

# THE LEFSCHETZ STANDARD CONJECTURES FOR $4d$ -DIMENSIONAL KUMMER-TYPE HYPER-KÄHLER VARIETIES

JOSIAH FOSTER

ABSTRACT. Contingent upon a conjecture of Buchweitz and Flenner, we prove the Lefschetz standard conjectures for  $4d$ -dimensional projective varieties of generalized Kummer deformation type.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The standard conjectures.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let the cohomological operator  $L_\alpha$  be given by taking the cup product with a polarization  $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . By the Hard Lefschetz theorem, there exists an isomorphism

$$L_\alpha^{n-k} : H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

for all  $k \leq n$ . The Lefschetz standard conjecture (LSC) over the complex numbers is the following statement:

**Conjecture 1.1** ([Gro69, p. 196]). *For each  $k \leq n$ , there exists an algebraic self-correspondence*

$$[\mathcal{Z}] \in H^{2k}(X \times X, \mathbb{Q}),$$

*arising from a codimension- $k$  cycle  $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CH}^k(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , such that the isomorphism*

$$(1) \quad [\mathcal{Z}]^* : H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

*is the inverse of the isomorphism  $L_\alpha^{n-k}$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** Conjecture 1.1 is independent of the choice of polarization on  $X$  and is in fact equivalent to the existence of the inverse isomorphisms (1). Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , Conjecture 1.1 implies that homological and numerical equivalence coincide, and that the Künneth components of the diagonal  $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$  are algebraic (see for example [Kle68]). It has important applications in the theory

of motives (see [And04, Chapter 5]), and in degree 2 it implies a weakened formulation of the period-index conjecture ([dJP22]). In addition, the LSC implies the variational Hodge conjecture by [Voi16, Theorem 7].

There are few examples of classes of projective varieties for which the LSC holds. One clear class of examples are varieties  $X$  for which the cycle class map  $Ch(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism (e.g. Grassmanians, flag varieties, etc.). The conjecture also holds for Abelian varieties by [Lie68]. Charles and Markman in [CM13] prove the LSC for irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties deformation equivalent to Hilbert schemes of points on  $K3$  surfaces. An alternative proof of their result is known (Corollary 5.17). In [Ara06], Arapura has proven the LSC for moduli spaces of stable vector bundles over a smooth projective curve and for the Hilbert scheme of points on any smooth projective surface, as well as for uniruled threefolds and unirational fourfolds. The results of [Fos24] generalize Arapura's result by demonstrating the LSC for projective varieties deformation equivalent to a moduli space of Gieseker-stable sheaves on an Abelian surface with primitive, positive, and effective Mukai vector. As a corollary, the LSC holds for projective varieties deformation equivalent to a generalized Kummer variety in certain cohomological degrees. For important results on the standard conjectures for Lagrangian fibered IHSMs, see [ACLS23].

## 1.2. Kummer-type irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds.

**Definition 1.3.** An *irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold (IHSM)* (or compact hyper-Kähler manifold) is a simply connected compact Kähler manifold such that  $H^{2,0}(X)$  is spanned by a unique, nowhere-vanishing holomorphic 2-form.

To date, there are four known deformation types of IHSMs. Two were constructed in [Bea83] and arise in each even complex dimension. They are varieties deformation equivalent to Hilbert schemes of points on  $K3$  surfaces and deformation equivalent to generalized Kummer varieties. Two additional examples in dimensions 10 and 6 were constructed in [O'G99] and [O'G03] respectively. They arise as desingularizations of particular moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces.

For  $X$  an IHSM, the second integral cohomology  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  carries an integral, symmetric, primitive, non-degenerate bilinear pairing  $q_X$  of signature  $(3, b_2 - 3)$ , known as the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki (BBF) pairing [Bea83, Theorem 5], which is defined by the property that for all  $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , we have the equation

$$(2) \quad \int_X \alpha^{2n} = c_X q_X(\alpha)^n$$

where  $c_X \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  is the Fujiki constant. This is a generalization of the pairing on the second cohomology of a  $K3$  surface.

In comparison to  $K3$ -surfaces, general IHSMs exhibit a weakened version of the global Torelli theorem (see [Huy12] and [Ver13]).

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $A$  be an Abelian surface, and  $A^{[n+1]}$  the Hilbert scheme of zero-dimensional length- $(n+1)$  subschemes of  $A$ . For  $h : A^{[n+1]} \rightarrow A$  the composition of the Hilbert-Chow morphism and summation in the group  $A$ , the fiber  $Kum_n(A) := h^{-1}(0)$  is  $2n$ -dimensional IHSM known as a *generalized Kummer variety*. An IHSM deformation-equivalent to  $Kum_n(A)$  is said to be of *generalized Kummer deformation type*.

Note that the Abelian surface  $A$  has a four-dimensional deformation space, while  $Kum_n(A)$  deforms in its five-dimensional period domain (as  $b_2(Kum_n(A)) = 7$ ). Therefore, a generic variety of generalized Kummer deformation type is not the Kummer variety arising from an Abelian surface. Let us describe the connection between generalized Kummer varieties and moduli spaces of sheaves on an Abelian surface  $A$ .

**Definition 1.5.** The *Mukai lattice* of  $A$  consists of the following data:

- (1) The 8-dimensional lattice  $(H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{Z}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , where  $H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{Z}) := H^0(A, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^4(A, \mathbb{Z})$  is the ring of even cohomology, and for  $v = (v_0, v_2, v_4)$  and  $w = (w_0, w_2, w_4)$  in  $H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\langle v, w \rangle := \int_A v_2 w_2 - v_0 w_4 - v_4 w_0$$

is the *Mukai pairing*.

(2) A weight-two Hodge structure on  $H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H^{0,2}(H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{C})) &:= H^{2,0}(A), \\ H^{1,1}(H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{C})) &:= H^{0,0}(A) \oplus H^{1,1}(A) \oplus H^{2,2}(A), \\ H^{2,0}(H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{C})) &:= H^{2,0}(A). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.6** ([Yos01, Theorem 0.2.]). *Let  $A$  be an Abelian surface and let  $v \in H^{ev}(A, \mathbb{Z})$  be a primitive Mukai vector that represents a sheaf. Assume  $v^2 \geq 6$ . Then a fiber over  $a \in A \times \widehat{A}$  of the Albanese morphism*

$$alb_v : \mathcal{M}_H(v) \xrightarrow{det \times \widehat{det}} A \times \widehat{A}$$

*is a variety  $Kum_a(v)$  of generalized Kummer deformation type.*

### 1.3. The main results.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Assume the conjecture of Buchweitz and Flenner as formulated in Conjecture 2.10. Then Conjecture 1.1 holds for all  $4d$ -complex dimensional IHSs of generalized Kummer deformation type.*

The correspondences satisfying the assumptions of Conjecture 1.1 arise from Fourier-Mukai kernels of derived equivalences between Kummer-type IHSs. Deformation equivalent IHSs  $X$  and  $Y$  that are derived equivalent with a nontrivial Fourier-Mukai kernel of nonzero rank satisfy the LSC (Corollary 5.17). This fact follows from the main result in [Tae23], which implies that the Hodge isometry associated to such a derived equivalence conjugates the LLV Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  to  $\mathfrak{g}(Y)$ . The main result of [Mag22] provides a derived equivalence between  $4d$ -dimensional Kummer-type IHSs  $Kum_n(A)$  and  $Kum_n(\widehat{A})$ , with the assumption that there exists a polarization  $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$  satisfying certain numerical conditions. This construction is built upon and generalized by Yang in [Yan25]. The Fourier-Mukai kernels of these equivalences are images of an inflated semihomogeneous vector bundle under the Bridgeland-King-Reid (BKR) correspondence. Our main objective is then to deform these Fourier-Mukai equivalences over the moduli space of products of Hodge-isometric marked IHSs.

The beautiful work in [O’G22], [O’G24], and [Mar21a] provides a description of special sheaves on IHSMs known as *modular sheaves*. A modular sheaf  $(\mathcal{F}, H)$  is a slope-stable reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to an ample class  $H$  such that there exists an open subcone of the ample cone containing  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  remains slope-stable with respect to every class  $H'$  in this subcone. This is equivalent to the orthogonal projection of the characteristic class  $\kappa_2(\mathcal{F})$  introduced by Markman in [Mar20] (see Definition 2.7) being a rational multiple of the class of the BBF form in the Verbitsky component of  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$  (cf. [O’G22, Definition 1.1]). Such sheaves are related to hyperholomorphic sheaves studied by Verbitsky in [Ver99]; Markman in [Mar21a] provides conditions for the existence of modular sheaves on IHSMs and further a proof of the fact that their sheaf of Azumaya algebras  $\mathcal{E}nd(F)$  deforms with every Kähler deformation.

Our situation is slightly different, as we wish to deform a sheaf over a *product* of IHSMs using the calculus of twistor lines described in [Ver99]. It is not a priori clear what it means to be projectively hyperholomorphic over a product. Slope-stability also presents an issue, as we cannot expect the sheaf to remain slope stable with respect to arbitrary Kähler deformations of the factors. To this end, in section 6 we deform our sheaf over a “moduli space of rational Hodge isometries,” i.e. a moduli space of products of IHSMs whose cohomology rings are rational Hodge-isometric, following Markman in [Mar24]. We demonstrate that we have control over the characteristic class  $\kappa$  along small deformations of the product of IHSMs.

**1.4. Outline of the paper.** In section 2 we introduce semiregularity, the Buchweitz-Flenner theorems, and their generalizations to the setting of twisted sheaves. In section 3, we introduce the construction of the vector bundles on products of Kummer-type IHSMs that are of interest to us. In section 4, we study the equivariance properties of these bundles and their semiregularity. In section 4.6, we describe the relationship between the LSC and derived equivalences. In section 5 we make concrete the relationship between nontrivial derived equivalences and the Lefschetz standard conjecture, and in section 6 we deform our bundles over the moduli space of marked Hodge-isometric generalized Kummer varieties.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I sincerely thank Eyal Markman for suggesting this problem and for numerous discussions. I graciously acknowledge conversations with Nicolas Addington, Yuxuan Yang, and Ben Tighe, and a correspondence with Jonathan Pridham.

**Remark 1.8.** Throughout, we work over the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 2. SEMIREGULARITY

We recall the property of semiregularity from [BF03]. For  $X$  a projective variety, it follows from [BF03, Theorem 5.1] and a semiregularity sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ , the pair  $(Y, E)$  deforms in a sublocus of the Hodge locus of  $ch(E)$ . Throughout this section, let  $\mathcal{I}_\Delta \subset \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}$  denote the ideal sheaf of the diagonal of  $X \times X$ . We let  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  be a coherent sheaf, although in the sequel we will often assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. There is a short exact sequence of coherent sheaves

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

where we note that  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X} / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^2$  denotes the structure sheaf of the first order infinitesimal neighborhood of  $\Delta$ . Let  $p_i : X \times X \rightarrow X$  denote the projection onto the  $i$ th factor. Twisting (3) by  $p_1^* \mathcal{F}$  yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}} p_1^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_1^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

and thus defines an extension class

$$(4) \quad At(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \Omega_X^1),$$

known as the *Atiyah class*. We see that the Atiyah class obstructs the existence of global holomorphic connections  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^1$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Taking the  $i$ th cup product of the Atiyah class with itself, one defines the  $i$ th power of the Atiyah class

$$(5) \quad At^i(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^i).$$

Note that  $At^0(\mathcal{F}) = Id$ . We denote the exponential Atiyah class by

$$(6) \quad \exp At(\mathcal{F}) = Id + At_{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{At_{\mathcal{F}}^2}{2} + \cdots,$$

which for each  $q$ , defines a map  $\bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_q \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} (\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^q[q])$ . Define the map  $\sigma_q$  as the composition

$$(7) \quad \sigma_q : \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\cdot(-At(\mathcal{F})^q/q!)} \text{Ext}_X^{q+2}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^q) \xrightarrow{H^{q+2}(Tr)} H^{q+2}(X, \Omega_X^q),$$

and the *semiregularity map*

$$(8) \quad \sigma := (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}) : \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \prod_{q=0}^{n-2} H^{q+2}(X, \Omega_X^q),$$

where  $n$  is the dimension on  $X$ . Here,  $Tr$  denotes the trace map

$$(9) \quad Tr(= Tr^0) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X,$$

which in turn induces maps on hypercohomology and therefore determines trace maps

$$(10) \quad Tr^q : \text{Ext}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X);$$

see [Art89, Proposition 1]. Assuming that  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ , we note that the existence of the trace map provides a section for the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})/\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$  splits as a direct sum  $\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})/\mathcal{O}_X$ . As a result, we have a decomposition on the cohomology

$$(11) \quad H^q(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) \cong H^q(\mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^q(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})/\mathcal{O}_X).$$

**Definition 2.1.** A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *semiregular* if the semiregularity map (8) is injective.

