

UniMo: Unifying 2D Video and 3D Human Motion with an Autoregressive Framework

Youxin Pang^{1,2*} Yong Zhang^{2†} Ruizhi Shao¹ Xiang Deng^{1,2}
 Feng Gao² Xiaoming Xu² Xiaoming Wei² Yebin Liu^{1†}
¹Tsinghua University
²Meituan

<https://carlyx.github.io/UniMo/>




Figure 1. We present UniMo, an innovative autoregressive model for joint modeling of 2D human videos and 3D human motions within a unified framework. Left: Unlike existing methods that map 3D motions to 2D maps for video-motion alignment, we directly use 3D motions as inputs and outputs. Right: We unify the I2VM (Image-to-Video-and-Motion) and V2M (Video-to-Motion) tasks within a single transformer framework, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Abstract

We propose UniMo, an innovative autoregressive model for joint modeling of 2D human videos and 3D human motions within a unified framework, enabling simultaneous generation and understanding of these two modalities for the first time. Current methods predominantly focus on generating one modality given another as the condition or integrating either of them with other modalities such as text and audio. Unifying 2D videos and 3D motions for simultaneous optimization and generation remains largely unexplored,

*Work done during the internship at Meituan

†Corresponding authors.

presenting significant challenges due to their substantial structural and distributional differences. Inspired by the LLM’s ability to unify different modalities, our method models videos and 3D motions as a unified tokens sequence, utilizing separate embedding layers to mitigate distribution gaps. Additionally, we devise a sequence modeling strategy that integrates two distinct tasks within a single framework, proving the effectiveness of unified modeling. Moreover, to efficiently align with visual tokens and preserve 3D spatial information, we design a novel 3D motion tokenizer with a temporal expansion strategy, using a single VQ-VAE to produce quantized motion tokens. It features multiple expert decoders that handle body shapes, translation, global ori-

entation, and body poses for reliable 3D motion reconstruction. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our method simultaneously generates corresponding videos and motions while performing accurate motion capture. This work taps into the capacity of LLMs to fuse diverse data types, paving the way for integrating human-centric information into existing models and potentially enabling multimodal, controllable joint modeling of humans, objects, and scenes.

1. Introduction

Digital human modeling is a fundamental task in computer vision. Integrating 3D motions and 2D videos plays a pivotal role in extensive tasks, including human video synthesis and motion capture. For human video synthesis, most methods [14, 29, 35, 52] focus on producing human videos that are consistent with input motions. In the realm of motion capture [12, 22, 37], they aim to capture the corresponding 3D motions from input videos. However, the aforementioned methods primarily use one modality as the condition to generate another, without engaging in joint modeling and optimization of both modalities.

This paper focuses on unifying 2D human videos and 3D human motions to achieve joint optimization and generation. Recently, large language models (LLMs) [4] have been widely applied in various large vision-language models [1, 2, 13, 30], effectively capturing the relationships among different modalities such as audio, text, and vision. Building on this, numerous approaches leverage LLM-style frameworks to integrate motions with different modalities, including vision [7, 25, 28], text [51], and audio [31]. While the above methods integrate multimodal data using LLM-style structure, they primarily focus on generating one modality based on another. The task of unifying 2D videos and 3D motions for simultaneous optimization and generation has not been thoroughly explored, especially in terms of aligning these two different modalities for joint generation. Inspired by the LLM’s ability to unify different modalities, we explore the possibility of transferring this capability to our specific task.

The primary challenge with 3D motions lies in the lack of explicit spatial correspondence with 2D videos, which inhibits integration via straightforward operations like addition or concatenation [14]. In this paper, we introduce a novel autoregressive (AR) framework for joint modeling of 2D human videos and 3D human motions, achieving simultaneous optimization and generation of these two modalities for the first time. Unlike existing single-task methods, our unified framework efficiently performs both generation and understanding tasks, as illustrated in Fig. 1, further advancing the ability of LLMs to integrate various data types. For the generation task, the model simultaneously produces videos and corresponding 3D motions from a single image.

For the understanding task, it captures corresponding 3D motions from video inputs. To unify these two tasks, we design a novel sequence modeling strategy that assigns corresponding tasks based on the input tokens. Moreover, motion tokens differ from visual tokens with respect to token distribution, and the model simultaneously outputs tokens from two modalities, which can easily lead to potential confusion between them. Therefore, we design distinct embedding layers for each modality to mitigate distribution gaps and enhance alignment, incorporating learnable vocabulary embeddings and positional embedding methods.

Another challenge lies in constructing 3D motion representations for seamless integration with visual information within our AR framework. A straightforward approach is to represent 3D motions similarly to visual tokens. Recently, MotionGPT [17], SOLAMI [18], and Duolando [39] have explored 3D motion tokenizers, based on 3D keypoints or SMPL(X) parameters. 3D keypoints are relatively simple but insufficient to represent complex human motions. Therefore, we use SMPL-X [33] to model human motions, incorporating 3D parameters like body shapes, translation, global orientation, and body poses. However, applying current tokenizers directly to our task introduces two main challenges. Firstly, most methods employ temporal compression to reduce resource usage, which is effective for motion-text alignment but results in a token quantity imbalance in video-motion modeling. Secondly, many approaches segment the human body into multiple parts, processing each separately with several VQ-VAEs. Although this improves reconstruction accuracy, it results in multiple sets of motion tokens, thus increasing complexity for our unified model. To overcome these challenges, we propose a novel 3D motion tokenizer that models all SMPL-X parameters using a single VQ-VAE, complemented by a novel temporal expansion strategy to enhance reconstruction accuracy and balance the quantity of vision tokens. The proposed tokenizer generates motion tokens while ensuring accurate reconstruction, laying the foundation for effective multimodal fusion through AR.

