

Human-Centred Evaluation of Text-to-Image Generation Models for Self-expression of Mental Distress: A Dataset Based on GPT-4o

Sui He¹ and Shenbin Qian²

¹ School of Culture and Communication, Swansea University, United Kingdom

² Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Norway
sui.he@swansea.ac.uk, shenbinq@ifi.uio.no

Abstract

Effective communication is central to achieving positive healthcare outcomes in mental health contexts, yet international students often face linguistic and cultural barriers that hinder their communication of mental distress. In this study, we evaluate the effectiveness of AI-generated images in supporting self-expression of mental distress. To achieve this, twenty Chinese international students studying at UK universities were invited to describe their personal experiences of mental distress. These descriptions were elaborated using GPT-4o with four persona-based prompt templates rooted in contemporary counselling practice to generate corresponding images. Participants then evaluated the helpfulness of generated images in facilitating the expression of their feelings based on their original descriptions. The resulting dataset comprises 100 textual descriptions of mental distress, 400 generated images, and corresponding human evaluation scores. Findings indicate that prompt design substantially affects perceived helpfulness, with the *illustrator* persona achieving the highest ratings. This work introduces the first publicly available text-to-image evaluation dataset with human judgment scores in the mental health domain, offering valuable resources for image evaluation, reinforcement learning with human feedback, and multi-modal research on mental health communication.

Keywords: Text-to-Image Generation, Human Generated & Evaluated Dataset, Mental Health, Self-Expression

1. Introduction

Compared to texts, visual media can evoke stronger emotional resonance and provide a more accessible channel for self-expression when communicating with others (Shojaei et al., 2025; Xu et al., 2025). In mental health contexts, visual and multi-modal forms of expression, such as drawings or photographs have been proven helpful for individuals to externalise complex feelings and communicate experiences that are difficult to verbalise (Taylor et al., 2013; Lam et al., 2022). Recent advances in text-to-image (T2I) generation models (Ramesh et al., 2021; Esser et al., 2024; Labs et al., 2025) extend this potential, enabling individuals to transform personal narratives into expressive and meaningful images with minimum technical expertise.

In this broader context, international students represent a particularly vulnerable group who could benefit from such multi-modal support. Those studying in the UK, especially the vast majority with East Asian backgrounds, can face substantial challenges in expressing their feelings and/or describing their symptoms to the others, hindered by barriers imposed by their cross-cultural and inter-lingual life experiences (Hyun et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2020). Research consistently shows that these barriers can lead to delayed or inadequate access to mental health support and, in severe cases, heightened the risks of isolation, academic decline, or even self-harm (Clough et al., 2019; Lazard et al.,

2016; Magnusdottir and Thornicroft, 2022). Empowering these students with multi-modal tools for self-expression can therefore help bridge communicative gaps, enabling more effective sharing of emotional experiences with peers, counsellors, or care providers who either do not share the same mother tongue or a similar lived experience.

Despite growing interest in the use of generative AI for emotional communication (Hu et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2025), there remains a lack of systematically constructed and publicly available datasets that combine: 1) authentic, user-generated descriptions of mental distress, 2) AI-generated images derived from those descriptions, and 3) human evaluation scores reflecting perceived helpfulness of the images for self-expression.

To address this gap, this paper introduces a dataset of 100 descriptions of mental distress, 400 AI-generated images, and 400 categorical evaluation scores collected from 20 Chinese international students at UK universities. An example of the dataset can be seen in Figure 1. The dataset provides a valuable resource for both the language resource and multi-modal communities:

- Benchmarking image evaluation models in alignment with human judgments.
- Serving as human feedback for reinforcement learning to improve text or image generation quality.

Description:

我和其他朋友就像寒冷的天气里，在农场的草垛上，依偎在一起互相取暖的小兔子一样，聚在一起叽叽喳喳讨论自己受到的伤害。

My friends and I are like little rabbits huddling together for warmth on a haystack in the cold — chattering away, sharing the hurts we've been through.



Template 1: basic
Evaluation score: 4

Template 2: illustrator
Evaluation score: 6



Template 3: photographer
Evaluation score: 2

Template 4: creative artist
Evaluation score: 2

Figure 1: An example of our dataset: textual descriptions, images generated by GPT-4o and their evaluation scores. The participant described a sad experience in Chinese using a metaphor. We used the four prompt templates to ask GPT-4o to generate images, which were given categorical scores by the participant for evaluating their helpfulness.

- Supporting future studies on multi-modal communication and ethical AI in sensitive domains such as mental health.

