tremendous progress in understanding the mixing of passive scalars advected by random velocity fields in the Batchelor regime [BBPS21, BBPS22a, BBPS22b, BBPS22c, HPSRY24, CR25b, GY25]. The evolution of the concentration of passive particles $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is described by the advection-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t f_t = \kappa \Delta f_t - u_t \cdot \nabla f_t, \quad (3)$$

where $u \in C([0, \infty), C^1(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ is a time-dependent, divergence-free velocity field and $\kappa \geq 0$ a real parameter that models the strength of molecular diffusion. The Batchelor regime [Bat59] refers to a setting where the diffusivity κ is small while the Reynolds number of the fluid is fixed and finite. The required spatial regularity of u corresponds to the fact that we consider a fluid with a finite Reynolds number. Typical examples of such random velocities u are the solutions to the 2- and 3-dimensional stochastic (hyperviscous) Navier–Stokes equation with positive viscosity $\nu > 0$ and adequately chosen forcing (see, e.g., [BBPS21, Systems 1 and 2] for details).

For simplicity, we assume that $f_0 \in L_0^2$ is mean-free, where $L_0^2 := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) dx = 0\}$. By conservation of mass, this implies that f_t is mean-free for every $t \geq 0$. The L^2 -norm of f_t serves as a measure of the distance between the concentration of particles f_t and the equilibrium. Consequently, the exponential dissipation rate can be measured by

$$\lambda_{u, f_0}^\kappa := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|f_t\|_{L^2}. \quad (4)$$

In the following, we assume that u is random and distributed according to some ergodic stationary measure μ of divergence-free velocity fields. Let $\mathcal{T}_u^t : f_0 \mapsto f_t$ be the time- t operator of




Figure 1: Each column represents a typical realization of the normalized solution $\pi_t := f_t / \|f_t\|_{L^2}$ to the 4-modes model (1) for different values of κ ; from left to right $\kappa = 0.04, 0.01, 0.0025$. The first row depicts the function π_t on the torus, and the predicted filamentation length $\sqrt{\kappa}$ is marked in red. The second row shows the Fourier spectrum of π_t as a heatmap on \mathbb{Z}^2 . A multiple of the Batchelor scale ($10 \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}$) is drawn as a red circle. The third row depicts the power spectrum of π_t with a red line at $10 \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

the PDE (3), which, by classical PDE theory, is a compact linear operator from $L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to itself with $\|\mathcal{T}_u^t\|_{L_0^2} \leq 1$. By the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem [Ose68, Rue82], the quantity λ_{u,f_0}^κ defined in (4) is a true limit. Additionally, the supremum of λ_{u,f_0}^κ over all initial conditions is essentially independent of u . There exists a λ^κ such that for almost every u with respect to μ we have

$$\lambda^\kappa = \sup_{f_0 \in L_0^2} \lambda_{u,f_0}^\kappa = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\mathcal{T}_u^t\|_{L_0^2} \in [-\infty, 0].$$

By a standard calculation, it can be shown that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|f_t\|_{L^2}^2 = -2\kappa \|\nabla f_t\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log \|f_t\|_{L^2} = -\kappa \frac{\|\nabla f_t\|_{L^2}^2}{\|f_t\|_{L^2}^2} =: -\kappa \ell(f_t)^{-2}. \quad (5)$$

The quantity $\ell(f_t) := \|f_t\|_{L^2} / \|\nabla f_t\|_{L^2}$ can be interpreted as a typical “filamentation length” of the passive scalar concentration [HPSRY24, Section 1.2]. By (5), the filamentation length $\ell(f_t)$ is closely related to the dissipation rate λ_{u,f_0}^κ through

$$\lambda_{u,f_0}^\kappa = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\kappa \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \ell(f_s)^{-2} ds. \quad (6)$$

The Poincaré inequality gives upper bounds $\ell(f_t) \lesssim 1$ for the filamentation length and $\lambda_{u,f_0}^\kappa \lesssim -\kappa$ for the dissipation rate, with a constant that is independent of κ, u and f_0 . These bounds are optimal for $u = 0$. However, for velocity fields u with chaotic Lagrangian trajectories, one expects the filamentation to become finer for a smaller diffusion coefficient κ . In fact, numerical evidence [MD18a]; see also Figure 1, suggests that the filamentation length decays proportionally to the diffusive length scale $\ell(f_t) \sim \sqrt{\kappa}$. By the relation (6), this corresponds to a constant order dissipation rate $\lambda_{f_0}^\kappa \sim -1$ for small κ .

Conjecture 1 (Batchelor Scale Conjecture). *For u given by a 2-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equation [BBPS21, Systems 1] or a 3-dimensional hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equation [BBPS21, Systems 2], the dissipation rate λ^κ is of order 1 for small κ , that is*

$$-\infty < \liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa \leq \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa < 0.$$

The upper bound $\lambda_{u,f_0}^\kappa \lesssim -1$ has been established in the seminal work [BBPS21] by Bedrossian, Blumenthal, and Punchon-Smith. Somewhat surprisingly, the corresponding lower bound is wide open. In fact, even showing that $\lambda^\kappa > -\infty$ for any fixed κ requires significant work (cf. [Row25] for examples of deterministic vector fields with superexponential dissipation for certain initial conditions). For the 2-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equation, Hairer, Punchon-Smith, Rosati, and Yi [HPSRY24] showed that $\lambda^\kappa \gtrsim \kappa^{-q}$ for any $q > 3$. This constitutes the first proof of a finite lower bound for the dissipation rate of any non-autonomous velocity field. (For general autonomous vector fields, the situation is easier and the lower bound $\lambda^\kappa > -\infty$ can be established using PDE techniques, for example [Agm10, Theorem 16.4].)

1.2 Almost-sure exponential mixing in the Batchelor regime

For $\kappa = 0$, equation (3) turns into the transport equation

$$\partial_t f_t = -u_t \cdot \nabla f_t. \quad (7)$$

Since the vector field u_t is divergence-free and sufficiently regular, the L^2 -norms of (weak) solutions are conserved, and we have $\lambda_{u, f_0}^0 = 0$ for every f_0 . In this setting, the mixing of passive scalars can be measured in terms of the evolution of a negative regularity Sobolev norm $\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}}$ with $s > 0$; see (12).

The transport equation (7) is said to be almost-surely *exponentially mixing* [BBPS22b] if for some $s > 0$, there exist a $\gamma > 0$ and a constant depending on the vector field $C_u > 0$, such that for almost every u

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \leq C_u e^{-\gamma t} \|f_0\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall f_0 \in H_0^s := H^s \cap L_0^2. \quad (8)$$

Establishing exponential mixing for random dynamical systems has been a topic of active research [DKK04, BCZG23, DD24, NFS25]. In the context of fluid dynamics, Bedrossian, Blumenthal, and Punshon-Smith showed that the solutions of the 2-dimensional stochastic Navier–Stokes equation and the 3-dimensional hyperviscous stochastic Navier–Stokes equation are almost surely exponentially mixing [BBPS22b]; see also [CR25a] for the case of degenerate noise.

