

# ASYMPTOTICALLY MAXIMAL SCHUBITOPES

JACK CHEN-AN CHOU AND LINUS SETIABRATA

**ABSTRACT.** We find a layered permutation  $w \in S_n$  whose Schubert polynomial  $\mathfrak{S}_w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has support of size asymptotically at least  $n!/4^n$ . This gives precise asymptotics for the growth rate of  $\beta(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|$ . We find a different layered permutation  $w \in S_n$  whose Grothendieck polynomial has support of size asymptotically at least  $n!/e^{\sqrt{2n \cdot \ln(n)}}$  and obtain more precise asymptotics for the growth rate of  $\beta^\mathfrak{G}(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Schubert polynomials  $\mathfrak{S}_w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , indexed by permutations  $w \in S_n$ , are lifts of Schubert cycles in the cohomology of the flag variety [LS82]. The specialization  $\mathfrak{S}_w(1, \dots, 1)$  is equal to the number of reduced pipe dreams of  $w$ , and has a geometric interpretation as the degree of the matrix Schubert variety of  $w$ . Writing  $u(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} \mathfrak{S}_w(1, \dots, 1)$ , Stanley [Sta17] observed that

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_2(u(n))}{n^2} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_2(u(n))}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and asked whether  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_2(u(n))}{n^2}$  exists, and, if so, what the value of this limit is. His question remains open, but see [MPP19, Gao21, GL24, MPPY25, Zha25] for recent progress on this problem and its variants.

We study the growth rate of the maximal sizes of *supports* of Schubert polynomials. Write  $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n : \mathbf{x}^\alpha \text{ appears in } \mathfrak{S}_w\}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\beta(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n))}{n \ln(n)} = 1.$$

*More precisely,*

$$-\ln(4) - 1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} \leq -1.$$

Theorem 1.1 answers a problem [GL24, Prob 5.5] posed by Guo–Lin. We do not know if the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n}$  exists, nor do we have a conjecture for its value.

The key ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.1 is the observation that a certain *layered* permutation has support of size asymptotically at least  $n!/4^n$ . Layered permutations have previously appeared in Merzon–Smirnov’s conjecture [MS16] that  $\mathfrak{S}_w(1, \dots, 1)$  is maximized at a layered permutation. Guo–Lin conjectured [GL24, Prob 5.3] that there exist permutations simultaneously maximizing  $\mathfrak{S}_w(1, \dots, 1)$  and  $|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|$ .

Grothendieck polynomials  $\mathfrak{G}_w$  are inhomogeneous deformations of Schubert polynomials; they are generating functions for (possibly nonreduced) pipe dreams of  $w$ . We are able to produce a layered permutation with support of size asymptotically at least  $n!/e^{\sqrt{2n \cdot \ln(n)}}$ , i.e., of size  $n!$  up to a subexponential factor. In particular, the maximal sizes of supports of Grothendieck polynomials satisfy the following more precise asymptotics.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\beta^\mathfrak{G}(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta^\mathfrak{G}(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} = -1.$$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank David Anderson, Alejandro Morales, Greta Panova, Alexander Postnikov, and Dora Woodruff for enlightening discussions about Schubitopes, maximizers, and the many little problems in the area.

## 2. BACKGROUND

**Diagrams.** A *diagram* is a subset  $D \subseteq [n] \times [m]$ . We view  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_m)$  as a subset of an  $n \times m$  grid, where  $D_j := \{i \in [n] : (i, j) \in D\}$  records the row indices of boxes in column  $j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The *Rothe diagram*  $D(w)$  of a permutation  $w \in S_n$  is defined to be

$$D(w) = \{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < w^{-1}(j) \text{ and } j < w(i)\}.$$

(See Figure 1, left.)

△




FIGURE 1. The Rothe diagram for  $w = 31542$  on the left, and its upwards closure on the right.

**Definition 2.2.** Given two sets  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_s\}$  and  $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_s\}$  of the same size, we say  $A \leq B$  if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$ . (If the sets  $A$  and  $B$  have different size, then they are incomparable.)

