

# INTRINSIC TENSOR PRODUCTS AND A GANEA-TYPE EXTENSION OF THE FIVE-TERM EXACT SEQUENCE

BO SHAN DEVAL, MANFRED HARTL, AND TIM VAN DER LINDEN

*Dedicated to Trueman MacHenry*

**ABSTRACT.** We establish a right-exactness theorem for the cross-effects of bifunctors, and consequently for cosmack products, in Janelidze–Márki–Tholen semi-abelian categories. This result motivates an intrinsic definition of a bilinear product, a tensor-like operation on objects of a category, constructed in terms of limits and colimits. Given two objects in the category, their bilinear product is the abelian object obtained as the cosmack product in the category of two-nilpotent objects of the reflections of these objects. In many concrete cases, this operation, applied to a pair of abelian objects, captures a classical tensor product. We explain this by proving a recognition theorem, which states that any symmetric, bi-cocontinuous bifunctor on an abelian variety of algebras can be recovered as the bilinear product within a suitable semi-abelian variety, namely of algebras over a certain 2-nilpotent operad. In other words, the extra structure carried by such a bifunctor on the abelian variety (for instance, a tensor product, known in the literature) is encoded by means of a surrounding semi-abelian variety whose abelian core is the original variety.

We illustrate the construction with several examples, develop its basic properties, and compare it to the semi-abelian analogue of the Brown–Loday non-abelian tensor product. As an application, we present a categorical version of Ganea’s six-term exact homology sequence. Finally, we characterise abelian extensions via internal action cores, yielding explicit descriptions of cosmack products and bilinear products in certain categories of representations.

## INTRODUCTION

In a pointed variety of universal algebras  $\mathbb{V}$ , let the expression  $t(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  denote a term with distinct variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Let  $0$  denote the unique constant in the theory of  $\mathbb{V}$ . In particular, this implies that  $t(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ . We say that

---

*Date:* 4th December 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10, 18E13, 18G50, 18M05, 18M70, 20J05.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebra over a nilpotent operad; algebraic coherence; central extension; crossed module; cosmack product; Ganea term; homology; internal action; Kronecker sum; local algebraic cartesian closedness; nilpotent object; non-abelian tensor product; representation; semi-abelian category.

The first author’s research is supported by a grant of the Fund for Research Training in Industry and Agriculture (FRIA) of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique–FNRS.

The second author wishes to thank the Centro de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra, the Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, the Chern Institute of Mathematics and the Department of Mathematics of the North East Normal University for their kind hospitality and ideal working conditions during his stay at Coimbra, Louvain-la-Neuve, Bonn, Tianjin and his employment at Changchun, respectively.

The third author is a Senior Research Associate of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique–FNRS. His research was partially supported by Centro de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra and by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (grant number SFRH/BPD/38797/2007). He began studying some of the preliminary material during a stay at York University in 2007 supported by Walter Tholen’s Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant no. 501260. He wishes to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and Universidad de Vigo for their kind hospitality during his stays at Bonn and at Pontevedra.

$t(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a **commutator term** if  $t(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$  whenever  $x_i = 0$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . For instance, in the theory of groups (where the constant is denoted 1), we may take  $t(x, y) := [x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$  because  $t(x, 1) = 1 = t(1, y)$ . The term  $u(x, y, x) := [x, [y, z]]$  is another commutator term. It is well known that in the variety of groups, any commutator term can be written as a product of such basic commutator terms; and in fact, reciprocally, many of the “usual” commutators naturally occurring in pointed varieties of universal algebras may indeed be characterised by means of abstract commutator terms in the above sense. In the case of commutative associative algebras, for instance,  $v(x, y) := xy$  is a commutator term, because  $0y = 0 = 0x$ . Likewise, so is  $w(x, y, z) := [x, [y, z]]$  in the case of Lie algebras. Commutator terms generate commutator objects: for example, the **commutator subgroup**  $[X, X] := \langle [x, y] \mid x, y \in X \rangle$  of a group  $X$  vanishes if and only if  $X$  is an abelian group.

What interests us here is the remarkable observation (first examined in [Mac60]) that, in the seemingly quite remote (abelian!) situation of a tensor product  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$  of two abelian groups, the pure tensors  $a \otimes b$  behave precisely like this. Not only do we have that  $a \otimes 0 = 0 = 0 \otimes b$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ; it turns out that the entire tensor product  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$  may be recovered as a commutator object *in the variety of nil-2 groups*.

The aim of this article is to explore the idea of viewing tensor products as commutators from a categorical perspective, using it to prove some fundamental general results in homological algebra involving tensors, which so far have remained out of reach of categorical algebra—while at the same time sketching the connections with abstract polynomial functor calculus. As we shall see, a general version of the nilpotency condition that occurs in the case of groups is what extends the mere preservation of zeroes in both variables of the product to *bilinearity*. A key tool here is the concept of a *cosmash product*.

**Cosmash products.** In the article [CJ03] where *smash products* are studied in a general, categorical setting, Carboni and Janelidze remark that for algebraic objects, the dual concept is in fact far more interesting. Indeed, unlike in topology, smash products in algebraic categories tend to be trivial, while cosmash products turn out to have many uses, the limits of which are still unknown today. It is already well established that the cosmash product of two objects in a semi-abelian category [JMT02] may serve as their *formal commutator* [MM10] in order to capture aspects of Higgins’s approach to commutator theory [Hig56] in the context of varieties of  $\Omega$ -groups, as well as a “non-monadic” view on internal object actions [HL13] and internal crossed modules [Jan03, HVdL13]. Yet, ever since its introduction in [CJ03], it has also been clear that certain tensor products appear as a cosmash product—for instance, the tensor product of commutative rings does.

This naturally leads to the question of how to capture other tensor products occurring “in nature” as a cosmash product. Assuming, for instance, that the classical tensor product of modules over a commutative ring is a cosmash product, then what we must ask ourselves is: *Where?* or, more precisely: *In which category?* Answering this question is one of our two main goals. In particular, motivated by the fundamental example of the tensor product of abelian groups, we obtain our first main result: a recognition theorem (Theorem 7.6) showing that any symmetric bi-cocontinuous product on an abelian variety indeed is a cosmash product in a canonical (actually two-nilpotent) semi-abelian variety containing the given abelian variety as its abelian core. (In fact, it is even possible to capture *all* two-nilpotent semi-abelian varieties solving this problem, but this will be presented elsewhere.)

Our second main goal, to which we devote a large portion of the text, is to answer the converse question: given a (semi-abelian) category  $\mathbb{X}$ , does it admit

an *intrinsic symmetric bilinear product* playing the multiple roles for  $\mathbb{X}$  which the tensor product of abelian groups plays in the theory of groups? Indeed, such a bilinear product can be constructed in two different ways, each of which proves to be useful depending on the context, but both of which again rely on the notion of cosmash product—as is made explicit in sections 1 and 2 below. Either way, it is an object obtained by combining certain limits and colimits.

We shall, moreover, explain how this new categorical-algebraic viewpoint on tensor products leads to results in (co)homology theory—of which we present an instance here, that will be substantially extended in subsequent work [DHVdL26]. The example of such a result developed here is a categorical version of *Ganea’s Theorem* in low-dimensional homology. In [Gan68], Ganea proved that any central extension of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow K \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

induces a six-term exact sequence

$$K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{B}{[B, B]} \longrightarrow H_2 B \longrightarrow H_2 A \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H_1 B \twoheadrightarrow H_1 A \longrightarrow 0$$

in integral homology. Later, a number of authors have considered versions of this theorem in other categories [Lue76, Ell87, Cas98, CP00, Pir00, Cas05, AL06], which naturally leads to the question of whether perhaps all those different versions are special cases of one categorical result. Although a categorical-algebraic interpretation of the right hand side of the sequence (starting from  $H_2 B$ ) has been available for quite some time [EVdL04a] and this five-term exact sequence may in fact be seen as the tail of a long exact homology sequence [Eve07, GVdL09], until recently we could provide no such interpretation for the leftmost term  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B/[B, B])$ . This is where our intrinsic approach to tensor products, defined in categorical-algebraic terms as a certain quotient of a (formal) commutator, helps: it allows us to prove a version of Ganea’s Theorem for semi-abelian categories  $\mathbb{X}$  where the key term  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (B/[B, B])$  is generalised to our bilinear product  $K \otimes B$  — provided  $\mathbb{X}$  satisfies a fairly mild additional condition called *algebraic coherence* [CGVdL15]. This includes all known results mentioned above (except the one given in [Lue76]) but excludes loops, for example, and algebras with genuine ternary or higher operations (that is, such operations not decomposable into binary operations), in particular  $n$ -Leibniz algebras [Fil85, CLP02] and algebras arising as linearisations of certain structures on manifolds (loops, webs) such as Akivis algebras and Sabinin algebras, or from homotopy theory such as  $A_\infty$ - and  $E_\infty$ -algebras.

To provide further evidence of the potential of the bilinear product introduced here let us give a quick preview of further developments to come: under the before-mentioned hypothesis of algebraic coherence we construct in subsequent work a categorical generalisation of J. H. C. Whitehead’s classical  $\Gamma$ -functor and a natural morphism  $\sigma: \Gamma(K) \rightarrow K \otimes K$  such that our six-term extension of the five-term exact sequence further extends by at least two more terms (namely the third homologies of  $A$  and  $B$ ) after replacing our Ganea term  $K \otimes B$  with the cokernel of the composite map  $\Gamma(K) \rightarrow K \otimes K \rightarrow K \otimes B$ , just like in the case of groups, according to [EH71].

Mimicking the case of groups, the cokernel of  $\sigma$  will be defined to be the *exterior square* of  $K$  which turns out to be naturally isomorphic with  $H_2(K)$ . So again just like in groups, the second homology of abelian objects naturally identifies with their exterior square. Together with our eight-term extension of the five-term exact sequence this implies that a Künneth type formula holds for  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $K \times B$ , where our bilinear product plays the role of the classical tensor product of abelian groups in the classical Künneth formula for products of groups. This motivates the question of whether a Künneth type formula in general holds for semi-abelian

homology, where our bilinear product and its first derived functor play the role of the classical tensor and torsion products of abelian groups in the case of groups, see [HS97].

All these facts provide further evidence that our bilinear product in a given semi-abelian category indeed plays the exact same multiple roles which the tensor product plays in the category of groups.

Coming back to our original question

*Given some known tensor product in an abelian category, in which category may it be seen as a cosmash product?*

let us now briefly sketch how we characterise tensor products as cosmash products in semi-abelian categories, and then lay out the structure of the article.

**The tensor product of abelian groups.** First recall that, for two given objects  $X$  and  $Y$  of a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , the **cosmash product**  $X \diamond Y$  is defined as in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \diamond Y \rightrightarrows X + Y \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1_X & 0 \\ 0 & 1_Y \end{pmatrix}} X \times Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

As an illustration, we consider abelian groups  $A, B$  and their tensor product as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ . Our aim is then to find a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  in which there exists an isomorphism  $A \diamond B \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ .

If  $\mathbb{X}$  is the category  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of modules over the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  itself, then since the canonical comparison  $A + B \rightarrow A \times B$  is an isomorphism, the object  $A \diamond B$  is zero, so it need not be isomorphic to  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ . That is to say, “ $\mathbb{X} = \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ” is not the right answer to the above question. The same argument shows that also no other abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  containing  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  can be.

The idea is now to view  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  as contained in a larger *semi*-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  of which it forms the **abelian core**  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , the full reflective subcategory determined by those objects which admit an internal abelian group structure. We can, for instance, choose  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbf{Gp}$ , the category of (non-abelian) groups, which is semi-abelian and has abelian groups, so  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, for its abelian objects.

It is, however, well known that the cosmash product  $X \diamond Y$  of two given groups  $X$  and  $Y$  is the subgroup of  $X + Y$  generated (in fact, freely generated) by the commutators  $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$  of non-trivial elements  $x, y$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively—so again  $A \diamond B$  is different from  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ . In other words, also the answer “ $\mathbb{X} = \mathbf{Gp}$ ” is wrong.

A correct answer, first discovered by MacHenry [Mac60], lies in between the categories  $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbf{Ab}$  and  $\mathbf{Gp}$ : it is the category  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbf{Gp})$  of all groups of nilpotency class at most 2 (also called **nil-2 groups** or **2-step nilpotent groups**). As shown in [Mac60, HV11], the cosmash product of two abelian groups  $A$  and  $B$ , computed in this category, will indeed be precisely  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ .

In fact, this answer is not unique: other semi-abelian categories  $\mathbb{X}$  exist in which the tensor  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$  may be recovered as a cosmash product; examples will be given below. One characteristic feature those categories have in common is that they are *two-nilpotent*.

**Bilinear cosmash products and two-nilpotent categories.** Recall that the tensor product of modules over a commutative ring  $R$  is *bilinear* in the sense that

$$(A \oplus B) \otimes_R C \cong (A \otimes_R C) \oplus (B \otimes_R C)$$

which, by symmetry of  $\otimes_R$ , also implies linearity with respect to the second variable, namely  $A \otimes_R (B \oplus C) \cong (A \otimes_R B) \oplus (A \otimes_R C)$ .

So if we hope to recover the tensor as a cosmesh product in some semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , the latter should behave similarly. This leads us to ask that the canonical morphism

$$(X + Y) \diamond Z \rightarrow (X \diamond Z) \times (Y \diamond Z)$$

is an isomorphism in  $\mathbb{X}$ . (We shall later explain why this choice of  $+$  and  $\times$  is the “right” one.) As it thus turns out [HVdL13], this happens precisely when the kernel in the natural short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \diamond Y \diamond Z \rightrightarrows (X + Y) \diamond Z \longrightarrow (X \diamond Z) \times (Y \diamond Z) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is nothing but the **ternary cosmesh product** of  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , vanishes. (For the original definition, which is symmetric in  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ , see Subsection 1.1). A semi-abelian category which satisfies this condition is called **two-nilpotent**, for the following reason.

Given three subobjects  $K, L, M \leq X$  represented by monomorphisms  $k, l$  and  $m$ , according to [HL13, HVdL13] the **ternary commutator**  $[K, L, M] \leq X$  is defined to be the image of the composite

$$K \diamond L \diamond M \xrightarrow{\iota_{K,L,M}} K + L + M \xrightarrow{(k \ l \ m)} X.$$

We shall see that all ternary commutators in  $\mathbb{X}$  vanish if and only if every object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{X}$  is **two-nilpotent**, which means that  $[X, X, X] = 0$ —see Subsection 2.2.

In many categories (all *Orzech categories of interest* [Orz72], for instance, as demonstrated in [CGVdL15]; see also [SVdL20]) this ternary commutator coincides with the repeated binary commutator  $[X, [X, X]]$  one expects it to be, so that in those cases two-nilpotency is a familiar concept. In the category of groups, for instance,  $[X, X, X] = 0$  if and only if  $X$  is a group of nilpotency class at most two. On the other hand, examples exist of semi-abelian categories in which the two concepts are different—the category of loops, for instance [Mos08, MPIS19, SVdL20]—and in those categories the right one for our purposes is  $[X, X, X]$ .

In summary, we need cosmesh products to be bilinear, and we can only expect this to happen in semi-abelian categories which are two-nilpotent. This at once leads to the following procedure: given an abelian category  $\mathbb{A}$ , let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a semi-abelian category such that  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ . Then  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq \mathbb{X}$  where  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  is the **two-nilpotent core** of  $\mathbb{X}$ , the full reflective subcategory determined by the two-nilpotent objects. Given objects  $M$  and  $N$  in  $\mathbb{A}$ , the cosmesh product  $M \diamond N$  in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  is now a good candidate for a tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$ .

**The bilinear product on a semi-abelian category.** Let

$$\mathbf{Nil}_2: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X}): X \mapsto X/[X, X, X]$$

denote the left adjoint to the inclusion functor. Given objects  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , we shall write

$$X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y := \mathbf{Nil}_2(X) \diamond_2 \mathbf{Nil}_2(Y)$$

for the cosmesh product in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  of the reflections of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Since, as we will prove later on, this object is always abelian, we obtain a functor

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X}): (X, Y) \mapsto X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y$$

which we call the **bilinear product** on  $\mathbb{X}$ . Note that the product  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y$  of two given two-nilpotent objects  $X, Y$  only depends on  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  and not on the ambient category  $\mathbb{X}$ .

In homological-algebraic applications such as Ganea’s Theorem (Theorem 8.1), the functor  $\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}$  may play the role of an *intrinsic tensor product* on the category  $\mathbb{X}$ . In contrast, when considered as a functor  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \times \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , the bilinear

category $\mathbb{X}$	objects	abelian core $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$	bilinear product $A \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} B$
$\mathbf{Gp}$	groups	$\mathbf{Ab}$	$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$
$\mathbf{Loop}$	loops	$\mathbf{Ab}$	$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$
$\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbf{Gp})$	two-nilpotent groups	$\mathbf{Ab}$	$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$
$\mathbf{CRng}$	commutative rings	$\mathbf{Ab}$	$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$
$\mathbf{Mod}_R$	$R$ -modules	$\mathbf{Mod}_R$	0
$\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbf{Gp})$	$G$ -actions	$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$	$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ with appropriate $G$ -action
$\mathbf{CAlg}_R$	commutative associative $R$ -algebras	$\mathbf{Mod}_R$	$A \otimes_R B$
$\mathbf{Alg}_R$	associative $R$ -algebras	$\mathbf{Mod}_R$	$(A \otimes_R B) \oplus (B \otimes_R A)$
$\mathbf{Lie}_R$	$R$ -Lie algebras	$\mathbf{Mod}_R$	$A \otimes_R B$
$\mathbf{Leib}_R$	$R$ -Leibniz algebras	$\mathbf{Mod}_R$	$(A \otimes_R B) \oplus (B \otimes_R A)$
$\mathbf{C}^*\text{-Alg}$	$C^*$ -algebras	$\{0\}$	0
$\mathbf{HSLat}$	Heyting semilattices	$\{0\}$	0

TABLE 1. The bilinear product  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} B$  of  $A, B \in \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  in terms of the surrounding semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ . Here  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit, and an object of  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbf{Gp}))$  is viewed as a  $G$ -action on an abelian group  $A$  or  $B$ . Details and additional examples are worked out in Section 5 and Section 11.

product  $\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}$  is not intrinsic but relative, since it depends on the surrounding semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ . In Table 1, which gives an overview of some examples, we see for instance that the tensor product of  $R$ -modules may be captured as the bilinear product in either the category of commutative associative algebras or in the category of Lie algebras over  $R$ . On the other hand, the category of associative  $R$ -algebras induces a different bilinear product on  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$ .

**Limitations.** Of course, not all tensor products “in nature” are readily captured as cosmas products in some two-nilpotent semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ . An important limitation of our approach is that a bilinear cosmas product of objects in  $\mathbb{X}$  will automatically be an abelian object in  $\mathbb{X}$ , which is a requirement that seems hard to satisfy in certain cases. One “bad” example is the tensor product of associative algebras, which is supposed to be again an associative algebra—but certainly not an abelian one, which means that it has a trivial multiplication. We do not know in which way this example fits the theory, or whether it fits the theory at all.

In fact, our original motivating example taken from [CJ03], the category of commutative rings, does not follow the pattern we just sketched: here the cosmas product in the category itself is already the tensor product in  $\mathbf{CRng}$ . This example is further developed in [RVdLV23].

Another such example is the Brown–Loday *non-abelian tensor product* [BL87] of two groups mutually and compatibly acting on each other, where again it is not obvious which category to choose, or whether such a category can be found at all. However, in this case, one connection between the two tensor products is clear, because the bilinear product of two abelian groups is the non-abelian tensor product of these groups, when viewed as acting trivially on each other. As proved in [dMVdL20, Theorem 7.4], this result stays valid for the bilinear product in any *algebraically coherent* [CGVdL15] semi-abelian category: given two abelian objects acting trivially on each other, their non-abelian tensor product as introduced in [dMVdL20] is precisely the bilinear product. In such categories, the bilinear product may be viewed as a special case of the non-abelian tensor product.

Finally, other examples are just complicated: for instance, finding a precise formula for the bilinear product of internal actions in a semi-abelian category is difficult, at least in a category which is not *locally algebraically cartesian closed*—cf. Section 11. This will be a subject of future work.

**Structure of the text.** The first section recalls the basic definitions and results on cosmesh products. In Section 2, this is used in the description of abelian and two-nilpotent objects, which are essential in the definition of the bilinear product (Subsection 2.9). In the ensuing Section 3 we study nilpotency in general, with the aim of proving that nilpotentisation commutes with Birkhoff reflectors (Theorem 3.7). The next Section 4 is devoted to proving more advanced properties of the bilinear product, such as a description of the bilinear product in terms of  $\mathbb{X}$  alone (Theorem 4.2), and sequential right exactness of the functors  $X \otimes (-)$  (Proposition 4.12).

Section 5 treats a first set of examples. To those which appear in Table 1, we add sheaves of abelian groups and internal (pre)crossed modules.

In Section 6 we treat the case of algebras over an operad in full detail, analysing nilpotency in this context, comparing it with the operadic concept of nilpotency. The next Section 7 collects general results on bilinear products in varieties of algebras. We start with structural properties of the bilinear product such as cocontinuity (Proposition 7.2) and left adjointness (Proposition 7.3), leading to the question when it is closed monoidal. We then work towards one of our main results: the Recognition Theorem 7.6, where we prove that any symmetric bi-cocontinuous bifunctor on an abelian variety of algebras can be recovered as the bilinear product in the semi-abelian variety of algebras over a certain 2-nilpotent operad.

