

# THE PROTASOV-ZELENYUK TOPOLOGY AND IDEAL CONVERGENCE

LYDIA AUSSENHOFER, DIKRAN DIKRANJAN, AND ANNA GIORDANO BRUNO

**ABSTRACT.** The so-called  $T$ -sequences  $\mathbf{u}$  in a group  $G$ , and the related finest Hausdorff group topology  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$  on  $G$  that makes  $\mathbf{u}$  a null sequence, were introduced by Protasov and Zelenyuk 35 years ago and since then they became a fundamental tool in the field of topological groups.

More recently, in the abelian case, the subfamily of  $T$ -sequences called  $TB$ -sequences was introduced, as well as the finest precompact group topology  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}$  that makes  $\mathbf{u}$  a null sequence.

Here we study the counterpart of all these notions with respect to ideal convergence in place of the classical notion of convergence of a sequence. Also, we study their relation to the already established field of  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of compact abelian groups.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:** 11B05, 20K45, 22A05, 22C05, 54H11

**Keywords:** ideal convergence,  $T$ -sequence,  $TB$ -sequence, characterized subgroup,  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences	2
1.2. $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ - and $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequences	2
Notation and terminology	3
2. Background on $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences	4
3. Ideal convergence and ideal $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences	5
3.1. Ideals and ideal convergence	5
3.2. First results on ideal $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences	7
4. Explicit description of a neighborhood base at 0 in $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$	7
5. The connection of $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequences with their $T$ -subsequences	9
6. $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups and ideal $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences	11
6.1. The character group of $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$ and $(G, T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}})$	11
6.2. $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of compact abelian groups are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets	12
References	14

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be an abelian group. In the sequel we write a single boldface character  $\mathbf{u}$  to denote a sequence  $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  when no danger of confusion exists.

---

*Date:* December 4, 2025.

### 1.1. $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences.

We start recalling the following fundamental notions.

**Definition 1.1.** *A sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in an abelian group  $G$  is called a  $T$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB$ -sequence), if there is a Hausdorff (resp., precompact) group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ .*

If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB$ -sequence) in an abelian group  $G$ , then there exists a finest Hausdorff group topology  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$  (resp., a finest precompact group topology  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}$ ) on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$  converges to 0, namely, the supremum of all Hausdorff (resp., precompact) group topologies with this property. Clearly,  $T_{\mathbf{pu}} \subseteq T_{\mathbf{u}}$  for any  $TB$ -sequence  $\mathbf{u}$ .

The correspondence  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto T_{\mathbf{u}}$  is monotone decreasing, i.e., if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence and  $\mathbf{v} \sqsubseteq \mathbf{u}$  is a subsequence of  $\mathbf{u}$ , then also  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $T$ -sequence and  $T_{\mathbf{v}} \supseteq T_{\mathbf{u}}$ . The same holds for  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto T_{\mathbf{pu}}$ .

For an abelian group  $G$  and a subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ , denote by  $\sigma(G, H)$  the topology on  $G$  generated by the characters of  $H$ , which is totally bounded. Then  $H = (G, \sigma(G, H))^{\wedge}$  [1, Proposition 11.3.10]. One can prove that (e.g., see [3])

$$(1.1) \quad T_{\mathbf{pu}} = \sigma(G, (G, T_{\mathbf{pu}})^{\wedge}) = \sigma(G, (G, T_{\mathbf{u}})^{\wedge}).$$

Since every precompact group topology is Hausdorff, every  $TB$ -sequence is a  $T$ -sequence. In [21] (cf. also [1, 14.4.18]), an example of a  $T$ -sequence which is not a  $TB$ -sequence is given. In particular,  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a  $TB$ -sequence, when  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}})$  is minimally almost periodic. In [3] we present a new class of  $T$ -sequences  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  for which the group topology  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$  is minimally almost periodic, so  $\mathbf{u}$  cannot be a  $TB$ -sequence.

**Theorem 1.2.** [12, Example 1.13(d)] *In every infinite abelian group there exists a non-trivial  $TB$ -sequence.*

It was proved earlier that every infinite group admits a non-trivial  $T$ -sequence [21, Theorem 2.1.7].

### 1.2. $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ - and $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequences.

Recall that an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a set  $X$  is a subset of the powerset of  $X$  which is closed under taking subsets and finite unions. We are mainly interested in ideals on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Clearly, for every  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ , the powerset of  $A$  is an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Another prominent example is the ideal  $\mathcal{F}in$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

Next we recall the notion of ideal convergence:

**Definition 1.3.** [5] *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $(x_n)$  a sequence in  $X$ . We say that  $(x_n)$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to  $x$ , denoted by  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ , whenever  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .*

Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}in$ -convergence is the usual convergence, so if  $\mathcal{F}in \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  implies  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ .

By analogy with Definition 1.1, we introduce the following extended notion.

**Definition 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . If there is a Hausdorff (resp., precompact) group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$  such that  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ , we call  $\mathbf{u}$  a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence).*

Of course, every  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence, but the converse is not true as we mentioned above for  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}in$ . Nevertheless, one can try to find ideals as in the following problem, where one should start with the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Problem 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group. Characterize those ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  for which every  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence in  $G$  is also a  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence.*

To avoid trivialities (e.g., see Example 3.1 and [11]), we usually impose the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  to be **free** (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}in \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ ) and **proper** (i.e.  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ).

Clearly,  $T^{\mathcal{F}in}$ -sequences are exactly  $T$ -sequences, and  $TB^{\mathcal{F}in}$ -sequences are exactly  $TB$ -sequences. Moreover, in case  $\mathcal{I}$  is a free ideal, every  $T$ -sequence is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence, and every  $TB$ -sequence is a  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence.

If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence) in an abelian group  $G$ , then there exists a finest Hausdorff group topology  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$  (resp., a finest precompact group topology  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$ ) on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0. (Take the supremum of all Hausdorff (resp., precompact) group topologies with this property and observe that it has again the property  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$ .)

When there is no possibility of confusion, we simply write  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  in place of  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$ , respectively  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  in place of  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$ . Clearly,  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  for any  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence  $\mathbf{u}$ . The correspondence  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  is monotone decreasing, i.e., if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence and  $\mathbf{v} \subseteq \mathbf{u}$ , then also  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence and  $T_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{I}} \supseteq T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ . The same holds for  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ .

As consequence of the results in the case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}in$  (more specifically, Theorem 1.2), we immediately get that every infinite abelian group has a  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence for every free ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Moreover, we establish the existence of a metrizable group topology that makes a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence converge to 0 (see §3.2). Furthermore, in Section 4 we find an explicit description of a neighborhood base at 0 in  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  (see Proposition 4.4), extending its counterpart for the Protasov-Zelenyuk topology established in [21] (see also [17] and [1, §5.3.3]).

In Section 5, some information concerning the topology  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$  is provided. In case there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I}$  is a  $T$ -sequence, then the whole sequence  $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0 and so it is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence. As a consequence, the topology  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  is finer than  $T_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}}$  (see Proposition 5.7). This is a very helpful observation in the case of  $P$ -ideals.

As a consequence, many compact subsets of  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$  can be found. Although, see Question 5.9, we do not know if all compact subsets can be found in this way.

In Section 6 we study  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups and their relation with  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ - and  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequences. Following [11, Definition 7.25], for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , a topological abelian group  $G$ , and a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $G^{\wedge}$ , let  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G) = \{x \in G : u_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0\}$ . A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is an  **$\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup** of  $G$  if  $H = s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$  for some sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $G^{\wedge}$ .

Clearly,  $s_{\mathbf{u}}(G) = s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{F}in}(G) = \{x \in G : u_n(x) \rightarrow 0\}$  is the subgroup of  $G$  characterized by  $\mathbf{u}$  (see [6, 14] and the survey [10]). The first instance of novelty in the theory of characterized subgroups was given by the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_d$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with zero natural density (see Example 3.3). Indeed, the subgroups  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}_d}(\mathbb{T})$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  were very briefly mentioned by Borel [7] in completely different terms; unaware of this, Bose, Das and the second named author re-introduced them recently in [9] under the name **statistically characterized**. The latter paper was the first of a long list of papers on statistically characterized subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$  and more extended notions, among which the most general one is that of  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  (see the survey [11]).

It turns out that algebraically, the  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$  can be canonically identified with the character group of  $(G^{\wedge}, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$  (see Theorem 6.1). Moreover, we extend (1.1) in Corollary 6.4 to this setting.

It was proved by Comfort, Trigos and Ta Sun Wu [8, Proof of Lemma 3.10] that when  $G$  is a compact abelian group,  $s_{\mathbf{u}}(G)$  is always an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set of  $G$ . Here (see Theorem 6.5) we prove analogously that  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set of  $G$  for any compact abelian group  $G$  and any analytic  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . This was proved in [9] in the special case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$  and  $G = \mathbb{T}$ , that is, for statistically characterized subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since an  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroup  $H$  of a compact abelian group  $G$  is a Borel set by Theorem 6.5, its Haar measure  $\mu(H)$  is well defined; it is 0 if  $H$  is of infinite index in  $G$ , otherwise  $\mu(H) = 1/[G : H]$ . Hence,  $\mu(H) = 0$  when  $G$  is connected and  $H$  is proper.

## Notation and terminology.

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the sets of naturals  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and put  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ . The set of primes is denoted by  $\mathbb{P}$ .

We consider a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in an abelian group  $G$  also as a function  $\mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow G$ . In this vein, for an infinite subset  $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  we shall simply write only  $\mathbf{u} \upharpoonright_J$  for the (sub)sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in J}$ . We write  $\mathbf{v} \sqsubseteq_J \mathbf{u}$  if  $(v_k)$  is the subsequence  $(u_n)_{n \in J}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \sqsubseteq \mathbf{u}$  to just say that  $\mathbf{v}$  is a subsequence of  $\mathbf{u}$ .

In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , denote by  $\mathcal{V}_\tau(x)$  the filter of neighborhoods of  $x$ . If  $X$  is a topological group with neutral element  $e$ , then we briefly write  $\mathcal{V}_\tau$  in place of  $\mathcal{V}_\tau(e)$ .

For a topological abelian group  $G$  we denote by  $G^\wedge$  its Pontryagin dual.

We denote  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  endowed with the topology induced by the topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be the canonical projection. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbb{T}_k = \varphi([- \frac{1}{4k}, \frac{1}{4k}])$ .

## 2. BACKGROUND ON $T$ - AND $TB$ -SEQUENCES

It is of course of great importance to have a method to check whether a given sequence is a  $T$ -sequence or not. The following criterion (Theorem 2.2) is shown in [21].

**Notation 2.1.** For a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $G$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , put

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \{0\} \cup \{\pm u_k : k \geq m\}.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , an abelian group  $G$  and  $B \subseteq G$ , put

$$k \odot B = \underbrace{(\{0\} \cup \pm B) + (\{0\} \cup \pm B) + \dots + (\{0\} \cup \pm B)}_{k \text{ summands}}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** [21, 2.1.4] A sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in an abelian group  $G$  is a  $T$ -sequence if and only if for every  $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$  and every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g \notin k \odot \mathbf{u}_m$ , equivalently,  $\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} k \odot \mathbf{u}_m = \{0\}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The property of being a  $T$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB$ -sequence) is intrinsic for the subgroup  $H$  generated by the sequence  $\mathbf{u}$ :

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $H$  be an abelian group. If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB$ -sequence) in  $H$ , then it is also a  $T$ -sequence (resp.,  $TB$ -sequence) in any abelian group  $G$  containing  $H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an abelian group containing  $H$ . For  $T$ -sequences the assertion is trivial, just declare the subgroup  $H$  to be open in  $G$ .

In case  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $TB$ -sequence, consider the surjective restriction homomorphism  $R: \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{T})$  such that  $R(\chi) = \chi \upharpoonright_H$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a precompact group topology on  $H$  such that  $\mathbf{u}$  converges to 0 in  $\sigma$ , and let  $(H, \sigma)^\wedge = D \leq \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{T})$ . So  $\sigma = \sigma(H, D)$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma(G, R^{-1}(D))$  is a totally bounded group topology on  $G$  inducing  $\sigma$  on  $H$ . Obviously, every element  $h \in H \setminus \{0\}$  can be separated from 0 using characters in  $(G, \tilde{\sigma})^\wedge$ ; since  $H^\perp \leq R^{-1}(D)$ , also every element in  $G \setminus H$  can be separated from 0. Hence,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is Hausdorff, so precompact, and  $\mathbf{u}$  converges to 0 in  $(G, \tilde{\sigma})$ .  $\square$

In view of this result, in the sequel we shall assume that  $\mathbf{u}$  generates  $G$ .