Given two diagrams  $C = (C_1, \dots, C_n)$  and  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$  with the same number of columns, we say  $C \leq D$  if  $C_i \leq D_i$  for all  $i$ . (If the diagrams  $C$  and  $D$  have different numbers of columns, then they are incomparable.)

**Definition 2.3.** The *weight* of a diagram  $C = (C_1, \dots, C_m)$  is the vector whose  $i$ -th coordinate is the number  $\text{wt}(C)_i = \#\{j : i \in C_j\}$  of boxes in the  $i$ -th row.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $D$  be a diagram. The *upwards closure*  $\overline{D}$  of  $D$  is

$$\overline{D} = \{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : (i', j) \in D \text{ for some } i' \geq i\},$$

i.e., the diagram consisting of squares which are above some square in  $D$ . (See Figure 1, right.)

△

**Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials.** For  $i \in [n-1]$ , the *divided difference operator*  $\partial_i : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is given by

$$\partial_i(f) := \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}},$$

where  $s_i$  is the operator switching the variables  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . The *isobaric divided difference operator*  $\bar{\partial}_i : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is defined to be  $\bar{\partial}_i(f) := \partial_i((1 - x_{i+1})f)$ .

The *Schubert polynomial*  $\mathfrak{S}_w(\mathbf{x})$  and *Grothendieck polynomial*  $\mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x})$  of  $w \in S_n$  are defined by the recursions

$$\mathfrak{S}_w(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{n-1} \dots x_{n-1} & \text{if } w = w_0, \\ \partial_i(\mathfrak{S}_{ws_i}(\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \ell(w) < \ell(ws_i), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{G}_w(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{n-1} \dots x_{n-1} & \text{if } w = w_0, \\ \bar{\partial}_i(\mathfrak{G}_{ws_i}(\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \ell(w) < \ell(ws_i). \end{cases}$$

The Schubert polynomial is the lowest degree part of the corresponding Grothendieck polynomial.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the fact that Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials can be computed using pipe dreams. We give an alternate proof to shorten exposition.

**Lemma 2.5.** Any monomial  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$  appearing in a Schubert polynomial  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  or a Grothendieck polynomial  $\mathfrak{G}_w$  divides the staircase monomial  $x_1^{n-1} \dots x_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  is the lowest degree component of  $\mathfrak{G}_w$ , it suffices to show that any monomial appearing in  $\mathfrak{G}_w$  divides  $x_1^{n-1} \dots x_{n-1}$ . By [MSS22, Thm 1.2], any monomial appearing in  $\mathfrak{G}_w$  divides  $\mathbf{x}^{\overline{D(w)}}$ . Because the  $w(i)$ -th column of  $\overline{D(w)}$  is contained in  $\{1, \dots, i-1\}$ , the monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\overline{D(w)}}$  divides  $x_1^{n-1} \dots x_{n-1}$ .  $\square$

The support of a Schubert polynomial can be computed diagrammatically via the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6** ([FMS18, Thm 4]). *For any  $w \in S_n$ , the support of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  is given by*

$$\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w) = \{\text{wt}(C) : C \leq D(w)\}.$$

The Newton polytope of a Schubert polynomial  $\text{conv}(\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w))$  is an example of a *Schubitope*.

**Definition 2.7.** The *Schubitope*  $\mathcal{S}_D$  of a diagram is the convex hull

$$\mathcal{S}_D = \text{conv}(\{\text{wt}(C) : C \leq D\}).$$

$\triangle$

**Lemma 2.8** (cf. [FMS18, Thm 7]). *The set  $\{\text{wt}(C) : C \leq D\}$  is saturated, i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{S}_D \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{\text{wt}(C) : C \leq D\}.$$

**Definition 2.9.** Given integers  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ , the *layered permutation* with block sizes  $(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  is the permutation  $w(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  with one-line notation

$$c_1 (c_1 - 1) \dots 1 c_2 (c_2 - 1) \dots (c_1 + 1) \dots c_m (c_m - 1) \dots (c_{m-1} + 1),$$

where  $c_i := b_1 + \dots + b_i$ .  $\triangle$

**Asymptotics.** We will use the following (crude) estimates for the factorial function, whose proofs we include for completeness.