By leveraging the proposed motion tokenizer and AR model, our approach consistently generates both videos and motions across two tasks, illustrating the potential of modeling 3D motions and 2D videos within a unified AR framework. This work not only explores the capacity of LLMs to fuse diverse data types but also establishes a foundation for embedding human-centric information into existing architectures, potentially enabling multimodal, controllable joint modeling of humans, objects, and scenes. We summarize our contributions as follows,

- A novel LLM-style framework jointly models 3D human motions and 2D human videos, enabling simultaneous generation and optimization of both modalities for the first time.

- A novel 3D motion tokenizer employs a temporal expansion strategy to effectively quantize and reconstruct SMPL-X parameters, laying the foundation for modality integration and motion generation.
- A unified autoregressive model, featuring a novel sequence modeling and independent embedding strategy, integrates two distinct tasks within a single transformer model, effectively alleviating distribution gaps and aligning both modalities.

2. Related Work

Human Video Synthesis. The objective is to generate a corresponding video given a single human image and a sequence of driving motion. Current approaches predominantly utilize diffusion-based methods to tackle this task, often employing UNet [5, 14, 23, 42, 48, 49, 52] or DiT [11, 29, 35, 36] structures. To incorporate the driving motions, they typically utilize 2D maps such as skeleton maps, normal maps, and densepose maps [21]. However, they only align 2D motions with visual latents at the input stage and lack optimization of 3D motions.

Human Motion Capture. Motion capture [12, 19, 20, 22, 24, 32, 34, 37] is a classic task aiming at extracting corresponding human motions from video inputs. For instance, HMR [19] utilizes a CNN to regress SMPL parameters, while 4DHumans [12] introduces a fully transformer-based model based on an enhanced HMR and 3D tracking system. Besides, GVHMR [37] estimates human poses in a novel gravity-view coordinate to reduce ambiguity in image-pose mapping. Typically, they commence video preprocessing by tracking humans, detecting keypoints, and extracting features, followed by regressing motion parameters from these features. Besides, they primarily focus on the transfer from videos to motions, lacking emphasis on the joint modeling of the two modalities.

Human Video-Motion Joint Tasks. Some methods [7, 25, 28] employ joint modeling of videos and motions to enhance the understanding of human behavior. However, these approaches merely integrate the two modalities into a unified representation at the input stage, lacking the capability to simultaneously generate both. VideoJAM [6], AnimaX [16], and OmniVDiff [46] introduce an appearance-motion aligning framework based on diffusion models, which represent motion information using 2D motion maps. While 2D motion maps are flexible and can be easily represented as RGB videos, they inevitably suffer from the loss of crucial 3D spatial information. SViMo [10] adopt diffusion frameworks to simultaneously generate hand-object videos and motions.

Human 3D Motion Tokenizer. The human motion tokenizer [11] is designed to compress and convert raw motion data, such as keypoints and SMPL-X parameters, into motion tokens. MotionGPT [17] and SOLAMI [18] pre-

train the 3D human motion tokenizers using the VQ-VAE architecture. However, their tokenizers require complex and extensive data processing, including orientation adjustments, foot contact modifications, and the application of forward/inverse kinematics, which demands predefined human kinematic chains tailored to specific datasets. Recently, Duolando [39] introduces a simplified motion tokenizer that uses raw 3D joint coordinates as inputs. Nevertheless, they independently process body poses and translation, and 3D joints remain insufficient to fully capture complex human motions.

LLM-style Video and Motion Models. Recently, autoregressive models [8, 43–45] based on transformer architectures have demonstrated impressive results in multimodal modeling. Cosmos [1] approaches world simulation generation as a next-token prediction task, akin to language modeling, and incorporates text embeddings using cross-attention. Additionally, many methods [7, 17, 18, 26] leverage LLMs to achieve unified generation and understanding of motions alongside multiple modalities such as text and audio.

3. Method

The overall pipeline of UniMo is illustrated in Fig. 2. Our goal is to model 3D motions and 2D videos without relying on 2D motion maps, achieving simultaneous generation and optimization of them. Specifically, UniMo employs a unified AR framework to integrate the two modalities and implement two distinct tasks for validating the generation and understanding capabilities. Notably, conventional understanding tasks are defined as understanding visual information and generating textual responses based on queries. In contrast, our task focuses on understanding human information from the video and capturing the corresponding 3D motion. In the image-to-video-and-motion task (I2VM), given a single reference image I_R , the goal is to generate subsequent T -frame videos along with corresponding 3D motions $M_{k=1}^T$. In the video-to-motion task (V2M), given a video sequence $V_{k=1}^T$, the objective is to capture the corresponding 3D motions.

We first introduce a 3D motion tokenizer tailored for our task in Sec. 3.1, which is responsible for quantizing raw 3D motions M into motion tokens corresponding to visual tokens. Additionally, this tokenizer can accurately reconstruct M from the quantized tokens, establishing a foundation for joint modeling. Then, we detail how autoregressive modeling can unify visual and motion tokens within a transformer architecture in Sec. 3.2, encompassing task-specific sequence modeling strategies and independent embeddings adapted to various modalities. By simultaneously optimizing two modalities and tasks, the model enhances their correspondence and improves generative and understanding capabilities. After that, we discuss the training strategies utilized for multi-task unification in Sec. 3.3.