**Remark 2.4.** [17, Lemma 2][21, 4.1.5] If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$  such that  $\mathbf{u}$  generates  $G$ , then  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}})$  is a hemicompact  $k$ -space, more precisely,  $G = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} m \odot \mathbf{u}$  and  $A \subseteq G$  is closed if and only if  $A \cap (m \odot \mathbf{u})$  is compact for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $C \subseteq G$  is compact, then  $C \subseteq m \odot \mathbf{u}$  for a suitable  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From this remark it follows easily that the topology  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$  is not metrizable if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a one-to-one sequence. Anyway, there exists a (strictly) coarser metrizable group topology in which  $\mathbf{u}$  converges to 0:

**Proposition 2.5.** [2] Let  $G$  be an abelian group.

- (a) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a group topology on  $G$  such that  $(G, \mathcal{T})$  has an open countable subgroup, then there exists a metrizable group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$  coarser than  $\mathcal{T}$ .

- (b) Consequently, if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence in  $G$ , then there is a metrizable group topology  $\tau$  (strictly coarser than  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$ ) on  $G$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ .

Item (b) follows from (a) since  $\langle u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle$  is open in  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$ .

An analog of the above proposition does not hold for  $TB$ -sequences due to the following restriction:

**Proposition 2.6.** [2] *Let  $G$  be an abelian group. Then:*

- (a)  $G$  admits a precompact metrizable group topology if and only if  $|G| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ .
- (b) if  $|G| \leq \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $TB$ -sequence in  $G$ , then there is a precompact metrizable group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ .

Recall that an abelian group  $G$  is called **almost torsion free** if for every prime  $p$  the  $p$ -rank  $r_p(G)$  is finite. The following theorem is one of the main results of [2]:

**Theorem 2.7.** [2] *For an abelian group  $G$  the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $G$  is almost torsion free or of prime exponent;
- (b) every one-to-one sequence in  $G$  has a  $T$ -subsequence;
- (c) every one-to-one sequence in  $G$  has a  $TB$ -subsequence.

### 3. IDEAL CONVERGENCE AND IDEAL $T$ - AND $TB$ -SEQUENCES

#### 3.1. Ideals and ideal convergence.

If we impose no restrictions on the ideal, the definition of ideal convergence recalled in the introduction may give some unpleasant consequences as the following example shows.

**Example 3.1.** If  $\mathcal{I}$  is the maximal ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  generated by the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of all positive naturals (i.e.,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $0 \notin I$ ), then  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  if and only if  $u_0 \in U$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{V}_\tau$ , all the other members of the sequence can be chosen arbitrarily. In case  $(G, \tau)$  is Hausdorff, this occurs precisely when  $u_0 = 0$ . Hence, if  $(G, \tau)$  is Hausdorff,  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  if and only if  $u_0 = 0$  (regardless of the rest of the sequence).

If  $(x_n)$  is a convergent sequence in a topological space, then every reordering  $(x_{\pi(n)})$  where  $\pi$  denotes a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is also convergent. The next example shows that in case of ideal convergence, this property fails as strongly as possible.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Call an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$   **$\pi$ -invariant** if for every  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , also  $\pi(I) \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

(a) Obviously,  $\mathcal{F}in$  is  $\pi$ -invariant for every permutation  $\pi$  of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Conversely, if a proper free ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is  $\pi$ -invariant for every permutation  $\pi$  of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}in$ . Indeed, if  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{F}in$  then there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that both  $I$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I$  are infinite. Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  which maps  $I$  to  $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I$  and vice versa. Then  $\pi^{-1}(I) = \pi(I) \notin \mathcal{I}$ , so  $\mathcal{I}$  is not  $\pi$ -invariant.

(b) If the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is  $\pi$ -invariant and  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ , then  $(x_{\pi^{-1}(n)})$  is also  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$ . Indeed, let  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : u_{\pi^{-1}(n)} \notin W\} = \pi(\{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 : u_m \notin W\}) \in \mathcal{I}$ , since  $\{m : u_m \notin W\} \in \mathcal{I}$  and since  $\mathcal{I}$  is invariant under  $\pi$  by assumption.

(c) If an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is not  $\pi$ -invariant, then for every non-trivial Hausdorff topological group  $G$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $G$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $G$ , but  $x_{\pi^{-1}(n)} \not\xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$ . To this end fix an element  $0 \neq g \in G$ , a member  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\pi(I) \notin \mathcal{I}$  and define  $x_n = g$  for  $n \in I$  and  $x_n = 0$  for  $n \notin I$ . Then  $(x_n)$  converges to 0 in  $G$ , while  $x_{\pi^{-1}(n)} \not\xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in any Hausdorff group topology on  $G$  (i.e.,  $(x_{\pi^{-1}(n)})$  is not a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence).

Recall the definition of natural density:

**Example 3.3.** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ , denote

$$A(n) = \{i \in A : i \leq n\} = A \cap [0, n].$$

The *lower* and the *upper natural density* of  $A$  are

$$\underline{d}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A(n)|}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A(n)|}{n}.$$

If  $\underline{d}(A) = \bar{d}(A)$ , we say that the *natural density* of  $A$  exists and denote it by  $d(A)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{I}_d = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 : d(A) = 0\}$  is an ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  that contains  $\mathcal{F}in$ .

**Remark 3.4.** (a) Usual convergence is equivalent to  $\mathcal{F}in$ -convergence.

(b)  $\mathcal{I}_d$ -convergence is usually referred to as *statistical convergence*.

(c) If  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{I}_2$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_1$ -convergence implies  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence, in particular, every convergent sequence is statistically convergent.

Recall that an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is called a  **$P$ -ideal** if for every sequence of elements  $(I_n)$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $I \setminus I_n$  is finite for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The ideals  $\mathcal{F}in$  and  $\mathcal{I}_d$  are free  $P$ -ideals.

**Fact 3.5.** [19, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.2(ii)] *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $x \in X$ , and  $(x_n)$  a sequence in  $X$ .*

(a) *If for some  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  the subsequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}$  converges to  $x$ , then the whole sequence  $(x_n)$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to  $x$ .*

(b) *If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal,  $(X, \tau)$  is first countable and  $(x_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x$ , then there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that the subsequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}$  converges to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* (a) To prove the first assertion note that for  $W \in \mathcal{V}_\tau(x)$ , the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : u_n \notin W\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I : u_n \notin W\} \cup I$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ , since the first member of the union is finite.