**Lemma 2.10.** *The inequalities*

$$e \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \leq n! \leq e \left( \frac{n+1}{e} \right)^{n+1}$$

*hold for all positive integers  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Estimate  $\ln(n!) = \sum_{k=1}^n \ln(k)$  by the integrals

$$\int_1^n \ln(x) dx \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \ln(k) \leq \int_1^{n+1} \ln(x) dx.$$

The definite integrals evaluate to  $n \ln(n) - n + 1$  and  $(n+1) \ln(n+1) - (n+1) + 1$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *The inequality*

$$\lfloor k \rfloor! \geq \frac{1}{2k} \left( \frac{k}{e} \right)^k$$

*holds for all real numbers  $k > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.10, compute that

$$\lfloor k \rfloor! \geq \frac{1}{k+1} \lceil k \rceil! \geq \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{\lceil k \rceil^{\lceil k \rceil}}{e^{\lceil k \rceil-1}} \geq \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{k^k}{e^k}.$$

As  $k > 1$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

## 3. A LAYERED PERMUTATION WITH LARGE SCHUBITOPE

Our main technical result is as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $w = w(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  be a layered permutation in  $S_n$ . If  $b_m \leq n/2$ , then*

$$|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)| \geq b_m! \cdot |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_{w'})|, \quad \text{where } w' := w(b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}) \in S_{n-b_m}.$$

Our proof of Theorem 3.1 will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $w \in S_n$  be a layered permutation of the form  $w = w(1, \dots, 1, b_m)$  for  $b_m \leq n/2$ . For any subset  $S \subseteq D(w)$ , there exists a diagram  $C$  satisfying  $C \leq D(w)$  and  $C \cap D(w) = S$ .*

*Proof.* Each column  $D_j$  of  $D(w)$  is of the form  $\{n - b_m + 1, \dots, n - c\}$  for some  $c \geq 1$ . As  $|D_j| \leq b_m - 1 < n - b_m$ , it follows that for any subset  $S_j$  of  $D_j$  there exists a set  $C_j \leq D_j$  with  $C_j \cap D_j = S_j$ . Applying this column by column to  $D(w)$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* For each of the  $b_m!$  many vectors  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{b_m}$  satisfying  $(0, \dots, 0) \leq \alpha \leq (b_m - 1, b_m - 2, \dots, 1, 0)$ , we construct an embedding  $i_\alpha: \mathcal{S}_{D(w')} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{D(w)}$  so that  $\text{img}(i_\alpha) \cap \text{img}(i_{\alpha'}) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ .

Write  $w_{\text{LB}}$  for the layered permutation  $w(1, \dots, 1, b_m) \in S_n$ ; the Rothe diagram  $D(w_{\text{LB}})$  has boxes only in columns  $\{n - b_m + 1, \dots, n\}$ , and is in particular equal to the “southeasternmost block” of  $D(w)$ . Furthermore,

$$D(w)_j = \begin{cases} D(w')_j & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, n - b_m\} \\ D(w_{\text{LB}})_j & \text{if } j \in \{n - b_m + 1, \dots, n\}, \end{cases}$$

so in particular a diagram  $C = (C_1, \dots, C_n)$  satisfies  $C \leq D(w)$  if and only if the diagrams  $C' := (C_1, \dots, C_{n-b_m})$  and  $C_{\text{LB}} := (C_{n-b_m+1}, \dots, C_n)$  satisfy  $C' \leq D(w')$  and  $C_{\text{LB}} \leq D(w_{\text{LB}})$ .

For each  $\alpha$  satisfying  $(0, \dots, 0) \leq \alpha \leq (b_m - 1, b_m - 2, \dots, 1)$ , use Lemma 3.2 to choose a diagram  $C_\alpha \leq D(w_{\text{LB}})$  whose weight satisfies  $\text{wt}(C_\alpha)_{n-b_i+j} = \alpha_j$ . Then define the map

$$i_\alpha: \mathcal{S}_{D(w')} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{D(w)} \\ \text{wt}(C') \mapsto \text{wt}((C', C_\alpha));$$