**2.1. Buchweitz-Flenner theorems.** Semiregularity is a sufficient condition for a sheaf to deform sideways with its Chern character in a sublocus of the Hodge locus. Let  $\mathcal{T}_X$  be the tangent sheaf

of  $X$ . By [BF03, Corollary 4.3] There exists a commutative diagram:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) & \xrightarrow{\langle *, -At(E) \rangle} & \text{Ext}_X^2(E, E) \\ & \searrow \langle *, ch_{q+1}(E) \rangle & \swarrow \sigma_q \\ & H^{q+2}(X, \Omega_X^q) & \end{array}$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that by Griffiths transversality (see [Voi03, Theorem 5.6] and the discussion in [BF03, Section 5.4] for the precise interpretation) the horizontal class extending  $ch_{q+1}(E)$  remains of Hodge-type if and only if for all  $\alpha \in H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ , we have  $\langle \alpha, ch_{q+1}(E) \rangle = 0$ .

Taking the above commutative diagram and Remark 2.2 into account, Buchweitz and Flenner prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.3** ([BF03]). *For  $B$  an analytic disk, let  $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  be a smooth and proper family such that a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $Y := \pi^{-1}(0)$  is semiregular. Assume that  $ch(\mathcal{F})$  remains of Hodge-type over  $B$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $U \subset B$  containing 0 such that  $\mathcal{F}$  extends over  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  to a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  which is flat over  $U$ .*

**2.2. Twisted sheaves and semiregularity.** For a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$  also deforms over a family over a locus in the base manifold, provided that we relax the condition that  $c_1(\mathcal{F})$  remains of Hodge-type  $(1, 1)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . We refer to a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{B}$  of nonzero rank  $r$  on  $X$  which is twisted by the 2-cocycle  $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}(X, \mu_r)$ , where  $\mu_r$  is the local system of  $r$ th roots of unity, as a  $\theta$ -twisted sheaf (we refer to [C00] and [Mar21a, Section 2.2] for a detailed discussion). Every such projective bundle lifts to a locally free twisted sheaf. We wish to extend the formulation of Theorem 2.3 to account for twisted sheaves. As described in [Tod09], first order deformations of  $D^b(X)$  are parametrized by the second Hochschild cohomology  $HH^2(X) := \text{Hom}_{D^b(X \times X)}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}[2])$ . As  $HH^2(X)$  parametrizes natural transformations from the identity endofunctor of  $D^b(X)$  to the shift of the identity endofunctor by  $i$ , evaluation at an element  $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X)$  yields a graded homomorphism

$$(13) \quad ob_{\mathcal{F}} : HH^*(X) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}),$$



the kernel of which parametrizes directions in which  $\mathcal{F}$  deforms. For

$$(14) \quad HT^k(X) := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i(X, \bigwedge^j \mathcal{T}_X),$$

we have the *Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg (HKR)* isomorphism

$$(15) \quad HKR : HH^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} HT^*(X),$$

(see [Tod09, Theorem 2.5]). Note that an element  $\xi \in HT^2(X)$  decomposes into  $\xi = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$ , where  $\beta \in H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  corresponds to a deformation of the complex structure on  $X$ ,  $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  corresponds to a gerby deformation of  $X$ , and  $\gamma \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_X)$  corresponds to a noncommutative deformation of  $X$ . For an element  $\xi \in HT^2(X)$ , there exists the derived category  $D^b(X, \xi)$  of the  $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ -linear Abelian category  $\text{Coh}(X, \xi)$  ([Tod09, Definition 4.4]) and the natural embedding  $i_* : D^b(X) \hookrightarrow D^b(X, \xi)$ . Toda proves that a necessary and sufficient condition for an element  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $D^b(X)$  to deform in the direction  $\xi$  is that  $\xi$  is in the kernel of the map given by contraction with the exponential Atiyah class:

**Proposition 2.4** ([Tod09, Proposition 6.1]). *For  $E \in D^b(X)$  and  $\xi \in HT^2(X)$ , assume that  $\langle \xi, \exp \text{At}(E) \rangle = 0$  in  $\text{Ext}_X^2(E, E)$ . Then there exists an object  $E^\xi \in D^b(X, \xi)$  such that  $Li^* E^\xi \cong E$ .*

In fact, the kernel of the contraction map  $\langle -, \exp \text{At}(E) \rangle$  is contained in the kernel of the obstruction map (13) by the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.5** ([Hua21, Theorem A, Theorem B]). *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HH^*(X) & \xrightarrow{ob_{\mathcal{F}}} & \text{Ext}^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \\ \uparrow HKR & \nearrow \langle -, \exp(\text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle & \\ HT^*(X) & & \end{array}$$

Furthermore, for  $\tilde{\alpha} \in HT^*(X)$  a polyvector, if  $\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \exp(\text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle = 0$ , then  $\langle D(\tilde{\alpha}), v(\mathcal{F}) \rangle = 0$ , where  $D$  is the Duflo operator acting as an automorphism on  $HT^*(X)$  via contraction by the Todd class  $Td_X^{1/2}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** We note that in the case of Abelian varieties,  $Td_X$  is trivial and therefore the kernel of the obstruction map (13) is contained in the kernel of contraction by the Chern character of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let us denote the obstruction map given by contraction with the exponential Atiyah class as

$$(16) \quad \text{ob}_F^{HT} : HT^*(X) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}).$$

**Definition 2.7.** For  $\tilde{E}$  a  $\theta$ -twisted coherent sheaf of rank  $r > 0$ , define the characteristic class

$$(17) \quad \kappa(\tilde{E}) := \text{Sqrt}_r(ch(\tilde{E}^{\otimes r}) \otimes \det(\tilde{E}^{-1})),$$

where  $\text{Sqrt}_r$  denotes the  $r$ th branch of the square root function. Note that if  $E$  is an untwisted sheaf, (17) reduces to

$$(18) \quad \kappa(E) = ch(E) \left( \frac{-c_1(E)}{r} \right).$$

**Remark 2.8.** For a deformation, the Chern character  $ch(E)$  remains of Hodge-type if and only if both  $\kappa(E)$  and  $c_1(E)$  do, therefore the Hodge locus of  $\kappa(E)$  contains the Hodge locus of  $ch(E)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow X$  be a rank  $r$  (possibly  $\theta$ -twisted) sheaf. There exists a twisted sheaf  $\mathcal{G}'$  with trivial determinant such that  $\kappa(\mathcal{G}) = \kappa(\mathcal{G}') = ch(\mathcal{G}')$ . As a result, if  $\kappa(\mathcal{G})$  remains of Hodge-type on a particular locus  $U \subset B$  if and only if  $ch(\mathcal{G}')$  remains of Hodge-type on that locus.*

*Proof.* Given such a sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$ , the existence of  $\mathcal{G}'$  follows from [Mar25, Construction 7.3.3]. Let us provide the details: Let  $\{U_i\}$  be an analytic open cover of  $X$ , fine enough so that there exists a trivialization  $\psi_i : \wedge^r \mathcal{G}_i := \wedge^r \mathcal{G}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$  of the determinant line bundle. Let  $\eta_{ij}$  denote the Čech cocycle representing  $\wedge^r \mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\tilde{\eta}_{ij}$  denote the  $r$ -th root of  $\eta_{ij}$ , and let  $\phi_{ij} : \mathcal{G}_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_i|_{U_{ij}}$  be multiplication by  $\tilde{\eta}_{ij}^{-1}$ . Then the sheaf  $\mathcal{G}' := (\{\mathcal{G}_i\}, \{\phi_{ij}\})$  is a  $\tilde{\theta}$ -twisted sheaf where  $\tilde{\theta}_{ijk} := (\tilde{\eta}_{ij}\tilde{\eta}_{jk}\tilde{\eta}_{ki})^{-1}$ . The determinant line bundle  $\wedge^r \mathcal{G}'$  is trivial, as it is represented by the Čech cocycle  $\tilde{\eta}_{ij}^{-1}\psi_i\psi_j^{-1} = 1$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{G} = (\{\mathcal{G}_i\}, \{\tilde{\phi}_{ij}\})$  were already a  $\theta$ -twisted sheaf, then  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a  $\tilde{\theta}\theta$ -twisted sheaf.

For a sheaf  $\mathcal{G}'$  with trivial determinant and twisted by a class  $\tilde{\theta}$  with coefficients in  $\mu_r$ , we have  $\kappa(\mathcal{G}') = ch(\mathcal{G}')$ , as  $\kappa(\mathcal{G}') = ch(\mathcal{G}') \exp\left(\frac{-c_1(\mathcal{G}')}{r}\right)$  and the determinant line bundle  $\wedge^r \mathcal{G}'$  is trivial.  $\square$

Let us formulate a generalization of Theorem 2.3:

**Conjecture 2.10.** *For  $B$  an analytic disk, let  $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  be a smooth and proper family such that a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $Y := \pi^{-1}(0)$  is semiregular. Assume that  $\kappa(\mathcal{B})$  remains of Hodge-type over  $B$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $U \subset B$  containing 0 such that  $\mathcal{B}$  extends over  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  to a possibly twisted coherent sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  which is flat over  $U$ .*

Note that if the Hodge locus of  $ch(\mathcal{B})$  is strictly contained within the locus of  $\kappa(\mathcal{B})$ , we can replace  $\mathcal{B}$  with a  $\tilde{\theta}$ -twisted sheaf  $\mathcal{B}'$  by Lemma 2.9, and then apply Conjecture 2.10.

Pridham in [Pri24] proves a necessary condition for the unique horizontal lift of the Chern character  $ch_p(\mathcal{F})$  to remain of Hodge type by mapping the obstruction  $o(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I})$  is mapped to a slightly different target than in [BF03], that is to the truncated hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^{2p}(X, \Omega_X^{<p})$ :

**Theorem 2.11** ([Pri24, page 2]). *Let  $A$  be a local Artinian  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  a smooth morphism of schemes (or Artin stacks) and  $I \subset A$  a square-zero ideal with  $B := A/I$ . Then for any perfect complex  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $X' := A \otimes_A B$  with obstruction  $o(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_B I)$  to deforming  $\mathcal{F}$ , the image of the Chern character  $ch_p(\mathcal{F})$  lies in  $F^p H^{2p}(X, \Omega_{X/A}^\bullet)$  if and only if  $o(\mathcal{F})$  maps to zero under the map*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_B I) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{p-1}} \mathbb{H}^{2p}(X, \Omega_{X/A}^{<p}),$$

where  $\sigma_{p-1}$  is the semi-regularity map.

**Remark 2.12.** We refer the reader to [Pri24, Remark 2.26], which establishes a generalization of the above theorem for twisted sheaves. We believe that this remark applied to the above theorem provides an aid to establish reduced obstructions for  $\mu_r$ -twisted perfect complexes on  $X$ . The statement in Pridham's paper is slightly different than the statement that is required by Conjecture 2.10, and the difference must be reconciled. It is required that the statement of Theorem 2.3 holds if we assume that  $\kappa(E)$  remains of Hodge-type, except that  $\mathcal{E}$  may be a twisted sheaf. Let  $r > 0$  be the rank of  $E$ , and consider the object  $F := E \otimes \det(E)^{-1/r}$ . Note that if  $E$  is a untwisted sheaf, then  $F$  is an associated twisted object. Since the semiregularity map  $\sigma$  is the derived tangent of the Chern character map on obstruction spaces, contraction against the class  $\kappa(E)$  induces a map

on obstruction spaces  $(\sigma - ch(E)\sigma_{1/r})\exp(-c_1(E)/r)$ , where  $\sigma_{1/r}$  is the map of obstruction spaces associated to  $-c_1(E)/r$ . Since  $\kappa(E)$  remains of Hodge-type in the locus  $U$ , its obstruction should be annihilated by  $(\sigma - ch(E)\sigma_{1/r})$ .

This latter map necessarily descends to a map on the obstruction space  $\text{Ext}^2(E, E)/H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , which is the obstruction space to deforming the twisted sheaf  $F$ . Identifying this space with

$$\ker(Tr : \text{Ext}^2(E, E) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)),$$

we conjecture that  $\sigma$  annihilates the obstruction to the deformation of the  $\mu_r$ -twisted sheaf  $F$  there by results of [Art89] and [Muk84]. We plan to explore this in the near future.

Conjecture 2.10, and [Hua21, Theorem A] suggests that we modify diagram (12) to the following commutative diagram:

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} HT^2(X) & \xrightarrow{\langle *, -At(\tilde{E}) \rangle} & \text{Ext}_X^2(\tilde{E}, \tilde{E}) \\ & \searrow \langle *, \kappa(E) \rangle & \swarrow \sigma_q \\ & H^{q+2}(X, \Omega_X^q) & \end{array}$$

In other words, if we allow  $E$  to extend to a twisted sheaf over an analytic subset of the base,  $\text{Ext}_X^2(E, E)$  may be interpreted as an obstruction space for gerby deformations along with first order deformations.

### 3. SIMPLE SEMIHOMOGENEOUS VECTOR BUNDLES ON PRODUCTS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

Semihomogeneous vector bundles on Abelian varieties are those which are compatible with two natural classes of deformations: The pullback by the translation map and tensorization by line bundles. They are important in the study of vector bundles on Abelian varieties and provide an intriguing interpretation as the analogue of modular sheaves on IHSMs (see [Mar21b, Remark 3.5(2)]).

Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $2n$  integral lattice and let  $V := \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . We have the Abelian variety  $A = (V/\Lambda, J)$  with complex structure  $J$  and  $\hat{A} := (\hat{V}/\hat{\Lambda}, -J^t)$  the dual Abelian variety. For  $\lambda : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  a

polarization of  $A$ , the exponent  $\exp(\lambda)$  is the smallest natural number such that  $\ker(\lambda) \subset \ker(\cdot n)$ . Recall  $\widehat{A} \cong \text{Pic}^0(A)$ , the moduli space of degree 0 line bundles on  $A$ . Recall also that there exists a universal line bundle  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \times \widehat{A}$  known as the *Poincaré bundle* that parametrizes degree zero line bundles on  $A$ . The Poincaré bundle is characterized by the properties that  $\mathcal{P}_{\{0\} \times \widehat{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{A \times \{\alpha\}}$  is isomorphic to the line bundle on  $A$  corresponding to the point  $\alpha \in \widehat{A}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a vector bundle on an Abelian variety  $A$ . The *slope*  $\mu(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{\det(\mathcal{F})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})}.$$

We may consider the slope as an equivalence class  $[\mathcal{L}] \otimes \frac{1}{\ell} \in NS(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\ell$  is a positive integer.

**Definition 3.2.** A vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $A$  is said to be *seminhomogeneous* if for each closed point  $a \in A$ , there exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_a \in \widehat{A} := \text{Pic}^0(A)$  such that  $t_a^* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_a$ .

**Remark 3.3.** In general, seminhomogeneous vector bundles arise as follows: Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an isogeny of Abelian varieties of degree  $m$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} := f_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$  is a seminhomogeneous vector bundle on  $B$ .

Let  $\mu = [\mathcal{L}] \otimes \frac{1}{\ell} \in NS(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and let

$$(20) \quad \phi_{\mathcal{L}} : A \longrightarrow \widehat{A}$$

be the homomorphism given by  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(a) = t_a^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . Define

$$(21) \quad \Phi_{\mu} := \text{im}((\ell, \phi_{\mathcal{L}}) : A \rightarrow A \times \widehat{A}),$$

and denote by  $pr_1 : \Phi_{\mu} \rightarrow A$  the projection onto the first factor. Let

$$(22) \quad \Sigma_{\mu} := \ker(pr_1).$$

**Proposition 3.4** ([Orl02, Lemma 4.9]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a simple seminhomogeneous vector bundle. Then  $(a, \alpha) \in \Phi_{\mu}$  if and only if  $t_a^* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} := \mathcal{P}_{A \times \{\alpha\}}$  is the fiber of the Poincaré bundle  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \times \widehat{A}$  representing  $\alpha$ .*

The following is a crucial property of semihomogeneous vector bundles which will come in handy later:

**Proposition 3.5** ([Orl02, Lemma 4.8.]). *Simple semihomogeneous vector bundles of the same slope  $\mu$  are either isomorphic or orthogonal, i.e. for  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{F}_j$  non-isomorphic simple semihomogeneous vector bundles*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j) = \mathrm{Ext}^k(\mathcal{F}_j, \mathcal{F}_i) = 0,$$

for all  $k$ .

*Proof.* If  $H^0(X, \mathrm{Ext}^k(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j)) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_i \cong \mathcal{F}_j$ , as each of the  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{F}_j$  are stable and of the same slope. Every simple semihomogeneous vector bundle of slope  $\mu$  is unique up to twisting by a line bundle  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ , and by [Muk78, Theorem 5.8],  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$  is a homogeneous bundle. These two facts imply that  $\mathrm{Ext}^k(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j)$  is homogeneous for each  $k$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j) \cong \bigoplus_l \mathcal{U}_l \otimes \mathcal{M}_l$ , where the  $\mathcal{U}_l$  are unipotent vector bundles (see Definition 4.12) and  $\mathcal{M}_l \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ . Therefore, if  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j)$  does not have a global section, then all of its cohomology vanishes, i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{F}_j$  are orthogonal.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle on an Abelian variety  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is semiregular.*

*Proof.* The group scheme  $\Sigma_\mu$  is reduced in the characteristic zero case. By [Muk78, Theorem 5.9] this is equivalent to the existence of an isomorphism

$$H^q(i) : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F})),$$

for all  $q$ , which is inverse to the isomorphism

$$H^q(\mathrm{Tr}) : \mathrm{Ext}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

The non-vanishing of the Atiyah class implies that  $\sigma_q$  is semiregular for all  $q$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle on an Abelian variety  $X$  of rank  $r$ . Then  $\kappa_k(\mathcal{F})$  vanishes for each  $k > 0$  and hence vacuously remain of Hodge-type under all Kähler deformations of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from [Muk78, Proposition 7.3], which states that there exists an isogeny  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of Abelian varieties and a line bundle  $L$  on  $Y$  such that  $\pi^*\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\oplus r}$ , as well as the definition of the  $\kappa$ -class.  $\square$

In [Mag22], Magni constructs a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle on the product of Abelian varieties that will be of interest to us. Recently, the results of [Yan25] provide sufficient criteria for derived equivalences of Abelian varieties to be lifted to derived equivalences of generalized Kummer varieties and results in the construction of many such derived equivalences; see [Yan25, Theorem 2.9], in particular.

Let  $K_{n+1} := \ker(\Sigma : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$  be the kernel of the summation map and let

$$(23) \quad W := A \otimes K_{n+1}$$

be the  $n$ -dimensional Abelian variety that is kernel of the summation map  $A^{n+1} \rightarrow A$ . We abuse notation slightly and denote by  $\widehat{W} := \widehat{A} \otimes K_{n+1}$  (not  $A \widehat{\otimes} K_{n+1}$ ). For Abelian varieties  $A$  and  $B$  and  $g : A \rightarrow B$  a homomorphism, let  $\widehat{g} : \widehat{B} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$  denote the dual homomorphism. Let  $f : A \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow B \times \widehat{B}$  be an isomorphism of Abelian varieties and write

$$f := \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_1 \\ f_3 & f_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{f} := \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{f}_4 & \widehat{f}_2 \\ -\widehat{f}_3 & \widehat{f}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here, we have identified  $A \cong \widehat{\widehat{A}}$  and  $B \cong \widehat{\widehat{B}}$  (see [Huy06, Remark 9.12] for an important nuance with respect to this identification).

**Definition 3.8.** Define the *Mukai-Polishchuk* group as the group of symplectomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Sp}(A, B) := \{f : A \times \widehat{A} \rightarrow B \times \widehat{B} : \tilde{f} = f^{-1}\}.$$

When  $A = B$ , we write  $\mathrm{Sp}(A) := \mathrm{Sp}(A, A)$ . For  $G$  a finite group acting on  $A$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Sp}(A)^G$  the Mukai-Polishchuk group of  $G$ -invariant symplectomorphisms, i.e.  $f$  such that  $\sigma^*f = f$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ .

Fix  $n \geq 2$ . Let us assume that  $A$  is an Abelian variety such that there exists a polarization  $\lambda : A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$  of type  $(d_1, \dots, d_g)$  whose exponent is coprime to  $n+1$  and let  $\lambda'$  be the polarization

$\lambda : \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{A} \cong A$  such that  $\lambda \circ \lambda' = [\exp(\lambda)]$  and let  $\lambda^\delta := d_1 \lambda'$  be the dual polarization of  $\lambda$ . Let

$$(24) \quad \phi_0 : K_{n+1} \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{n+1}$$

be the canonical map and let  $\widehat{\phi}_0$  denote its dual. The equalities  $\lambda \circ \lambda^\delta = \lambda^\delta \circ \lambda = \cdot[\exp(\lambda)]$  and  $\phi_0 \circ \widehat{\phi}_0 = \widehat{\phi}_0 \circ \phi = \cdot[n+1]$  follow from [Mag22, Proposition 3.6] and [Mag22, Section 1.9].

**Proposition 3.9.** [Mag22, Proposition 4.7(ii)] *Let  $n \geq 3$ , then there is an injection*

$$\Gamma_0((n+1)\exp(\lambda)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}},$$

where  $\Gamma_0((n+1)\exp(\lambda)) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is the Hecke congruence subgroup of level  $(n+1)\exp(\lambda)$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *The group  $\mathrm{Sp}(W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}$  has the form*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} = \left\{ f = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \cdot (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id}) & a_2 \cdot (\lambda^\delta \otimes \widehat{\phi}_0) \\ a_3 \cdot (\lambda \otimes \phi_0) & a_4 \cdot (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \end{pmatrix} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, f^{-1} = \tilde{f} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, the condition that  $f^{-1} = \tilde{f}$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\det(f) = 1$ .

*Proof.* We have the following isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}(W, W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \cong (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathrm{Id}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathrm{Id}),$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(W, \widehat{W})^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \cong (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \phi_0),$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{W}, W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \cong (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \lambda^\delta) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \widehat{\phi}_0),$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{W}, \widehat{W})^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \cong (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathrm{Id}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathrm{Id}),$$

by [Mag22, Proposition 1.7, Proposition 1.11], and therefore the group is of the claimed structure by definition. Now there exists a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}(W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \\ f &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ \exp(\lambda)(n+1) \cdot a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$



due to the composition properties of  $\lambda$  and  $\phi_0$ , and therefore, the lower left entry must be congruent to 0 mod  $\exp(\lambda)(n+1)$ . By construction, the element  $\tilde{f}$  is given by

$$\tilde{f} = \begin{pmatrix} a_4 \cdot (\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) & -a_2 \cdot (\lambda^\delta \otimes \hat{\phi}_0) \\ -a_3 \cdot (\lambda \otimes \phi_0) & a_1 \cdot (\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) \end{pmatrix},$$

so we have the relation that  $f^{-1} = J^{-1} f^t J = \tilde{f}$ , where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id} \\ -\text{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which indicates that  $f$  is represented by a symplectic matrix. It then follows that  $\det(f) = 1$ . Note that this in turn implies that  $\gcd(\exp(\lambda), n+1) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** We note that if  $n+1$  is odd, there exists an Abelian surface  $A$  and a polarization  $\lambda : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  such that  $\gcd(\exp(\lambda), n+1) = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.12** ([Mag22, Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4]). *Assume that  $n \geq 2$  is even and  $\gcd(n+1, [\exp(\lambda)]) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$  be the symmetric group on  $n+1$  elements.*

i) *There exists a short exact sequence*

$$(25) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times A[n+1] \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D^b(W))^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

ii) *The group of symplectomorphisms*

$$\text{Sp}(W, \widehat{W})^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \neq 0$$

*and is a right torsor under  $\text{Sp}(W)^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}$ .*

iii) *The set of invariant derived equivalences*

$$\text{Eq}(D^b(W), D^b(\widehat{W}))^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}} \neq 0$$

*and is a right torsor under  $\text{Aut}(D^b(W))^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}$ .*

Assume that  $\gcd(n+1, [\exp(\lambda)]) = 1$  and pick integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $m_1[\exp(\lambda)] - (n+1)m_2 = 1$ . Consider the element

$$g := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n+1}\lambda \otimes \phi_0 & -\frac{1}{n+1}\text{id} \otimes \phi_0 \\ -\frac{1}{n+1}\text{id} \otimes \phi_0 & m_2\lambda^\delta \otimes \phi_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(W, \widehat{W})^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}.$$

The element  $g$  corresponds via Theorem 3.12 to a line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(W \times \widehat{W})$  such that  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} = (n+1)g$ . The semihomogeneous vector bundle we aim to study is given by

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{F} := [n+1]_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1}.$$

We describe its  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ -equivariance properties in the next section.

#### 4. SEMIREGULAR VECTOR BUNDLES ON PRODUCTS OF KUMMER-TYPE IHSMS

In this section, we provide the background for understanding derived equivalences of generalized Kummer varieties by means of equivariant derived categories. We start by considering an arbitrary projective variety  $X$ .

**4.1. Equivariant derived categories.** Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on a smooth projective variety  $X$ . For an object  $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$ , a  $G$ -linearization on  $\mathcal{E}$  is a collection of isomorphisms

$$(27) \quad \lambda_g : \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} g^* \mathcal{E},$$

for each  $g \in G$ , such that  $\lambda_e = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\lambda_{gh} = h^* \lambda_g \circ \lambda_h$ . We denote a  $G$ -linearized object by the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ .

The triangulated category

$$(28) \quad D_G^b(X)$$

is the derived category consisting of  $G$ -linearized objects in  $D^b(X)$ <sup>1</sup>. We refer to  $D_G^b(X)$  as the  *$G$ -equivariant derived category of  $X$* .

---

<sup>1</sup>The category  $D_G^b(X)$  is *not* the derived category of complexes of  $G$ -equivariant sheaves in analogy to the usual derived category. However, it is a triangulated category with a  $t$ -structure whose heart is the Abelian category of equivariant sheaves on  $X$ ; see [BL94, Section 2].