Section 8 provides an application in homological algebra: Theorem 8.1, a categorical version of Ganea's five term exact sequence, of which known instances now become a special case. Section 9 focuses on a key technical result which is already used in Section 2: Theorem 9.9 on the right exactness of cosmesh products. Section 10 treats the concept of an abelian extension from the cosmesh product viewpoint, and in Section 11 we use this to calculate the bilinear product in categories of internal actions. We end with Section 12 listing some natural questions for further investigation.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Cosmesh products and Higgins commutators:	
overview of definitions and basic properties	8
2. Two-nilpotency and the bilinear product	10
3. Higher-order commutators and nilpotency	12
4. Further properties of the bilinear product	15
5. Examples of bilinear products	20
6. The bilinear product of algebras	
over a reduced symmetric operad in $R$ -modules	26
7. Varieties of algebras, in general	31
8. The Ganea term in semi-abelian homology	33
9. Right exactness of cross-effects	36
10. Abelian extensions	41
11. The tensor product of $G$ -representations	43
12. Further questions and remarks	46
Acknowledgement	46
References	46

1. COSMASH PRODUCTS AND HIGGINS COMMUTATORS:  
OVERVIEW OF DEFINITIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES

We are defining cosmesh products and the induced tensor products as in [CJ03, HL13, HVdL13] and [HV11]. As recalled in Section 9, these cosmesh products are actually instances of cross-effects of functors.

Throughout the text, unless mentioned otherwise, we shall assume that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a *semi-abelian* category in the sense of [JMT02, BB04].

**1.1. Binary and ternary cosmesh products.** Given objects  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , their **cosmesh product**, written  $X \diamond Y$  or  $X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} Y$ , is defined as in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \diamond Y \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,Y}} X + Y \xrightarrow{r_{X,Y}} X \times Y \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $r_{X,Y} := \begin{pmatrix} 1_X & 0 \\ 0 & 1_Y \end{pmatrix}$ . (Exactness of this sequence on the right corresponds to  $\mathbb{X}$  being unital, together with the coincidence of the classes of regular epimorphisms and normal epimorphisms in  $\mathbb{X}$ ; these properties follow from  $\mathbb{X}$  being pointed, regular and protomodular [BB04].) Given a third object  $Z$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ ,

$$\iota_{X,Y,Z}: X \diamond Y \diamond Z \rightarrow X + Y + Z$$

denotes the kernel of

$$r_{X,Y,Z} := \begin{pmatrix} i_X & i_Y & 0 \\ i_X & 0 & i_Z \\ 0 & i_Y & i_Z \end{pmatrix} : X + Y + Z \rightarrow (X + Y) \times (X + Z) \times (Y + Z).$$

The object  $X \diamond Y \diamond Z$  is the **ternary cosmesh product** of  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$ . The following alternative construction of this object appears in Remark 2.8 of [HVdL13]: here we view it as a *cross-effect* of the identity functor—see Section 9.

**Lemma 1.2.** *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow X \diamond Y \diamond Z \longrightarrow (X + Y) \diamond Z \longrightarrow (X \diamond Z) \times (Y \diamond Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

for all  $X, Y, Z$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ . □

This allows us to apply Proposition 9.2 and find:

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are objects in  $\mathbb{X}$ , then there is a decomposition*

$$(X + Y) \diamond Z \cong ((X \diamond Y \diamond Z) \rtimes (X \diamond Z)) \rtimes (Y \diamond Z).$$

More precisely, there exists an object  $V$  and split short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow (X + Y) \diamond Z \xleftarrow{\quad} Y \diamond Z \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow X \diamond Y \diamond Z \longrightarrow V \xleftarrow{\quad} X \diamond Z \longrightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathbb{X}$ —see Figure 1. □

**1.4. The Higgins commutator.** Given two objects  $K$  and  $L$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , the cosmesh product  $K \diamond L$  behaves as a kind of “formal commutator” of  $K$  and  $L$  (see [HL13] and [MM10]). If now  $k: K \rightarrow X$  and  $l: L \rightarrow X$  are subobjects of an object  $X$ , their **(Higgins) commutator**  $[K, L] \leq X$  is the image of the induced composite morphism

$$K \diamond L \xrightarrow{\iota_{K,L}} K + L \xrightarrow{(k \ l)} X.$$

We write  $e_{K,L}^X: K \diamond L \rightarrow [K, L]$  for the regular epi-part of the image factorisation, and  $[k, l]: [K, L] \rightarrow X$  for the image itself.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X \diamond Y \diamond Z & \longrightarrow & W & \rightleftarrows & Y \diamond Z \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & (X + Y) \diamond Z & \rightleftarrows & Y \diamond Z \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \uparrow \downarrow & & \uparrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X \diamond Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \diamond Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & & 
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Alternate description of  $X \diamond Y \diamond Z$ 

If  $K \vee L = X$ , then the Higgins commutator  $[K, L]$  is normal in  $X$  [MM10, Proposition 5.2] so that it coincides with the Huq commutator considered in [BG02, BB04]. Hence any extension in  $\mathbb{X}$  such as

$$0 \longrightarrow K \rhd \xrightarrow{k} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

is central in the sense of [BG02] if and only if  $[K, B] \leq B$  is trivial.

Another instance of a situation where  $K \vee L = X$  occurs when  $X = K + L$  and  $k = \iota_1: K \rightarrow K + L$ ,  $l = \iota_2: L \rightarrow K + L$  are the coproduct inclusions. Then the canonical inclusion  $\iota_{K,L}$  of cosmash product  $K \diamond L$  into  $X$  plays the role of the Higgins/Huq commutator  $[k(K), l(L)]$  of  $K$  and  $L$ , viewed as subobjects of  $X = K + L$ . In this precise sense, the cosmash product is a “formal commutator”.

**1.5. The ternary commutator.** The Higgins commutator generally does not preserve joins, but the defect may be measured—it is a ternary commutator which can be computed by means of a ternary cosmash product. Let us extend the definition above: given a third subobject  $m: M \rightarrow X$  of the object  $X$ , the ternary commutator  $[K, L, M] \leq X$  is the image of the composite

$$K \diamond L \diamond M \xrightarrow{\iota_{K,L,M}} K + L + M \xrightarrow{(k \ l \ m)} X.$$

As in the binary case, the cosmash product  $K \diamond L \diamond M$  may be viewed as the (“formal”) commutator  $[\iota_1(K), \iota_2(L), \iota_3(M)] \triangleleft K + L + M$  of  $K$ ,  $L$  and  $M$ , canonically included into their sum.

The following fundamental join decomposition result or **distributive law** (first obtained in [Hig56] in the context of varieties of  $\Omega$ -groups, then extended to semi-abelian categories in [HL13, HVdL13]) is a consequence of Lemma 1.3.

**Lemma 1.6.** [HVdL13, Proposition 2.22] *If  $K, L, M \leq X$ , then*

$$[K, L \vee M] = [K, L] \vee [K, M] \vee [K, L, M]$$

where all joins are computed in  $X$ . □

By the symmetry of the commutator, which follows from symmetry of the cosmash product, which in turn follows from symmetry of sums and products, a similar result of course also holds for a commutator with a join in its first slot.

## 2. TWO-NILPOTENCY AND THE BILINEAR PRODUCT

A **Birkhoff subcategory** [JK94, Section 1.2] of a semi-abelian category is a full reflective subcategory closed under subobjects and regular quotient objects.

In what follows, we consider certain Birkhoff subcategories any semi-abelian category has, each determined by objects satisfying a commutator condition.

**2.1. Abelian objects.** An object  $A$  of a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  is **abelian** when it admits a (necessarily unique) structure of internal abelian group. It is well known that this happens precisely when  $[A, A] = 0$ , or equivalently, when the codiagonal morphism  $\nabla_A = \langle 1_A, 1_A \rangle: A + A \rightarrow A$  factors through the regular epimorphism  $r_{A,A}: A + A \twoheadrightarrow A \times A$  (where the resulting map  $A \times A \rightarrow A$  is the internal abelian group structure), cf. Subsection 1.1.

The (abelian) Birkhoff subcategory of  $\mathbb{X}$  determined by the abelian objects is denoted  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  and called the **abelian core** of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The reflector  $\mathbf{Ab}: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  is determined by  $\mathbf{Ab}(X) = X/[X, X]$ . Note that all objects of  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  are indeed abelian, and that  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  is the largest Birkhoff subcategory with this property.

**2.2. Two-nilpotent objects.** Likewise, an object  $U$  is called **two-nilpotent** or **nilpotent of class 2** when the ternary commutator  $[U, U, U]$  vanishes. This determines a Birkhoff subcategory of  $\mathbb{X}$  denoted  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  and called the **two-nilpotent core** of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The reflector  $\mathbf{Nil}_2: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  is given by  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(X) = X/[X, X, X]$ .

**2.3. Two-nilpotent categories.** A semi-abelian category is called **two-nilpotent** when all ternary cosmas products in it vanish. This happens precisely when its objects are two-nilpotent, because for any three given objects  $U, V$  and  $W$ , the object  $U \diamond V \diamond W$  is the commutator  $[\iota_1(U), \iota_2(V), \iota_3(W)] \triangleleft U + V + W$  of  $U, V$  and  $W$ , smaller than the commutator  $[U + V + W, U + V + W, U + V + W]$ , which is trivial when  $U + V + W$  is two-nilpotent. As a consequence, the two-nilpotent core  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  of any semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  is indeed two-nilpotent. The converse is immediate from the definition. Similarly to what happens for  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , since  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  includes all two-nilpotent objects of  $\mathbb{X}$ , it is the largest two-nilpotent Birkhoff subcategory of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

**2.4. Products and coproducts.** Since the right adjoint inclusions preserve all limits, the product in  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  or in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  is given by the product in  $\mathbb{X}$ . For coproducts, however, this is not the case. In  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  the coproduct is the product  $A \times B$  which we denote  $A \oplus B$ , the biproduct of  $A$  and  $B$ . And in order to avoid confusion with the coproduct  $X + Y$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , we write  $U +_2 V$  for the sum in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$ . Always  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(X + Y) = \mathbf{Nil}_2(X) +_2 \mathbf{Nil}_2(Y)$  so that when  $A$  and  $B$  are abelian (or two-nilpotent),  $A +_2 B = \mathbf{Nil}_2(A + B)$ .

**2.5. Linear functors.** A functor  $F: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$  between pointed categories is **reduced** whenever it preserves zero objects. The intuition from polynomial functor theory that a reduced functor between semi-abelian categories should be called **linear** when it sends binary sums to products is made precise by asking that the canonical comparison regular epimorphism

$$r_{X,Y}^F = \left( \begin{array}{c} F((1_X \ 0)) \\ F((0 \ 1_Y)) \end{array} \right) : F(X + Y) \rightarrow F(X) \times F(Y)$$

is an isomorphism—so that, in the terminology of Section 9, its *second cross-effect* vanishes, which means that this morphism has a trivial kernel. Note that the morphism  $F(X + Y) \rightarrow F(X) \times F(Y)$  is indeed a regular epimorphism by Lemma 9.4.

For instance, the identity functor  $1_{\mathbb{X}}: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is linear precisely when the category  $\mathbb{X}$  is **linear** in the sense that the canonical comparison morphism  $X + Y \rightarrow X \times Y$

is an isomorphism<sup>1</sup>, which is well known to be equivalent with the category being enriched over commutative monoids—a condition that, in the present semi-abelian context, is equivalent to the abelianness of  $\mathbb{X}$ , and ensures that the commutative monoid structures of the hom-sets are actually abelian groups.

On the other hand, Lemma 1.2 tells us that each functor  $(-)\diamond Z: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is linear if and only if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a two-nilpotent category.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Any linear functor  $F: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$  between semi-abelian categories takes values in (that is, factors through) the abelian core of  $\mathbb{Y}$ .*

*Proof.* For an object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(X) + F(X) & \xrightarrow{r_{F(X), F(X)}} & F(X) \times F(X) \\
 \downarrow \langle 1_{F(X)}, 1_{F(X)} \rangle & \searrow \langle F(\iota_1), F(\iota_2) \rangle & \uparrow F(\langle 1_X, 1_X \rangle) \\
 & F(X + X) \xrightarrow[r_{X, X}^F]{\cong} & \\
 & \swarrow F(\langle 1_X, 1_X \rangle) & \searrow F(\langle 1_X, 1_X \rangle) \circ (r_{X, X}^F)^{-1} \\
 F(X) & & 
 \end{array}$$

All internal triangles commute, hence so does the exterior one, which shows that  $F(X)$  is abelian, as desired.  $\square$

**2.7. Bilinear bifunctors.** A bifunctor  $F: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{X}$ ,  $\mathbb{Y}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are pointed categories, is **bireduced** whenever  $F(X, Y)$  is trivial as soon as one of  $X$  and  $Y$  is trivial<sup>2</sup>. A bireduced bifunctor  $F: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  on a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  is called **bilinear** when for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{X}$ , the canonical arrows

$$\begin{pmatrix} F((1_X \ 0), 1_Z) \\ F((0 \ 1_Y), 1_Z) \end{pmatrix} : F(X + Y, Z) \rightarrow F(X, Z) \times F(Y, Z)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(1_X, (1_Y \ 0)) \\ F(1_X, (0 \ 1_Z)) \end{pmatrix} : F(X, Y + Z) \rightarrow F(X, Y) \times F(X, Z)$$

are isomorphisms—cf. [Lac12] where isomorphisms of this kind are studied in general. Note that, as the name suggests, a functor is bilinear whenever it is linear in each of its variables. Let us remark that, if  $F$  is symmetric (as is the case for the cosmath product, for example), then it is enough to check that only one of the two arrows above is an isomorphism, the other one following by symmetry. Lemma 1.2 now tells us:

**Proposition 2.8.** *The bifunctor  $\diamond: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}: (X, Y) \mapsto X \diamond Y$  is bilinear if and only if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a two-nilpotent category.*  $\square$

**2.9. The bilinear product on a semi-abelian category.** On a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , the **bilinear product** is the extension of the cosmath product in  $\text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  to all of  $\mathbb{X}$ :

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}: (X, Y) \mapsto X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y := \text{Nil}_2(X) \diamond_2 \text{Nil}_2(Y).$$

This bifunctor  $\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}$  is indeed bilinear, because the reflector  $\text{Nil}_2: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  preserves binary sums.

When the category  $\mathbb{X}$  is understood, we may sometimes drop the subscript  $\mathbb{X}$  and write  $\otimes$  for the bilinear product.

<sup>1</sup>This is the terminology used in [BB04], not to be confused with the concept of a ***R*-linear category**, which is a category enriched in *R*-vector spaces, so that in particular its hom-sets carry an *R*-vector space structure.

<sup>2</sup>In other words,  $F(X, Y)$  behaves as a *commutator term* in the sense of the first paragraph of the Introduction.

**2.10. Symmetry, reducedness.** It is immediately clear that bilinear products are symmetric—there exists a natural **symmetry isomorphism** or **twist map**

$$\tau = \tau_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$$

—and bireduced ( $X \otimes 0 = 0 = 0 \otimes Y$ ) because cosmash products have the same properties. Remarkably, the isomorphism  $\tau_{X,Y}$  is not necessarily the usual or “obvious” one: in Section 5, we will make it explicit for some concrete cases.

We shall further see that  $\otimes$  need not be part of a monoidal structure on  $\mathbb{X}$ , or even on  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , since the bilinear product can be non-associative or lack a unit. Before giving examples of such situations, we list some properties which the bilinear product does satisfy. We may already prove that bilinear products are abelian; more advanced properties will be discussed in Section 4, which depends on the concept of higher commutators of Section 3, of which properties are developed there.

**Proposition 2.11.** *For all objects  $X, Y$  in a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , we have  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y \in \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ . This makes the bilinear product on  $\mathbb{X}$  into a functor*

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X}): (X, Y) \mapsto X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y.$$

*Proof.* We give two proofs, each of which is instructive. The first one consists in applying Proposition 2.6 to the linear functor  $X \otimes -: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ . The second proof is based on the use of commutator calculus. By definition of the bilinear product (2.9), we may assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are two-nilpotent. The commutator

$$[X \diamond_2 Y, X \diamond_2 Y] \leq X +_2 Y$$

in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  is then indeed trivial, because  $X \diamond_2 Y = [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)]$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} [X \diamond_2 Y, X \diamond_2 Y] &= [[\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)], [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)]] \\ &\leq [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y), \iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)] \\ &\leq [\iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since removing brackets or duplicate objects makes a commutator larger [HVdL13, Proposition 2.21] and the category  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  is two-nilpotent.  $\square$

### 3. HIGHER-ORDER COMMUTATORS AND NILPOTENCY

In what follows, we need commutators of arbitrary length, and the concept of nilpotency that comes with it. Then, the two-nilpotentisation functor considered in Section 2 extends to arbitrary nilpotency degrees. Our main aim in this section is to prove that all nilpotentisation functors commute with Birkhoff reflectors: Theorem 3.7 generalises Theorem 3.4 in [PVdL16] from abelianisation to arbitrary nilpotentisation degrees.

Recall from [HVdL13, HL13] that commutators of an arbitrary length are defined similarly to the cases of length 2 and 3 by means of cosmash products. These cosmash products may either be seen as instances of cross-effects as recalled in Section 9, or explicitly as follows.

**3.1. Cosmash products and commutators.** Given objects  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , their **cosmash product**  $X_1 \diamond \dots \diamond X_n$  is the kernel  $\iota_{X_1, \dots, X_n}: X_1 \diamond \dots \diamond X_n \rightarrow X_1 + \dots + X_n$  of the morphism

$$r = r_{X_1, \dots, X_n}: X_1 + \dots + X_n \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^n (X_1 + \dots + \widehat{X_j} + \dots + X_n)$$

which is such that  $\pi_j \circ r \circ \iota_k = \iota_{X_k}$  if  $k \neq j$  and 0 if  $k = j$ , for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Given a natural number  $n \geq 2$ , an object  $X$ , and  $n$  subobjects  $K_i \leq X$  with respective representing monomorphisms  $k_i: K_i \rightarrow X$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), the commutator  $[K_1, \dots, K_n] \leq X$  is defined as the image of the composite morphism

$$X_1 \diamond \dots \diamond X_n \xrightarrow{\iota_{X_1, \dots, X_n}} X_1 + \dots + X_n \xrightarrow{(k_1 \dots k_n)} X.$$

As a consequence of Proposition 9.2, Lemma 1.6 now extends to higher commutators as follows [HVdL13, Proposition 2.22]:

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $n \geq 1$  and  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L, M \leq X$  then*

$$[K_1, \dots, K_n, L \vee M] = [K_1, \dots, K_n, L] \vee [K_1, \dots, K_n, M] \vee [K_1, \dots, K_n, L, M]$$

where all joins are computed in  $X$ .  $\square$

Note that cosmas products, and hence commutators, are symmetric in their variables, so that this join decomposition formula holds in all slots of the commutator, not just the last one.

**3.3. The lower central series.** Given an object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , we write  $\gamma_n(X) = \underbrace{[X, \dots, X]}_{n \text{ terms}}$  to obtain the **lower central series**

$$X = \gamma_1(X) \geq \gamma_2(X) \geq \dots \geq \gamma_n(X) \geq \dots$$

of  $X$ . Note that, by the above, the object  $\gamma_n(X)$  can be obtained explicitly as the image  $i_n^X: \gamma_n(X) \rightarrow X$  of the composite morphism

$$X^{\diamond n} \xrightarrow{\iota_{X, \dots, X}} X + \dots + X \xrightarrow{\nabla_X^n = (1_X \dots 1_X)} X$$

whose source is the  $n$ -fold cosmas product  $X^{\diamond n} = X \diamond \dots \diamond X$ . Note that the natural transformation  $i_n: \gamma_n \Rightarrow 1_{\mathbb{X}}$  represents  $\gamma_n: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  as a normal subfunctor of  $1_{\mathbb{X}}$ . (It is the image of the kernel  $\iota$  along the natural transformation  $\nabla^n$ .)

**3.4. The  $n$ -nilpotent core.** Recall [HL13, HVdL13, SVdL20] (but also [BB17] which uses slightly different terminology) that for  $n \geq 1$ , an object  $U$  is called  **$n$ -nilpotent** or **nilpotent of class  $\leq n$**  when the  $(n+1)$ -ary commutator  $[U, \dots, U]$  vanishes. This determines a Birkhoff subcategory of  $\mathbb{X}$  denoted  $\text{Nil}_n(\mathbb{X})$  and called the  **$n$ -nilpotent core** of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The reflector  $\text{Nil}_n: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \text{Nil}_n(\mathbb{X})$  is given by  $\text{Nil}_n(X) = X/[X, \dots, X]$ . We write  $\text{nil}_n: 1_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \text{Nil}_n$  for the unit of the adjunction. Note that **1-nilpotent** is the same as **abelian**.

Theorem 3.7 depends on the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Given a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$  with a Birkhoff subcategory  $\mathbb{B}$ , for any  $n \geq 2$  and all objects  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  of  $\mathbb{B}$  there is a natural quotient morphism*

$$\nu_{B_1, \dots, B_n}: B_1 \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} B_n \rightarrow B_1 \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} B_n$$

between the cosmas product of the  $B_i$  in the category  $\mathbb{X}$  and the same cosmas product computed in  $\mathbb{B}$ . This morphism is the canonical restriction of the unit of the reflection from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  at the coproduct of the  $B_i$ , computed in  $\mathbb{X}$ .

