

A simple procedure for generating a Kappa distribution in PIC simulation

SEIJI ZENITANI¹

¹*Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, 8042 Graz, Austria*

ABSTRACT

For kinetic modeling of plasma processes in space, a rejection-sampling procedure for generating a Kappa distribution in particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation is proposed. A Pareto distribution is employed as an envelope distribution. The procedure only requires uniform variates, and its acceptance efficiency is ≈ 0.73 – 0.8 .

Keywords: Plasma physics (2089) — Computational methods (1965) — Algorithms (1883) — Monte Carlo methods (2238)

1. INTRODUCTION

A Kappa distribution is one of the most important velocity distributions in space plasmas (Pierrard & Lazar 2010; Livadiotis 2017). The distribution attracts growing attention, because it is ubiquitously observed across the heliosphere, and because it is related to a maximum state of non-Boltzmann entropy. Owing to its importance, there is a growing demand for kinetic modeling of plasma processes with Kappa distributions (e.g., Park et al. 2013; Gedalin & Ganushkina 2022; Ma et al. 2023). In kinetic simulations such as particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation, it is usually necessary to initialize Kappa-distributed particles by using random numbers.

The Kappa distribution is equivalent to a three-dimensional (trivariate) t -distribution. A standard way for generating such a t -distribution is to divide a multivariate normal distribution by a gamma-distributed random number. Recent works (Abdul & Mace 2015; Zenitani & Nakano 2022) employ this strategy for generating a Kappa distribution in PIC simulation. Unfortunately, gamma generators are not always provided in programming languages, and so the user often needs to implement one of many gamma generators (Devroye 1986; Luengo 2022). This fact makes PIC codes with the standard Kappa generator less portable. There exist t -generators that only require uniform variates (Bailey 1994; Abdul & Mace 2014), however, they are only available for 1D and 2D distributions. In this research note, I propose a random number generator for a 3D Kappa distribution that only requires uniform variates.

2. KAPPA GENERATOR

The phase-space density of a Kappa distribution is given by

$$f_{\kappa}(\mathbf{v})d^3v = \frac{N_0}{(\pi\kappa\theta^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+1)}{\Gamma(\kappa-1/2)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{\kappa\theta^2}\right)^{-(\kappa+1)} d^3v, \quad (1)$$

where N_0 is the density, κ is the spectral index, θ is the characteristic speed, and $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function. Its omni-directional distribution with $\kappa = 2$ is shown by the black curve in Figure 1(a). It has a power-law tail, $\propto v^{-2\kappa}$.




Figure 1. (a) Velocity distribution of the Kappa distribution with $\kappa = 2$. The black line and the blue histogram show the theoretical curve and numerical results. (b) Acceptance efficiency in the (κ, n) space (Eq. (8)). The thin red line, the red dashed line, and the blue dashed line indicate the optimum line, the near-optimum approximation ($n = 2\kappa/3 - 1/5$), and my recommendation ($n = \kappa/2$), respectively.

Below I will construct a Monte Carlo procedure. Considering a new variable $x \equiv v^2/(\kappa\theta^2)$ and the spherical coordinates ($d^3v \rightarrow 4\pi v^2 dv$), the distribution can be rewritten by

$$f(x)dx = \frac{1}{B(\frac{3}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2})} x^{1/2} (1+x)^{-\kappa-1} dx, \quad (2)$$

where $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is the beta function. This distribution is a beta-prime distribution, also known as a beta distribution of the second kind, with shape $(3/2, \kappa - 1/2)$.