Our main contributions are as follows:

- **Evaluation of text-to-image generation for self-expression of mental health communication.** We conduct a systematic evaluation of GPT-4o generated images based on participants' original descriptions, including both human and automatic assessments.
- **Persona-based prompt templates rooted in the current counselling practices.** We design four prompt templates (i.e., basic, illustrator, photographer, creative artist) and identify which styles best align with human perceptions of helpfulness.
- **A novel dataset release¹.** We release a dataset of textual descriptions, generated images, and human evaluation scores. To our knowledge, this is the first dataset in the mental health domain that provides text-to-image output with accompanying human judgments.

¹<https://anonymous.4open.science/r/T2I-Eval4MH-EA52/>

2. Research Background

When language proves insufficient, individuals often turn to visual forms of self-expression such as drawing, collage, and photography. In therapeutic contexts, art therapy and other creative practices have long been recognised for facilitating self-expression, enabling patients to externalise abstract emotions hence enhancing their communication of mental distress (Shojaei et al., 2025; Xu et al., 2025). Visual materials can also lower the emotional threshold for disclosure by offering a less confrontational way to articulate distress (Brencio, 2022). However, these traditional approaches require time, artistic skills, and access to resources, which not all help-seekers can afford. This limits their accessibility, especially for international students, who are usually young adults facing challenging situations in their host countries.

Recent advances in generative AI, particularly T2I generation models, have significantly lowered the entry level for visual creation, allowing users to produce expressive images within seconds and with minimum artistic skills. Though relatively small in number, studies to date indicate that AI-generated images can support both patients and therapists. For example, participants in Zhang et al. (2025) reported that such images helped them articulate emotions, while Jütte et al. (2024) observed growing optimism among therapists regarding the potential of using AI-generated images in art therapy. Hu et al. (2024) further show that AI imagery can facilitate the externalisation of negative emotions in digital mental health services.

Despite the promising note that AI-generated images have shown in these studies, this topic remains underexplored, comparing to the use of other modes of communication in mental health settings. A recent review found that only 9% of generative AI applications in healthcare involve image generation, compared with 73% for text and 20% for audio (Xian et al., 2024), not to mention the fact that empirical research on T2I generation tools for mental health is scarce to find. This highlights the novelty and urgency of exploring multi-modal AI for sensitive contexts such as self-expression of mental distress.

3. Methodology

We conducted a three-stage project consisting of 1) elicitation of mental distress descriptions via in-depth semi-structure interviews, 2) elaboration of solicited descriptions using four prompt templates and image generation, and 3) image evaluation.

3.1. Stage 1: Mental Distress Description

To collect genuine descriptions from our target group, we recruited 20 Chinese international students currently studying at UK universities with self-reported experience of mental distress during their time in the UK. Semi-structured interviews were conducted online in Chinese, recorded using Zoom², and transcribed manually. Ethical approval was received from the university’s ethics committee prior to participant recruitment (see Appendix 7.2 for more details). Each transcript was manually screened to select five key descriptions (100 in total) by the linguist who conducted the interviews based on their contextual knowledge and linguistic knowledge, which served as the textual inputs for image generation in Stage 2.

3.2. Stage 2: Image Generation

We conducted the image generation process step by step using the Chain-of-Thought prompting method (Wei et al., 2022). First, we designed four prompt templates to guide GPT-4o elaborating the descriptions for visually detailed prompts to generate images: a basic prompt, and three persona-based prompts inspired by the popular concepts rooted in the studies of art therapy (**illustrator**, **photographer**, **creative artist**; see Appendix 7.1). Then, the elaborations were used in GPT-4o to generate the final images (1024×1024). Both steps were carried out using ChatGPT³ under the default hyperparameter setting. In total, 400 images were generated.

3.3. Stage 3: Image Evaluation

We conducted both human and automatic evaluation for the generated images. For human evaluation, participants in Stage 1 were invited to evaluate the images generated for each one of them through an online questionnaire. In the questionnaire, participants were asked to score the images in terms of the helpfulness of these images in facilitating their self-expression when communicating with others. We utilised Gorilla⁴, an online platform to help pair textual descriptions with generated images for the convenience of image evaluation. Contextual information of each description was also provided on screen to help the participants recall their memory. Automatic evaluation, focusing on semantic alignment, was carried out as a complement to participants’ evaluation on perceived helpfulness of these images.

²<https://www.zoom.com/>

³<https://chatgpt.com/>

⁴<https://gorilla.sc/>

Human Evaluation: Each image was rated on a categorical 4-point scale (0 = *not helpful*, 2 = *slightly helpful*, 4 = *helpful*, 6 = *very helpful*), following the contrastive preference optimisation framework introduced by Xu et al. (2024). For each description, participants also selected the single “best” image from the four generated versions corresponding to the four prompt templates to reveal the effectiveness of the prompts. Along with the “best” image selection, a text-box was given to participants to allow them to explain the logic of scoring and their best image choices.

