

Thermodynamics of an Open \mathcal{PT} -Symmetric Quantum System

Baibhab Bose,^{1,*} Devvrat Tiwari,^{1,†} and Subhashish Banerjee^{1,‡}

¹*Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur-342030, India*

(Dated: December 4, 2025)

For a subclass of a general \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian obeying anti-commutation relation with its conjugate, a Hermitian basis is found that spans the bi-orthonormal energy eigenvectors. Using the modified projectors constructed from these eigenvectors, the generalized density matrix of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric evolution is calculated, and subsequently, ergotropy for a closed system is obtained. The \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system, in an open system scenario, is studied to understand ergotropy under different regimes of non-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian. The consistency of the three laws of thermodynamics for the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system in an open system scenario is also analyzed.

Keywords: Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, open quantum systems, \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, quantum thermodynamics

I. INTRODUCTION

Beyond the regime of Hermiticity, the theory of quantum mechanics has also been developed for a Hamiltonian symmetric in parity and time reversal (\mathcal{PT}) without losing the crucial constraint of real eigenvalues [1–3]. This class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, having a broader symmetry, has been explored along different points of view in recent years [4–11]. The necessary and sufficient conditions for non-Hermitian Hamiltonians to have real spectra and other mathematical intricacies were investigated in various works [12–16]. A linear positive-definite operator η constructed by the parameters of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian characterizes the inner-product space containing the corresponding dynamics, such that the Hermiticity is supplanted by a more general class of η -pseudo Hermiticity, $\eta^{-1}H^\dagger\eta = H$, maintaining the energy eigenvalues real. The η -pseudo Hermitian framework built by distinct right and left eigenvectors of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian redefines the projectors and consequently the operators of the inner-product space. An alternate formulation of this framework that accommodates the dynamics of a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian is done by finding a Hermitian basis that spans the bi-orthonormal eigenspace consisting of the right and left eigenvectors. In [10], it is seen that for a particular subclass of a general \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian, obeying an anti-commutator algebraic structure permits the construction of a Hermitian basis, wherein the Hamiltonian and its complex-conjugate counterpart act as mutually connected ladder operators that interconnect the basis states. The eigenvectors of an appropriate Hermitian operator generate the Hermitian basis states, omitting the need to obtain the metric η . The Hermitian basis for this specific subclass of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians also illustrates the geometry of the right and left eigenvectors in the state space. Thus, any correspond-

ing quantum mechanical observable can be determined in terms of the modified eigenspace for this specific subclass of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians.

Various fields, including optics [17], electronics [18], microwaves [19], mechanics [20], acoustics [21], atomic physics [22–24], quantum optics [25, 26] and single-spin systems [27], among others have put non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with \mathcal{PT} -symmetry to use. Also in the fields of elementary particle physics and quantum field theories, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians have been applied significantly. The framework of non-Hermitian theories with \mathcal{PT} symmetry has been used to study a number of other fundamentally significant issues, such as neutrino oscillations [28], neutrino mass generation [29], light neutrino masses in Yukawa theory [30], spontaneous symmetry breaking and the Goldstone theorem [31], and the Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism [32, 33].

In this paper, we are interested in calculating quantum thermodynamical quantities as well as the applicability of the laws of thermodynamics in the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric setup. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the thermodynamic properties of a system, it is essential to consider its environment. For this reason, we apply the tools of open quantum systems, which analyze a quantum system coupled to its surrounding environment [34–40]. A well-established canonical approach to open systems is the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) type interaction modeling Markovian evolution [41, 42]. Beyond the GKSL type interaction, non-Markovian evolution has been extensively studied in recent years [43–50]. \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems in the context of quantum correlations [51, 52], quantum walk [53, 54], and open quantum systems [9, 55] have been studied.

The study of the dynamics of thermodynamic quantities in the presence of strong system-bath coupling in the non-Markovian regime is a challenging task [40, 56–58]. One of the most fundamental criteria of non-Markovian quantum thermodynamics is to have consistent definitions of basic thermodynamic quantities such as heat, work, internal energy, ergotropy, and entropy production in the quantum regime [59–64]. This is essential for defining the thermodynamic laws of non-Markovian

* baibhab.1@iitj.ac.in

† devvrat.1@iitj.ac.in

‡ subhashish@iitj.ac.in

quantum systems. In our work, we couple a single-mode Hermitian radiation bath to the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system by a Jaynes-Cummings-type interaction and by tracing out the bath degrees of freedom from the total evolution, to obtain the generalized density matrix of the evolution, which would be non-Markovian in general [65]. Using the generalized density matrix, quantum thermodynamic quantities such as ergotropy, heat, internal energy, work, entropy production, and von-Neumann entropy are calculated, and the consistency of the three laws of quantum thermodynamics is verified.

In this paper, the Hermitian basis for the non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian is discussed in Sec. II along with its exceptional and normal points of the parameter space. In Sec. III, the analytic calculation of ergotropy is shown for a closed \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system. Sec. IV shows the open system treatment of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian and its ergotropy. Sec. V studies the laws of quantum thermodynamics for the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric open system, followed by the conclusions in Sec. VI.