*Proof.* This is essentially a consequence of Lemma 2.8 in [SVdL20]. In explaining why, we here freely use the concepts and results in that article, leading up to this lemma. We prove that the restriction of the component

$$\eta_{B_1 +_{\mathbb{X}} \dots +_{\mathbb{X}} B_n}: B_1 +_{\mathbb{X}} \dots +_{\mathbb{X}} B_n \rightarrow B_1 +_{\mathbb{B}} \dots +_{\mathbb{B}} B_n$$

of the adjunction unit  $\eta$  to cosmas is a regular epimorphism. Indeed, the natural comparison morphism  $E_{\mathbb{X}}(B_1, \dots, B_n) \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{B}}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  induced by  $\eta$  is an  $(n+1)$ -cubic extension, as a pointwise regular epimorphism between split  $n$ -cubic extensions. The lemma mentioned above implies that the induced morphism

$\nu_{B_1, \dots, B_n} : B_1 \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} B_n \rightarrow B_1 \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} B_n$  is a 1-cubic extension, hence a regular epimorphism, in  $\mathbb{X}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* Alternatively, this result can be viewed as a consequence of a general theorem of functor calculus stating that the functor mapping a reduced functor to its  $n$ -th cross effect is exact. As in the classical case of functors with values in abelian categories this is a straightforward application of the  $3 \times 3$ -Lemma for  $n = 2$  and then follows by induction for higher  $n$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a semi-abelian category and  $n \geq 1$ . Any Birkhoff reflector  $F : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  commutes with  $\text{Nil}_n$ , up to isomorphism (square on the left) and under  $F$  (triangle on the right).*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{B} \\
 \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}} \downarrow & \alpha \swarrow & \downarrow \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}} \\
 \text{Nil}_n(\mathbb{X}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Nil}_n(\mathbb{B})
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & F(X) & \\
 F((\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}})_X) \swarrow & & \searrow (\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}})_{F(X)} \\
 F(\text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(X)) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_X} & \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}}(F(X))
 \end{array}$$

More precisely, there exists a natural isomorphism  $\alpha : \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}} \circ F \Rightarrow F \circ \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}}$  such that  $\alpha_X \circ (\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}})_{F(X)} = F((\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}})_X)$  for each object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F$  denote the given Birkhoff reflector. For an object  $X$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , its reflection  $\text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(X)$  into  $\text{Nil}_n(\mathbb{X})$  is the cokernel  $(\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}})_X : X \rightarrow \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(X)$  of the composite

$$X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X \xrightarrow{\iota_{X, \dots, X}} X +_{\mathbb{X}} \dots +_{\mathbb{X}} X \xrightarrow{\nabla_X^{n+1}} X,$$

where the first morphism is the canonical inclusion and the second morphism is the  $n$ -fold codiagonal. Since  $F$  is cocontinuous, we find  $F(\text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(X))$  as the cokernel  $F((\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}})_X)$  of the composite

$$F(X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X) \xrightarrow{F(\iota_{X, \dots, X})} F(X +_{\mathbb{X}} \dots +_{\mathbb{X}} X) \xrightarrow{F(\nabla_X^{n+1})} F(X).$$

Likewise, the reflection  $\text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}}(F(X))$  of  $F(X)$  into  $\text{Nil}_n(\mathbb{B})$  is the cokernel

$$(\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}})_{F(X)} : F(X) \rightarrow \text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}}(F(X))$$

of the composite

$$F(X) \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} F(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_{F(X), \dots, F(X)}} F(X) +_{\mathbb{B}} \dots +_{\mathbb{B}} F(X) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{F(X)}^{n+1}} F(X).$$

Lemma 3.5 provides us with the dotted regular epimorphism  $\nu_{F(X), \dots, F(X)}$  induced by the commutative diagram of plain arrows in Figure 2 where  $\varphi$  is induced by the universal property of the unit  $\eta_{X +_{\mathbb{X}} \dots +_{\mathbb{X}} X}$ . Orthogonality of regular epimorphisms with respect to monomorphisms applied to the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X & \xrightarrow{\nu_{F(X), \dots, F(X)} \circ \eta_{X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X}} & F(X) \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} F(X) \\
 \eta_{X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X} \downarrow & \nearrow \sigma & \downarrow \iota_{F(X), \dots, F(X)} \\
 F(X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \dots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ F(\iota_{X, \dots, X})} & F(X) +_{\mathbb{B}} \dots +_{\mathbb{B}} F(X)
 \end{array}$$

provides us with the dashed lifting  $\sigma$  in the diagram, which is a regular epimorphism as well. It satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{F(X)}^{n+1} \circ \iota_{F(X), \dots, F(X)} \circ \sigma &= \nabla_{F(X)}^{n+1} \circ \varphi \circ F(\iota_{X, \dots, X}) \\
 &= F(\nabla_X^{n+1}) \circ F(\iota_{X, \dots, X}) = F(\nabla_X^{n+1} \circ \iota_{X, \dots, X}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X, \dots, X}} & X +_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{X}} X & & \\
\downarrow \eta_X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \eta_X & \searrow \eta_X \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} X & \downarrow \eta_X +_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{X}} \eta_X & \searrow \eta_X +_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{X}} X & \\
F(X) \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots \diamond_{\mathbb{X}} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{F(X), \dots, F(X)}} & F(X) +_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{X}} F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(\iota_{X, \dots, X})} & F(X +_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{X}} X) \\
\downarrow \nu_{F(X), \dots, F(X)} & \downarrow \sigma & \downarrow \eta_{F(X)} +_{\mathbb{X}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{X}} \eta_{F(X)} & \downarrow \varphi & \\
F(X) \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} \cdots \diamond_{\mathbb{B}} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{F(X), \dots, F(X)}} & F(X) +_{\mathbb{B}} \cdots +_{\mathbb{B}} F(X) & & 
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Comparing nilpotent reflections

which proves that the cokernels determining  $F(\text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(X))$  and  $\text{Nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}}(F(X))$  mentioned above are isomorphic. Let  $\alpha_X$  denote the unique isomorphism such that  $\alpha_X \circ (\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{B}})_{F(X)} = F((\text{nil}_n^{\mathbb{X}})_X)$ ; it clearly satisfies the final claim in the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

Note that we use this result in the examples of loops and internal crossed modules worked out in subsections 5.2 and 5.11, respectively, and also to study nilpotency of low class of algebras over certain operads in Subsection 6.4.

#### 4. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF THE BILINEAR PRODUCT

**4.1. Description of the bilinear product in terms of  $\mathbb{X}$  alone.** To use the above definition of bilinear product in practice, some knowledge about the two-nilpotent core of the category at hand is needed. We now explain how this may be avoided: we describe the bilinear product as a quotient of the cosmas product in the category  $\mathbb{X}$  itself. On order to do so, we first need to introduce some notation.

Given objects  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , we consider the **folding operations**

$$S_{1,2}^{X,Y} : X \diamond Y \diamond Y \rightarrow X \diamond Y \quad \text{and} \quad S_{2,1}^{X,Y} : X \diamond X \diamond Y \rightarrow X \diamond Y.$$

The one on the left is induced by

$$X \diamond Y \diamond Y \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,Y,Y}} X + Y + Y \xrightarrow{1_X + \nabla_Y} X + Y;$$

indeed,  $\text{Im}((1_X + \nabla_Y) \circ \iota_{X,Y,Y}) = [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y)] \subseteq [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)]$ . Being a restriction of the direct image of a kernel, the image

$$S_{1,2}^{X,Y}(X \diamond Y \diamond Y) = [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y)] \triangleleft X \diamond Y$$

of  $S_{1,2}^{X,Y}$  is normal in  $X \diamond Y$ . Likewise,  $S_{2,1}^{X,Y}(X \diamond X \diamond Y) \triangleleft X \diamond Y$ . We denote their join in  $X \diamond Y$ , which of course also is a normal subobject [Bor04, Huq68, EVdL12], by  $N_{X,Y}$ . By the above,

$$N_{X,Y} = [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y)] \vee [\iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)] \triangleleft X \diamond Y$$

which is useful in the proof of the next result.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are objects in a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , then their bilinear product  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $(X \diamond Y)/N_{X,Y}$  of the cosmas product  $X \diamond Y$  by the normal subobject  $N_{X,Y}$ . In other words, we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{X,Y} \longrightarrow X \diamond Y \xrightarrow{\eta_{X,Y}} X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N_{X,Y} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \diamond Y & \xrightarrow{\quad \eta_{X,Y} \quad} & X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \gamma_3(X+Y) & \xrightarrow{i_3^{X+Y}} & X+Y & \xrightarrow{\text{nil}_2^{X+Y}} & \text{Nil}_2(X) +_2 \text{Nil}_2(Y) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \gamma_3(X) \times \gamma_3(Y) & \xrightarrow{i_3^X \times i_3^Y} & X \times Y & \xrightarrow{\text{nil}_2^X \times \text{nil}_2^Y} & \text{Nil}_2(X) \times \text{Nil}_2(Y) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Proving that  $N_{X,Y} = [X+Y, X+Y, X+Y] \triangleleft X \diamond Y$ 

Note that this is not exactly a particular case of Lemma 3.5 since we do not ask that  $X$  and  $Y$  are 2-nilpotent. However, a general version of that lemma does indeed hold, where a cosmas product of objects in  $\mathbb{X}$  is compared with the cosmas of their reflections in  $\mathbb{B}$ . On the other hand, what interests us here is the precise shape of the kernel of the comparison morphism  $\eta_{X,Y}$ .

*Proof.* We have to prove that the inclusion of  $[X+Y, X+Y, X+Y] \triangleleft X+Y$  into the cosmas product  $X \diamond Y$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  coincides with the object  $N_{X,Y}$ , see Figure 3. First of all we decompose the commutator  $[X+Y, X+Y, X+Y]$  by rewriting the sum as a join in order to be able to repeatedly use the distributive law (Lemma 3.2) together with the fact that removing duplicate objects makes a commutator larger [HVdL13, Proposition 2.21.v]. We find:

$$\begin{aligned}
[X+Y, X+Y, X+Y] &= [\iota_1(X) \vee \iota_2(Y), \iota_1(X) \vee \iota_2(Y), \iota_1(X) \vee \iota_2(Y)] \\
&= [\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y)] \vee [\iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)] \\
&\quad \vee [\iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_1(X)] \vee [\iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y)] \\
&= N_{X,Y} \vee \iota_1([X, X, X]) \vee \iota_2([Y, Y, Y]),
\end{aligned}$$

where we also use the rule from [HVdL13] that commutators are stable under direct images: for a morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and subobjects  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $A$ , we have  $f[A_1, \dots, A_n] = [f(A_1), \dots, f(A_n)]$ .

Consider the commutative diagram in Figure 3 whose second and third row and second and third column are exact, so that commutativity of the right-hand bottom square induces the existence of the morphisms  $\eta_{X,Y}$  and  $\alpha$ . So the  $3 \times 3$ -Lemma provides the desired exactness of the top row, once we have shown exactness of the first column. To see this, first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\iota_1([X, X, X]), \iota_2([Y, Y, Y])] &\subseteq [\iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y), \iota_2(Y)] \\
&\subseteq [\iota_1(X), \iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)] \subseteq N_{X,Y}.
\end{aligned}$$

This provides the upper left-hand square in the commutative diagram with exact rows in Figure 4, which in turn induces the map  $\beta$ , while the bottom left-hand square induces  $\delta$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \circ \beta \circ r_{\gamma_3(X), \gamma_3(Y)} &= r_{X,Y} \circ i_3^{X+Y} \circ \langle \gamma_3(\iota_1), \gamma_3(\iota_2) \rangle \\
&= r_{X,Y} \circ (i_3^X + i_3^Y) = (i_3^X \times i_3^Y) \circ r_{\gamma_3(X), \gamma_3(Y)},
\end{aligned}$$

whence  $\delta \circ \beta = i_3^X \times i_3^Y$  is a monomorphism, and thus so is  $\beta$ . But by the decomposition of  $\gamma_3(X+Y)$  above,  $\beta \circ r_{\gamma_3(X), \gamma_3(Y)}$  is a regular epimorphism, hence so is  $\beta$ . So  $\beta$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
[\iota_1(\gamma_3(X)), \iota_2(\gamma_3(Y))] & \twoheadrightarrow & \gamma_3(X) + \gamma_3(Y) & \xrightarrow{r_{\gamma_3(X), \gamma_3(Y)}} & \gamma_3(X) \times \gamma_3(Y) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow (\gamma_3(\iota_1) \ \gamma_3(\iota_2)) & & \downarrow \beta \\
N_{X,Y} & \twoheadrightarrow & \gamma_3(X+Y) & \xrightarrow{q} & \gamma_3(X+Y)/N_{X,Y} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow i_3^{X+Y} & & \downarrow \delta \\
[\iota_1(X), \iota_2(Y)] & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,Y}} & X+Y & \xrightarrow{r_{X,Y}} & X \times Y
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 4. Constructing the morphisms  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ 

is an isomorphism and thus  $\delta$  is a monomorphism. Now  $(i_3^X \times i_3^Y) \circ \alpha = r_{X,Y} \circ i_3^{X+Y} = \delta \circ q$ , whence  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}((i_3^X \times i_3^Y) \circ \alpha) = \text{Ker}(\delta \circ q) = \text{Ker}(q) = N_{X,Y}$ . Thus the first column of the diagram in Figure 3 is exact in  $\gamma_3(X+Y)$ . To show that  $\alpha$  is a regular epimorphism, note that  $\text{im}((i_3^X \times i_3^Y) \circ \alpha) = \delta = \text{im}(\delta \circ \beta) = i_3^X \times i_3^Y$ , so there exists a regular epimorphism  $q': \gamma_3(X+Y) \rightarrow \gamma_3(X) \times \gamma_3(Y)$  such that  $(i_3^X \times i_3^Y) \circ \alpha = (i_3^X \times i_3^Y) \circ q'$ . Thus  $\alpha = q'$  is a regular epimorphism as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* This may be used in an alternative proof of Proposition 2.11. Indeed, the composite of canonical morphisms

$$(X \diamond Y) \diamond (X \diamond Y) \rightarrow X \diamond Y \diamond X \diamond Y \rightarrow X \diamond X \diamond Y$$

induces an inclusion  $[X \diamond Y, X \diamond Y] \leq N_{X,Y}$ . As a consequence, the regular epimorphism  $\eta_{X,Y}: X \diamond Y \rightarrow X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y$  factors through  $\text{Ab}(X \diamond Y)$ . The result now follows because abelian objects are closed under quotients [BB04, Proposition 1.6.11].

*Remark 4.4.* From the viewpoint of functor calculus, the bifunctor

$$(X, Y) \mapsto (X \diamond Y)/N_{X,Y}$$

is in fact the **bilinearisation** of the bifunctor given by the cosmesh product. So Proposition 4.2 states that the bilinear product of  $\mathbb{X}$  can be described as the bilinearisation of its cosmesh product.

*Example 4.5.* As mentioned above, a classical theorem of combinatorial group theory states that for groups  $G, H$  the kernel of the canonical map from  $G * H$  to  $G \times H$  (in our terms,  $G \diamond H$ ) is free on the commutators  $[g, h]$ , for  $(g, h) \in G^* \times H^*$  where  $K^* = K \setminus \{1\}$ . Hence as a bifunctor,  $G \diamond H$  can be described as the quotient of the free group on  $G \times H$  divided by the normal subgroup generated by  $(G \times \{1\}) \cup (\{1\} \times H)$ . It was shown in [HV11] that the bilinearisation of this bifunctor is the tensor product  $\text{Ab}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ab}(H)$ , an observation which suggested to us that the bilinearisation of the cosmesh product might be a suitable generalisation of the classical tensor product of groups to arbitrary semi-abelian categories, and thus led to this paper.

**4.6. From cosmesh product to bilinear product.** The collection of quotients  $\eta_{X,Y}: X \diamond Y \rightarrow X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} Y$  from the cosmesh product to the bilinear product clearly form a natural transformation  $\diamond \Rightarrow \otimes$ . The passage is well-behaved with respect to regular epimorphisms, in the sense of Proposition 4.8 below.

To see this, first recall from [Bou03] that a commutative square such as  $f \circ b = a \circ f'$  below is called a **double extension** or a **regular pushout square** when all its arrows and the comparison  $(b, f'): B' \rightarrow B \times_A A'$  to the induced pullback are regular epimorphisms. Note that a regular pushout square is always a pushout, as follows from the next result.

**Lemma 4.7.** [Bou01, EGVdL08] *Consider, in a homological category, a commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \rightrightarrows & B' & \xrightarrow{f'} & A' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow k & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow a \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \rightrightarrows & B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

- (1) *The right hand square  $f \circ b = a \circ f'$  is a pullback if and only if  $k$  is an isomorphism.*
- (2) *Suppose that  $b$  and  $a$  are regular epimorphisms. Then the square  $f \circ b = a \circ f'$  is a regular pushout if and only if  $k$  is a regular epimorphism.*

*Proof.* (1) is Lemma 1 and Proposition 7 of [Bou01] combined. (2) follows from Proposition 8 in [Bou01].  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose  $f: B \rightarrow A$  and  $g: D \rightarrow C$  are regular epimorphisms. Then the commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \diamond D & \xrightarrow{f \diamond g} & A \diamond C \\ \eta_{B,D} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_{A,C} \\ B \otimes D & \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} & A \otimes C \end{array}$$

*is a regular pushout.*

*Proof.* Cosmash products preserve regular epimorphisms by [HVDL13, Proposition 2.9]. Clearly, also joins do. By Lemma 4.7 it now suffices to note that the induced morphism  $N_{B,D} \rightarrow N_{A,C}$  is a regular epimorphism, because it is a join of two regular epimorphisms.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $Z$  be a two-nilpotent object and  $x: X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $y: Y \rightarrow Z$  two morphisms with codomain  $Z$ . Then the induced morphism  $\langle x, y \rangle \circ \iota_{X,Y}: X \diamond Y \rightarrow Z$  factors through  $\eta_{X,Y}$  to a morphism  $X \otimes Y \rightarrow Z$ .*

*Proof.* As the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \diamond Y & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,Y}} & X + Y & \xrightarrow{\langle x, y \rangle} & Z \\ \eta_{X,Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ X \otimes Y & \longrightarrow & \text{Nil}_2(X + Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nil}_2(\langle x, y \rangle)} & \text{Nil}_2(Z) = Z \end{array}$$

indicates, the needed morphism is the restriction of  $\text{Nil}_2(\langle x, y \rangle)$  to  $X \otimes Y = \text{Nil}_2(X) \diamond_2 \text{Nil}_2(Y)$ .  $\square$

**4.10. Sequential right exactness.** We now show that the functor  $X \otimes (-)$  is **sequentially right exact**, which means that it preserves right exact sequences in the following sense. A sequence of the form

$$K \xrightarrow{k} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

is **right exact** whenever  $k$  is a **proper arrow**, i.e. an arrow whose image is a normal subobject of its codomain, and  $f$  is a cokernel of  $k$ . Note that, when an arrow  $k: K \rightarrow B$  is proper, the image  $i: I \rightarrow B$  of  $k$  is given by the kernel of the cokernel of  $k$ .

The sequential right exactness of  $X \diamond (-)$  is a consequence (Proposition 4.12) of the following theorem, of which the proof uses cross-effects and is deferred to

Section 9, page 40. Note that all these results crucially depend on Barr exactness of the category, as explained in Subsection 9.3. This is the main reason we chose the context of semi-abelian categories as our work environment<sup>3</sup>.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a semi-abelian category. Consider an object  $X$  and a cokernel*

$$K \xrightarrow{k} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then we obtain a right exact sequence*

$$(X \diamond K \diamond B) \rtimes (X \diamond K) \xrightarrow{(S_{1,2}^{X,B} \circ (1_X \diamond k \diamond 1_B) \ 1_X \diamond k)} X \diamond B \xrightarrow{1_X \diamond f} X \diamond A \longrightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

Note that the morphism  $S_{1,2}^{X,B} \circ (1_X \diamond k \diamond 1_B)$ :  $X \diamond K \diamond B \rightarrow X \diamond B$  factors through  $X \diamond B \diamond B$ , so that it vanishes when we pass to the quotient  $X \otimes B$ . Hence:

**Proposition 4.12.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a semi-abelian category. Consider an object  $X$  and a cokernel*

$$K \xrightarrow{k} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then we obtain the right exact sequence of abelian objects*

$$X \otimes K \xrightarrow{1_X \otimes k} X \otimes B \xrightarrow{1_X \otimes f} X \otimes A \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 4.11. By Proposition 4.8, the regular epimorphism  $1_X \otimes f$  is the pushout of  $1_X \diamond f$  along  $\eta_{X,B}$ , and hence the cokernel of

$$\eta_{X,B} \circ (S_{1,2}^{X,B} \circ (1_X \diamond k \diamond 1_B) \ 1_X \diamond k) : (X \diamond K \diamond B) \rtimes (X \diamond K) \rightarrow X \otimes B. \quad (\mathbf{A})$$

But on  $X \diamond K \diamond B$  this morphism vanishes, so this term may be removed without changing the cokernel. Now it suffices to use that  $\eta_{X,B} \circ (1_X \diamond k) = (1_X \otimes k) \circ \eta_{X,K}$  and  $1_X \otimes k$  have the same cokernel (since  $\eta_{X,K}$  is an epimorphism). Furthermore, the morphism  $1_X \otimes k$  is proper, since such is  $(\mathbf{A})$ , so that its image is the kernel of  $1_X \otimes f$ .  $\square$

Note that this result is stronger than sequential right exactness since we do not ask  $k$  to be proper.

**Corollary 4.13.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a semi-abelian category. Then for any object  $X$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , the functor  $X \otimes (-)$  preserves finite products.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $X \otimes (-)$  preserves the terminal object  $1 = 0$ , because this functor is reduced. Binary products are preserved because they may be presented as a split short exact sequence.  $\square$

The bilinear product commutes with abelianisation:

**Corollary 4.14.** *For all objects  $X, Y$  of  $\mathbb{X}$  there exist natural isomorphisms*

$$X \otimes Y \cong X \otimes \text{Ab}(Y) \cong \text{Ab}(X) \otimes \text{Ab}(Y).$$

*As a consequence, the triangle of functors on the left*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathbb{X}}} & \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \\ \text{Ab} \times \text{Ab} \searrow & & \nearrow \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} \\ & \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \times \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} (-)} & \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \\ \text{Ab} \searrow & & \nearrow X \otimes_{\mathbb{X}} (-) \\ & \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) & \end{array}$$

*commutes up to isomorphism, and for each  $X$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , so does the one on the right.*

<sup>3</sup>The definition of the bilinear product itself, however, makes sense in all homological categories.