Automatic Evaluation: We additionally computed similarity scores between the elaborations created using different prompt templates and the generated images, based on the embeddings from BLIP-2 (Li et al., 2023) as a quantitative complement to human evaluation. We used Spearman’s ρ (Spearman, 1904) and Kendall’s τ (Kendall, 1938) to measure the correlation between human and automatic evaluation scores.

4. Results

This section summarises our evaluation results. In Section 4.1, we demonstrate the effectiveness of our prompt templates. Section 4.2 then reports the overall findings from the human evaluation, followed by Section 4.3, which compares the results of human and automatic evaluations.

4.1. Effectiveness of Prompt Templates




Figure 2: Degree of helpfulness of different prompt templates

Figure 2 shows the number of images that were rated as different degrees (categories) of helpfulness for each prompt template. It is shown that *slightly helpful* and *helpful* accounted for the largest percentage across all prompt templates.

For the *very helpful* category (6), using the ‘Illustrator’ prompt led to the largest amount (n=17) of high image rate, whereas for the *not helpful* category (0), the ‘Photographer’ and ‘Creative Artist’ prompts received the largest amount (n=31).

Figure 3 presents the total helpfulness scores for each prompt template by summing up the score of each image generated by these prompts. Among them, the ‘illustrator’ prompt achieved the highest total human evaluation score (284). The basic prompt followed (252), with creative artist (218) and photographer (210) scored lower overall. Despite its lower aggregate performance, the creative artist prompt was found more effective by some participants in specific cases where the original descriptions included metaphorical or concrete imagery. For instance, a participant described their feelings about living in the UK as “a little goldfish in a small tank”. The creative artist image received a score of 4 and was identified as their “best” image.




Figure 3: Total helpfulness scores for each prompt templates




Figure 4: The number of “best” images selected by participants for the four prompt templates

4.2. Overall Image Evaluation

Across all 400 images, participants gave 44% of images as *slightly helpful* (2) and 27% as *helpful* (4). The mean helpfulness score was 2.4 (somewhere between *slightly helpful* and *helpful*), indicating a positive effect of the generated images.

Figure 4 displays the number of “best” images selected by participants for the four prompt templates. Out of 100 “best image” selections, 31% were generated by the ‘illustrator’ prompt, 27% by ‘basic’, 22% by ‘photographer’, and 20% by ‘creative artist’, suggesting again that the ‘illustrator’ prompt helps generate images rated higher by our participants.

Analyses of the participants’ image scoring logic collected from the text-box suggest that cognitive and emotional alignment of how well the image captured participants’ emotional states, sense of self, or inner thoughts are the most significant consideration, as most comments related to a high score or the best image choice indicate a good match.

4.3. Human vs. Automatic Evaluation

Figure 5 shows the similarity scores calculated using BLIP-2 embeddings for images generated with the four prompt templates. We can see that the results are different from human evaluation. BLIP-2 based similarity scores prefer the ‘basic’ prompt as images generated by this prompt have higher average score and the least outliers compared with other prompts. The Spearman and Kendall correlation scores, 0.0271 and 0.0201 also suggest that there is almost no correlation between human and automatic evaluation scores.




Figure 5: Similarity scores calculated using BLIP-2 embeddings for images generated based on the four prompt templates

This is mainly because similarity scores focus on semantic similarity between the text and the image, while human evaluation assesses to what extent the images can help express the feelings of mental distress. This difference in human and automatic

evaluation highlights the value of human evaluation in contexts where emotional nuance is central to the practical function of models, and at the same time the necessity of having a novel automatic metric considering this specific application scenario. Our dataset can serve as a starting point for this endeavour.

5. Conclusion

This paper presents a novel dataset of 100 textual descriptions of mental distress, 400 AI-generated images, and corresponding human evaluation scores. Results indicate that although AI-generated images are by large helpful for facilitating self-expression of mental distress, prompt design can also affect their helpfulness, with the illustrator-style prompt performing best overall. This dataset provides a valuable resource for multi-modal evaluation and offers insights into how AI-generated imagery may be used to support self-expression in sensitive contexts such as mental health. For future work, we plan to conduct a large-scale human evaluation of written descriptions with comprehensive evaluation schemes in the domain of mental health through questionnaires, constructing a larger benchmark dataset for evaluating the image generation abilities of existing text-to-image generation models in producing images depicting mental distress in healthcare settings.

6. Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all the Chinese students for their participation, support, and interest in our study.