Figure 2. Overview of UniMo. Left: We introduce a 3D motion tokenizer that is responsible for quantizing raw 3D motions M into motion tokens corresponding to visual tokens and accurately reconstructing back to M from these tokens. The 3D motion tokenizer comprises a motion encoder, a learnable codebook B , and multiple expert decoders. Right: Given visual tokens and motion tokens, we propose an AR transformer framework with new sequence modeling strategies to unify the two modalities, enabling the execution of two distinct tasks (only the I2VM task is illustrated in the figure). To better integrate the two types of tokens, we propose independent vocabulary embedding layers and different positional embedding ways.

3.1. 3D Motion Tokenizer

To integrate 3D motions M with visual tokens and enable their generation, it is necessary to develop a tokenizer that accepts M as input, quantizing them into discrete tokens akin to visual tokens, while also being capable of reconstructing the original M . MotionGPT [17], SOLAMI [18], and Duolando [39] have explored 3D motion tokenizers for this target, based on 3D keypoints or SMPL(X) parameters. 3D keypoints are relatively simple but insufficient to fully represent complex 3D human motion information. Moreover, obtaining SMPL(X) parameters offers greater value in motion generation tasks. MotionGPT and SOLAMI are SMPL(X)-based methods that require complex processing of motions, including orientation adjustments, foot contact modifications, and the application of forward/inverse kinematics, making it difficult to generalize across diverse datasets. Additionally, SOLAMI divides the human body into multiple parts and designs several VQVAEs to independently learn different segments and translations. This configuration generates multiple sets of tokens, increasing complexity in our unified model.

To address this, as shown in the left box in Fig. 2, we

use SMPL-X models [33] to comprehensively represent 3D human motions and propose a novel 3D motion tokenizer tailored to our task. Specifically, SMPL-X is a unified body model with shape parameters trained jointly for the face, hands and body. In our task, the body part is used to represent human motions through parameterized body poses ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 63}$), shape coefficients ($\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 10}$), global orientation ($\phi \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 3}$), and translation ($\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 3}$). The proposed tokenizer is capable of taking the entire set of $M = (\theta, \beta, \phi, \tau)$ as inputs, quantizing them into discrete tokens, and accurately reconstructing the SMPL-X parameters from motion tokens. Inspired by Duolando [39], we employ a VQ-VAE structure comprising an encoder, a learnable codebook, and multiple expert decoders. We first cascade M along the last dimension channel, which result in channels $C = (63 + 10 + 3 + 3)$. Then the encoder uses 1D convolutions transforming them into high-dimensional semantic features $F \in \mathbb{R}^{T' \times C}$, where $T' = T/s$ and s is the scaling factor. In data processing, the absolute position of the first frame is preserved, while subsequent frames are transformed into velocity representations by subtracting the position of the preceding frame, thereby reinforcing temporal continuity. During reconstruction, the original positions

can be restored using prefix sum techniques. Subsequently, the sequence $F_{k=1}^{T'} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{T'}\}$ is quantized by replacing each f_k with the nearest element in the codebook B , transforming it into a discrete sequence of tokens. Finally, we employ four expert decoders consisting of 1D convolutions to individually reconstruct parameters θ , β , τ , and ϕ from tokens.

Notably, most methods employ temporal compression to reduce resource usage, which is effective for motion-text alignment but results in a token quantity imbalance in our task. Besides, SMPL-X parameters are more complex than 3D keypoints, resulting in greater learning difficulty and poorer performance on motion metrics compared to keypoints [18]. To address this issue, we adopt an expansion strategy for temporal processing. By setting $s = 1/36$, we represent the SMPL-X parameters for one frame with 36 discrete tokens. This serves two purposes: (1) Visual tokens significantly outnumber motion tokens, thus expanding motion tokens helps balance the disparity in their quantities to some extent; (2) One of our objectives is the accurate reconstruction of SMPL-X parameters, and expanding tokens can improve accuracy. Please refer to the supplementary materials for the analysis of the impact of the quantity of tokens on computational cost.

3.2. Unified Autoregressive Framework

Unified Motion-Visual Representation. Building on the impressive performance of Cosmos [1] in autoregressive (AR) video modeling, we adopt the Cosmos AR framework as our backbone model. We utilize the Cosmos tokenizer to quantize and compress the videos into visual tokens with a compression rate of $8 \times 16 \times 16$. However, in Cosmos, multimodal sequence modeling is accomplished through cross-attention, which prevents simultaneous generation and optimization of another modality. Inspired by LLMs [43, 47], we structure 3D motion tokens and visual tokens into a unified sequence following an interleaved motion-video format. Given that our framework involves I2VM and V2M tasks, we introduce special tokens to identify different tasks. For V2M task, we format the sequence as:

$$[T1] [Vt_1 Vt_2 \dots Vt_N] [STG] [Mt_1 Mt_2 Mt_M], \quad (1)$$

where $T1$ means V2M task. Vt and Mt represent the visual and motion tokens, respectively. STG marks the beginning of generation, with the conditional sequence placed before it and the target sequence positioned after it. N and M represent the number of visual and motion tokens, respectively. Notably, we use 16 frames as a unit, meaning each Vt or Mt represents information from 16 frames.