II. HERMITIAN BASIS FOR A NON-HERMITIAN HAMILTONIAN

The general form of a non-Hermitian and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian whose eigenvectors are orthogonal with respect to \mathcal{CPT} inner product is [66, 67]

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} re^{i\psi} & s \\ s & re^{-i\psi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

This Hamiltonian is also η -pseudo Hermitian with respect to a Hermitian metric η , $\eta^{-1}H^\dagger\eta = H$ [65]. In [10], a recipe for constructing a Hermitian basis for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian of a specific class was discussed. The classification is given by the equation

$$\{H, H^\dagger\} = d\mathbf{I}, \quad (2)$$

where d is one of the eigenvalues of the anticommutator of a general two-dimensional non-Hermitian Hamiltonian H and its complex conjugate H^\dagger , and \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. Re-scaling the Hamiltonian $H \rightarrow H/\sqrt{d}$, we get a simpler version of the above equation that provides the constant of motion of the given dynamics,

$$\{H, H^\dagger\} = \mathbf{I}. \quad (3)$$

This constraint equation makes possible a corresponding anticommutator algebra with H and H^\dagger as its ladder operators. The basis on which they do so is constructed by using the eigenvectors of a Hermitian operator

$$F = H^\dagger H. \quad (4)$$

F is a positive Hermitian operator that encodes both H and H^\dagger having dimension $\sim [E^2]$, and it has no information about the phase of the complex energy. The eigenstates of this operator are naturally orthogonal, and their

eigenvalues are bounded from both sides; $0 \leq f \leq 1$. In the eigenvalue equation

$$F|f\rangle = f|f\rangle, \quad (5)$$

we manipulate the algebra of the anticommutator constraint equation in Eq. (3) to find the raising and lowering operations of H and H^\dagger . In our work the two-dimensional \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) obeys the constraint Eq. (3) only when $\psi = \pi/2$ and we have,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} ir & s \\ s & -ir \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The anticommutator relation is normalized as prescribed in Eq. (3) by rescaling the Hamiltonian. The value of the anticommutator is,

$$\{H, H^\dagger\} = 2(r^2 + s^2)\mathbf{I}, \quad (7)$$

when $\psi = \pi/2$. The rescaled Hamiltonian is,

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \begin{pmatrix} ir & s \\ s & -ir \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where $d = 2(r^2 + s^2)$. This rescaled Hamiltonian will now be used in the subsequent work. The corresponding F operator is

$$F = H^\dagger H = \frac{1}{2(r^2 + s^2)} \begin{pmatrix} r^2 + s^2 & -2irs \\ 2irs & r^2 + s^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

whose eigenvalues are

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \kappa(s + r)^2, \\ f' &= \kappa(s - r)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\kappa = \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{2(r^2 + s^2)}$. It is seen that $f' = 1 - f$. The corresponding normalized eigenvectors are,

$$\begin{aligned} |f\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ |1 - f\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

These two above orthonormal eigenvectors provide the basis for the Hamiltonian and its complex conjugate. The eigenvalue equation Eq. (5) by virtue of the anticommutator constraint illustrates how the H and H^\dagger act as ladder operators between the Hermitian, orthonormal basis vectors $|f\rangle$ and $|1 - f\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} H|f\rangle &= e^{i\pi}\sqrt{f}|1 - f\rangle, \\ H|1 - f\rangle &= e^{-i\pi}\sqrt{1 - f}|f\rangle, \\ H^\dagger|f\rangle &= e^{i\pi}\sqrt{1 - f}|1 - f\rangle, \\ H^\dagger|1 - f\rangle &= e^{-i\pi}\sqrt{f}|f\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Following the above ladder operations, the Hamiltonian H can be written in terms of the orthogonal basis vectors as

$$H = \sqrt{f}e^{i\pi} |1-f\rangle \langle f| + \sqrt{1-f}e^{-i\pi} |f\rangle \langle 1-f|. \quad (13)$$

In Eq. (13), it is shown that H and consequently H^\dagger take on an off-block diagonal structure in the Hermitian orthonormal basis. In [65], we have discussed the η -pseudo Hermitian basis ($|E_i\rangle, |E_i\rangle\rangle$), which is a basis folded by an η metric such that the adjoint operation requires an η operation in addition to complex conjugation. In that η -pseudo inner product space, the Hamiltonian takes a block diagonal form.