7. Supplementary Materials

7.1. Appendix for Prompt Templates

7.1.1. Basic Prompt

Step 1: Elaboration The following task involves generating elaborations—detailed descriptions that will be used as prompts for text-to-image generation. These images are intended to support mental health communication by helping international students, whose first language is not English, express complex mental health feelings to English-speaking help-providers.

Generate an elaboration based on the original description: [SENTENCE].

Keep it concise but rich in visual cues. If applicable, depict the main character as female unless stated otherwise⁵.

⁵Most of our participants are female. For the only male participant, we changed the prompt to ask GPT-4o to depict the main character as male.

Step 2: Create an image (Resolution to be 1024 × 1024): [Elaboration]

7.1.2. Illustrator Prompt

Step 1: Elaboration The following task involves generating elaborations—detailed descriptions that will be used as prompts for text-to-image generation. These images are intended to support mental health communication by helping international students, whose first language is not English, express complex mental health feelings to English-speaking help-providers.

You are a professional **illustrator**. Generate an elaboration based on the original description: [SENTENCE].

Include the following aspects:

- Content (what is shown)
- Style (illustration type)
- Colour (emotional tone or symbolism)
- Theme (main narrative or metaphor)
- Composition (visual layout or balance)

Keep it concise but rich in visual cues. If applicable, depict the main character as female unless stated otherwise.

Step 2: Create an image (Resolution to be 1024 × 1024): [Elaboration]

7.1.3. Photographer Prompt

Step 1: Elaboration The following task involves generating elaborations—detailed descriptions that will be used as prompts for text-to-image generation. These images are intended to support mental health communication by helping international students, whose first language is not English, express complex mental health feelings to English-speaking help-providers.

You are a professional **photographer**. Generate an elaboration based on the original description: [SENTENCE].

Include the following aspects:

- Content (what is captured)
- Theme (main narrative or metaphor)
- Camera View (angle, distance, or perspective)
- Lighting (mood, source, or intensity)

Keep it concise but rich in visual cues. If applicable, depict the main character as female unless stated otherwise.

Step 2: Create an image (Resolution to be 1024 × 1024): [Elaboration]

7.1.4. Creative Artist Prompt

Step 1: Elaboration The following task involves generating elaborations—detailed descriptions that

will be used as prompts for text-to-image generation. These images are intended to support mental health communication by helping international students, whose first language is not English, express complex mental health feelings to English-speaking help-providers.

You are a **creative artist**. Generate an elaboration based on the original description: [SENTENCE].

Include the following aspects:

- Content (what is depicted)
- Style (artistic approach)
- Theme (main narrative or metaphor)
- Mood (overall tone or atmosphere)

Include one unexpected or surreal element to spark creative interpretation.

Keep it concise but rich in visual cues. If applicable, depict the main character as female unless stated otherwise.

Step 2: Create an image (Resolution to be 1024 × 1024): [Elaboration]

7.2. Ethical considerations and limitations

Ethical considerations Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the university (approval number XXX, anonymised for review). All identifiable information was removed after the study, and personal data in the released dataset were fully anonymised. Data processing and management were conducted in accordance with GDPR guidelines.

Limitations While this study demonstrates the potential of generative AI in supporting self-expression of mental distress, several limitations should be acknowledged. The participant group was relatively small and demographically specific, comprising 20 Chinese international students in the UK, which may limit the generalisability of findings to other cultural or linguistic groups. The use of static images captured only a single moment of expression, potentially overlooking the temporal or narrative aspects of emotional experience. In addition, the quality and resonance of generated images were influenced by both the concreteness of verbal descriptions and GPT-4o's inherent interpretive biases. Finally, technical and ethical constraints, such as the model's safety filtering and participants' varying familiarity with AI tools, may have shaped both image outputs and evaluation outcomes. Future work should expand the sample, include more diverse populations and modalities (e.g., video or interactive media), and explore real-time or participatory co-creation processes to enhance ecological validity.

