

ALGEBRAIC IDENTITIES FOR LINEAR OPERATORS ON ASSOCIATIVE TRIPLE SYSTEMS (LONG VERSION)

MURRAY R. BREMNER

ABSTRACT. We present the first classification of algebraic identities in 3 variables for linear operators on associative structures. We work in the context of associative triple systems, but since any associative algebra with product xy becomes an associative triple system with product xyz , our results apply to associative algebras as well. This is the first time that Rota's classification problem for linear operators has been extended to algebras with an n -ary operation for $n \geq 3$. Our work is an application of computational linear algebra to the classification problem for linear operators. We begin with a generic operator identity with indeterminate coefficients. From this we use operadic partial compositions to derive a large sparse matrix whose nonzero entries are the indeterminates. We follow the rank principle which states that significant operator identities correspond to coefficients which produce submaximal rank of the matrix. For operator identities of multiplicity 1 (each term contains the operator once) we obtain 6 families with 1 parameter, and 1 isolated solution. For multiplicity 2, we obtain 6 families with 2 parameters, 27 families with 1 parameter, and 9 isolated solutions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Structure of this paper	2
2. Operator monomials	3
3. The matrix of consequences	6
4. Operator identities of degree 3 and multiplicity 1	7
5. Operator identities of degree 3 and multiplicity 2	10
6. Appendix	13
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. Gian-Carlo Rota [20] introduced the somewhat ill-defined problem of classifying the algebraic identities that can be satisfied by linear operators on associative algebras. He said: "I have posed the problem of finding all possible algebraic identities that can be satisfied by a linear operator on an algebra. Simple computations show that the possibilities are very few, and the problem of classifying all such identities is very probably completely solvable." Some of the most familiar

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47C05. Secondary 15A54, 17A40, 39B42, 47-08.

Key words and phrases. Linear operators, operator identities, computational linear algebra, associative triple systems, associative algebras.

operator identities are the derivation identity, the Rota-Baxter identity, the averaging identities, and the Nijenhuis identity; furthermore, these identities give rise in a natural way to important algebraic structures, such as diassociative algebras, dendriform algebras, averaging algebras, and N -dendriform algebras; see [1, 8, 12, 15, 19]. See the author and Elgendy [3, 5] for a list of well-known operator identities, and some identities which were previously unknown.

Unfortunately, Rota did not provide details of his computations, and subsequent work on this problem has revealed different approaches to a possible solution. One approach is through the theory of Gröbner-Shirshov (GS) bases for operated algebras. A first step in this direction was Guo's work on operated semigroups [11]. The work of Guo et al. [13, 14] applied GS bases to the classification of operator identities of differential type and of Rota-Baxter type. The work of the author and Elgendy [5] introduced another approach in which one starts with a generic operator identity with indeterminate coefficients, and then uses operadic partial compositions to derive the matrix of consequences (a large sparse matrix whose nonzero entries are the indeterminate coefficients). That paper also introduced the rank principle, which states that significant identities correspond to coefficients which produce submaximal rank of the matrix of consequences. It is this latter approach which we will follow in this paper.

Rota's problem may also be generalized in various ways, providing new operator identities that deserve further study. In particular, this problem has been considered in the setting of Lie algebras; see Zhang et al. [21, 22]. This introduces the possibility of considering Rota's problem for not necessarily associative algebras.

Previous work on Rota's problem has been restricted to identities in 2 variables for operators on algebras with a binary operation. The present paper classifies algebraic identities in 3 variables for linear operators on associative triple systems. Since any associative algebra with product xy becomes an associative triple system with product xyz , our results apply to associative algebras as well. This is the first time that Rota's classification problem has been considered for linear operators on algebraic structures with an n -ary operation for $n \geq 3$.

1.2. Structure of this paper. In §2 we introduce the notion of operator identity in the general context of an associative n -ary algebra for $n \geq 2$. Any operator identity is a linear combination of operator monomials. We provide an algorithm for generating all operator monomials of a given degree (number of indeterminates in each term) and given multiplicity (number of occurrences of the operator in each term). Recent work of Au and the author [2] on generalized Narayana numbers provides an exact formula for the number of operator monomials, depending on the arity of the associative operation, the weight (the total number of unary operators and n -ary multiplications), and the multiplicity of the operator.

In §3 we recall the notion of partial composition in an algebraic operad, and explain the construction of the matrix of consequences for an operator identity.

In §4 we consider operator identities on associative triple systems which are linear combinations of the 4 ternary operator monomials of weight 2 and multiplicity 1. In this case, the rank principle produces only a few significant identities, including the ternary derivation identity.

In §5 we consider operator identities on associative triple systems which are linear combinations of the 10 ternary operator monomials of weight 3 and multiplicity 2.

In this case, the rank principle produces a complex set of operator identities: 9 isolated solutions, 27 families with 1 parameter, and 6 families with 2 parameters.

The linear algebra calculations described in this paper were performed with the computer algebra system Maple.

2. OPERATOR MONOMIALS

Although the main results in this paper refer to associative triple systems (also known as ternary associative algebras), with an operation of arity 3, in this section we begin by considering the case of general arity $n \geq 2$.

For background on n -ary associative algebras, see Carlsson [6] which adopts the point of view of classical algebraic structure theory, and the work of Gnedbaye [9,10] which adopts the more modern point of view based on algebraic operads. For general background on algebraic operads, see Markl et al. [17] for applications, the comprehensive theoretical treatise of Loday and Vallette [16], and the author and Dotsenko [4] which emphasizes the algorithmic aspects.

Definition 2.1. An *associative n -ary algebra* (or *associative n -tuple system*) is a vector space A over a field \mathbb{F} together with a multilinear product $p: A^n \rightarrow A$ satisfying the *n -ary associativity identities* for $0 \leq i < j \leq n-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(a_1, \dots, a_i, p(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i+n}), a_{i+n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) = \\ p(a_1, \dots, a_j, p(a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{j+n}), a_{j+n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Any monomial in an associative n -ary algebra has a degree (number of indeterminates) which is congruent to 1 modulo $n-1$. A simple inductive argument shows that the associativity identities imply that the value of any monomial is independent of the placement of the operation symbols.