Given a velocity field, let γ_s be the supremum of all values of γ for which (8) holds, where the constant C_u may depend on s . If there is no such γ , we set $\gamma_s = 0$. We call γ_s the *mixing rate* for the regularity parameter s . Thus, a system is exponentially mixing if there is an $s > 0$ such that $\gamma_s > 0$. Without any assumptions on the equation (7), it can be shown that if $\gamma_{s_0} > 0$ for some $s_0 > 0$, then $\gamma_s > 0$ for all $s > 0$ (see Proposition A.2, also [BBPS22b, Section 7]). In particular, if some mixing rate γ_{s_0} is known, the mixing rate γ_s for any s can be lower bounded by

$$\gamma_s \geq \frac{s}{2s_0 - s} \gamma_{s_0}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < s < s_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_s \geq \gamma_{s_0} \quad \text{for all } s > s_0.$$

Consequently, if (7) is exponentially mixing, then $\gamma_s \gtrsim 1 \wedge s$.

For velocity fields with a Lipschitz constant that is either uniformly bounded in time, or satisfies an appropriate moment bound, there is an upper bound on the mixing rate γ_s that is linear in s . For u given by the solution to the stochastic Navier–Stokes equation, this upper bound can be shown using results from [BBPS21, Lemma 7.3 and equation (7.2)] and an interpolation argument; see Lemma A.3.

Hence, for exponentially mixing velocity fields with an appropriate moment bound, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $s > 0$

$$C^{-1}(1 \wedge s) \leq \gamma_s \leq Cs.$$

For large s , it is not clear whether γ_s continues to grow linearly, whether it grows sublinearly, or whether it remains bounded (cf. Corollary 2.3). Our main results, which we present below, suggest a deeper connection between this question and Conjecture 1.

2 White-in-time velocity fields and main results

An aspect that makes the analysis of f_t evolving under (3) challenging is the fact that the velocity field u_t has a memory. This causes the process f_t to be non-Markovian. To avoid these difficulties, one can consider a different model for the evolution of passive scalars, given by SPDEs of the form

$$df_t = \kappa \Delta f_t dt - \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \cdot \nabla f_t \circ dW_t^i. \quad (9)$$

Here $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ are autonomous, divergence-free vector fields on the torus, and W^1, \dots, W^m are independent Brownian motions. Again, we consider mean-free initial conditions $f_0 \in L_0^2$. The SPDE (9) can be seen as a variant of the random PDE (3), where u is δ -in-time correlated field which is formally given by

$$u_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(x) \dot{W}_t^i.$$

As such, the SPDE (9) can be seen as an approximation for systems of form (3) where the velocity field has a short correlation time [Kra03].

Analogous to the introduction, let $\mathcal{T}_\omega^t : f_0 \mapsto f_t$ be the random time- t operator of the SPDE (9). Again, the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem implies that for each $\kappa > 0$ and $f_0 \in L_0^2$ the exponential dissipation rate $\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^\kappa$ is well-defined for almost every ω ,

$$\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^\kappa := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\mathcal{T}_\omega^t f_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (10)$$

Additionally, the supremum over all initial conditions essentially does not depend on ω . There exists a λ^κ such that for almost every ω we have

$$\lambda^\kappa := \sup_{f_0 \in L_0^2} \lambda_{\omega, f_0}^\kappa = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\mathcal{T}_\omega^t\|_{L_0^2} \in [-\infty, 0].$$

Gess and Yaroslavtsev [GY25] showed that under mild conditions on the regularity and ellipticity of the functions σ_i the dissipation rate λ^κ has a negative upper bound for small κ , that is,

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa < 0. \quad (11)$$

In particular, this upper bound holds for the 4-modes model [GY25, Theorem 6.2], where $m = 4$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_4$ given by (2).

2.1 Main Results

The main contribution (Corollary 2.2 below) of this paper is the corresponding lower bound

$$\liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa > -\infty,$$

in the 4-modes model (1). To our knowledge, this constitutes the first example of a model for passive scalar mixing in which the Batchelor scale conjecture can be verified. Our main result Theorem 2.1 is formulated in terms of Fourier coefficients, which we briefly introduce to clarify notation.

For scalar functions on the d -dimensional torus, Fourier modes are indexed by $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and given by $F_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$. The Fourier coefficients of f_t are denoted by

$$\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \langle F_{\mathbf{k}}, f_t \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} f_t(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Since f_t is assumed to be mean-free and real-valued, we have $\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{0}}(t) = 0$ and $\widehat{f}_{-\mathbf{k}}(t) = \overline{\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}$. For a function $f \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the Sobolev norm with regularity parameter $s \in \mathbb{R}$ can be defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^s}^2 := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \|\mathbf{k}\|^{2s}. \quad (12)$$

The heart of our argument is to control the Fourier mass in the four innermost modes of f_t , that is, we consider the projection

$$\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t := \widehat{f}_{0,1}(t) F_{0,1} + \widehat{f}_{0,-1}(t) F_{0,-1} + \widehat{f}_{1,0}(t) F_{1,0} + \widehat{f}_{-1,0}(t) F_{-1,0}.$$

The following theorem provides an lower bound for the L^2 -norm of this projection.

Theorem 2.1. *For $\kappa \geq 0$ and $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$, let f_t be the solution to (1). The decay rate of the L^2 -norm of the four innermost modes is almost-surely lower bounded by*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right). \quad (13)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 3. As an immediate consequence, using the estimate $\|f_t\|_{L^2} \geq \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2}$, we obtain the claimed lower bound for $\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^{\kappa}$.

Corollary 2.2. *For all $\kappa \geq 0$ and $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$, the exponential dissipation rate $\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^{\kappa}$, as given by (10), is almost surely lower bounded by*

$$\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^{\kappa} \geq -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right).$$

Together with the upper bound (11), which was established in [GY25, Theorem 6.2], Corollary 2.2 verifies the Batchelor scale conjecture for the 4-modes model (1).

Another interesting aspect of Theorem 2.1 is that the lower bound holds even for the pure-advection case $\kappa = 0$. In this case, equation (1) turns into the stochastic transport equation

$$df_t = - \sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_i \cdot \nabla f_t \circ dW_t^i. \quad (14)$$

For $s > 0$, let γ_s be the mixing rate of the transport equation, as defined in Section 1.2. That is, γ_s is the supremum over all γ for which there is a random constant $C_\omega > 0$ that is almost surely finite such that

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \leq C_\omega e^{-\gamma t} \|f_0\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall f_0 \in H_0^s := H^s \cap L_0^2. \quad (15)$$

In [GY25] it is shown that the transport equation (14) is exponentially mixing for any $s > 0$. The following corollary shows that Theorem 2.1 allows us to determine the dependence of γ_s on s up to a constant.