the map  $i_\alpha$  is well-defined and injective because it is in fact the translation map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma + \text{wt}(C_\alpha)$ . Furthermore, any  $\gamma' \in \mathcal{S}_{D(w')}$  satisfies  $\gamma'_{n-b_m+j} = 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$ , so any vector  $\gamma \in \text{img}(i_\alpha)$  satisfies  $\gamma_{n-b_m+j} = \alpha_j$ . In particular, the  $\text{img}(i_\alpha)$  are disjoint subsets of  $\mathcal{S}_{D(w)}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Fix an integer  $n \geq 3$  and let  $c := \max\{k: \lfloor n/2^k \rfloor \geq 1\}$  and  $d := n - \sum_{k=1}^c \lfloor n/2^k \rfloor$ . Let  $w$  be the layered permutation with blocks of size*

$$\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d \text{ many}}, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^c} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^{c-1}} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Then

$$|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)| \geq \prod_{k=1}^c \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^k} \right\rfloor!.$$

In particular,

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|) \geq \left( n - \frac{1}{\ln(2)} \ln(n) - 2 \right) \ln(n) - n \ln(4) - (n - 2).$$

*Proof.* From the inequality

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^k} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{n}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2} \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{n}{2^i} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \right\rfloor \right),$$

Theorem 3.1 may be repeatedly applied to the layered permutation  $w$  to obtain

$$|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)| \geq \prod_{k=1}^c \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^k} \right\rfloor!.$$

Lemma 2.11 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad \prod_{k=1}^c \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^k} \right\rfloor! &\geq \prod_{k=1}^c \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{n} \left( \frac{n}{2^k e} \right)^{\frac{n}{2^k}} \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{n^c} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{k=1}^c \left( \frac{1}{2^k} \right)^{\frac{n}{2^k}}}_{\geq 2^{-2n}} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{k=1}^c \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^{\frac{n}{2^k}}}_{\geq \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^{n-2}} \\
 &\geq \frac{n^{n-c-2}}{4^n \cdot e^{n-2}} \\
 &\geq \frac{n^{n-\log_2(n)-2}}{4^n \cdot e^{n-2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the estimates in (\*) follow from

$$\sum_{k=1}^c k \cdot \frac{n}{2^k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot \frac{n}{2^k} = 2n$$

and

$$\frac{n}{2} + \cdots + \frac{n}{2^c} = n - \frac{n}{2^c} \geq n - 2.$$

□

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\beta(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n))}{n \ln(n)} = 1.$$

More precisely,

$$-\ln(4) - 1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} \leq -1.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Lemma 2.5, the inequality

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|) \leq \ln(n!) \leq (n+1) \ln(n+1) - n$$

holds for all  $w \in S_n$ . By Corollary 3.3, there exists  $w \in S_n$  with

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)|) \geq \left( n - \frac{1}{\ln(2)} \ln(n) - 2 \right) \ln(n) - n \ln(4) - (n-2).$$

The inequalities

$$-\ln(4) - 1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} \leq -1$$

follow.

□

#### 4. GROTHENDIECK POLYNOMIALS WITH LARGER SUPPORT

A permutation is called *fireworks* if initial elements of decreasing runs are increasing. Layered permutations are, in particular, fireworks.

The support of fireworks Grothendieck polynomials is given by the following formula.

**Proposition 4.1** ([CS25, Thm 1.1]). Let  $w \in S_n$  be a fireworks permutation. Then

$$\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}_w)} [\alpha, \text{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Fix an integer  $n$ , let  $k$  be the unique integer so that  $\binom{k+1}{2} \leq n < \binom{k+2}{2}$ , and let  $b := n - \sum_{i=1}^k i$ . Let  $w$  be the layered permutation with blocks of size  $1, 2, \dots, k, b$ . Then

$$|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)| \geq \frac{n!}{n^{k+1}}.$$

In particular,

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|) \geq n \ln(n) - n - (\sqrt{2n} + 1) \ln(n)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{c} = \text{wt}(D(w))$  denote the Lehmer code of  $w$ , and let  $\mathbf{d} = \text{wt}(\overline{D(w)})$ . Proposition 4.1 implies that  $[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}] \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)$ , so

$$(1) \quad |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)| \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (d_i - c_i + 1).$$