As a bridge between the derived categories  $D^b(X)$  and  $D_G^b(X)$ , we consider the category of  $G$ -invariant objects in  $D^b(X)$ :

**Definition 4.1.** We say that an object  $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(X)$  is  $G$ -invariant if  $g^*\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$  for each  $g \in G$ .

Clearly, a necessary condition for an object in  $D^b(X)$  to be  $G$ -equivariant is that it is  $G$ -invariant. The obstruction to a  $G$ -invariant object carrying a  $G$ -linearization is a class  $c \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , known as the Schur multiplier of  $G$ , as worked out in [Plo07].

**4.2. Inflation.** Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ . Denote by  $G_\Delta \subset G \times G$  the diagonal subgroup (we include “ $\Delta$ ” to emphasize the diagonal action). We write  $\text{Eq}(D^b(X), D^b(Y))^{G_\Delta}$  to denote  $G_\Delta$ -invariant equivalences, and  $\text{Eq}(D_G^b(X), D_G^b(Y))$  to denote equivalences of triangulated categories (28). The elements of the latter consist of Fourier-Mukai kernels that carry a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant structure.

**Definition 4.2.** Define the intermediary set

$$(29) \quad \text{Eq}_{G_\Delta}(D^b(X), D^b(Y)) := \{(\mathcal{G}, \lambda) \in D_{G_\Delta}^b(X \times Y) : \text{FM}_{(\mathcal{G}, \lambda)} : D^b(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Y)\},$$

which interposes between the sets  $\text{Eq}(D^b(X), D^b(Y))^{G_\Delta}$  and  $\text{Eq}(D_G^b(X), D_G^b(Y))$  in a manner we will explain below.

Note that in light of Remark 4.1, a sufficient condition for the natural map

$$\text{Eq}(D^b(X), D^b(Y))^{G_\Delta} \longrightarrow \text{Eq}_{G_\Delta}(D^b(X), D^b(Y))$$

to be injective is that  $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*) = 0$ . Furthermore, the sets  $\text{Eq}_{G_\Delta}(D^b(X), D^b(Y))$  and  $\text{Eq}(D_G^b(X), D_G^b(Y))$  are related by an inflation map

$$(30) \quad \text{inf}_{G_\Delta}^{G^2}(\mathcal{G}, \lambda) := \bigoplus_{[g] \in G_\Delta \backslash G \times G} g^* \mathcal{G}.$$

For notation, we write

$$(31) \quad G^2 \cdot \mathcal{G} := \text{inf}_{G_\Delta}^{G^2}(\mathcal{G}, \lambda).$$

The  $(G \times G)$ -linearization

$$\lambda_h^G : G^2 \cdot \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} h^*(G^2 \cdot \mathcal{G})$$

on the right-hand side is given by extending linearly the  $\mathcal{G}$ -linearization  $\lambda_h$ , for  $h \in G_\Delta$ , and permutation of the summands for  $h \in \Delta G \setminus G^2$ . We will discover that for a general derived equivalence of generalized Kummer varieties, it will prove sufficient to have control over particular classes in  $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  known as *Schur multipliers*.

**Proposition 4.3** ([Plo07]). *For  $G$  a finite group acting faithfully on smooth projective varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ , there is an exact sequence of groups*

$$0 \rightarrow Z(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))^G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(D_G^b(X)),$$

and a  $G$ -equivariant map of pseudo-torsors

$$(32) \quad \mathrm{Eq}_{G_\Delta}(D^b(X), D^b(Y)) \xrightarrow{\inf_{G_\Delta}^{G^2}} \mathrm{Eq}(D_G^b(X), D_G^b(Y)).$$

*Proof.* See [Plo07, Theorem 6] and [Mag22, Theorem 6.15]. □

**Remark 4.4.** The sets  $\mathrm{Eq}(D^b(X), D^b(Y))^G$  and  $\mathrm{Eq}(D_G^b(X), D_G^b(Y))$  are pseudo-torsors of  $\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))^G$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}(D_G^b(X))$  respectively. Let  $G = \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ . Then  $Z(G) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ , so the inflation map  $\inf_G^{G^2}$  is injective, as the map

$$\mathrm{Aut}(D^b(X))^G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(D_G^b(X))$$

is injective.

**4.3. The BKR correspondence.** Let  $X$  be a projective variety and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $X$ .

**Definition 4.5.** A  $G$ -cluster on  $X$  is a  $G$ -invariant zero-dimensional closed subscheme  $Z$  on  $X$  such that  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$  is an isomorphism of  $G$ -modules.

Note that  $G$ -clusters generalize  $G$ -invariant subschemes that are free  $G$ -orbits; every free  $G$ -orbit is clearly a  $G$ -cluster, but there are (necessarily non-reduced)  $G$ -clusters that are not free  $G$ -orbits.

Let  $G = \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ . We let  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(A^{n+1})$  denote the irreducible component of the moduli space of  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$  clusters on  $A^{n+1}$  that contains all points corresponding to free orbits of the permutation action. Closed points of  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(A^{n+1})$  therefore parametrize length- $(n+1)!$ , 0-dimensional  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ -invariant subschemes  $Z$  of  $A^{n+1}$  such that  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$  is the  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ -regular representation. The component  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(A^{n+1})$  is a reduced component of the moduli space of  $G$ -clusters.

**Proposition 4.6** ([Hai01, Theorem 5.1] and [Hai99, Section 4]). *The Hilbert scheme  $A^{[n+1]}$  is isomorphic to the reduced component  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(A^{n+1})$  of the moduli space of  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ -clusters.*

**Remark 4.7.** In [Hai99] and [Hai01] the above result is stated for  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ , but the argument goes through for  $X$  a projective variety: For  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(X^{n+1}) \times X^{n+1}$  the universal family of  $G$ -clusters, the projection morphism  $\text{Id} \times \text{pr}_1(\mathcal{Z}) : \text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(X^{n+1}) \times X^{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(X^{n+1}) \times X$  is flat and hence gives rise to a classifying morphism  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(X^{n+1}) \rightarrow X^{[n+1]}$ . This classifying morphism is inverse to a morphism  $X^{[n+1]} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(X^{n+1})$  which arises by means of the universal property of the Hilbert scheme (see [Hai01, Theorem 3.1]).

Recall that we let

$$(33) \quad K_{n+1} := \ker(\Sigma : \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the summation map and let  $W$  and  $\widehat{W}$  be defined as

$$(34) \quad W := A \otimes K_{n+1}, \quad \widehat{W} := \widehat{A} \otimes K_{n+1}.$$

Note the slight abuse of notation for  $\widehat{W}$ ; indeed, there is an isomorphism of  $\widehat{A} \otimes K_{n+1} \cong \widehat{A} \otimes \widehat{K}_{n+1}$  as Abelian varieties, yet they are not isomorphic as  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}_{n+1}]$ -modules.

Define  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(W)$  analogously to  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(A^{n+1})$ , where we naturally identify  $W \cong A^n$  via the identification of  $K_{n+1}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  given by  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n, -\sum_i a_i)$ . That is, we identify  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(W)$  with the connected component of free orbits of the  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ -action on the factors of  $W$ . Note that the isomorphism of Proposition 4.6 implies the existence of a crepant resolution

$$\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(A^{n+1}) \longrightarrow A^{(n+1)},$$

the fiber of which over zero is  $\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(W) \cong \text{Kum}_n(A)$ , and which is well known to be semi-small. Therefore we have the following:

**Theorem 4.8** ([BKR12, Theorem 1.1]). *The derived McKay correspondence yields isomorphisms*

$$D^b(\text{Kum}_n(A)) \cong D^b(\text{Hilb}^{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}(W)) \cong D_{\mathcal{S}_{n+1}}^b(W).$$

Consider the following universal subschemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{Z} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ W & & \text{Kum}_n(A) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \widehat{W} & & \text{Kum}_n(\widehat{A}) \end{array}$$

of  $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ -clusters on  $W$  and  $\widehat{W}$ . We define Haiman's isospectral Hilbert scheme  $\mathcal{Z} \times \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$  as the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} \times \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} & \xrightarrow{q_1 \times q_2} & \text{Kum}_n(A) \times \text{Kum}_n(\widehat{A}) \\ \downarrow p_1 \times p_2 & & \downarrow \\ W \times \widehat{W} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sym}^{n+1}(W) \times \text{Sym}^{n+1}(\widehat{W}) \end{array}$$

When taking products, the derived McKay correspondence yields a derived equivalence by ([BKR12, Theorem 1.1]):

**Proposition 4.9.** *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$D_{\mathcal{S}_{n+1} \times \mathcal{S}_{n+1}}^b(W \times \widehat{W}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\text{Kum}_n(A) \times \text{Kum}_n(\widehat{A})).$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately that  $\text{Kum}_n(A) \times \text{Kum}_n(\widehat{A})$  is a crepant resolution  $X := \text{Sym}^{n+1}(W) \times \text{Sym}^{n+1}(\widehat{W})$ . The canonical sheaf  $\omega_{W \times \widehat{W}} \cong \omega_W \boxtimes \omega_{\widehat{W}}$  is locally trivial as a  $(G \times G)$ -sheaf, and so the assumptions of [BKR12, Theorem 1.1] are satisfied.  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** Note that we use the convention where the Fourier-Mukai kernel associated to the derived McKay correspondence is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z} \times \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}}$ , as in [Kru18]. So, for an object  $\mathcal{G} \in D_{G_\Delta}^b(X \times \widehat{X})$ , define

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{G}^K := (q_1 \times q_2)_*^{G_\Delta} [(p_1 \times p_2)^*(\mathcal{F}_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z} \times \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}}],$$

where  $p_1 \times p_2 : \mathcal{Z} \times \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow X$  and  $q_1 \times q_2 : \mathcal{Z} \times \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\widehat{A})$  are projection maps. Here,  $(q_1 \times q_2)_*^{G_\Delta}$  denotes the pushforward invariant under the diagonal action. The fiber of  $\mathcal{G}^K$  at a subscheme  $Z \in Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\widehat{A})$  is  $H^0(Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\widehat{A}), \mathcal{G}|_Z)$ .

**4.4. Derived equivalences of Kummer-type IHSMs.** From now on, we will let  $G := \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$  and  $G_\Delta \subset G \times G$  be the diagonal subgroup consisting of elements  $(\sigma, \sigma)$ , for  $\sigma \in G$ . Let us provide an outline of Magni's strategy in [Mag22] for constructing derived equivalences of generalized Kummer varieties. The following is the main theorem that will be reached by the end of this subsection. We also remark that the results of [Mag22] are part of a more general construction described in [Yan25].

**Theorem 4.11** ([Mag22]). *Let  $n + 1 \geq 3$  be odd. There exist Abelian surfaces  $A$  and  $\widehat{A}$  and a  $G$ -invariant simple semihomogeneous vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $W \times \widehat{W}$  such that  $G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F} := \inf_{G_\Delta}^{G^2}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow W \times \widehat{W}$  is a  $G^2$ -equivariant Fourier-Mukai kernel of a derived equivalence*

$$\Phi_{G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}} : D_G^b(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_G^b(\widehat{W}).$$

*Via BKR conjugation, the kernel yields a derived equivalence*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}^K} : D^b(Kum_n(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Kum_n(\widehat{A})),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^K \in D^b(Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\widehat{A}))$  is the image of  $\mathcal{F}^G$  under the equivalence of categories given in Proposition 4.9.

**Definition 4.12.** A vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}$  is *unipotent* if it admits a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U},$$

such that for each  $i$  from 1 to  $m$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_i/\mathcal{U}_{i-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ .

A semihomogeneous vector bundle  $\mathcal{G}$  on an Abelian variety admits a decomposition via the Jordan-Hölder filtration as

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{G} \cong \bigoplus_j \mathcal{F}_j \otimes \mathcal{U}_j,$$

where each  $\mathcal{F}_j$  is a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle and each  $\mathcal{U}_j$  is unipotent, by [Muk78, Proposition 6.18]. For ease of notation, define

$$(37) \quad X := W \times \widehat{W}.$$

We consider the Poincaré bundle  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow X \times \widehat{X}$ .