Corollary 2.3. *We have $\gamma_s \sim 1 \wedge s$, that is, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}(1 \wedge s) \leq \gamma_s \leq C(1 \wedge s), \quad \forall s > 0. \quad (16)$$

Proof. The proof consists of four inequalities. We show that there are constants C_1, c_2, C_3, c_4 such that

- (i) $\gamma_s \leq C_1 s$ for $s \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $\gamma_s \geq c_2 s$ for $s \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) $\gamma_s \leq C_3$ for $s \geq 1$;
- (iv) $\gamma_s \geq c_4$ for $s \geq 1$.

Once these constants are found, the estimate (16) holds with $C := \max\{C_1, c_2^{-1}, C_3, c_4^{-1}\}$.

The first inequality is shown in Proposition A.1. The second inequality follows from [GY25, Theorem 6.2]. To prove the third inequality, observe that for any $s > 0$ the H^{-s} -norm of a function f is lower bounded by $\|\Pi_{\leq 1} f\|_{L^2}$; see (12). Our main result Theorem 2.1 shows

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -2\pi^2,$$

which implies the upper bound $\gamma_s \leq 2\pi^2$. The fourth inequality is immediate, since $\gamma_s \geq \gamma_1$ for all $s \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

2.2 Three-dimensional model

In the physical community, there is a natural interest in three-dimensional fluid models. We show that our approach works in three dimensions and we establish the same results as for the two-dimensional model (1). The analogous three-dimensional model is given by

$$df_t = \kappa \Delta f_t dt - \sum_{i=1}^{12} \sigma_i \cdot \nabla f_t \circ dW_t^i, \quad (17)$$

where $f_t : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{12}$ are the shear flows given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(x, y, z) &:= (\sin(2\pi y), 0, 0)^\top, & \sigma_2(x, y, z) &:= (\cos(2\pi y), 0, 0)^\top \\ \sigma_3(x, y, z) &:= (0, \sin(2\pi x), 0)^\top, & \sigma_4(x, y, z) &:= (0, \cos(2\pi x), 0)^\top \\ \sigma_5(x, y, z) &:= (\sin(2\pi z), 0, 0)^\top, & \sigma_6(x, y, z) &:= (\cos(2\pi z), 0, 0)^\top \\ \sigma_7(x, y, z) &:= (0, 0, \sin(2\pi x))^\top, & \sigma_8(x, y, z) &:= (0, 0, \cos(2\pi x))^\top \\ \sigma_9(x, y, z) &:= (0, \sin(2\pi z), 0)^\top, & \sigma_{10}(x, y, z) &:= (0, \cos(2\pi z), 0)^\top \\ \sigma_{11}(x, y, z) &:= (0, 0, \sin(2\pi y))^\top, & \sigma_{12}(x, y, z) &:= (0, 0, \cos(2\pi y))^\top.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4. *The analogous statements of Theorem 2.1 and its two corollaries hold for the three-dimensional model (17).*

- (i) *For $\kappa \geq 0$ and $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, let f_t be the solution to (17). The decay rate of the L^2 -norm of the six innermost modes is lower bounded by*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -(2\pi)^2 (1 + \kappa).$$

- (ii) *The exponential dissipation rate $\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^\kappa$, as given by (10), is lower bounded by*

$$\lambda_{\omega, f_0}^\kappa \geq -(2\pi)^2 (1 + \kappa).$$

- (iii) *For $s > 0$ the exponential mixing rate without diffusion is of order $1 \wedge s$, that is there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}(1 \wedge s) \leq \gamma_s \leq C(1 \wedge s), \quad \forall s > 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 (i) is almost analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.1. In Section 3.3, we show how the proof of the 2-dimensional result can be adapted to establish Theorem 2.4 (i). Once (i) is proven, (ii) follows immediately. The proof of (iii) is analogous to that of Corollary 2.3. Like for the 4-modes model, [GY25, Theorem 6.1] applies to the 12-modes model (17). On the one hand, this proves a linear lower bound on γ_s for small s , a necessary step for (iii). On the other hand, their result establishes an upper bound $\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa < 0$. Together with (ii), this shows that the Batchelor scale conjecture is verified for the three-dimensional model.

2.3 Related literature

The dependence on κ of the almost-sure exponential dissipation rate λ^κ has been studied from different angles throughout the literature. In [MD18a] the dissipation rate has been estimated by means of numerical experiments. Their results indicate that λ^κ is effectively independent of κ for small κ , thus supporting the Batchelor scale conjecture. There are heuristic derivations of the Batchelor scale conjecture that so far did not yield rigorous proofs [AJFOGL96, MD18b].

The first uniform-in-diffusivity upper bound on the exponential dissipation rate has been established by Bedrossian, Blumenthal and Punshon-Smith [BBPS21]. The authors show $\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa < 0$ for velocity fields given by the solutions to the 2-dimensional stochastic Navier–Stokes equation and by solutions to the 3-dimensional hyperviscous Navier–Stokes equation. Their proof uses ergodicity of the two-point motion of a random dynamical system corresponding to the advection-diffusion equation (3). This technique goes back to Baxendale and Stroock [BS88] and has proven successful in establishing exponential mixing [DKK04, BBPS22b]. Using similar methods, Gess and Yatslavtsev [GY25] established a uniform upper bound on λ^κ for white-in-time velocity fields, including the 4-modes model (1) and its three-dimensional analogue (17).

A different approach to proving a uniform-in-diffusivity upper bound on λ^κ has been taken by Coti Zelati, Drivas and Gvalani [ZDG23] and Rowan [Row24]. They consider white-in-time velocity fields and derive a formula for the expected L^2 -norm of the scalar f_t using its two-point correlation function.

To the best of our knowledge, the only work that establishes a lower bound on the exponential dissipation rate λ^κ is the recent work by Hairer, Punshon-Smith, Rosati and Yi [HPSRY24] where they establish $\lambda^\kappa \gtrsim \kappa^{-q}$ for any $q > 3$ for velocity fields given by the 2-dimensional stochastic Navier–Stokes equation. Their proof is based on a concept they call *high-frequency stochastic instability*. For sufficiently noisy vectorfields some Fourier mass of the solution to the advection-diffusion equation is moved to low modes in a short amount of time with high probability. Once there is some mass in the low modes, diffusion kills the high modes quickly and decreases the filamentation length. This method heavily uses the fact that the noise forcing the velocity field is non-degenerate, that is, the noise acts on high modes. The 4-modes model (1) is supported on the lowest four modes, such that high-frequency stochastic instability, as described in [HPSRY24], cannot occur for this system. Our proof of the lower bound $\liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \lambda^\kappa > -\infty$ follows a different strategy. Instead of proving that Fourier mass is likely to return to low modes, we show that Fourier mass only ever leaves the low modes at an exponential rate that is uniform in κ ; see Theorem 2.1. However, our proof heavily uses the fact that the 4-modes model is white-in-time and our method does not generalize to the 2-dimensional Navier–Stokes equation.