Definition 2.2. Let A be an associative n -ary algebra and let $L: A \rightarrow A$ be a linear operator on the underlying vector space of A . By an *n -ary operator monomial* we mean a monomial (product of elements of A) into which have been inserted any number of operator symbols, respecting the n -ary nature of the operation in A . The number of indeterminates in the monomial is called its *degree d* . The number of operator symbols in the monomial is called its *multiplicity m* . The total number of operations in the monomial (including both unary and n -ary) is called its *weight*.

Alternatively, we may define operator monomials recursively as follows:

- The single indeterminate x is an operator monomial.
- If M_1, \dots, M_n are operator monomials, then so is $p(M_1, \dots, M_n)$.
- If M is an operator monomial, then so is $L(M)$.

We also impose the condition that in any operator monomial of degree d , we enumerate the indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_d from left to right, using the identity permutation of the indices, so that the indices indicate merely the position of the indeterminate in the monomial. (In other words, the underlying operad is nonsymmetric.)

To impose a total order on operator monomials, we recall the following concept.

Definition 2.3. A *Dyck word* is a string of parentheses – that is, a word from the alphabet $\{ (,) \}$ – which is *balanced* in the sense that

- the word contains the same number of left and right parentheses (thus the length of every Dyck word is even), and

- in every initial sequence (starting from the left), the number of left parentheses is greater than or equal to the number of right parentheses.

A subsequence of the form $()$ in a Dyck word is called a *nesting*. We define a total order called the *lex order* on Dyck words as follows. Given two words v and w of the same length, let i be the least index for which $v_i \neq w_i$. Then we write $v \prec w$ and say that v *precedes* w if and only if v_i is $($ and w_i is $)$.

Definition 2.4. We recursively define a map r from operator monomials M of degree d and multiplicity m to Dyck words w of length $2(d+m)$:

- if M is an indeterminate then $r(M) = ()$,
- if M_1, \dots, M_n are $(n\text{-ary})$ operator monomials then

$$r(M_1 \cdots M_n) = r(M_1) \cdots r(M_n),$$

- if M is an operator monomial then $r(L(M)) = (r(M))$.

In other words, if M is an operator monomial then $r(M)$ is obtained by replacing every indeterminate by a nesting and removing every occurrence of the operator symbol L . It is easy to see that the map r is bijective for arity $n = 2$ but merely injective for arity $n \geq 3$. In both cases, we obtain a lex order on operator monomials by defining $M_1 \prec M_2$ if and only if $r(M_1) \prec r(M_2)$.

To clarify these definitions, Table 1 displays the ternary operator monomials of degree $d = 3$ (one associative product) and multiplicities $m = 1, 2, 3$ in lex order together with the corresponding Dyck words. We use the generic indeterminate symbol $*$, which is justified by the fact that the index of an indeterminate merely indicates its position in the monomial. To make the correspondence between monomials and Dyck words easier to see, we have not used standard power notation for iterated operators; thus we write $L(L(*))$ not $L^2(*)$.

See Figure 1 for an algorithm in pseudocode (based on Maple) which generates all n -ary operator monomials up to a given degree and multiplicity. In this algorithm, the symbol X is used as a generic argument symbol, corresponding to $*$ in the operator monomials and $()$ in the Dyck words. Each monomial is a list (an ordered set enclosed in brackets) and brackets are also used without ambiguity to indicate the application of the operator (as parentheses are used in Dyck words). For example, we list below the operator monomials and the original X -lists generated by the algorithm for degree 3 and multiplicity 2; the order is that produced by the algorithm, which is not the same as that in Table 1:

1	[[[X, X, X]]]	$L(L(**))$	2	[[X, X, [X]]]	$L(**L(*))$
3	[[X, [X], X]]	$L(*L(*)*)$	4	[[[X], X, X]]	$L(L(*)**)$
5	[X, X, [[X]]]	$**L(L(*))$	6	[X, [X], [X]]	$*L(*)L(*)$
7	[X, [[X], X]]	$*L(L(*)*)$	8	[[X], X, [X]]	$L(*)*L(*)$
9	[[X], [X], X]	$L(*)L(*)*$	10	[[[X]], X, X]	$L(L(*)**)$

To justify the algorithm, observe that any monomial of multiplicity $q \geq 1$ can be obtained from a monomial of multiplicity $q-1$ by enclosing some valid sublist in brackets, where valid means that the sublist has an appropriate length to be an n -ary associative monomial.

Definition 2.5. An *operator polynomial* R (or *operator identity* $R \equiv 0$) of degree d and multiplicity m for an associative n -ary operation is any nonzero linear combination of the corresponding operator monomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Two operator polynomials are *equivalent* if they differ only by a nonzero scalar multiple.

multiplicity 1

1	$L(***)$	$((())())$	2	$L(*)**$	$((())())$
3	$*L(*)*$	$()(())()$	4	$**L(*)$	$()(())()$

multiplicity 2

1	$L(L(***))$	$((())())$	2	$L(L(*)**)$	$((())())$
3	$L(L(*)**)$	$((())())$	4	$L(*L(*)*)$	$((())())$
5	$L(**L(*)*)$	$((())())$	6	$L(*)L(*)*$	$((())())$
7	$L(*)*L(*)$	$((())())$	8	$*L(L(*)*)$	$((())())$
9	$*L(*)L(*)$	$((())())$	10	$**L(L(*)*)$	$((())())$

multiplicity 3

1	$L(L(L(****)))$	$((())())$	2	$L(L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$
3	$L(L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$	4	$L(L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$
5	$L(L(*L(*)**))$	$((())())$	6	$L(L(**L(*)**))$	$((())())$
7	$L(L(*)L(*)*)$	$((())())$	8	$L(L(*)*L(*)*)$	$((())())$
9	$L(L(*)L(*)*)$	$((())())$	10	$L(L(*)*L(*)*)$	$((())())$
11	$L(*L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$	12	$L(*L(*)L(*)*)$	$((())())$
13	$L(**L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$	14	$L(*)L(L(*)**)$	$((())())$
15	$L(*)L(*)L(*)$	$((())())$	16	$L(*)*L(L(*)*)$	$((())())$
17	$*L(L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$	18	$*L(L(*)L(*)*)$	$((())())$
19	$*L(*)L(L(*)*)$	$((())())$	20	$**L(L(L(*)**))$	$((())())$