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.2, since  $X \diamond (Y \diamond Y) \leq X \diamond Y \diamond Y$  is divided out in  $X \otimes Y$ , so that the map  $X \otimes [Y, Y] \rightarrow X \otimes Y$  is trivial, this follows from Proposition 4.12 and the fact that  $\text{Ab}(Y) = Y/[Y, Y]$ .  $\square$

This sheds some new light on 2.7: since the left adjoint  $\text{Ab}$  sends both the binary sum  $+$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  and the binary sum  $+_2$  in  $\text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  to the binary sum (= binary bi-product)  $\oplus$  in  $\text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , which is the binary product  $\times$  in both  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$  and that, by Corollary 4.13,  $X \otimes (-): \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  preserves binary products, the functor  $X \otimes (-)$  sends binary sums to binary products, independently of which category  $(\mathbb{X}, \text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X}) \text{ or } \text{Ab}(\mathbb{X}))$  we took for domain or codomain. Since the *second cross-effect* of Section 9 does nothing but measuring how far a functor is from sending binary sums to binary products, we are interested in asking that it vanishes—which in the case of  $X \diamond (-)$  leads to ternary commutators, two-nilpotent objects and the bilinear product.

## 5. EXAMPLES OF BILINEAR PRODUCTS

**5.1. Groups and the tensor product of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.** In the category of groups,

$$X \diamond Y = \langle [x, y] \mid x \in X, y \in Y \rangle$$

where  $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ , and  $X \diamond Y \diamond Z$  contains all

$$[x, [y, z]] = xyzzy^{-1}z^{-1}x^{-1}zyz^{-1}y^{-1}$$

for  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in Z$ . A group is 2-nilpotent when all commutator words of the latter type vanish in it. It is shown in [Mac60] and [HV11, Proposition 2.3] that for any two groups  $X$  and  $Y$ , the bilinear product  $X \otimes Y$  coincides with  $\text{Ab}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ab}(Y)$ , the tensor product as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of their abelianisations. Following Section 2.4, here a generator  $x[X, X] \otimes y[Y, Y]$  of  $\text{Ab}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ab}(Y)$  corresponds to the equivalence class of  $[x, y]$  in the quotient  $X +_2 Y = \text{Nil}_2(X + Y)$  of  $X + Y$ . As explained in [dMVdL20], this result also follows from its being a special case of the Brown–Loday non-abelian tensor product [BL87].

Note that the bilinear product in  $\mathbf{Gp}$  is associative. However, since non-abelian groups exist, the bilinear product does in general not have a unit. Of course, when  $A$  is abelian, we *do* have that  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes A \cong A$ . For us this is an argument in favour of the idea that the bilinear product should be considered as an operation on the abelian core. It is also worth noticing that the functor  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{Gp}} (-): \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  is precisely the abelianisation functor, which follows from the fact that in  $\text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , the bilinear product  $\otimes_{\mathbf{Gp}}$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a unit. As mentioned before, this remains true in other cases where a unit exists for the bilinear product viewed as a product on  $\text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , like in some of the examples that follow below.

For  $A$  and  $B$  abelian groups, we now examine the isomorphism

$$\tau_{A,B}^{\mathbf{Gp}}: A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A,$$

induced by the symmetry of sum and product, under the identification of these bilinear products with the corresponding tensor products of abelian groups, explicitly given by the isomorphism

$$\theta_{A,B}: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes B \subset A +_2 B$$

which sends  $a \otimes b$  to the commutator  $[\iota_1(a), \iota_2(b)] = \iota_1(a)\iota_2(b)\iota_1(a)^{-1}\iota_2(b)^{-1}$ . What we want to compute is the dotted map  $\tilde{\tau}_{A,B}^{\text{Gp}}$  rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B & \xrightarrow{\theta_{A,B}} & A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\iota_{A,B}} & A +_2 B \\ \tilde{\tau}_{A,B}^{\text{Gp}} \downarrow & & \tau_{A,B}^{\text{Gp}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{tw} \\ B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A & \xrightarrow{\theta_{B,A}} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\iota_{B,A}} & B +_2 A \end{array}$$

commutative where  $\iota_{A,B}$  and  $\iota_{B,A}$  are the set-theoretic inclusions and

$$\text{tw} := \langle \iota_2, \iota_1 \rangle: A +_2 B \rightarrow B +_2 A$$

is the twist map. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tw} \circ \theta_{A,B}(a \otimes b) &= \text{tw}(\iota_1(a)\iota_2(b)\iota_1(a)^{-1}\iota_2(b)^{-1}) \\ &= \iota_2(a)\iota_1(b)\iota_2(a)^{-1}\iota_1(b)^{-1} \\ &= (\iota_1(b)\iota_2(a)\iota_1(b)^{-1}\iota_2(a)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \theta_{B,A}(b \otimes a)^{-1} \\ &= \theta_{B,A}(-b \otimes a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the symmetry isomorphism  $\tilde{\tau}_{A,B}^{\text{Gp}}: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$  is given by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{A,B}(a \otimes b) = (\theta_{B,A})^{-1} \circ \text{tw} \circ \theta_{A,B}(a \otimes b) = -b \otimes a,$$

that is,  $\tilde{\tau}^{\text{Gp}} = -\tau$  where  $\tau$  is the canonical twist of the tensor product.

**5.2. Loops.** In the category of loops essentially the same result holds: for loops  $X$  and  $Y$  we have  $X \otimes Y \cong \text{Ab}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ab}(Y)$ . This is a consequence of Theorem 3.7, which in the situation at hand amounts to the fact that the reflector  $\text{Gp}: \text{Gp} \rightarrow \text{Loop}$  commutes with the nilpotentisation functors  $\text{Nil}_2^{\text{Gp}}: \text{Gp} \rightarrow \text{Nil}_2(\text{Gp})$  and  $\text{Nil}_2^{\text{Loop}}: \text{Loop} \rightarrow \text{Nil}_2(\text{Loop})$  in the sense that  $\text{Nil}_2^{\text{Gp}} \circ \text{Gp} = \text{Nil}_2^{\text{Loop}}$ . This makes sense because  $\text{Nil}_2^{\text{Loop}}$  “makes associative”, the associator object  $\llbracket X, X, X \rrbracket$  being contained in the ternary commutator  $[X, X, X]_{\text{Loop}}$  (in  $\text{Loop}$ ) for any loop  $X$ . Thus we see that  $[X, X, X]_{\text{Loop}} \cong [X, X, X]_{\text{Gp}}$  for any group  $X$ . As a consequence,  $\text{Nil}_2(\text{Loop}) \simeq \text{Nil}_2(\text{Gp})$  and the resulting bilinear products coincide. Moreover, the analysis of the symmetry isomorphism we had for groups above remains valid for loops as well.

### 5.3. Commutative associative algebras: the tensor product of $R$ -modules.

Given a unitary commutative ring  $R$ , the category  $\text{UAlg}_R$  of unitary commutative associative algebras over  $R$  is the coslice category  $(R \downarrow \text{CRing})$  where  $\text{CRing}$  is the category of unitary commutative rings. Instead of the non-pointed category  $\text{UAlg}_R$  we consider its semi-abelian slice  $\text{CAlg}_R = (\text{UAlg}_R \downarrow 0)$ —where  $0$  denotes the initial object of  $\text{UAlg}_R$ , i.e. the ring  $R$ —of non-unitary commutative associative algebras over  $R$ . (As usual, we remove the unit by adding an augmentation.) As explained in [CJ03], given objects  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $\text{CAlg}_R$ , the cosmash product  $X \diamond Y$  is the ordinary tensor product  $X \otimes_R Y$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  over  $R$ , because  $X + Y = X \oplus Y \oplus (X \otimes_R Y)$  with the obvious multiplication. So the classical tensor product of commutative associative algebras is captured as a cosmash product, which implies that the cosmash product is associative. Associativity is actually rare for a cosmash product: see [RVdLV23] where this is explained.

Then what is the bilinear product in  $\text{CAlg}_R$ ? We have to divide out the images of the ternary cosmash products  $X \diamond X \diamond Y = X \otimes_R X \otimes_R Y$  and  $X \diamond Y \diamond Y = X \otimes_R Y \otimes_R Y$ , so that  $X \otimes Y \cong \text{Ab}(X) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(Y)$ . (In this category, abelianisation kills the multiplication.)

Thus, when restricting  $\otimes$  to  $\text{Mod}_R \simeq \text{Ab}(\text{CAlg}_R)$ , we regain the tensor product of  $R$ -modules. For instance, the bilinear product of non-unitary commutative rings  $X$  and  $Y$  is  $\text{Ab}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ab}(Y)$ , so that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -tensor product on  $\text{Ab}$  is regained as “intrinsic tensor product” of the category  $\text{CRng} = \text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Here, the symmetry isomorphism  $A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$  is just the usual twist map  $\tau: A \otimes_R B \rightarrow B \otimes_R A$ . So, even though when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  we find the same tensor product  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$  on  $\text{Ab} \cong \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  as in Subsection 5.1, the induced symmetry isomorphisms are different, which proves that the shape of the symmetry isomorphism depends on the surrounding semi-abelian category in which the bilinear product is computed.

**5.4. Non-commutative algebras.** The case of non-commutative algebras is different, and provides an example of a situation where we do *not* find the ordinary tensor product of  $R$ -modules out of a construction in a bigger category of which  $\text{Mod}_R$  forms the abelian core. This illustrates how the bilinear product depends on the surrounding category.

In the category  $\text{Alg}_R$  of non-unitary non-commutative associative algebras over a commutative unitary ring  $R$ , sums are computed as follows [Ber65]. Given algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  we write  $(A_1, A_2)_n$  for the alternating tensor product over  $R$  of length  $n$ : so  $(A_1, A_2)_0 = R$ ,  $(A_1, A_2)_1 = A_1$ ,  $(A_1, A_2)_2 = A_1 \otimes_R A_2$ ,  $(A_1, A_2)_3 = A_1 \otimes_R A_2 \otimes_R A_1$ , etc. Then the coproduct of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  is

$$A_1 + A_2 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} ((A_1, A_2)_n \oplus (A_2, A_1)_n)$$

with multiplication  $(A_{i_1}, A_{i_2})_k \otimes (A_{j_1}, A_{j_2})_l \rightarrow (A_{i_1}, A_{i_2})_m$  induced either by the multiplication on  $A_{j_1}$  (if the last term in the tensor product  $(A_{i_1}, A_{i_2})_k$  is  $A_{j_1}$ ; then we take  $m = k + l - 1$ ) or by identities (if not; then we take  $m = k + l$ ).

It follows that for three given algebras  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ , their cosmash product  $A_1 \diamond A_2 \diamond A_3$  is a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 3} (A_1, A_2, A_3)_n$$

where  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)_n$  sums all possible tensor products of length  $n$  in  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  such that any two adjacent factors are different. So, for instance, the products  $A_1 \otimes_R A_2 \otimes_R A_3 \otimes_R A_2$  and  $A_3 \otimes_R A_2 \otimes_R A_1 \otimes_R A_2$  are terms in  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)_4$ , but  $A_1 \otimes_R A_2 \otimes_R A_3 \otimes_R A_3$  and  $A_1 \otimes_R A_2 \otimes_R A_1 \otimes_R A_2$  are not.

When computing the bilinear product  $X \otimes Y$ , we now have to divide the images of  $X \diamond X \diamond Y$  and  $X \diamond Y \diamond Y$  out of  $X \diamond Y = \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} ((X, Y)_n \oplus (Y, X)_n)$ . It is clear that this quotient simply makes the terms of length three and higher vanish, so

$$\begin{aligned} X \otimes Y &\cong (\text{Ab}(X) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(Y)) \oplus (\text{Ab}(Y) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(X)) \\ &= 2 \cdot (\text{Ab}(X) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(Y)). \end{aligned}$$

In this example, the symmetry isomorphism  $A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$  sends  $(a \otimes b) \oplus (b' \otimes a')$  to  $(b' \otimes a') \oplus (a \otimes b)$ .

When  $R$  is a field, we find an example showing that a bilinear product need not be associative, nor have a unit. On the one hand, it suffices to compare the products  $X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$  and  $(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ ; while on the other, we note that if  $I$  is a unit, then

$$R = I \otimes R = (I \otimes_R R) \oplus (R \otimes_R I) = I \oplus I,$$

which gives a contradiction with the fact that  $R$  is one-dimensional as a vector space over itself.

**5.5. Lie algebras and the tensor product of  $R$ -modules.** Lie algebras over  $R$  behave quite similarly to commutative associative algebras—here also we regain the tensor product of  $R$ -modules when restricting the tensor to abelian objects. This may be explained by the fact that Lie brackets are antisymmetric. The two-nilpotent core of  $\text{Lie}_R$  consists of algebras  $(X, [-, -])$  where  $[x, [y, z]] = 0$  and  $[x, y] = -[y, x]$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Hence the coproduct  $X +_2 Y$  in  $\text{Nil}_2(\text{Lie}_R)$  of two abelian Lie algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \oplus B \oplus (A \otimes_R B)$ , so that  $A \diamond B$  is  $A \otimes_R B$ , and  $X \otimes Y \cong \text{Ab}(X) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(Y)$  for all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\text{Lie}_R$ . Here the symmetry isomorphisms are as in Subsection 5.3.

**5.6. Leibniz algebras.** Similarly to the difference between commutative and non-commutative algebras, we may now compare the Lie algebra with the Leibniz algebra tensor product. Leibniz algebras being “non-antisymmetric Lie algebras”, we may expect a similar result. Recall from [Lod93] that for a field  $R$ , an object  $X$  in  $\text{Leib}_R$  is an  $R$ -vector space with a bilinear bracket  $[-, -]: X \times X \rightarrow X$  that satisfies the identity

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y]$$

for  $x, y, z \in X$ . And indeed, using that the coproduct  $A +_2 B$  in  $\text{Nil}_2(\text{Leib}_R)$  of two abelian Leibniz algebras  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \oplus B \oplus (A \otimes_R B) \oplus (B \otimes_R A)$ , in accordance with [CP00], we obtain the isomorphism

$$X \otimes Y \cong (\text{Ab}(X) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(Y)) \oplus (\text{Ab}(Y) \otimes_R \text{Ab}(X)).$$

The symmetry isomorphisms are as in Subsection 5.4.

**5.7. Heyting semilattices.** The semi-abelian category  $\text{HSLat}$  of Heyting semilattices is arithmetical [Ped95, BB04, Joh04], which implies that the only abelian object in  $\text{HSLat}$  is the zero semilattice. As a consequence, all bilinear products in  $\text{HSLat}$  are trivial. For the same reason, bilinear products vanish in the dual of the category of pointed objects in any topos [Bou04b], in the categories of *boolean* and *von Neumann regular* rings [BB04] and in the category of  $C^*$ -algebras [GR04].

**5.8. Abelian categories.** On the other end of the spectrum we have the context of abelian categories. Here also all bilinear products vanish, because all cosmack products are trivial. To obtain the bilinear product of modules over a commutative ring  $R$ , those modules may be considered as commutative algebras over  $R$  (with a trivial multiplication  $x \cdot y = 0$ ) as in 5.3.

**5.9. Sheaves of abelian groups.** The usual tensor product of sheaves of abelian groups (for a certain topology  $\mathcal{T}$ ) coincides with the bilinear product in the semi-abelian category  $\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Nil}_2(\text{Gp}))$  of sheaves of two-nilpotent groups. Indeed, the tensor product of two sheaves is the sheafification of their tensor product as pre-sheaves, which is taken pointwise. Following 5.1, these pointwise tensor products may be computed in  $\text{Nil}_2(\text{Gp})$ . Now it suffices to note that sheafification is an exact functor. Note that here the symmetry isomorphisms are pointwise as in Subsection 5.1.

**5.10. Beck modules.** In Section 11, we study the bilinear product in categories of internal actions, which leads to a tensor product of Beck modules [Bec03, BB69]. We recover the known tensor products of representations of groups and Lie algebras as special cases.

**5.11. Internal crossed modules.** We show that the tensor product of crossed modules of groups introduced in [Pir00] is intrinsic, and prove a general formula for bilinear products of internal crossed modules [Jan03, HVdL13] in a given semi-abelian category.

In a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , let  $(G, A, \partial: A \rightarrow G)$  and  $(H, B, \delta: B \rightarrow H)$  be two abelian crossed modules (= morphisms in  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ ). We shall first of all prove that in the category  $\mathbf{XMod}(\mathbb{X})$ , the bilinear product  $(G, A, \partial) \otimes (H, B, \delta)$  coincides with  $(G \otimes H, \text{Coker}(\alpha), \epsilon)$ , where the cokernel of

$$\alpha = (\partial \otimes 1_B, -1_A \otimes \delta): A \otimes B \rightarrow (G \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes H)$$

is taken in  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ , and the morphism  $\epsilon: \text{Coker}(\alpha) \rightarrow G \otimes H$  is induced by

$$\langle 1_G \otimes \delta, \partial \otimes 1_H \rangle: (G \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes H) \rightarrow G \otimes H.$$

We compute the bilinear product of two abelian crossed modules in  $\mathbb{X}$  as the internal groupoid induced by the cosmash product of the respective associated reflexive graphs in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$ . By Theorem 3.7, the associated groupoid construction does indeed commute with the functor

$$\mathbf{Nil}_2: \mathbf{RG}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbf{RG}(\mathbb{X})) = \mathbf{RG}(\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})).$$

In order to obtain the internal groupoid universally induced by the cosmash product in the latter category, which is the reflexive graph (in fact, groupoid)

$$(G \oplus A) \otimes (H \oplus B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_G \otimes \pi_H} \\ \xrightleftharpoons{(1_G \partial) \otimes (1_H \delta)} \end{array} G \otimes H \quad (\mathbf{B})$$

included in

$$(G \oplus A) +_2 (H \oplus B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_G +_2 \pi_H} \\ \xrightleftharpoons{(1_G \partial) +_2 (1_H \delta)} \end{array} G +_2 H,$$

by [HVdL13, Theorem 5.2] (combined with [HVdL13, Example 4.7], to see that the ternary commutator mentioned in the theorem vanishes) we must divide out the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{Ker}(\pi_G +_2 \pi_H), \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle +_2 \langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)] \\ & \triangleleft (G \oplus A) \otimes (H \oplus B) \\ & = (G \otimes H) \oplus (G \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes H) \oplus (A \otimes B) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$ . Note that this commutator, which is originally obtained as a subobject of  $(G \oplus A) +_2 (H \oplus B)$ , is indeed contained in the abelian object  $(G \oplus A) \otimes (H \oplus B)$ , because the reflexive graph

$$(G \oplus A) \times_2 (H \oplus B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_G \times_2 \pi_H} \\ \xrightleftharpoons{(1_G \partial) \times_2 (1_H \delta)} \end{array} G \times_2 H$$

is a groupoid as well. Now  $\text{Ker}(\pi_G +_2 \pi_H)$  is the normal closure of

$$\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\pi_G) \vee \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\pi_H)$$

in  $(G \oplus A) +_2 (H \oplus B)$ , so by [HL13, Proposition 4.14] the join

$$\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\pi_G) \vee \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\pi_H) \vee [\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\pi_G) \vee \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\pi_H), (G \oplus A) +_2 (H \oplus B)],$$

while  $\text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle +_2 \langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)$  is the normal closure of

$$\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle) \vee \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)$$

in  $(G \oplus A) +_2 (H \oplus B)$ , so the join

$$\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle) \vee \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)$$

$$\vee [\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle) \vee \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_H, \delta \rangle), (G \oplus A) +_2 (H \oplus B)].$$

Using Lemma 1.6, we thus find that the commutator

$$[\text{Ker}(\pi_G +_2 \pi_H), \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle +_2 \langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)]$$

decomposes as

$$\begin{aligned} & [\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\pi_G), \iota_1 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle)] \vee [\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_G, \partial \rangle), \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\pi_H)] \\ & \vee [\iota_1 \text{Ker}(\pi_G), \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)] \vee [\iota_2 \text{Ker}(\pi_H), \iota_2 \text{Ker}(\langle 1_H, \delta \rangle)] \end{aligned}$$

since all higher-order commutators vanish by two-nilpotency of  $\text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$ . Here, only the two mixed middle terms are non-trivial, because  $G \oplus A$  and  $H \oplus B$  are abelian objects. Their join may be obtained through the image of the morphism

$$\langle \begin{pmatrix} -\partial \\ 1_A \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1_B \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1_A \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -\delta \\ 1_B \end{pmatrix} \rangle: (A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes B) \rightarrow (G \oplus A) \otimes (H \oplus B),$$

which factors over the kernel of  $\pi_G \otimes \pi_H$  to the morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} (-\partial) \otimes 1_B & 0 \\ 0 & 1_A \otimes (-\delta) \\ 1_{A \otimes B} & 1_{A \otimes B} \end{pmatrix}: (A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes B) \rightarrow (G \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes H) \oplus (A \otimes B).$$

It is clear that its cokernel coincides with  $\text{Coker}(\alpha)$ , which finishes the proof for abelian crossed modules. The general case now follows easily once we take into account that for a crossed module  $(G, A, \mu, \partial)$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ , its abelianisation is

$$(G/[G, G], A/[A, G], \bar{\partial})$$

with  $\bar{\partial}$  the induced morphism: simply substitute this in the above construction.

Considered as an operation on abelian crossed modules, this bilinear product has a unit as soon as the underlying bilinear product  $\otimes$  in  $\text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  has one: it is easily seen to be  $(I, 0, 0)$ , where  $I$  is the unit in  $\text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ . Note that this unit does not necessarily coincide with the free object of rank one (which exists in case  $\mathbb{X}$  is a variety).