3 Proof of the Main Result

This section contains the proof of Theorem 2.1. In Section 3.3, we show how the proof can be adapted to the three-dimensional model (17), thus proving Theorem 2.4.

We first rewrite the advection-diffusion equation (9) in Fourier coordinates. The calculation is elementary, and we state the resulting equations without proof.




Figure 2: Fourier modes $\hat{f}_{k,l}(t)$ of the solution f_t to (9). The inner modes with $k^2 + l^2 = 1$ are drawn as solid dots while all other modes are drawn as hollow dots. The arrows indicate which modes interact via the transport term; see Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.1. *The evolution of the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{k,l}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
d\hat{f}_{k,l}(t) = & -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right) (k^2 + l^2) \hat{f}_{k,l}(t) dt + \pi \left(k \left(\hat{f}_{k,l-1}(t) - \hat{f}_{k,l+1}(t) \right) dW_t^1 \right. \\
& - k \left(i \hat{f}_{k,l-1}(t) + i \hat{f}_{k,l+1}(t) \right) dW_t^2 \\
& + l \left(\hat{f}_{k-1,l}(t) - \hat{f}_{k+1,l}(t) \right) dW_t^3 \\
& \left. - l \left(i \hat{f}_{k-1,l}(t) + i \hat{f}_{k+1,l}(t) \right) dW_t^4 \right). \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

3.1 Proof of the Lower Bound

Let $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. We first consider the case $\Pi_{\leq 1} f_0 \neq 0$ and prove the almost-sure lower bound (13). In Section 3.2 we show the same holds for all initial conditions $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4$ be the real-valued stochastic processes for which

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{1,0}(t) &= X_1(t) + iX_2(t) & \text{and} & & \hat{f}_{1,-1}(t) + \hat{f}_{1,1}(t) &= Y_1(t) + iY_2(t) \\
\hat{f}_{0,1}(t) &= X_3(t) + iX_4(t) & & & \hat{f}_{1,-1}(t) - \hat{f}_{1,1}(t) &= Y_3(t) + iY_4(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By the relation $\widehat{f}_{-k,-l}(t) = \overline{\widehat{f}_{k,l}(t)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_{-1,0}(t) &= X_1(t) - iX_2(t) \\ \widehat{f}_{0,-1}(t) &= X_3(t) - iX_4(t) \end{aligned} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_{-1,1}(t) + \widehat{f}_{1,1}(t) &= Y_1(t) - iY_4(t) \\ \widehat{f}_{-1,1}(t) - \widehat{f}_{1,1}(t) &= Y_3(t) - iY_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1, the vector-valued process $\mathbf{X}(t) := (X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t), X_4(t))^\top$ satisfies the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right) \mathbf{X}(t) dt + \pi \begin{pmatrix} Y_3(t) & Y_2(t) & 0 & 0 \\ Y_4(t) & -Y_1(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y_3(t) & -Y_4(t) \\ 0 & 0 & -Y_2(t) & -Y_1(t) \end{pmatrix} d\mathbf{W}(t), \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbf{W}(t) := (W_t^1, W_t^2, W_t^3, W_t^4)^\top$. Introducing the constant $\gamma := (2\pi)^2(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa)$ and denoting the matrix in (19) by $A(t)$, we shorten this SDE to

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = -\gamma \mathbf{X}(t) dt + \pi A(t) d\mathbf{W}(t).$$

By the definition of $\mathbf{X}(t)$, we find $\|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2}^2 = 2 \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|^2$. Define

$$\lambda_t := \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} \log (2 \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|^2).$$

The variable λ_t is non-positive and becomes $-\infty$ when $\mathbf{X}(t) = 0$. Therefore, we cannot directly apply Itô's formula. Define the stopping time

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \lambda_t \leq -n\}, \quad \tau_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n.$$

The time τ_∞ is the first time that $\mathbf{X}(t)$ becomes zero. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and stop the processes λ_t and $X(t)$ at time τ_n . The stopped process $\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n}$ is finite and we apply Itô's formula. The first two derivatives of the function $F(\mathbf{X}) := \frac{1}{2} \log(2 \|\mathbf{X}\|^2)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla F(\mathbf{X}) &= \|\mathbf{X}\|^{-2} \mathbf{X}, \\ HF(\mathbf{X}) &= \|\mathbf{X}\|^{-4} (\|\mathbf{X}\|^2 \text{Id} - 2\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula yields

$$d\lambda_t = -\gamma \nabla F(\mathbf{X}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{X}(t) dt + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \pi^2 \text{tr}(A(t)^\top HF(\mathbf{X}(t)) A(t))}_{=: \mu(t)} dt + \pi \nabla F(\mathbf{X}(t))^\top A(t) d\mathbf{W}(t). \quad (20)$$

The stopped process $\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n}$ is given by

$$\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n} = \lambda_0 - \gamma(t \wedge \tau_n) + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \mu(s) ds + \pi \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \|\mathbf{X}(s)\|^{-2} \mathbf{X}(s)^\top A(s) d\mathbf{W}(s).$$

We explicitly compute the drift term $\mu(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|^{-2} \operatorname{tr}(A(t)^\top A(t)) - \pi^2 \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|^{-4} \operatorname{tr}(A(t)^\top \mathbf{X}(t) \mathbf{X}(t)^\top A(t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|^{-2} \left(\|A(t)\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 - 2 \left\| A(t)^\top \frac{\mathbf{X}(t)}{\|\mathbf{X}(t)\|} \right\|^2 \right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \|\mathbf{X}(t)\|^{-2} \left(\|A(t)\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 - 2 \|A(t)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\|A(t)\|_{\text{Fr}} = \operatorname{tr}(A(t)^\top A(t))^{1/2}$ is the Frobenius norm. This shows that the drift $\mu(t)$ is non-negative if the Frobenius norm of $A(t)$ is at least twice its operator norm. Observe that $A(t)$ is in block form with blocks $B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$; see (19). Since B_1 and B_2 are orthogonally equivalent, their Frobenius norms and operator norms coincide. Since the operator norm of a matrix is upper bounded by its Frobenius norm, we conclude

$$\|A(t)\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 = 2 \|B_i\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 \geq 2 \|B_i\|_{\text{op}}^2 = 2 \|A(t)\|_{\text{op}}^2.$$

This shows that the drift term $\mu(t)$ is a non-negative, adapted process, no matter what the values $Y_i(t)$ are.