The characteristic eigenvalue equation of the operator $M = (H - E_\pm \mathbf{I})$

$$\det(M) = \det(H - E_\pm \mathbf{I}) = 0, \quad (14)$$

is solved to obtain the energy eigenvalues. Here, $\mathbf{I} = |f\rangle \langle 1-f| + |1-f\rangle \langle f|$. We use the definition of the determinant of M ,

$$\det(M) = \sum_{f', f''} \epsilon_{f' f''} M_{f f'} M_{1-f f''} = 0 \quad (15)$$

and obtain the complex energy,

$$E_\pm = (f(1-f))^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (16)$$

where the magnitude of the energy is f dependent. This also shows that $0 \leq f \leq 1$. For the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8), this yields,

$$E_\pm = \pm |E| = \pm \sqrt{\kappa(s^2 - r^2)}. \quad (17)$$

It is essential that in Eq. (8), $s \geq r$ to maintain real eigenvalues. This regime of values is named the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric phase, as opposed to the broken symmetry phase, which contains imaginary eigenvalues. The above eigenvalues guide us to obtaining the eigenvectors of H in Eq. (13). Eigenvectors of H^\dagger provide the left eigenvectors (dual space vectors) of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian H . The relative coefficient $a = |a|e^{i\phi}$ of the two Hermitian basis vectors ($|f\rangle, |1-f\rangle$) that make up the right and left eigenvectors are derived using the eigenvalue equation with corresponding energy eigenvalues, i.e., $H|E_i\rangle = E_i|E_i\rangle$ and $H^\dagger|E_i\rangle\rangle = E_i|E_i\rangle\rangle$, where $i = \{+, -\}$. Assuming the global phase factor as one, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E_\pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|f\rangle \pm |a|e^{\pm i\pi} |1-f\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|f\rangle \mp |a| |1-f\rangle), \\ |E_\pm\rangle\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|f\rangle \pm \frac{1}{|a|}e^{\pm i\pi} |1-f\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|f\rangle \mp \frac{1}{|a|} |1-f\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here $a = |a|e^{i\phi}$, where $|a| = (\frac{f}{1-f})^{\frac{1}{4}}$. For the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8), $|a| = \sqrt{\frac{s+r}{s-r}}$ and $\phi = \pi$. It is noteworthy that the choice of $|1-f\rangle$ in Eq. (11) is not unique. A prime choice is

$$|1-f\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

This choice is characterized by the azimuthal angle $\phi = 0$. One has $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ degrees of freedom to choose $|1-f\rangle$ while maintaining orthogonality with $|f\rangle$. From Eq. (18) it is evident that,

$$\langle\langle E_i | E_j \rangle\rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad (20)$$

where $i, j = \pm$. This establishes the orthogonality of the $|E_i\rangle, |E_j\rangle\rangle$ basis vectors of the Hamiltonian H .

A. Exceptional point and Normal point

The eigenvalue f of the Hermitian F [Eq. (5)] lying on the $0 \leq f \leq 1$ line has different regimes of values where the Hamiltonian H exhibits salient features. At the endpoints, we have the vacua $f = 0, 1$, and at the middle point we have $f = 1/2$. For $f = 0, 1$, the magnitude of the energy eigenvalue in Eq. (16) is the same and is equal to 0, and the relative coefficient between the two basis vectors that make up the eigenvectors is $|a| = 0, \infty$. For $|a| = 0$, the energy eigenvectors $|E_\pm\rangle$ collapse to $|f\rangle$ and the dual eigenvectors blow up, violating the orthonormality of the eigenvectors in Eq. (20). A similar case happens when $|a| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, this behavior could be called the exceptional point. For the \mathcal{PT} -Symmetric Hamiltonian in Eq. (8), the exceptional point is $f = 0$ when $r = -s$ and is $f = 1$ when $r = s$. Thus, at these exceptional points, the Hamiltonians boil down to

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & 1 \\ 1 & \mp i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

At the middle point of the parameter space $0 \leq f \leq 1$ we have $r = 0$, $s \geq 1$ for $f = 1/2$ ($s \geq r$). At this point, the energy eigenvalue [Eq. (16)] is a positive number. Since $|a| = \sqrt{\frac{s+r}{s-r}} = 1$ at this normal point, the eigenvectors now contain the two Hermitian basis vectors in equal proportions. Interestingly, at the normal point, the Hamiltonian is Hermitian

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

with equal left and right eigenvectors. The distance of the f values from the point $f = 1/2$ relates to the degree of non-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian, tunable in terms of the eigenvalue of the Hermitian operator F .

III. ERGOTROPY FOR A \mathcal{PT} -SYMMETRIC HAMILTONIAN

In quantum thermodynamics, ergotropy quantifies the maximum amount of work that can be extracted from a state $\rho(t)$ at time t , by unitary operations. For a non-Hermitian system, more precisely an η -pseudo Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -Symmetric Hamiltonian, we redefine the density matrix of the system in the η -pseudo inner product space as the generalized density matrix $\rho_G(t)$. In other words, in this bi-orthonormal basis, the projectors that make up the matrix elements of $\rho_G(t)$ are now constructed by the corresponding right ($|E_i\rangle$) and left(dual)($\langle E_i|$) eigenvectors. For the Hamiltonian we work with, i.e., H , these are provided in Eq. (18). The ergotropy for the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric H is

$$\mathcal{W} = \text{Tr}(H\rho_G(t)) - \text{Tr}(H\rho^p), \quad (23)$$

where ρ^p is the passive state associated with $\rho_G(t)$. A passive state is obtained by rearranging the eigenvalues of $\rho_G(t)$ in decreasing order and aligning them with the increasing energy eigenvalues of H . By construction, no work can be extracted from ρ^p under η -pseudo unitary evolution.