(b) Let  $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a base of the filter  $\mathcal{V}_\tau(x)$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set of indices  $I_n = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : u_n \notin W_n\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and a sequence  $(F_n)$  in  $\mathcal{F}in$  such that  $I_n \subseteq I \cup F_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . But this implies that  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}$  converges to  $x$ .  $\square$

**Fact 3.6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping between topological spaces and  $(x_n)$  a sequence in  $X$ . If  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} x$ , then  $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} f(x)$ .*

By using this fact, we find examples of sequences that are never  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent (actually, none of their subsequences is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent):

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite abelian group which is neither almost torsion-free nor of prime exponent. Then there is a one-to-one sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $G$  such that no subsequence of  $\mathbf{u}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent for any group topology on  $G$  and any proper ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{u}$  is not a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence for any proper ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau$  be a group topology on  $G$ . By assumption, there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $G[p]$  is infinite and  $G[p]$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ . Choose a one-to-one sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $G[p]$  and an element  $b \in G \setminus G[p]$ . Let  $u_n = b + a_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$ . Then  $p\mathbf{u} = (pu_n)$ , as well as any subsequence of  $p\mathbf{u}$ , is the constant sequence  $(pb)$ . Since the multiplication mapping  $G \rightarrow G$ ,  $x \mapsto px$  is continuous, the sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  (as well as any subsequence of its subsequences) is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

For example, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N}_0)}$ , then let  $c = 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  and  $c_n$  a generator of the  $n$ -th copy of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The sequence  $((c, c_n))$  is not a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence for any proper ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

We modify this example to give a one-to-one sequence which is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent, but a suitable permutation of the sequence is no longer  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that both  $I$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I$  are infinite. Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  which maps  $I$  to  $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I$  (and vice versa).

With  $G = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N}_0)}$  and  $c = 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}$  as above, define  $u_n = (c, c_n)$  for  $n \in I$  and  $u_n = (0, c_n)$  for  $n \notin I$ . Then  $(u_n)_{n \notin I} = (0, c_n)_{n \notin I}$  converges to  $(0, 0)$  in the product topology, in particular the whole sequence  $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to 0 in the product topology by Fact 3.5. So  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence.

We show now that  $(u_{\pi(n)})$  is not a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence. Indeed,

$$u_{\pi(n)} = \begin{cases} (0, c_{\pi(n)}) : \pi(n) \notin I \\ (c, c_{\pi(n)}) : \pi(n) \in I \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (0, c_{\pi(n)}) : n \in I \\ (c, c_{\pi(n)}) : n \notin I \end{cases}.$$

If  $(u_{\pi(n)})$  were a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence, then so would be  $(2u_{\pi(n)})$ . Choose a Hausdorff group topology  $\tau$  in which  $(2u_{\pi(n)})$  converges to  $(0, 0)$  and choose a neighborhood  $W \in \mathcal{V}_\tau$  that does not contain  $(2c, 0)$ . Then  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : 2u_{\pi(n)} \notin W\} = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I \notin \mathcal{I}$ , since  $\mathcal{I}$  was assumed to be proper. This shows that  $(u_n)$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence, while  $(u_{\pi(n)})$  fails to be a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence.

### 3.2. First results on ideal $T$ - and $TB$ -sequences.

As an immediate consequence of Remark 3.4 and Theorem 1.2 we have:

**Fact 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Every infinite abelian group  $G$  has a  $TB^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence.*

Next we find analogous results with respect to Propositions 2.5 and 2.6.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ .*

- (a) *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence, then there exists a metrizable group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$ , such that  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ .*
- (b) *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $TB^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in  $G$  and  $G = \langle \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \rangle$  holds, then there exists a precompact metrizable group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$ , such that  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Apply Proposition 2.5 to  $(G, T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}})$  and observe that the countable subgroup  $\langle u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle$  is open in  $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}}$ .

(b) Apply Proposition 2.5 to  $(G, T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{pu}})$  and the open countable subgroup  $G$ . Hence there exists a coarser metrizable group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$ . Since  $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{pu}}$  is precompact, so is  $\tau$ . Since the identity  $(G, T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{pu}}) \rightarrow (G, \tau)$  is continuous, it follows from Fact 3.6 that  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \tau)$ .  $\square$

## 4. EXPLICIT DESCRIPTION OF A NEIGHBORHOOD BASE AT 0 IN $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}}$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . In this section we describe a neighborhood base at 0 of  $(G, T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}})$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to 0 in the indiscrete topology (which is a group topology), there always exists a finest group topology on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to 0. (Just take the supremum of all group topologies with this property.)

If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence, then  $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is the supremum of all (not necessarily Hausdorff) group topologies on  $G$  with the property that  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0. In fact, if  $\sigma$  is a group topology on  $G$  such that  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \sigma)$ , then  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  also in  $(G, T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}} \vee \sigma)$ . Since  $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}} \vee \sigma$  is Hausdorff,  $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}} \vee \sigma = T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}}$ , hence  $\sigma \subseteq T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{u}}$ .

Analogously, if  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $TB^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence, then  $T^\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{pu}}$  is the finest totally bounded group topology on  $G$  such that  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0.

**Notation 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . For  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , let*

$$\mathbf{u}_I = \{0\} \cup \{\pm u_n : n \notin I\}.$$

For  $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of elements in  $\mathcal{I}$ , let

$$\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)} = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{u}_{I_1} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{I_N}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$  denote the family of all increasing sequences in  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Remark 4.3.** (a) Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . If  $W$  is a symmetric neighborhood of 0 in  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$ , then there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\mathbf{u}_I \subseteq W$ .  
(b) Recall that for every neighborhood  $W_0$  of 0 in a topological abelian group  $(G, \tau)$ , there exists a sequence  $(W_n)$  of neighborhoods of 0 in  $\tau$  such that  $W_{n+1} + W_{n+1} \subseteq W_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and hence  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (W_1 + \dots + W_n) \subseteq W_0$  holds.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . The family  $(\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)})_{(I_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}}$  forms a neighborhood base at 0 for the finest (non-necessarily Hausdorff) group topology on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0.

*Proof.* Let  $(I_n), (J_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(I_n \cup J_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{(I_n \cup J_n)} \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{(I_n)} \cap \mathbf{u}_{(J_n)}$ . The set  $\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)}$  is symmetric and contains 0. From the monotonicity of  $(\mathbf{u}_{I_k})$  it follows that  $(\mathbf{u}_{I_2} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{I_{2n}}) + (\mathbf{u}_{I_2} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{I_{2m}}) \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{I_1} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{I_{2 \max(m,n)}} \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{(I_k)}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $\mathbf{u}_{(I_{2n})} + \mathbf{u}_{(I_{2n})} \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{(I_n)}$ .