Next, we show that $\tau_\infty = \infty$ almost surely. The expected value of $\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n}] = \lambda_0 - \gamma(t \wedge \tau_n) + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \mu(s) \, ds \geq \lambda_0 - \gamma t.$$

From Markov's inequality for $-\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n}$, we get

$$-\lambda_0 + \gamma t \geq \mathbb{E}[-\lambda_{t \wedge \tau_n}] \geq \mathbb{P}(\tau_n \leq t)n.$$

For fixed $t \geq 0$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ shows that $\tau_\infty > t$ with probability 1, and $\mathbf{X}(t)$ almost surely never becomes zero. This shows that λ_t is almost surely well-defined for all times and given by

$$\lambda_t = \lambda_0 - \gamma t + \underbrace{\int_0^t \mu(s) \, ds + \pi \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(s)\|^{-2} \mathbf{X}(s)^\top A(s) \, d\mathbf{W}(s)}_{=: M_t}. \tag{22}$$

Consider the local martingale term M_t in (22). Its quadratic variation is given by

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \pi^2 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(s)\|^{-4} \|\mathbf{X}(s)^\top A(s)\|^2 \, ds.$$

The total quadratic variation is given by $\langle M \rangle_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle M \rangle_t$. If $\langle M \rangle_\infty < \infty$, the limit $M_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t$ exists. For $t \geq 0$, define the random time-change

$$T_t := \inf\{T \geq 0 \mid \langle M \rangle_T \geq t\}.$$

As long as $t < \langle M \rangle_\infty$, the time T_t is finite. We construct the process

$$B_t := \begin{cases} M_{T_t} & \text{if } t < \langle M \rangle_\infty, \\ M_\infty & \text{if } t \geq \langle M \rangle_\infty. \end{cases}$$

We use a generalization of the Dambis, Dubins–Schwarz Theorem which is formulated in [RY99, Theorem V.1.7]. The theorem asserts that B_t is a Brownian motion that is stopped at $\langle M \rangle_\infty$. If W_t is a Brownian motion with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, then B_t is a stopped Brownian motion with respect to the filtration $\{F_{T_t}\}_{t \geq 0}$. A stopped Brownian motion either remains bounded (in case it stops) or becomes positive infinitely often. In the first case, which occurs when $\langle M \rangle_\infty < \infty$, the process M_t stays bounded and we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} M_t = 0.$$

In the latter case, B_t becomes positive infinitely often and we find

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} M_t = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_t} M_{T_t} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_t} B_t \geq 0.$$

This shows that the stochastic integral M_t cannot decay at a linear rate. Finally, taking the limit in (22), and using $\mu(t) \geq 0$ yields

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \lambda_t \geq -\gamma.$$

In other words,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\geq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right).$$

3.2 Independence of the initial condition

In the previous section, we proved that for initial conditions $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with $\Pi_{\leq 1} f_0 \neq 0$, we almost surely have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right).$$

In this section, we show that for all $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$ the same almost-sure lower bound holds. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. For every $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}_{k,l}(t) = 0, \forall t \geq 0) = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the set of modes which have a positive probability to be zero for all times,

$$N := \left\{ (k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}_{k,l}(t) = 0, \forall t \geq 0) > 0 \right\}.$$

Recall the evolution of the Fourier modes $\widehat{f}_{k,l}(t)$; see (18). Let $V_{k,l}$ denote the sum of the quadratic variations of the real and imaginary parts of $\widehat{f}_{k,l}(t)$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k,l}(t) &:= \langle \Re(\widehat{f}_{k,l}) \rangle_t + \langle \Im(\widehat{f}_{k,l}) \rangle_t \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \left[k^2 (|\widehat{f}_{k,l-1}(s)|^2 + |\widehat{f}_{k,l+1}(s)|^2) + l^2 (|\widehat{f}_{k-1,l}(s)|^2 + |\widehat{f}_{k+1,l}(s)|^2) \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We say two modes $(k_1, l_1), (k_2, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ are *adjacent* if

$$(k_1 = k_2 \neq 0, \quad l_1 = l_2 \pm 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (k_1 = k_2 \pm 1, \quad l_1 = l_2 \neq 0);$$

see Figure 2. If $(k, l) \in N$, then $\mathbb{P}(V_{k,l}(t) = 0, \forall t \geq 0) > 0$, and by (23), we have $(k', l') \in N$ for every (k', l') adjacent to (k, l) . Since the graph with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and edges between all adjacent modes is connected, we must have either $N = \emptyset$ or $N = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. By assumption $N \neq \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, so $N = \emptyset$. \square

We are now able to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $f_0 \in L_0^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.2, almost surely, there is some time $t \geq 0$ such that $\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t \neq 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$, define the stopping time

$$\tau_\epsilon := \inf\{t > 0 \mid \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} > \epsilon\}.$$

If $\tau_\epsilon < \infty$, we have $\Pi_{\leq 1} f_{\tau_\epsilon} \neq 0$. Using the result of Section 3.1 and the strong Markov property, we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right) \mid \tau_\epsilon < \infty \right) = 1,$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t\|_{L^2} \geq -(2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \kappa \right) \right) \geq \mathbb{P}(\tau_\epsilon < \infty).$$

Note that the left-hand side does not depend on ϵ . When taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the right-hand side converges to 1 since $\Pi_{\leq 1} f_t$ is almost surely non-zero for some $t \geq 0$. Thus (13) holds almost surely. \square

3.3 Three-dimensional model

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.4. The proof is almost analogous to that of the two-dimensional model. Therefore, we omit the parts of the proof that are the same and elaborate which steps need to be adapted. As before, we first rewrite the SPDE (17) in Fourier coordinates. Note that on the three-dimensional torus, the Fourier modes are indexed by three integers $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The evolution of the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{f}_{k,l,m}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} d\widehat{f}_{k,l,m}(t) = & -(2\pi)^2 (1 + \kappa) (k^2 + l^2 + m^2) \widehat{f}_{k,l,m}(t) dt \\ & + \pi \left(k (\widehat{f}_{k,l-1,m}(t) - \widehat{f}_{k,l+1,m}(t)) dW_t^1 - k (i\widehat{f}_{k,l-1,m}(t) + i\widehat{f}_{k,l+1,m}(t)) dW_t^2 \right. \\ & + l (\widehat{f}_{k-1,l,m}(t) - \widehat{f}_{k+1,l,m}(t)) dW_t^3 - l (i\widehat{f}_{k-1,l,m}(t) + i\widehat{f}_{k+1,l,m}(t)) dW_t^4 \\ & + k (\widehat{f}_{k,l,m-1}(t) - \widehat{f}_{k,l,m+1}(t)) dW_t^5 - k (i\widehat{f}_{k,l,m-1}(t) + i\widehat{f}_{k,l,m+1}(t)) dW_t^6 \\ & + m (\widehat{f}_{k-1,l,m}(t) - \widehat{f}_{k+1,l,m}(t)) dW_t^7 - m (i\widehat{f}_{k-1,l,m}(t) + i\widehat{f}_{k+1,l,m}(t)) dW_t^8 \\ & + l (\widehat{f}_{k,l,m-1}(t) - \widehat{f}_{k,l,m+1}(t)) dW_t^9 - l (i\widehat{f}_{k,l,m-1}(t) + i\widehat{f}_{k,l,m+1}(t)) dW_t^{10} \\ & \left. + m (\widehat{f}_{k,l-1,m}(t) - \widehat{f}_{k,l+1,m}(t)) dW_t^{11} - m (i\widehat{f}_{k,l-1,m}(t) + i\widehat{f}_{k,l+1,m}(t)) dW_t^{12} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\Pi_{\leq 1} f_0 \neq 0$, and let $X_1, \dots, X_6 \in \mathbb{R}$ be the real-valued stochastic processes for which