A. Calculation of $\rho_G(t)$ in the Hermitian basis

The dual space that complements the eigenspace of H is not obtained by mere complex conjugation. In our previous work [65], we have shown that an additional η -metric is necessary to map the eigenspace to its dual. In this work, we take a different approach to expressing both H and H^\dagger in a Hermitian basis ($|f\rangle, |1-f\rangle$) that spans them both. Using this approach, analytical calculations of the thermodynamic quantities become easier. Equation (18) shows how the bi-orthonormal eigenvectors are expressed in terms of the Hermitian basis vectors. In this space, the projector of the density matrix is modified such that,

$$\rho_G(t) = \sum_{ij} \rho^{ij}(t) |E_i\rangle \langle E_j| = \sum_{ij} \rho^{ij}(t) |E_i\rangle \langle E_j| \eta. \quad (24)$$

The elements $\rho^{ij}(t)$'s are the coefficients of the projectors, i.e., the information of the state, including population and coherence terms. The role of the Hermitian η metric as a map to the dual space is clear from the above equation. More explicitly, $\eta|E\rangle = |E\rangle$, so that the inner product yields

$$\langle E_i | \eta | E_j \rangle = \langle \langle E_i | E_j \rangle = \langle E_i | E_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\eta = \sum_n |E_n\rangle \langle \langle E_n|, \quad (26)$$

attests to its Hermiticity [65]. The calculation of $\rho_G(t)$ is elaborated in Appendix A.

B. Calculation of ergotropy

Once $\rho_G(t)$ is obtained from Eq. (24), its eigenvalues are calculated and compared. The larger (smaller) population is put in the lower (higher) energy eigenstates, such that,

$$\rho^p = \lambda_+ |E_+\rangle \langle \langle E_+| + \lambda_- |E_-\rangle \langle \langle E_-|. \quad (27)$$

Since $E_+ > E_-$, then $\lambda_+ < \lambda_-$ should be the choice for the construction of a passive state. We can now calculate the ergotropy following Eq. (23) for a closed system with a \mathcal{PT} -Symmetric Hamiltonian Eq. (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= |E|(\rho^{22}(t) - \rho^{11}(t)) - |E|(\lambda_- - \lambda_+) \\ &= |E|(\rho^{22}(t) - \rho^{11}(t) - \lambda_- + \lambda_+) \\ &= |E|(1 - 2\rho^{11}(t) - \lambda_- + \lambda_+), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $|E| = \sqrt{\kappa(s^2 - r^2)}$ is the absolute value of the eigenvalue, Eq. (17). The eigenvalues of $\rho_G(t)$ are,

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{s^2 - r^2} \{rs\rho^{21}(t) + s^2(\rho^{11}(t)\rho^{22}(t) - \rho^{12}(t)\rho^{21}(t)) - r^2\rho^{11}(t)\rho^{22}(t)\}}. \quad (29)$$

The eigenvalues naturally depend on the geometry of the Hilbert space, denoted by the parameters of the Hamiltonian r , s , and also on the population and coherence terms of the evolved quantum state.

IV. ERGOTROPY OF A \mathcal{PT} -SYMMETRIC HAMILTONIAN AS AN OPEN SYSTEM

To understand the ergotropy in the presence of the open system effects, the system is connected to a sim-




FIG. 1. A diagrammatic representation of the open system scheme we apply for a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian.

ple bath. We consider a scenario where a single-mode bosonic bath of frequency ω_c is coupled to the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian H . Inspired by light-matter interaction models, e.g., the Jaynes-Cummings model, the corresponding composite system-bath Hamiltonian can be envisaged to be

$$\tilde{H}_U = H + H_B + g(\sigma_G^+ \otimes a + \sigma_G^- \otimes a^\dagger), \quad (30)$$

where

$$H_B = \omega_c a^\dagger a. \quad (31)$$

Here, H_B is the Hermitian Hamiltonian of the bath and g is the coupling constant taken to be real. In the η -pseudo inner product space, Eq. (25), the adjoint of an operator is acquired by rotating the complex conjugate of the given operator by the Hermitian metric η . The \mathcal{PT} -symmetry of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8) is also manifested in its η -pseudo Hermiticity,

$$H^\dagger = \eta^{-1} H^\dagger \eta = H, \quad (32)$$

where the double dagger relates to the η adjoint of H . The knowledge of η is gained with the knowledge of left and right eigenvectors, Eq. (26) [65]. We calculate the reduced generalized density matrix for an open system as

$$\rho_G(t) = \text{Tr}_B[U \{\rho_G(0) \otimes \rho_B(0)\} U^\dagger]. \quad (33)$$