For  $i \in [k]$ , the  $i$ -th block of  $w$  contributes to  $\overline{D(w)} \setminus D(w)$  a rectangle consisting of  $i - 1$  columns with boxes in rows  $\{1, 2, \dots, \binom{i}{2}\}$ , and (when  $b \neq 0$ ) the  $(k + 1)$ -th block of  $w$  contributes to  $\overline{D(w)} \setminus D(w)$  a rectangle consisting of  $b - 1$  columns with boxes in rows  $\{1, 2, \dots, \binom{k+1}{2}\}$ . Hence,  $\overline{D(w)} \setminus D(w)$  has  $j$  rows of size  $j + (j + 1) + \dots + (k - 1) + (b - 1)$  for all  $j \leq k - 1$  and (when  $b \neq 0$ )  $k$  rows of size  $b - 1$ . Ignoring the contribution of the  $k$  rows of size  $b - 1$ , Equation (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)| &\geq \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( n - k - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i \right)^j \\ &\geq (n - k - 1)! \\ &\geq \frac{n!}{n^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality  $\binom{k+1}{2} \leq n$  gives  $k \leq \sqrt{2n}$ , and hence

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|) \geq \ln \left( \frac{n!}{n^{\sqrt{2n}+1}} \right) \geq n \ln(n) - n - (\sqrt{2n} + 1) \ln(n)$$

□

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\beta^{\mathfrak{G}}(n) := \max_{w \in S_n} |\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\beta^{\mathfrak{G}}(n)) - n \ln(n)}{n} = -1.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By Lemma 2.5, the inequality

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|) \leq \ln(n!) \leq (n + 1) \ln(n + 1) - n$$

holds for all  $w \in S_n$ . By Proposition 4.2, there exists  $w \in S_n$  with

$$\ln(|\text{supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)|) \geq n \ln(n) - n - (\sqrt{2n} + 1) \ln(n).$$

The claim follows. □

## REFERENCES

- [CS25] Jack C. A. Chou and Linus Setiabrata, *Newton polytopes of fireworks Grothendieck polynomials* (2025), available at [arXiv:2508.09107](https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.09107).
- [FMS18] Alex Fink, Karola Mészáros, and Avery St. Dizier, *Schubert polynomials as integer point transforms of generalized permutahedra*, Adv. Math. **332** (2018), 465–475.
- [Gao21] Yibo Gao, *Principal specializations of Schubert polynomials and pattern containment*, Eur. J. Combin. **94** (2021), Paper No. 103291.
- [GL24] Peter Guo and Zhuowei Lin, *Schubert polynomials and patterns in permutations* (2024), available at [arXiv:2412.02932](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.02932).
- [LS82] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger, *Polynômes de Schubert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **294** (1982), no. 13, 447–450.

- [MPP19] Alejandro H. Morales, Igor Pak, and Greta Panova, *Asymptotics of principal evaluations of Schubert polynomials for layered permutations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 4, 1377–1389.
- [MPPY25] Alejandro H. Morales, Greta Panova, Leonid Petrov, and Damir Yeliussizov, *Grothendieck shenanigans: Permutons from pipe dreams via integrable probability*, Adv. Math. **383** (2025), Paper No. 107669.
- [MS16] Grigory Merzon and Evgeny Smirnov, *Determinantal identities for flagged Schur and Schubert polynomials*, Eur. J. Math. **2** (2016), no. 1, 227–245.
- [MSS22] Karola Mészáros, Linus Setiabrata, and Avery St. Dizier, *An orthodontia formula for Grothendieck polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 2, 1281–1303.
- [Sta17] Richard P. Stanley, *Some Schubert shenanigans* (2017), available at [arXiv:1704.00851](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.00851).
- [Zha25] Ningxin Zhang, *Principal specializations of Schubert polynomials, multi-layered permutations and asymptotics*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **163** (2025), Paper No. 102806, 19.

JACK CHEN-AN CHOU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611.

*Email address:* `c.chou@ufl.edu`

LINUS SETIABRATA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139.

*Email address:* `setia@mit.edu`