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_{1,0,0}(t) &= X_1(t) + iX_2(t) \\ \widehat{f}_{0,1,0}(t) &= X_3(t) + iX_4(t) \\ \widehat{f}_{0,0,1}(t) &= X_5(t) + iX_6(t). \end{aligned}$$

Based on Proposition 3.3 the evolution of the vector $\mathbf{X}(t) := (X_1(t), \dots, X_6(t))^\top$ can be written as

$$d\mathbf{X}(t) = -\gamma \mathbf{X}(t) dt + \pi A(t) d\mathbf{W}(t),$$

where $\gamma := -(2\pi)^2(1 + \kappa)$, $\mathbf{W}(t) = (W_t^1, \dots, W_t^{12})^\top$, and $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 12}$. The crucial step in the proof of the two-dimensional model was to prove that the drift term $\mu(t)$, as defined in (20), is non-negative. By (21), this is equivalent to the Frobenius norm of $A(t)$ being at least twice its operator norm. We verify this condition for the three-dimensional model.

To write the matrix $A(t)$, define the variables $Y_1, \dots, Y_{12} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_{1,-1,0}(t) + \widehat{f}_{1,1,0}(t) &= Y_1(t) + iY_2(t), & \widehat{f}_{1,-1,0}(t) - \widehat{f}_{1,1,0}(t) &= Y_3(t) + iY_4(t) \\ \widehat{f}_{1,0,-1}(t) + \widehat{f}_{1,0,1}(t) &= Y_5(t) + iY_6(t), & \widehat{f}_{1,0,-1}(t) - \widehat{f}_{1,0,1}(t) &= Y_7(t) + iY_8(t) \\ \widehat{f}_{0,1,-1}(t) + \widehat{f}_{0,1,1}(t) &= Y_9(t) + iY_{10}(t), & \widehat{f}_{0,1,-1}(t) - \widehat{f}_{0,1,1}(t) &= Y_{11}(t) + iY_{12}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The function f_t is real so that $\widehat{f}_{-k,-l,-m}(t) = \overline{\widehat{f}_{k,l,m}(t)}$. The matrix $A(t)$ is given by

$$A(t) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc} Y_3 & Y_2 & 0 & 0 & Y_7 & Y_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_4 & -Y_1 & 0 & 0 & Y_8 & -Y_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y_3 & -Y_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_{11} & Y_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -Y_2 & -Y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_{12} & -Y_9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_7 & -Y_8 & 0 & 0 & Y_{11} & -Y_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Y_6 & -Y_5 & 0 & 0 & -Y_{10} & -Y_9 \end{array} \right), \quad (24)$$

where we omitted the time-dependence of the Y_i . The structure of (24) is similar to three copies of the matrix (19) from the two-dimensional example. We consider the matrix in block form $A(t) = (B \mid C \mid D)$, where each block is a 6×4 matrix. By the argument from the two-dimensional proof, the Frobenius norm of each of these blocks is at least twice its operator norm. We conclude

$$\|A(t)\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 = \|B\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 + \|C\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 + \|D\|_{\text{Fr}}^2 \geq 2\|B\|_{\text{op}}^2 + 2\|C\|_{\text{op}}^2 + 2\|D\|_{\text{op}}^2 \geq 2\|A(t)\|_{\text{op}}^2.$$

From here on, the proof is analogous to the two-dimensional case. We obtain the almost-sure lower bound

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\Pi_{\leq 1} f_0\|_{L^2} \geq -\gamma = -(2\pi)^2(1 + \kappa).$$

Showing that this lower-bound holds for all initial conditions $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is analogous to the proof in Section 3.2.

A Well posedness of the transport SPDE and estimates on the mixing rate γ_s

In this appendix, we show estimates for the mixing rate γ_s of the stochastic transport equation

$$df_t = - \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i \cdot \nabla f_t \circ dW_t^i. \quad (25)$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ are autonomous, divergence-free vector fields on the torus, and W^1, \dots, W^m are independent Brownian motions on the stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$. Weak-in-space, strong-in-probability solutions can be constructed using a Lagrangian approach. The Lagrangian SDE corresponding to (25) is given by

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(\mathbf{X}_t) \circ dW_t^i. \quad (26)$$

By a classical result due to Kunita (see, e.g., [Kun06]), there exists a stochastic flow of diffeomorphism, that is, a map $\varphi : (\omega, t_0, t, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \varphi_\omega^{t_0, t}(\mathbf{x})$, defined for $\omega \in \Omega, 0 \leq t_0 \leq t < \infty$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ with the following properties.

- (i) For every $t_0 \geq 0$ and every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$, the stochastic process $\mathbf{X}_t := \varphi_\omega^{t_0, t}(\mathbf{x}), t \geq t_0$ is a strong solution to (26) with $\mathbf{X}_t = \mathbf{x}$.
- (ii) For almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, the map $\varphi_\omega^{t_0, t} : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ is a diffeomorphism for all $0 \leq t_0 \leq t$.
- (iii) For almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ and for every $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$, we have $\varphi_\omega^{t_0, t_2} = \varphi_\omega^{t_1, t_2} \circ \varphi_\omega^{t_0, t_1}$ and $\varphi_\omega^{t_0, t_0} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}^d}$.

Since the vector fields $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ are divergence-free, the stochastic flow φ is volume preserving, that is, almost surely $\det D_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi_{\omega}^{t_0, t}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ for all $t_0 \leq t$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$. The solution $f_t \in L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}))$ to (25) with initial state $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R})$ can now be constructed by

$$f_t = f_0 \circ (\varphi_{\omega}^{0, t})^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

For any test function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$g(\mathbf{X}_t) = g(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \sigma_i(\mathbf{X}_r) \cdot \nabla g(\mathbf{X}_r) \circ dW_r^i,$$

where $\mathbf{X}_t := \varphi_{\omega}^{0, t}(\mathbf{x})$. Thus, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_t, g \rangle_{L^2} &= \langle f_0 \circ (\varphi_{\omega}^{0, t})^{-1}, g \rangle_{L^2} = \langle f_0, g \circ \varphi_{\omega}^{0, t} \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle f_0, g \rangle_{L^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \langle f_0, (\sigma_i \cdot \nabla g) \circ \varphi_{\omega}^{0, r} \rangle_{L^2} \circ dW_r^i \\ &= \langle f_0, g \rangle_{L^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \langle f_r, \nabla \cdot (g \sigma_i) \rangle_{L^2} \circ dW_r^i, \end{aligned}$$

that is, f_t is indeed a weak-in-space, strong-in-probability solution to (25).