In general, the dynamics of $\rho_G(t)$ is non-Markovian in nature [65]. \tilde{H}_U , Eq. (30), is η -pseudo Hermitian, i.e.,

$$(\eta^{-1} \otimes I_B) \tilde{H}_U^\dagger (\eta \otimes I_B) = \tilde{H}_U^\dagger = \tilde{H}_U, \quad (34)$$

following the η -pseudo Hermiticity of H , i.e., $\eta^{-1} H^\dagger \eta = H$ and the fact that σ_G^+ and σ_G^- , the system operators suitable for the η -pseudo inner product space,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_G^+ &= |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_-|, \\ \sigma_G^- &= |E_-\rangle \langle\langle E_+|, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

are η -pseudo adjoints of each other, as shown below

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_G^+)^{\dagger} &= \eta^{-1} (\sigma_G^+)^{\dagger} \eta = \eta^{-1} |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_-| \eta \\ &= \eta^{-1} \eta |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_-| = |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_-| = \sigma_G^-. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Three different initial system states are taken: the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric ground state (ρ_G^1), excited state (ρ_G^2), and an intermediate state (ρ_G^3),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_G^1 &= |E_-\rangle \langle\langle E_-|, \\ \rho_G^2 &= |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_+|, \\ \rho_G^3 &= \frac{3}{4} |E_-\rangle \langle\langle E_-| + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_+| \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} |E_-\rangle \langle\langle E_+| + \frac{1}{4} |E_+\rangle \langle\langle E_-|. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The initial state of the bath is taken as the thermal state $\rho_B(0) = e^{-H_B/T} / \text{Tr}(e^{-H_B/T})$. The η -pseudo adjoint of the η -unitary operator [65, 68, 69] is

$$U^\dagger = e^{i\tilde{H}_U t}. \quad (38)$$

Given the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric initial states and a η -pseudo Hermitian Hamiltonian of the total evolution, we numerically calculate ergotropy (\mathcal{W}) following Eq. (23). The passive state ρ^p is also calculated numerically in this case. In Fig. 2, we see that the ergotropy starts at a maximum value when the initial state is the excited state. In the intermediate state, the ratio of the population is more toward the ground state, and this gives a comparatively low initial value of \mathcal{W} . When the initial state is the ground state (not shown in the figure), the ergotropy is zero in comparison to the rest, indicating the fact that when one starts with the system in the ground state, there is no effective extractable work. Coupling to the radiation bath causes the ergotropy of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric system to decrease in a featureless oscillatory manner. It is noteworthy that, except for the case when the initial state is the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric ground state, the initial or the closed system ergotropy is maximum when the \mathcal{PT} -symmetry is relaxed and the H in Eq. (8) is in its Hermitian limit at the normal point in the parameter space of the Hamiltonian and reduces to Eq. (22). Near the exceptional point, $r \simeq s$, the initial ergotropy is the lowest for all the cases when it approaches the ‘vacua’ or the end of the parameter space. From Eq. (18) it is clear that, at $f = 1, 0$

when the relative coefficient $|a| = \left(\frac{f}{1-f}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ is $\infty, 0$, the orthonormality of the eigenvectors no longer holds, i.e., $\langle\langle E_i | E_i \rangle \neq 1$. This can be the reason for diverging values of ergotropy in the exceptional points of the Hamiltonian. In Fig. 2 plots, the dot-dashed curves show the case of $s = 1, r = 0.95 \simeq s$ and not $r = s$ to show how ergotropy behaves when it approaches the exceptional point before diverging. In all the plots, we see late-time revivals of ergotropy (\mathcal{W}). Since we work with an open system involving a single-mode bosonic bath, these revivals can be attributed to the non-Markovian effects of the open




FIG. 2. Variation of ergotropy $\mathcal{W}(\rho_G(t))$ in an open system. The initial states are the excited state ρ_G^2 in (a), the state ρ_G^3 in (b), defined in Eq. (37). The Hamiltonian parameter s is kept fixed at one, and r is varied to render different regimes of Hermiticity. The coupling constant is $g = 0.5$, and the bath frequency, dimension, and temperature are consecutively $\omega_c = 2$, $d_B = 15$, $T = 10$.

system's evolution. Studying the plots, it can be inferred that, as long as ergotropy is concerned, it decreases as the Hamiltonian becomes more non-Hermitian, which in our case is the \mathcal{PT} -symmetry. At its Hermitian limit, the Hamiltonian reduces to a Pauli σ_x type system, and in this case, the ergotropy is higher than the case when it is at its non-Hermitian regime, as can be observed from the $r = 0$ solid line in Fig. 2. Relaxation from \mathcal{PT} -symmetry to Hermiticity causes a gain in ergotropy.