So far, we have shown that  $(\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)})_{(I_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}}$  is a neighborhood base of a group topology  $\eta$  on  $G$ . Next, we prove that  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \eta)$ . To this end, fix a sequence  $(I_k)$  in  $\mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$ . It is a consequence of

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n \notin \mathbf{u}_{(I_k)}\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n \notin \mathbf{u}_{I_1}\} \subseteq I_1 \in \mathcal{I}$$

that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n \notin \mathbf{u}_{(I_k)}\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Conversely, let  $\tau$  be any group topology on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0. Fix a neighborhood  $W_0 \in \mathcal{V}_\tau(0)$ . According to Remark 4.3(b), there exists a sequence  $(W_n)$  of symmetric neighborhoods of 0 such that  $\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} (W_1 + \dots + W_N) \subseteq W_0$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to 0 in  $(G, \tau)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $I_n = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : u_k \notin W_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . In other words,  $\{u_k : k \notin I_n\} \subseteq W_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $W_n$  was assumed to be symmetric, we obtain  $\mathbf{u}_{I_n} \subseteq W_n$ . Let  $J_n = \bigcup_{m \leq n} I_m$ ; then  $(J_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$  and, since  $\mathbf{u}_{J_n} \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{I_n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may conclude that  $\mathbf{u}_{(J_n)} \subseteq \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} (W_1 + \dots + W_N) \subseteq W_0$ . This shows that  $\eta$  is finer than  $\tau$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then  $(\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)})_{(I_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}}$  is a neighborhood base at 0 for  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$ .

**Remark 4.6.** For  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{F}in$ , every increasing sequence  $(I_n)$  in  $\mathcal{F}in_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$  can be dominated by a sequence of the form  $(\{1, \dots, m_n\})$  where  $(m_n)$  is an increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then

$$\mathbf{u}_{(m_n)} = \mathbf{u}_{(\{1, \dots, m_n\})} = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{u}_{m_1} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{m_N})$$

and  $(\mathbf{u}_{(m_n)})$  where  $(m_n)$  runs through all increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$  forms a neighborhood base at 0 for  $T_\mathbf{u}$ . This is exactly the Protasov-Zelenyuk topology established in [21] (see also [17] and [1, §5.3.3]).

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then for every neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $(I_n)$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  such that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \odot \mathbf{u}_{I_N} \subseteq U$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.4, there exists  $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)} = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{u}_{I_1} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{I_N}) \subseteq U$ . Hence, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \odot \mathbf{u}_{I_N} \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{I_1} + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{I_N} \subseteq U$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Call a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in an abelian group  $G$  **trivial** mod  $\mathcal{I}$ , if  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . These are exactly the sequences that  $\mathcal{I}$ -converge to 0 in the discrete topology on  $G$ .

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathbf{u}$  is trivial mod  $\mathcal{I}$ ;
- (b)  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence and  $(G, T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I})$  is discrete.

*Proof.* The sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  is trivial mod  $\mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0 in the discrete topology. This is equivalent to  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence and  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$  is discrete.  $\square$

This corollary suggests to consider mainly  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequences of non-zero elements.

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that a neighborhood base at 0 in  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$  is given by the family of sets  $(\mathbf{u}_{(I \cup F_n)})$  where  $(F_n)$  is an increasing sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(I_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and an increasing sequence  $(F_n)$  of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  (so all  $F_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ ) such that  $I_n \subseteq I \cup F_n$ . It follows directly from the definition of  $\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)}$  that  $\mathbf{u}_{(I_n)} \supseteq \mathbf{u}_{(I \cup F_n)}$  holds. Since  $(I \cup F_n) \in \mathcal{I}_\uparrow^\mathbb{N}$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

## 5. THE CONNECTION OF $T^\mathcal{I}$ -SEQUENCES WITH THEIR $T$ -SUBSEQUENCES

In this section, we shed light on the topology  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$  of a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  by relating the topology  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$  to topologies  $T_\mathbf{v}$  for suitable subsequences  $\mathbf{v}$  of  $\mathbf{u}$ , which are  $T$ -sequences.

**Definition 5.1.** *For a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in an abelian group  $G$ , define the families*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_T &= \{J \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus J \text{ is infinite and } (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J} \text{ is a } T\text{-sequence}\}, \\ \mathbf{u}'_T &= \{J \subseteq \mathbb{N} : J \text{ is infinite and } (u_n)_{n \in J} \text{ is a } T\text{-sequence}\}, \\ \mathbf{u}_{TB} &= \{J \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus J \text{ is infinite and } (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J} \text{ is a } TB\text{-sequence}\}, \\ \mathbf{u}'_{TB} &= \{J \subseteq \mathbb{N} : J \text{ is infinite and } (u_n)_{n \in J} \text{ is a } TB\text{-sequence}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{u}'_T$  (resp.,  $\mathbf{u}'_{TB}$ ) is a subfamily of  $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$  (the family of all infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ ), that is stable under taking infinite subsets, while  $\mathbf{u}_T$  (resp.,  $\mathbf{u}_{TB}$ ) consists of non-cofinite sets in  $\mathbb{N}$ , and is stable under taking non-cofinite supersets.

The families  $\mathbf{u}'_T$  and  $\mathbf{u}'_{TB}$  are not stable under finite unions: let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)$  be the sequence  $u_{2k} = k!$  and  $u_{2k+1} = k! + 1$ ; then both  $(u_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(u_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are  $TB$ -sequences, but  $(u_n)$  is not a  $T$ -sequence, since  $(u_{2k+1} - u_{2k}) = (1)$  does not converge to 0.

Since there is an obvious bijection between  $\mathbf{u}_T$  and  $\mathbf{u}'_T$ , they are simultaneously non-empty. Obviously,  $\mathbf{u}_T \neq \emptyset$  precisely when  $\mathbf{u}$  has a  $T$ -subsequence. Clearly,  $\mathbf{u}_T = \emptyset = \mathbf{u}'_T$  when the sets  $\{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : u_n = 0\}$  are finite.