We use (27) to derive an upper bound for the mixing rate γ_s . By [Bax89, Proposition 2.1], the stochastic flow of diffeomorphism φ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d} \|D_{\mathbf{x}}(\varphi_{\omega}^{0, t})^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\| \right] < \infty.$$

Consequently, by Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem [Kin68], there exists a finite deterministic number $\Lambda \geq 0$, such that

$$\Lambda = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d} \log \|(D_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi_{\omega}^{0, t}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\|, \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Proposition A.1. *For all $s > 0$, if (25) is exponentially mixing for s , the mixing rate is upper bounded by $\gamma_s < \Lambda s$.*

Proof. The growth of the H^1 -norm of an arbitrary initial state $f_0 \in H^1$ can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_t\|_{H^1} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla f_t(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla (f_0 \circ (\varphi_{\omega}^{0, t})^{-1})(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d} \|(D_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi_{\omega}^{0, t}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\| \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla f_0((\varphi_{\omega}^{0, t})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}))|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{\omega} e^{(\Lambda + \epsilon)t} \|f_0\|_{H^1}, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{C}_ω is a random constant given by

$$\tilde{C}_\omega := \sup_{t' \geq 0} e^{-(\Lambda + \epsilon)t'} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d} \left\| (D_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi_\omega^{0,t'}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} \right\| < \infty,$$

which is finite by the definition of Λ . For any $s > 0$, rearranging the interpolation inequality (Lemma A.3 (i)) $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} \leq \|\cdot\|_{H^{-s}}^{1/(1+s)} \|\cdot\|_{H^1}^{s/(1+s)}$ gives $\|\cdot\|_{H^{-s}} \geq \|\cdot\|_{L^2}^{1+s} \|\cdot\|_{H^1}^{-s}$. For any $f_0 \in H^1$, we get

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \geq \|f_t\|_{L^2}^{1+s} \|f_t\|_{H^1}^{-s} \geq \tilde{C}_\omega^{-s} e^{-s(\Lambda + \epsilon)t} \|f_0\|_{L^2}^{1+s} \|f_0\|_{H^1}^{-s}.$$

In particular, the inequality (15) can not be satisfied for $\gamma = s\Lambda + 2s\epsilon$, and thus $\gamma_s \leq s\Lambda + 2s\epsilon$. Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives $\gamma_s \leq s\Lambda$, as claimed. \square

We conclude the appendix showing that (25) is mixing for all $s > 0$ given that it is mixing for some $s_0 > 0$. Furthermore we provide lower bounds for the mixing rates γ_s in terms of the mixing rate γ_{s_0} . The following proposition is formulated in the context of the transport SPDE (25), but the proof does not use the form of (25). In particular, the statement is also true for random transport PDEs of the form (7) and the proofs are identical.

Proposition A.2. *Let $s_0 > 0$ and suppose that (25) is exponentially mixing in the sense of (15) with mixing rate γ_{s_0} . Then (25) mixes exponentially for all $s > 0$ and we have the following lower bounds for the mixing rate.*

(i) $\gamma_s \geq \gamma_{s_0}$, for all $s > s_0$.

(ii) $\gamma_s \geq \frac{s}{2s_0 - s} \gamma_{s_0}$, for all $0 < s < s_0$.

The proof relies heavily on the following interpolation theorem.

Lemma A.3. *Let $-\infty < s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < \infty$.*

(i) *For every $f \in H_0^{s_3}$, we have*

$$\|f\|_{H^{s_2}} \leq \|f\|_{H^{s_1}}^{(s_3 - s_2)/(s_3 - s_1)} \|f\|_{H^{s_3}}^{(s_2 - s_1)/(s_3 - s_1)}.$$

(ii) *For every $f \in H_0^{s_2}$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $f^\epsilon \in H_0^{s_3}$, such that*

$$\|f - f^\epsilon\|_{H^{s_1}} \leq \epsilon \|f\|_{H^{s_2}}, \quad \|f^\epsilon\|_{H^{s_2}} \leq \|f\|_{H^{s_2}}, \quad \|f^\epsilon\|_{H^{s_3}} \leq \epsilon^{-(s_3 - s_2)/(s_2 - s_1)} \|f\|_{H^{s_2}}. \quad (28)$$

The fact that these inequalities hold without the appearance of constants is due to our choice of the H^s -norms (12) among all equivalent norms. We sketch the proofs.

Sketch of Proof. (i) is a direct consequence of (12) and the Hölder inequality. For (ii), let $R := \epsilon^{-1/(s_2 - s_1)}$ and let

$$f^\epsilon := \Pi_{\leq R} f := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, |\mathbf{k}| \leq R} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} F_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Then

$$f - f^\epsilon = \Pi_{> R} f := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, |\mathbf{k}| > R} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} F_{\mathbf{k}}$$

and the inequalities in (28) follow from (12) and an elementary computation. \square

Proof of Proposition A.2. The case $s > s_0$ is a trivial consequence of the embeddings $H^s \hookrightarrow H^{s_0}$ and $H^{-s_0} \hookrightarrow H^{-s}$. Let $0 < s < s_0$ and $\gamma < \gamma_{s_0}$. By the definition of the mixing rate γ_{s_0} , there exists a random constant C_ω , such that almost surely

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s_0}} \leq C_\omega e^{-\gamma t} \|f_0\|_{H^{s_0}}, \quad \forall f_0 \in H_0^{s_0}. \quad (29)$$

We show that there exists a random constant C'_ω such that

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \leq C'_\omega e^{-s\gamma t/(2s_0-s)} \|f_0\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall f_0 \in H_0^s.$$

This implies $\gamma_s > \frac{s}{(2s_0-s)}\gamma$, and taking $\gamma \uparrow \gamma_{s_0}$ yields the claimed bound.

Fix $f_0 \in H_0^s$ and $t > 0$. By Lemma A.3 (ii), for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a function $f_0^\epsilon \in H_0^{s_0}$ with

$$\|f_0 - f_0^\epsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon \|f_0\|_{H^s}, \quad \|f_0^\epsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \|f_0\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_0^\epsilon\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \epsilon^{-(s_0-s)/s} \|f_0\|_{H^s}.$$

Let f_t^ϵ denote the solution to (25) with initial state f_0^ϵ . By (29), we have

$$\|f_t^\epsilon\|_{H^{-s_0}} \leq C_\omega e^{-\gamma t} \|f_0^\epsilon\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq C_\omega e^{-\gamma t} \epsilon^{-(s_0-s)/s} \|f_0\|_{H^s}. \quad (30)$$

Using the fact that (25) preserves the L^2 -norm, we furthermore get

$$\|f_t^\epsilon\|_{L^2} = \|f_0^\epsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \|f_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|f_0\|_{H^s}. \quad (31)$$