V. THERMODYNAMICS OF A \mathcal{PT} -SYMMETRIC QUANTUM SYSTEM

In the last section, we have modeled a quantum thermodynamic scenario where the system is represented by a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian, Eq. (8), and the bath is represented by a Hermitian Hamiltonian H_B , Eq. (31). In this section, we verify the three laws of thermodynam-

ics for this model. The first law of thermodynamics is,

$$dU = dW - dQ_B, \quad (39)$$

where dU is the change in the internal energy of the system, dW is the work done in the process of evolution by H , and dQ_B is the amount of heat exchanged between the system and the bath in that process. They are defined for an open system as,

$$\begin{aligned} dU &= \text{Tr}[H(\rho_G(t) - \rho_G(0))], \\ dW &= \text{Tr}[H_{GB}(\rho_{GB}(0) - \rho_{GB}(t))], \\ dQ_B &= \text{Tr}[H_B(\rho_B(t) - \rho_B(0))], \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $H_{GB} = g(\sigma_G^+ a + \sigma_G^- a^\dagger)$ is the interaction Hamiltonian. Here, $\rho_G(t)$ is the system density matrix for the evolution of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian, expressed on a bi-orthonormal basis. ρ_{GB} stands for the composite density matrix of the bi-orthonormal system and the Hermitian bath. ρ_B is the density matrix of the bath obtained by partial tracing the degrees of freedom of the system. It is observed, Fig. 3, that for all regimes of the Hamiltonian H , the first law is obeyed. The second law of thermodynamics in the case of a quantum system ensures the positivity of the entropy production (Σ),

$$\Sigma = \mathcal{S}[\rho_{GB}(t) || \rho_G(t) \otimes \rho_B(0)] \geq 0. \quad (41)$$

Here $\mathcal{S}(\rho || \sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho \log \rho - \rho \log \sigma)$ is the relative entropy between two density matrices ρ and σ .

In Fig. 4 we see that for all initial states and for all regimes the entropy production (Σ) is positive. After a sharp initial increase, the entropy production exhibits much smaller oscillatory behavior. Interestingly, Σ shows a sharper dip after an initial rise when the initial state is the excited state or the ground state, which are coherence-less states. For the state ρ_G^3 , this dip is not so sharp, and when the Hamiltonian approaches the exceptional point, the Σ profile is qualitatively similar to the profiles at other regimes. For ρ_G^1 , ρ_G^2 , the behavior of Σ near the exceptional points is more distinct; more precisely, it runs lower than that of the other regimes.

In the third law,

$$S(T)|_{T \rightarrow 0} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_G(t) \log \rho_G(t))|_{T \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow 0, \quad (42)$$

where $S(T)$ is the von-Neumann entropy. For all initial states and in different parameter ranges of the Hamiltonian H , the above relation is obeyed when the coupling constant with the bath g is considerably low. For stronger couplings, the von-Neumann entropy gets modified by a temperature-dependent effective term [70].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have selected a subclass of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians obeying an anti-commutator relation with their complex conjugate




FIG. 3. Different thermodynamic quantities are plotted when the initial state is the excited state ρ_G^2 . (a) is for the Hermitian limit at $r = 0$, (b) is for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian at $r = 0.5$, and (c) is for when the Hamiltonian approaches the exceptional limit, $r = 0.95$. All cases have $s = 1$. The coupling constant is $g = 0.5$, and the bath frequency, dimension, and temperature are consecutively, $\omega_c = 2$, $d_B = 15$, $T = 10$

to devise a Hermitian basis that spans the energy eigenspace. Thus, constructing a generalized density matrix for a non-Hermitian evolution and consequently calculating quantum thermodynamic quantities, such as ergotropy, becomes easier. The generalized density matrix is observed to have a unit trace and contains




FIG. 4. Entropy production (Σ) for the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric open quantum system. The initial states [Eq. (37)] are the excited state ρ_G^2 in (a), the state ρ_G^3 in (b), and the ground state ρ_G^1 in (c). The parameter s is kept fixed at one, and r is varied to render different regimes of non-Hermiticity. The coupling constant is $g = 0.5$, and the bath frequency, dimension, and temperature are consecutively, $\omega_c = 2$, $d_B = 15$, $T = 10$.

parameters of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian along with population and coherence terms. The ergotropy is analytically expressed after obtaining the passive state in terms of the modified projectors of the bi-orthonormal basis and the eigenvalues of the generalized density matrix. To understand ergotropy in a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric open

system, a bath was coupled to it in accordance with a Jaynes-Cummings type interaction. Using the η -pseudo Hermiticity of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian and the η -unitarity of the total evolution, the generalized system density matrix is obtained by tracing out the radiation degrees of freedom of the bath. Ergotropy was plotted for different initial states, and it was observed that the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric excited state provided the most extractable work. Using the tunability of non-Hermiticity of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian, different regimes between the normal and the exceptional points are studied. The ergotropy was found to be larger in the Hermitian limit of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian at the normal point. This analysis, thus, paves the way for the realization of a quantum battery using \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum systems. In the same open system settings, other thermodynamic quantities, namely heat, work, internal energy, entropy production, and von-Neumann entropy, were calculated, and the consistency of the three laws of thermodynamics was verified, thereby confirming that a \mathcal{PT} -symmetric

system is thermodynamically sound.