In order to rewrite (36) in a manner that is computationally accessible, we must study the action of  $(-) \otimes \mathcal{P}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \widehat{X}[n]$  on the bundle  $\mathcal{G}$ . By the property of semihomogeneous vector bundles that any such bundle  $\mathcal{F}_j$  can be represented as a bundle  $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_j}$  (see [Muk78, Proposition 7.11]), the action of  $\widehat{X}[n]$  on each summand  $\mathcal{F}_j$  has stabilizer  $\{\alpha \in \widehat{X}[n] : \mathcal{F}_0 \cong \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_j}\}$ . This is indeed, the homogeneous subset of  $\widehat{X}$ , i.e. the subset  $\Sigma_\mu \subset \widehat{X}[n]$  from (22). Magni proves the following:

**Proposition 4.13** ([Mag22, Proposition 7.14, Proposition 7.15, Proposition 7.21]). *The Jordan-Hölder filtration in (36) admits a split unipotent part, i.e. for each summand  $\mathcal{U}_j \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{r_j}$ . Furthermore, the resulting split decomposition*

$$(38) \quad \mathcal{G} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha_j \in \widehat{X}[n]/\Sigma_\mu} (\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_j})^r$$

*contains at least one  $G$ -invariant summand.*

**Remark 4.14.** For  $\mathcal{F}$  the simple semihomogeneous vector bundle in Theorem 4.11, note that by definition,

$$\inf_{G_\Delta}^{G^2}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{g \in G_\Delta \backslash G^2} g^* \mathcal{F}$$

is a direct sum of simple semihomogeneous vector bundles, each of whose slope is equal to that of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Magni therefore proves that there exists a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}_0$  on  $X$  which provides a derived equivalence between the Abelian varieties  $W$  and  $\widehat{W}$ . The next step is to prove this derived equivalence carries a  $G_\Delta$ -linearization and therefore can be enhanced to an equivalence of equivariant derived categories by way of Proposition 4.3. This requires that we have control over the projective representations of  $G$ . We have the following key proposition:



**Proposition 4.15** ([Mag22, Proposition 7.25]). *Let  $H$  be a finite group acting on a projective variety  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  be a sheaf. Assume:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}$  is simple;
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $H$ -invariant;
- (3) There exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}^r$  is  $H$ -equivariant;
- (4) Every  $r$ -dimensional projective representation of  $H$  is isomorphic to a linear representation of  $H$ . Then,  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $H$ -equivariant.

**Proposition 4.16** ([Mag22, Theorem 6.11, Theorem 6.18, Theorem 7.27]). *The  $G$ -equivariant Fourier-Mukai kernel  $\mathcal{F}^G$  can be inflated to yield a  $G \times G$ -equivariant sheaf  $G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F} \in D_{G \times G}^b(W \times \widehat{W})$  which provides an equivalence of categories*

$$\Phi_{G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}} : D_G^b(W) \longrightarrow D_G^b(\widehat{W}).$$

*Proof.* Proposition 4.15 implies that the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^G \rightarrow W \times \widehat{W}$  is  $G_\Delta \cong G$ -equivariant and hence

$$\mathcal{F}^G \in D_{G_\Delta}^b(W \times \widehat{W}).$$

Every projective representation of  $G$  is already linear. This follows from the surjectivity of the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_{n+1}, \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_{n+1}, \mathrm{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})),$$

as worked out in [Mag22, Proposition 7.26]. The class in  $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  that obstructs the existence of a  $G$ -linearization of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  therefore vanishes and hence we can enhance the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_0$  to the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^G$ . We can then apply the inflation map (30) to acquire a sheaf  $\mathrm{inf}(\mathcal{F}^G)_{G_\Delta}^{G^2}$  in  $\mathrm{Eq}(D_G^b(W), D_G^b(\widehat{W}))$ . In the special case where  $n = 2$ , the cohomology group  $H^2(\mathcal{S}_3, \mathbb{C}^*) = 0$ . Hence, any simple sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Eq}(D_{\mathcal{S}_3}^b(W), D_{\mathcal{S}_3}^b(\widehat{W}))^{G_\Delta}$  carries a  $G_\Delta$ -linearization, as the induced map (32) on pseudo-torsors is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.17.** *The summands of  $G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{g \in G_\Delta \setminus G^2} g^* \mathcal{F}^G$  are pairwise non-isomorphic.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for each  $(\tau) \in G_\Delta \setminus G^2$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \widehat{X}[n]/\Sigma(\mu)$  such that  $(\tau)^* \mathcal{F}^G \cong \mathcal{F}^G \otimes \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}^G$  arises as a direct summand of the sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  given in (38), and  $\mathcal{G}$

carries a  $G$ -invariant structure, the permutation group  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{G}$  via permuting the summands in the direct sum decomposition. Naturally identifying the group of cosets of  $G_\Delta \backslash G^2$  with  $G$ , we need only observe that  $\tau \in G$  acts by  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}^G \cong \mathcal{P}_{\alpha(\tau)}$ , where, for each  $\tau$ , the resulting  $\alpha(\tau) \in \widehat{X}[n]/\Sigma(\mu)$  is unique in light of Proposition 4.13.  $\square$

**4.5. Semiregularity on products.** We next study the BKR image of the derived equivalence constructed in the previous section. Let  $\mathcal{F}^G$  denote the simple semihomogeneous vector bundle on a product of Abelian varieties  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}^G} : D_G^b(X_1) \rightarrow D_G^b(X_2)$  is an equivalence of triangulated categories. In the sequel we will take  $X_1 = W$  and  $X_2 = \widehat{W}$ , as in the previous section. Let

$$(39) \quad \mathcal{F}^K := BKR(G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}, \lambda)$$

denote the image of  $(\mathcal{F}^G, \lambda)$  under the Bridgeland-King-Reid equivalence, where we have chosen  $\lambda$  to be the linearization induced by the trivial sign character.

**Definition 4.18.** For the sake of brevity, we will denote by  $K$  and  $\widehat{K}$  the generalized Kummer varieties  $Kum_n(A)$  and  $Kum_n(\widehat{A})$  respectively.

Further, recall that we define the trace map

$$(40) \quad Tr^0 : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

**Lemma 4.19.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle on a product of Abelian varieties  $W \times \widehat{W}$  that carries a  $G^2$ -equivariant structure. Then for any  $q \geq 0$ , the image of*

$$Tr : \text{Ext}_{D^b(W \times \widehat{W})}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^q(W \times \widehat{W}, \mathcal{O}_{W \times \widehat{W}})$$

*lies in  $H^q(W \times \widehat{W}, \mathcal{O}_{W \times \widehat{W}})^{G^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{F}$  carries a  $G^2$ -invariant structure, we have  $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X \times \widehat{X})^{G^2}$ . By [Muk78, Theorem 5.9], we have an isomorphism

$$(41) \quad Tr^q : H^q(A^{n+1} \times \widehat{A}^{n+1}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(A^{n+1} \times \widehat{A}^{n+1}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \times \widehat{A}^{n+1}}),$$

which implies that there is an isomorphism

$$(42) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{W \times \widehat{W}}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})^{G^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(W \times \widehat{W}, \mathcal{O}_{W \times \widehat{W}})^{G^2}.$$

Therefore the image of the trace map is isomorphic to  $H^q(X \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \widehat{X}})^{G^2}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.20.** *The traceless endomorphisms  $\mathcal{E}nd_0(\mathcal{F}^K)$  vanish.*

*Proof.* For a simple semihomogenous vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on a product of Abelian varieties  $X \times \widehat{X}$ , [Muk78, Theorem 5.9] yields an isomorphism

$$(43) \quad \mathrm{Tr} : \mathrm{Ext}_{X \times \widehat{X}}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \widehat{X}}),$$

where  $\mathrm{Tr}$  is the trace map. By the BKR correspondence and by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}^K$ , there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(K \times \widehat{K})}^q(\mathcal{F}^K, \mathcal{F}^K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{D_G^b(X \times \widehat{X})}^q(G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}, G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}),$$

where we recall that  $G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}$  denotes the inflation of  $\mathcal{F}$  via  $G$  given in the statement of Lemma 4.17. The latter is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(K \times \widehat{K})}^q(G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}, G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F})^G.$$

Now we have

$$(44) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(X \times \widehat{X})}^q(G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}, G^2 \cdot \mathcal{F})^G = \left[ \bigoplus_{i \in G} \bigoplus_{j \in G} \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(X \times \widehat{X})}^q(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j) \right]^G,$$

where each  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is isomorphic to  $\sigma_k^* \mathcal{F}$ , for a given  $\sigma_k \in G$ . By Proposition 3.5, the summands on the right-hand side of (44) vanish if  $i \neq j$ . Therefore, there is precisely one orbit under the  $G$  action, whose coset is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(X \times \widehat{X})}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ . Since each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  carries a  $G$ -invariant structure, the image of this orbit under the trace map lies in  $H^q(X \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \widehat{X}})^G$ , by Lemma 4.19.

Thus, by (43),

$$(45) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{D^b(K \times \widehat{K})}^q(\mathcal{F}^K, \mathcal{F}^K) \cong H^q(X \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \widehat{X}})^G,$$

where we note the right-hand side is isomorphic to  $H^q(K \times \widehat{K}, \mathcal{O}_{K \times \widehat{K}})$ . Since the endomorphism bundle decomposes as

$$\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K) = \mathcal{E}nd_0(\mathcal{F}^K) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{K \times \widehat{K}},$$

we have the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.21.** *The bundle  $\mathcal{F}^K$  is semiregular.*

*Proof.* Proposition 4.20 implies that, for each  $q$ , the trace of the image of the map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}^K, \mathcal{F}^K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{q+2}(\mathcal{F}^K, \mathcal{F}^K \otimes \Omega_{K \times \widehat{K}}^q)$$

defined by composition with  $-At^q(\mathcal{F}^K)/k!$  lies in  $H^{q+2}(K \times \widehat{K}, \Omega_{K \times \widehat{K}}^q)$  after taking the trace. Since the trace map restricted to this image is an isomorphism, it follows that  $\sigma_q$  is injective for all  $q$ .  $\square$

**4.6. The characteristic classes remain of Hodge-type.** To this point, we have ascertained that over an analytic disk  $U$ , small deformations of  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  in directions on which  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  remains of Hodge-type lift to small deformations of  $\mathcal{F}^K$  to a possibly twisted sheaf over a subdisk  $B \subset U$ . We check next that along particular hyper-Kähler deformations of the product  $Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\widehat{A})$ , the characteristic classes  $\kappa_k(\mathcal{F}^K)$  remain of Hodge-type. As we shall explain in this subsection, it suffices to check the interaction with the kernel of the obstruction map (16) with the deformation space in  $HT^2(K \times \widehat{K})$ .

**Proposition 4.22.** *Assume that for an object  $E \in D^b(X \times Y)$ , the intersection  $\Sigma(E) \cap [H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{T}_{X \times Y})]$  maps onto  $H^1(\mathcal{T}_{X \times Y})$  via the projection  $HT^2(X \times Y) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{T}_{X \times Y})$ . Then the class  $\kappa(E)$  remains of Hodge-type under every Kähler deformation of  $X \times Y$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as that of [Mar21b, Lemma 3.1]. Fix an element  $\beta \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{X \times Y})$ . Since the projection  $\Sigma(E) \cap [H^2(\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{T}_{X \times Y})] \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{T}_{X \times Y})$  is surjective, there exists a lift of  $\beta$  to  $(\alpha, \beta, 0) \in \Sigma(E)$ , i.e. the object  $E$  deforms in the direction  $(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ . Just as in loc. cit. Lemma 3.1, this implies that the Chern character of the untwisted object  $F := \det(E)^* \otimes (E^*)^r$ . Hence,  $\kappa(E)$ , the  $r$ th root of  $ch(F)$ , also remains of Hodge-type.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.23.** *The class  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  remains of Hodge-type along every Kähler deformation of  $Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\widehat{A})$ .*

*Proof.* By [Ver99], the fact that  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  deforms to first order is equivalent to showing that the class  $c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K))$  remains of Hodge-type over every Kähler deformation of  $K \times \widehat{K}$ . Consider an element  $\xi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{K \times \widehat{K}})$ . The class  $c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K))$  remains of Hodge-type in the direction of  $\xi$  if and only if  $\xi$  lifts to a class  $(0, \xi, \gamma) \in HT^2(K \times \widehat{K})$  along which the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^K$  deforms to first order in the direction  $(0, \xi, \gamma)$ . By Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.5, this in turn is equivalent to the class  $(0, \xi, \gamma)$  being in the kernel of the obstruction map (16). By equation (45), the obstruction map maps  $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{K \times \widehat{K}}) \cong (H^2(\mathcal{O}_K) \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus (H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}}) \otimes \mathbb{C})$  injectively into  $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}^K, \mathcal{F}^K)$ . Let  $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}^K) \subset HT^2(K \times \widehat{K})$  denote the kernel of this obstruction map in degree 2. It follows that  $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}^K) \subset H^0(\wedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{K \times \widehat{K}}) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{T}_{K \times \widehat{K}})$ . Therefore, any element  $\xi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_{K \times \widehat{K}})$  admits a lift to a class  $(0, \xi, \gamma) \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}^K)$ . The fact that this implies the characteristic class  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  remains of Hodge-type for every Kähler deformation of  $K \times \widehat{K}$  follows from the theory of twistor deformations in the moduli space of rationally Hodge-isometric IHSMs and will be worked out in full in Section 6.  $\square$

## 5. DERIVED EQUIVALENCES OF IHSMS AND THE LEFSCHETZ STANDARD CONJECTURES

**5.1. The Lefschetz standard conjectures.** Let us recall some basic facts concerning the Lefschetz standard conjectures. For any compact Kähler manifold  $X$  of dimension  $n$ , there exists an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation on the total cohomology  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . For a fixed ample class  $f \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -algebra is defined by the triple  $(L_f, h, \Lambda_f)$ . Here,  $L_f$  denotes the Lefschetz operator which acts by  $(-) \cup f$ ,  $h \in \text{End}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  acts by  $(k - n) \cdot Id$  on  $H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $\Lambda_f$  is the formal adjoint of  $L_f$ . That is,  $\Lambda_f \in \text{End}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  such that

$$(46) \quad [L_f, \Lambda_f] = h, \quad [h, \Lambda_f] = -2\Lambda_f.$$

What's more, for all  $k \leq n$  the Hard Lefschetz theorem yields isomorphisms

$$L_f^{n-k} : H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

When working in the complex setting, all of the Lefschetz standard conjectures are implied by the following:

**Conjecture 5.1** (Grothendieck). *For each  $k \leq n$ , the operator  $\Lambda_f^{n-k}$  is algebraic. That is, there exists a codimension- $k$  cycle  $\mathcal{Z} \in Ch^k(X \times X)$  such that  $[\mathcal{Z}]^* = \Lambda_f^{n-k}$ .*

**Remark 5.2.** For the purposes of this section, as we always work over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we refer to Conjecture 5.1 as *the* Lefschetz standard conjecture (LSC).