TABLE 1. Ternary operator monomials of degree 3 and multiplicities 1, 2, 3 in lex order together with corresponding Dyck words

- n := the arity of the associative operation
- **maxp** := maximum degree of operator monomials to be generated
- **maxq** := maximum multiplicity of operator monomials to be generated
- for p from 1 to **maxp** in steps of $n-1$ do (p is the degree of the monomials)
 - (1) (multiplicity 0: only monomial is list of p argument symbols X)
 $\text{monomials}[p, 0] := [[X, \dots, X]]$
 - (2) for q to **maxq** do (q is the multiplicity of the monomials)
 - (a) $\text{monomials}[p, q] := []$ (the empty list)
 - (b) (loop through all monomials with one less operator symbol)
 for m in $\text{monomials}[p, q-1]$ do
 - (i) $k := \text{length}(m)$ (the number of items in the list m)
 - (ii) (double loop through all submonomials of m)
 for i to k do for j from i to k do
 - if $j-i+1 \equiv 1$ modulo $n-1$ then
 - * (add operator brackets around valid submonomial)
 $m' := [m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, [m_i, \dots, m_j], m_{j+1}, \dots, m_k]$
 - * append m' to the list $\text{monomials}[p, q]$
 - (c) eliminate any repetitions from the list $\text{monomials}[p, q]$

FIGURE 1. Algorithm for generating n -ary operator monomials

To conclude this section, we recall the formula for the number of n -ary operator monomials of given weight and multiplicity which has been obtained by Au and the author [2], which provides a generalization of the familiar Narayana numbers.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $N_n(w, m)$ be the number of operator monomials of weight w and multiplicity m for an associative n -ary operation. Then*

$$N_n(w, m) = \frac{1}{w+1} \binom{w+1}{m+1} \binom{w+(w-m)(n-2)+1}{m}$$

Example 2.7. For $n = 3$ we obtain the following table:

$w \backslash m$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1					
1	1	1				
2	1	4	1			
3	1	9	10	1		
4	1	16	42	20	1	
5	1	25	120	140	35	1

The subdiagonal gives the tetrahedral numbers 1, 4, 10, 20, ... (compare Table 1).

3. THE MATRIX OF CONSEQUENCES

Our primary object of study in this paper is the matrix of consequences of a generic operator identity. To construct this matrix, we need to recall the concept of partial composition from the theory of algebraic operads. We consider only nonsymmetric operads, so that every monomial of degree d has indeterminates $x_1 \cdots x_d$ with the subscripts given by the identity permutation. Equivalently, we may use the generic argument symbol $*$ throughout.

Let α and β be operations of arities m and n respectively. Then the i -th *partial composition* of β into α , denoted $\alpha \circ_i \beta$ where $1 \leq i \leq m$, is the operation of arity $m+n-1$ obtained by replacing argument i of α by an instance of operation β :

$$\alpha \circ_i \beta = \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \beta(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n-1}), x_{i+n}, \dots, x_{m+n-1}).$$

Partial composition is bilinear, and hence extends to linear combinations of operations and to operator identities.

We consider only two operations, the ternary associative multiplication p and the unary operator L . Any operator monomial or identity can be considered as an operation in its own right. Given an operator identity R of degree d and multiplicity m , we may form the following partial compositions:

$$R \circ_i p \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad p \circ_i R \quad (1 \leq i \leq 3), \quad R \circ_i L \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad L \circ R.$$

In the last case, the partial composition symbol has no subscript since there is only one possibility. The first two cases increase the degree and the last two cases increase the multiplicity. For example, if $R = L^2(***)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} R \circ_i p &= L^2(****) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 3 \text{ by ternary associativity,} \\ p \circ_1 R &= L^2(***)**, \quad p \circ_2 R = *L^2(***)*, \quad p \circ_3 R = **L^2(***), \\ R \circ_1 L &= L^2(L(**)**), \quad R \circ_2 L = L^2(*L(**)*), \quad R \circ_3 L = L^2(**L(**)), \\ L \circ R &= L^3(***). \end{aligned}$$

Partial composition with p increases the degree by 2 but does not increase the multiplicity, whereas partial composition with L increases the multiplicity by 1 but does not increase the degree.

To understand how an operator identity R interacts with the two operations p and L , we need to apply partial composition with both operations, either with p and then L , or with L and then p . In both cases, the degree will increase by 2 and multiplicity by 1. This gives the following possibilities, where the ranges on the subscripts are evident and are not specified; some of these may be equal:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} (R \circ_i p) \circ_j L, & L \circ (R \circ_i p), & (p \circ_i R) \circ_j L, & L \circ (p \circ_i R), \\ (R \circ_i L) \circ_j p, & p \circ_j (R \circ_i L), & (L \circ R) \circ_i p, & p \circ_i (L \circ R). \end{cases}$$

We may now state the most important definition in this paper.