For I2VM task, we format the sequence as:

$$[T2] [It] [STG] [Vt_1] [Mt_1] [Vt_2] [Mt_2] \dots [Vt_N] [Mt_M], \quad (2)$$

where $T2$ means I2VM task. It is the single reference image tokens. The sequence formats above are flexible by using a task-specific token at the beginning to distinguish two tasks, and employing the STG special token to separate the conditions from the targets within different tasks. Notably, in Eq. 2, we define the target sequence as interleaved visual tokens and motion tokens. This design strengthens the model’s capability to integrate both modalities simultaneously, enabling the generation process to leverage all previously incorporated modalities.

Vocabulary Embedding Layers. In the Cosmos AR model, sequence modeling involves only visual modality, and thus uses a single embedding layer to process all discrete tokens. However, due to the inherent gaps between motion and visual tokens, using a single embedding layer may lead to distribution entanglement. Additionally, simultaneous generation of two modalities within one framework raises the possibility of output modality confusion. To address these issues, as shown in the right box in Fig. 2, we employ two separated learnable embedding layers, one for visual tokens and the other for motion tokens.

Positional Embedding Layers. Similar to Cosmos AR model [1], we use Rotary Position Embedding (RoPE) and Absolute Positional Embedding (APE) to model positional embeddings. Firstly, we apply APE to the entire sequence to directly model the positional relationship of interleaved modalities. Additionally, to better align them, we implement two different RoPE modeling approaches to independently process each modality (right box in Fig. 2). Specifically, for video embeddings, we adopt 3D factorized RoPE to model both temporal and spatial dimensions. Given the limited joint spatial information in motion embeddings, we apply RoPE to the temporal dimension. Finally, we achieve modality alignment by aligning the positions of two modalities in RoPE. Specifically, for queries (Q) and keys (K) in attention operations:

$$\hat{Q} = (RoPE_m(Q_m) \oplus RoPE_v(Q_v)) + APE(Q_e), \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{K} = (RoPE_m(K_m) \oplus RoPE_v(K_v)) + APE(K_e), \quad (4)$$

where Q_e denotes the entire sequence, \hat{Q} represents the results after applying positional embedding. $RoPE_m$ and $RoPE_v$ represent motion RoPE and visual RoPE respectively. Q_m and Q_v represent motion tokens and visual tokens respectively, and \oplus denotes concatenation operations. (K is processed similarly)

3.3. Training Strategy

UniMo is trained with a two-stage training approach. In the first stage, we train the 3D motion tokenizer in an end-to-end way using 3D motion data, and the training loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{VAE} = \mathcal{L}_{rec}(M', M_{gt}) + \lambda \|F - sg(B)\| + \|sg(F) - B\|, \quad (5)$$




Figure 3. Comparison with the baseline on I2VM tasks. We present results in temporal order from left to right, sampling one frame for every 10 generated frames. In our results, the simultaneously generated 3D motions are rendered and visualized in the top-left corner, while the baseline does not generate motion. For a fair comparison, the baseline is finetuned using our training video dataset.

Table 1. Evaluation of the 3D motion tokenizer. For a fair comparison, we train SOLAMI with Human4DiT-Video dataset and remove the hand VQ-VAE.

Methods	MPJPE ↓	PA-MPJPE ↓	PVE ↓	Accel ↓
SOLAMI	24.3354	14.7212	29.6462	7.7384
Our	8.6344	5.3876	10.7010	2.4632

where \mathcal{L}_{rec} is l_1 loss between the predicted value M' and the real value M_{gt} . $sg(\cdot)$ means stop gradient operation and λ is the trade-off parameter. Similar to Duolando, we add velocity and acceleration to perform \mathcal{L}_{rec} . Notably, the motion encoder is used only during the training phase.

In the second stage, the parameters of tokenizer are frozen to serve as a quantizer, supplying discrete motion tokens for training the AR model. Attention masks are crucial for AR models training, with most methods utilizing causal masks to ensure that the current token can only attend to preceding tokens. In our task, we apply causal masks to the target sequence, while employing full masks on the conditional sequence to enhance bidirectional context awareness. In addition, we combine the data from the two tasks in equal proportions to unify the multimodal and multi-task, and train the AR model in an end-to-end way. The loss is the cross-entropy:

$$\mathcal{L}_{AR} = - \sum_{i=1}^L \log p(q_i | q_{<i}, c), \quad (6)$$

where L is target sequence length. q_i is the i -th token in the sequence and c means the conditions.

4. Experiments

4.1. Settings

Metrics. We employ distinct metrics to evaluate the generated videos and motions. For video evaluation, similar to VideoJAM [6], we utilize VBench [50] to analyze various disentangled features, including appearance and visual motion attributes. Please refer to our supplementary paper for more results. We evaluate the generated 3D motions in two ways. For I2VM tasks, we use FID and diversity (DIV) metrics to compare the distribution of generated motions with ground-truth motions. In line with Duolando [39], we derive FID and diversity from motion features in AIST++ [27]. For V2M tasks, following WHAM [38], we report metrics such as MPJPE, PA-MPJPE, PVE, and Accel.

We train our model on Human4DiT-Video [35], a dataset comprising 10k in-the-wild monocular video clips with corresponding motion sequences. Besides, we observe temporal jitter in the motion dataset within Human4DiT-Video, prompting us to use GVHMR [37] to re-extract the 3D motion data. For evaluation, we select 300 single-human clips from Human4DiT-Video [35], DNA-Rendering [9], 3DPW [40], RICH [15], and BEDLAM [3] as the testset. It is important to note that our model actually uses 3D motions (SMPL-X) as both inputs and outputs. For intuitive visualization, we render the generated 3D motions into 2D maps in all visual results. In addition, due to the poor visual effect of video tokenizer in Cosmos, we use SeedVR [41] to enhance the visual quality of generated videos, which does not disrupt our core goal of joint modeling the two modalities. Please refer to our supplementary paper for more implementation details.