On the contrary, Theorem 2.7 implies that if the abelian group  $G$  is either almost torsion free or of prime exponent, then every one-to-one sequence in  $G$  (no matter if  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent or not) has a subsequence which is a  $TB$ -sequence. Hence, for this class of abelian groups, the families  $\mathbf{u}_T$ ,  $\mathbf{u}'_T$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_{TB}$  and  $\mathbf{u}'_{TB}$  are always non-empty.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ .*

- (a) *If  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff group topology on  $G$  and  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}$  is  $\tau$ -convergent for some  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence and the identity  $\iota_I : (G, T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (G, \tau)$  is continuous. In particular,  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I} \supseteq T_{(u_n)_{n \notin I}}$ .*
- (b) *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $P$ -ideal. Then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence if and only if there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}$  is a  $T$ -sequence. In this situation  $\iota_I : (G, T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (G, T_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}})$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* (a) The first assertion follows from Fact 3.5(a) and the maximality of  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$ , the second statement holds, since we may take  $\tau = T_{(u_n)_{n \notin I}}$ .

(b) Assume now that  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^\mathcal{I}$ -sequence and that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal. By Lemma 3.10, there exists a metrizable group topology  $\tau$  on  $G$  coarser than  $T_\mathbf{u}^\mathcal{I}$ . By Fact 3.5(b), there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that

$(u_n)_{n \notin I}$  converges to 0 in  $(G, \tau)$ . Since  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff group topology, we conclude that  $(u_n)_{n \notin I}$  is a  $T$ -sequence. The other implication as well as the additional statement follow from (a) applied to  $T_{(u_n)_{n \notin I}}$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of item (b) we obtain

**Example 5.3.** A sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in a topological abelian group  $(G, \tau)$  is statistically convergent to 0 (i.e., a  $T^{\mathcal{I}_d}$ -sequence) if and only if there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}_d$  such that  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}$  is convergent.

**Notation 5.4.** For a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in an abelian group  $G$  and a proper free ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  we define

$$\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}} = \{I \in \mathcal{I} : (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I} \text{ is a } T\text{-sequence}\} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbf{u}_T,$$

and analogously

$$\mathcal{I}_{TB}^{\mathbf{u}} = \{I \in \mathcal{I} : (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I} \text{ is a } TB\text{-sequence}\} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbf{u}_{TB}.$$

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in  $G$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}} \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2, if  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence. By item (b) of the same lemma, if  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal, then this implication can be inverted.  $\square$

The next proposition characterizes  $T$ -sequences  $\mathbf{u}$  in terms of  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  being an ideal.

**Proposition 5.6.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  is an ideal;
- (b)  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}} = \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (c)  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence.

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) If  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  is an ideal, then  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  and hence  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) If  $\mathbf{u}$  is  $T$ -sequence, clearly  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$ , since every subsequence of  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T$ -sequence as well.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is obvious.  $\square$

Next we see that when  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  is not empty, then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence. The assumption that  $\mathbf{u}$  generates  $G$  is not restrictive in view of Lemma 2.3.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence which generates  $G$  and such that  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  is not empty. Then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence and for every  $I \in \mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}$  the identity mapping

$$\iota_I : (G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}) \rightarrow (G, T_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}})$$

is continuous.

For a compact subset  $C$  in  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$ ,

$$C \subseteq \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}_T} n_I \odot \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I\}.$$

*Proof.* According to Lemma 5.2 the mapping  $\iota_I$  is continuous for every  $I \in \mathcal{I}_T$ . So also the diagonal mapping is continuous. Fix a compact subset  $C$  in  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$ . Then  $\iota_I(C)$  is a compact subset of  $(G, T_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}})$ . According to Remark 2.4, there exists  $n_I \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\iota_I(C) \subseteq n_I \odot \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I\}$ .  $\square$

**Question 5.8.** Is it true that for any  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  which spans an abelian group  $G$  and such that  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}} \neq \emptyset$ , a subset  $C$  of  $G$  is compact if and only if there exists a family  $(n_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}_T}$  such that  $C \subseteq \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}_T} n_I \odot \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I\}$ ?

Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $T$ -sequence. The correspondence  $\mathcal{I} \mapsto T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  is monotone increasing. In particular,  $T_{\mathbf{u}} = T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{F}in} \subseteq T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ . Hence, the  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ -compact sets are also  $T_{\mathbf{u}}$ -compact.

More in general, we leave the following questions open.

**Question 5.9.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ .

- (a) What are the compact subsets of  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$ ?
- (b) Assume that  $\mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}} \neq \emptyset$ . We proved that  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}} \supseteq \sup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_T^{\mathbf{u}}} T_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}}$ . When do these two topologies coincide?

## 6. $\mathcal{I}$ -CHARACTERIZED SUBGROUPS AND IDEAL $T$ - AND $TB$ -SEQUENCES

### 6.1. The character group of $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})$ and $(G, T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}})$ .

The following is one of the main achievements of this paper. It generalizes to the ideal convergence setting the important equality known in the  $\mathcal{F}in$  case.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a  $T$ -sequence in the abelian group  $G$ . Algebraically,*

$$(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge} = s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G^{\wedge}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\chi \in (G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge}$ . Then  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$  and  $\chi(u_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \chi(0) = 0_{\mathbb{T}}$  by Fact 3.6.

Conversely, let  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$  such that  $\chi(u_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma(G, \langle \chi \rangle)$ . Then  $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0$  in  $(G, \sigma)$ . Indeed, this condition is equivalent to: for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , but this means that  $(\chi(u_n))$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0 in  $\mathbb{T}$ , which is true by hypothesis. Hence,  $\sigma$  is coarser than  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  by Remark 4.1, which implies that  $\chi \in (G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $T^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then*

$$(6.1) \quad (G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge} \supseteq \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} s_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}}(G^{\wedge}).$$

*If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal, then (6.1) becomes an equality.*

*Proof.* Fix  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\chi \in s_{(u_n)_{n \notin I}}(G^{\wedge})$ . This means that  $(\chi(u_n))_{n \notin I}$  converges to  $0_{\mathbb{T}}$ . According to Lemma 5.2,  $(\chi(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $0_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Now the first assertion follows from Theorem 6.1.

To prove the second assertion, assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is also a  $P$ -ideal; it suffices to show that

$$\{\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) : \chi(u_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0_{\mathbb{T}}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} s_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}}(G^{\wedge}).$$

Pick  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$  satisfying  $\chi(u_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}$  is first countable and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $P$ -ideal, by Fact 3.5(b) there exists  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $(\chi(u_n))_{n \notin I}$  is convergent to 0. Hence,  $\chi \in s_{(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I}}(G^{\wedge})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ , and let  $K = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$  be the compact dual of  $G$ . Then the following holds:*

- (a)  $\sigma(G, s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K))$  is the finest totally bounded group topology on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0.
- (b)  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $TB^{\mathcal{I}}$ -sequence if and only if  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$  is dense in  $K$  (i.e., separates the points of  $G$ ).