Definition 3.1. Let R be an operator identity of degree d and multiplicity m . The operator identities of degree $d + 2$ and multiplicity $m + 1$ in display (1) are called the *consequences* of R . Let k denote the number of distinct consequences of R , and let S_1, \dots, S_k be these consequences (their order is not significant). Let m_1, \dots, m_ℓ be the lex-ordered list of the operator monomials of degree $d + 2$ and multiplicity $m + 1$. The *matrix of consequences* of R is the $k \times \ell$ matrix in which the (i, j) -entry is the coefficient of m_j in S_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell$.

4. OPERATOR IDENTITIES OF DEGREE 3 AND MULTIPLICITY 1

Previous work by the author and Elgendy [5] used determinantal ideals and Gröbner bases to classify all operator identities for a binary operation of degree 2 and multiplicities 1 and 2. In the present case of a ternary operation, computational experiments showed that those methods, relying heavily on commutative algebra, were not practical. However, all the operator identities from that previous paper have coefficients in the set $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, excluding the two 1-parameter families. Therefore in the present paper we make this assumption on the coefficients and perform a direct search (using a Cartesian product loop) over all operator identities with those coefficients. We may assume without loss of generality that the leading coefficient is positive (1 or 2), and that the coefficients are relatively prime.

In this section we consider multiplicity 1, for which the computations are sufficiently small that we can present all the details. In the next section we consider multiplicity 2 where some of the computations are too large to present explicitly.

For degree 3 and multiplicity 1, the four operator monomials are displayed in Table 1. Let R be the generic linear combination of these operator monomials:

$$R = aL(***) + bL(*)** + c*L(*)* + d**L(*),$$

with indeterminate coefficients a, b, c, d . The consequences of R have degree 5 and multiplicity 2 and hence are linear combinations of the monomials in Table 2.

Straightforward computations show that there are $k = 42$ distinct consequences of R . Coincidentally, there are also $\ell = 42$ operator monomials of degree 5 and multiplicity 2. The resulting 42×42 matrix of consequences is displayed in Figure 2. The columns correspond in lex order to the monomials in Table 2. The consequences are calculated using partial compositions as described in the previous section. For example, the first row of the matrix of consequences corresponds to

$$(R \circ_1 p) \circ_1 L = aL(L(*)****) + bL(L(*)**) + cL(*)**L(*)* + dL(*)***L(*)$$

1	$L(L(*****))$	2	$L(L(***)**)$	3	$L(L(***))**$
4	$L(L(*)****)$	5	$L(L(*)**)**$	6	$L(L(*))****$
7	$L(*L(***))*$	8	$L(*L(*)****)$	9	$L(*L(*)**)*$
10	$L(**L(***))$	11	$L(**L(*)**)$	12	$L(**L(*))**$
13	$L(***L(*)*)$	14	$L(****L(*)*)$	15	$L(***L(*)*)$
16	$L(****)*L(*)$	17	$L(*)L(****)*$	18	$L(*)L(*)****$
19	$L(*)*L(***)$	20	$L(*)*L(*)**$	21	$L(*)**L(*)*$
22	$L(*)***L(*)$	23	$*L(L(***))*$	24	$*L(L(*)**)*$
25	$*L(L(*)****)$	26	$*L(*L(*)**)*$	27	$*L(**L(*)*)$
28	$*L(****)L(*)$	29	$*L(*)L(****)$	30	$*L(*)L(*)**$
31	$*L(*)*L(*)*$	32	$*L(*)**L(*)$	33	$**L(L(***))$
34	$**L(L(*)**)$	35	$**L(L(*)**)$	36	$**L(*L(*)*)$
37	$**L(**L(*)*)$	38	$**L(*)L(*)*$	39	$**L(*)*L(*)$
40	$***L(L(*)*)$	41	$***L(*)L(*)$	42	$****L(L(*)*)$

TABLE 2. Ternary operator monomials of degree 5, multiplicity 2

These monomials have indices 4, 5, 21, 22 in Table 2, and these are the columns in which the indeterminates appear in Figure 2.

FIGURE 2. Matrix of consequences for the generic operator identity of degree 3 and multiplicity 1 (with dot for zero)

#	a	b	c	d	rank	#	a	b	c	d	rank
1	1	0	0	0	27	2	1	0	0	1	32
3	1	0	0	-1	27	4	1	0	0	2	32
5	1	0	0	-2	32	6	1	1	0	0	32
7	1	-1	0	0	27	8	1	-1	0	-1	32
9	1	-1	1	-1	27	10	1	-1	-1	-1	27
11	1	-1	2	-1	32	12	1	-1	-2	-1	32
13	1	2	0	0	32	14	1	-2	0	0	32
15	2	0	0	1	32	16	2	0	0	-1	32
17	2	1	0	0	32	18	2	-1	0	0	32
19	2	-2	1	-2	32	20	2	-2	-1	-2	32
21	0	1	0	0	26	22	0	1	0	1	34
23	0	1	0	-1	31	24	0	1	0	2	34
25	0	1	0	-2	34	26	0	1	1	0	31
27	0	1	-1	0	31	28	0	1	2	0	31
29	0	1	-2	0	31	30	0	2	0	1	34
31	0	2	0	-1	34	32	0	2	1	0	31
33	0	2	-1	0	31	34	0	0	1	0	26
35	0	0	1	1	31	36	0	0	1	-1	31
37	0	0	1	2	31	38	0	0	1	-2	31
39	0	0	2	1	31	40	0	0	2	-1	31
41	0	0	0	1	26						

TABLE 3. Submaximal rank solutions for degree 3 and multiplicity 1

We calculate that the matrix of consequences has rank 36 over the function field $\mathbb{F}(a, b, c, d)$. So our goal is to find all values of the indeterminates a, b, c, d which produce a matrix of rank ≤ 35 . Altogether there are 312 values of the coefficients in the Cartesian product loop, but only 41 ($\approx 13.14\%$) of these produce submaximal rank. These solutions are displayed in Table 3. The set of possible submaximal ranks is $\{26, 27, 31, 32, 34\}$. Inspection of Table 3 reveals six 1-parameter families and one isolated solution:

- (1) Solutions 1–5, 15, 16 combine to form the family $[1, 0, 0, d]$ with generic rank 32 and special rank 27 for $d = 0, -1$.
- (2) Solutions 1, 6, 7, 13, 14, 17, 18 form the 1-parameter family $[1, b, 0, 0]$ with generic rank 32 and special rank 27 for $b = 0, -1$.
- (3) Solutions 8–12, 19, 20 form the family $[1, -1, c, -1]$ with generic rank 32 and special rank 27 for $c = 1, -1$. Note that $c = -1$ corresponds to the ternary derivation identity,

$$L(***) = L(*)** + *L(*)* + **L(*)$$

- (4) Solutions 21–25, 30, 31 form the family $[0, 1, 0, d]$ with generic rank 34 and special ranks 26 for $d = 0$ and 31 for $d = -1$.
- (5) Solutions 21, 26–29, 32, 33 form the family $[0, 1, c, 0]$ with generic rank 31 and special rank 26 for $c = 0$.
- (6) Solutions 34–40 form the family $[0, 0, 1, d]$ with generic rank 31 and special rank 26 for $d = 0$.

(7) Solution 41, namely $[0, 0, 0, 1]$ with rank 26, is an isolated point.

These computations were done over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. The generic ranks of the 1-parameter families were verified by computing the rank of the matrix of consequences over the appropriate field of rational functions. Thus, for example, for family (3) we set $a = 1$, $b = -1$, c free, $d = -1$ in the matrix of consequences and compute its rank over $\mathbb{Q}(c)$. Summarizing, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be an operator identity of degree 3 and multiplicity 1 on an associative triple system. Assume that the coefficient vector v of R in \mathbb{F}^4 satisfies these conditions:*

- *the components of v belong to the set $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, and*
- *v produces submaximal rank in the matrix of consequences.*

Then R is equivalent to one of the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} L(***) + d**L(*) &\equiv 0, & L(***) + bL(*)** &\equiv 0, \\ L(***) - L(*)** + c*L(*)* - **L(*) &\equiv 0, \\ L(*)** + d**L(*) &\equiv 0, & L(*)** + c*L(*)* &\equiv 0, \\ *L(*)* + d**L(*) &\equiv 0, & **L(*) &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture 4.2. *Let R be an operator identity of degree 3 and multiplicity 1 on an associative triple system. Assume that the coefficient vector v of R in \mathbb{F}^4 produces submaximal rank in the matrix of consequences (with no restrictions on the components of v). Then R is equivalent to one of the identities of Theorem 4.1.*

5. OPERATOR IDENTITIES OF DEGREE 3 AND MULTIPLICITY 2

In this section we consider ternary operator identities of degree 3 and multiplicity 2. The generic such identity is the linear combination with indeterminate coefficients of the 10 monomials of multiplicity 2 in Table 1:

$$\begin{aligned} R = & a_1 L^2(***) + a_2 L(L(*)**) + a_3 L^2(*)** + a_4 L(*L(*)*) + a_5 L(**L(*)) \\ & + a_6 L(*)L(*)* + a_7 L(*)*L(*) + a_8 *L^2(*)* + a_9 *L(*)L(*) + a_{10} **L^2(*). \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of multiplicity 1, we consider only coefficients from the set $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, and assume without loss of generality that the leading coefficient is positive and that the coefficients are relatively prime. In the case of multiplicity 2, the Cartesian product loop over all 10 coefficients must consider 4882812 cases. Remarkably, we will see that only 387 ($\approx 0.0079\%$) of these coefficient vectors produce submaximal rank of the matrix of consequences. This is strong evidence that the rank principle is a powerful tool for isolating significant operator identities.

The consequences of R have degree 5 and multiplicity 3; according to Example 2.7 there are 140 such monomials and hence they are not displayed. As in the case of multiplicity 1, there are 42 distinct consequences of the identity R . (The same sequences of partial compositions provide a non-redundant set of consequences.) Therefore the matrix of consequences for multiplicity 3 has size 42×140 and hence is not displayed; as before the columns correspond in lex order to the operator monomials of degree 5 and multiplicity 3 and the rows correspond to the consequences in any convenient order. For example, the first row of the matrix of consequences corresponds to

$$(R \circ_1 p) \circ_1 L = a_1 L^2(L(*)****) + a_2 L(L(L(*)**)**) + a_3 L^2(L(*)**) **$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a_4 L(L(*)**L(*)*) + a_5 L(L(*)***L(**)) + a_6 L(L(*)**)L(*)* \\
& + a_7 L(L(*)**)*L(*) + a_8 L(*)**L^2(*)* + a_9 L(*)**L(*)L(*) + a_{10} L(*)***L^2(*).
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate that the matrix of consequences has full rank 42 over the function field $\mathbb{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_{10})$. So our goal is to find all values of the indeterminates a_1, \dots, a_{10} which produce rank ≤ 41 of the matrix of consequences. As already mentioned, there are only 387 such coefficient vectors; these are listed in the Appendix.

These initial computations were done over the field with $p = 1009$ elements in order to save computing time. To justify this we use the following fact.

Lemma 5.1. *Let A be an integer matrix and let p be a prime number. Let r be the rank of A over \mathbb{Q} and let s be the rank of A over \mathbb{F}_p . Then $s \leq r$.*

Proof. The rank is the maximal size of a nonzero minor. \square

It follows that if the modular computations show that a given coefficient vector produces maximal rank, then the rational rank must also be maximal. However, there is a small chance that the modular rank is submaximal but the rational rank is maximal. We need therefore to calculate the ranks of the 387 solutions using rational arithmetic. We found that the modular and rational ranks agree in every case. The set of possible submaximal ranks is $\{30, 34, 35, 36, 40, 41\}$.