**5.2. Derived equivalences and the LSC.** In this section we describe a series of results of Markman, Verbitsky, and Taelman and how they are relevant to our problem. Throughout this section we assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are deformation equivalent IHSMs each of dimension  $2n$ .

**Definition 5.3.** A Hodge isometry

$$f : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

with respect to the Mukai pairings on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is said to be *degree-reversing* if for each  $k \leq 2n$ , the image of  $H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$  under  $f$  is contained in  $H^{4n-k}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of positive rank in the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves  $D^b(X \times Y)$  and assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier-Mukai kernel of an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$(47) \quad \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : D^b(X) \longrightarrow D^b(Y).$$

Recall the definition of the characteristic class  $\kappa$  from equation (17). We denote by

$$(48) \quad \phi_{\kappa} := [\kappa(\mathcal{F})\sqrt{td_{X \times Y}}]_* : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

the Hodge isometry associated to  $\kappa(\mathcal{F})\sqrt{td_{X \times Y}}$ . It will turn out that  $\phi_{\kappa}$  is a degree-reversing Hodge-isometry up to sign.

**Remark 5.4.** Let us remark how to compute the isometry  $\phi_{\kappa}$ : Let  $\pi_X$  and  $\pi_Y$  denote the projections from the product  $X \times Y$ . Let  $\lambda_X \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\lambda_Y \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  be classes such that

$c_1(\mathcal{F}) = \pi_X^*(\lambda_X) + \pi_Y^*(\lambda_Y)$ , and let  $r > 0$  be the rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\phi_\kappa(-) = \left[ ch(\mathcal{F}) \exp\left(\frac{-c_1(\mathcal{F})}{r}\right) \sqrt{td_{X \times Y}} \right]_* (-) = \exp\left(\frac{-\lambda_Y}{r}\right) \cup [ch(\mathcal{F}) \sqrt{td_{X \times Y}}]_* \left( \exp\left(\frac{-\lambda_X}{r}\right) \cup (-) \right).$$

Let us denote

$$(49) \quad B_\lambda := \exp(\lambda),$$

for  $\lambda \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , so that we may write

$$(50) \quad \phi_\kappa = B_{-\lambda_Y/r} \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} B_{-\lambda_X/r}.$$

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $X$  be an IHSM. The *rational LLV lattice* is the graded lattice

$$(51) \quad \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{Q}\alpha \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\beta,$$

equipped with an intersection pairing  $(,)$  such that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are isotropic and orthogonal to  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) = -1$ . Furthermore,  $(,)$  restricts to  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  as the BBF form. The grading is given by assigning  $\alpha$  to have degree  $-2$ ,  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  to have degree  $0$ , and  $\beta$  to have degree  $2$ .

Applying results of Verbitsky and Taelman, Markman associates to  $\phi_\kappa$  an isometry

$$(52) \quad \tilde{\phi}_\kappa : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We devote the next subsection to describing this association.

**5.3. The LLV algebra and isometries of the Mukai lattice.** For a smooth complex projective variety  $X$ , the Hard Lefschetz theorem implies that for any ample class  $\lambda \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^{1,1}(X)$  determines a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \subset \text{End}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . The Looijenga-Lunts-Verbitsky (LLV) Lie algebra is generated by all algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  satisfying the assumptions of the Hard Lefschetz theorem. We write  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  for the LLV Lie algebra of  $X$ . Let us from now on assume that  $X$  is an IHSM.

**Proposition 5.6** ([Ver96], [LL97]). *There is a unique graded isomorphism of Lie algebras*

$$\mathfrak{g}(X) \cong \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})).$$

**Definition 5.7.** The *Verbitsky component*  $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is the subalgebra generated by  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 5.8** ([Ver96]). *The Verbitsky component  $SH(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ -submodule of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  appearing with multiplicity one.*

**Proposition 5.9** ([Tae23, Proposition 3.5.]). *There is a unique isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ -modules*

$$(53) \quad \Psi_X : SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})[2n] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^n \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}),$$

such that  $\Psi_X(1) = \alpha^n/n!$ .

Let

$$(54) \quad \Delta : \mathrm{Sym}^n \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{n-2} \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

denote the Laplacian operator which contracts elements of  $\mathrm{Sym}^n \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  against  $q_X$  as follows:

$$\Delta : x_1 \cdots x_n \mapsto \sum_{i < j} q_X(x_i, x_j) x_1 \cdots \widehat{x}_i \cdots \widehat{x}_j \cdots x_n,$$

where  $\widehat{x}_k$  denotes omission of the element.

**Lemma 5.10.** *There is an exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})[2n] \xrightarrow{\Psi_X} \mathrm{Sym}^n \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{Sym}^{n-2} \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0$$

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition (5.9), the fact that  $\Delta$  is a surjective  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ -module morphism, and the fact that  $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ -module.  $\square$

**Definition 5.11.** Let

$$(55) \quad S_{[n]} \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \ker[\mathrm{Sym}^n \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{Sym}^{n-2} \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})].$$

**Remark 5.12.** Note that elements of  $S_{[n]} \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  are generated by  $n$ th-powers of isotropic elements of  $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . Note also that as a result of Lemma (5.10), the image of  $\Psi_X$  is precisely



$S_{[n]}\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , and we shall therefore refer to  $\Psi_X$  as the map

$$\Psi_X : SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})[2n] \longrightarrow S_{[n]}\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Theorem 5.13** ([Tae23, Theorem A]). *Let  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$  be a derived equivalence of deformation equivalent IHSMs and let  $\phi_{v(\mathcal{E})}$  denote the rational Hodge isometry induced by convolution with the Mukai vector  $v(\mathcal{E})$ . Then there exists a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebras*

$$\Phi^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g}(X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}(Y)$$

such that  $\phi_{v(\mathcal{E})}$  is equivariant with respect to  $\Phi^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

We will express the analogous grading operator to  $h_X$  on the Mukai lattice as

$$(56) \quad \tilde{h}_X : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

which acts on  $\alpha_X$  by  $-2$  and on  $\beta_X$  by  $2$ , and acts trivially on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Recall that a *groupoid* is a category where every morphism is an isomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the groupoid whose objects are pairs  $(X, \epsilon)$  of an IHSM  $X$  and an orientation  $\epsilon$  of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , and whose morphisms are rational Hodge isometries with respect to the Mukai pairings on  $X$  and  $Y$ . Such a rational Hodge isometry  $\phi$  induces an adjoint isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$(57) \quad Ad_{\phi} : \text{End}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})) \longrightarrow \text{End}(H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})),$$

given by  $Ad_{\phi}(\zeta) = \phi\zeta\phi^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.14.** *An isometry  $\phi$  restricts to an isometry  $\phi_{SH} : SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} SH^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.13, the adjoint isomorphism (57) restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}(X) \cong \mathfrak{g}(Y)$ . The fact that  $SH(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $SH(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  are irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ - and  $\mathfrak{g}(Y)$ -representations respectively (Proposition 5.8), and the fact that the Mukai pairings on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  restrict to pairings on  $SH^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $SH^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  implies the result.  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  be the groupoid whose objects are IHSMs and whose morphisms are rational Hodge isometries of the Mukai lattice. By the results of [Tae23, Section 4], there exists a functor

$$\tilde{H} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}},$$

which is characterized by the following proposition:

**Proposition 5.15** ([Tae23, Proposition 4.4, Theorem 4.10, and Theorem 4.11]). *Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces with nondegenerated bilinear pairings and  $\phi : S_{[n]}V_1 \rightarrow S_{[n]}V_2$  an isometry such that  $\phi(S_{[n]}\mathfrak{so}(V_1))\phi^{-1} = S_{[n]}\mathfrak{so}(V_2)$ . Assume that  $d$  is odd for  $n$  even and choose orientations for  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Then there exists a unique isometry  $\tilde{H}(\phi) : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that the restriction  $S_{[n]}(\tilde{H}(\phi))$  of its  $n$ th-symmetric power to  $S_{[n]}V_1$  satisfies  $S_{[n]}(\tilde{H}(\phi)) = \phi$ , if  $n$  is odd, and  $\nu S_{[n]}(\tilde{H}(\phi)) = \phi$  if  $n$  is even, where  $\nu = 1$  if  $\tilde{H}(\phi)$  is orientation preserving, and  $-1$  otherwise.*

The functor  $\tilde{H}$  sends a morphism  $\phi \in \text{Hom}((X, \epsilon_X), (Y, \epsilon_Y))$  to  $\tilde{H}(\Psi_Y \circ \phi_{SH} \circ \Psi_X) : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ .  $\tilde{H}(S_{[n]}(f_1) \circ \phi \circ S_{[n]}(f_2))$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} f_2 \circ \tilde{H}(\phi) \circ f_1, & n \text{ odd} \\ \nu_1 \nu_2 f_2 \circ \tilde{H}(\phi) \circ f_1, & n \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

(see [Mar24, Remark 3.2]).

For a degree-reversing Hodge isometry  $\phi$ , we denote by

$$(58) \quad \tilde{H}(\phi) : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

the resulting map on the Mukai lattice. Denote by

$$(59) \quad \tilde{H}_0(\phi) : H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

the restriction of (58) to  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 5.16** ([Mar24, Lemma 4.1(1), (2)]). *Let  $\phi_\kappa$  be as in (48) and let  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa$  be the image of  $\phi_\kappa$  under the functor (58). Then:*

- (1) *The isometry  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa$  is degree-reversing.*

(2) *The isometry  $\phi_\kappa$  is degree-reversing.*

*Proof.* It is convenient that we first prove part (1) and then demonstrate that (1)  $\implies$  (2).

Recall that  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa$  is an isometry of Hodge structures

$$\tilde{\phi}_\kappa : \tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Q}),$$

with respect to the Mukai pairings on  $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ . We show first that  $\text{Span}(\tilde{\phi}(\alpha_X)) = \text{Span}(\beta_Y)$  and  $\text{Span}(\tilde{\phi}(\beta_X)) = \text{Span}(\alpha_Y)$ .

Let  $r > 0$  be the rank of the Fourier-Mukai kernel  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $\pi_i$  be the projection maps from  $X \times Y$ , and let  $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = \pi_1^*(\lambda_X) + \pi_2^*(\lambda_Y)$  for  $\lambda_X \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\lambda_Y \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ . By (50),  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa$  is of the form

$$\tilde{\phi}_\kappa = B_{-\lambda_Y/r} \tilde{H}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}) B_{-\lambda_X/r}.$$

Now,  $B_{-\lambda_X/r} = \exp(e_{-\lambda_X/r})$  and hence  $B_{-\lambda_X/r}(\beta_X) = \beta_X$ . The equality

$$\tilde{H}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}})(\beta_X) = k(r\alpha_Y + \lambda_Y + \frac{(\lambda_Y, \lambda_Y)}{2r}\beta_Y)$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$  follows from [Bec23, Lemma 4.13(v)]. We then note that

$$B_{-\lambda_Y/r}(r\alpha_Y + \lambda_Y + \frac{(\lambda_Y, \lambda_Y)}{2r}\beta_Y) = r\alpha_Y,$$

and observe

$$\tilde{\phi}_\kappa^{-1} = B_{-\lambda_X/r} \tilde{H}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}^*[2n]}) B_{-\lambda_Y/r},$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa^{-1}$  maps  $\text{Span}(\beta_Y)$  to  $\text{Span}(\alpha_X)$  and part (1) follows as a result.