The interpolation between the bounds (30) and (31), using Lemma A.3 (i), gives

$$\|f_t^\epsilon\|_{H^{-s}} \leq \|f_t^\epsilon\|_{H^{-s_0}}^{s/s_0} \|f_t^\epsilon\|_{L^2}^{1-s/s_0} \leq C_\omega^{s/s_0} e^{-(s/s_0)\gamma t} \epsilon^{-(s_0-s)/s_0} \|f_0\|_{H^s}. \quad (32)$$

Since (25) is linear, $f_t - f_t^\epsilon$ is also a solution with initial condition $f_0 - f_0^\epsilon$ and its L^2 -norm is constant. This allows us to bound

$$\|f_t - f_t^\epsilon\|_{H^{-s}} \leq \|f_t - f_t^\epsilon\|_{L^2} = \|f_0 - f_0^\epsilon\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon \|f_0\|_{H^s}. \quad (33)$$

Combining the estimates (32) and (33), we get

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \leq \|f_t^\epsilon\|_{H^{-s}} + \|f_t - f_t^\epsilon\|_{H^{-s}} \leq (C_\omega^{s/s_0} e^{-(s/s_0)\gamma t} \epsilon^{-(s_0-s)/s_0} + \epsilon) \|f_0\|_{H^s}.$$

Choosing $\epsilon := e^{-s\gamma t/(2s_0-s)}$, we get

$$\|f_t\|_{H^{-s}} \leq (C_\omega^{s/s_0} + 1) e^{-s\gamma t/(2s_0-s)} \|f_0\|_{H^s},$$

completing the proof. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Tomasso Rosati for insightful discussions on this topic. RC thanks Alex Blumenthal and Sam Punshon-Smith for their hospitality during his visits, which initiated the work on this project. The work of DC is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - SPP 2410 Hyperbolic Balance Laws in Fluid Mechanics: Complexity, Scales, Randomness (CoScaRa). RC acknowledges the funding by the DFG-Collaborative Research Center 1114 Scaling Cascades in Complex Systems, project no. 235221301, A08 Characterization and prediction of quasi-stationary atmospheric states.

References

- [Agm10] Shmuel Agmon. *Lectures on elliptic boundary value problems*, volume 369. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [AJFOGL96] Thomas M Antonsen Jr, Zhencan Fan, Edward Ott, and E Garcia-Lopez. The role of chaotic orbits in the determination of power spectra of passive scalars. *Physics of Fluids*, 8(11):3094–3104, 1996.
- [Bat59] George K Batchelor. Small-scale variation of convected quantities like temperature in turbulent fluid part 1. general discussion and the case of small conductivity. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 5(1):113–133, 1959.
- [Bax89] Peter H Baxendale. Lyapunov exponents and relative entropy for a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 81(4):521–554, 1989.
- [BBPS21] Jacob Bedrossian, Alex Blumenthal, and Sam Punshon-Smith. Almost-sure enhanced dissipation and uniform-in-diffusivity exponential mixing for advection–diffusion by stochastic Navier–Stokes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 179(3):777–834, 2021.
- [BBPS22a] Jacob Bedrossian, Alex Blumenthal, and Sam Punshon-Smith. Lagrangian chaos and scalar advection in stochastic fluid mechanics. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 24(6):1893–1990, 2022.
- [BBPS22b] Jacob Bedrossian, Alex Blumenthal, and Samuel Punshon-Smith. Almost-sure exponential mixing of passive scalars by the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations. *The Annals of Probability*, 50(1):241–303, 2022.
- [BBPS22c] Jacob Bedrossian, Alex Blumenthal, and Samuel Punshon-Smith. The Batchelor spectrum of passive scalar turbulence in stochastic fluid mechanics at fixed Reynolds number. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 75(6):1237–1291, 2022.
- [BCZG23] Alex Blumenthal, Michele Coti Zelati, and Rishabh S Gvalani. Exponential mixing for random dynamical systems and an example of pierrehumbert. *The Annals of Probability*, 51(4):1559–1601, 2023.
- [BS88] Peter H Baxendale and Daniel W Stroock. Large deviations and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 80(2):169–215, 1988.
- [CR25a] William Cooperman and Keefer Rowan. Exponential scalar mixing for the 2d navier–stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing. *Inventiones mathematicae*, pages 1–97, 2025.

- [CR25b] William Cooperman and Keefer Rowan. Fourier mass lower bounds for Batchelor-regime passive scalars. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.05885*, 2025.
- [DD24] Jonathan DeWitt and Dmitry Dolgopyat. Expanding on average diffeomorphisms of surfaces: exponential mixing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.08445*, 2024.
- [DKK04] Dmitry Dolgopyat, Vadim Kaloshin, and Leonid Korolov. Sample path properties of the stochastic flows. *The Annals of Probability*, 32(1A):1–27, 2004.
- [FK95] Uriel Frisch and Andreï Nikolaevich Kolmogorov. *Turbulence: the legacy of AN Kolmogorov*. Cambridge university press, 1995.
- [GY25] Benjamin Gess and Ivan Yaroslavl'tsev. Stabilization by transport noise and enhanced dissipation in the Kraichnan model. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 25(2):42, 2025.
- [HPSRY24] Martin Hairer, Sam Punshon-Smith, Tommaso Rosati, and Jaeyun Yi. Lower bounds on the top Lyapunov exponent for linear pdes driven by the 2d stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.10419*, 2024.
- [Kin68] John F. C. Kingman. The ergodic theory of subadditive stochastic processes. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 30(3):499–510, 1968.
- [Kol91] Andrei Nikolaevich Kolmogorov. The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large Reynolds numbers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 434(1890):9–13, 1991.
- [Kra03] Peter R Kramer. Two different rapid decorrelation in time limits for turbulent diffusion. *Journal of statistical physics*, 110(1):87–136, 2003.
- [Kun06] Hiroshi Kunita. Stochastic differential equations and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. In *Ecole d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour XII-1982*, pages 143–303. Springer, 2006.
- [MD18a] Christopher J Miles and Charles R Doering. Diffusion-limited mixing by incompressible flows. *Nonlinearity*, 31(5):2346, 2018.
- [MD18b] Christopher J Miles and Charles R Doering. A shell model for optimal mixing. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 28(6):2153–2186, 2018.
- [NFS25] Víctor Navarro-Fernández and Christian Seis. Exponential mixing by random cellular flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.17273*, 2025.
- [Ose68] Valery Iustinovich Oseledec. A multiplicative ergodic theorem, Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems. *Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society*, 19:197–231, 1968.

- [Row24] Keefer Rowan. On anomalous diffusion in the kraichnan model and correlated-in-time variants. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 248(5):93, 2024.
- [Row25] Keefer Rowan. Superexponential dissipation enhancement on \mathbb{T}^d . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.02081*, 2025.
- [Rue82] David Ruelle. Characteristic exponents and invariant manifolds in hilbert space. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 243–290, 1982.
- [RY99] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1999.
- [ZDG23] Michele Coti Zelati, Theodore D Drivas, and Rishabh S Gvalani. Statistically self-similar mixing by gaussian random fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15744*, 2023.