Figure 4. Comparison with different methods on the V2M task. It demonstrates that our approach achieves results comparable to current state-of-the-art methods.

Table 2. Comparison of I2VM tasks. For motion-video consistency, GVHMR is used to extract motions as pseudo ground truth. Motion diversity is assessed using the metrics from Duolando. The generated videos are evaluated with VBench, focusing on appearance (App.) and visual motion (Mot.). The baseline (Cosmos) lacks the ability to generate motion and doesn’t involve consistency.

Method	Video-Motion Consistency				Motion Diversity		Video Quality	
	MPJPE ↓	PA-MPJPE ↓	PVE ↓	Accel ↓	FID ↓	DIV ↑	App. ↑	Mot. ↑
Baseline	-	-	-	-	35.7305	10.8601	0.7743	0.9249
Ours	41.3058	30.9548	47.3111	3.9984	27.3984	12.2522	0.8516	0.9441

4.2. Baseline Comparisons

3D Motion Tokenizer. Unlike existing methods such as SOLAMI, which processes the human body into parts with multiple VQ-VAEs, we utilize a single VQ-VAE to process the entire SMPL-X parameters. To ensure the reconstruction accuracy, we propose a temporal expansion strategy. Specifically, for a fair comparison, we use Human4DiT-Video to fine-tune SOLAMI. Additionally, since our task does not involve hand modeling, we remove the VQVAE for hands from SOLAMI. As shown in Tab. 1, our tokenizer exhibits superior performance in reconstruction accuracy. Please refer to the supplementary paper for more results.

Image-to-Video-Motion Task. Since our method is based on an AR structure, we employ Cosmos [1] as the baseline for comparison, which adopts the same architecture with ours. Specifically, Cosmos is a video generation model. To ensure a fair comparison, we fine-tune it on videos from our training dataset for the image-to-video task. As illustrated in Fig. 3, when provided with a single image input, the baseline tends to generate distorted and physically implausible limbs, whereas our method produces more realistic movements. This may be because training solely on human videos lacks an understanding of limb relationships, while incorporating motion enhances the realistic constraints on video generation. In the quantitative comparisons, we use VBench [50] to evaluate the generated videos, focusing on appearance (App.) and visual motion (Mot.) aspects. Additionally, the generated motions are evaluated from two dimensions: consistency with the generated videos and motion diversity. For motion-video consistency, we use GVHMR to extract motions from the generated videos as pseudo ground truth. As the baseline model, Cosmos lacks motion generation capabilities, pre-

Table 3. Evaluation of the V2M task on Human4DiT-Video dataset. Since we use SMPL-X extracted by GVHMR as pseudo ground truth, GVHMR is excluded from metric calculation.

Methods	MPJPE ↓	PA-MPJPE ↓	PVE ↓	Accel ↓
GVHMR	-	-	-	-
4DHuman	56.0701	35.4769	67.7157	15.7393
Ours	43.2689	28.1528	52.0143	4.5647

venting us from evaluating consistency. For motion diversity, we extract motions from generated videos from Cosmos using GVHMR to represent its motion outputs. The quantitative results, shown in Tab. 2, are consistent with the visual results. Our predicted motions align well with the generated video. Although the baseline and our method are relatively close in terms of motion diversity and visual dynamics, the artifacts generated by Cosmos are quite noticeable, resulting in reduced visual quality. Please refer to the supplementary materials for more results.

Video-to-Motion Task. To demonstrate the potential of joint modeling, we validate the effectiveness of the V2M task across three datasets: 3DPW, RICH, and Human4DiT-Video. Notably, as our primary focus is on exploring the possibility of joint modeling 3D motion and visual information, our experiments are conducted on single-person cases. Accordingly, we select single-person videos to construct the testset. As illustrated in Fig. 4 and Tab. 3, our method achieves results comparable to current state-of-the-art methods. For more quantitative comparisons and results, please refer to the supplementary paper.




Figure 5. The ablation experiment on the V2M task. We compare our method against single-task training (Only V2M) and the approach without independent embedding (Uni-Embed.).

Table 4. Evaluation of our 3D motion tokenizer on different settings. For codebook utilization (Code. Util.), we randomly select 100 samples from the testset and calculate the proportion of different tokens, represented as n/B , where n represents the number of different tokens.

Methods	MPJPE ↓	PVE ↓	Accel ↓	Code. Util.
$s=1/1$ $B=512$	136.6638	155.1556	6.4386	80.08%
$s=1/8$ $B=512$	69.1781	97.2101	5.3642	91.99%
$s=1/24$ $B=256$	52.9926	85.0266	4.5206	97.66%
$s=1/24$ $B=512$	10.4653	12.7147	2.7336	96.09%
$s=1/24$ $B=1024$	47.4062	53.6114	4.5009	78.52%
$s=1/36$ $B=256$	26.8738	35.1941	3.1909	99.61%
$s=1/36$ $B=512$	8.6344	10.7010	2.4632	98.83%
$s=1/36$ $B=1024$	30.8471	44.2118	3.7807	86.13%
$s=1/54$ $B=512$	11.8932	17.878	2.9688	99.80%