Let us now show that  $\phi_\kappa$  is degree-reversing. Recall that  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa$  conjugates the LLV algebra of  $X$  to that of  $Y$  by Theorem 5.13, and therefore the adjoint action of  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa$  conjugates the operator  $\tilde{h}_X$  from (56) to  $\tilde{h}_Y$  up to sign. This in turn implies that the adjoint action of  $\phi$  conjugates  $h_X$  to  $h_Y$  up to that same sign by [Mar24, Lemma 3.4]. Since the isomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{g}(X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

from Proposition 5.6 is a graded isomorphism of Lie algebras, part 2 then follows from the existence of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Q})) & \xrightarrow{Ad(\tilde{\phi}_\kappa)} & \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(Y, \mathbb{Q})) \\
 \uparrow \Psi_X & & \uparrow \Psi_Y \\
 \mathfrak{g}_X & \xrightarrow{Ad(\phi_\kappa)} & \mathfrak{g}_Y
 \end{array}$$

See [Tae23]. □

The existence of the degree-reversing Hodge isometries associated to a derived equivalence implies that given an algebraic operator  $L_\omega \in \mathfrak{g}(X)$  with the hard Lefschetz property, the adjoint Lefschetz operator  $\Lambda_{\tilde{\phi}(\omega)} \in \mathfrak{g}(Y)$  is algebraic. In particular, this yields the following:

**Corollary 5.17.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be deformation equivalent IHSMs and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$  an equivalence of derived categories for which the Fourier-Mukai kernel  $\mathcal{F}$  has positive rank. Assume that the induced Hodge isometry  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}} : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  is degree-reversing. Then the Lefschetz standard conjecture holds for both  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that over the complex numbers it suffices to show that for fixed classes  $f_X \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $f_Y \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$  the adjoint Lefschetz operators  $\Lambda_{f_X}$  and  $\Lambda_{f_Y}$  are algebraic. Fix  $f_X \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and consider  $L_{\tilde{\phi}_\kappa(f_X)}$ . Since, by Proposition 5.16,  $\phi_{\kappa(\mathcal{F})}$  is a degree-reversing Hodge isometry whose adjoint restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}(X) \cong \mathfrak{g}(Y)$ , and furthermore the action of the LLV algebra preserves the rational LLV lattice, then, up to a scalar multiple, we have  $\tilde{\phi}_\kappa^{-1} L_{\tilde{\phi}_\kappa(f_X)} \tilde{\phi}_\kappa^{-1} = \Lambda_{f_X}$ . By Proposition 5.16, this is equivalent to  $\phi_\kappa^{-1} L_{\tilde{\phi}_\kappa(f_X)} \phi_\kappa^{-1} = \Lambda_{f_X}$  up to scalar multiple (see the calculation in [Mar24, Lemma 1.6]). Therefore, the class  $L_{f_X}$  being algebraic implies that  $\Lambda_{f_X}$  is as well. The symmetry of the statement follows by replacing  $\phi_{\kappa(\mathcal{F})}$  with  $\phi_{\kappa(\mathcal{F}^*[2n])}$ . □

We can then immediately deduce the LSC for a particular class of generalized Kummer varieties:

**Corollary 5.18.** *Let  $A$  be an Abelian surface that carries a polarization  $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  whose exponent is coprime to  $n$ , for which  $n$  is even. Then Conjecture 1.1 holds for both  $Kum_n(A)$  and  $Kum_n(\hat{A})$ .*

*Proof.* The statement is the combination of Corollary 5.17 and [Mag22, Theorem 7.27].  $\square$

## 6. HYPERHOLOMORPHIC SHEAVES AND MODULI SPACES OF RATIONAL HODGE ISOMETRIES

Our next objective is to utilize the theory of Verbitsky and Markman to deform the Fourier-Mukai kernel over diagonal twistor lines in the moduli space of products of Hodge-isometric marked IHSMs. It is not immediately obvious what it means for a sheaf to be hyperholomorphic over a product of IHSMs, nor even what it means for such sheaves to be slope-stable. To that end, we deform over a connected component of the moduli space that parametrizes products of IHSMs whose cohomology rings are rational Hodge-isometric, following Markman in [Mar24].

**Definition 6.1.** A *marking* of an IHSM  $Y$  is a fixed isometry

$$\eta : H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \Lambda,$$

for  $\Lambda$  a fixed lattice with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki (BBF) and Mukai pairings.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$  the moduli space of  $\Lambda$ -marked IHSMs. Its dimension is  $\text{rank}(\Lambda) - 2$ ; see [Huy99]. For  $q_Y$  the BBF pairing (2), we denote by

$$(60) \quad \Omega_\Lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}) : q_Y(x, x) = 0, \quad q_Y(x, \bar{x}) > 0\}$$

the five dimension period domain of  $\Lambda$ -marked IHSMs. The period map

$$(61) \quad \text{Per} : \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda \longrightarrow \Omega_\Lambda,$$

defined by  $\text{Per}((Y, \eta)) = \eta(H^{2,0}(Y))$ , is surjective and generically injective when restricted to every connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ , by [Huy12].

**Remark 6.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  denote a connected component of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ . There exists a universal family

$$(62) \quad h : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$$

of IHSMs by the main result of [Mar21a]. There is a trivialization of the local system  $R^2 h_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \underline{\Lambda}$  by loc. cit. What's more, every local system over  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  is trivial by [Mar21a, Lemma 1.2].

**Definition 6.3.** A *sheaf of Azumaya algebras* of rank  $r$  on a variety  $X$  is a sheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules with a distinguished global section  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$  and an associative multiplication map  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 6.4.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be compact Kähler manifolds and let  $\mathcal{A}_i$  be Azumaya algebras over the  $X_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is *deformation equivalent* to  $\mathcal{A}_1$  if there exists a proper family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  of compact Kähler manifolds over a connected analytic base  $B$  such that there exist points  $b_i \in B$  and isomorphisms  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{b_i}$ , and an Azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $f_i^* \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}_i$  for each  $i$ .

**Definition 6.5.** Let  $E$  be the Fourier-Mukai kernel of nonzero rank of an equivalence  $\Phi_E : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$  of derived equivalent IHSMs  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $\eta_X$  and  $\eta_Y$  be respective markings, and let the moduli space

$$(63) \quad \mathfrak{M}_\psi$$

consist of isomorphism classes of quadruples  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  such that the following holds:

(1) The map

$$(64) \quad \psi := \tilde{H}_0([\kappa(E)\sqrt{td_{X \times Y}}]_*) : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda.$$

is a Hodge isometry, where  $\tilde{H}_0$  denotes the map from (59).

(2) The Hodge isometry

$$\eta_2^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \eta_1 : H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q})$$

maps a subcone of the Kähler cone of  $X$  to a subcone of the Kähler cone of  $Y$ .

We note that  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  provides a well-defined connected component of the moduli space of products of IHSMs. Let

$$(65) \quad \Pi_i : \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$$

denote the morphism sending  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  to  $(X, \eta_X)$ .

**Proposition 6.6** ([Mar21b] Lemma 5.14). *For  $i = 1, 2$ , the morphisms  $\Pi_i$  are surjective.*

Markman's universal family (62) yields universal families  $\Pi_i^*(h) : \Pi_i^*\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and a universal fiber product

$$(66) \quad \Psi : \Pi_1^*\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0} \Pi_2^*\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0.$$

The triviality of all local systems over  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  implies the triviality of the local systems  $R\Psi_*\mathbb{Z}$  and  $R\Psi_*\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 6.7.** Let  $W$  be a positive-definite three-dimensional subspace of  $\Lambda_\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $W_\mathbb{C} := W \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}$ . We call  $\mathbb{P}(W_\mathbb{C}) \cap \Omega_\Lambda$  a *twistor line*. Now let  $(X, \eta) \in \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  be a marked pair and let  $\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$  be a Kähler class. The intersection  $W = W_\mathbb{C} \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  is three-dimensional and positive-definite. Define the twistor line

$$(67) \quad Q_{(X, \eta, \omega)} := \mathbb{P}(\eta(W_\mathbb{C})) \cap \Omega_\Lambda.$$

Now let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be rationally Hodge-isometric projective varieties. Let  $\omega_1$  be a Kähler class on  $X_1$ , and consider  $\omega_2 := \psi(\omega_1)$  the corresponding Kähler class on  $X_2$ . Let  $W_i$  denote the three-dimensional subspace of The isometry  $\phi$  restricts to an isometry from  $\eta(W_1)$  to  $\eta(W_2)$ , which is an isomorphism from  $Q(X_1, \eta_1, \omega_1)$  to  $Q(X_2, \eta_2, \omega_2)$ . Define

$$(68) \quad Q_{(X_1, \eta_1, X_2, \eta_2, \omega_1)} \subset Q(X_1, \eta_1, \omega_1) \times Q(X_2, \eta_2, \omega_2)$$

to be the graph of the restriction of  $\psi$ . We refer to  $Q_{(X_1, \eta_1, X_2, \eta_2, \omega_1)}$  as the *diagonal twistor line* associated to  $(X_1, \eta_1, X_2, \eta_2, \omega_1)$ .

**Definition 6.8.** (1) Let  $(X, \eta)$  and  $(X', \eta')$  be  $\Lambda$ -marked pairs in a connected component  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ . A *twistor path* in  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  from  $(X, \eta)$  to  $(X', \eta')$  consists of  $\Lambda$ -marked pairs  $(X_i, \eta_i)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k+1$  such that  $(X_0, \eta_0) = (X, \eta)$  and  $(X_{k+1}, \eta_{k+1}) = (X', \eta')$ , and a twistor line  $\tilde{Q}_{(X_i, \eta_i, \omega_i)}$  through  $(X_i, \eta_i)$  and  $(X_{i+1}, \eta_{i+1})$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .

(2) Let  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  and  $(X', \eta'_X, Y', \eta'_Y)$  be quadruples in a connected component  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi$ . A *twistor path* in  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  from  $(X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  to  $(X', \eta'_X, Y', \eta'_Y)$  consists of quadruples  $(X_i, \eta_{X,i}, Y_i, \eta_{Y,i})$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k+1$  such that  $(X_0, \eta_{X,0}, Y_0, \eta_{Y,0}) = (X, \eta_X, Y, \eta_Y)$  and  $(X_{k+1}, \eta_{X,k+1}, Y_{k+1}, \eta_{Y,k+1}) = (X', \eta'_X, Y', \eta'_Y)$ , and a twistor line

$\tilde{Q}_{(X_i, \eta_{X,i}, Y_i, \eta_{Y,i}, \omega_i)}$  through  $((X_i, \eta_{X,i}, Y_i, \eta_{Y,i})$  and  $(X_{i+1}, \eta_{X,i+1}, Y_{i+1}, \eta_{Y,i+1})$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Definition 6.9.** We say a twistor path in  $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda^0$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ ) is *generic* if  $\text{Pic}(X_i)$  (resp.  $\text{Pic}(X_i \times Y_i)$ ) is trivial for each  $i$ .

Consider the pairs  $(Kum_n(A), \eta)$  and  $(Kum_n(\hat{A}), \hat{\eta})$ , where  $\eta$  and  $\hat{\eta}$  denote markings of  $Kum_n(A)$  and  $Kum_n(\hat{A})$  respectively.

Our objective is to apply the following proposition:

**Proposition 6.10** ([Mar24, Proposition 5.15]). *Let  $E$  be a locally free sheaf representing a Fourier-Mukai kernel as in the setup of Definition 6.5. Assume that in addition to the assumptions on  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  in Definition 6.5, that  $c_2(\text{End}(E))$  remains of Hodge-type  $(2, 2)$  under every definition in  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ . Then for every quadruple  $(X'_1, \eta'_1, X'_2, \eta'_2) \in \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ , there exists a possibly twisted locally free sheaf  $E'$  over  $X'_1 \times X'_2$  such that the sheaf of Azumaya algebras  $\text{End}(E')$  is deformation equivalent to  $\text{End}(E)$ .*

The following lemma is satisfied, as under the conditions of Conjecture 2.10, we have vacuous control over the Kähler cone of the product:

**Lemma 6.11.** *Assume Conjecture 2.10. Let  $\pi_i$  denote the projection maps from  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  to  $Y_i$  and  $\omega_i$  be a Kähler class on  $Y_i$ . Let  $\psi$  be the map given by (64). The sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^K \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$  is slope stable with respect to the Kähler class  $\pi_1^*(\omega_1) + \pi_2^*(\psi(\omega_1))$ .*

*Proof.* Conjecture 2.10 implies that there exists a possibly twisted deformation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^K$  of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^K$  over an analytic disk  $U$ . Choose a deformation  $(X' \times Y', \mathcal{G}^K)$  of the pair  $(X \times Y, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^K)$ , such that  $\text{Pic}(X' \times Y') = 0$ . In the case where  $\text{Pic}(X' \times Y') = 0$ , the positive cone of the product equals the Kähler cone of the product. Therefore, since the morphism  $\phi$  is a rational Hodge isometry, there exists at least one Kähler class  $\omega_1$  in  $\mathcal{K}(Y_1)$  such that  $\omega_2 := \psi(\omega_1)$  is a Kähler class in  $\mathcal{K}(Y_2)$ . Now,  $\mathcal{F}^G \rightarrow W \times \widehat{W}$  is slope stable, being a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle. Therefore, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^K$  has no proper saturated subsheaf by [Mar21b, Lemma 11.5]. The sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^K$  hence vacuously slope stable with respect to the Kähler class  $\pi_1^*(\omega_1) + \pi_2^*(\psi(\omega_1))$ , by [Mar21b, Lemma 11.1].  $\square$



**Lemma 6.12.** *Assume Conjecture 2.10. Let  $\eta$  and  $\hat{\eta}$  be chosen such that  $(Kum_n(A), \eta)$  and  $(Kum_n(\hat{A}), \hat{\eta})$  lie in the same connected component  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi$ . There exists an analytic subset  $U \subset \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ , a point  $0 \in U$ , and a possibly twisted locally free sheaf*

$$\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \Pi_1^* \mathcal{Y} \times_U \Pi_2^* \mathcal{Y},$$

*such that  $\mathcal{G}$  restricts to  $\mathcal{F}^K$  over*

$$\Psi^{-1}(0) = (Kum_n(A), \eta, Kum_n(\hat{A}), \hat{\eta}).$$

*Proof.* There exists a semiregular sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^K \rightarrow Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\hat{A})$  such that  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  remains of Hodge-type over  $U$ , by Corollary 4.21. The result therefore follows from Conjecture 2.10.  $\square$

Lemma 6.12 in particular implies that the pair  $(Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\hat{A}), \mathcal{F}^K)$  is deformation equivalent to a pair  $(Y_1 \times Y_2, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^K)$ , where the  $Y_i$  are Kummer-type IHSMs, and such that the latter has the property that the Picard rank of  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  is zero.