*Proof.* (a) For every  $\chi \in s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0 in the totally bounded group topology  $\tau_{\chi} = \sigma(G, \langle \chi \rangle)$ . Since  $\sigma(G, s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)) = \sup\{\tau_{\chi} : \chi \in s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)\}$ , we deduce that  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0 in  $\sigma(G, s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K))$ . On the other hand, if  $\sigma(G, H)$ , with  $H \leq K$ , is an arbitrary totally bounded group topology on  $G$  that makes  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to 0, then for every  $\chi \in H$  the continuity of  $\chi : (G, \sigma(G, H)) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  makes  $(\chi(u_n))$   $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to 0 in  $\mathbb{T}$ , so  $\chi \in s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$ . This proves that  $H \subseteq s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(G, s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K))$  is the finest totally bounded group topology on  $G$  in which  $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to 0.

(b) is a direct consequence of (a).  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 6.3 and Remark 4.1, we get that in case  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $T\mathcal{I}$ -sequence, then  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}} = \sigma(G, s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G^{\wedge}))$ .

If  $(G, \tau)$  is a topological abelian group,  $\tau^+$  denotes the totally bounded group topology  $\sigma(G, (G, \tau)^{\wedge})$ . Of course,  $\tau = \tau^+$  holds if and only if  $\tau$  is totally bounded.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $T\mathcal{I}$ -sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then*

$$T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G) = T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G)^+ = \sigma(G, s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G^{\wedge}))$$

and  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G^{\wedge}) = (G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge} = (G, T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge}$  holds.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}} \supseteq T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  and hence  $(T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^+ \supseteq (T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}})^+ = T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ , where the last equality holds since  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  is precompact. By the maximality of  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ , since  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}} \vee (T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^+$  is totally bounded, we have  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}} = T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}} \vee (T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^+$  and hence  $T_{\mathbf{pu}}^{\mathcal{I}} = (T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^+$ . By Theorem 6.1,  $(G, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge} = s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G^{\wedge})$  holds, so the first assertion follows. The second assertions follows from the first and the fact that  $(G, \tau)^{\wedge} = (G, \tau^+)^{\wedge}$ .  $\square$

## 6.2. $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of compact abelian groups are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sets.

For a topological abelian group  $(G, \tau)$ , the character group  $G^{\wedge}$  can be considered as a subset of the compact group  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$  (i.e., the character group of the discrete abelian group  $G$ ). Since on compact groups, the Haar measure is available, it is of importance to know whether  $G^{\wedge}$  is a measurable subset. In general, this is not true: take for example a non-measurable subgroup  $D$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  and consider the group  $(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma(\mathbb{Z}, D))$ ; of course, the character group  $D$  is not measurable in  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{T}$ . We will see now, that under some restrictive assumption on the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , for a compact abelian group  $K$  and its discrete dual group  $K^{\wedge}$  we have that the character group of  $(K^{\wedge}, T_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}})^{\wedge}$ , i.e., the group  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$ , is not only a measurable subgroup of  $K$  but even a  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  set.

First we establish the conditions which the ideal has to satisfy. Recall that an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is a subset of the power set of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  which can be identified with  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ . An ideal is called **analytic** if, considered as a subspace of the compact metric space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  (with the product topology), it is an analytic set, i.e., a continuous image of a Borel set. Moreover, a function  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a **submeasure** if  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  is monotone, (i.e., for all  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  one has  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ ), and  $\varphi$  is subadditive, (i.e., for all  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  one has  $\varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ ). The submeasure  $\varphi$  is called **finite** if  $\varphi(\mathbb{N}_0) < \infty$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space; a function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called **lower semicontinuous** if for every sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  converging to  $x \in X$  it follows that  $\liminf f(x_n) \geq f(x)$ . For a lower semicontinuous submeasure  $\varphi$  Solecki ([22, p.52]) defined the **exhaustive ideal**

$$\text{Exh}(\varphi) = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus \{0, 1, \dots, n\}) = 0\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\text{Exh}(\varphi)$  is an ideal; moreover it is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set and a  $P$ -ideal [16, Lemma 1.2.2]. By [22, Theorem 3.1], every analytic  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is of the form  $\text{Exh}(\varphi)$  for a finite lower semicontinuous submeasure  $\varphi$ .

First of all,  $\mathcal{F}in$  is an analytic free  $P$ -ideal. According to [11, Proposition 3.25] (see also [4, Proposition 1.1]), also  $\mathcal{I}_d$  is an analytic free  $P$ -ideal. For other examples of analytic  $P$ -ideals see [16] (e.g., summable ideals).

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an analytic  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $K$  a compact abelian group, and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in the discrete abelian group  $K^{\wedge}$ . Then  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set, in particular a Borel subset of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* By [22, Theorem 3.1],  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}_0) \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is a lower semicontinuous submeasure. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K) &= \{\chi \in K : \chi(u_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} 0_{\mathbb{T}}\} = \{\chi \in K : \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\} \in \mathcal{I}\} = \\ &= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{\chi \in K : \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\} \in \mathcal{I}\} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{\chi \in K : \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\} \in \text{Exh}(\varphi)\} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \chi \in K : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\} \setminus [0, j]) = 0 \} = \\
&= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \chi \in K : \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall j \geq N \varphi(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\} \setminus [0, j]) \leq \frac{1}{m} \right\} = \\
&= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j \geq N} \left\{ \chi \in K : \varphi(\{n > j : \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}) \leq \frac{1}{m} \right\} = \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j \geq N} \left\{ \chi \in K : \forall \nu \geq j+1 \varphi(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j < n \leq \nu \wedge \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}) \leq \frac{1}{m} \right\} = \\
&= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j \geq N} \bigcap_{\nu \geq j+1} \underbrace{\left\{ \chi \in K : \varphi(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j+1 \leq n \leq \nu \wedge \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}) \leq \frac{1}{m} \right\}}_{=: C_{k,m,j,\nu}};
\end{aligned}$$

here (\*) holds, since  $\varphi$  is lower semicontinuous and hence  $\sigma$ -subadditive (cf., [11, Lemma 3.20]).