4.3. Ablation Studies

3D Motion Tokenizer. To improve reconstruction accuracy and balance the number of video tokens, we propose expanding the motion token representation by using 36 tokens for each frame’s SMPL-X parameters M . Specifically, our experiments utilize GVHMR to extract the motions as pseudo ground truth. We conduct experiments to thoroughly explore the effects of varying B and s . As illustrated in Tab. 4, increasing the codebook size results in decreased utilization and a decline in reconstruction accuracy. This phenomenon may occur because 3D motion is relatively simple, allowing a relatively small B to sufficiently represent most distributions. However, when B becomes too large, it increases the degree of overfitting. Furthermore, when s is large (such as $1/1$), the representation struggles to capture complex motions effectively. Conversely, a smaller s (such as $1/54$) increases codebook utilization by providing more tokens to represent motions. Despite this, reconstruction accuracy may suffer due to overfitting, suggesting that a single frame of motion does not require an excessive number of tokens for accurate representation. Through quantitative and qualitative comparisons, we demonstrate that our 3D motion tokenizer effectively quantizes and reconstructs M , thereby establishing a solid foundation for AR training. Please refer to the supplementary materials for an analysis on how token quantity impacts computational cost.

Independent Embeddings. We employ independent




Figure 6. The ablation experiment on the I2VM task. We compare our method with single-task training (Only I2VM) and the approach without independent embedding (Uni-Embed.). The reference image is in the top left corner.

embeddings for discrete tokens and positions to mitigate distribution disparities between the two modalities and align them, thereby avoiding confusion when simultaneously outputting video and motion tokens within the same transformer model. As illustrated in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, we explore the use of a single embedding layer for both visual and motion tokens, alongside a unified RoPE embedding for the entire sequence. We then train the unified embedding (Uni-Embed) jointly on two tasks. It is evident that for complex motions, Uni-Embed is less effective in capturing local details, such as those involved in squats, and struggles to generate a variety of movements. Please refer to our supplementary paper for more details.

Single Task. We integrate two tasks, I2VM and V2M, within the same transformer framework to achieve two objectives. First, the two tasks are used to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Second, we observe that training two tasks together yields better results than training each one individually, indicating a synergistic effect between the two tasks. As illustrated in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, independent training of the V2M task fails to attain enhanced precision. Additionally, training only the I2VM model leads to a decrease in motion diversity. Please refer to our supplementary paper for more qualitative and quantitative results.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we introduce an innovative autoregressive model for the joint modeling of 2D human videos and 3D human motions within a unified framework. We propose a novel 3D motion tokenizer to establish a direct connection between 3D motions and visual information, thereby avoiding the use of 2D motion maps as the proxy. By designing task-specific sequence modeling strategies and independent embedding methods, the proposed approach effectively integrates the two modalities and tasks. Our extensive experiments show that the model generates corresponding videos and motions while capturing accurate motions, proving the potential of the proposed method and paving the way for advanced 3D/4D human modeling.