I employ the Pareto distribution in $x \in (0, \infty)$ as an envelope function of a rejection sampling method:

$$g(x) \equiv n(1+x)^{-(n+1)}. \quad (3)$$

The index n ranges $0 < n \leq \kappa - \frac{1}{2}$ such that an area $\int_0^\infty g(x)dx$ can be defined, and that $g(x) \propto x^{-(n+1)}$ decays slower than $f(x) \propto x^{-\kappa-1/2}$ in the $x \rightarrow \infty$ limit. The Pareto distribution (Eq. (3)) can be generated by using a uniform variate $U_1 \sim U(0, 1)$,

$$x \leftarrow (1 - U_1)^{-1/n} - 1. \quad (4)$$

If U_1 is defined in $(0, 1]$, one can replace $(1 - U_1)$ with U_1 here and in subsequent discussions.

Then I consider the maximum value of $f(x)/g(x)$,

$$c = \max \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) = \frac{f(x_m)}{g(x_m)} = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{n \text{B} \left(\frac{3}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2} \right)}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$x_m = \frac{1}{2\kappa - 2n - 1}, \quad \mathcal{D} = (2\kappa - 2n - 1)^{\kappa - n - 1/2} (2\kappa - 2n)^{n - \kappa}. \quad (6)$$

Using them, I accept the output of Eq. (4), when another uniform variate U_2 satisfies

$$U_2 \leq \frac{f(x)}{c \cdot g(x)} = \frac{x^{1/2}(1+x)^{n-\kappa}}{\mathcal{D}}. \quad (7)$$

Then I obtain x that follows the beta-prime distribution (Eq. (2)). The acceptance efficiency is

$$\text{Eff}(\kappa, n) = c^{-1} = \frac{n}{\mathcal{D}} \text{B} \left(\frac{3}{2}, \kappa - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (8)$$

After sampling x , I translate it to $|v|$, and then randomly scatter the vector into 3D directions. A full procedure is shown below. Step 0 is necessary only once, while other steps are required for each particle. Fortunately, the beta function does not appear in the procedure.

- **Step 0 (initialization):** $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow (2\kappa - 2n - 1)^{\kappa - n - 1/2} (2\kappa - 2n)^{n - \kappa}$
- **Step 1:** Generate $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$
- **Step 2:** $W \leftarrow \sqrt{(1 - U_1)^{-1/n} - 1}$
- **Step 3:** If $W(1 - U_1)^{\frac{\kappa - n}{n}} < \mathcal{D}U_2$, go to Step 1.
- **Step 4:** Generate $U_3, U_4 \sim U(0, 1)$
- **Step 5:** $V \leftarrow \sqrt{\kappa\theta^2}W$, $v_x \leftarrow V(2U_3 - 1)$, $v_y \leftarrow 2V\sqrt{U_3(1 - U_3)}\cos(2\pi U_4)$, $v_z \leftarrow 2V\sqrt{U_3(1 - U_3)}\sin(2\pi U_4)$

The index n needs to be set separately. I have carried out a grid search of the acceptance efficiency (Eq. (8)) in the (κ, n) space in Figure 1(b). The optimum value is indicated by the thin red line. The inlet focuses on a key region near the origin. Note that the standard Kappa distribution is defined for $\kappa > 3/2$, to the right of the vertical dotted line in the inlet.

I approximate $n = a\kappa + b$ to estimate the efficiency (Eq. (8)) in the $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ limit. For $a = 1$, with help from the relation of the beta function for a large β , i.e., $\text{B}(\alpha, \beta) \approx \Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{-\alpha}$, I find that the efficiency decays to zero,

$$\text{Eff}(\kappa, n) \approx \kappa \Gamma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \kappa^{-3/2} \left(-2b - 1 \right)^{b+1/2} (-2b)^{-b} \propto \kappa^{-1/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

For $a \neq 1$, with help from $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x = \exp(x)$, the asymptotic efficiency is given by

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \text{Eff}(\kappa, n) \approx a\kappa\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\kappa^{-3/2}\left(2(1-a)\kappa\right)^{1/2} \times \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(1-a)\kappa}\right)^{(1-a)\kappa} \approx a\frac{\sqrt{2\pi e(1-a)}}{2}. \quad (9)$$

The asymptotic value only depends on a , in agreement with the radially similar profile in Figure 1(b). The zero-coefficient b is important to adjust the efficiency near the origin, as seen in the inlet in Figure 1(b).