In the case of groups, for instance, this free object is the normal closure of the coproduct inclusion  $\iota_1: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}, \kappa_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}})$  where  $\kappa_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}}: \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$  is the kernel of  $\langle 1_{\mathbb{Z}}, 0 \rangle: \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , which has  $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, i_{\mathbb{Z}})$  for its abelianisation. Then we have, for example,

$$(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, i_{\mathbb{Z}}) \otimes (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}, i_{\mathbb{Z}}) = (\mathbb{Z}^4, \mathbb{Z}^3, i_{\mathbb{Z}^3}).$$

For associativity, the same result holds: if  $\otimes$  is associative on  $\text{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  then so is the cosmash product of reflexive graphs in  $\text{Nil}_2(\mathbb{X})$ , because its construction is degree-wise; hence  $\text{Ab}(\text{XMod}(\mathbb{X}))$  has an associative bilinear product. By Proposition 7.4, it determines a symmetric monoidal closed structure on  $\text{Ab}(\text{XMod}(\mathbb{X}))$ .

In any case, the symmetry isomorphism is induced by the respective symmetry isomorphisms in the underlying category.

**5.12. Internal precrossed modules.** The example of internal precrossed modules in a semi-abelian category is already implicit in the above: now we do not need to reflect to internal groupoids, so the bilinear product of two abelian precrossed modules  $(G, A, \partial: A \rightarrow G)$  and  $(H, B, \delta: B \rightarrow H)$  simply is the normalisation of the reflexive graph **(B)**, which is the abelian (pre)crossed module

$$(G \otimes H, (G \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes H) \oplus (A \otimes B), \langle 1_G \otimes \delta, \partial \otimes 1_H, \partial \otimes \delta \rangle).$$

This agrees with the definition proposed in [AL06] in the case of crossed modules of groups.

The difference between 5.12 and 5.11 provides another example showing that the bilinear product of objects in an abelian category may depend on the surrounding semi-abelian category in which it is computed—note that an abelian precrossed

module is automatically an abelian crossed module, so that the two abelian cores coincide.

Also in this case, the symmetry isomorphism is induced from the base category.

## 6. THE BILINEAR PRODUCT OF ALGEBRAS OVER A REDUCED SYMMETRIC OPERAD IN $R$ -MODULES

**6.1. Recollections on (right) symmetric operads and their algebras.** We briefly recall the notion of symmetric operad in the category of modules over a given commutative ring (which is, for instance, nicely explained in the *Wikipedia* article on operads [Ope25]); however, we need operads to act on the right on their algebras and thus have to adapt the definitions; for convenience of the reader we write them out, as follows.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Recall that a (*constant-free*)<sup>4</sup> *right symmetric operad* in the standard monoidal category of  $R$ -modules, or **right symmetric operad**<sup>5</sup> in  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{P}$  for short, is a sequence of  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  with  $n \geq 1$  together with  $R$ -linear left actions of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  denoted by  $\star$ , an element  $1 \in \mathcal{P}(1)$  called the **identity** of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $R$ -linear maps

$$\gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n} = \gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}^{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}(k_1) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(k_n) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(k_1 + \cdots + k_n)$$

for  $n, k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1$  called **composition operations** of  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfying the **coherence axioms** below, where  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\theta_i \in \mathcal{P}(k_i)$ .

- **Identity axiom:**  $\gamma_{1, \dots, 1; n}(1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \theta) = \theta = \gamma_{n; 1}(\theta \otimes 1)$ .
- **Associativity axiom:** Given in addition  $\theta_{i,j} \in \mathcal{P}(l_{i,j})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, k_i$ , then for  $s_i = l_{i,1} + \cdots + l_{i,k_i}$ ,  $l_i = l_{i,1}, \dots, l_{i,k_i}$  and  $\underline{l} = l_{1,1}, \dots, l_{1,k_1}, \dots, l_{n,1}, \dots, l_{n,k_n}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{s_1, \dots, s_n; n}(\gamma_{l_1; k_1}(\theta_{1,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{1,k_1} \otimes \theta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{l_n; k_n}(\theta_{n,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{n,k_n} \otimes \theta_n) \otimes \theta) \\ &= \gamma_{l; k_1 + \dots + k_n}(\theta_{1,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{1,k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{n,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{n,k_n} \otimes \gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}(\theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_n \otimes \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

- **Equivariance axioms:**

(1) Given a permutation  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,

$$\gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}(\theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_n \otimes (t \star \theta)) = t' * \gamma_{k_{t(1)}, \dots, k_{t(n)}; n}(\theta_{t(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{t(n)} \otimes \theta)$$

where  $t'$  on the right hand side refers to the element of  $\mathfrak{S}_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}$  that acts on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k_1 + \dots + k_n\}$  by breaking it into  $n$  blocks, the first of size  $k_1$ , the second of size  $k_2$ , through the  $n$ -th block of size  $k_n$ , and then permutes these  $n$  blocks by  $t^{-1}$ , keeping each block intact.

(2) Given  $n$  permutations  $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{k_i}$ ,

$$\gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}((s_1 \star \theta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (s_n \star \theta_n) \otimes \theta) = (s_1, \dots, s_n) * \gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}(\theta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_n \otimes \theta)$$

where  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  denotes the element of  $\mathfrak{S}_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}$  that permutes the first of these blocks by  $s_1$ , the second by  $s_2$ , etc., and keeps their overall order intact.

In order to make the structure of  $\mathcal{P}(1)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(2)$  more explicit we recall the notion of (binary) *wreath product* of associative  $R$ -algebras. For any  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , the **wreath product**  $(A \otimes_R A) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2$  is given by

$$(A \otimes_R A) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2 := (A \otimes_R A) \oplus (A \otimes_R A).t$$

with multiplication defined by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2 + (b_1 \otimes b_2).t)(a'_1 \otimes a'_2 + (b'_1 \otimes b'_2).t) :=$$

<sup>4</sup>Sometimes such operads, where  $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$ , are called **reduced** operads.

<sup>5</sup>This should be read as “right (symmetric operad)”, not “(right symmetric) operad”.

$$(a_1 a'_1 \otimes a_2 a'_2 + b_1 b'_1 \otimes b_2 b'_1) + (a_1 b'_1 \otimes a_2 b'_2 + b_1 a'_1 \otimes b_2 a'_1).t$$

for  $a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i \in A$  and where  $t$  denotes the generator of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  of order 2.

*Remark 6.2.* Note that  $\mathcal{P}(1)$  endowed with the multiplication

$$\gamma_{1,1}: \mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(1)$$

is an  $R$ -algebra whose unit is the identity 1 of the operad. Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}(2)$  is a  $(\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2$ - $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -bimodule whose left  $(\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2$ -module structure is given by  $\gamma_{1,1;2}$  as for the action of  $\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)$ , and its right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -module structure is given by  $\gamma_{2,1}$ ; they commute with each other by the associativity axiom.

An **algebra over  $\mathcal{P}$**  or simply  **$\mathcal{P}$ -algebra** is an  $R$ -module  $A$  endowed with operations

$$\mu_n = \mu_n^A: A^{\otimes_{R^n}} \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow A$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\mu_1(a \otimes 1) = a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{k_1+\dots+k_n}(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k_1+\dots+k_n} \otimes \gamma_{k_1,\dots,k_n;n}(\theta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_n \otimes \theta)) \\ &= \mu_n(\mu_{k_1}(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k_1} \otimes \theta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_{k_n}(a_{k_1+\dots+k_{n-1}+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{k_1+\dots+k_n} \otimes \theta_n) \otimes \theta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu_n(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes (t \star \theta)) = \mu_n(a_{t(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{t(n)} \otimes \theta)$$

for  $a, a_i \in A$  and  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\theta_i \in \mathcal{P}(k_i)$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ .

*Remark 6.3.* Note that a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$  has a natural structure of *right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -module* via the map  $\mu_1$ , and that  $\mu_2$  induces a homomorphism of right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -modules

$$\mu'_2: (A \otimes_R A) \otimes_{(\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2} \mathcal{P}(2) \rightarrow A$$

where the generator  $t$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  acts on  $A \otimes_R A$  by the twist  $\tau: a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$ .

Now let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras. A **morphism of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras** from  $A$  to  $B$  is an  $R$ -linear map  $f: A \rightarrow B$  commuting with the operations  $\mu_n$ , that is,

$$f(\mu_n^A(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes \theta)) = \mu_n^B(f(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f(a_n) \otimes \theta)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_i \in A$  and  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ . The category of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras  $\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}$  clearly is a variety of universal algebras. It is, moreover, semi-abelian, because it is a *variety of  $\Omega$ -groups* in the sense of [Hig56], as a group structure is part of the underlying module structure of the objects; pointedness is guaranteed because the operads we consider are constant-free—recall Footnote 4 on page 26.

**6.4. Nilpotency of operads and of their algebras.** As expected, for algebras over operads in  $R$ -modules, the notions of nilpotency of class  $\leq m$  in the operadic sense and in our categorical sense are equivalent; we here show this only for  $m \in \{1, 2\}$  as this suffices for our purposes, and as the proof of the general case requires additional techniques of functor calculus for functors between semi-abelian categories, in particular the notion and properties of polynomial functors [Har19]—which exceeds the scope of the present paper.

We start by recalling the usual operadic definitions of nilpotency:

**Definition 6.5.** Let  $m \geq 1$ . An operad  $\mathcal{P}$  as above is said to be  **$m$ -step nilpotent** if  $\mathcal{P}(n) = 0$  for all  $n > m$ . A  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be  **$m$ -step nilpotent** if  $\mu_n^A = 0$  for all  $n > m$ . We denote by  $\text{NIL}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}$  whose objects are the  $m$ -step nilpotent  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras.

Note that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $m$ -step nilpotent then so are all its algebras.

*Remark 6.6.* Note that by Remark 6.2, a 2-step nilpotent right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules is nothing but an  $R$ -algebra with unit  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ , together with a  $((\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2)$ - $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{P}(2)$ .

Moreover, by Remark 6.3, a 1-step nilpotent  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra is nothing but a right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -module, so  $\text{NIL}_1(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}(1)}$ . Still following [Har19], a 2-step nilpotent  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$  can be described as follows:  $A$  is a right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -module and has a sub- $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -module  $D = D(A)$  (called the submodule of **decomposables** of  $A$ ) together with a surjective homomorphism of right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -modules

$$\overline{\mu}_2 = \overline{\mu}_2^A : (\overline{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{A}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2} \mathcal{P}(2) \twoheadrightarrow D(A)$$

where  $\overline{A} = A/D(A)$ .

Next we review *nilpotentisation* of operads and their algebras.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Consider  $m \geq 1$ , a right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{P}$ , and a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$ .*

- (1) *A right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules  $\text{Nil}_m(\mathcal{P})$  is derived from  $\mathcal{P}$  by truncation at degree  $m$ , that is,  $\text{Nil}_m(\mathcal{P})(n) = \mathcal{P}(n)$  if  $n \leq m$  and  $\text{Nil}_m(\mathcal{P})(n) = 0$  if  $n > m$ , and where  $\gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}^{\text{Nil}_m(\mathcal{P})}$  equals  $\gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}^{\mathcal{P}}$  if  $k_1 + \dots + k_n \leq m$  and equals 0 otherwise.*
- (2) *An  $m$ -step nilpotent  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $\text{NIL}_m(A)$  is defined by  $\text{NIL}_m(A) = A/J_{m+1}$  with  $J_n = J_n(A) = \sum_{k \geq n} \text{Im}(\mu_k^A)$  and  $\mu_n^{\text{NIL}_m(A)}$  being induced by  $\mu_n^A$ .*

*Proof.* For (1) we just need to check that the subsequence

$$I = (0, \dots, 0, \mathcal{P}(m+1), \mathcal{P}(m+2), \dots)$$

of the sequence of  $R$ -modules  $(\mathcal{P}(1), \mathcal{P}(2), \dots)$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{P}$ ; then  $\text{Nil}_m(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}/I$ . Indeed, consider an operation  $\gamma = \gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_n; n}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ . If  $k_i > m$  for some  $i$ , then  $k_1 + \dots + k_n > m$  and hence the target module  $\mathcal{P}(k_1 + \dots + k_n)$  of  $\gamma$  equals  $I_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}$ . If  $n > m$  then again  $k_1 + \dots + k_n > m$  since  $k_i \geq 1$  for all  $i$ , noting that we assume  $\mathcal{P}$  to be constant-free.

For (2), we verify that  $J_{m+1}$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_p \in A$  and  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(p)$ . Suppose that for some  $i$  between 1 and  $p$ , we have  $a_i = \mu_q(a'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a'_q \otimes \theta')$  for  $q > m$ ,  $a'_1, \dots, a'_q \in A$  and  $\theta' \in \mathcal{P}(q)$ . If  $i = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_p(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_p \otimes \theta) \\ &= \mu_p(\mu_q(a'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a'_q \otimes \theta') \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_p \otimes \theta) \\ &= \mu_{p+q-1}(a'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a'_q \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_p \otimes \gamma_{q, 1, \dots, 1; p}(\theta' \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

But  $p + q - 1 > m$ , so  $\mu_p(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_p \otimes \theta) \in J$ . For  $i = 2, \dots, p$  the argument is analogous.  $\square$

Note that  $\text{NIL}_m(A)$  is  $m$ -step nilpotent and  $\text{NIL}_m$  canonically defines a functor  $\text{NIL}_m : \text{Alg-}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{NIL}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$  which is a Birkhoff reflector whose unit is the canonical quotient map  $\eta_m^A : A \rightarrow \text{NIL}_m(A)$ . Note that  $\text{NIL}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$  is semi-abelian as a subvariety of a semi-abelian category; in fact,  $\text{NIL}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Alg-Nil}_m(\mathcal{P})$  (which also shows that  $\text{NIL}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$  is a semi-abelian variety).  $\text{Nil}_m(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\text{NIL}_m(A)$  are called the  $m$ -**nilpotentisation** of  $\mathcal{P}$  and of  $A$ , respectively.

We are now ready to compare the operadic notion of nilpotency with the categorical one, recalled in Section 3; this amounts to comparing the respective notions of lower central series.

**Lemma 6.8.** *For an operad  $\mathcal{P}$  as above and a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$  we have  $J_n(A) \subseteq \gamma_n(A)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \geq n \geq 1$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$  and  $x \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k^A(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \otimes x) &= \nabla_A^k \mu_k^{A+k}(\iota_1(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \iota_k(a_k) \otimes x) \\ &\in \gamma_k(A) \subseteq \gamma_n(A) \end{aligned}$$

because  $\mu_k^{A+k}(\iota_1(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \iota_k(a_k) \otimes x) \in A^{\diamond k}$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}_i \mu_k^{A+k}(\iota_1(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \iota_k(a_k) \otimes x) \\ = \mu_k^{A+(k-1)}(\iota_1(a_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \iota_{i-1}(a_{i-1}) \otimes 0 \otimes \iota_{i+1}(a_{i+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \iota_k(a_k) \otimes x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .  $\square$

Note that this implies that  $\text{Nil}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$  is a full subcategory of  $\text{NIL}_m(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$ .

**Proposition 6.9.** *For any right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules we have*

$$\text{Ab}(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Nil}_1(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{NIL}_1(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}(1)}.$$

*Proof.* We already know that

$$\text{Ab}(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Nil}_1(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{NIL}_1(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}(1)}$$

so only need to show that every 1-step nilpotent  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$  is an abelian object of  $\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}$ . Indeed, the homomorphism  $s: A \times A \rightarrow A: (a, b) \mapsto s(a, b) = a + b$  is  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -linear and hence commutes with  $\mu_1$ . It further commutes with all operations  $\mu_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , since these are trivial on both sides.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a 2-step nilpotent right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules and let  $A, B$  be  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras. Using the description of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras in Remark 6.6, their coproduct  $A + B$  in  $\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}$  is given as follows.*

$$A + B = A \oplus B \oplus (\bar{A} \otimes_R \bar{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2)$$

as right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -modules;

$$D(A + B) = D(A) \oplus D(B) \oplus (\bar{A} \otimes_R \bar{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2)$$

whence  $\overline{A + B} = \bar{A} \oplus \bar{B}$ . Then the map  $\overline{\mu_2^{A+B}}$  is induced by the  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -linear map  $\tilde{\mu}_2: \bar{A} + \bar{B} \otimes_R \bar{A} + \bar{B} \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(2) \rightarrow D(A + B)$  given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mu_2^A} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mu_2^B} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \tau \otimes t' \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{A} \otimes_R \bar{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(2)) \oplus (\bar{B} \otimes_R \bar{B} \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(2)) \oplus (\bar{A} \otimes_R \bar{B} \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(2)) \oplus (\bar{B} \otimes_R \bar{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(2)) \\ &\quad \downarrow \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ &D(A) \oplus D(B) \oplus ((\bar{A} \otimes_R \bar{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2)) \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\tau$  denotes the twist of the tensor product and  $t'$  the map given by the action of  $t$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that the map  $\tilde{\mu}_2$  factors through

$$(\overline{A + B} \otimes_R \overline{A + B}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)) \circ \mathfrak{S}_2} \mathcal{P}(2),$$

hence  $A + B$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra. Moreover, the obvious injections  $\iota_1, \iota_2$  of  $A, B$  into  $A + B$ , respectively, are maps of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras. If  $C$  is another  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra and  $f: A \rightarrow C, g: B \rightarrow C$  are maps of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras then the map  $\langle f, g \rangle: A + B \rightarrow C$  defined such that

$$\langle f, g \rangle(a, b, a' \otimes b' \otimes x) = f(a) + g(b) + \overline{\mu_2^C}(f(a') \otimes g(b') \otimes x)$$

for  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $b, b' \in B$  and  $x \in P(2)$  is a map of  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras such that  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\iota_1} = f$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\iota_2} = g$ , and is unique with respect to this property.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a 2-step nilpotent right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$A \diamond B \cong (\bar{A} \otimes_R \bar{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2)$$

of right  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ -modules—that is, of abelian  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras, by Proposition 6.9—for all  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebras  $A, B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.12.** *For an operad  $\mathcal{P}$  as above and a  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$  we have  $\gamma_n(A) = J_n(A)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

We here prove only the cases  $n = 1, 2, 3$  of interest in this paper. The general case is an immediate application of a characterisation in terms of functor calculus of the lower central series among all natural filtrations [Har19].

*Proof.* The case  $n = 1$  is trivial, since  $\gamma_1(A) = A = J_1$ . The case  $n = 2$  follows from Proposition 6.9. For  $n = 3$  we use Theorem 3.7 on the functor

$$F = \text{NIL}_2: \text{Alg-}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Alg-Nil}_2(\mathcal{P})$$

to obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \text{Nil}_2^{\text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})} \circ \text{NIL}_2 \Rightarrow \text{NIL}_2 \circ \text{Nil}_2^{\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}}$$

such that

$$\alpha_A \circ (\text{nil}_2^{\text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})})_{\text{NIL}_2(A)} \circ \eta_2^A = \text{NIL}_2((\text{nil}_2^{\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}})_A) \circ \eta_2^A$$

for any  $\mathcal{P}$ -algebra  $A$ . Now by Corollary 6.11, the binary cosmas product of the category  $\text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Alg-Nil}_2(\mathcal{P})$  is bilinear, so by Proposition 2.8 the category  $\text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})$  is two-nilpotent. Hence  $\gamma_3(A/J_3(A)) = 0$ . On the other hand, Lemma 6.8 implies that  $J_3(A/\gamma_3(A))$  is trivial, so we obtain the next commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A/J_3(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{NIL}_2((\text{nil}_2^{\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}})_A)} & (A/\gamma_3(A))/J_3(A/\gamma_3(A)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A/\gamma_3(A) \\ \eta_2^A \nearrow & & \uparrow \alpha_A \cong & & \\ A & & & & \\ \eta_2^A \searrow & & & & \\ A/J_3(A) & \xrightarrow{(\text{nil}_2^{\text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})})_{\text{NIL}_2(A)}} & A/J_3(A)/\gamma_3(A/J_3(A)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A/J_3(A) \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\gamma_3(A) = \text{Ker}(\text{NIL}_2((\text{nil}_2^{\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}})_A) \circ \eta_2^A) \cong \text{Ker}((\text{nil}_2^{\text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P})})_{\text{NIL}_2(A)} \circ \eta_2^A) = J_3(A),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Together with Corollary 6.11, this implies the following computation of the bilinear product of algebras over an algebraic operad, which unifies several of the results in Section 5.

**Corollary 6.13.** *For any right symmetric operad in  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{P}$  we have*

$$\text{Nil}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{NIL}_2(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Alg-Nil}_2(\mathcal{P}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Nil}_2^{\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}} = \text{NIL}_2^{\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$A \otimes B = (A/J_2(A) \otimes_R B/J_2(B)) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2)$$

is the bilinear product of  $\mathbf{Alg}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ , and the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c} (A/J_2(A) \otimes_R B/J_2(B)) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2) \\ \downarrow \tau_{A,B}^{\mathbf{Alg}\text{-}\mathcal{P}} \\ (B/J_2(B) \otimes_R A/J_2(A)) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}(1) \otimes_R \mathcal{P}(1)} \mathcal{P}(2) \end{array}$$

corresponding to the symmetry isomorphism

$$\tau_{A,B}^{\mathbf{Alg}\text{-}\mathcal{P}} : A \diamond B \rightarrow B \diamond A$$

is given by  $\tau_{A,B}^{\mathbf{Alg}\text{-}\mathcal{P}}(a \otimes b \otimes x) = b \otimes a \otimes (t * x)$ .  $\square$

We thus recover the calculation of the bilinear product of commutative, associative and Lie algebras (the latter for  $R$  being a field of characteristic different from 2) in Section 5 by taking  $\mathcal{P}(1) = R$  and  $\mathcal{P}(2)$  to be the one-dimensional trivial, regular and signature representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  with coefficients in  $R$ , respectively.

## 7. VARIETIES OF ALGEBRAS, IN GENERAL

In this section we prove some general results on bilinear products in semi-abelian varieties of algebras. We work towards the Recognition Theorem 7.6 which characterises any symmetric bi-cocontinuous bifunctor on an abelian variety as the bilinear product of a variety of algebras over an operad induced by the given bifunctor.