Inspection of the Appendix leads to the conclusion that the 387 solutions with submaximal rank may be classified into 9 isolated points, 27 families with 1 parameter, and 6 families with 2 parameters. These solutions are given in Table 4, together with the corresponding ranks of the matrix of consequences. For the families with parameters, these are the generic ranks over the corresponding field of rational functions; for some special values of the parameters, the rank will be lower (see the Appendix). Summarizing, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let R be an operator identity of degree 3 and multiplicity 2 on an associative triple system. Assume that the coefficient vector v of R in \mathbb{F}^{10} satisfies these conditions:*

- *the components of v belong to the set $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, and*
- *v produces submaximal rank in the matrix of consequences.*

Then R is equivalent to one of the operator identities whose coefficient vectors appear in Table 4.

The operator identities corresponding to the first 3 isolated points are of particular interest. We display them here explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
& L^2(***) - 2L(L(*)**) + L^2(*)** + 2L(*L(*)*) - 2L(**L(*)) \\
& - 2L(*)L(*)* + 2L(*)*L(*) + *L^2(*)* - 2*L(*)L(*) + **L^2(*) \equiv 0, \\
& L^2(***) - 2L(L(*)**) + L^2(*)** - 2L(*L(*)*) - 2L(**L(*)) \\
& + 2L(*)L(*)* + 2L(*)*L(*) + *L^2(*)* + 2*L(*)L(*) + **L^2(*) \equiv 0, \\
& L^2(***) - 2L(L(*)**) + L^2(*)** - 2L(**L(*)) + 2L(*)*L(*) + **L^2(*) \equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Conjecture 5.3. *Let R be an operator identity of degree 3 and multiplicity 2 on an associative triple system. Assume that the coefficient vector v of R in \mathbb{F}^{10} produces submaximal rank in the matrix of consequences (with no restrictions on the components of v). Then R is equivalent to one of the identities of Theorem 5.2.*

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}	rank
Isolated points										
1	-2	1	2	-2	-2	2	1	-2	1	40
1	-2	1	-2	-2	2	2	1	2	1	40
1	-2	1	0	-2	0	2	0	0	1	41
0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	41
0	1	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	41
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	40
0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	0	40
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	30
One-parameter families										
1	a_2	0	0	-1	0	$-a_2$	0	0	0	41
1	-1	0	0	a_5	0	$-a_5$	0	0	0	41
1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	a_8	0	-1	36
1	0	0	a_4	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
0	1	a_3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
0	1	-1	a_4	0	$-a_4$	0	0	0	0	40
0	1	-1	0	a_5	0	$-a_5$	0	0	0	41
0	1	0	a_4	0	$-a_4$	0	0	0	0	41
0	1	0	a_4	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
0	1	0	a_4	0	0	-1	0	$-a_4$	0	41
0	1	0	0	0	0	a_7	0	0	0	41
0	1	0	0	a_5	0	-1	0	0	$-a_5$	41
0	0	1	0	0	0	a_7	0	0	0	41
0	0	1	0	0	0	a_7	0	0	$-a_7-1$	40
0	0	0	1	a_5	-1	$-a_5$	0	0	0	41
0	0	0	1	a_5	0	0	0	0	0	41
0	0	0	1	a_5	0	0	0	-1	0	41
0	0	0	1	a_5	0	0	0	-1	$-a_5$	40
0	0	0	1	0	a_6	0	0	0	0	41
0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	a_8	-1	0	41
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	a_9	0	41
0	0	0	0	1	0	a_7	0	0	0	41
0	0	0	0	1	0	a_7	0	0	0	41
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	a_{10}	36
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	a_8	0	0	36
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	a_{10}	41
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	a_{10}	36
Two-parameter families										
1	a_2	a_3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
1	a_2	$-a_2-1$	a_4	0	$-a_4$	0	0	0	0	41
1	0	0	a_4	a_5	0	0	0	$-a_4$	$-a_5-1$	41
1	0	0	0	a_5	0	0	0	0	a_{10}	36
0	0	1	0	0	a_6	0	a_8	0	0	36
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	a_9	a_{10}	36

TABLE 4. Submaximal rank solutions for degree 3 and multiplicity 2

6. APPENDIX

The 387 submaximal rank solutions for degree 3 and multiplicity 2:

#	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}	rank
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	36
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	30
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	36
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	36
6	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36
7	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	35
8	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	36
9	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	36
10	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-2	35
11	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	35
12	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1	36
13	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	36
14	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	2	36
15	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-2	36
16	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	36
17	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	36
18	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-1	36
19	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	36
20	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-2	36
21	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	36
22	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	1	35
23	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-1	36
24	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	2	36
25	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-2	36
26	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	41
28	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	-1	-2	41
29	1	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	40
30	1	0	0	1	-2	0	0	0	-1	1	41
31	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
32	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1	-1	41
33	1	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	1	-2	41
34	1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	0	40
35	1	0	0	-1	-2	0	0	0	1	1	41
36	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
37	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-2	-1	41
38	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	-2	-2	41
39	1	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	-2	0	40
40	1	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	-2	1	41
41	1	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
42	1	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	2	-1	41
43	1	0	0	-2	1	0	0	0	2	-2	41
44	1	0	0	-2	-1	0	0	0	2	0	40
45	1	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	0	2	1	41
46	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
47	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
48	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	36