I propose two approximations for n . The first one, $n = 2\kappa/3 - 1/5$, is indicated by the red dashed line in Figure 1(b). This is obtained by fitting the optimum line. This near-optimum approximation gives ≈ 0.8 regardless of κ . In fact, $a = 2/3$ maximizes the asymptotic efficiency, $\sqrt{2\pi e}/(3\sqrt{3}) \approx 0.795$ (Eq. (9)).

The second one, $n = \kappa/2$, is indicated by the blue dashed line in Figure 1(b). This is my recommendation. Although it is slightly less efficient than the first one, it gives ≈ 0.73 – 0.8 . It starts from 0.806 at $\kappa = 1.5$, 0.785 at $\kappa = 2$, 0.750 at $\kappa = 5$, and then it is asymptotic to $\sqrt{\pi e}/4 \approx 0.731$ (Eq. (9)). Interestingly, $n = \kappa/2$ simplifies exponents in Steps 2 and 3 to $-2/\kappa$ and unity, which makes the code faster. Step 0 is also $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \sqrt{(\kappa - 1)^{\kappa-1}/\kappa^\kappa}$.

With $n = \kappa/2$, I have generated a Kappa distribution with $\kappa = 2$ by using 10^6 particles. The numerical results are shown in the blue histogram in Figure 1(a), in agreement with the theory (the black curve).

3. DISCUSSION

I have proposed a rejection-sampling procedure for generating a Kappa distribution in PIC simulation. It only uses uniform variates, and it should be highly portable.

The total number of random variates is one of the key factors in controlling computational cost. The standard Kappa generator (Abdul & Mace 2015; Zenitani & Nakano 2022) requires three normal variates and one gamma variate per particle. Since a popular gamma generator by Marsaglia & Tsang (2000) calls one normal variate and one uniform variate, and since its efficiency is ≈ 1 , the standard Kappa generator requires four normal variates and one uniform variate per particle. Considering its efficiency of ≈ 0.73 – 0.8 , the proposed method calls ≈ 4.5 – 4.7 uniform variates per particle. Therefore, it would be computationally less expensive than the standard method.

REFERENCES

- | | |
|---|---|
| Abdul R. F., & Mace, R. L. 2014, <i>Comput. Phys. Commun.</i> , 185, 2383,
doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.05.006 | Gedalin, M., & Ganushkina, N. 2022, <i>J. Plasma Phys.</i> , 88, 905880501,
doi:10.1017/S0022377822000824 |
| Abdul R. F., & Mace, R. L. 2015, <i>Phys. Plasmas</i> , 22, 102107, doi:10.1063/1.4933005 | Livadiotis, G. (ed.) 2017, <i>Kappa Distributions: Theory and Applications in Plasmas</i> , Elsevier, Amsterdam |
| Bailey, R. W. 1994, <i>Math. Comp.</i> , 62, 779,
doi:10.2307/2153537 | Luengo, E. A. 2022, <i>ACM Comput. Surv.</i> , 55, 85,
doi:10.1145/3527157 |
| Devroye, L. 1986, <i>Non-Uniform Random Variate Generation</i> , Springer-Verlag | Ma, H., Drake, J. F., & Swisdak, M. 2023, <i>ApJ</i> , 954, 21, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ace59e |

Marsaglia, G., & Tsang, W. W. 2000, *ACM*

Trans. Math. Software, 26, 363,

doi:10.1145/358407.358414

Park, J., Ren, C., Workman, J. C., & Blackman,
E. G. 2013, *ApJ*, 765, 147,

doi:10.1088/0004-637X/765/2/147

Pierrard, V., & Lazar, M. 2010, *Sol. Phys.*, 267,
153, doi:10.1007/s11207-010-9640-2

Zenitani, S., & Nakano, S. 2022, *Phys. Plasmas*,
29, 113904, doi:10.1063/5.0117628