**7.1. Properties of tensoring with a fixed object of a variety.** We resume the discussion at the end of Section 2 in the case of a variety of algebras  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then the functors  $X \otimes (-) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$  do not just preserve all finite sums, but are actually cocontinuous:

**Proposition 7.2.** *If  $\mathbb{V}$  is a semi-abelian variety of algebras and  $X \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbb{V})$  then the functor  $X \otimes (-) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$  preserves all colimits.*

*Proof.* In any variety of algebras, filtered colimits commute with finite limits (see, for instance, [Bor94]) so  $X \otimes (-)$  preserves filtered colimits (because so do the abelianisation functor and the functors  $\mathbf{Ab}(X) + (-)$  and  $\mathbf{Ab}(X) \times (-)$  in the category  $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbb{V})$ ) and, hence, arbitrary sums, because they are filtered colimits of finite sums, which are preserved by the argument above. It also preserves all coequalisers, since  $X \otimes (-)$  factors through the abelianisation functor  $\mathbf{Ab} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$ , coequalisers in  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$  are calculated as the cokernel of a difference, and the functor  $X \otimes (-) : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$  is right exact by Proposition 4.12.  $\square$

Thus in view of the symmetry of the tensor product (Subsection 2.10) it is bi-cocontinuous.

Combining this with the Special Adjoint Functor Theorem, we see that the functors of the form  $X \otimes (-) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$  are always left adjoints. This follows from the Special Adjoint Functor Theorem combined with [AR94, Theorem 1.58] which explains that any variety of algebras is well-co-powered. Note that as a generating set, we may use the free object on a single generator. Thus we proved:

**Proposition 7.3.** *For any object  $X$  of a semi-abelian variety  $\mathbb{V}$ , the functor*

$$X \otimes (-) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$$

*is a left adjoint.*  $\square$

In case the bilinear product  $\otimes$  admits a unit  $I$  when restricted to  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$ , then the functor  $I \otimes (-) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$  is naturally isomorphic to abelianisation. Note that such a unit need not exist in general: see, for instance, the example of associative non-commutative algebras in Subsection 5.4. However, when a unit does exist, we

find a closed symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$ , as soon as the product is associative:

**Proposition 7.4.** *If  $\mathbb{V}$  is a semi-abelian variety such that the bilinear product  $\otimes$  is associative and admits a unit  $I$  when restricted to  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$ , then  $(\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V}), \otimes, I)$  is a symmetric monoidal closed category.  $\square$*

**7.5. Realisability of bilinear bifunctors on abelian varieties as cosmas products.** We are now ready to give a necessary and sufficient condition for a bilinear (that is, biadditive) bifunctor on an abelian variety  $\mathbb{A}$  (in fact, a variety of modules over a unitary ring) to be realisable as the bilinear product in a semi-abelian variety  $\mathbb{V}$  containing  $\mathbb{A}$  (up to equivalence) as its abelian core  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{V})$ .

**Theorem 7.6** (Recognition Theorem). *Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be an abelian variety of universal algebras and  $B: \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  a bifunctor on  $\mathbb{A}$ . Then there exists a semi-abelian variety  $\mathbb{V}$  whose abelian core is equivalent with  $\mathbb{A}$  and whose cosmas product restricts to the functor  $B$  under this equivalence if and only if  $B$  is symmetric and bi-cocontinuous. Indeed, if these conditions are satisfied, then  $\mathbb{V}$  can be taken to be the category of algebras over a canonical 2-nilpotent reduced (right) symmetric operad in abelian groups  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* The condition is necessary by Proposition 7.2 and the explanation in 2.10. To show that it also is sufficient, suppose that  $B$  is bi-cocontinuous (preserves all colimits in both slots) and symmetric (comes with a natural automorphism  $\tau_B$  such that for morphisms  $f_i: A_i \rightarrow A'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  in  $\mathbb{A}$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(A_1, A_2) & \xrightarrow{B(f_1, f_2)} & B(A'_1, A'_2) \\ (\tau_B)_{A_1, A_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\tau_B)_{A'_1, A'_2} \\ B(A_2, A_1) & \xrightarrow{B(f_2, f_1)} & B(A'_2, A'_1) \end{array}$$

commutes). We first recall a classical setup and a number of related facts. Let  $E$  be a free object of rank 1 of  $\mathbb{A}$  and let  $\Lambda$  be its endomorphism ring. Then the representable functor  $\mathbb{A}(E, -)$  takes values in the category  $\mathbf{Mod}_\Lambda$  of right  $\Lambda$ -modules via precomposition with endomorphisms of  $E$ , and actually is an equivalence by the Gabriel–Mitchell theorem [Fre64], since  $E$  is a small projective generator of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{A}$  has infinite sums. So without any loss of generality, we may suppose that  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbf{Mod}_\Lambda$ .

Denote by  $\Lambda_\Lambda$  the ring  $\Lambda$  viewed as a right  $\Lambda$ -module. For a right  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  and  $m \in M$ , denote by  $f_m: \Lambda_\Lambda \rightarrow M$  the  $\Lambda$ -linear map such that sends 1 to  $m$ . Then the map  $\text{ev}_M: \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda_\Lambda, M) \rightarrow M$  sending  $f$  to  $f(1)$  is an isomorphism of abelian groups, whose inverse sends  $m \in M$  to  $f_m$ . In particular, the map  $\text{ev}_{\Lambda_\Lambda}$  is a ring isomorphism, and  $\text{ev}_M$  is right  $\Lambda$ -linear in the sense that for  $g \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda_\Lambda, M)$  and  $r \in R$  we have  $\text{ev}_M(g \circ \text{ev}_\Lambda^{-1}(r)) = \text{ev}_M(g)r$ .

Now the right  $\Lambda$ -module  $B(\Lambda_\Lambda, \Lambda_\Lambda)$  is an  $\text{End}_\Lambda(\Lambda_\Lambda) \otimes \text{End}_\Lambda(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodule and thus a  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodule via the isomorphism  $\text{ev}_\Lambda$ . For right  $\Lambda$ -modules  $M, N$  let

$$\Phi_{M,N}: (M \otimes_\mathbb{Z} N) \otimes_{\Lambda \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \Lambda} B(\Lambda_\Lambda, \Lambda_\Lambda) \rightarrow B(M, N)$$

be given by  $\Phi_{M,N}(m \otimes n \otimes x) := B(f_m, f_n)(x)$ .  $\Phi$  is easily checked to be a natural transformation of symmetric bifunctors on  $\mathbf{Mod}_\Lambda$  where the symmetry isomorphism on the left, say  $\tau_\otimes$ , is given by  $\tau_\otimes(m \otimes n \otimes x) = n \otimes m \otimes \tau_B(x)$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_{\Lambda, \Lambda}$  is an isomorphism, whence so is  $\Phi$ , since its source and target bifunctor are bi-cocontinuous.

Now we are ready to define the needed 2-step nilpotent right symmetric operad  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Thanks to Proposition 6.6 it is enough to put  $\mathcal{P}(1) = \Lambda$  as a

ring and  $\mathcal{P}(2) = B(\Lambda_\Lambda, \Lambda_\Lambda)$  equipped with the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  given by  $(\tau_B)_{(\Lambda_\Lambda, \Lambda_\Lambda)}$  as a  $(\Lambda \otimes \Lambda) \wr \mathfrak{S}_2$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodule. Then  $\text{Ab}(\text{Alg-}\mathcal{P}) = \text{Mod}_\Lambda$  by Proposition 6.9, and we have natural isomorphisms

$$M \diamond N \xrightarrow{\cong} (M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N) \otimes_{\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda} B(\Lambda_\Lambda, \Lambda_\Lambda) \xrightarrow[\Phi_{M,N}]{\cong} B(M, N)$$

for right  $\Lambda$ -modules  $M, N$  by Corollary 6.11, as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 7.7.* Note that the semi-abelian variety  $\mathbb{V}$  constructed out of  $(\mathbb{A}, B)$  depends on the choice of symmetry isomorphism  $\tau_B$  on  $B$ . This phenomenon does indeed occur in practice, as we saw in Section 5: comparing the examples of groups and commutative rings which both codify  $(\text{Ab}, \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , a different choice of category  $\mathbb{X}$ —either  $\mathbf{Gp}$  or  $\mathbf{CRng}$ —such that  $\otimes_{\mathbb{X}} = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$  on the category  $\text{Ab}$  gives rise to two different symmetry isomorphisms on  $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

We point out that in forthcoming work we give a description of *all* 2-step nilpotent varieties, up to equivalence, containing the variety  $\mathbb{A}$  as its abelian core and whose cosmash product is isomorphic with the given bifunctor  $B$ , provided it is symmetric and bi-cocontinuous. This becomes possible by first proving that just like abelian varieties are known to be categories of modules over unitary rings, 2-step nilpotent varieties are equivalent with categories of modules over square rings [BHP97], as has been suggested to us by Pirashvili in private communication. We also provide an example where two non-equivalent two-nilpotent semi-abelian categories give rise to the same symmetry isomorphism.

## 8. THE GANEA TERM IN SEMI-ABELIAN HOMOLOGY

By Theorem 5.9 in [EVdL04a], any short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \rightrightarrows^k B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{C})$$

induces a five-term exact sequence

$$\text{H}_2 B \longrightarrow \text{H}_2 A \longrightarrow \frac{K}{[K, B]} \longrightarrow \text{H}_1 B \longrightarrow \text{H}_1 A \longrightarrow 0.$$

When  $f$  is central, the sequence simplifies, since then  $[K, B] = 0$ . We prove that, using the tensor product defined above, this resulting sequence may be prolonged with an additional term  $K \otimes B$  on the left called the **Ganea term** (Theorem 8.1). This result was first obtained in the context of groups by Ganea [Gan68, EHS72] and later considered in several other situations [Lue76, Ell87, Cas98, CP00, Pir00, Cas05, AL06]. (Note, however, that this five-term exact sequence is also the tail end of a long exact homology sequence [Eve07, GVdL09].) On the other hand, the result in [Lue76] will *not* be a consequence of the following theorem, since the central extensions considered in that paper are more general.

Here we have to restrict ourselves to the context of an **algebraically coherent** semi-abelian category [CGVdL15]. Algebraic coherence is a relatively mild condition satisfied by many semi-abelian categories, including the categories of groups, crossed modules, and Lie and Leibniz algebras—in fact, all *Orzech categories of interest* [Orz72] are examples—but excluding for instance the category of loops. Formally, it holds when the forgetful functor  $\text{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is coherent (i.e., it does not only preserve finite limits, but also jointly extremal-epimorphic pairs of arrows; further details are given in Section 11). We here use that in such a category, ternary commutators may be conveniently decomposed into a join of binary commutators: indeed, the *Three Subobjects Lemma for normal subobjects* (Theorem 7.1

in [CGVdL15]) states that whenever  $K, L, M$  are normal subobjects of an object  $X$  in an algebraically coherent semi-abelian category,

$$[K, L, M] = [[K, L], M] \vee [[M, K], L]$$

as subobjects of  $X$ . A higher-order version of this result was obtained in the article [SVdL20].

**Theorem 8.1.** *Any central extension (C) in a semi-abelian algebraically coherent category with enough projectives induces a six-term exact sequence*

$$K \otimes B \longrightarrow H_2 B \longrightarrow H_2 A \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H_1 B \twoheadrightarrow H_1 A \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* We adapt the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [EHS72] to the present context. Let  $p: P \rightarrow B$  be a projective presentation of  $B$ , so a regular epimorphism with a projective domain. Let  $R$  denote the kernel of  $p$  and write  $L$  for the kernel of  $f \circ p$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & R & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 L & \xrightarrow{l} & P & \xrightarrow{f \circ p} & A \\
 \downarrow \bar{p} & \lrcorner & \downarrow p & & \parallel \\
 K & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{f} & A
 \end{array} \tag{D}$$

Note that  $f \circ p$  is a presentation of  $A$  since regular epimorphisms compose, so that  $L \rightarrow P \rightarrow A$  is a short exact sequence. Furthermore,  $\bar{p}$  is a regular epimorphism since those are pullback-stable, so that  $R \rightarrow L \rightarrow K$  is a short exact sequence as well. The Hopf formula for  $H_2$  ([EVdL04b]; see [EGVdL08, Theorem 7.2] for an efficient proof, and [RVdL12] for a more explicit account of the notations used here) tells us that  $H_2 f$  is the morphism

$$\frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[R, P]} \rightarrow \frac{L \wedge [P, P]}{[L, P]}$$

canonically induced by the inclusion  $R \hookrightarrow L$ . Since

$$\frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[L, P]} \rightarrow \frac{L \wedge [P, P]}{[L, P]}$$

is a monomorphism (as  $R \leq L$ ), the kernel of  $H_2 f$  coincides with the kernel of the induced corestriction

$$\frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[R, P]} \rightarrow \frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[L, P]}.$$

By the Noether Isomorphism Theorem [BB04, Theorem 4.3.10], this kernel is the quotient  $[L, P]/[R, P]$  (see diagram below).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 [R, P] & \twoheadrightarrow & [L, P] & \longrightarrow & \frac{[L, P]}{[R, P]} \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 [R, P] & \twoheadrightarrow & R \wedge [P, P] & \twoheadrightarrow & \frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[R, P]} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \twoheadrightarrow & \frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[L, P]} & = & \frac{R \wedge [P, P]}{[L, P]}
 \end{array}$$

Hence it suffices to construct a regular epimorphism

$$K \otimes B \cong \left( \frac{L}{R} \otimes \frac{P}{R} \right) \rightarrow \frac{[L, P]}{[R, P]}.$$

(Diagram (D) explains where the isomorphisms come from since  $L \rightarrow K$  and  $P \rightarrow B$  are regular epimorphisms hence cokernels of their kernels.) We only need to check that the canonical morphism  $e_{L,P}^P: L \diamond P \rightarrow [L, P]$ , which is the image of  $\langle l, 1_P \rangle \circ \iota_{L,P}$ , is compatible with the respective quotients (namely, the two quotients by  $R$ , and the one defining the bilinear product).

First of all, by algebraic coherence, we may find the dotted arrow completing the next commutative square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \diamond P & \xrightarrow{e_{L,P}^P} & [L, P] \\ \eta_{L,P} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L \otimes P & \xrightarrow[g]{} & \frac{[L, P]}{[R, P]} \end{array}$$

Indeed, via the Three Subobjects Lemma for normal subobjects [CGVdL15, Theorem 7.1] we see that  $[L, P, P] \leq [P, [L, P]]$ . However,  $[L, P] \leq R$  by centrality of  $f$  (since  $p([L, P]) = [p(L), p(P)] = [K, B]$ , the latter being trivial by definition of centrality). Therefore, we have  $[L, L, P] \leq [L, P, P] \leq [R, P]$  so that the composite  $L \diamond P \rightarrow [L, P] \rightarrow [L, P]/[R, P]$  is trivial on  $N_{L,P} \leq L \diamond P$  and thus, by Proposition 4.2, induces the dotted arrow  $g$ . Note that this  $g$  is a regular epimorphism.

The rest of the proof is explained by means of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R \otimes R & \longrightarrow & R \otimes P & \longrightarrow & R \otimes B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ L \otimes R & \longrightarrow & L \otimes P & \longrightarrow & L \otimes B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K \otimes R & \longrightarrow & K \otimes P & \longrightarrow & K \otimes B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

whose marked square is a pushout since all rows and columns are exact (on the right) by Proposition 4.12. On the one hand,  $g$  vanishes on  $R \otimes P$ , since  $R \leq L$  so  $e_{L,P}^P: L \diamond P \rightarrow [L, P]$  restricts to  $e_{R,P}^P: R \diamond P \rightarrow [R, P]$ , which is then killed by the quotient. On the other hand,  $\text{Im}(L \otimes R) = [L, R] \leq [P, R]$  vanishes in the quotient  $[L, P]/[R, P]$ . Therefore, our morphism  $g: L \otimes P \rightarrow [L, P]/[R, P]$  factors through the cokernels  $L \otimes B$  and  $K \otimes P$ , these two arrows then inducing an arrow from  $K \otimes B$  to  $[L, P]/[R, P]$ , as wanted, by the universal property of the pushout. Finally, this arrow is indeed a regular epimorphism, since so is  $g$ .  $\square$

**8.2. Application: The lower central series.** If  $X$  is  $n$ -nilpotent for some  $n \geq 2$  (as in Subsection 3.4, meaning that  $\gamma_{n+1}(X) = 0$ ), then the induced short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \gamma_n(X) \twoheadrightarrow X \twoheadrightarrow \frac{X}{\gamma_n(X)} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a central extension, because  $[\gamma_n(X), X] \leq \gamma_{n+1}(X)$  [HVDL13, Proposition 2.21]. As a consequence, we find that the sequence

$$\gamma_n(X) \otimes X \longrightarrow H_2(X) \longrightarrow H_2\left(\frac{X}{\gamma_n(X)}\right) \longrightarrow \gamma_n(X)$$

is exact. This generalises a result in [Gan68] from groups to algebraically coherent semi-abelian categories.

## 9. RIGHT EXACTNESS OF CROSS-EFFECTS

We now focus our attention on the proof that bilinear products are right exact. To do so, we will actually prove a more subtle right-exactness result for cross-effects.

**9.1. Preliminaries.** We recall how the definition of cross-effects of functors given in [BP99] in the case of groups extends to a general categorical framework [HL13, HV11].

Let  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a functor from a pointed category with finite sums  $\mathbb{C}$  to a pointed finitely complete category  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , the  $n$ -th cross-effect of  $F$  is the functor

$$\text{cr}_n(F): \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D},$$

a multi-functor defined by  $\text{cr}_n(F)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \text{Ker}(r)$ , where

$$r: F(X_1 + \dots + X_n) \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^n F(X_1 + \dots + \widehat{X}_k + \dots + X_n)$$

is such that  $\pi_k \circ r = F(r_k)$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . In particular,  $F(\widehat{X}_1) = F(0)$ . Here  $r_k$  is the arrow induced by the inclusions  $i_{X_j}: X_j \rightarrow X_1 + \dots + \widehat{X}_k + \dots + X_n$  for  $j \neq k$  and the trivial arrow  $0: X_k \rightarrow X_1 + \dots + \widehat{X}_k + \dots + X_n$ . We usually write

$$F(X_1 | \dots | X_n) = \text{cr}_n(F)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

and

$$\ker(r) = \iota_{X_1, \dots, X_n} = \iota_{X_1, \dots, X_n}^F: F(X_1 | \dots | X_n) \rightarrow F(X_1 + \dots + X_n).$$

The functor  $\text{cr}_n(F)$  acts on morphisms in the obvious way that makes  $\iota_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$  natural. When  $F$  is the identity functor  $1_{\mathbb{X}}$  of  $\mathbb{X}$  we regain the cosmesh product

$$X_1 \diamond \dots \diamond X_n = 1_{\mathbb{X}}(X_1 | \dots | X_n).$$

Based on a generalisation of the folding operations of Subsection 4.1, we introduce the following construction [HVdL11, Notation 2.30]. Given a non-zero natural number  $m$ , we define the natural transformation  $S_m^F: \text{cr}_m(F) \circ \Delta^m \Rightarrow F$ , with  $\Delta^m: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  the  $m$ -fold diagonal functor, defined, for  $X \in \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$(S_m^F)_X: \text{cr}_m(F)(X, \dots, X) \xrightarrow{S_m^X} \text{cr}_1(F)(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_X^F} F(X)$$

where  $S_m^X$  is induced by the arrow

$$\text{cr}_m(F)(X, \dots, X) \xrightarrow{\iota_{X, \dots, X}^F} F(X + \dots + X) \xrightarrow{F(\nabla_X^m)} F(X),$$

with  $\nabla_X^m$  the  $m$ -fold codiagonal of  $X$ .

For the following proposition, we may adapt the proof of [HVdL13, Lemma 2.12] which treats the special case announced in Lemma 1.3. (Note that, in that article, the symbol  $\otimes$  is used for the cosmesh instead of  $\diamond$ .) It suffices to observe that for

$F = (-) \diamond Z$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F(X|Y) & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & F(X|Y) \rtimes F(X) & \rhd \rightarrow & F(X+Y) \rightleftarrows F(Y) \\
 & \uparrow & \updownarrow & \updownarrow & \updownarrow \\
 & & F(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & F(X) \rightleftarrows 0
 \end{array}$$

will give rise to Figure 1. (This is part of the phenomenon that cross-effects can be constructed recursively: for instance,  $X_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond X_n \cong (X_1 \diamond (-))(X_2 | \cdots | X_n)$  as made explicit in [SVdL20, Proposition 4.1]. Note that [HVdL11, Lemma 2.22] discusses a slightly different type of recursion.)

**Proposition 9.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is finitely cocomplete homological,  $\mathbb{C}$  is pointed with binary sums and  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  preserves zero. Then we have a decomposition*

$$F(X + Y) = (F(X|Y) \rtimes F(X)) \rtimes F(Y)$$

for any  $X, Y$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . □

**9.3. Exact forks.** Our first aim is to prove that the cross-effects of a functor which preserves coequalisers of reflexive graphs still preserve coequalisers of reflexive graphs. Our proof shall be based on the following **basic principle** concerning those coequalisers, valid in semi-abelian categories. (But not in merely homological ones!) Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R & \xrightleftharpoons[d]{c} & B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\
 & \searrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & B \times_A B & & 
 \end{array}
 \quad \begin{array}{l}
 r = (d, c) \\
 f_1 \\
 f_2
 \end{array}
 \quad (\mathbf{E})$$

be a reflexive graph with its coequaliser, the induced kernel pair  $(B \times_A B, f_1, f_2)$  and the comparison morphism  $r$ . Certainly both  $f$  and  $r$  are regular epimorphisms:  $f$  by definition and  $r$  since it is the regular epi-part of the image factorisation of  $(d, c): R \rightarrow B \times B$ . (This image is a reflexive relation since so is  $R$ , thus it is the kernel pair of its coequaliser, since we are in an exact Mal'tsev context, the conclusion following by uniqueness of the kernel pair.) But in fact, the converse also holds—here we simply use the fact that  $r$  is epic to check the universal property—thus any regular epimorphism  $f$  which coequalises  $d$  and  $c$  is their coequaliser if and only if  $r$  is a regular epimorphism.