49	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	30
50	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	30
51	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	2	0	-1	36
52	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-2	0	-1	36
53	1	0	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
54	1	0	-1	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
55	1	0	-1	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	41
56	1	0	-1	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	41
57	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
58	1	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
59	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
60	1	1	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
61	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
62	1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
63	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
64	1	1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
65	1	1	-2	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
66	1	1	-2	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
67	1	1	-2	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	41
68	1	1	-2	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	41
69	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
70	1	-1	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
71	1	-1	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	40
72	1	-1	0	0	2	0	-2	0	0	0	41
73	1	-1	0	0	-2	0	2	0	0	0	41
74	1	-1	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	40
75	1	-1	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	40
76	1	-1	0	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	40
77	1	-1	0	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	40
78	1	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
79	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
80	1	-1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
81	1	-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
82	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
83	1	2	0	0	-1	0	-2	0	0	0	41
84	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
85	1	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
86	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
87	1	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
88	1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
89	1	-2	0	0	-1	0	2	0	0	0	41
90	1	-2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
91	1	-2	1	0	-2	0	2	0	0	1	41
92	1	-2	1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
93	1	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
94	1	-2	1	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	41
95	1	-2	1	2	-2	-2	2	1	-2	1	40
96	1	-2	1	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	41
97	1	-2	1	-2	-2	2	2	1	2	1	40
98	1	-2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
99	1	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
100	1	-2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
101	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	36

102	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	36
103	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36
104	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	36
105	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	36
106	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	36
107	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-2	36
108	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	36
109	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1	36
110	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	35
111	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	2	36
112	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	-2	36
113	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	36
114	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-1	36
115	2	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	1	36
116	2	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-1	36
117	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
118	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	-2	41
119	2	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	-1	-1	41
120	2	0	0	1	-2	0	0	0	-1	0	40
121	2	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
122	2	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	1	-2	41
123	2	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	-1	41
124	2	0	0	-1	-2	0	0	0	1	0	40
125	2	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	-2	-1	41
126	2	0	0	-2	-1	0	0	0	2	-1	41
127	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
128	2	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
129	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	1	0	-2	36
130	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-1	0	-2	36
131	2	0	-2	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
132	2	0	-2	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
133	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
134	2	1	0	0	-2	0	-1	0	0	0	41
135	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
136	2	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
137	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
138	2	1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
139	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
140	2	-1	0	0	-2	0	1	0	0	0	41
141	2	-1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
142	2	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
143	2	-1	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
144	2	-1	-1	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
145	2	-1	-1	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	41
146	2	-1	-1	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	41
147	2	-1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
148	2	-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
149	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
150	2	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
151	2	-2	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
152	2	-2	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	41
153	2	-2	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	40
154	2	-2	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	40

155	2	-2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
156	2	-2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
157	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
158	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41
159	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	40
160	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	41
161	0	1	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	41
162	0	1	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
163	0	1	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	-1	41
164	0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	41
165	0	1	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	1	41
166	0	1	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	-2	41
167	0	1	0	0	-2	0	-1	0	0	2	41
168	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
169	0	1	0	1	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	41
170	0	1	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
171	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
172	0	1	0	-1	0	0	-1	0	1	0	41
173	0	1	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
174	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
175	0	1	0	2	0	0	-1	0	-2	0	41
176	0	1	0	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	41
177	0	1	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
178	0	1	0	-2	0	0	-1	0	2	0	41
179	0	1	0	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	41
180	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
181	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
182	0	1	-1	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
183	0	1	-1	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	41
184	0	1	-1	0	2	0	-2	0	0	0	41
185	0	1	-1	0	-2	0	2	0	0	0	41
186	0	1	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	40
187	0	1	-1	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	40
188	0	1	-1	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	40
189	0	1	-1	-2	0	2	0	0	0	0	40
190	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
191	0	1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
192	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41
193	0	2	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	41
194	0	2	0	0	1	0	-2	0	0	-1	41
195	0	2	0	0	-1	0	-2	0	0	1	41
196	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
197	0	2	0	1	0	0	-2	0	-1	0	41
198	0	2	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
199	0	2	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
200	0	2	0	-1	0	0	-2	0	1	0	41
201	0	2	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
202	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
203	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
204	0	2	-2	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	41
205	0	2	-2	0	-1	0	1	0	0	0	41
206	0	2	-2	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	40
207	0	2	-2	-1	0	1	0	0	0	0	40

208	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
209	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	36
210	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	36
211	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	36
212	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	36
213	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	36
214	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41
215	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	41
216	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	-2	40
217	0	0	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	40
218	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	41
219	0	0	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	41
220	0	0	1	0	0	0	-2	0	0	1	40
221	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	36
222	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	36
223	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	36
224	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	36
225	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	-2	0	0	36
226	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	36
227	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	36
228	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	36
229	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	2	0	0	36
230	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	-2	0	0	36
231	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	36
232	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	36
233	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	36
234	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	36
235	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	-2	0	0	36
236	0	0	1	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	36
237	0	0	1	0	0	-2	0	1	0	0	36
238	0	0	1	0	0	-2	0	-1	0	0	36
239	0	0	1	0	0	-2	0	2	0	0	36
240	0	0	1	0	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	36
241	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	36
242	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	36
243	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41
244	0	0	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	41
245	0	0	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	40
246	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	36
247	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	36
248	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	36
249	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	36
250	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	-2	0	0	36
251	0	0	2	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	36
252	0	0	2	0	0	-1	0	1	0	0	36
253	0	0	2	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	36
254	0	0	2	0	0	-1	0	2	0	0	36
255	0	0	2	0	0	-1	0	-2	0	0	36
256	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	36
257	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	36
258	0	0	2	0	0	-2	0	1	0	0	36
259	0	0	2	0	0	-2	0	-1	0	0	36
260	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	40