References

- [1] Niket Agarwal, Arslan Ali, Maciej Bala, Yogesh Balaji, Erik Barker, Tiffany Cai, Prithvijit Chattopadhyay, Yongxin Chen, Yin Cui, Yifan Ding, et al. Cosmos world foundation model platform for physical ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.03575*, 2025. 2, 3, 5, 7
- [2] Shuai Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Sibao Song, Kai Dang, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Jun Tang, et al. Qwen2. 5-vl technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.13923*, 2025. 2
- [3] Michael J Black, Priyanka Patel, Joachim Tesch, and Jinlong Yang. Bedlam: A synthetic dataset of bodies exhibiting detailed lifelike animated motion. In *CVPR*, pages 8726–8737, 2023. 6
- [4] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *NeurIPS*, 33:1877–1901, 2020. 2
- [5] Di Chang, Hongyi Xu, You Xie, Yipeng Gao, Zhengfei Kuang, Shengqu Cai, Chenxu Zhang, Guoxian Song, Chao Wang, Yichun Shi, et al. X-dyna: Expressive dynamic human image animation. In *CVPR*, pages 5499–5509, 2025. 3
- [6] Hila Chefer, Uriel Singer, Amit Zohar, Yuval Kirstain, Adam Polyak, Yaniv Taigman, Lior Wolf, and Shelly Sheynin. Videojam: Joint appearance-motion representations for enhanced motion generation in video models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.02492*, 2025. 3, 6
- [7] Ling-Hao Chen, Shunlin Lu, Ailing Zeng, Hao Zhang, Benyou Wang, Ruimao Zhang, and Lei Zhang. Motionllm: Understanding human behaviors from human motions and videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.20340*, 2024. 2, 3
- [8] Xiaokang Chen, Zhiyu Wu, Xingchao Liu, Zizheng Pan, Wen Liu, Zhenda Xie, Xingkai Yu, and Chong Ruan. Januspro: Unified multimodal understanding and generation with data and model scaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.17811*, 2025. 3
- [9] Wei Cheng, Ruixiang Chen, Siming Fan, Wanqi Yin, Keyu Chen, Zhongang Cai, Jingbo Wang, Yang Gao, Zhengming Yu, Zhengyu Lin, et al. Dna-rendering: A diverse neural actor repository for high-fidelity human-centric rendering. In *ICCV*, pages 19982–19993, 2023. 6
- [10] Lingwei Dang, Ruizhi Shao, Hongwen Zhang, Wei Min, Yebin Liu, and Qingyao Wu. Svimo: Synchronized diffusion for video and motion generation in hand-object interaction scenarios. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.02444*, 2025. 3
- [11] Yanbo Ding, Xirui Hu, Zhizhi Guo, Chi Zhang, and Yali Wang. Mtvcrater: 4d motion tokenization for open-world human image animation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.10238*, 2025. 3
- [12] Shubham Goel, Georgios Pavlakos, Jathushan Rajasegaran, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Jitendra Malik. Humans in 4d: Reconstructing and tracking humans with transformers. In *ICCV*, pages 14783–14794, 2023. 2, 3
- [13] Dong Guo, Faming Wu, Feida Zhu, Fuxing Leng, Guang Shi, Haobin Chen, Haoqi Fan, Jian Wang, Jianyu Jiang, Jiawei Wang, et al. Seed1. 5-vl technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.07062*, 2025. 2
- [14] Li Hu, Xin Gao, Peng Zhang, Ke Sun, Bang Zhang, and Liefeng Bo. Animate anyone: Consistent and controllable image-to-video synthesis for character animation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17117*, 2023. 2, 3
- [15] Chun-Hao P. Huang, Hongwei Yi, Markus Höschle, Matvey Safroshkin, Tsvetelina Alexiadis, Senya Polikovsky, Daniel Scharstein, and Michael J. Black. Capturing and inferring dense full-body human-scene contact. In *CVPR*, pages 13274–13285, 2022. 6
- [16] Zehuan Huang, Haoran Feng, Yangtian Sun, Yuanchen Guo, Yanpei Cao, and Lu Sheng. Animax: Animating the inanimate in 3d with joint video-pose diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.19851*, 2025. 3
- [17] Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. Motiongpt: Human motion as a foreign language. *NeurIPS*, 36:20067–20079, 2023. 2, 3, 4
- [18] Jianping Jiang, Weiye Xiao, Zhengyu Lin, Huaizhong Zhang, Tianxiang Ren, Yang Gao, Zhiqian Lin, Zhongang Cai, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Solami: Social vision-language-action modeling for immersive interaction with 3d autonomous characters. In *CVPR*, pages 26887–26898, 2025. 2, 3, 4, 5
- [19] Angjoo Kanazawa, Michael J Black, David W Jacobs, and Jitendra Malik. End-to-end recovery of human shape and pose. In *CVPR*, pages 7122–7131, 2018. 3
- [20] Angjoo Kanazawa, Jason Y Zhang, Panna Felsen, and Jitendra Malik. Learning 3d human dynamics from video. In *CVPR*, pages 5614–5623, 2019. 3
- [21] Johanna Karras, Aleksander Holynski, Ting-Chun Wang, and Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman. Dreampose: Fashion image-to-video synthesis via stable diffusion. In *ICCV*, pages 22623–22633. IEEE, 2023. 3
- [22] Rawal Khirodkar, Timur Bagautdinov, Julieta Martinez, Su Zhaoen, Austin James, Peter Selednik, Stuart Anderson, and Shunsuke Saito. Sapiens: Foundation for human vision models. In *ECCV*, pages 206–228. Springer, 2024. 2, 3
- [23] Jeongho Kim, Min-Jung Kim, Junsoo Lee, and Jaegul Choo. Tcan: Animating human images with temporally consistent