**Proposition 6.13.** *There exists a possibly twisted sheaf*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \Pi_1^* \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0} \Pi_2^* \mathcal{Y},$$

*which restricts to the object  $\mathcal{F}^K \in D^b(Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\hat{A}))$  over the quadruple*

$$(Kum_n(A), \eta, Kum_n(\hat{A}), \hat{\eta}).$$

*For any points  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ , there exists a reduced connected projective curve  $C$  containing the points  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that the sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  is flat over  $\Pi_1^* \mathcal{Y} \times_C \Pi_2^* \mathcal{Y}$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 6.10. The slope-stability of the vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}^K$  follows from Lemma 6.11, and so does the fact that the deformed sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^K \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$  contains no proper saturated subsheaves. By construction of the  $\kappa$ -class,  $\kappa_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K)) = 2\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}^K)\kappa_2(\mathcal{F}^K)$ . Now,  $ch_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K)) = -c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K)) = -\kappa_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K))$  by the vanishing of  $c_1(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K))$ , and so

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K)) = -2\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}^K)\kappa_2(\mathcal{F}^K).$$

In particular, this implies that  $c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K))$  remains of Hodge-type  $(2, 2)$  over every generic twistor deformation in  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ . Hence, for any quadruple  $(X_1, \eta_1, X_2, \eta_2) \in \mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  there exists a twisted locally free sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  over  $X_1 \times X_2$  for which the Azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{E}nd(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is a deformation of  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}^K)$ , by [Mar21b, Proposition 5.19]. Note that [Mar21b, Lemma 5.15] implies that any two points of  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  are connected by a generic twistor path. This in turn implies that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a deformation of  $\mathcal{F}^K$ .  $\square$

We can now prove our main theorem:

**Theorem 6.14.** *Assume Conjecture 2.10. Let  $Y$  be a Kummer-type IHSM of dimension  $4d$ . Then the Lefschetz standard conjecture holds for  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\psi$  the rational Hodge isometry associated to Magni's derived equivalence

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}^K} : D^b(Kum_n(A)) \longrightarrow D^b(Kum_n(\hat{A})).$$

Pick markings  $\eta_i$  such that  $(Kum_n(A), \eta_1)$  and  $(Kum_n(\hat{A}), \eta_2)$  lie in the same connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi$ . By Proposition 6.13, there exists a sheaf

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \Pi_1^* \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0} \Pi_2^* \mathcal{Y},$$

which restricts to the object  $\mathcal{F}^K \in D^b(Kum_n(A) \times Kum_n(\hat{A}))$  over the quadruple

$$(Kum_n(A), \eta, Kum_n(\hat{A}), \hat{\eta}),$$

and there exists a reduced connected projective curve  $C$  containing the points  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that the sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  is flat over  $\Pi_1^* \mathcal{Y} \times_C \Pi_2^* \mathcal{Y}$ . For  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  a product of Kummer type IHSMs in  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$ , there is a flat deformation  $\mathcal{G}$  to  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  such that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a derived equivalence  $D^b(Y_1) \rightarrow D^b(Y_2)$  and such that  $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{G})$  deforms in this direction, by Proposition 6.13. Furthermore,  $\kappa(\mathcal{G})$  is the parallel transport of  $\kappa(\mathcal{F}^K)$  along the curve  $C$ . Hence, the result follows from Corollary 5.17, and the fact that any two points in a connected component  $\mathfrak{M}_\psi^0$  can be connected by a finite sequence of generic twistor paths.  $\square$

## 7. REMARKS ON FURTHER PROGRESS ON THE STANDARD CONJECTURES FOR KUMMER-TYPE IHSMs

**Remark 7.1.** Note that after assuming Conjecture 2.10, if we combine Theorem 6.14 with the main theorem of [Fos24] yields the Lefschetz standard conjecture for IHSMs of generalized Kummer type of dimension  $2n \geq 8$  in the following degrees:

$$\begin{cases} \text{all,} & n \text{ even} \\ < n + 1, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 7.2.** Flocarri's work in [Flo23] demonstrates the Hodge and Tate conjectures for sixfold IHSMs of generalized Kummer deformation type. This implies the case where  $n = 3$ , as the Hodge classes in the diagonal can be used to construct the algebraic cycle  $Z$ . Flocarri also proves the Hodge and Tate conjectures when  $n = 2$ , but note that this case the standard conjectures also follow from the main theorem of [Fos24].

The integer  $n$  being even is a necessary condition for the existence of derived equivalences of generalized Kummer varieties  $D^b(Kum_n(A)) \rightarrow D^b(Kum_n(\hat{A}))$  that arise from equivariant derived equivalences  $D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(\hat{A})$  (see [Yan25]). As a result, we believe that new ideas will be necessary to construct derived equivalences between generalized Kummer varieties. These derived equivalences do not arise from lifting derived equivalences of Abelian varieties.

One possible future avenue would be to discover new examples of derived autoequivalences of generalized Kummer varieties whose induced Hodge isometry on total cohomology is degree reversing. Such examples follow from work in the  $K3^{[2]}$  deformation type case in [Add16] and in the Kummer fourfold case in [Mea12]. However, the result is based on the fact that a particular twisted relative extension sheaf constructed in [Mar20] provides a derived equivalence in the four-dimensional case. It is currently unknown if such examples exist for higher dimensional  $K3^{[n]}$ -type hyper-Kähler varieties. For Kummer-type hyper-Kählers, Remark 7.1 indicates that the first case that would lead to new cases of the standard conjectures is dimension 10.

## REFERENCES

- [ACLS23] G. Ancona, M. Cavicchi, R. Laterveer, and G. Saccà, *Relative and absolute Lefschetz standard conjectures for some Lagrangian fibrations*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.00978>, 2023.
- [Add16] N. Addington, *New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties*, Alg. Geom. **3** (2016), no. 2, 223–260.
- [And04] Y. André, *Une introduction aux motifs (motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes)*, vol. 17 in Panoramas et Synthèses [Panoramas and Syntheses], Société Mathématique de France, 2004.
- [Ara06] D. Arapura, *Motivation for Hodge cycles*, Adv. Math. **207** (2006), no. 2, 762–781.
- [Art89] V. I. Artamkin, *On deformations of sheaves*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **32** (1989), no. 3, 663–668.
- [Bea83] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 755–782.
- [Bec23] T. Beckmann, *Derived categories of hyper-Kähler manifolds: Extended Mukai vector and integral structure*, Compositio Mathematica **159** (2023), no. 1, 109–152.
- [BF03] O. Buchweitz and H. Flenner, *A semiregularity map for modules and applications to deformations*, Compositio Mathematica **137** (2003), 135–210.
- [BKR12] T. Bridgeland, A. King, and M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*, Séminaire Bourbaki **2010/2011** (2012), no. 348, 375–403.
- [BL94] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [CM13] F. Charles and E. Markman, *The standard conjectures for holomorphic symplectic varieties deformation equivalent to Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 3, 481–494.
- [C00] A. Căldăraru, *Derived categories of twisted sheaves on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 2000.
- [dJP22] A. J. de Jong and A. Perry, *The period-index problem and Hodge theory*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.12971>, 2022.
- [Flo23] S. Flocarri, *The Hodge and Tate conjectures for hyper-Kähler sixfolds of generalized Kummer type*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2308.02267>, 2023.
- [Fos24] J. Foster, *The Lefschetz standard conjectures for IHSMs of generalized Kummer deformation type in certain degrees*, European Journal of Mathematics **10** (2024), no. 34.
- [Gro69] A. Grothendieck, *Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles*, Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968), Oxford University Press, London, 1969.
- [Hai99] M. Haiman, *MacDonald positivity and geometry*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **38** (1999), 207–254.
- [Hai01] ———, *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs, and the MacDonald positivity conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 4, 941–1006.
- [Hua21] S. Huang, *A note on a question of Markman*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), no. 9.

- [Huy99] D. Huybrechts, *Compact Hyperkähler Manifolds: Basic results*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 1, 63–113.
- [Huy06] ———, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in Algebraic Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Huy12] ———, *A global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds [after M. Verbitsky]*, Séminaire Bourbaki **2010/2011** (2012), no. 348, 375–403.
- [Kle68] S. Kleiman, *Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures*, Dix exposés sur la cohomology des schémas, vol. 3, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 1968, pp. 359–386.
- [Kru18] A. Krug, *Remarks on the derived McKay correspondence for Hilbert schemes of points and tautological bundles*, Math. Ann. **371** (2018), no. 1-2, 461–486.
- [Lie68] D. I. Lieberman, *Higher Picard Varieties*, Am. J. Math. **90** (1968), no. 4, 1165–1199.
- [LL97] E. Looijenga and V. Lunts, *A Lie algebra attached to a projective variety*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), 361–412.
- [Mag22] P. Magni, *Derived equivalences of generalized Kummer varieties*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.11183>, 2022.
- [Mar20] E. Markman, *The Beauville-Bogomolov class as a characteristic class*, J. Alg. Geom. **29** (2020), 199–245.
- [Mar21a] ———, *On the existence of universal families of marked irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds*, Kyoto J. Math. **61** (2021), no. 1, 207–223.
- [Mar21b] ———, *Stable vector bundles on a hyper-kähler manifold with a rank 1 obstruction map are modular*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.13991>, 2021.
- [Mar24] ———, *Rational Hodge isometries of hyper-Kähler varieties of  $K3^{[n]}$ -type are algebraic*, Compositio Mathematica **160** (2024), no. 6, 1261–1303.
- [Mar25] ———, *Cycles on abelian  $2n$ -folds of Weil type from secant sheaves on abelian  $n$ -folds*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.03415>, 2025.
- [Mea12] C. Meachan, *Derived autoequivalences of generalised Kummer varieties*, Math. Res. Let. **22** (2012), no. 4.
- [Muk78] S. Mukai, *Semi-homogeneous vector bundles on an Abelian variety*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), no. 2, 239–272.
- [Muk84] ———, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of stable sheaves on an abelian or  $K3$  surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 101–116.
- [O’G99] K. O’Grady, *Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a  $K3$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **519** (1999), 49–117.
- [O’G03] ———, *A new six-dimensional irreducible symplectic variety*, J. Alg. Geom. **12** (2003), no. 3, 49–117.
- [O’G22] ———, *Modular sheaves on hyperkähler varieties*, Algebr. Geom. (2022), no. 1, 1–38.
- [O’G24] ———, *Rigid stable rank 4 vector bundles on HK fourfolds of Kummer type*, Épijournal de Géométrie Algébrique (2024), no. 19, 1–51.
- [Orl02] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves on abelian varieties and equivalences between them*, Izv. Math. **66** (2002), no. 3, 569–594.

- [Plo07] D. Ploog, *Equivariant autoequivalences for finite group actions*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), no. 1, 62–74.
- [Pri24] J. Pridham, *Semiregularity as a consequence of Goodwillie’s theorem*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3111v4>, 2024.
- [Tae23] L. Taelman, *Derived equivalences of hyperkähler varieties*, Geom. Top. **27** (2023), 2649–2693.
- [Tod09] Y. Toda, *Deformations and Fourier-Mukai transforms*, J. Differential Geom. **81** (2009), no. 1, 197–224.
- [Ver96] M. Verbitsky, *Cohomology of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds and its applications*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 4, 601–611.
- [Ver99] ———, *Hyper-kähler manifolds*, ch. Hyperholomorphic sheaves and new examples of hyperkaehler manifolds, International Press, Somerville MA, 1999.
- [Ver13] ———, *Mapping class group and a global Torelli theorem for hyper-Kähler manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), 2929–2986.
- [Voi03] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [Voi16] ———, *Open Problems in Mathematics*, pp. 521–543, Springer, 2016.
- [Yan25] Y. Yang, *Lifting derived equivalences of Abelian surfaces to generalized Kummer varieties*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.11358>, 2025.
- [Yos01] K. Yoshioka, *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on Abelian surfaces*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), no. 4, 817–884.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OR, 97403, USA

*Email address:* jrfos@uoregon.edu