261	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	41
262	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	40
263	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	41
264	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-2	0	41
265	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	40
266	0	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	40
267	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	41
268	0	0	0	1	0	0	-2	0	0	0	41
269	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
270	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	40
271	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	-1	0	41
272	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	1	-1	0	41
273	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	-1	-1	0	41
274	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	2	-1	0	41
275	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	-2	-1	0	41
276	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	41
277	0	0	0	1	0	-2	0	0	0	0	41
278	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	41
279	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	-1	0	41
280	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	-1	-1	40
281	0	0	0	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	41
282	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	41
283	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	41
284	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	-1	1	40
285	0	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	41
286	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	41
287	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	-1	0	41
288	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	-1	-2	40
289	0	0	0	1	2	-1	-2	0	0	0	41
290	0	0	0	1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	41
291	0	0	0	1	-2	0	0	0	-1	0	41
292	0	0	0	1	-2	0	0	0	-1	2	40
293	0	0	0	1	-2	-1	2	0	0	0	41
294	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	41
295	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-1	0	41
296	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	41
297	0	0	0	2	0	0	-1	0	0	0	41
298	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	41
299	0	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	0	0	41
300	0	0	0	2	0	-2	0	1	-2	0	41
301	0	0	0	2	0	-2	0	-1	-2	0	41
302	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	41
303	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	-2	0	41
304	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	-2	-1	40
305	0	0	0	2	1	-2	-1	0	0	0	41
306	0	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	41
307	0	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	-2	0	41
308	0	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	-2	1	40
309	0	0	0	2	-1	-2	1	0	0	0	41
310	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	35
311	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	36
312	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-1	35
313	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	36

314	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-2	36
315	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	41
316	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	0	40
317	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	41
318	0	0	0	0	1	0	-2	0	0	0	41
319	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	36
320	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	-1	36
321	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	41
322	0	0	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	0	41
323	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	34
324	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	36
325	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	0	36
326	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	36
327	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-2	0	0	36
328	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	40
329	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	0	40
330	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	36
331	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	-1	0	0	36
332	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	40
333	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	41
334	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-1	40
335	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	41
336	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	-2	41
337	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	41
338	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	-1	41
339	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	30
340	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	36
341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	36
342	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	36
343	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-2	36
344	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	36
345	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	36
346	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	-1	36
347	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	36
348	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	-2	36
349	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	36
350	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	1	36
351	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	-1	36
352	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	2	36
353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	-2	36
354	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	36
355	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	36
356	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	-1	36
357	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	36
358	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	-2	36
359	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2	0	36
360	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2	1	36
361	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2	-1	36
362	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2	2	36
363	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2	-2	36
364	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	36
365	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	-1	36
366	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	36

367	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	36
368	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	-1	36
369	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	36
370	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	-2	36
371	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	0	36
372	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	1	36
373	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	-1	36
374	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	2	36
375	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	-2	36
376	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	36
377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	-1	36
378	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	1	36
379	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	-1	36
380	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	34
381	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	36
382	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	36
383	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	36
384	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2	36
385	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	36
386	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	36
387	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	30

REFERENCES

- [1] MARCELO AGUIAR: Pre-Poisson algebras. *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 54, 263–277 (2000).
- [2] YU HIN (GARY) AU, MURRAY R. BREMNER: A new generalization of the Narayana numbers inspired by linear operators on associative d -ary algebras. [arXiv:2511.13671](#) [math.CO]. Submitted on 17 November 2025.
- [3] MURRAY R. BREMNER: Operator identities of multiplicity 3 for associative algebras. [arXiv:2507.23703](#) [math.RA]. Submitted on 31 July 2025.
- [4] MURRAY BREMNER, VLADIMIR DOTSENKO: *Algebraic Operads: An Algorithmic Companion*. Chapman and Hall / CRC, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2016.
- [5] MURRAY R. BREMNER, HADER ELGENDY: A new classification of algebraic identities for linear operators on associative algebras. *Journal of Algebra* 596 (2022) 177–199.
- [6] RENATE CARLSSON: N -ary algebras. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, Volume 78, May 1980, 45–56.
- [7] XING GAO, LI GUO: Rota’s classification problem, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases. *J. Algebra* 470 (2017) 219–253.
- [8] XING GAO, TIANJIE ZHANG: Averaging algebras, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases. *J. Algebra Appl.* 17 (2018), no. 7, 1850130, 26 pp.
- [9] VICTOR GNEDBAYE: Les algèbres k -aires et leurs opérades. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. 321, série I (1995), 147–152.
- [10] VICTOR GNEDBAYE: Opérades des algèbres $(k+1)$ -aires. *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences*. Contemporary Mathematics, 202, pages 83–113. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [11] LI GUO: Operated semigroups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 29 (2009), no. 1, 35–62.
- [12] LI GUO: *An Introduction to Rota-Baxter algebra*. Surveys of Modern Mathematics, 4. International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [13] LI GUO, WILLIAM Y. SIT, RONGHUA ZHANG: On Rota’s problem for linear operators in associative algebras. *ISSAC 2011: Proceedings of the 36th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 147–154, ACM, New York, 2011.
- [14] LI GUO, WILLIAM Y. SIT, RONGHUA ZHANG: Differential type operators and Gröbner-Shirshov bases. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 52 (2013) 97–123.

- [15] PENG LEI, LI GUO: Nijenhuis algebras, NS algebras, and N-dendriform algebras. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China* 7, 5, 827–846 (2012).
- [16] JEAN-LOUIS LODAY, BRUNO VALLETTE: *Algebraic Operads*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 346. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
- [17] MARTIN MARKL, STEVE SHNIDER, JIM STASHEFF: *Operads in Algebra, Topology and Physics*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 96. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, LSA, 2002.
- [18] OEIS: *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. URL: oeis.org
- [19] JUN PEI, LI GUO: Averaging algebras, Schröder numbers, rooted trees and operads. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 42 (2015), no. 1, 73–109.
- [20] GIAN-CARLO ROTA: Baxter operators, an introduction. *Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics*, 504–512, Contemp. Mathematicians, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.
- [21] HUH ZHANG, XING GAO, LI GUO: Operator identities on Lie algebras, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases. *Journal of Algebra* 620 (2023) 585–629.
- [22] HUH ZHANG, XING GAO, TINGZENG WU, XINYANG FENG: Some new operated Lie polynomial identities and Gröbner-Shirshov bases. [arXiv:2404.13894](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.13894) [math.RA]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON,
CANADA

Email address: bremner@math.usask.ca