Appendix A: Calculation of $\rho_G(t)$

To calculate $\rho_G(t)$, we need to calculate the individual projectors using the right eigenvectors and their corresponding left(dual) eigenvectors in Eq. (18). For the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8) the explicit eigenvectors are figured out using Eq. (11),

$$|E_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mp |a| \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$|E_{\pm}\rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mp \frac{1}{|a|} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $|a| = \left(\frac{f}{1-f}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \sqrt{\frac{s+r}{s-r}}$ using Eq. (10). These explicit expressions act as the building blocks of

$$\rho_G(t) = \sum_{ij} \rho^{ij}(t) |E_i\rangle \langle\langle E_j|, \quad (\text{A3})$$

and the final element-wise expression is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_G(t)^{11} &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{s^2-r^2}} \left(-i(\sqrt{s+r} + i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{11}(t) - 2is\rho^{12}(t) + 2is\rho^{21}(t) + i(\sqrt{s+r} - i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{22}(t) \right) \\ \rho_G(t)^{12} &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{s^2-r^2}} \left(-2s\rho^{11}(t) - (\sqrt{s+r} + i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{12}(t) - (\sqrt{s+r} + i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{21}(t) + 2s\rho^{22}(t) \right) \\ \rho_G(t)^{21} &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{s^2-r^2}} \left(-2s\rho^{11}(t) - (\sqrt{s+r} - i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{12}(t) - (\sqrt{s+r} - i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{21}(t) + 2s\rho^{22}(t) \right) \\ \rho_G(t)^{22} &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{s^2-r^2}} \left(i(\sqrt{s+r} - i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{11}(t) + 2is\rho^{12}(t) - 2is\rho^{21}(t) - i(\sqrt{s+r} + i\sqrt{s-r})^2 \rho^{22}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

From this, it can be seen after some algebra that the trace of $\rho_G(t)$ is one, that is, $\rho_G(t)^{11} + \rho_G(t)^{22} = 1$. Eigenvalues of $\rho_G(t)$, using the above quantities, are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4(\rho_G^{11}\rho_G^{22} - \rho_G^{12}\rho_G^{21})}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

and are explicitly given in Eq. (29). An interesting fact that emerges from Eq. (A4) is that the vectorized $\rho_G(t)$ and $\rho(t)$ matrices are connected by a superoperator ma-

trix. Here, the vectorized $\rho(t)$ is a vector in the computational basis made up by the population and coherence terms. The de-vectorized $\rho(t)$ is not a proper density matrix for the η -pseudo Hermitian, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric evolution. This superoperator matrix can be assumed to be a higher-dimensional manifestation of the η metric that connects the quantum state elements to the vector of a proper density matrix, i.e., a generalized density matrix $\rho_G(t)$ suitable for the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric space.

-
- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998).
 - [2] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisinger, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **40**, 2201–2229 (1999).
 - [3] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 270401 (2002).

-
- [4] C. M. Bender, P. N. Meisinger, and Q. Wang, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **36**, 6791 (2003).
 - [5] C. M. Bender, *Contemporary Physics* **46**, 277–292 (2005).
 - [6] C. M. Bender, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **70**, 947 (2007).