We are going to show that  $C = C_{k,m,j,\nu}$  is closed. Therefore, we look at the complement

$$K \setminus C = \left\{ \chi \in K : \varphi(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j+1 \leq n \leq \nu \wedge \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}) > \frac{1}{m} \right\}.$$

So fix  $\chi \in K \setminus C$ , and let

$$\{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_\mu\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j+1 \leq n \leq \nu \wedge \chi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{T}_k$  is closed, there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $\chi$  such that for all  $\psi \in W$  we have  $\psi(u_{n_i}) \notin \mathbb{T}_k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ . So, for all  $\psi \in W$ , we have

$$\{n_1, \dots, n_\mu\} \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j+1 \leq n \leq \nu \wedge \psi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}$$

and hence  $\varphi(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : j+1 \leq n \leq \nu \wedge \psi(u_n) \notin \mathbb{T}_k\}) > \frac{1}{m}$ . So  $W \subseteq K \setminus C$ , which shows that  $C$  is closed.  $\square$

We do not know whether Theorem 6.5 remains true without the assumption that  $\mathcal{I}$  is analytic. More precisely:

**Question 6.6.** (a) Do there exist a free  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  is not a Borel set? And with  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{T})$  Borel but not  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ ?

(b) Do there exist a compact abelian group  $K$ , a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in the discrete abelian group  $K^\wedge$  and a free ( $P$ -ideal)  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$  is not an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set of  $K$ ? Could  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$  be Borel but not  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ ?

(c) For a maximal  $P$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , a compact abelian group  $K$  and a sequence  $\mathbf{u} \in K^\wedge$ , is  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$  an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set?

Note that a maximal free ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  cannot be analytic, as it is known that no non-principal ultrafilter can be analytic (see [20] and [18, Theorem 21.6]).

**Remark 6.7.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an analytic  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $K$  a compact abelian group with its Haar measure  $\mu$ , and  $\mathbf{u}$  a one-to-one sequence in  $K^\wedge$ . By Theorem 6.5,  $s_{\mathbf{u}}(K)$  is a Borel set, so measurable.

Clearly,  $\mu(s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)) = 0$  precisely when  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(K)$  has infinite index in  $K$ . Moreover, [13, Lemma 1.2] shows that in case  $H$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $K$ , then  $H = s_{\mathbf{u}}(K)$  where  $\mathbf{u}$  is a sequence such that each character in the annihilator of  $H$  (which is finite) is listed infinitely many times.

Now we reformulate Theorem 6.5 in the setting that we used above in all the results of this paper, where  $G$  is an abelian group and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in  $G$ . In this case we identify  $G$  with the discrete dual of its compact dual  $G^\wedge$ , and write  $s_{\mathbf{u}}(G^\wedge)$  for a sequence  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $G$ .

**Corollary 6.8.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an analytic  $P$ -ideal on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  a sequence in an abelian group  $G$ . Then  $s_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathcal{I}}(G^\wedge)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set, in particular a Borel subset of  $G^\wedge$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Außenhofer, D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *Topological groups and the Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality. An Introduction*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 83, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston, 2022.
- [2] L. Außenhofer, D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *On a class of abelian groups with sufficiently many  $T$ -sequences*, preprint.
- [3] L. Außenhofer, D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *Sums and interleaves of  $T$ - and  $TB$ -sequences*, preprint.
- [4] M. Balcerzak, P. Das, M. Filipczak, J. Swaczyna, *Generalized kinds of density and the associated ideals*, Acta Math. Hungar. 147 (2015), 97–115.
- [5] H. Cartan, *Théorie des filtres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 205 (1937), 595–598.
- [6] A. Biró, J. M. Desouillers, V. T. Sós, *Good approximation and characterization of subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$* , Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 38 (2001), 97–113.
- [7] J.-P. Borel, *Sous-groupes de  $\mathbb{R}$  liés à la répartition modulo 1 de suites*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 5 (3–4) (1983), 217–235.
- [8] W. Comfort, F. Javier Trigos-Arrieta, Ta Sun Wu, *The Bohr compactification, modulo a metrizable subgroup*, Fund. Math. 143 (1993), 119–136.
- [9] P. Das, D. Dikranjan, K. Bose, *Statistically characterized subgroups of the circle*, Fund. Math. 249 (2020), 185–209.
- [10] R. Di Santo, D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *Characterized subgroup of the circle group*, Ric. Mat. 67 (2018), no. 2, 625–655.
- [11] D. Dikranjan, R. Di Santo, A. Giordano Bruno, H. Weber, *An Introduction to  $\mathcal{I}$ -characterized subgroups of the circle*, Topology Appl. 371 (2025), 109366.
- [12] D. Dikranjan, S. Gabrielyan, V. Tarieladze, *Characterizing sequences for precompact group topologies*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 412 issue 1, 2014. p. 505–519.
- [13] D. Dikranjan, K. Kunen, *Characterizing countable subgroups of compact abelian groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 208 (2007), 285–291.
- [14] D. Dikranjan, C. Milan, A. Tonolo, *A characterization of the MAP abelian groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 197 (2005), no. 1-3, 23–41.
- [15] D. Dikranjan, D. Shakhmatov, *The Markov-Zariski topology on an abelian group* J. Algebra, 324 (2010), no. 6, 1125–1158.
- [16] I. Farah, *Analytic Quotients. Theory of Lifting for Quotients over Analytic Ideals on Integers*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 148 (2000).
- [17] S. Gabrielyan, *On  $T$ -sequences and characterized subgroups*, Topology Appl. 157 (2010), no. 18, 2834–2843.
- [18] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [19] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, W. Wilczyński,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Real. Anal. Exchange (2) 26 (2000/2001), 669–686.
- [20] A. Medini, D. Milovich, *The topology of ultrafilters as subspaces of  $2^\omega$* , Topology Appl. 159 (2012), no. 5, 1318–1333.
- [21] I. Protasov, E. Zelenyuk, *Topologies on groups determined by sequences*, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 1999.
- [22] S. Solecki, *Analytic ideals and their applications*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 99 (1999), no. 1-3, 51–72.

UNIVERSITÄT PASSAU, INNSTR. 33, D-94032 PASSAU, ORCID: 0000-0003-1269-4735

Email address: lydia.aussenhofer@uni-passau.de

UNIVERSITÀ DI UDINE, DMIF, VIA DELLE SCIENZE 206, 33100 UDINE, ORCID: 0000-0002-1159-9958

Email address: dikran.dikranjan@uniud.it

UNIVERSITÀ DI UDINE, DMIF, VIA DELLE SCIENZE 206, 33100 UDINE, ORCID: 0000-0003-3431-2240

Email address: anna.giordanobruno@uniud.it