- pose guidance using diffusion models. In *ECCV*, pages 326–342. Springer, 2024. 3
- [24] Muhammed Kocabas, Nikos Athanasiou, and Michael J Black. Vibe: Video inference for human body pose and shape estimation. In *CVPR*, pages 5253–5263, 2020. 3
- [25] Lei Li, Sen Jia, Jianhao Wang, Zhaochong An, Jiaang Li, Jenq-Neng Hwang, and Serge Belongie. Chatmotion: A multimodal multi-agent for human motion analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.18180*, 2025. 2, 3
- [26] Lei Li, Sen Jia, Jianhao Wang, Zhongyu Jiang, Feng Zhou, Ju Dai, Tianfang Zhang, Zongkai Wu, and Jenq-Neng Hwang. Human motion instruction tuning. In *CVPR*, pages 17582–17591, 2025. 3
- [27] Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Ai choreographer: Music conditioned 3d dance generation with aist++. In *ICCV*, pages 13401–13412, 2021. 6
- [28] Yiheng Li, Ruibing Hou, Hong Chang, Shiguang Shan, and Xilin Chen. Unipose: A unified multimodal framework for human pose comprehension, generation and editing. In *CVPR*, pages 27805–27815, 2025. 2, 3
- [29] Gaojie Lin, Jianwen Jiang, Jiaqi Yang, Zerong Zheng, and Chao Liang. Omnihuman-1: Rethinking the scaling-up of one-stage conditioned human animation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.01061*, 2025. 2, 3
- [30] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *NeurIPS*, 36:34892–34916, 2023. 2
- [31] Mingshuang Luo, Ruibing Hou, Zhuo Li, Hong Chang, Zimo Liu, Yaowei Wang, and Shiguang Shan. M³gpt: An advanced multimodal, multitask framework for motion comprehension and generation. *NeurIPS*, 37:28051–28077, 2024. 2
- [32] Zhengyi Luo, S Alireza Golestaneh, and Kris M Kitani. 3d human motion estimation via motion compression and refinement. In *ACCV*, 2020. 3
- [33] Georgios Pavlakos, Vasileios Choutas, Nima Ghorbani, Timo Bolkart, Ahmed AA Osman, Dimitrios Tzionas, and Michael J Black. Expressive body capture: 3d hands, face, and body from a single image. In *CVPR*, pages 10975–10985, 2019. 2, 4
- [34] Jathushan Rajasegaran, Georgios Pavlakos, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Jitendra Malik. Tracking people by predicting 3d appearance, location and pose. In *CVPR*, pages 2740–2749, 2022. 3
- [35] Ruizhi Shao, Youxin Pang, Zerong Zheng, Jingxiang Sun, and Yebin Liu. 360-degree human video generation with 4d diffusion transformer. *ACM TOG*, 43(6):1–13, 2024. 2, 3, 6
- [36] Ruizhi Shao, Yinghao Xu, Yujun Shen, Ceyuan Yang, Yang Zheng, Changan Chen, Yebin Liu, and Gordon Wetzstein. Interspatial attention for efficient 4d human video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.15800*, 2025. 3
- [37] Zehong Shen, Huaijin Pi, Yan Xia, Zhi Cen, Sida Peng, Zechen Hu, Hujun Bao, Ruizhen Hu, and Xiaowei Zhou. World-grounded human motion recovery via gravity-view coordinates. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–11, 2024. 2, 3, 6
- [38] Soyong Shin, Juyong Kim, Eni Halilaj, and Michael J Black. Wham: Reconstructing world-grounded humans with accurate 3d motion. In *CVPR*, pages 2070–2080, 2024. 6
- [39] Li Siyao, Tianpei Gu, Zhitao Yang, Zhengyu Lin, Ziwei Liu, Henghui Ding, Lei Yang, and Chen Change Loy. Duolando: Follower gpt with off-policy reinforcement learning for dance accompaniment. In *ICLR*, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 6
- [40] Timo von Marcard, Roberto Henschel, Michael Black, Bodo Rosenhahn, and Gerard Pons-Moll. Recovering accurate 3d human pose in the wild using imus and a moving camera. In *ECCV*, 2018. 6
- [41] Jianyi Wang, Zhijie Lin, Meng Wei, Yang Zhao, Ceyuan Yang, Chen Change Loy, and Lu Jiang. Seedvr: Seeding infinity in diffusion transformer towards generic video restoration. In *CVPR*, pages 2161–2172, 2025. 6
- [42] Qilin Wang, Zhengkai Jiang, Chengming Xu, Jiangning Zhang, Yabiao Wang, Xinyi Zhang, Yun Cao, Weijian Cao, Chengjie Wang, and Yanwei Fu. Vividpose: Advancing stable video diffusion for realistic human image animation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.18156*, 2024. 3
- [43] Xinlong Wang, Xiaosong Zhang, Zhengxiong Luo, Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Jinsheng Wang, Fan Zhang, Yuezue Wang, Zhen Li, Qiyang Yu, et al. Emu3: Next-token prediction is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18869*, 2024. 3, 5
- [44] Chengyue Wu, Xiaokang Chen, Zhiyu Wu, Yiyang Ma, Xingchao Liu, Zizheng Pan, Wen Liu, Zhenda Xie, Xingkai Yu, Chong Ruan, et al. Janus: Decoupling visual encoding for unified multimodal understanding and generation. In *CVPR*, pages 12966–12977, 2025.
- [45] Zhiyu Wu, Xiaokang Chen, Zizheng Pan, Xingchao Liu, Wen Liu, Damai Dai, Huazuo Gao, Yiyang Ma, Chengyue Wu, Bingxuan Wang, et al. Deepseek-vl2: Mixture-of-experts vision-language models for advanced multimodal understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.10302*, 2024. 3
- [46] Dianbing Xi, Jiepeng Wang, Yuanzhi Liang, Xi Qiu, Yuchi Huo, Rui Wang, Chi Zhang, and Xuelong Li. Omnivdiff: Omni controllable video diffusion for generation and understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.10825*, 2025. 3
- [47] Jinheng Xie, Zhenheng Yang, and Mike Zheng Shou. Show-o2: Improved native unified multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.15564*, 2025. 5
- [48] Zhongcong Xu, Jianfeng Zhang, Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Yan, Jia-Wei Liu, Chenxu Zhang, Jiashi Feng, and Mike Zheng Shou. Magicanimate: Temporally consistent human image animation using diffusion model. In *CVPR*, pages 1481–1490, 2024. 3
- [49] Yuang Zhang, Jiayi Gu, Li-Wen Wang, Han Wang, Junqi Cheng, Yuefeng Zhu, and Fangyuan Zou. Mimicmotion: High-quality human motion video generation with confidence-aware pose guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.19680*, 2024. 3
- [50] Dian Zheng, Ziqi Huang, Hongbo Liu, Kai Zou, Yinan He, Fan Zhang, Yuanhan Zhang, Jingwen He, Wei-Shi Zheng, Yu Qiao, et al. Vbench-2.0: Advancing video generation benchmark suite for intrinsic faithfulness. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.21755*, 2025. 6, 7

- [51] Bingfan Zhu, Biao Jiang, Sunyi Wang, Shixiang Tang, Tao Chen, Linjie Luo, Youyi Zheng, and Xin Chen. Motiongpt3: Human motion as a second modality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.24086*, 2025. [2](#)
- [52] Shenhao Zhu, Junming Leo Chen, Zuozhuo Dai, Zilong Dong, Yinghui Xu, Xun Cao, Yao Yao, Hao Zhu, and Siyu Zhu. Champ: Controllable and consistent human image animation with 3d parametric guidance. In *ECCV*, pages 145–162. Springer, 2024. [2](#), [3](#)