- [7] A. Das and L. Greenwood, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **51**, 042103 (2010).
- [8] K. Jones-Smith and H. Mathur, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 125014 (2014).
- [9] F. Minganti, A. Miranowicz, R. W. Chhajlany, and F. Nori, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 062131 (2019).
- [10] P. Bhasin and T. Das, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **58**, 125303 (2025).
- [11] A. Kumari and U. Sen, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **55**, 185302 (2022).
- [12] A. Mostafazadeh, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 2814–2816 (2002).
- [13] A. Mostafazadeh, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 205–214 (2002).
- [14] A. Mostafazadeh, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 3944–3951 (2002).
- [15] A. Mostafazadeh and A. Batal, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **37**, 11645 (2004).
- [16] A. MOSTAFAZADEH, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **07**, 1191 (2010), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887810004816>.
- [17] C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, *Nature Physics* **6**, 192–195 (2010).
- [18] J. Schindler, A. Li, M. C. Zheng, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 040101 (2011).
- [19] S. Bittner, B. Dietz, U. Günther, H. L. Harney, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter, and F. Schäfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 024101 (2012).
- [20] C. M. Bender, B. K. Berntson, D. Parker, and E. Samuel, *American Journal of Physics* **81**, 173–179 (2013).
- [21] R. Fleury, D. Sounas, and A. Alù, *Nature Communications* **6** (2015), 10.1038/ncomms6905.
- [22] H. C. Baker, *Physical Review A* **30**, 773–793 (1984).
- [23] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Sheng, L. Yang, M.-A. Miri, D. N. Christodoulides, B. He, Y. Zhang, and M. Xiao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 123601 (2016).
- [24] C. Hang, G. Huang, and V. V. Konotop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 083604 (2013).
- [25] J. Naikoo, K. Thapliyal, S. Banerjee, and A. Pathak, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 023820 (2019).
- [26] G. S. Agarwal and K. Qu, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 031802 (2012).
- [27] Y. Wu, W. Liu, J. Geng, X. Song, X. Ye, C.-K. Duan, X. Rong, and J. Du, *Science* **364**, 878 (2019), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aaw8205>.
- [28] T. Ohlsson, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **113**, 61001 (2016).
- [29] J. Alexandre, C. M. Bender, and P. Millington, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2015** (2015), 10.1007/jhep11(2015)111.
- [30] J. Alexandre, C. M. Bender, and P. Millington, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **873**, 012047 (2017).
- [31] J. Alexandre, J. Ellis, P. Millington, and D. Seynaeve, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 045001 (2018).
- [32] P. D. Mannheim, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 045006 (2019).
- [33] J. Alexandre, J. Ellis, P. Millington, and D. Seynaeve, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 075024 (2019).
- [34] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems* (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2011).
- [35] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press Oxford, 2007).
- [36] S. Banerjee, *Open Quantum Systems: Dynamics of Non-classical Evolution* (Springer Singapore, 2018).
- [37] S. Omkar, R. Srikanth, S. Banerjee, and A. K. Alok, *Quantum Information and Computation* **16**, 757–770 (2016).
- [38] B. Vacchini, A. Smirne, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and H.-P. Breuer, *New Journal of Physics* **13**, 093004 (2011).
- [39] D. Tiwari, K. G. Paulson, and S. Banerjee, *Annalen der Physik* **535**, 2200452 (2023), <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/andp.202200452>.
- [40] D. Tiwari, B. Bose, and S. Banerjee, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **162**, 114104 (2025).
- [41] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **17**, 821 (1976), https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article-pdf/17/5/821/19090720/821.1_online.pdf.
- [42] G. Lindblad, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **48**, 119–130 (1976).
- [43] M. J. W. Hall, J. D. Cresser, L. Li, and E. Andersson, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 042120 (2014).
- [44] Ángel Rivas, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **77**, 094001 (2014).
- [45] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 021002 (2016).
- [46] D. Chruściński, *Physics Reports* **992**, 1 (2022), dynamical maps beyond Markovian regime.
- [47] N. P. Kumar, S. Banerjee, R. Srikanth, V. Jagadish, and F. Petruccione, *Open Systems & Information Dynamics* **25**, 1850014 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1230161218500142>.
- [48] I. de Vega and D. Alonso, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 015001 (2017).
- [49] S. Utagi, R. Srikanth, and S. Banerjee, *Scientific Reports* **10**, 15049 (2020).
- [50] C. Kåding and M. Pitschmann, “Density matrices in quantum field theory: Non-markovianity, path integrals and master equations,” (2025), arXiv:2503.08567 [hep-th].
- [51] J. Naikoo, S. Kumari, S. Banerjee, and A. K. Pan, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **54**, 275303 (2021).
- [52] J. Naikoo, S. Banerjee, and A. Pathak, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 023836 (2019).
- [53] J. Naikoo, S. Banerjee, and C. M. Chandrashekar, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 062209 (2020).
- [54] H. Badhani, S. Banerjee, and C. M. Chandrashekar, *Physica Scripta* **99**, 105112 (2024).
- [55] T. Ohlsson and S. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 022218 (2021).
- [56] P. Strasberg, G. Schaller, N. Lambert, and T. Brandes, *New Journal of Physics* **18**, 073007 (2016).
- [57] W.-M. Huang and W.-M. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. A* **106**, 032607 (2022).
- [58] G. Thomas, N. Siddharth, S. Banerjee, and S. Ghosh, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 062108 (2018).
- [59] W. Dou, M. A. Ochoa, A. Nitzan, and J. E. Subotnik, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 134306 (2018).
- [60] P. Strasberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 180604 (2019).
- [61] A. Rivas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 160601 (2020).
- [62] M. Ishizaki, N. Hatano, and H. Tajima, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 023066 (2023).
- [63] K.-T. Chiang and W.-M. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 013714 (2021).
- [64] P. Strasberg and M. Esposito, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 062101 (2017).

- (2017).
- [65] B. Bose, D. Tiwari, and S. Banerjee, “ \mathcal{PT} -symmetric open quantum systems: Information theoretic facets,” (2025), [arXiv:2505.16545 \[quant-ph\]](#).
 - [66] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, *Physical Review Letters* **89** (2002), 10.1103/physrevlett.89.270401.
 - [67] C. M. Bender, J. Brod, A. Refig, and M. E. Reuter, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **37**, 10139 (2004).
 - [68] G. Sclarici and L. Solombrino, *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics* **56**, 935–941 (2006).
 - [69] G. Sclarici, *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* (2007), 10.3842/sigma.2007.088.
 - [70] H. J. D. Miller, “Hamiltonian of mean force for strongly-coupled systems,” in *Thermodynamics in the Quantum Regime: Fundamental Aspects and New Directions*, edited by F. Binder, L. A. Correa, C. Gogolin, J. Anders, and G. Adesso (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018) pp. 531–549.