**Lemma 9.4.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a homological category,  $\mathbb{C}$  is pointed category with binary sums and  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  preserves zero. Then for any  $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$  the morphism*

$$(F(r_X), F(r_Y)): F(X + Y) \rightarrow F(X) \times F(Y)$$

is a regular epimorphism. Hence also the comparison natural transformation

$$F(X + (-)) \Rightarrow F(X) \times F(-)$$

is regular epic.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(X|R) & \xrightarrow{r'''} & F(X|B) \times_{F(X|A)} F(X|B) & \rightleftarrows & F(X|B) & \xrightarrow{F(1_X|f)} & F(X|A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(X+R) & \xrightarrow{r''} & F(X+B) \times_{F(X+A)} F(X+B) & \rightleftarrows & F(X+B) & \xrightarrow{F(1_X+f)} & F(X+A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(X) \times F(R) & \xrightarrow{r'} & F(X) \times (F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(B)) & \rightleftarrows & F(X) \times F(B) & \xrightarrow{F(1_X) \times F(f)} & F(X) \times F(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

(i) (ii)

FIGURE 5. The functor  $F(X|-)$  applied to a reflexive graph

*Proof.* Since the functor  $F$  preserves zero, the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(X) + F(Y) & \xrightarrow{(F(i_X) \ F(i_Y))} & F(X + Y) \\
& \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\
r = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{F(X)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{F(Y)} \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} F(r_X) \\ F(r_Y) \end{pmatrix} \\
& \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\
& F(X) \times F(Y) &
\end{array}$$

commutes. The result follows, since  $r$  is a regular epimorphism (because we are in a unital context). For the natural transformation, the claim follows since regular epimorphisms are pointwise.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.5.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is semi-abelian and  $\mathbb{C}$  is pointed with binary sums. Let  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  a functor which preserves zero and coequalisers of reflexive graphs. For any object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , the induced functor  $F(X|-): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  also preserves coequalisers of reflexive graphs. Hence, by induction (see [HVdL11, Section 2.19]), so do all resulting functors  $F(X_1|\cdots|X_{k-1}| - |X_k|\cdots|X_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider in  $\mathbb{C}$  a reflexive graph with its coequaliser (E) and the induced diagram in  $\mathbb{X}$ —Figure 5—that shows how the functor  $F(X|-)$  works on this reflexive graph. By the “basic principle” it suffices to prove that both  $F(1_X|f)$  and  $r'''$  are regular epimorphisms.

In the bottom row, the morphisms  $r'$  and  $F(1_X) \times F(f)$  are regular epic by the assumption that  $F$  preserves coequalisers of reflexive graphs and the fact that also the product functor  $F(X) \times (-)$  does. Indeed, products preserve regular epimorphisms and kernel pairs. In particular,  $F(1_X) \times F(f)$  is the coequaliser of the morphisms  $F(1_X) \times F(d)$  and  $F(1_X) \times F(c)$ .

In the middle row, the morphisms  $r''$  and  $F(1_X + f)$  are regular epic because the sum functor  $X + (-)$  and the functor  $F$  preserve coequalisers of reflexive graphs. In particular,  $F(1_X + f)$  is the coequaliser of  $F(1_X + d)$  and  $F(1_X + c)$ .

The four lower vertical arrows in the diagram are regular epimorphisms by Lemma 9.4 and by the fact that  $r'$  is a regular epimorphism.

In the category of extensions  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{X})$ , coequalisers are computed degree-wise by [EGVdL08, Corollary 3.10]. In fact, this result says that the commutative square (ii) in Figure 5 may be considered as a double extension in  $\mathbb{X}$ .

Also the square (i) is a double extension in  $\mathbb{X}$ . To see this, consider the following diagram with exact rows, in which  $r' = 1_{F(X)} \times \bar{r}$ . Note that  $r'$  is indeed of this form, since kernel pairs commute with products.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(r'') & \twoheadrightarrow & F(X+R) & \xrightarrow{r''} & F(X+B) \times_{F(X+A)} F(X+B) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(r') & \twoheadrightarrow & F(X) \times F(R) & \xrightarrow{r'} & F(X) \times (F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(B)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \pi_{F(R)} & & \downarrow \pi_{F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(B)} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(r') & \twoheadrightarrow & F(R) & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(B) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

(i)

The right and middle composed vertical arrows in it are compatibly split epimorphisms: the first one is split by  $F(i_R)$  and the second one by  $F(i_B) \times_{F(i_A)} F(i_B)$  as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(B) & \dashrightarrow & F(X+B) \times_{F(X+A)} F(X+B) & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & F(B) & \xrightarrow{F(i_B)} & F(X+B) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F(B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(X+B) & & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(i_A)} & F(X+A)
 \end{array}$$

Hence the left hand side dotted arrow is a split, hence a regular, epimorphism. Lemma 4.7 now implies that the square (i) is a double extension.

Since kernels commute with kernel pairs, Lemma 4.7 implies that  $F(1_X|f)$  and  $r'''$  are regular epic, and the result follows by the “basic principle”.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.6.** *For any object  $X$  in a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , the induced functor  $X \diamond (-): \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  preserves coequalisers of reflexive graphs.*  $\square$

**Lemma 9.7** (Proposition 3.9 in [EVdL04b]). *Let  $R \xrightarrow[\bar{c}]{d} B \xrightarrow{f} A$  be a reflexive coequaliser diagram. Then*

$$\text{Ker}(d) \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(d)} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

*is a cokernel.*  $\square$

**Proposition 9.8.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{C}$  is pointed with binary coproducts,  $\mathbb{X}$  is semi-abelian and  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  preserves zero. Then  $F$  preserves coequalisers of reflexive graphs if and only if every cokernel*

$$K \xrightarrow{k} B \twoheadrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathbb{C}$  gives rise to a right exact sequence*

$$F(K|B) \rtimes F(K) \xrightarrow{[(S_2^F)_B \circ F(k|1_B) \ F(k)]} F(B) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(A) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\mathbf{F})$$

*in  $\mathbb{X}$ —see Subsection 4.1 for the notation  $S_2^F$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  preserves coequalisers of reflexive graphs. For a cokernel as above, the diagram

$$K + B \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(k \ 1_B)} \\ \xleftarrow{i_B} \\ \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1_B)} \end{array} B \xrightarrow{f} A$$

is a reflexive graph with its coequaliser, hence so is its image

$$F(K + B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F(k \ 1_B)} \\ \xleftarrow{F(i_B)} \\ \xrightarrow{F(0 \ 1_B)} \end{array} F(B) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(A) \quad (\mathbf{G})$$

through  $F$ . Since the kernel of  $F\langle 0, 1_B \rangle$  is  $F(K|B) \rtimes F(K)$  by Proposition 9.2, the sequence

$$F(K|B) \rtimes F(K) \xrightarrow{F(k \ 1_B) \circ j} F(B) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a cokernel by Lemma 9.7, where  $j: F(K|B) \rtimes F(K) \rightarrow F(K + B)$  is the canonical inclusion, a normal monomorphism. Hence already the morphism  $F\langle k, 1_B \rangle \circ j$ , as any normalisation of a reflexive graph, is proper: it is a composite of a split epimorphism with a kernel. Furthermore, this morphism decomposes on the semi-direct product as claimed: first of all,  $F\langle k, 1_B \rangle \circ F(i_K) = F(k)$ ; secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} F\langle k, 1_B \rangle \circ l_{K,B} &= F(\nabla_B) \circ F(k + 1_B) \circ l_{K,B} \\ &= F(\nabla_B) \circ l_{B,B} \circ F(k|1_B) \\ &= (S_2^F)_B \circ F(k|1_B). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let

$$R \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d} \\ \xleftarrow{c} \\ \xrightarrow{c} \end{array} B \xrightarrow{f} A$$

be a reflexive graph with its coequaliser. Then its normalisation

$$\text{Ker}(d) \xrightarrow{c \circ \text{ker}(d)} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

is a cokernel by Lemma 9.7, hence for  $k = c \circ \text{ker}(d): K = \text{Ker}(d) \rightarrow B$  we obtain the right exact sequence (F). Then Proposition 9.2 gives the reflexive graph with its coequaliser (G), by the same computations as for the first implication. Note that, in particular, this shows that  $F$  preserves regular epimorphisms. Finally, since the kernel pair of  $f$  is a regular quotient of both  $R$  and  $K + B$ , by the basic principle stated at the beginning of the section (see (E)), this proves the statement. Indeed,  $F$  preserves regular epimorphisms and regular quotients do not change the coequaliser.  $\square$

Combined with Theorem 9.5, this gives us:

**Theorem 9.9.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{C}$  is pointed with binary coproducts,  $\mathbb{X}$  is semi-abelian and  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  preserves zero and coequalisers of reflexive graphs. Consider an object  $X$  and a cokernel*

$$K \xrightarrow{k} B \xrightarrow{f} A \longrightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then we obtain the right exact sequence*

$$F(X|K|B) \rtimes F(X|K) \xrightarrow{(S_{1,2}^{X,B} \circ F(1_X|k|1_B) \ F(1_X|k))} F(X|B) \xrightarrow{F(1_X|f)} F(X|A) \longrightarrow 0$$

*in  $\mathbb{X}$ .*  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.11.* Applying Theorem 9.9 to  $1_{\mathbb{X}}$ , we obtain the exactness result for the functor  $1_{\mathbb{X}}(X|-) = X \diamond (-): \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ .  $\square$

## 10. ABELIAN EXTENSIONS

We present another application of the right-exactness of cosmesh products: a characterisation of the abelian extensions in a semi-abelian category.

There is a subtle difference between the concept of **extension with abelian kernel**—any short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \rhd \xrightarrow{a} X \xrightarrow{p} G \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\mathbf{H})$$

where the kernel  $A$  is abelian—and the notion of **abelian extension**, a regular epimorphism  $p: X \rightarrow G$  which is an abelian object in the slice category  $(\mathbb{X} \downarrow G)$ . Since “abelian object” here means that  $p$  admits an internal Mal’sev operation, this amounts to the condition that the Smith/Pedicchio commutator  $[X \times_G X, X \times_G X]$  is trivial (see, for instance, the analysis made in [BJ04], and [Smi76, Ped95] for the definition of the commutator). We write  $\mathbf{AbExt}(\mathbb{X})$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ext}(\mathbb{X})$  determined by the abelian extensions in  $\mathbb{X}$ .

For some purposes in homological algebra, one needs extensions with abelian kernel to be abelian extensions. Certainly, any abelian extension has abelian kernel since taking the kernel preserves the internal abelian group structure, while unlike what happens for groups, in an arbitrary semi-abelian category an extension with abelian kernel need not be an abelian extension (see [BB04, Bou04a, HVdL13]). Using right exactness of cosmesh products in the form of Theorem 9.9, here we analyse the situation from the point of view of internal actions and ternary commutators.

Let us start with some preliminary reminders on internal actions. In a semi-abelian category  $\mathbb{X}$ , an **(internal) action** of an object  $X$  on an object  $A$  is an arrow  $\xi: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X \rightarrow X$  making certain diagrams commute, where  $A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X$  is constructed by choosing a coproduct  $A + X$  of  $A$  and  $X$  then taking a kernel  $\kappa_{A,X}: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X \rightarrow A + X$  of the arrow  $\langle 0, 1_X \rangle: A + X \rightarrow X$  [BJK05, Sections 3.2–3.3]. (This construction gives us a bifunctor  $\mathbin{\mathbb{d}}: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ .) However, in the following, we will consider another point of view of internal actions. The cosmesh product  $A \diamond X$  is canonically included in  $A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X$  so we can consider the restriction  $\psi: A \diamond X \rightarrow X$  of an action  $\xi: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X \rightarrow X$ , called the **core** of  $\xi$ . Conversely, an abstract morphism  $\psi: A \diamond X \rightarrow X$  is called an **action core** if it extends to an action  $\xi: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X \rightarrow X$ , and this happens if and only if  $\psi$  renders three diagrams involving (simple or nested) binary and ternary cosmesh products of  $A$  and  $G$  commutative, see [HL13, Theorem 5.9]. Whenever this is the case, the core  $\psi$  entirely determines the action  $\xi$ ; for further details, see [HVdL13, Section 0.1] and [HL13].

**Lemma 10.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{X}$  is semi-abelian,  $\psi: A \diamond X \rightarrow A$  determines an action in  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $p: X \rightarrow G$  a regular epimorphism such that there exists a (necessarily unique) factorisation*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \diamond X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \\ 1_A \diamond p \downarrow & & \parallel \\ A \diamond G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \end{array}$$

of  $\psi$ . Then  $\varphi$  determines an action of  $G$  on  $A$ .

*Proof.* This can most conveniently be proved using the extension of  $\psi$  to an algebra structure  $\xi: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X \rightarrow A$ , cf. [HL13] and [BJ98, Jan03], and using the fact that  $p$  induces a regular epimorphism  $1_A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} p: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} X \rightarrow A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} G$ . This allows to check the algebra conditions for the factorisation  $\zeta: A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} G \rightarrow A$  of  $\xi$  by composing with  $1_A \mathbin{\mathbb{d}} p$  and using the obvious commutative diagrams.  $\square$

Recall from [HVdL13, HL13] that for a given normal subobject  $A \triangleleft X$ , the **conjugation action** of  $X$  on  $A$  is determined by the unique restriction of  $c^{X,X} :=$

$\nabla_X \circ \iota_{X,X}: X \diamond X \rightarrow X$  to a morphism  $c^{A,X}: A \diamond X \rightarrow A$ . It, in turn, induces morphisms

$$c_{1,2}^{A,X} := c^{A,X} \circ S_{1,2}^{A,X}: A \diamond X \diamond X \rightarrow A$$

and

$$c_{2,1}^{A,X} := c^{A,X} \circ S_{2,1}^{A,X}: A \diamond A \diamond X \rightarrow A.$$

**Lemma 10.2.** *Consider the short exact sequence (H) in a semi-abelian category. The conjugation action  $c^{A,X}$  factors through  $1_A \diamond p$  to a morphism  $\psi_p: A \diamond G \rightarrow A$  such that  $\psi_p \circ (1_A \diamond p) = c^{A,X}$  if and only if  $A$  is abelian and  $c_{2,1}^{A,X} = 0$ . Moreover, when this happens, the induced morphism  $\psi_p$  determines an action of  $G$  on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Using the presentation of the morphism  $1_A \diamond p$  as a cokernel given by Theorem 9.9, the action  $c^{A,X}$  factors through  $1_A \diamond p$  if and only if

$$c^{A,X} \circ S_{1,2}^{A,X} \circ (1_A \diamond a \diamond 1_X) \quad \text{and} \quad c^{A,X} \circ (1_A \diamond a)$$

are trivial. But  $c^{A,X} \circ (1_A \diamond a) = a \circ c^{A,A}$  by naturality of the conjugation action, and

$$c_{1,2}^{A,X} \circ (1_A \diamond a \diamond 1_X) = c_{2,1}^{A,X}$$

by [HVdL13, Lemma 3.14]. Lemma 10.1 now says that  $(A, \psi_p)$  determines an action.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.3.** *For an extension with abelian kernel (H) the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $p$  is an abelian extension;
- (ii) the Smith/Pedicchio commutator  $[X \times_G X, X \times_G X]$  vanishes;
- (iii)  $[A, A, X] = 0$ ;
- (iv)  $c_{2,1}^{A,X} = 0$ ;
- (v) the conjugation action  $c^{A,X}$  of  $X$  on  $A$  factors through a (necessarily unique) action  $\psi_p$  of  $G$  on  $A$ ;
- (vi)  $c^{A,X} = p^*(\psi_p)$  for some morphism  $\psi_p: A \diamond G \rightarrow A$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) holds by definition and (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) by [HVdL13, Theorem 4.4]. We have (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) since the commutator  $[A, A, X]$  is the image of the morphism  $c_{2,1}^{A,X}: A \diamond A \diamond X \rightarrow A$ . (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi) is Lemma 10.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.4.** *If  $\mathbb{X}$  is semi-abelian, then the inclusion  $\text{AbExt}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{X})$  has a left adjoint  $\text{Ab}: \text{Ext}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \text{AbExt}(\mathbb{X})$  which takes an extension  $p: X \rightarrow G$  and maps it to its induced quotient*

$$\text{Ab}(p): \frac{X}{[A, A] \vee [A, A, X]} \rightarrow G.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 1.6 and the commutator calculus rules given in Proposition 2.21 of [HVdL13] may be used to prove that  $[A, A] \vee [A, A, X]$  is normal in  $X$ : indeed

$$\begin{aligned} [[A, A] \vee [A, A, X], X] &= [[A, A], X] \vee [[A, A, X], X] \vee [[A, A], [A, A, X], X] \\ &\leq [A, A, X] \vee [A, A, X, X] \vee [A, A, A, A, X, X] \\ &\leq [A, A, X] \\ &\leq [A, A] \vee [A, A, X] \end{aligned}$$

so that normality follows from [MM10, Theorem 6.3].  $\square$

11. THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF  $G$ -REPRESENTATIONS

Internal actions over a fixed object  $G$  can be viewed as non-abelian  $G$ -modules. We may ask the question, what is their bilinear product. Since ultimately, only the result after abelianisation counts, what we really must understand is how to tensor two *abelian* actions: so, two *Beck modules* over  $G$  or, in other words, two  $G$ -representations. However, computing the bilinear product of two abelian  $G$ -actions crucially involves cosmash products of non-abelian actions, so we need to have a look at those first.

In this section, we view a  $G$ -action  $\xi$  on an object  $A$  as a split short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{k_\xi} A \rtimes_\xi G \xrightleftharpoons[p_\xi]{s_\xi} G \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{I})$$

(via the semi-direct product equivalence [BJ98]). The category of *all*  $G$ -actions may thus be seen as the semi-abelian category  $\text{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X})$  of points over  $G$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ : split epimorphisms with codomain  $G$ , each with a chosen splitting [Bou91, BB04]. Through this equivalence, a point  $(p: X \rightarrow G, s: G \rightarrow X)$ ,  $p \circ s = 1_G$ , corresponds to the unique  $G$ -action  $\xi$  on the kernel  $A$  of  $p$  which turns  $X$  into the semi-direct product  $A \rtimes_\xi G$  with  $p_\xi = p$  and  $s_\xi = s$ . Thus the kernel functor plays the role of the forgetful functor  $\text{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  sending an internal  $G$ -action to its underlying object (the object upon which  $G$  acts).

Recall that the product of two points  $(p, s)$  and  $(q, t)$  over  $G$  is obtained as the pullback of  $p$  and  $q$ , while their sum is the pushout of  $s$  and  $t$ . Slightly on the side, we thus recover a known commutator as a commutator in a category of points:

*Example 11.1.* The *weighted subobject commutator* [GJU14, MFVdL14] can be obtained as a Higgins commutator in a category of points. Given a weighted cospan

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ & \downarrow w & \\ X & \xrightarrow{x} D \xleftarrow{y} & Y, \end{array}$$

it follows immediately from the definitions that the kernel object  $K$  in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow W + X + Y \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} i_W & i_X & 0 \\ i_W & 0 & i_Y \end{pmatrix}} (W + X) \times_W (W + Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

is nothing but  $(W \bowtie X) \diamond_W (W \bowtie Y)$ , that is, the kernel of the point obtained as the cosmash product in  $\text{Pt}_W(X)$  of the free action of  $W$  on  $X$  and on  $Y$ . The  $(W, w)$ -weighted subobject commutator  $[(X, x), (Y, y)]_{(W, w)}$  of monomorphisms  $x$  and  $y$  being the direct image of the induced morphism

$$K \rightarrow W + X + Y \rightarrow D,$$

we see that

$$[(X, x), (Y, y)]_{(W, w)} \cong \text{Ker}[W + X \rightrightarrows W, W + Y \rightrightarrows W]$$

where the latter commutator is computed in the object  $W \times D \rightrightarrows W$  of  $\text{Pt}_W(\mathbb{X})$ .

It is clear that (as explained in [GJU14]) when  $W = 0$  we find the Higgins commutator of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{X}$ . On the other hand, when  $w = 1_D$  we regain Theorem 2.7.2 of [BB04].

This explains why the right hand side of the formula

$$[(X, x), (Y, y)]_{(W, w)} = [X, Y] \vee [X, Y, \text{Im}(w)]$$

from [MFVdL14, Theorem 2] appears as a special case of the above general analysis.

A  $G$ -action is abelian precisely when it is abelian as an extension; i.e., when it is a **Beck module** [Bec03, BB69] or  **$G$ -representation**—see [BJ98, BJ04] as well as Section 6 of [HVdL13] where this is explained in detail from the viewpoint of the higher Higgins commutator.

In general, it is hard to compute the bilinear product of two  $G$ -actions, since the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  need not agree with the coproducts in the calculation of the cosmack products involved. (In other words, the forgetful functor need not preserve coproducts.) The situation simplifies a lot once we assume  $\mathbb{X}$  satisfies some appropriate additional conditions. Here we are interested in the following question:

*Under which conditions can the bilinear product of two  $G$ -actions be computed as the bilinear product of the underlying objects, equipped with a suitable  $G$ -action?*

Surely, when this happens, the shape of the symmetry isomorphism is inherited from the underlying category  $\mathbb{X}$ .

A first simplification occurs when  $\mathbb{X}$  is an *algebraically coherent* [CGVdL15] category. As already mentioned in Section 8, this means that the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is coherent (i.e., it does not only preserve finite limits, but also jointly extremal-epimorphic pairs of arrows).