8. Bibliographical References

- Francesca Brencio. 2022. [From words to worlds. how metaphors and language shape mental health](#). In Shyam Wuppuluri and A. C. Grayling, editors, *Metaphors and Analogies in Sciences and Humanities: Words and Worlds*, pages 319–337. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Bonnie A. Clough, Sonia M. Nazareth, Jamin J. Day, and Leanne M. Casey. 2019. [A comparison of mental health literacy, attitudes, and help-seeking intentions among domestic and international tertiary students](#). *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling*, 47(1):123–135.
- Nicola A. Cogan, X. Liu, Y. Chin-Van Chau, S.W. Kelly, T. Anderson, C. Flynn, L. Scott, A. Zagliis, and P. Corrigan. 2024. [The taboo of mental health problems, stigma and fear of disclosure among asian international students: implications for help-seeking, guidance and support](#). *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling*, 52(4):697–715.
- Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, Dustin Podell, Tim Dockhorn, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Alex Goodwin, Yannik Marek, and Robin Rombach. 2024. [Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Horey D Romios P Horvat, L and J Kis-Rigo. 2014. [Cultural competence education for health professionals](#). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (5).
- Chao Hu, Zhicheng Lin, Ning Zhang, and Li Jun Ji. 2024. [Ai-empowered imagery writing: integrating ai-generated imagery into digital mental health service](#). *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15:01–05.
- Lanxi Huang, Margaret L. Kern, and Lindsay G. Oades. 2020. [Strengthening university student wellbeing: Language and perceptions of chinese international students](#). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(15).
- Jenny Hyun, Brian Quinn, Temina Madon, and Steve Lustig. 2007. Mental health need, awareness, and use of counseling services among international graduate students. *J Am Coll Health*, 56(2):109–118.
- Lennart Jütte, Ning Wang, Martin Steven, and Bernhard Roth. 2024. [Perspectives for generative ai-](#)

- assisted art therapy for melanoma patients. *AI*, 5:1648–1669.
- M. G. Kendall. 1938. [A new measure of rank correlation](#). *Biometrika*, 30(1-2):81–93.
- Black Forest Labs, Stephen Batifol, Andreas Blattmann, Frederic Boesel, Saksham Consul, Cyril Diagne, Tim Dockhorn, Jack English, Zion English, Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Kyle Lacey, Yam Levi, Cheng Li, Dominik Lorenz, Jonas Müller, Dustin Podell, Robin Rombach, Harry Saini, Axel Sauer, and Luke Smith. 2025. [FLUX.1 kontext: Flow matching for in-context image generation and editing in latent space](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Chervin Lam, Zhongwei Huang, and Liang Shen. 2022. [Infographics and the elaboration likelihood model \(elm\): Differences between visual and textual health messages](#). *Journal of Health Communication*, 27(10):737–745. PMID: 36519844.
- Allison J. Lazard, Benita A. Bamgbade, Jennah M. Sontag, and Carolyn Brown. 2016. [Using visual metaphors in health messages: A strategy to increase effectiveness for mental illness communication](#). *Journal of Health Communication*, 21(12):1260–1268. PMID: 27869576.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. 2023. [Blip-2: bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, ICML'23. JMLR.org.
- Erla Magnúsdóttir and Graham Thornicroft. 2022. [Mental health of chinese international students: narrative review of experiences in the uk](#). *NIHR Open Research*, 52:1–18.
- Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. 2021. [Zero-shot text-to-image generation](#). *arXiv preprint*.
- Fereshtehossadat Shojaei, John Osorio Torres, and Patrick C. Shih. 2025. [Exploring the integration of technology in art therapy: Insights from interviews with art therapists](#). *Art Therapy*, 42(2):112–118.
- Charles Spearman. 1904. [The proof and measurement of association between two things](#). *The American Journal of Psychology*, 15:72–101.
- Shena Parthab Taylor, Colette Nicolle, and Martin Maguire. 2013. Cross-cultural communication barriers in health care. *Nurs Stand*, 27(31):35–43.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Brian Ichter, Fei Xia, Ed H. Chi, Quoc V. Le, and Denny Zhou. 2022. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. In *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS '22, Red Hook, NY, USA. Curran Associates Inc.
- Xuechang Xian, Angela Chang, Yu-Tao Xiang, and Matthew Tingchi Liu. 2024. Debate and dilemmas regarding generative AI in mental health care: Scoping review. *Interact J Med Res*, 13:e53672.
- Haoran Xu, Amr Sharaf, Yunmo Chen, Weiting Tan, Lingfeng Shen, Benjamin Van Durme, Kenton Murray, and Young Jin Kim. 2024. Contrastive preference optimization: pushing the boundaries of llm performance in machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, ICML'24. JMLR.org.
- Tian Xu, Sid Cook, Bryan Semaan, and Stephen Volda. 2025. [Expression-in-action and expression-on-action: A systematic review of mediums for expression in mental health](#). In *Proceedings of the 2025 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '25, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Han Zhang, Jiaqi Zhang, Yuxiang Zhou, Ryan Louie, Taewook Kim, Qingyu Guo, Shuailin Li, and Zhenhui Peng. 2025. [Mentalimager: Exploring generative images for assisting support-seekers' self-disclosure in online mental health communities](#). *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 9(2).