Proposition 6.9 in [CGVdL15] tells us that if  $\mathbb{X}$  is algebraically coherent, then the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  preserves binary Higgins commutators. It is easy to adapt the proof of this result to see that Higgins commutators of arbitrary length are preserved. Since the kernel functor reflects the zero object (which in the category  $\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X})$  is the point  $(1_G, 1_G)$ ), a  $G$ -action on an object  $A$  is abelian or two-nilpotent whenever so is the underlying object  $A$ .

For the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Pt}_G(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  to preserve cosmack products (so that, in particular, bilinear products are preserved, since the objects  $N_{X,Y}$  in Proposition 4.2 are constructed in terms of cosmack products only) we may use that it is always exact (= preserves short exact sequences), and ask that it preserves binary coproducts. This condition is strictly stronger than algebraic coherence. It happens to be equivalent to **local algebraic cartesian closedness (LACC)**—the condition that the change-of-base functors in the fibration of points are left adjoints [Gra12, BG12, Gra10]—when  $\mathbb{X}$  is a variety of universal algebras. Examples of semi-abelian (LACC) categories are relatively scarce, though. The only ones currently known in the literature are: essentially affine categories (which include all additive categories) [Bou91]; internal groups in a cartesian closed category with pullbacks (which include the examples of classical groups, crossed modules and cocommutative Hopf algebras) [BG12, GMVdL18]; and internal Lie algebras in an additive cocomplete symmetric closed monoidal category (including classical Lie algebras) [GMG21]. On the other hand, almost any other known semi-abelian category is known *not* to be (LACC): see, for instance [GMVdL19] where the context of varieties of non-associative algebras over a field is treated in detail. There it is shown that over a field of characteristic zero, the variety of Lie algebras is the only non-abelian example.

*Example 11.2 (Lie algebra representations).* We view a representation  $(A, \xi)$  of an  $R$ -Lie algebra  $X$  as a morphism  $\xi: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(A)$  where  $\mathfrak{gl}(A)$  denotes the Lie algebra of linear endomorphisms of the  $R$ -module  $A$ , whose Lie bracket is the commutator of endomorphisms. Here we use that  $\mathbf{Lie}_R$  is an algebraically coherent category (it being locally algebraically cartesian closed), which implies that a representation is the same thing as an action on an abelian object. The fact that  $\mathbf{Lie}_R$  is (LACC) further predicts that the bilinear product of the representations  $(A, \xi)$  and  $(B, \zeta)$  is given by the bilinear product of the objects  $A$  and  $B$ , equipped with a suitable action. Indeed, for any two such representations  $(A, \xi)$  and  $(B, \zeta)$ , we may take

their **Kronecker sum**

$$\xi \otimes \zeta: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(A \otimes_R B): x \mapsto \xi(x) \otimes 1_B + 1_A \otimes \zeta(x).$$

We claim that this provides the needed structure of an  $X$ -representation on the tensor product  $A \otimes_R B$ . We thus find a categorical explanation for the use of the Kronecker sum in the tensor product of Lie algebra representations.

Recall that a general  $X$ -action on a Lie algebra  $C$  is given by a Lie algebra morphism  $\theta: X \rightarrow \text{Der}(C)$  where  $\text{Der}(C)$  is the Lie algebra of derivations on  $C$ , whose Lie bracket is the commutator  $[D, D'] = D \circ D' - D' \circ D$ . A **derivation** on  $C$  is an  $R$ -linear map  $D: C \rightarrow C$  such that  $D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)]$ .

We view the bilinear product of the representations  $(A, \xi)$  and  $(B, \zeta)$  as their cosmash product in the category  $\text{Nil}_2(\text{Pt}_X(\text{Lie}_R))$ , so the kernel of the comparison map from their sum  $(A, \xi) +_2 (B, \zeta)$  to their product  $(A, \xi) \times (B, \zeta)$ . We prove that  $(A, \xi) +_2 (B, \zeta)$  is the two-nilpotent Lie algebra  $A +_2 B = A \oplus B \oplus (A \otimes_R B)$  equipped with the  $X$ -action

$$\xi +_2 \zeta: X \rightarrow \text{Der}(A \oplus B \oplus (A \otimes_R B)): x \mapsto (\xi(x) \oplus \zeta(x) \oplus (\xi(x) \otimes 1_B + 1_A \otimes \zeta(x))).$$

Upon taking the kernel of  $(A, \xi) +_2 (B, \zeta) \rightarrow (A, \xi) \times (B, \zeta)$ , this action restricts to the Kronecker sum—which proves our claim.

Let us verify that it does indeed satisfy the universal property of a coproduct of  $(A, \xi)$  and  $(B, \zeta)$  in  $\text{Nil}_2(\text{Pt}_X(\text{Lie}_R))$ . First of all, it is clear that the coproduct inclusions  $i_A: A \rightarrow A +_2 B$  and  $i_B: B \rightarrow A +_2 B$  are equivariant with respect to the given  $X$ -actions. Now given an  $X$ -action  $(C, \theta)$  and equivariant Lie algebra morphisms  $f: A \rightarrow C$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$ , we need to check that the universally induced Lie algebra morphism  $\langle f, g \rangle: A +_2 B \rightarrow C$  is equivariant with respect to  $\xi +_2 \zeta$  and  $\theta$ . Indeed, for any  $(a, b, \sum_i \lambda_i a_i \otimes b_i) \in A +_2 B$  and any  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f, g \rangle((\xi +_2 \zeta)(x)(a, b, \sum_i \lambda_i a_i \otimes b_i)) \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle\left(\xi(x)(a), \zeta(x)(b), \sum_i \lambda_i (\xi(x)(a_i) \otimes b_i + a_i \otimes \zeta(x)(b_i))\right) \\ &= f(\xi(x)(a)) + g(\zeta(x)(b)) + \sum_i \lambda_i ([f(\xi(x)(a_i)), g(b_i)] + [f(a_i), g(\zeta(x)(b_i))]) \\ &= \theta(x)(f(a)) + \theta(x)(g(b)) + \sum_i \lambda_i ([\theta(x)(f(a_i)), g(b_i)] + [f(a_i), \theta(x)(g(b_i))]) \\ &= \theta(x)(f(a)) + \theta(x)(g(b)) + \sum_i \lambda_i \theta(x)([f(a_i), g(b_i)]) \\ &= \theta(x)(f(a) + g(b) + \sum_i \lambda_i [f(a_i), g(b_i)]) \\ &= \theta(x)(\langle f, g \rangle(a, b, \sum_i \lambda_i a_i \otimes b_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equality follows because  $\theta(x)$  is a derivation on  $C$ .

The case of groups is similar:

*Example 11.3* (Group representations). In the case of groups, a  $G$ -representation over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the same thing as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, which can be described inside the category of groups as a split extension **(I)** where the action  $\xi$  of  $G$  on the abelian group  $A$  is codified by means of a homomorphism  $\xi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ . We write  $ga := \xi(g)(a)$  for any  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ . Given two representations  $(A, \xi)$  and  $(B, \zeta)$ , their bilinear product is the tensor product  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$  (since  $\mathbf{Gp}$  is (LACC)), equipped with the action determined by  $g(a \otimes b) = ga \otimes gb$  (which is inherited from the action of  $G$  on  $A + B$  in the coproduct of  $(A, \xi)$  and  $(B, \zeta)$  in the category of  $G$ -actions,

given by  $g(a_1 \cdot b_1 \cdots a_n \cdot b_n) = ga_1 \cdot gb_1 \cdots ga_n \cdot gb_n$ , as is easily seen by checking the universal property of that coproduct). This is the usual tensor product of group representations.

## 12. FURTHER QUESTIONS AND REMARKS

We end this article with a few questions which remain open for now, and which in our opinion might lead to interesting further investigations.

**12.1. Bilinear products of representations.** In Section 11 we explained that if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a locally algebraically cartesian closed semi-abelian category, then for any object  $G$  of  $\mathbb{X}$ , the bilinear product of two  $G$ -representations is the bilinear product of the underlying objects, equipped with an appropriate  $G$ -action. The general situation, for arbitrary semi-abelian categories, appears to be much more complicated. It would seem interesting to obtain an explicit description of how to tensor two representations even in a category which is not (LACC).

On the other hand, an explicit description of the bilinear product of representations of cocommutative Hopf algebras is within reach, because the category of cocommutative Hopf algebras over a field is semi-abelian [GKV16, GSV19] and locally algebraically cartesian closed as explained above.

**12.2. Tensor products of topological vector spaces.** We defined the bilinear product in the context of a semi-abelian category, but this definition works as well for homological categories [BB04] with finite sums. Hence we may ask the question, what it amounts to in the case of topological abelian groups or topological vector spaces. Is it a known tensor product? Note that only the nature of the topology is an issue, since algebraically these bilinear products are the classical tensor products.

**12.3. When is the functor  $X \otimes (-)$  idempotent?** By Proposition 7.3, for any object  $X$  of a semi-abelian variety  $\mathbb{X}$ , the functor  $X \otimes (-): \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$  is a left adjoint. We may wonder when is it idempotent, so that it defines a reflector to an appropriate subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{X})$ . A study of the properties of this subcategory—for instance, when is it Birkhoff?—may eventually lead to a description of the derived functors of the functor  $X \otimes (-)$  in terms of higher Hopf formulae following [EGvdL08, EG15].

**12.4. When is the bilinear product monoidal?** In this article, the main property we want a product on a category to satisfy is bilinearity. Associativity and existence of a unit are secondary here, and do indeed not need to hold in general, as we have seen in Section 5. However, it would seem interesting to have a precise characterisation of those semi-abelian categories where the bilinear product defines a symmetric monoidal (closed) structure on the abelian core—cf. Proposition 7.4.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank José Manuel Casas for suggesting us to study the Ganea term in a general categorical context.

## REFERENCES

- [AL06] D. Arias and M. Ladra, *Ganea term for homology of precrossed modules*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), no. 10, 3817–3834.
- [AR94] J. Adámek and J. Rosický, *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 189, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [BB69] M. Barr and J. Beck, *Homology and standard constructions*, Seminar on triples and categorical homology theory (ETH, Zürich, 1966/67), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 80, Springer, 1969, pp. 245–335.

- [BB04] F. Borceux and D. Bourn, *Mal'tsev, Protomodular, Homological and Semi-Abelian Categories*, Math. Appl., vol. 566, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2004.
- [BB17] C. Berger and D. Bourn, *Central reflections and nilpotency in exact Mal'tsev categories*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **12** (2017), 765–835.
- [Bec03] J. M. Beck, *Triples, algebras and cohomology*, Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories **2** (2003), 1–59, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 1967.
- [Ber65] I. Bernstein, *On co-groups in the category of graded algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 257–269.
- [BG02] D. Bourn and M. Gran, *Central extensions in semi-abelian categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002), 31–44.
- [BG12] D. Bourn and J. R. A. Gray, *Aspects of algebraic exponentiation*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **19** (2012), 823–846.
- [BHP97] H.-J. Baues, M. Hartl, and T. Pirashvili, *Quadratic categories and square rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **122** (1997), no. 1, 1–40.
- [BJ98] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze, *Protomodularity, descent, and semidirect products*, Theory Appl. Categ. **4** (1998), no. 2, 37–46.
- [BJ04] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze, *Extensions with abelian kernels in protomodular categories*, Georgian Math. J. **11** (2004), no. 4, 645–654.
- [BJK05] F. Borceux, G. Janelidze, and G. M. Kelly, *Internal object actions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **46** (2005), no. 2, 235–255.
- [BL87] R. Brown and J.-L. Loday, *Van Kampen theorems for diagrams of spaces*, Topology **26** (1987), no. 3, 311–335.
- [Bor94] F. Borceux, *Handbook of Categorical Algebra 2: Categories and Structures*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 51, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [Bor04] F. Borceux, *A survey of semi-abelian categories*, in Janelidze et al. [JPT04], pp. 27–60.
- [Bou91] D. Bourn, *Normalization equivalence, kernel equivalence and affine categories*, Category Theory, Proceedings Como 1990 (A. Carboni, M. C. Pedicchio, and G. Rosolini, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1488, Springer, 1991, pp. 43–62.
- [Bou01] D. Bourn,  *$3 \times 3$  Lemma and Protomodularity*, J. Algebra **236** (2001), 778–795.
- [Bou03] D. Bourn, *The denormalized  $3 \times 3$  lemma*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **177** (2003), 113–129.
- [Bou04a] D. Bourn, *Commutator Theory in Strongly Protomodular Categories*, Theory Appl. Categ. **13** (2004), no. 2, 27–40.
- [Bou04b] D. Bourn, *Protomodular aspect of the dual of a topos*, Adv. Math. **187** (2004), no. 1, 240–255.
- [BP99] H.-J. Baues and T. Pirashvili, *Quadratic endofunctors of the category of groups*, Adv. Math. **141** (1999), no. 1, 167–206.
- [Cas98] J. M. Casas, *Central extensions of Leibniz algebras*, Extracta Math. **13** (1998), no. 3, 393–397.
- [Cas05] J. M. Casas, *Ganea term for homology of Leibniz  $n$ -algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **12** (2005), no. 4, 629–634.
- [CGVdL15] A. S. Cigoli, J. R. A. Gray, and T. Van der Linden, *Algebraically coherent categories*, Theory Appl. Categ. **30** (2015), no. 54, 1864–1905.
- [CJ03] A. Carboni and G. Janelidze, *Smash product of pointed objects in lextensive categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **183** (2003), 27–43.
- [CLP02] J. M. Casas, J.-L. Loday, and T. Pirashvili, *Leibniz  $n$ -algebras*, Forum Math. **14** (2002), no. 2, 189–207.
- [CP00] J. M. Casas and T. Pirashvili, *Ten-term exact sequence of Leibniz homology*, J. Algebra **231** (2000), 258–264.
- [DHVdL26] B. S. Deval, M. Hartl, and T. Van der Linden, *A Gamma functor and exact homology sequence in semi-abelian categories*, in preparation, 2026.
- [dMVdL20] D. di Micco and T. Van der Linden, *An intrinsic approach to the non-abelian tensor product via internal crossed squares*, Theory Appl. Categ. **34** (2020), 1268–1311.
- [EG15] T. Everaert and M. Gran, *Protoadditive functors, derived torsion theories and homology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 8, 3629–3676.
- [EGVdL08] T. Everaert, M. Gran, and T. Van der Linden, *Higher Hopf formulae for homology via Galois Theory*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. 5, 2231–2267.
- [EH71] B. Eckmann and P. J. Hilton, *On central group extensions and homology*, Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971), 345–355.
- [EHS72] B. Eckmann, P. J. Hilton, and U. Stammbach, *On the homology theory of central group extensions I: The commutator map and stem extensions*, Comment. Math. Helv. **47** (1972), 102–122.

- [Ell87] G. J. Ellis, *Nonabelian exterior products of Lie algebras and an exact sequence in the homology of Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **46** (1987), no. 2-3, 111–115.
- [EVdL04a] T. Everaert and T. Van der Linden, *Baer invariants in semi-abelian categories I: General theory*, Theory Appl. Categ. **12** (2004), no. 1, 1–33.
- [EVdL04b] T. Everaert and T. Van der Linden, *Baer invariants in semi-abelian categories II: Homology*, Theory Appl. Categ. **12** (2004), no. 4, 195–224.
- [EVdL12] T. Everaert and T. Van der Linden, *Relative commutator theory in semi-abelian categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 8–9, 1791–1806.
- [Eve07] T. Everaert, *An Approach to Non-Abelian Homology based on Categorical Galois Theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 2007.
- [Fil85] V. T. Filippov, *n-Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **26** (1985), no. 6, 126–140, in Russian.
- [Fre64] P. Freyd, *Abelian categories*, Harper and Row, New York, 1964, Republished in: *Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories*, no. 3 (2003).
- [Gan68] T. Ganea, *Homologie et extensions centrales de groupes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **266** (1968), 556–558.
- [GJU14] M. Gran, G. Janelidze, and A. Ursini, *Weighted commutators in semi-abelian categories*, J. Algebra **397** (2014), 643–665.
- [GKV16] M. Gran, G. Kadjo, and J. Vercruysse, *A torsion theory in the category of cocommutative Hopf algebras*, Appl. Categ. Structures **24** (2016), no. 3, 269–282.
- [GMG21] X. García-Martínez and J. R. A. Gray, *Algebraic exponentiation for Lie algebras*, Theory Appl. Categ. **36** (2021), no. 11, 288–305.
- [GMVdL18] X. García-Martínez and T. Van der Linden, *A note on split extensions of bialgebras*, Forum Math. **30** (2018), no. 5, 1089–1096.
- [GMVdL19] X. García-Martínez and T. Van der Linden, *A characterisation of Lie algebras via algebraic exponentiation*, Adv. Math. **341** (2019), 92–117.
- [GR04] M. Gran and J. Rosický, *Semi-abelian monadic categories*, Theory Appl. Categ. **13** (2004), no. 6, 106–113.
- [Gra10] J. R. A. Gray, *Algebraic exponentiation in general categories*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cape Town, 2010.
- [Gra12] J. R. A. Gray, *Algebraic exponentiation in general categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures **20** (2012), 543–567.
- [GSV19] M. Gran, F. Sterck, and J. Vercruysse, *A semi-abelian extension of a theorem by Takeuchi*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **223** (2019), no. 10, 4171–4190.
- [GVdL09] J. Goedecke and T. Van der Linden, *On satellites in semi-abelian categories: Homology without projectives*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **147** (2009), no. 3, 629–657.
- [Har19] M. Hartl, *Algebraic functor calculus and commutator theory*, in preparation, 2019.
- [Hig56] P. J. Higgins, *Groups with multiple operators*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **6** (1956), no. 3, 366–416.
- [HL13] M. Hartl and B. Loiseau, *On actions and strict actions in homological categories*, Theory Appl. Categ. **27** (2013), no. 15, 347–392.
- [HS97] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammback, *A course in homological algebra*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [Huq68] S. A. Huq, *Commutator, nilpotency and solvability in categories*, Q. J. Math. **19** (1968), no. 2, 363–389.
- [HV11] M. Hartl and C. Vespa, *Quadratic functors on pointed categories*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 5, 3927–4010.
- [HVdL11] M. Hartl and T. Van der Linden, *The ternary commutator obstruction for internal crossed modules*, preprint [arXiv:1107.0954v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.0954v1), 2011.
- [HVdL13] M. Hartl and T. Van der Linden, *The ternary commutator obstruction for internal crossed modules*, Adv. Math. **232** (2013), no. 1, 571–607.
- [Jan03] G. Janelidze, *Internal Crossed Modules*, Georgian Math. J. **10** (2003), no. 1, 99–114.
- [JK94] G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, *Galois theory and a general notion of central extension*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **97** (1994), no. 2, 135–161.
- [JMT02] G. Janelidze, L. Márki, and W. Tholen, *Semi-abelian categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **168** (2002), no. 2–3, 367–386.
- [Joh04] P. T. Johnstone, *A Note on the Semiabelian Variety of Heyting Semilattices*, in Janelidze et al. [JPT04], pp. 317–318.
- [JPT04] G. Janelidze, B. Pareigis, and W. Tholen (eds.), *Galois Theory, Hopf Algebras, and Semiabelian Categories*, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004.
- [Lac12] S. Lack, *Non-canonical isomorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 3, 593–597.

- [Lod93] J.-L. Loday, *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, Enseign. Math. (2) **39** (1993), no. 3–4, 269–293.
- [Lue76] A. S.-T. Lue, *The Ganea map for nilpotent groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **14** (1976), 309–312.
- [Mac60] T. MacHenry, *The tensor product and the 2nd nilpotent product for groups*, Math. Z. **73** (1960), 134–145.
- [MFVdL14] N. Martins-Ferreira and T. Van der Linden, *A decomposition formula for the weighted commutator*, Appl. Categ. Structures **22** (2014), 899–906.
- [MM10] S. Mantovani and G. Metere, *Normalities and Commutators*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), no. 9, 2568–2588.
- [Mos08] J. Mostovoy, *Nilpotency and dimension series for loops*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 4, 1565–1579.
- [MPIS19] J. Mostovoy, J. M. Pérez-Izquierdo, and I. P. Shestakov, *On torsion-free nilpotent loops*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **70** (2019), no. 3, 1091–1104.
- [Ope25] Operad, In *Wikipedia*, December 2025.
- [Orz72] G. Orzech, *Obstruction theory in algebraic categories I and II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **2** (1972), 287–314 and 315–340.
- [Ped95] M. C. Pedicchio, *A Categorical Approach to Commutator Theory*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), 647–657.
- [Pir00] T. Pirashvili, *Ganea term for CCG-homology of crossed modules*, Extracta Math. **15** (2000), no. 1, 231–235.
- [PVdL16] G. Peschke and T. Van der Linden, *The Yoneda isomorphism commutes with homology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), no. 2, 495–517.
- [RVdL12] D. Rodelo and T. Van der Linden, *Higher central extensions via commutators*, Theory Appl. Categ. **27** (2012), no. 9, 189–209.
- [RVdLV23] Ü. Reimaa, T. Van der Linden, and C. Vienne, *Associativity and the cosmash product in operadic varieties of algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **67** (2023), no. 3, 563–598.
- [Smi76] J. D. H. Smith, *Mal’cev Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 554, Springer, 1976.
- [SVdL20] C. S. Simeu and T. Van der Linden, *On the “Three Subobjects Lemma” and its higher-order generalisations*, J. Algebra **546** (2020), 315–340.

Email address: `bo.deval@uclouvain.be`

Email address: `mhartl@gmx.fr`

Email address: `tim.vanderlinden@uclouvain.be`

(Manfred Hartl) NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, JILIN, CHINA

(Manfred Hartl) UPHF, CERAMATH AND FR CNRS 2956, F-59313 VALENCIENNES, FRANCE

(Bo Shan Deval, Tim Van der Linden) INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2 BTE L7.01.02, B-1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM

(Tim Van der Linden) MATHEMATICS & DATA SCIENCE, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, PLEINLAAN 2, B-1050 BRUSSEL, BELGIUM

(Tim Van der Linden) CMUC, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL