

# Classification of diffusion processes in dimension $d$ via the Carleman approach with applications to models involving additive, multiplicative or square-root noises

Cécile Monthus

*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Institut de Physique Théorique, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

The Carleman approach is well-known in the field of deterministic classical dynamics as a method to replace a finite number  $d$  of non-linear differential equations by an infinite-dimensional linear system. Here this approach is applied to a system of  $d$  stochastic differential equations for  $[x_1(t), \dots, x_d(t)]$  when the forces and the diffusion-matrix elements are polynomials, in order to write the linear system governing the dynamics of the averaged values  $\mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t)\dots x_d^{n_d}(t))$  labelled by the  $d$  integers  $(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ . The natural decomposition of the Carleman matrix into blocks associated to the global degree  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_d$  is useful to identify the models that have the simplest spectral decompositions in the bi-orthogonal basis of right and left eigenvectors. This analysis is then applied to models with a single noise per coordinate, that can be either additive or multiplicative or square-root, or with two types of noises per coordinate, with many examples in dimensions  $d = 1, 2$ . In  $d = 1$ , the Carleman matrix governing the dynamics of the moments  $\mathbb{E}(x^n(t))$  is diagonal for the Geometric Brownian motion, while it is lower-triangular for the family of Pearson diffusions containing the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck and the Square-Root processes, as well as the Kesten, the Fisher-Snedecor and the Student processes that converge towards steady states with power-law-tails. In dimension  $d = 2$ , the Carleman matrix governing the dynamics of the correlations  $\mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t))$  has a natural decomposition into blocks associated to the global degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and we discuss the simplest models where the Carleman matrix is either block-diagonal or block-lower-triangular or block-upper-triangular.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Carleman Embedding (see the book [1] and references therein) has been introduced in 1932 by Carleman [2] as a method to replace a finite number  $d$  of non-linear differential equations by an infinite-dimensional linear system. At the conceptual level, this approach is related to the framework introduced at the same period by Koopman and von Neumann [3–5], where classical mechanics in dimension  $d$  is reformulated as a linear dynamics in an infinite Hilbert space, in order to take advantage of the technical tools developed in the field of quantum mechanics. Note that these linear representations of non-linear classical models have attracted a lot of interest recently in relation with the field of quantum computing (see [6–8] and references therein). Since its introduction, the Carleman method has been applied to many classical deterministic models (see the book [1] and references therein), in particular to deterministic Lotka-Volterra models [9, 10] and to the 3D chaotic Lorenz model [11, 12].

In their pioneering work [13] in 1982, Graham and Schenzle have extended the Carleman method to study some one-dimensional diffusions with multiplicative noise, but to the best of our knowledge, the Carleman approach has not spread afterwards in the field of stochastic processes. The goal of the present paper is thus to give a self-contained pedestrian presentation of the Carleman perspective for diffusion processes in dimension  $d$ , before focusing on specific examples involving either a single noise per coordinate, that can be either additive or multiplicative or square-root, or with two different types of these noises per coordinate. Indeed these types of noises are the most relevant from the physical point of view. For instance in population models involving positive variables (see [14–16] and references therein), the most studied noises are the square-root noise (where it is sometimes called demographic noise) and the multiplicative noise (where it is sometimes called seascape noise), while in the 3D Lorenz model, the most considered noises are the additive noise and the multiplicative noise [17–19].

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we introduce the notations that will be useful in the whole paper to analyze diffusion processes  $\vec{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  in dimension  $d$ , and we mention the link with deterministic processes when the noises vanish. In section III, we describe how the Carleman approach can be applied to diffusion processes in dimension  $d$  when the Ito forces and the diffusion matrix elements are polynomials, focusing on the case of polynomials of degree two to be more concrete. In section IV, we describe the simplifications of the Carleman matrix for models that involve a single noise per coordinate, that can be either additive or multiplicative or square-root, or that involve two different types of noises per coordinate, in order to give in section V the list of these models that have the simplest properties from the Carleman point of view. The application to the dimension  $d = 1$  is described in section VI, while the application to the dimension  $d = 2$  is described in the three sections VII VIII and IX. Our conclusions are summarized in section X, while appendices contain more technical computations.

## II. DIFFUSION PROCESSES $\vec{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ IN DIMENSION $d$ WITH THEIR OBSERVABLES $O[\vec{x}(t)]$

In this section, we introduce the notations that will be useful in the whole paper to analyze diffusion processes  $\vec{x}(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_d(t)] \in \mathbb{R}^d$  in dimension  $d$ , and we mention the link with deterministic processes when the noises vanish.

### A. Notations for diffusion processes in dimension $d$

#### 1. Ito Stochastic Differential Equations involving forces $F_j(\vec{x})$ and amplitudes $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$ in front of the noises $dB_\alpha(t)$

The stochastic trajectories  $\vec{x}(t)$  are generated by the Ito Stochastic differential equations for the  $d$  components  $x_j(t)$  with  $i = 1, \dots, d$

$$dx_j(t) \equiv x_j(t + dt) - x_j(t) = F_j(\vec{x}(t))dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}(t))dB_\alpha(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \quad (1)$$

that involve  $\alpha_{max}$  independent Brownian increments  $dB_\alpha(t) = B_\alpha(t + dt) - B_\alpha(t)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[dB_\alpha(t)] &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[dB_\alpha(t)dB_\beta(t)] &= dt\delta_{\alpha,\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and that are independent of the trajectory  $\vec{x}(0 \leq \tau \leq t)$  up to time  $t$ . As a consequence, the averaged value of the increment  $dx_j(t)$  of Eq. 1 reduces to the contribution of the Ito force  $F_j(\cdot)$

$$\mathbb{E}[dx_j(t)] = \mathbb{E}[F_j(\vec{x}(t))]dt \quad (3)$$

while the averaged value of the connected correlations of the increments

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(dx_j(t) - F_j(\vec{x}(t))dt)(dx_i(t) - F_i(\vec{x}(t))dt)] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}(t))dB_\alpha(t) \sum_{\beta=1}^{\beta_{max}} G_{i\beta}(\vec{x}(t))dB_\beta(t) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}(t))G_{i\alpha}(\vec{x}(t)) \right] dt \equiv 2\mathbb{E}[D_{ji}(\vec{x}(t))] dt \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

involve the positive symmetric diffusion matrix constructed from the amplitudes  $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$

$$D_{ji}(\vec{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})G_{i\alpha}(\vec{x}) = D_{ij}(\vec{x}) \quad (5)$$

#### 2. Ito formula for the dynamics of an arbitrary observable $O(\vec{x}(t))$

The Ito SDE for the observable  $O(\vec{x}(t))$  can be obtained from the Ito SDE of Eq. 1 for the components  $x_j(t)$  and from the Taylor expansion of  $O(\vec{x} + \vec{\epsilon})$  up to second order in  $\vec{\epsilon}$

$$O(\vec{x} + \vec{\epsilon}) = O(\vec{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \epsilon_j \partial_j O(\vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \epsilon_j \epsilon_i \partial_j \partial_i O(\vec{x}) + \dots \quad (6)$$

Using the properties of Eq. 2 for the noises in order to keep all the relevant terms up to order  $dt$ , one obtains that the SDE for the observable  $O(\vec{x}(t))$  reads

$$\begin{aligned}
dO(\vec{x}(t)) &\equiv O(\vec{x}(t) + d\vec{x}(t)) - O(\vec{x}(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^d dx_j(t) \partial_j O(\vec{x}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d dx_j(t) dx_i(t) \partial_j \partial_i O(\vec{x}(t)) + \dots \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_j(\vec{x}(t)) dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}(t)) dB_\alpha(t) \right] \partial_j O(\vec{x}(t)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \left[ F_j(\vec{x}(t)) dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}(t)) dB_\alpha(t) \right] \left[ F_i(\vec{x}(t)) dt + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{i\beta}(\vec{x}(t)) dB_\beta(t) \right] \partial_j \partial_i O(\vec{x}(t)) + \dots \\
&\equiv F^{[O]}(\vec{x}(t)) dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_\alpha^{[O]}(\vec{x}(t)) dB_\alpha(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the coefficient  $G_\alpha^{[O]}(\vec{x}(t))$  in front of the noise  $dB_\alpha(t)$  reads

$$G_\alpha^{[O]}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^d G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) \partial_j O(\vec{x}) \tag{8}$$

while the Ito force  $F^{[O]}(\vec{x}(t))$  in factor of  $dt$  involves the Ito forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  and the diffusion matrix  $D_{ji}(\vec{x})$  of Eq. 5

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{[O]}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) \partial_j O(\vec{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) G_{i\alpha}(\vec{x}) \right) \partial_j \partial_i O(\vec{x}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) \partial_j O(\vec{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d D_{ji}(\vec{x}) \partial_j \partial_i O(\vec{x}) \equiv \mathcal{L} O(\vec{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  represents the second-order differential generator

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d D_{ji}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^d D_{jj}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i=j+1}^d D_{ji}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

that governs the dynamics of the averaged values  $\mathbb{E}[O(\vec{x}(t))]$  of observables

$$\partial_t \mathbb{E}[O(\vec{x}(t))] = \mathbb{E}[F^{[O]}(\vec{x}(t))] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{L} O)(\vec{x}(t))] \tag{11}$$

### 3. Corresponding Stratonovich interpretation : same amplitudes $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$ but modified forces $f_j(\vec{x})$

The Ito SDE of Eq. 1 can be translated into

$$dx_j(t) = f_j(\vec{x}(t)) dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}(t)) dB_\alpha(t) \quad \text{in the Stratonovich interpretation} \tag{12}$$

that involves the same amplitudes  $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$  in front of the noises  $dB_\alpha(t)$ , while the Stratonovich forces  $f_j(\vec{x})$  can be computed in terms of the Ito force  $F_j(\vec{x})$  and in terms of the amplitudes  $G_{l\alpha}$  via

$$f_j(\vec{x}) = F_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{i\alpha}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i} \tag{13}$$

so that they are different from the Ito forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  when the coefficients  $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$  explicitly depend on the position  $\vec{x}$ .

Using this correspondance of Eq. 13 and the diffusion matrix of Eq. 5, the differential generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Eq. 10 reads in terms of the Stratonovich force  $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^d f_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} \left( G_{i\alpha}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (14)$$

In the present paper, we will use both perspectives : the Ito perspective with the Ito force  $\vec{F}(\vec{x})$  will be more convenient when one wishes to write the differential generator  $\mathcal{L}$  with all the derivatives on the right as in Eq. 10, while the Stratonovich perspective with the Stratonovich force  $f(\vec{x})$  will be more convenient when one wishes to make changes of variables in the SDE, since one can use the standard rules of calculus (instead of the specific Ito rules of calculus if one uses the Ito forces).

#### 4. Link with the Fokker-Planck dynamics for the probability density $\rho_t(\vec{x})$

The probability density  $\rho_t(\vec{x})$  to be at configuration  $x$  at time  $t$

$$\rho_t(\vec{x}) \equiv \mathbb{E}[\delta(\vec{x}(t) - \vec{x})] \quad (15)$$

can be used to rewrite the averaged value  $\mathbb{E}[O(\vec{x}(t))]$  of the observable as

$$\mathbb{E}[O(\vec{x}(t))] \equiv \int d^d \vec{x} \rho_t(\vec{x}) O(\vec{x}) \quad (16)$$

As a consequence, the dynamics of Eq. 11 that involves the differential generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Eq. 10 can be translated into

$$\int d^d \vec{x} O(\vec{x}) \partial_t \rho_t(\vec{x}) = \int d^d \vec{x} \rho_t(\vec{x}) (\mathcal{L} O(\vec{x})) = \int d^d \vec{x} O(\vec{x}) (\mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_t(\vec{x})) \quad (17)$$

where the last expression obtained via integrations by parts involves the adjoint operator

$$\mathcal{L}^\dagger \equiv - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} F_j(\vec{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} D_{ji}(\vec{x}) \quad (18)$$

so that the Fokker-Planck dynamics obtained from Eq. 17 reads

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_t(\vec{x}) &= \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_t(\vec{x}) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( F_j(\vec{x}) \rho_t(\vec{x}) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \left( D_{ji}(\vec{x}) \rho_t(\vec{x}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

#### B. Deterministic processes $\vec{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the limit of vanishing noises $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) = 0$ and $D_{ji}(\vec{x}) = 0$

In the limit of vanishing noises-amplitudes  $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) = 0$  leading to the vanishing of the diffusion matrix  $D_{ji}(\vec{x}) = 0$  of Eq. 5, the Ito SDE of Eq. 1 and the Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 12 become the deterministic equations

$$\frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} = F_j(\vec{x}(t)) = f_j(\vec{x}(t)) \quad (20)$$

so that the only randomness comes from the probability distribution  $\rho_{t=0}(\vec{x})$  of the initial conditions  $\vec{x}(t=0)$ . The dynamics of Eq. 11 for the averaged values of observables becomes the Koopman dynamics

$$\partial_t \mathbb{E}[O(\vec{x}(t))] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{L}_{deter} O)(\vec{x}(t))] \quad (21)$$

where the Koopman differential generator  $\mathcal{L}_{deter}$  only contains the first-order differential contributions of Eq. 10 and Eq. 14

$$\mathcal{L}_{deter} = \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^d f_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad (22)$$

Similarly, the Fokker-Planck dynamics of Eq. 19 for the probability density  $\rho_t(\vec{x})$  becomes the Perron-Frobenius dynamics

$$\partial_t \rho_t(\vec{x}) = \mathcal{L}_{deter}^\dagger \rho_t(\vec{x}) = - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [F_j(\vec{x}) \rho_t(\vec{x})] \quad (23)$$

where the Perron-Frobenius generator  $\mathcal{L}_{deter}^\dagger$  is the adjoint of the Koopman generator  $\mathcal{L}_{deter}$ .

In the present paper, the goal is to focus on diffusion processes, but deterministic processes can always be recovered in the limit of vanishing noises as explained above.

### III. CARLEMAN DYNAMICS FOR THE MOMENTS $\mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t)\dots x_d^{n_d}(t))$ WITH $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$

As recalled in the Introduction, the Carleman approach that is well-known in the field of deterministic dynamics (see also Appendix A for the simplest example) has been applied to some one-dimensional processes with multiplicative noise in the pioneering work [13]. In the present section, we describe how the Carleman approach can be applied to diffusion processes in dimension  $d$  when the Ito forces and the diffusion-matrix elements are polynomials.

#### A. Parametrization of the polynomial forces $F_j(\vec{x})$ and of the polynomial diffusion-matrix elements $D_{ji}(\vec{x})$

The Carleman approach can be applied whenever one can write Taylor expansions for the Ito forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  and for the diffusion matrix elements  $D_{ji}(\vec{x})$ . Here to be more concrete and to simplify the notations, we will focus only on the cases where the Ito forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  and the diffusion matrix elements  $D_{ji}(\vec{x})$  are polynomials of degree two in the  $d$  coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  with the following bra-ket notations :

(i) the Taylor coefficients of the Ito forces

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(\vec{x}) &= \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \\ &= \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

are gathered into the ket  $|\mathbf{F}^{[0]}\rangle$  and into the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{[2]}$  with the following matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle &= F_j(\vec{x} = \vec{0}) \\ \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle &= \left[ \frac{\partial F_j(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i} \right]_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} \\ \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F_j(\vec{x})}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2}} \right]_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} = \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_2, i_1 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

(ii) the Taylor coefficients of the diffusion-matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x}) &= \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \\ &= \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

are gathered into the ket  $|\mathbf{D}^{[0]}\rangle$ , and into the matrices  $\mathbf{D}^{[1]}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]}$  with the following matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle &= D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x} = \vec{0}) \\ \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle &= \left[ \frac{\partial D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i} \right]_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} \\ \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x})}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2}} \right]_{\vec{x}=\vec{0}} = \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_2, i_1 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Note that if one is interested in models where the forces and the diffusion-matrix elements are polynomials of higher orders, one just needs to include the appropriate higher matrices like  $\mathbf{F}^{[3]}, \mathbf{F}^{[4]} \dots$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[3]}, \mathbf{D}^{[4]} \dots$  etc.

### B. Decomposition of the generator $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{[-2]} + \mathcal{L}^{[-1]} + \mathcal{L}^{[0]} + \mathcal{L}^{[1]}$ with respect to the global scaling dimension

Plugging the expressions of Eqs 24 and 26 into the differential generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Eq. 10

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \left[ \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_1} \partial x_{j_2}} \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{[-2]} + \mathcal{L}^{[-1]} + \mathcal{L}^{[0]} + \mathcal{L}^{[1]} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

leads to a natural decomposition into four contributions  $\mathcal{L}^{[k=-2,-1,0,1]}$  where  $k = -2, -1, 0, 1$  represents the global scaling dimension, i.e. the difference between the number of powers of  $x$  and the number of derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[-2]} &\equiv \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_1} \partial x_{j_2}} \\ \mathcal{L}^{[-1]} &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_1} \partial x_{j_2}} \\ \mathcal{L}^{[0]} &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_1} \partial x_{j_2}} \\ \mathcal{L}^{[1]} &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Note that if one is interested in models where the forces and the diffusion matrix are polynomials of higher orders, parametrized by higher-order matrices ( $\mathbf{F}^{[k]}, \mathbf{D}^{[k]}$ ), one needs to add the term containing  $\mathbf{D}^{[3]}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^{[1]}$  and to write the higher contributions  $\mathcal{L}^{[k]}$  of global dimension  $k \geq 2$  containing the terms associated to the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[k+1]}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[k+2]}$ .

### C. Linear system governing the dynamics of the moments $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) \equiv \mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t) x_2^{n_2}(t) \dots x_d^{n_d}(t))$ labelled by the $d$ integers $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$

In the Carleman approach, one focuses on the basis of observables corresponding to the monomials

$$O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) \equiv x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_d^{n_d} = \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{n_j} \quad (30)$$

labelled by the  $d$  integers  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and on their averaged values

$$m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) \equiv \mathbb{E}(O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}(t))) = \mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t) x_2^{n_2}(t) \dots x_d^{n_d}(t)) \quad (31)$$

corresponding to the moments and correlations of arbitrary order of the  $d$  components  $x_j(t)$ , while the case where all the integers vanish  $n_j = 0$  correspond to the trivial value unity

$$m_t(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \equiv \mathbb{E}(1) = 1 \quad (32)$$

The action of the differential generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Eq. 10 on the monomial of Eq. 30

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) n_j x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j}^d x_l^{n_l} + \sum_{j=1}^d D_{jj}(\vec{x}) n_j (n_j - 1) x_j^{n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j}^d x_l^{n_l} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^d D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x}) n_{j_1} x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} n_{j_2} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}-1} \prod_{l \neq j_1, j_2}^d x_l^{n_l} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

can be rewritten using the expressions of Eqs 24 and 26 for the forces and for the diffusion matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] n_j x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j}^d x_l^{n_l} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] n_j (n_j - 1) x_j^{n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j}^d x_l^{n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^d \left[ \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] n_{j_1} x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} n_{j_2} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}-1} \prod_{l \neq j_1, j_2}^d x_l^{n_l} \\
&\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

to obtain a linear combination of the monomials  $O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x}) \equiv x_1^{q_1} x_2^{q_2} \dots x_d^{q_d}$  with the matrix elements  $M(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d)$ .

The application of Eq. 11 to the monomials  $O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x})$  yields that the dynamics of the moments  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  of Eq. 31

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) &= \partial_t \mathbb{E}(O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}(t))) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{L}O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}(t))) \\
&= \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) m_t(q_1, \dots, q_d)
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

correspond to a linear system governed by the matrix elements  $M(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d)$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  that will be called the Carleman matrix from now on.

It is useful to introduce bra-ket notations for the orthonormalized basis  $|q_1, \dots, q_d\rangle$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d \rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^d \delta_{n_i, q_i} \\
\sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} |q_1, \dots, q_d\rangle \langle q_1, \dots, q_d| &= \mathbf{1}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

in order to rewrite the moment  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  as the component of the ket  $|m_t\rangle$  onto the bra  $\langle n_1, \dots, n_d|$

$$m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \langle n_1, \dots, n_d | m_t \rangle \tag{37}$$

and to rewrite the matrix elements of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  as

$$\langle n_1, \dots, n_d | \mathbf{M} | q_1, \dots, q_d \rangle = M(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) \tag{38}$$

so that the linear dynamics of Eq. 35 becomes at the matrix level

$$\partial_t |m_t\rangle = \mathbf{M} |m_t\rangle \tag{39}$$

while the formal solution reads in terms of the initial condition  $|m_{t=0}\rangle$

$$|m_t\rangle = e^{t\mathbf{M}} |m_0\rangle \tag{40}$$

Once the dynamics of the moments  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  has been recast into the linear system of Eqs 35 or 39, any method appropriate to solve such linear dynamics can be applied.

### 1. Standard method in the Carleman literature : via the time-Laplace-transforms $\hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ of the moments $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$

In the literature on the Carleman method and in particular in [13] concerning one-dimensional diffusion processes, the standard method involves the introduction of the time-Laplace-transforms  $\hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  of the moments  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$

$$\hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d) \equiv \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) \tag{41}$$

The Laplace-transforms of their time-derivatives  $\partial_t m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  can be evaluated via integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} \partial_t m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) &= [e^{-st} m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)]_{t=0}^{t=+\infty} + s \int_0^{+\infty} dt m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d) e^{-st} \\ &= -m_0(n_1, \dots, n_d) + s \hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

in terms of the initial moments  $m_{t=0}(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  at time  $t = 0$ .

So the linear dynamics of Eq. 35 reads for the Laplace transforms of the moments

$$s \hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d) - \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) \hat{m}_s(q_1, \dots, q_d) = m_0(n_1, \dots, n_d) \quad (43)$$

With the bra-ket notations of Eq. 37, the Laplace transforms  $\hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  are the components of the ket  $|\hat{m}_s\rangle$  onto the bras  $\langle n_1, \dots, n_d |$

$$\hat{m}_s(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \langle n_1, \dots, n_d | \hat{m}_s \rangle \quad (44)$$

while the system of Eq. 43 becomes

$$(s\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M})|\hat{m}_s\rangle = |m_0\rangle \quad (45)$$

The solution for the Laplace-transform  $|\hat{m}_s\rangle$  thus requires the application of the inverse  $\frac{1}{s\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M}}$  to the initial condition  $|m_0\rangle$

$$|\hat{m}_s\rangle = \frac{1}{s\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M}} |m_0\rangle \quad (46)$$

in agreement with the direct application of the Laplace transform to the formal solution of Eq. 40

$$|\hat{m}_s\rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} |m_t\rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} e^{t\mathbf{M}} |m_0\rangle = \frac{1}{s\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{M}} |m_0\rangle \quad (47)$$

while the solution for the real-time moments  $|m_t\rangle$  requires the computation of the inverse-Laplace-transformation of this solution  $|\hat{m}_s\rangle$ . As a consequence in the present paper, we will instead focus on the direct solution in real-time via the spectral analysis of the Carleman matrix as described in the next subsection.

## 2. Direct solution in real-time via the spectral decomposition of the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ into its eigenvalues $E$ and its corresponding left and right eigenvectors

The spectral decomposition of the operator  $e^{t\mathbf{M}}$

$$e^{t\mathbf{M}} = \sum_E e^{tE} |R_E\rangle \langle L_E| \quad (48)$$

involves the (possibly complex) eigenvalues  $E$  of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ , while the corresponding right eigenvectors  $|R_E\rangle$  and left eigenvectors  $\langle L_E|$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}|R_E\rangle &= E|R_E\rangle \\ \langle L_E|\mathbf{M} &= E\langle L_E| \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

satisfy the bi-orthogonalization

$$\langle L_E | R_{E'} \rangle = \delta_{E, E'} \quad (50)$$

and the resolution of the identity

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_E |R_E\rangle \langle L_E| \quad (51)$$



Then the solution of Eq. 40 for the moments can be decomposed into the contributions of the eigenvalues  $E$

$$|m_t\rangle = e^{t\mathbf{M}}|m_0\rangle = \sum_E e^{tE}|R_E\rangle\langle L_E|m_0\rangle \quad (52)$$

while the link with the Laplace-transform  $|\hat{m}_s\rangle$  of Eq 47 reads

$$|\hat{m}_s\rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-st} \left( \sum_E e^{tE}|R_E\rangle\langle L_E|m_0\rangle \right) = \sum_E \frac{1}{s-E}|R_E\rangle\langle L_E|m_0\rangle \quad (53)$$

The property  $m_t(0,0,\dots,0,0) \equiv \mathbb{E}(1) = 1$  of Eq. 32 means that the projection of Eq. 39 on the bra  $\langle 0,0,\dots,0,0|$  vanish for any ket  $|m_t\rangle$

$$0 = \partial_t m_t(0,0,\dots,0,0) = \langle 0,0,\dots,0,0| \left( \partial_t |m_t\rangle \right) = \langle 0,0,\dots,0,0|\mathbf{M}|m_t\rangle \quad (54)$$

so that the bra  $\langle 0,0,\dots,0,0|$  is the left eigenvector of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  associated to the eigenvalue  $E = 0$

$$\langle L_{E=0}| = \langle 0,0,\dots,0,0| \quad (55)$$

In cases where the Fokker-Planck dynamics of Eq. 19 for the probability density  $\rho_t(\vec{x})$  converges towards a normalizable steady state  $\rho_{st}(\vec{x})$  satisfying

$$0 = \partial_t \rho_{st}(\vec{x}) = \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_{st}(\vec{x}) \quad (56)$$

then the corresponding steady moments  $m_{st}(n_1,\dots,n_d) = \langle n_1,\dots,n_d|m_t\rangle$  should be stable via the dynamics of Eq. 39

$$0 = \partial_t |m_{st}\rangle = \mathbf{M}|m_{st}\rangle \quad (57)$$

i.e. the steady ket  $|m_{st}\rangle$  should be the right eigenvector  $|R_{E=0}\rangle$  for the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  associated to the eigenvalue  $E = 0$

$$|R_{E=0}\rangle = |m_{st}\rangle \quad (58)$$

Note that even when there is a normalizable steady state  $\rho_{st}(\vec{x})$ , some of its moments may diverge, as will be described in specific examples.

In order to better understand the spectral properties of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ , it is useful to introduce its decompositions into blocks as described in the next subsection.

#### D. Decomposition of the Carleman matrix $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$ into blocks associated to the difference of global degrees

The Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  introduced in Eq. 34 can be decomposed into blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[k \equiv q-n]}$  according to the possible difference  $k = -2, -1, 0, 1$  between the global degrees  $q = q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_d$  and  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_d$

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]} \quad (59)$$

in correspondence with the decomposition of Eq. 28 for the generator  $\mathcal{L}$

$$\mathcal{L}^{[k]} O_{n_1,\dots,n_d}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[k]}(n_1,\dots,n_d|q_1,\dots,q_d) O_{q_1,\dots,q_d}(\vec{x}) \quad (60)$$

with the following contributions for  $k = -2, -1, 0, 1$  :

- The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[-2]}$  associated to the matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[0]}$  lowers the global degree of  $O_{n_1,\dots,n_d}(\vec{x}) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_d^{n_d}$  by two

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[-2]} O_{n_1,\dots,n_d}(\vec{x}) &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \langle jj|\mathbf{D}^{[0]}\rangle n_j (n_j - 1) x_j^{n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} + 2 \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^d \langle j_1 j_2|\mathbf{D}^{[0]}\rangle n_{j_1} x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} n_{j_2} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}-1} \prod_{l \neq j_1, j_2} x_l^{n_l} \\ &\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[-2]}(n_1,\dots,n_d|q_1,\dots,q_d) O_{q_1,\dots,q_d}(\vec{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

with the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{[-2]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle n_j (n_j - 1) \delta_{q_j, n_j - 2} \prod_{l \neq j} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle n_{j_1} x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} n_{j_2} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}-1} \prod_{l \neq j_1, j_2} \delta_{q_l, n_l}
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

• The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[-1]}$  associated to the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[0]}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[1]}$  lowers the global degree of  $O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_d^{n_d}$  by one

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{[-1]} O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle n_j x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle n_j (n_j - 1) x_i x_j^{n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle n_{j_1} n_{j_2} x_i x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}-1} \prod_{l \neq j_1, j_2} x_l^{n_l} \\
&\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[-1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

• The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  associated to the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]}$  conserves the global degree of  $O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_d^{n_d}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{[0]} O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i n_j x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] n_j (n_j - 1) x_j^{n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^d \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] n_{j_1} x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} n_{j_2} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}-1} \prod_{l \neq j_1, j_2} x_l^{n_l} \\
&\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

• The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[1]}$  associated to the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[2]}$  raises the global degree of  $O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_d^{n_d}$  by one

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{[1]} O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] n_j x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | j, j \rangle n_j x_j^{n_j+1} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i \neq j} \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle n_j x_i^{n_i+2} x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j, i} x_l^{n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \left[ \langle i_1 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_{i_1} x_{i_2}^{n_{i_2}+1} \prod_{l \neq i_2} x_l^{n_l} + \langle i_2 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_{i_2} x_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}+1} \prod_{l \neq i_1} x_l^{n_l} \right] \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \sum_{j \neq i_1, i_2} \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_j x_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}+1} x_{i_2}^{n_{i_2}+1} x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j, i_1, i_2} x_l^{n_l} \\
&\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x})
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

with the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{[1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | j, j \rangle n_j \delta_{q_j, n_j+1} \prod_{l \neq j} \delta_{q_l, n_l} + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i \neq j} \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle n_j \delta_{q_i, n_i+2} \delta_{q_j, n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j, i} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \left[ \langle i_1 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_{i_1} \delta_{q_{i_2}, n_{i_2}+1} \prod_{l \neq i_2} \delta_{q_l, n_l} + \langle i_2 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_{i_2} \delta_{q_{i_1}, n_{i_1}+1} \prod_{l \neq i_1} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \right] \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \sum_{j \neq i_1, i_2} \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_j \delta_{q_{i_1}, n_{i_1}+1} \delta_{q_{i_2}, n_{i_2}+1} \delta_{q_j, n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j, i_1, i_2} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \quad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

As examples we have written the explicit matrix elements of  $\mathbf{M}^{[-2]}$  in Eq. 62 and of  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  in Eq. 66, while the matrix elements of  $\mathbf{M}^{[k=-1,0]}$  can be also deduced from Eqs 63 64, but one needs to distinguish whether some indices  $(i, i_1, i_2)$  coincide with some indices  $(j, j_1, j_2)$  or not. As a consequence, the fully general expressions are somewhat heavy when all the Taylor coefficients of Eq 27 for the diffusion matrix elements  $D_{j_1, j_2}(\vec{x})$  are present. However in practice, one is often interested in models with various simplifications, that may occur either at the block-level, as described in the following subsection, or in the internal structure of some blocks, as will be described in the further section IV.

### E. Simplifications when the Carleman matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is block-diagonal or block-triangular

In the present paper, we will be interested in three types of simplifications when some blocks of Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  vanish, as described in the following three subsections.

#### 1. Simplifications when the Carleman matrix is block-diagonal $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

The Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  reduces to its block-diagonal component  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 64 when the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  reduces to the contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 29 i.e. the forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  of Eq. 24 should only contain the linear terms in the variables  $x_i$  parametrized by the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$

$$F_j(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i \quad (67)$$

while the diffusion matrix elements of Eq. 26 should only contain the quadratic terms parametrized by the matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]}$

$$D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \quad (68)$$

Since the matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  can be decomposed into blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$  associated to a given degree  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_d$ , then the eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  can be obtained from the diagonalizations of the individual blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$ , while the dynamics of Eq. 35 for the moment  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  of degree  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_d$  only involves moments  $m_t(q_1, \dots, q_d)$  whose total degree  $q = q_1 + \dots + q_d$  is the same  $q = n$ .

#### 2. Simplifications when the Carleman matrix is block-lower-triangular $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

When the Carleman matrix is block-lower-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , i.e. when the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[2]} = 0$  vanishes in the parametrization of Eqs 24 for the forces, then the eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are still given by the eigenvalues of the individual blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$ , while the dynamics of Eq. 35 for the moment  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  of degree  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_d$  now involves moments  $m_t(q_1, \dots, q_d)$  whose total degree  $q = q_1 + \dots + q_d$  is either the same  $q = n$  or smaller  $q = n-1, n-2$  by one or two. So the solutions for moments can be then constructed iteratively starting from the lower ones.

### 3. Simplifications when the Carleman matrix is block-upper-triangular $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$

When the Carleman matrix is block-upper-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$ , i.e. when the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[0]} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[0]} = 0 = \mathbf{D}^{[1]}$  vanish in the parametrization of Eqs 24 and 26 for the Ito forces and the diffusion matrix elements, then the eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are still given by the eigenvalues of the individual blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$ , while the dynamics of Eq. 35 for the moment  $m_t(n_1, \dots, n_d)$  of degree  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_d$  now involves moments  $m_t(q_1, \dots, q_d)$  whose total degree  $q = q_1 + \dots + q_d$  is either the same  $q = n$  or higher  $q = n+1$  by one. The simplest example concerning the one-dimensional deterministic model is described in Appendix A.

#### F. Models where $\mathbf{D}^{[2]} = 0$ : linear change of variables to diagonalize the matrix $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$ and thus $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$ before applying the Carleman approach

In models where the matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]}$  vanishes  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]} = 0$  in the parametrization of Eqs 26 for the diffusion matrix, then the contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  of the generator that determines the diagonal block  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  only involves the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  parametrizing the linear forces

$$\mathcal{L}^{[0]} = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{D}^{[2]} = 0 \quad (69)$$

Before applying the Carleman approach, it can be then useful to make the linear change of variables from the coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  towards the new coordinates  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  that will make diagonal the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$ . The spectral decomposition of the  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  involves its  $d$  eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\nu=1, \dots, d}$  (that may be complex)

$$\mathbf{F}^{[1]} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \lambda_{\nu} |r_{\nu}\rangle \langle l_{\nu}| \quad (70)$$

while the corresponding right eigenvectors  $|r_{\nu}\rangle$  and left eigenvectors  $\langle l_{\nu}|$  form a bi-orthogonal basis satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l_{\nu} | r_{\mu} \rangle &= \delta_{\nu, \mu} \\ \mathbf{1} &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d |r_{\nu}\rangle \langle l_{\nu}| \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The change of variables between the initial coordinates  $x_i \equiv \langle i | x \rangle$  and the new coordinates  $\xi_{\nu} \equiv \langle l_{\nu} | x \rangle$  involves these left and right eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\nu} &= \langle l_{\nu} | x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle l_{\nu} | i \rangle \langle i | x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle l_{\nu} | i \rangle x_i \\ x_i &= \langle i | x \rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle i | r_{\nu} \rangle \langle l_{\nu} | x \rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle i | r_{\nu} \rangle \xi_{\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

and leads to the corresponding change of variables for the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \frac{\partial \xi_{\nu}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle l_{\nu} | j \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu}} \quad (73)$$

Then the contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 69 becomes diagonal in the new variables  $\xi_{\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[0]} &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[1]} | i \rangle \langle i | x \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \lambda_{\nu} |r_{\nu}\rangle \langle l_{\nu}| \right) | x \rangle \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^d \langle l_{\mu} | j \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\mu}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \sum_{\mu=1}^d \lambda_{\nu} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \langle l_{\mu} | j \rangle \langle j | r_{\nu} \rangle \right) \langle l_{\nu} | x \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\mu}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \sum_{\mu=1}^d \lambda_{\nu} (\delta_{\mu, \nu}) \langle l_{\nu} | x \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\mu}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \lambda_{\nu} \left( \xi_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

The Carleman approach can be now applied to the monomials in the new variables  $\xi_\nu$

$$\Omega_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \equiv \xi_1^{\kappa_1} \xi_2^{\kappa_2} \dots \xi_d^{\kappa_d} = \prod_{\mu=1}^d \xi_\mu^{\kappa_\mu} \quad \text{with the } d \text{ integers } \kappa_\nu \in \mathbb{N} \quad (75)$$

instead of the monomials  $O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_d^{n_d}$  of Eq. 30 involving the initial variables  $x_i$ . The application of  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 74 to Eq. 75 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[0]} \Omega_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) &= \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \lambda_\nu \xi_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} \right) \prod_{\mu=1}^d \xi_\mu^{\kappa_\mu} = \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \lambda_\nu \kappa_\nu \right) \prod_{\mu=1}^d \xi_\mu^{\kappa_\mu} \\ &= \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \lambda_\nu \kappa_\nu \right) \Omega_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \equiv E_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d} \Omega_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

i.e. the monomials  $\Omega_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  are directly the eigenvectors of the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  and of the corresponding diagonal-blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ , while the corresponding eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

$$E_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \kappa_\nu \lambda_\nu \quad (77)$$

reduce to linear combinations of the  $d$  eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)$  of the  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  of Eq. 70 with the integers coefficients  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ .

Let us summarize the conclusion for models with  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]} = 0$  : whenever the eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are given by the eigenvalues of the diagonal block  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , i.e. when the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is either block-diagonal or block-lower-triangular or block-upper-triangular as discussed in the previous subsection III E, then the eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are given by Eq. 77. For deterministic models, this result is usually derived via a tensor-representation in the initial variables  $x_i$  [9] that is redundant since the eigenvalue  $E_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}$  then appears with the artificial multiplicity  $\frac{(\kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_d)!}{\kappa_1! \kappa_2! \dots \kappa_d!}$  (see the discussion in [11]). So the change described above from the initial variables  $x_i$  towards the new variables  $\xi_\nu$  seems clearer to obtain directly the eigenvalues of Eq. 77 without artificial multiplicities. Then one should of course translate the other contributions  $\mathcal{L}^{[k \neq 0]}$  of Eq. 28 into the new variables in order to obtain the corresponding off-diagonal-blocks of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{[k \neq 0]}$  associated to the monomials  $\Omega_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \equiv \xi_1^{\kappa_1} \xi_2^{\kappa_2} \dots \xi_d^{\kappa_d}$  in the new variables using Eqs 72 and 73:

- The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[-2]}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[-2]} &= \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle \left( \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_1} | j_1 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu_1}} \right) \left( \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_2} | j_2 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu_2}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \left( \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_1} | j_1 \rangle \langle l_{\nu_2} | j_2 \rangle \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{\nu_1} \partial \xi_{\nu_2}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_1} l_{\nu_2} | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{\nu_1} \partial \xi_{\nu_2}} \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

involves the new matrix elements  $\langle l_{\nu_1} l_{\nu_2} | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle$  instead of the old ones  $\langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle$ .

- The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[-1]}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[-1]} &= \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle l_\nu | j \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} \right) + \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle i | r_\nu \rangle \xi_\nu \right) \left( \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_1} | j_1 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu_1}} \right) \left( \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_2} | j_2 \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\nu_2}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \langle l_\nu | j \rangle \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} + \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \sum_{\nu=1}^d \left( \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_1} | j_1 \rangle \langle l_{\nu_2} | j_2 \rangle \langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle \langle i | r_\nu \rangle \right) \xi_\nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{\nu_1} \partial \xi_{\nu_2}} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle l_\nu | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} + \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle l_{\nu_1} l_{\nu_2} | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | r_\nu \rangle \xi_\nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_{\nu_1} \partial \xi_{\nu_2}} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

involves the new matrix elements  $\langle l_\nu | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle$  and  $\langle l_{\nu_1} l_{\nu_2} | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | r_\nu \rangle$  instead of the old ones  $\langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle$  and  $\langle j_1 j_2 | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | i \rangle$ .

- The contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[1]}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{[1]} &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle \left( \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \langle i_1 | r_{\nu_1} \rangle \xi_{\nu_1} \right) \left( \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \langle i_2 | r_{\nu_2} \rangle \xi_{\nu_2} \right) \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^d \langle l_\nu | j \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \langle l_\nu | j \rangle \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle \langle i_1 | r_{\nu_1} \rangle \langle i_2 | r_{\nu_2} \rangle \right) \xi_{\nu_1} \xi_{\nu_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} \\
&= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \sum_{\nu_1=1}^d \sum_{\nu_2=1}^d \langle l_\nu | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | r_{\nu_1} r_{\nu_2} \rangle \xi_{\nu_1} \xi_{\nu_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu}
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

involves the new matrix elements  $\langle l_\nu | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | r_{\nu_1} r_{\nu_2} \rangle$  instead of the old ones  $\langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle$ .

In summary, all the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[k]}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{[k]}$  now appear via their matrix elements in the bi-orthogonal basis of  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  with the left eigenvectors for all indices on the left and the right eigenvectors for all indices on the right.

## G. Discussion

In this section we have described how the Carleman approach can be applied to stochastic processes in dimension  $d$  whenever the Ito forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  and the diffusion matrix elements  $D_{j_1 j_2}(\vec{x})$  can be expanded in Taylor series with respect to the  $d$  coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  via Eqs 24 and 26. In the remaining sections, we will focus on models where the diffusion matrices  $\mathbf{D}^{[k=0,1,2]}$  appearing in Eq. 26 have a simpler structure called fully-diagonal in the next section.

## IV. SIMPLIFICATIONS WHEN THE DIFFUSION MATRICES $\mathbf{D}^{[k=0,1,2]}$ ARE FULLY-DIAGONAL

In this section, the Carleman approach described in the previous section is applied to models where the diffusion matrices  $\mathbf{D}^{[k=0,1,2]}$  appearing in Eq. 26 have non-vanishing matrix elements only if all indices coincide.

### A. Models where the diffusion matrices $\mathbf{D}^{[k=0,1,2]}$ are fully-diagonal

In many models of interest, the diffusion matrix is diagonal  $D_{j,i}(\vec{x}) = \delta_{j,i} D_{j,j}(\vec{x})$  and in addition, the diagonal element  $D_{j,j}(\vec{x})$  is either independent of  $\vec{x}$  or only depends on the coordinate  $x_j$ , so that the Taylor expression of Eq. 26 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{j,i}(\vec{x}) &= \delta_{j,i} D_{j,j}(x_j) \\
D_{j,j}(x_j) &= \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle + \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | j \rangle x_j + \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | j, j \rangle x_j^2 \\
&\equiv D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

i.e. the only non-vanishing elements of the matrices  $\mathbf{D}^{[k=0,1,2]}$  appearing in Eq. 26 are  $\langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[0]} \rangle$ ,  $\langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | j \rangle$  and  $\langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[2]} | j, j \rangle$  corresponding to all-coinciding-indices and will be denoted by the simplified notations  $D_j^{[k=0,1,2]}$  from now on.

Then the generator of Eqs 10 and 28 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{j=1}^d F_j(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^d D_{jj}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[2]} x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d F_{j;i_1 i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2 \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

### B. Simplifications for the Carleman matrix $\mathbf{M}$

The structure of Eq. 81 for the diffusion matrix elements leads to the following simplifications for the three contributions ( $\mathbf{M}^{[-2]}$ ,  $\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}$ ,  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ ) of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  (while the fourth contribution  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  of Eq. 66 is unchanged since it only depends on the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[2]}$ ) :

- The action of  $\mathcal{L}^{[-2]}$  in Eq. 61 becomes

$$\mathcal{L}^{[-2]}O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^d D_j^{[0]} n_j (n_j - 1) x_j^{n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} \equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[-2]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x}) \quad (83)$$

and leads to the simplified matrix elements with respect to Eq. 62

$$M^{[-2]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^d D_j^{[0]} n_j (n_j - 1) \delta_{q_j, n_j-2} \prod_{l \neq j} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \quad (84)$$

that involves only the  $d$  possibilities where one integer  $q_j = n_j - 2$  is lower by two units while all the other  $l \neq j$  coincide  $q_l = n_l$ .

- The action of  $\mathcal{L}^{[-1]}$  in Eq. 63 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[-1]}O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[0]} \rangle n_j x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} + \sum_{j=1}^d \langle jj | \mathbf{D}^{[1]} | j \rangle n_j (n_j - 1) x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j} x_l^{n_l} \\ &\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[-1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

and leads to the matrix elements

$$M^{[-1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_j^{[0]} n_j + D_j^{[1]} n_j (n_j - 1) \right] \delta_{q_j, n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \quad (86)$$

that involves only the  $d$  possibilities where one integer  $q_j = n_j - 1$  is lower by one unit while all the other  $l \neq j$  coincide  $q_l = n_l$ .

- The action of  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  in Eq. 64 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{[0]}O_{n_1, \dots, n_d}(\vec{x}) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_{jj}^{[1]} n_j + \sum_{j=1}^d D_j^{[2]} n_j (n_j - 1) \right] \right) \prod_{l=1}^d x_l^{n_l} + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i \neq j} F_{ji}^{[1]} n_j x_i^{n_i+1} x_j^{n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq i, j}^d x_l^{n_l} \\ &\equiv \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{q_d=0}^{+\infty} M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) O_{q_1, \dots, q_d}(\vec{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

and leads to the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_{jj}^{[1]} n_j + D_j^{[2]} n_j (n_j - 1) \right] \right) \prod_{l=1}^d \delta_{q_l, n_l} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i \neq j} F_{ji}^{[1]} n_j \delta_{q_i, n_i+1} \delta_{q_j, n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j, i}^d \delta_{q_l, n_l} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where the first line corresponds to the diagonal contribution where the  $d$  integers coincide  $q_l = n_l$

$$M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | n_1, \dots, n_d) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_{jj}^{[1]} n_j + D_j^{[2]} n_j (n_j - 1) \right] \quad (89)$$

while the second line of Eq. 88 corresponds to the terms where one integer is bigger  $q_i = n_i + 1$  and another integer is lower  $q_j = n_j - 1$ , while the other  $l \neq (j, i)$  coincide.

### C. Interpretations of the 'fully-diagonal' diffusion matrix of Eq. 81 in terms of SDE

The interpretation in terms of Ito SDE of Eq. 1 involving  $\alpha_{max}$  noises  $dB_\alpha(t)$  require that the amplitudes  $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$  are compatible with the diffusion matrix of Eq. 5 satisfying the fully-diagonal structure of Eq. 81

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) G_{i\alpha}(\vec{x}) = D_{ji}(\vec{x}) = \delta_{ji} D_{jj}(x_j) = \delta_{ji} \left[ D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2 \right] \quad (90)$$

#### 1. Interpretation with a single noise $dB_j(t)$ for each coordinate $x_j(t)$

If there is a single noise  $dB_j(t)$  in the Ito SDE of Eq. 1 each coordinate  $x_j(t)$ , then  $\alpha_{max} = d$  and the matrix  $G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x})$  is diagonal

$$G_{j\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \delta_{j,\alpha} G_{jj}(\vec{x}) \quad (91)$$

while the diagonal elements  $G_{jj}(\vec{x})$  determined by Eq. 90 only depend on  $x_j$

$$G_{jj}(\vec{x}) = \sqrt{2 \left[ D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2 \right]} \equiv \sqrt{2 D_{jj}(x_j)} \equiv G_{jj}(x_j) \quad (92)$$

The Stratonovich forces  $f_j(\vec{x})$  of Eq. 13 then reads

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(\vec{x}) &= F_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_{max}} \delta_{i,\alpha} \delta_{j,\alpha} \right) G_{ii}(x_i) \frac{\partial G_{jj}(x_j)}{\partial x_i} = F_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} G_{jj}(x_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} G_{jj}(x_j) \\ &= F_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} G_{jj}^2(x_j) = F_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2 \right] \\ &= F_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{D_j^{[1]}}{2} - D_j^{[2]} x_j \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

So for the present models where the Ito forces  $F_j(\vec{x})$  are given by the polynomials of degree two of Eq. 24, the Stratonovich forces  $f_j(\vec{x})$

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(\vec{x}) &= \left( F_j^{[0]} - \frac{D_j^{[1]}}{2} \right) + \left( F_{jj}^{[1]} - D_j^{[2]} \right) x_j + \sum_{i \neq j}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d F_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \\ &\equiv f_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d f_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

are also polynomials of degree two with the following coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^{[0]} &= F_j^{[0]} - \frac{D_j^{[1]}}{2} \\ f_{ji}^{[1]} &= F_{ji}^{[1]} - \delta_{i,j} D_j^{[2]} \\ f_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} &= F_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

In conclusion, the SDE involving a single noise  $dB_j(t)$  per coordinate  $x_j(t)$  have similar forms in the Ito and Stratonovich interpretations

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d F_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1}(t) x_{i_2}(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2 \left[ D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j(t) + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2(t) \right]} dB_j(t) [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( f_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) + \sum_{i_1=1}^d \sum_{i_2=1}^d f_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1}(t) x_{i_2}(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2 \left[ D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]} x_j(t) + D_j^{[2]} x_j^2(t) \right]} dB_j(t) [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$



and only some coefficients are different and related via Eq. 95.

In practice, one is often interested into the three special cases where  $D_{jj}(x_j)$  involves a single monomial instead of the second-order polynomial  $D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[1]}x_j + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2$  of Eq. 81

$$\begin{cases} D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[0]} & \text{corresponding to the additive-noise term } \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}}dB_j(t) \\ D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[2]}x_j^2 & \text{corresponding to the multiplicative-noise term } \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}}x_j(t)dB_j(t) \\ D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[1]}x_j & \text{corresponding to the square-root-noise term } \sqrt{2D_j^{[1]}}x_j(t)dB_j(t) \end{cases} \text{ for positive processes } x_j \in ]0, +\infty[ \quad (97)$$

Since these three types of noises are considered as the most relevant from a physical point of view, one may wish to reinterpret the cases where  $D_{jj}(x_j)$  involves two monomials as the result of two different types of noises for each coordinate  $x_j(t)$  as described in the two following subsections.

2. Case  $D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2$  : alternative interpretation with two noises for each coordinate  $x_j(t) \in ]-\infty, +\infty[$

When  $D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2$ , the interpretation of Eq. 96 involving a single noise  $B_j(t)$  per coordinate  $x_j(t)$  can be replaced by the alternative interpretation with an additive noise  $dB_j^{additive}(t)$  and a multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  for each coordinate  $x_j(t)$  via the equivalence

$$\sqrt{2[D_j^{[0]} + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2(t)]}dB_j(t) = \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}}dB_j^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}}x_j(t)dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad (98)$$

that produces the same diffusion matrix.

3. Case  $D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[1]}x_j + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2$  : alternative interpretation with two noises for each coordinate  $x_j(t) \in ]0, +\infty[$

Similarly when  $D_{jj}(x_j) = D_j^{[1]}x_j + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2$ , the interpretation of Eq. 96 involving a single noise  $B_j(t)$  per coordinate  $x_j(t)$  can be replaced by the alternative interpretation with a square-root noise  $dB_j^{square-root}(t)$  and a multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  for each coordinate  $x_j(t)$  via the equivalence

$$\sqrt{2[D_j^{[1]}x_j + D_j^{[2]}x_j^2(t)]}dB_j(t) = \sqrt{2D_j^{[1]}x_j}dB_j^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}}x_j(t)dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad (99)$$

that produces the same diffusion matrix.

## D. Discussion

In summary, the models with fully-diagonal diffusion matrices  $D^{[k=0,1,2]}$  of Eq. 81 considered in the present section already contain a lot of interesting diffusion processes, and it is thus useful in the following section to recapitulate the models with one or two noises per coordinate that are the simplest from the Carleman point of view.

## V. LIST OF THE SIMPLEST MODELS INVOLVING ONE OR TWO NOISES PER COORDINATE

In this section, we focus on the models discussed in the previous section that involve either a single noise  $dB_j(t)$  per coordinate  $x_j(t)$ , that can be either additive or multiplicative or square-root as described in Eq. 97, or with two different types of noises per coordinate  $x_j(t)$  as described in Eqs 98 and 99. For each of these five types of models, we list the corresponding Ito forces that lead to Carleman matrices  $\mathbf{M}$  that are either block-diagonal or block-triangular in order to have the simplifications described in subsection III E.

**A. Models with only one additive-noise  $dB_j^{additive}(t)$  for each  $x_j$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

Since the additive noises  $dB_j^{additive}(t)$  produce the diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[0]}$  that appears in the Carleman block  $\mathbf{M}^{[-2]}$ , the Carleman matrix will be block-lower-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[0]}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  that appear in the Carleman blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  respectively.

The SDE of Eq. 96

$$dx_j(t) = \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}} dB_j^{additive}(t) \quad (100)$$

then correspond to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes in dimension  $d$  that are well-known for their explicit Gaussian finite-time propagators and steady states described in textbooks [20–22], and that have thus been reconsidered in various contexts over the years to obtain explicit solutions for many specific problems. In particular, they are very useful to address the new issues raised by the progresses in the field of nonequilibrium processes in arbitrary dimension  $d$  [23–27], and the special case  $d = 2$  has attracted a lot of interest recently [28–38]. As a consequence, these Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes will not be rediscussed in the present paper.

**B. Models with only one square-root-noise  $dB_j^{square-root}(t)$  for each  $x_j > 0$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

Since the square-root-noises  $dB_j^{square-root}(t)$  produce the diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[1]}$  that appears in the Carleman block  $\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}$ , the Carleman matrix will be block-lower-bidiagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[0]}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  that appear in the Carleman blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  respectively.

The SDE of Eq. 96 then read

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[1]} x_j(t)} dB_j^{square-root}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( f_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[1]} x_j(t)} dB_j^{square-root}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

In order to remain in the domain  $x_j \in ]0, +\infty[$  of validity of the square-root-noises, the Ito increment  $dx_j(t)$  should remain positive when the coordinate  $x_j(t)$  vanishes  $x_j(t) = 0$

$$0 \leq dx_j(t) \Big|_{x_j(t)=0} = \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i \neq j}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt \quad (102)$$

for any positive values of the  $(d-1)$  other coordinates  $x_{i \neq j}(t) \in ]0, +\infty[$ , so that one obtains that the  $d$  coefficients  $F_j^{[0]}$  and the  $(d^2 - d)$  off-diagonal coefficients  $F_{ji}^{[1]}$  should be positive

$$\begin{aligned} F_j^{[0]} &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, d \\ F_{ji}^{[1]} &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, d \text{ and } i \neq j \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

while the diagonal coefficients  $F_{jj}^{[1]}$  have no constraints.

Since  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]} = 0$ , the discussion of subsection III F can be applied to these models: the eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  coincide with the eigenvalues of the diagonal-block  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  that only involves the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  and that are directly given by Eq. 77

$$E_{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d} = \sum_{\nu=1}^d \kappa_\nu \lambda_\nu \quad (104)$$

as linear combinations of the  $d$  eigenvalues  $\lambda_\nu$  of the  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  of Eq. 70 with the integers coefficients  $\kappa_\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The diffusion processes of Eq. 101 will be discussed for the dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  in subsections VID 3 and IX B respectively.

**C. Models with only one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$  for each  $x_j$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

Since the multiplicative noises  $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$  produce the diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]}$  that appears in the Carleman block  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , one can have a block-diagonal Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$ , so that the SDE of Eq. 96 reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

The special cases of the dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  will be considered in Eqs 131 and 222 respectively, while the generalisation to  $d > 2$  is discussed in Appendix D with the example  $d = 3$ . The mean-field case of large dimension  $d \rightarrow +\infty$  has attracted a lot of interest over the years with many applications in various fields (see the recent work [16] and references therein).

**D. Models with only one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$  for each  $x_j$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

In the presence of only one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$  for each  $x_j$ , the Carleman matrix will be block-lower-bidiagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[0]}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$ , so that the SDE of Eq. 96 reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( f_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

The special cases of the dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  will be discussed in subsections VID 4 and IX C respectively, while related models formulated in discrete time are discussed in detail in the recent works [39, 40] both for  $d = 2$  and for large dimension  $d \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**E. Models with only one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$  for each  $x_j$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$**

In the presence of only one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$  for each  $x_j$ , the Carleman matrix will be block-upper-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{[2]}$ , so that the SDE of Eq. 96 reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{j;i,i}^{[2]} x_i^2(t) + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d F_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1}(t) x_{i_2}(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{j;i,i}^{[2]} x_i^2(t) + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d f_{j;i_1,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_1}(t) x_{i_2}(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

In this most general form, there are  $d^2$  different matrix elements for  $F^{[1]}$  or  $f^{[1]}$ , while there are  $d \times \left( d + \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \right) = \frac{d^2(d+1)}{2}$  different matrix elements for  $F^{[2]} = f^{[2]}$ , but in practice one is often interested in models with less coefficients as discussed in the examples of the two next subsections.

*1. Example of Lotka-Volterra models for positive variables  $x_j > 0$  with multiplicative noises having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$*

In Lotka-Volterra models for positive variables, the matrices  $F^{[1]}$  or  $f^{[1]}$  are diagonal with only  $d$  diagonal matrix elements  $F_{jj}^{[1]}$ , and the matrix elements of  $F^{[2]} = f^{[2]}$  are non-vanishing only for  $j = i_1$  or  $j = i_2$  so that there are

only  $d^2$  distinct matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
dx_j(t) &= x_j(t) \left[ \left( F_{jj}^{[1]} + F_{j;j,j}^{[2]} x_j(t) + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{j-1} F_{j;i_1,j}^{[2]} x_{i_1}(t) + 2 \sum_{i_2=j+1}^d F_{j;j,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_2}(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \right] \quad [\text{Ito}] \\
dx_j(t) &= x_j(t) \left[ \left( f_{jj}^{[1]} + f_{j;j,j}^{[2]} x_j(t) + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{j-1} f_{j;i_1,j}^{[2]} x_{i_1}(t) + 2 \sum_{i_2=j+1}^d f_{j;j,i_2}^{[2]} x_{i_2}(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \right] \quad [\text{Stratonovich}]
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

This leads to the following simplifications for the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  :

- The matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 88 is diagonal

$$M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) = M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | n_1, \dots, n_d) \prod_{l=1}^d \delta_{q_l, n_l} \tag{109}$$

and the diagonal elements directly give the eigenvalues of the Carleman matrix

$$E_{n_1, \dots, n_d} = M^{[0]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | n_1, \dots, n_d) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left[ F_{jj}^{[1]} n_j + D_j^{[2]} n_j (n_j - 1) \right] \tag{110}$$

- The matrix elements of Eq. 66 for  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  simplify into

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{[1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | j, j \rangle n_j \delta_{q_j, n_j+1} \prod_{l \neq j} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \left[ \langle i_1 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_{i_1} \delta_{q_{i_2}, n_{i_2}+1} \prod_{l \neq i_2} \delta_{q_l, n_l} + \langle i_2 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_{i_2} \delta_{q_{i_1}, n_{i_1}+1} \prod_{l \neq i_1} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \langle i | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle n_i \delta_{q_i, n_i+1} \prod_{l \neq i} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i=2}^d \sum_{i_1=1}^{i-1} \langle i_1 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i \rangle n_{i_1} \delta_{q_i, n_i+1} \prod_{l \neq i} \delta_{q_l, n_l} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i+1}^d \langle i_2 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i_2 \rangle n_{i_2} \delta_{q_i, n_i+1} \prod_{l \neq i} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \left[ \delta_{q_i, n_i+1} \prod_{l \neq i} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \right] \left( \langle i | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i \rangle n_i + 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{i-1} \langle i_1 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i \rangle n_{i_1} + 2 \sum_{i_2=i+1}^d \langle i_2 | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i, i_2 \rangle n_{i_2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

i.e. the only non-vanishing matrix elements are when only one integer  $q_i = n_i + 1$  is higher by one unit while all the other coincide  $q_l = n_l$  for  $l \neq i$ .

The Lotka-Volterra processes of Eq. 108 will be discussed in dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  in subsections VIE and VII E respectively.

## 2. Example of Lorenz-type models in $d = 3$ with multiplicative noises having $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$

In another type of models, the matrix elements of  $F^{[2]} = f^{[2]}$  are non-vanishing only if the three indices  $(j, i_1, i_2)$  are all distinct, so the smallest dimension where this is possible is  $d = 3$  with only three distinct matrix elements for  $F^{[2]} = f^{[2]}$  that appear in the Ito-SDE system

$$\begin{aligned}
dx_1(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 F_{1i}^{[1]} x_i(t) + 2F_{1;2,3}^{[2]} x_2(t)x_3(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \\
dx_2(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 F_{2i}^{[1]} x_i(t) + 2F_{2;1,3}^{[2]} x_1(t)x_3(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \\
dx_3(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 F_{3i}^{[1]} x_i(t) + 2F_{3;1,2}^{[2]} x_1(t)x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_3^{[2]}} x_3(t) dB_3^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

that includes the Lorenz model in the presence of multiplicative noises.

In these models where the three indices  $(j, i_1, i_2)$  are all distinct, the matrix elements of Eq. 66 for  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  reduce to the last contribution

$$M^{[1]}(n_1, \dots, n_d | q_1, \dots, q_d) = 2 \sum_{i_1=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^d \sum_{j \neq i_1, i_2} \langle j | \mathbf{F}^{[2]} | i_1, i_2 \rangle n_j \delta_{q_{i_1}, n_{i_1}+1} \delta_{q_{i_2}, n_{i_2}+1} \delta_{q_j, n_j-1} \prod_{l \neq j, i_1, i_2} \delta_{q_l, n_l} \quad (113)$$

i.e. in dimension  $d = 3$  for the example of Eq. 112

$$\begin{aligned} M^{[1]}(n_1, n_2, n_3 | q_1, q_2, q_3) &= 2n_3 F_{3;12}^{[2]} \delta_{q_1, n_1+1} \delta_{q_2, n_2+1} \delta_{q_3, n_3-1} \\ &+ 2n_2 F_{2;13}^{[2]} \delta_{q_1, n_1+1} \delta_{q_3, n_3+1} \delta_{q_2, n_2-1} + 2n_1 F_{1;23}^{[2]} \delta_{q_2, n_2+1} \delta_{q_3, n_3+1} \delta_{q_1, n_1-1} \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

**F. Models with one additive-noise  $dB_j^{additive}(t)$  and one multiplicative-noise  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  for each  $x_j$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

Since the additive noises  $dB_j^{additive}(t)$  and the multiplicative-noises  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  produce the diffusion matrices  $D^{[0]}$  and  $D^{[2]}$  that enter the Carleman blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[-2]}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , the Carleman matrix will be block-lower-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrices  $F^{[0]}$  and  $F^{[1]}$ .

The corresponding SDE of Eq. 96 read using Eq. 98

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}} dB_j^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( f_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}} dB_j^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

The Carleman matrix will only involve the two blocks  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the constant forces  $F_j^{[0]} = 0 = f_j^{[0]}$  vanish corresponding to

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}} dB_j^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[0]}} dB_j^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

The special cases of the dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  will be considered in subsections VID 6 and VID 6 respectively.

**G. Models with one square-root noise  $dB_j^{square-root}(t)$  and one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  for each coordinate  $x_j > 0$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

Since the square-root-noises  $dB_j^{square-root}(t)$  and the multiplicative-noises  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  produce the diffusion matrices  $D^{[1]}$  and  $D^{[2]}$  that appear in the Carleman blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , the Carleman matrix will be block-lower-bidiagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  when the Ito forces involve only the matrices  $F^{[0]}$  and  $F^{[1]}$ .

The corresponding SDE of Eq. 96 read using Eq. 99

$$\begin{aligned} dx_j(t) &= \left( F_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d F_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[1]}} x_j dB_j^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx_j(t) &= \left( f_j^{[0]} + \sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[1]}} x_j dB_j^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

In order to remain in the domain  $x_j \in ]0, +\infty[$  of validity of the square-root-noises, one has the same conditions as in Eq. 103. The special cases of the dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  will be considered in subsections VID 5 and IX D respectively.

## H. Discussion

In the remaining sections, we focus on the dimensions  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  in order to describe the results of the Carleman approach for the above list of models.

### VI. APPLICATION OF THE CARLEMAN APPROACH TO DIFFUSION PROCESSES IN $d = 1$

In this section, the Carleman approach is applied to diffusion processes in  $d = 1$  in order to generalize the pionnering work [13] concerning some diffusion processes with multiplicative noise.

#### A. Notations for diffusions in dimension $d = 1$ involving the Ito force $F(x)$ and the diffusion coefficient $D(x)$

In dimension  $d = 1$ , the differential generator of Eq. 10 reduces to

$$\mathcal{L} = F(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \quad (118)$$

where the Taylor expansions of Eqs 24 and 26 for the Ito force  $F(x)$  and for the diffusion coefficient  $D(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= F^{[0]} + F^{[1]}x + F^{[2]}x^2 \\ D(x) &= D^{[0]} + D^{[1]}x + D^{[2]}x^2 \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

only involve the numbers  $(F^{[0]}, F^{[1]}, F^{[2]}, D^{[0]}, D^{[1]}, D^{[2]})$  instead of matrices, while the Stratonovich force  $f(x)$  of Eq. 94 is also a polynomial of degree two

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \left( F^{[0]} - \frac{D^{[1]}}{2} \right) + \left( F^{[1]} - D^{[2]} \right) x + F^{[2]}x^2 \\ &\equiv f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}x + f^{[2]}x^2 \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

with the coefficients of Eq. 95

$$\begin{aligned} f^{[0]} &= F^{[0]} - \frac{D^{[1]}}{2} \\ f^{[1]} &= F^{[1]} - D^{[2]} \\ f^{[2]} &= F^{[2]} \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

The SDE of Eq. 96 involving a single noise  $dB(t)$  read

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= \left( F^{[0]} + F^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2 [D^{[0]} + D^{[1]}x(t) + D^{[2]}x^2(t)]} dB(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx(t) &= \left( f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}x(t) + f^{[2]}x^2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2 [D^{[0]} + D^{[1]}x(t) + D^{[2]}x^2(t)]} dB(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

with the three special cases of additive/multiplicative/square-root noises of Eq. 97, or with the re-interpretation in terms of two noises of Eqs 98 and 99.

#### B. Carleman dynamics for the moments $m_t(n) \equiv \mathbb{E}(x^n(t))$ labelled by the integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$

In dimension  $d = 1$ , the dynamics of Eq. 35 for the moments  $m_t(n) \equiv \mathbb{E}(x^n(t))$  labelled by the integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\partial_t m_t(n) = \sum_{q=n-2}^{n+1} M(n, q) m_t(q) \quad (123)$$

involves the matrix elements of Eqs 84 86 88 66

$$\begin{aligned}
M(n, n-2) &= n(n-1)D^{[0]} \\
M(n, n-1) &= nF^{[0]} + n(n-1)D^{[1]} \\
M(n, n) &= nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} \\
M(n, n+1) &= nF^{[2]}
\end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

As a consequence, the Carleman system of Eq. 123 reads starting with  $n = 0$  associated to conservation of  $m_0(t) = \mathbb{E}(1) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t m_t(0) &= 0 \\
\partial_t m_t(1) &= M(1, 0)m_t(0) + M(1, 1)m_t(1) + M(1, 2)m_t(2) \\
\partial_t m_t(2) &= M(2, 0)m_t(0) + M(2, 1)m_t(1) + M(2, 2)m_t(2) + M(2, 3)m_t(3) \\
\partial_t m_t(3) &= M(3, 1)m_t(1) + M(3, 2)m_t(2) + M(3, 3)m_t(3) + M(3, 4)m_t(4) \\
&\dots \\
\partial_t m_t(n) &= M(n, n-2)m_t(n-2) + M(n, n-1)m_t(n-1) + M(n, n)m_t(n) + M(n, n+1)m_t(n+1) + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

In the three following subsections, we describe the three simplifications where the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is diagonal, or lower-triangular or upper-triangular, in relation with the general discussion of subsection III E.

### C. Cases where the Carleman matrix is $\mathbf{M}$ diagonal : $F(x) = xF^{[1]}$ and $D(x) = x^2D^{[2]}$

The Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  of Eq. 124 will be diagonal with its eigenvalues  $E_n$  given by the diagonal matrix elements  $M(n, n)$

$$E_n = M(n, n) = nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} \tag{126}$$

governing the exponential behaviors of the moments  $m_t(n)$  of Eq. 125

$$m_t(n) = e^{tE_n} m_0(n) = e^{tM(n, n)} m_0(n) = e^{t(nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]})} m_0(n) \tag{127}$$

when the only non-vanishing Taylor coefficients in Eq. 119 are  $F^{[1]}$  and  $D^{[2]}$ , i.e. when the diffusion coefficient  $D(x)$  involves only the quadratic term (multiplicative noise) while the Ito force  $F(x)$  and the Stratonovich force  $f(x)$  involve only the linear terms

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x) &= x^2D^{[2]} \\
F(x) &= xF^{[1]} \\
f(x) &= xf^{[1]} \quad \text{with} \quad f^{[1]} = F^{[1]} - D^{[2]}
\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

The corresponding differential generator of Eq. 118

$$\mathcal{L} = F^{[1]}x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D^{[2]}x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = f^{[1]} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + D^{[2]} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \tag{129}$$

only involves the scale-invariant operator  $x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  that acts on the power  $x^n$  as

$$\left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) x^n = nx^n \tag{130}$$

while the associated SDEs of Eq. 122 correspond to the case  $d = 1$  of the  $d$ -dimensional case of Eq. 105

$$\begin{aligned}
dx(t) &= F^{[1]}x(t)dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB(t) && [\text{Ito}] \\
dx(t) &= f^{[1]}x(t)dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB(t) && [\text{Stratonovich}]
\end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

where one recognizes the Geometric Brownian motion on  $x \in ]0, +\infty[$  as recalled in the next subsection.

1. *Reminder on the Geometric Brownian motion*

The Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 131 with multiplicative noise for  $x(t)$  translates for the logarithmic variable

$$y(t) \equiv \ln x(t) \quad (132)$$

into the following SDE

$$dy(t) = f^{[1]} + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} dB(t) \quad (133)$$

involving the constant drift  $f^{[1]}$  and the additive noise of amplitude  $\sqrt{2D^{[2]}}$  (here the Ito and the Stratonovich forms coincide).

The solution  $y(t)$  is simply a Brownian motion with drift

$$y(t) = y(0) + f^{[1]}t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} B(t) \quad (134)$$

and its exponential gives the following representation of the initial process  $x(t)$  in terms of the noise  $B(t)$

$$x(t) \equiv e^{y(t)} = x(0)e^{f^{[1]}t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} B(t)} \quad (135)$$

The probability density  $p_t(y)$  of the variable  $y$  at  $t$  involves the well-known Gaussian propagator

$$p_t(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D^{[2]}t}} e^{-\frac{(y-y_0-f^{[1]}t)^2}{4D^{[2]}t}} p_0(y_0) \quad (136)$$

and can be translated for the probability density  $\rho_t(x)$  of the variable  $x = e^y$  at  $t$

$$\rho_t(x) = p_t(y) \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{p_t(y = \ln x)}{x} = \int_0^{+\infty} dx_0 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{4\pi D^{[2]}t}} e^{-\frac{(\ln x - \ln x_0 - f^{[1]}t)^2}{4D^{[2]}t}} \rho_0(x_0) \quad (137)$$

where the propagator is log-normal.

The moments  $m_t(n)$  computed from this solution  $\rho_t(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(n) &= \int_0^{+\infty} dx \rho_t(x) x^n = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy p_t(y) e^{ny} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_0 p_0(y_0) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{ny} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D^{[2]}t}} e^{-\frac{(y-y_0-f^{[1]}t)^2}{4D^{[2]}t}} \\ &= e^{t(n^2 D^{[2]} + n f^{[1]})} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_0 p_0(y_0) e^{ny_0} = e^{tM(n,n)} m_0(n) \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

are in agreement with the results of Eqs 126 127 of the Carleman approach, as it should for consistency.

The Fourier transform of Eq. 136 can be obtained via the same computation as in Eq. 138 with the replacement  $n \rightarrow -ik$

$$\hat{p}_t(k) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy p_t(y) e^{-iky} = e^{t(-k^2 D^{[2]} - ik f^{[1]})} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_0 p_0(y_0) e^{-iky_0} = e^{t(-k^2 D^{[2]} - ik f^{[1]})} \hat{p}_0(k) \quad (139)$$

showing that each Fourier mode  $k$  evolves independently. The inverse-Fourier-transform yields the spectral decomposition of  $p_t(y)$

$$p_t(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \hat{p}_t(k) e^{iky} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{iky} e^{t(-k^2 D^{[2]} - ik f^{[1]})} \hat{p}_0(k) \quad (140)$$

that can be translated for the probability density  $\rho_t(x)$  of Eq. 137

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t(x) = \frac{p_t(y = \ln x)}{x} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} x^{ik-1} e^{t(-k^2 D^{[2]} - ik f^{[1]})} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy_0 p_0(y_0) e^{-iky_0} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} x^{ik-1} e^{t(-k^2 D^{[2]} - ik f^{[1]})} \int_0^{+\infty} dx_0 \rho_0(x_0) x_0^{-ik} \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

to obtain its own spectral decomposition in terms of the continuous spectrum labelled by  $k \in ]-\infty, +\infty[$ .



## 2. Discussion of the asymptotic behaviors for large time $t \rightarrow +\infty$

At large time  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , there is of course no steady state for the drifted Brownian motion  $y(t)$  of Eq. 134 and its exponential  $x(t) \equiv e^{y(t)}$ , but it is useful to discuss the various possibilities in terms of the sign  $f^{[1]} = (F^{[1]} - D^{[2]})$  of the drift of the process  $y(t)$  satisfying the SDE of Eq. 133:

- when the drift is positive  $f^{[1]} > 0$ , then the drifted Brownian motion  $y(t)$  flows towards  $(+\infty)$ , and the Geometric Brownian  $x(t) = e^{y(t)}$  also flows towards  $(+\infty)$ , while all the moments  $m_n(t)$  of Eq. 127 diverge exponentially with the eigenvalues  $E_n = M(n, n) = nf^{[1]} + n^2 D^{[2]}$ .
- when the drift vanishes  $f^{[1]} = 0$ , then  $y(t) = \ln x(t)$  is a Brownian motion without drift, and all the moments  $m_n(t)$  of Eq. 127 diverge exponentially with the eigenvalues  $E_n = M(n, n) = n^2 D^{[2]}$ .
- when the drift is negative  $f^{[1]} < 0$ , then the drifted Brownian motion  $y(t)$  flows towards  $(-\infty)$ , and the Geometric Brownian motion  $x(t) = e^{y(t)}$  flows towards 0. However the moments  $m_n(t)$  of Eq. 127 will converge towards zero only if the eigenvalue  $E_n = M(n, n) = n^2 D^{[2]} + nf^{[1]}$  is strictly negative, i.e. only if the order  $n$  is small enough  $n < \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}}$ , while the higher orders  $n > \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}}$  diverge towards  $(+\infty)$

$$m_t(n) = e^{tM(n,n)} m_0(n) = e^{t(nf^{[1]} + n^2 D^{[2]})} m_0(n) \begin{cases} \text{converges towards 0 if } n < \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} \equiv \mu \\ \text{diverges towards } +\infty \text{ if } n > \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} \equiv \mu \end{cases} \quad (142)$$

where it is convenient to introduce the notation

$$\mu \equiv \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} = \frac{(D^{[2]} - F^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} \quad (143)$$

for the transition between the convergent moments  $n < \mu$  and the diverging moments  $n > \mu$ , while the eigenvalues  $E_n = M(n, n)$  of the Carleman matrix become

$$E_n = M(n, n) = nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = D^{[2]}n(n-\mu) \quad (144)$$

This change of sign at the non-integer value  $n = \mu$  when  $\mu > 0$  also plays an essential role for the other cases where the Carleman matrix is triangular with the same eigenvalues  $E_n$  as will be described in the following subsections.

As a final remark, let us mention that for the drifted Brownian motion  $y(t)$ , the moments of  $x(t) = e^{y(t)}$

$$m_t(n) = \mathbb{E}(x^n(t)) = \mathbb{E}(e^{ny(t)}) \quad (145)$$

correspond to the generating function of  $y(t)$  when  $n$  is considered as a continuous parameter. In particular, the exponential behavior for large time  $t$

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{ny(t)}) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} e^{tE_n} \quad (146)$$

yields that the eigenvalue  $E_n = M(n, n)$  of the diagonal Carleman matrix  $M$  as a function of the continuous variable  $n$  can be interpreted as the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function (SCGF) of the drifted Brownian motion  $y(t)$  in the language of large deviations (see the reviews [41–43] and references therein).

### D. Cases where the Carleman matrix $M$ is lower-triangular : $F(x) = F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]}$ and $D(x) = D^{[0]} + xD^{[1]} + x^2D^{[2]}$

#### 1. Pearson diffusions with forces $F(x) = F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]}$ and diffusion coefficients $D(x) = D^{[0]} + xD^{[1]} + x^2D^{[2]}$

When the diffusion coefficient  $D(x)$  is a polynomial of degree two, while the Ito force and the Stratonovich forces are polynomials of order one

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= D^{[0]} + xD^{[1]} + x^2D^{[2]} \\ F(x) &= F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]} \\ f(x) &= \left(F^{[0]} - \frac{D^{[1]}}{2}\right) + x(F^{[1]} - D^{[2]}) \equiv f^{[0]} + xf^{[1]} \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

then the Carleman matrix  $M(n, q)$  of Eq. 124 is lower-triangular, and the only non-vanishing matrix elements are the diagonal  $q = n$  and the two lower diagonals  $q = n-1, n-2$  of Eq. 124 (while the upper diagonal  $M(n, n+1) = nF^{[2]} = 0$  vanishes).

The triangular property of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  yields that its eigenvalues  $E_n$  are directly given by the diagonal matrix elements  $M(n, n)$  as in Eq. 126 of the previous subsection concerning the diagonal case

$$E_n = M(n, n) = nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} \quad (148)$$

while the Carleman dynamics of Eq. 125 for the moments

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t m_t(0) &= 0 \\ \partial_t m_t(1) &= M(1, 0)m_t(0) + M(1, 1)m_t(1) \\ \partial_t m_t(2) &= M(2, 0)m_t(0) + M(2, 1)m_t(1) + M(2, 2)m_t(2) \\ \partial_t m_t(3) &= M(3, 1)m_t(1) + M(3, 2)m_t(2) + M(3, 3)m_t(3) \\ &\dots \\ \partial_t m_t(n) &= M(n, n-2)m_t(n-2) + M(n, n-1)m_t(n-1) + M(n, n)m_t(n) \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

can be solved iteratively in the order  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  as explained in detail in [45] with the comparison with various other methods that can be used to solve Pearson diffusions characterized by Eq. 147 (see [46–52] and references therein).

From the point of view of the spectral decomposition of Eq. 52, this means that the moment  $m_t(k)$  actually involves only the eigenvalues  $E_n = M(n, n)$  of Eq. 148 in the interval  $0 \leq n \leq k$ ,

$$m_t(k) = \sum_{n=0}^k e^{tE_n} \langle k | R_n \rangle \langle L_n | m_0 \rangle = \langle k | R_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^k e^{tE_n} \langle k | R_n \rangle \langle L_n | m_0 \rangle \quad (150)$$

So the moment  $m_t(k)$  will converge towards the steady value  $m_{st}(k) = \langle k | R_0 \rangle$  corresponding to the right eigenvector  $|R_{E=0}\rangle$  associated to the eigenvalue  $E = 0$  discussed in Eq. 58 (while the trivial left eigenvector is  $\langle L_{E=0} | = \langle q = 0 |$  as discussed in Eq 55) only if the  $(k-1)$  exponentials  $e^{tE_n}$  involve negative eigenvalues  $E_n = M(n, n) = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} = D^{[2]}n(n-\mu) < 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(k) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} m_{st}(k) = \langle k | R_0 \rangle \quad & \text{only if } E_n = M(n, n) = D^{[2]}n(n-\mu) < 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq k \\ \text{i.e. only if } f^{[1]} < 0 \text{ and } k < \mu = \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} \end{aligned} \quad (151)$$

In conclusion,, when the multiplicative noise is present  $D^{[2]} > 0$  and when  $f^{[1]} < 0$ , then the steady moments  $m_t(k)$  are finite only for  $k < \mu$  and the corresponding steady state  $\rho_{st}(x)$  will display the power-law tail

$$\rho_{st}(x) \underset{x \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{x^{1+\mu}} \quad (152)$$

as will be described in more details in various examples below, namely in the Kesten distribution of Eq. 170, in the Fisher-Snedecor distribution of Eq. 176, and in the Student distribution of 180.

After this general discussion concerning the whole Pearson family of diffusions, we will focus in the next subsections on special examples in order to make the link with the models listed in section V.

## 2. Cases where the Carleman matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is lower-bidiagonal : $D(x) = D^{[1]}x + D^{[2]}x^2$ and $F(x) = F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]}$

Let us focus on the case  $D^{[0]} = 0$  in Eq. 147

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= D^{[1]}x + x^2D^{[2]} \\ F(x) &= F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]} \\ f(x) &= \left( F^{[0]} - \frac{D^{[1]}}{2} \right) + x(F^{[1]} - D^{[2]}) \equiv f^{[0]} + xf^{[1]} \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

so that the Carleman matrix is lower-bidiagonal with the matrix elements of Eq. 124

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, n-1) &= nF^{[0]} + n(n-1)D^{[1]} = n(F^{[0]} - D^{[1]}) + n^2D^{[1]} \\ M(n, n) &= nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} = E_n \end{aligned} \quad (154)$$

For this bidiagonal matrix, the spectral decomposition of Eq. 150

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(k) &= \langle k|R_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^k e^{tE_n} \langle k|R_n \rangle \left( \sum_{q=0}^n \langle L_n|q \rangle \langle q|m_0 \rangle \right) \\ &= m_{st}(k) + \sum_{n=1}^k e^{tE_n} \langle k|R_n \rangle \sum_{q=0}^n \langle L_n|q \rangle m_0(q) \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

can be written explicitly as described in subsection B 2 of Appendix B and involves the following eigenvectors :

(i) the left eigenvectors  $\langle L_n|$  of Eq. B22 read using Eq. 154

$$\langle L_n|q \rangle = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=q}^{n-1} \frac{M_{j+1,j}}{E_n - E_j} = \prod_{j=q}^{n-1} \frac{(j+1)F^{[0]} + (j+1)jD^{[1]}}{(n-j)f^{[1]} + (n^2 - j^2)D^{[2]}} & \text{for } 0 \leq q \leq n-1 \\ 1 & \text{for } q = n \\ 0 & \text{for } q > n \end{cases} \quad (156)$$

(ii) the right eigenvectors  $|R_n\rangle$  of Eq. B21 read using Eq. 154

$$\langle k|R_n \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < n \\ 1 & \text{for } k = n \\ \prod_{j=n+1}^k \frac{M_{j,j-1}}{E_n - E_j} = \prod_{j=n+1}^k \left( -\frac{j(F^{[0]} - D^{[1]}) + j^2D^{[1]}}{(j-n)f^{[1]} + (j^2 - n^2)D^{[2]}} \right) & \text{for } k > n \end{cases} \quad (157)$$

with the special case  $n = 0$  giving the steady moments

$$m_{st}(k) = \langle k|R_0 \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k = 0 \\ \prod_{j=1}^k \left( -\frac{(F^{[0]} - D^{[1]}) + jD^{[1]}}{f^{[1]} + jD^{[2]}} \right) & \text{for } k > 0 \end{cases} \quad (158)$$

that will produce expressions involving the Gamma function  $\Gamma(\cdot)$ , but one should distinguish the three cases described in the three next subsections, depending on whether one of the two coefficients ( $D^{[1]}, D^{[2]}$ ) vanishes or not.

### 3. Case $F(x) = F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]}$ with only the square-root noise $D(x) = D^{[1]}x$

When  $D^{[1]} > 0$  and  $D^{[2]} = 0$ , the model corresponds to the case  $d = 1$  in Eq. 101 where only the square-root noise is present

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= \left( F^{[0]} + F^{[1]}x(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[1]}x(t)} dB^{\text{square-root}}(t) & [\text{Ito}] \\ dx(t) &= \left( f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}x(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[1]}x(t)} dB^{\text{square-root}}(t) & [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

with the condition  $F^{[0]} > 0$  of Eq. 103.

The linear eigenvalues of Eq. 154

$$E_n = M(n, n) = nF^{[1]} = nf^{[1]} \quad (160)$$

yield that one should distinguish two cases :

(i) When  $F^{[1]} = f^{[1]} > 0$ , then the leading behavior of the moments  $m_t(k)$  of Eq. 155 for  $k > 0$  at large time  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  is the exponential growth

$$m_t(k) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} e^{tkf^{[1]}} \quad (161)$$

and the process  $x(t)$  of Eq. 159 flows towards  $(+\infty)$ .

(ii) When  $F^{[1]} = f^{[1]} < 0$ , then the the moments  $m_t(k)$  of Eq. 155 converge towards the steady moments of Eq. 158 that read for  $k > 0$

$$m_{st}(k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left( -\frac{(F^{[0]} - D^{[1]}) + jD^{[1]}}{f^{[1]}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\frac{F^{[0]}}{D^{[1]}} - 1 + j}{\left(-\frac{f^{[1]}}{D^{[1]}}\right)} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(\alpha - 1) + j}{\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha)}{\gamma^k \Gamma(\alpha)} \quad (162)$$

in terms of the two parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\equiv \frac{F^{[0]}}{D^{[1]}} > 0 \\ \gamma &\equiv \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[1]}} > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (163)$$

while the corresponding normalizable steady state is given by the Gamma-distribution [45–52]

$$\rho_{st}^{Gamma}(x) = \frac{\gamma^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\gamma x} \quad \text{for } x \in ]0, +\infty[ \quad (164)$$

All the integer moments for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, +\infty$  are finite, and the expression of Eq. 162 is actually valid even for non-integer  $k \in ]-\alpha, +\infty[$ .

The corresponding diffusion process is called either the Square-Root process [53] or the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process [54], while the spectral decomposition of the propagator involves the Laguerre orthogonal polynomials [46, 49–52].

#### 4. Case $F(x) = F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]}$ with only the multiplicative noise $D(x) = D^{[2]}x^2$

When  $D^{[1]} = 0$  and  $D^{[2]} > 0$ , the model corresponds to the case  $d = 1$  in Eq. 101 where only the multiplicative noise is present

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= \left( F^{[0]} + F^{[1]}x(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} x(t) dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx(t) &= \left( f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}x(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} x(t) dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (165)$$

The quadratic eigenvalues of Eq. 154

$$E_n = M(n, n) = nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} \equiv D^{[2]}n(n-\mu) \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \equiv \frac{(-f^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} = \frac{(D^{[2]} - F^{[1]})}{D^{[2]}} \quad (166)$$

yields the following criterion for the convergence or divergence at large time  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  of the moments  $m_t(k)$  of Eq. 155 for  $k > 0$

$$m_t(k) \begin{cases} \text{converges towards some finite steady value } m_{st}(k) \text{ if } k < \mu \\ \text{diverges as } e^{tD^{[2]}k(k-\mu)} \text{ towards } +\infty \text{ if } k > \mu \end{cases} \quad (167)$$

with the following discussion :

- (i) If  $f^{[1]} > 0$  corresponding to  $\mu < 0$ , then the process  $x(t)$  of Eq. 165 flows towards  $(+\infty)$ .
- (ii) If  $f^{[1]} < 0$  corresponding to  $\mu > 0$ , then the moments of order  $0 < k < \mu$  converge towards the steady values given by Eq. 158

$$m_{st}(k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left( -\frac{F^{[0]}}{f^{[1]} + jD^{[2]}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{\lambda}{\mu - j} \right) = \lambda^k \frac{\Gamma(\mu - k)}{\Gamma(\mu)} \quad (168)$$

in terms of the notation

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{F^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}} = \frac{f^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}} > 0 \quad (169)$$

while the corresponding normalizable steady state is given by the Inverse-Gamma-distribution [45–52]

$$\rho_{st}^{Kesten}(x) = \frac{\lambda^\mu}{\Gamma(\mu)x^{1+\mu}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{x}} \quad \text{for } x \in ]0, +\infty[ \quad (170)$$

displaying the power-law decay of Eq. 152 as  $\frac{1}{x^{1+\mu}}$  at  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The expression of Eq. 162 is actually valid for  $k \in ]-\infty, \mu[$ .

The Statonovich SDE of Eq. 165 can be considered as an inhomogeneous linear differential equation that can be integrated via the method of variation of constant

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= F^{[0]} e^{f^{[1]}t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}B(t)} \int_0^t ds e^{-f^{[1]}s - \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}B(s)} \\ &= F^{[0]} \int_0^t ds e^{f^{[1]}(t-s) + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}(B(t)-B(s))} \end{aligned} \quad (171)$$

to obtain that the process  $x(t)$  is an exponential functional of the Brownian motion  $B(t)$  that has been much studied [55–57]. In particular, it corresponds to the continuous limit of the Kesten random variables that appears in many disordered systems [58–69].

As a final remark, let us mention that the logarithmic variable  $y(t) \equiv \ln x(t)$  satisfies the following SDE with additive noise of amplitude  $\sqrt{2D^{[2]}}$  (here the Ito and the Stratonovich forms coincide)

$$dy(t) = \left( F^{[0]} e^{-y(t)} + f^{[1]} \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} dB(t) \quad (172)$$

instead of the drifted-Brownian SDE of Eq. 133 when  $F^{[0]} = 0$ .

### 5. Case $F(x) = F^{[0]} + xF^{[1]}$ with both square-root noise $D^{[1]} > 0$ and multiplicative noise $D^{[2]} > 0$

When  $D^{[1]} > 0$  and  $D^{[2]} > 0$ , the model corresponds to the case  $d = 1$  in Eq. 117 where both the square-root noise and the multiplicative noise are present

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= \left( F^{[0]} + F^{[1]}x(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[1]}}x dB^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t) dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx(t) &= \left( f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}x(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[1]}}x dB^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t) dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

with the condition  $F^{[0]} > 0$  of Eq. 103.

The quadratic eigenvalues of Eq. 166 leads to the same criterion of Eq. 167 with the similar discussion :

- (i) If  $f^{[1]} > 0$  corresponding to  $\mu < 0$ , then the process  $x(t)$  of Eq. 173 flows towards  $(+\infty)$ .
- (ii) If  $f^{[1]} < 0$  corresponding to  $\mu = -\frac{f^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} > 0$ , then the moments of order  $0 < k < \mu$  converge towards the steady values given by Eq. 158

$$m_{st}(k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left( -\frac{(F^{[0]} - D^{[1]}) + jD^{[1]}}{f^{[1]} + jD^{[2]}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{D^{[1]} \left( \frac{F^{[0]}}{D^{[1]}} - 1 + j \right)}{D^{[2]} \left( -\frac{f^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} - j \right)} = \prod_{n=1}^k \frac{c(\alpha + n)}{(\mu - n)} = c^k \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha)\Gamma(\mu - k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\mu)} \quad (174)$$

in terms of the notation  $\alpha \equiv \frac{F^{[0]}}{D^{[1]}} > 0$  of Eq. 163 and

$$c \equiv \frac{D^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} \quad (175)$$

while the corresponding normalizable steady state is given by the Fisher-Snedecor-distribution [45–52]

$$\rho_{st}^{Fisher-Snedecor}(x) = c^\mu \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \mu)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{(c+x)^{\alpha+\mu}} \quad \text{for } x \in ]0, +\infty[ \quad (176)$$

displaying the power-law decay of Eq. 152 as  $\frac{1}{x^{1+\mu}}$  at  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The corresponding process is called the Fisher-Snedecor diffusion (see [45, 46, 49–52, 70, 71] and references therein).

6. Case  $F(x) = xF^{[1]}$  with both additive noise  $D^{[0]} > 0$  and multiplicative noise  $D^{[2]} > 0$

The case  $d = 1$  in Eq. 116 where both the additive noise and the multiplicative noise are present while the force reduces to  $F(x) = xF^{[1]}$  (i.e. the constant term vanishes  $F^{[0]} = 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= F^{[1]}x(t)dt + \sqrt{2D^{[0]}}dB^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx(t) &= f^{[1]}x(t)dt + \sqrt{2D^{[0]}}dB^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

corresponds to the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  where the only non-vanishing matrix elements are on the diagonal  $q = n$  and on the second-lower-diagonal  $q = n - 2$  in Eq. 124

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, n-2) &= n(n-1)D^{[0]} \\ M(n, n) &= nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} = E_n \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

so that one can adapt the computations of Appendix B to obtain its explicit spectral decomposition. In particular, the even steady moments  $m_{st}(k = 2l)$  can be computed from the recurrence obtained from Eq. 123

$$\begin{aligned} m_{st}(2l) &= -\frac{M(2l, 2l-2)}{M(2l, 2l)}m_{st}(2l-2) = \prod_{j=1}^l \left( -\frac{M(2j, 2j-2)}{M(2j, 2j)} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^l \left( -\frac{(2j-1)D^{[0]}}{f^{[1]} + 2jD^{[2]}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{(j - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{D^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}}}{\frac{\mu}{2} - j} = \left( \frac{D^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}} \right)^l \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + l)\Gamma(\frac{\mu}{2} - l)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\mu}{2})} \quad \text{for } 2l < \mu \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

while the corresponding normalizable steady state is given by the Student-distribution [45–52, 72, 73]

$$\rho_{st}^{Student}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{D^{[2]}}{D^{[0]}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\mu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\mu}{2}) \left(1 + \frac{D^{[2]}}{D^{[0]}}x^2\right)^{\frac{1+\mu}{2}}} \quad \text{for } x \in ]-\infty, +\infty[ \quad (180)$$

displaying the power-law decay of Eq. 152 as  $\frac{1}{x^{1+\mu}}$  at  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Note that the Cauchy distribution appears for the special case  $\mu = 1$

$$\text{Case } \mu = 1 : \rho_{st}^{Cauchy}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{D^{[2]}}{D^{[0]}}} \frac{1}{\pi \left(1 + \frac{D^{[2]}}{D^{[0]}}x^2\right)} \quad \text{for } x \in ]-\infty, +\infty[ \quad (181)$$

### E. Cases where the Carleman matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is upper-triangular : $F^{[0]} = 0 = D^{[0]} = D^{[1]}$

When the three Taylor coefficients  $F^{[0]} = 0 = D^{[0]} = D^{[1]}$  vanish in Eq. 119, the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is upper-bidiagonal where the non-vanishing matrix elements of Eq. 124 are

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, n) &= nF^{[1]} + n(n-1)D^{[2]} = nf^{[1]} + n^2D^{[2]} = E_n \\ M(n, n+1) &= nF^{[2]} \end{aligned} \quad (182)$$

So one can write its explicit spectral decomposition of Eq. B2 derived in Appendix B, as already done for the deterministic version without noise  $D^{[2]} = 0$  in Appendix A.

The SDE correspond to the case  $d = 1$  in Eqs 107

$$\begin{aligned} dx(t) &= \left( F^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Ito}] \\ dx(t) &= \left( f^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t) \quad [\text{Stratonovich}] \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

The change of variables

$$\check{x}(t) = \frac{1}{x(t)} \quad (184)$$

that is already useful in the deterministic case (see Eq. A3 in Appendix A) leads here to the Stratonovich SDE

$$\begin{aligned}
d\check{x}(t) &= - \frac{(f^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t)) dt + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}x(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t)}{x^2(t)} \\
&= - \left( f^{[1]}\check{x}(t) + F^{[2]} \right) dt - \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}\check{x}(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t) \\
&\equiv \left( \check{f}^{[1]}\check{x}(t) + \check{F}^{[0]} \right) dt - \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}\check{x}(t)dB^{multiplicative}(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{185}$$

where the noise remains multiplicative with respect to the new variable  $\check{x}(t)$  while the Stratonovich force  $\mathcal{F}(\check{x}) = (\check{F}^{[0]}\check{x} + \check{F}^{[0]})$  involves the two Taylor coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{F}^{[0]} &= -F^{[2]} \\
\check{f}^{[1]} &= -f^{[1]}
\end{aligned} \tag{186}$$

So the process  $\check{x}(t)$  corresponds to the process discussed in the previous subsection VID 4 with the parameters

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\mu} &= -\frac{\check{f}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} = \frac{f^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} \\
\check{\lambda} &= \frac{\check{F}^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}} = -\frac{F^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}}
\end{aligned} \tag{187}$$

and one can directly translate the results as follows for the present process  $x(t) = \frac{1}{\check{x}(t)}$  in the region  $f^{[1]} > 0$  and  $F^{[2]} < 0$  corresponding to  $\check{\mu} > 0$  and to  $\check{\lambda} > 0$ :

- The steady state distribution  $\check{\rho}_{st}(\check{x})$  given by the Inverse-Gamma-distribution of Eq. 170 of parameters  $\check{\mu}$  and  $\check{\lambda}$  translates into the Gamma distribution for the variable  $x = \frac{1}{\check{x}}$

$$\rho_{st}(x) = \frac{\check{\rho}_{st}(\check{x} = \frac{1}{x})}{x^2} = \frac{\check{\lambda}^{\check{\mu}}}{\Gamma(\check{\mu})} x^{\check{\mu}-1} e^{-\check{\lambda}x} \tag{188}$$

- The functional representation of Eq. 171 for the process  $\check{x}(t)$

$$\check{x}(t) = \check{F}^{[0]} \int_0^t ds e^{\check{f}^{[1]}(t-s) - \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}(B(t)-B(s))} \tag{189}$$

translates for the process  $x(t) = \frac{1}{\check{x}(t)}$  into

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\check{x}(t)} = \frac{1}{[-F^{[2]}] \int_0^t ds e^{-f^{[1]}(t-s) - \sqrt{2D^{[2]}}(B(t)-B(s))}} \tag{190}$$

As a final remark, let us mention that the present analysis concerning the quadratic non-linearity of coefficient  $F^{[2]}$  can be done for any other degree  $p = 3, 4, \dots$  of non-linearity of coefficient  $F^{[p]}$  in the presence of multiplicative noise as described in [13]. The corresponding Carleman matrix elements  $M(n, q)$  are then non-vanishing only on the diagonal  $q = n$  and on the upper-diagonal  $q = n + p - 1$ .

## F. Discussion

In conclusion, the Carleman perspective is very useful in dimension  $d = 1$  to recover the well-known simplest soluble diffusions, namely :

- (i) the case where the Carleman matrix is diagonal corresponds to the Geometric Brownian motion;
- (ii) the cases where the Carleman matrix is lower-triangular correspond to the soluble family of Pearson diffusions;
- (iii) the cases where the Carleman matrix is upper-triangular include the cases with only multiplicative noise  $D(x) = D^{[2]}x^2$ , while the the force may contain any degree  $p = 2, 3, 4, \dots$  of non-linearity of coefficient  $F^{[p]}$  besides the linear contribution parametrized by  $F^{[1]}$ .

In the remaining sections, we focus on the dimension  $d = 2$  to analyze the analogous simplest models from the Carleman perspective.

## VII. APPLICATION OF THE CARLEMAN APPROACH TO DIFFUSION PROCESSES IN $d = 2$

In this section, the Carleman approach is applied to the diffusion processes of section IV in the dimension  $d = 2$ . To be more concrete, let us first recapitulate explicitly the various notations in the following subsection.

### A. Notations for the diffusion processes in dimension $d = 2$

In dimension  $d = 2$ , the diffusion matrix of Eq. 81 reads

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11}(x_1, x_2) &= D_1^{[0]} + D_1^{[1]}x_1 + D_1^{[2]}x_1^2 \\ D_{22}(x_1, x_2) &= D_2^{[0]} + D_2^{[1]}x_2 + D_2^{[2]}x_2^2 \\ D_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (191)$$

while the Ito forces of Eq. 24 read

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x_1, x_2) &= F_1^{[0]} + F_{11}^{[1]}x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]}x_2 + F_{1,11}^{[2]}x_1^2 + F_{1,22}^{[2]}x_2^2 + 2F_{1,12}^{[2]}x_1x_2 \\ F_2(x_1, x_2) &= F_2^{[0]} + F_{21}^{[1]}x_1 + F_{22}^{[1]}x_2 + F_{2,11}^{[2]}x_1^2 + F_{2,22}^{[2]}x_2^2 + 2F_{2,12}^{[2]}x_1x_2 \end{aligned} \quad (192)$$

The Stratonovich forces of Eq. 94 are also polynomials of degree two

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2) &= \left( F_1^{[0]} - \frac{D_1^{[1]}}{2} \right) + \left( F_{11}^{[1]} - D_1^{[2]} \right) x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]}x_2 + F_{1,11}^{[2]}x_1^2 + F_{1,22}^{[2]}x_2^2 + 2F_{1,12}^{[2]}x_1x_2 \\ &\equiv f_1^{[0]} + f_{11}^{[1]}x_1 + f_{12}^{[1]}x_2 + f_{1,11}^{[2]}x_1^2 + f_{1,22}^{[2]}x_2^2 + 2f_{1,12}^{[2]}x_1x_2 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) &= \left( F_2^{[0]} - \frac{D_2^{[1]}}{2} \right) + F_{21}^{[1]}x_1 + \left( F_{22}^{[1]} - D_2^{[2]} \right) x_2 + F_{2,11}^{[2]}x_1^2 + F_{2,22}^{[2]}x_2^2 + 2F_{2,12}^{[2]}x_1x_2 \\ &\equiv f_2^{[0]} + f_{21}^{[1]}x_1 + f_{22}^{[1]}x_2 + f_{2,11}^{[2]}x_1^2 + f_{2,22}^{[2]}x_2^2 + 2f_{2,12}^{[2]}x_1x_2 \end{aligned} \quad (193)$$

and the only different coefficients of Eq. 95 are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^{[0]} &= F_1^{[0]} - \frac{D_1^{[1]}}{2} \\ f_2^{[0]} &= F_2^{[0]} - \frac{D_2^{[1]}}{2} \\ f_{11}^{[1]} &= F_{11}^{[1]} - D_1^{[2]} \\ f_{22}^{[1]} &= F_{22}^{[1]} - D_2^{[2]} \end{aligned} \quad (194)$$

The Stratonovich system of Eq. 96 involving a single noise  $dB_j(t)$  for each components  $x_j(t)$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( f_1^{[0]} + f_{11}^{[1]}x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]}x_2(t) + f_{1,11}^{[2]}x_1^2(t) + f_{1,22}^{[2]}x_2^2(t) + 2f_{1,12}^{[2]}x_1(t)x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2 \left[ D_1^{[0]} + D_1^{[1]}x_1(t) + D_1^{[2]}x_1^2(t) \right]} dB_1(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \left( f_2^{[0]} + f_{21}^{[1]}x_1(t) + f_{22}^{[1]}x_2(t) + f_{2,11}^{[2]}x_1^2(t) + f_{2,22}^{[2]}x_2^2(t) + 2f_{2,12}^{[2]}x_1(t)x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2 \left[ D_2^{[0]} + D_2^{[1]}x_2(t) + D_2^{[2]}x_2^2(t) \right]} dB_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad (195)$$

with the three special cases of additive/multiplicative/square-root noises of Eq. 97, or the re-interpretation in terms of two noises of Eqs 98 and 99.



The differential generator of Eq. 82 reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= F_1(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + F_2(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + D_{11}(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + D_{22}(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \\
&= \left[ F_1^{[0]} + F_{11}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2 + F_{1;11}^{[2]} x_1^2 + F_{1;22}^{[2]} x_2^2 + 2F_{1;12}^{[2]} x_1 x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\
&\quad + \left[ F_2^{[0]} + F_{21}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2 + F_{2;11}^{[2]} x_1^2 + F_{2;22}^{[2]} x_2^2 + 2F_{2;12}^{[2]} x_1 x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
&\quad + \left[ D_1^{[0]} + D_1^{[1]} x_1 + D_1^{[2]} x_1^2 \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \left[ D_2^{[0]} + D_2^{[1]} x_2 + D_2^{[2]} x_2^2 \right] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \\
&= \mathcal{L}^{[-2]} + \mathcal{L}^{[-1]} + \mathcal{L}^{[0]} + \mathcal{L}^{[1]}
\end{aligned} \tag{196}$$

while the natural decomposition into the four contributions  $\mathcal{L}^{[k=-2,-1,0,1]}$  of Eq. 29 involves the operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{[-2]} &\equiv D_1^{[0]} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + D_2^{[0]} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \\
\mathcal{L}^{[-1]} &\equiv F_1^{[0]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + F_2^{[0]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + D_1^{[1]} x_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + D_2^{[1]} x_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \\
\mathcal{L}^{[0]} &\equiv \left[ F_{11}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \left[ F_{21}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + D_1^{[2]} x_1^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + D_2^{[2]} x_2^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \\
\mathcal{L}^{[1]} &\equiv \left[ F_{1;11}^{[2]} x_1^2 + F_{1;22}^{[2]} x_2^2 + 2F_{1;12}^{[2]} x_1 x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \left[ F_{2;11}^{[2]} x_1^2 + F_{2;22}^{[2]} x_2^2 + 2F_{2;12}^{[2]} x_1 x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{197}$$

### B. Carleman dynamics for the moments $m_t(n_1, n_2) \equiv \mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t))$ labelled by the two integers $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$

In dimension  $d = 2$ , the dynamics of Eq. 35 for the moments  $m_t(n_1, n_2) \equiv \mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t))$  labelled by the two integers  $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$\partial_t m_t(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{q_1=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{q_2=0}^{+\infty} M(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2) m_t(q_1, q_2) \tag{198}$$

involves the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  that can be decomposed into blocks  $\mathbf{M}^{[k \equiv q-n]}$  according to the possible difference  $k = -2, -1, 0, 1$  between the global degrees  $q = q_1 + q_2$  and  $n = n_1 + n_2$  as discussed around Eq. 59. Their matrix elements are given by Eqs 84 86 88 respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{[-2]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2) &\equiv D_1^{[0]} n_1 (n_1 - 1) \delta_{q_1, n_1-2} \delta_{q_2, n_2} + D_2^{[0]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \delta_{q_2, n_2-2} \delta_{q_1, n_1} \\
M^{[-1]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2) &= \left[ F_1^{[0]} n_1 + D_1^{[1]} n_1 (n_1 - 1) \right] \delta_{q_1, n_1-1} \delta_{q_2, n_2} + \left[ F_1^{[0]} n_2 + D_1^{[1]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \right] \delta_{q_2, n_2-1} \delta_{q_1, n_1} \\
M^{[0]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2) &= \left[ F_{11}^{[1]} n_1 + D_1^{[2]} n_1 (n_1 - 1) + F_{22}^{[1]} n_2 + D_2^{[2]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \right] \delta_{q_1, n_1} \delta_{q_2, n_2} \\
&\quad + F_{12}^{[1]} n_1 \delta_{q_1, n_1-1} \delta_{q_2, n_2+1} + F_{21}^{[1]} n_2 \delta_{q_1, n_1+1} \delta_{q_2, n_2-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

while the matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  of Eq. 66 reads

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{[1]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2) &= \left( F_{1;11}^{[2]} n_1 + 2F_{2;12}^{[2]} n_2 \right) \delta_{q_1, n_1+1} \delta_{q_2, n_2} + \left( F_{2;22}^{[2]} n_2 + 2F_{1;12}^{[2]} n_1 \right) \delta_{q_1, n_1} \delta_{q_2, n_2+1} \\
&\quad + F_{1;22}^{[2]} n_1 \delta_{q_1, n_1-1} \delta_{q_2, n_2+2} + F_{2;11}^{[2]} n_2 \delta_{q_1, n_1+2} \delta_{q_2, n_2-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

Besides this formulation on the 2D integrer lattice  $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , it is useful to consider the reformulation into blocks associated to the total degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$  as described in the next subsection.

### C. Reshaping the Carleman matrix $M(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2)$ into blocks $\mathbf{M}_{[n,q]}^{[k \equiv q-n]}$ of sizes $(n+1) \times (q+1)$ associated to the total degrees $n = n_1 + n_2$ and $q = q_1 + q_2$

The moments  $m_t(n_1, n_2) = \mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t))$  labelled by the two integers  $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  can be gathered into kets  $|\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle$  associated to the total degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$  containing  $(n+1)$  moments labelled by  $n_2 = 0, 1, \dots, n$  while

$n_1 = n - n_2$  so that the correspondence with the previous integer-lattice formulation  $m_t(n_1, n_2)$  reads

$$\langle n_2 | \mathcal{M}_t^{[n]} \rangle = m_t(n - n_2, n_2) \quad \text{for } n_2 = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad (201)$$

or more explicitly starting with the first blocks  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$|\mathcal{M}_t^{[n=0]}\rangle = (m_t(0, 0)) \quad ; \quad |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n=1]}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} m_t(1, 0) \\ m_t(0, 1) \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n=2]}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} m_t(2, 0) \\ m_t(1, 1) \\ m_t(0, 2) \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots \quad |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} m_t(n, 0) \\ m_t(n-1, 1) \\ m_t(n-2, 2) \\ \dots \\ m_t(1, n-1) \\ m_t(0, n) \end{pmatrix} \quad (202)$$

Then the dynamics of Eq. 198 for the moments  $m_t(n_1, n_2)$  can be translated in terms of these blocks as

$$\partial_t |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle = \mathbf{M}_{[n, n-2]}^{[-2]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n-2]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[n, n-1]}^{[-1]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n-1]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[n, n+1]}^{[1]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n+1]}\rangle \quad (203)$$

where the matrices  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, q=n+k]}^{[k=-2, -1, 0, 1]}$  of size  $(n+1) \times (q+1)$  are the restrictions of the matrices  $\mathbf{M}^{[k=-2, -1, 0, 1]}$  to the degree  $n$  on the left and the degree  $q = n + k$  on the right with the matrix elements for  $n_2 = 0, \dots, n$  and  $q_2 = 0, \dots, q$

$$\langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, q]} | q_2 \rangle = M^{[k=q-n]}(n - n_2, n_2 | q - q_2, q_2) \quad (204)$$

So one can translate the 2D integer-lattice matrix elements  $M^{[k=-2, -1, 0, 1]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2)$  of Eqs 199 and 200 as follows:

- The block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n-2]}^{[-2]}$  has matrix elements on the diagonal  $q_2 = n_2$  and on the second-lower-diagonal  $q_2 = n_2 - 2$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n-2]}^{[-2]} | q_2 \rangle &= M^{[-2]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n - 2 - q_2, q_2) \\ &= D_1^{[0]}(n - n_2)(n - n_2 - 1) \delta_{q_2, n_2} + D_2^{[0]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \delta_{q_2, n_2 - 2} \end{aligned} \quad (205)$$

- The block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n-1]}^{[-1]}$  has matrix elements on the diagonal  $q_2 = n_2$  and on the first-lower-diagonal  $q_2 = n_2 - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n-1]}^{[-1]} | q_2 \rangle &= M^{[-1]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n - 1 - q_2, q_2) \\ &= \left[ F_1^{[0]}(n - n_2) + D_1^{[1]}(n - n_2)(n - n_2 - 1) \right] \delta_{q_2, n_2} \\ &\quad + \left[ F_1^{[0]} n_2 + D_2^{[1]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \right] \delta_{q_2, n_2 - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (206)$$

- The block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]}$  has matrix elements on the diagonal  $q_2 = n_2$ , on the first-upper-diagonal  $q_2 = n_2 + 1$  and on the first-lower-diagonal  $q_2 = n_2 - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | q_2 \rangle &= M^{[0]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n - q_2, q_2) \\ &= \left[ F_{11}^{[1]}(n - n_2) + D_1^{[2]}(n - n_2)(n - n_2 - 1) + F_{22}^{[1]} n_2 + D_1^{[2]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \right] \delta_{q_2, n_2} \\ &\quad + F_{12}^{[1]}(n - n_2) \delta_{q_2, n_2 + 1} + F_{21}^{[1]} n_2 \delta_{q_2, n_2 - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

- The block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n+1]}^{[1]}$  has matrix elements on the diagonal  $q_2 = n_2$ , on the first-lower-diagonal  $q_2 = n_2 - 1$  and on the two first-upper-diagonals  $q_2 = n_2 + 1$  and  $q_2 = n_2 + 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n+1]}^{[1]} | q_2 \rangle &= M^{[1]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n + 1 - q_2, q_2) \\ &= \left( F_{1;11}^{[2]}(n - n_2) + 2F_{2;12}^{[2]} n_2 \right) \delta_{q_2, n_2} + \left( F_{2;22}^{[2]} n_2 + 2F_{1;12}^{[2]}(n - n_2) \right) \delta_{q_2, n_2 + 1} \\ &\quad + F_{1;22}^{[2]}(n - n_2) \delta_{q_2, n_2 + 2} + F_{2;11}^{[2]} n_2 \delta_{q_2, n_2 - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (208)$$

## D. Discussion

This block-structure of the Carleman matrix is thus very useful to identify the simplifications that can occur depending on the Taylor coefficients of the forces and of the diffusion coefficients that are non-vanishing in any given model one is interested in :

(i) one should first determine what blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n+k]}^{[k]}$  are vanishing or non-vanishing, in particular to see if the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  happens to be block-diagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , or happens to be block-lower-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  or block-upper-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]} + \dots$

(ii) one should then analyze the internal structure of each non-vanishing block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,q=n+k]}^{[k]}$  with its matrix elements  $\langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n,q=n+k]}^{[k]} | q_2 \rangle$  written above, in order to see if the internal structure of the block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,q=n+k]}^{[k]}$  is diagonal or bidiagonal or tridiagonal or quadridiagonal.

Let us describe the example of models with block-upper-bidiagonal Carleman matrices  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  in the next subsection, while models with block-diagonal Carleman matrices  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  are analyzed in section VIII and models with block-lower-triangular Carleman matrices  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  are discussed in section IX.

## E. Example with models whose Carleman matrix is block-upper-bidiagonal $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$

Let us apply the previous discussion to models whose Carleman matrix is block-upper-bidiagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$ :

- (i) The simplifications associated to the block-upper-bidiagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  structure are that the eigenvalues of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are the same as the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  that can be found as the eigenvalues of the individual diagonal blocks  $\mathcal{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$  of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ , with their internal tridiagonal structure of Eq. 207, and that the spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]} + \mathbf{M}^{[1]}$  can be explicitly written as described in subsection C 1 of Appendix C.

The associated Ito system correspond to the case  $d = 2$  of Eq. 107

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( F_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2(t) + F_{1;11}^{[2]} x_1^2(t) + F_{1;22}^{[2]} x_2^2(t) + 2F_{1;12}^{[2]} x_1(t)x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \left( F_{21}^{[1]} x_1(t) + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2(t) + F_{2;11}^{[2]} x_1^2(t) + F_{2;22}^{[2]} x_2^2(t) + 2F_{2;12}^{[2]} x_1(t)x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (209)$$

For models that do not involve all the coefficients present in Eq. 209, there can be further simplifications in the internal structures of  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  and  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]}$ , as discussed in the examples below.

- (ii) For the Lotka-Volterra models for positive variables of Eq. 108 in dimension  $d = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= x_1(t) \left[ \left( F_{11}^{[1]} + F_{1;11}^{[2]} x_1(t) + 2F_{1;12}^{[2]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \right] \\ dx_2(t) &= x_2(t) \left[ \left( F_{22}^{[1]} + F_{2;22}^{[2]} x_2(t) + 2F_{2;12}^{[2]} x_1(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (210)$$

there are several simplifications :

(ii-a) the vanishing of the off-diagonal elements  $F_{12}^{[1]} = 0 = F_{21}^{[1]}$  of  $F^{[1]}$  yields that  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  is diagonal both the the integer-lattice formulation  $M^{[0]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2)$  of Eqs 109 and 199 or in the reshaped formulation  $\langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]} | q_2 \rangle = M^{[0]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n - q_2, q_2)$  of Eq. 207 so that the diagonal elements directly give the eigenvalues of Eq. 110 for the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n_1, n_2} &= F_{11}^{[1]} n_1 + D_1^{[2]} n_1 (n_1 - 1) + F_{22}^{[1]} n_2 + D_2^{[2]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) \\ &= F_{11}^{[1]} (n - n_2) + D_1^{[2]} (n - n_2) (n - n_2 - 1) + F_{22}^{[1]} n_2 + D_1^{[2]} n_2 (n_2 - 1) = E_{n_2}^{[n]} \end{aligned} \quad (211)$$

(ii-b) the vanishing of the matrix elements  $F_{1;22}^{[2]} = 0 = F_{2;11}^{[2]}$  of  $F^{[2]}$  yields that the matrix elements of  $M^{[1]}$  simplify into Eq. 111 for  $d = 2$  in the integer-lattice formulation

$$M^{[1]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2) = \delta_{q_1, n_1+1} \delta_{q_2, n_2} \left( n_1 F_{1;11}^{[2]} + 2n_2 F_{2;12}^{[2]} \right) + \delta_{q_1, n_1} \delta_{q_2, n_2+1} \left( n_2 F_{2;22}^{[2]} + 2n_1 F_{1;12}^{[2]} \right) \quad (212)$$

or in the following upper-bidiagonal form in the reshaped formulation of Eq. 208

$$\begin{aligned}\langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n+1]}^{[1]} | q_2 \rangle &= M^{[1]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n + 1 - q_2, q_2) \\ &= \left( (n - n_2) F_{1;11}^{[2]} + 2n_2 F_{2;12}^{[2]} \right) \delta_{q_2, n_2} + \left( n_2 F_{2;22}^{[2]} + 2(n - n_2) F_{1;12}^{[2]} \right) \delta_{q_2, n_2+1}\end{aligned}\quad (213)$$

### VIII. MODELS IN DIMENSION $d = 2$ WITH BLOCK-DIAGONAL CARLEMAN MATRICES $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

In this section, we analyze the properties of diffusion processes of section VII whose Carleman matrices are block-diagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , that correspond to the case  $d = 2$  of Eq 105.

#### A. Notations for models in dimension $d = 2$ when the Carleman matrix is block-diagonal $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

The discussion of subsection III E 1 concerning the dimension  $d$  can be applied to the present models in dimension  $d = 2$  as follows : when the differential generator of Eq. 196 reduces to the contribution  $\mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 197

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{[0]} = \left[ F_{11}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \left[ F_{21}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2 \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + D_1^{[2]} x_1^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + D_2^{[2]} x_2^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \quad (214)$$

then the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  reduces to its block-diagonal component  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  with its matrix elements  $M^{[0]}(n_1, n_2 | q_1, q_2)$  of Eq. 199 or equivalently its diagonal blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 207 with their internal tridiagonal structure

$$\begin{aligned}\langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | q_2 \rangle &= M^{[0]}(n - n_2, n_2 | n - q_2, q_2) \\ &= \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | n_2 \rangle \delta_{q_2, n_2} + F_{12}^{[1]}(n - n_2) \delta_{q_2, n_2+1} + F_{21}^{[1]} n_2 \delta_{q_2, n_2-1}\end{aligned}\quad (215)$$

with the diagonal elements for  $q_2 = n_2$

$$\langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | n_2 \rangle = F_{11}^{[1]}(n - n_2) + D_1^{[2]}(n - n_2)(n - n_2 - 1) + F_{22}^{[1]} n_2 + D_1^{[2]} n_2(n_2 - 1) \quad (216)$$

This tridiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]}$  of size  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  governs the dynamics of Eq. 203 for the ket  $|\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle$  of Eq. 202 gathering the  $(n + 1)$  moments  $m_t(n - n_2, n_2)$  of the given global degree  $n$  labeled by  $n_2 = 0, 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t m_t(n - n_2, n_2) &= \partial_t \langle n_2 | \mathcal{M}_t^{[n]} \rangle = \sum_{q_2=n_2-1}^{n_2+1} \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | q_2 \rangle \langle q_2 | \mathcal{M}_t^{[n]} \rangle \\ &= \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | n_2 \rangle m_t(n - n_2, n_2) + F_{21}^{[1]} n_2 m_t(n - n_2 + 1, n_2 - 1) + F_{12}^{[1]}(n - n_2) m_t(n - n_2 - 1, n_2 + 1)\end{aligned}\quad (217)$$

In the models governed by the generator of Eq. 214, the diffusion coefficients involve only the quadratic terms

$$\begin{aligned}D_{11}(x_1, x_2) &= D_1^{[2]} x_1^2 \\ D_{22}(x_1, x_2) &= D_2^{[2]} x_2^2\end{aligned}\quad (218)$$

while the Ito forces involve only the linear terms parametrized by the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$

$$\begin{aligned}F_1(x_1, x_2) &= F_{11}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2 \\ F_2(x_1, x_2) &= F_{21}^{[1]} x_1 + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2\end{aligned}\quad (219)$$

The Stratonovich forces of Eq. 193 also involve only the linear terms

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(x_1, x_2) &= \left( F_{11}^{[1]} - D_1^{[2]} \right) x_1 + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2 \equiv f_{11}^{[1]} x_1 + f_{12}^{[1]} x_2 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) &= F_{21}^{[1]} x_1 + \left( F_{22}^{[1]} - D_2^{[2]} \right) x_2 \equiv f_{21}^{[1]} x_1 + f_{22}^{[1]} x_2\end{aligned}\quad (220)$$

so that the generator  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 214 becomes in terms of the Stratonovich forces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{[0]} &= f_{11}^{[1]} \left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + f_{12}^{[1]} \left( x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + f_{21}^{[1]} \left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) + f_{22}^{[1]} \left( x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &+ D_1^{[2]} \left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) \left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + D_2^{[2]} \left( x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (221)$$

while the Stratonovich system of Eq. 195 involving multiplicative noises corresponds to the case  $d = 2$  of Eq. 105

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( f_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \left( f_{21}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{22}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad (222)$$

As already discussed around Eqs 146 concerning the dimension  $d = 1$ , the Carleman moments have a direct interpretation in terms of large deviations. For the present models in dimension  $d = 2$ , it is useful to introduce the finite-time Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_j(T)$  in order to characterize the exponential growths of the absolute-values of  $x_j(t)$  over the time-window  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lambda_j(T) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_j(T)}{x_j(0)} \right| = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{dx_j(t)}{x_j(t)} \quad (223)$$

Then the Carleman moments  $m_T(n_1, n_2)$  in the integer lattice representation  $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$m_T(n_1, n_2) = \mathbb{E} (x_1^{n_1}(T) x_2^{n_2}(T)) = \mathbb{E} \left( x_1^{n_1}(0) x_2^{n_2}(0) e^{T(n_1 \lambda_1(T) + n_2 \lambda_2(T))} \right) \quad (224)$$

can be reinterpreted as the joint generating function of the two finite-time Lyapunov exponents  $(\lambda_1(T), \lambda_2(T))$  when  $(n_1, n_2)$  are considered as real parameters. The leading exponential behavior for large  $T$

$$m_T(n_1, n_2) \underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} e^{T\mathcal{E}(n_1, n_2)} \quad (225)$$

is governed by their joint Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\mathcal{E}(n_1, n_2)$  in the language of large deviations (see the reviews [41–43] and references therein).

Since the physical properties of the 2D process of Eq. 222 depend on the vanishing or non-vanishing of the off-diagonal coefficients  $f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]}$  that mediate the interactions between the two coordinates  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$ , let us discuss the three possible cases in the three following subsections.

### B. When $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$ and $f_{21}^{[1]} = 0$ : $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ are two independent positive Geometric Brownian motions

When the two off-diagonal matrix coefficients  $F_{12}^{[1]} = f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $F_{21}^{[1]} = f_{21}^{[1]}$  vanish, then  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are two independent positive Geometric Brownian motions as in Eq. 135 discussed in the previous section concerning the dimension  $d = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } f_{12}^{[1]} = 0 = f_{21}^{[1]} : \quad x_1(t) &= x_1(0) e^{f_{11}^{[1]} t + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} B_1(t)} \\ x_2(t) &= x_2(0) e^{f_{22}^{[1]} t + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} B_2(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (226)$$

and their joint moments  $m_t(n_1, n_2)$  reduce to the products of their moments given by Eq. 127 concerning the one-dimensional case

$$m_t(n_1, n_2) = e^{tE(n_1, n_2)} m_0(n_1, n_2) \quad (227)$$

The eigenvalues  $E(n_1 = n - n_2, n_2)$  of the Carleman block  $\mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 216, that is diagonal when the two off-diagonal matrix coefficients  $F_{12}^{[1]} = f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $F_{21}^{[1]} = f_{21}^{[1]}$  vanish, are given by the diagonal matrix elements of Eq. 215

$$E(n - n_2, n_2) = \langle n_2 | \mathbf{M}_{[n, n]}^{[0]} | n_2 \rangle = F_{11}^{[1]}(n - n_2) + D_1^{[2]}(n - n_2)(n - n_2 - 1) + F_{22}^{[1]} n_2 + D_1^{[2]} n_2(n_2 - 1) \quad (228)$$

that reduce to the sum of the two one-dimensional-eigenvalues of Eq. 126 with  $n - n_2 = n_1$ .

In order to stress the difference with the next subsection concerning the interacting case, let us stress that here the finite-time Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_j(T)$  of Eq. 223 reduce to

$$\lambda_j(T) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_j(T)}{x_j(0)} \right| = f_{jj}^{[1]} + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} \frac{B_j(T)}{T} \quad (229)$$

while the Brownian scalings  $B_j(T) \propto \sqrt{T}$  lead to the asymptotic values for  $T \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\lambda_j(T = \infty) = f_{jj}^{[1]} \quad (230)$$

**C. Case  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$  : closed dynamics for the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  converging towards an explicit steady state**

1. Replacing the second coordinate  $x_2(t)$  by the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  and keeping the first coordinate  $x_1(t)$

Instead of the cartesian coordinates  $(x_1, x_2) \in ]-\infty, +\infty[^2$ , one could consider the polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= w(t) \cos \theta(t) \\ x_2(t) &= w(t) \sin \theta(t) \end{aligned} \quad (231)$$

where the radial coordinate  $w(t) = \sqrt{x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)}$  lives on the semi-infinite line  $]0, +\infty[$ , while the polar angle  $\theta(t)$  lives on the finite interval  $[0, 2\pi[$  with periodic boundary conditions  $\theta(0) = \theta(2\pi)$ . However as discussed in detail in [74] concerning similar models, it is technically more convenient to consider the tangent of the polar angle  $\theta(t)$  that corresponds to the ratio of the two cartesian coordinates

$$R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)} = \tan \theta(t) \in ]-\infty, +\infty[ \quad (232)$$

and to keep the variable  $x_1(t)$  as the other independent variable instead of the radial coordinate. Indeed, in the reshaping of the Carleman matrix where  $n_1 = n - n_2$ , the moments  $m_t(n_1 = n - n_2, n_2)$  associated to a given global degree  $n$  while  $n_2 = 0, \dots, n$

$$m_t(n - n_2, n_2) = \mathbb{E} (x_1^{n-n_2}(t) x_2^{n_2}(t)) = \mathbb{E} \left( x_1^n(t) \left( \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)} \right)^{n_2} \right) = \mathbb{E} (x_1^n(t) R^{n_2}(t)) \quad (233)$$

correspond to the moments of the two variables  $x_1(t)$  and  $R(t)$ , while the difference between the two finite-time Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_j(T)$  of Eq. 223

$$\lambda_2(T) - \lambda_1(T) = \frac{1}{T} \left( \ln \left| \frac{x_2(T)}{x_2(0)} \right| - \ln \left| \frac{x_1(T)}{x_1(0)} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{R(T)}{R(0)} \right| \quad (234)$$

represents the exponential growth of the absolute-values of  $R(t)$  over the time-window  $t \in [0, T]$ .

When  $x_2(t)$  is replaced by the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$ , the Stratonovich system of Eq. 222 is replaced by the dynamics of  $x_1(t)$  that depends now on  $R(t)$

$$dx_1(t) = \left( f_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]} R(t) x_1(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1(t) \quad (235)$$

and by the dynamics of  $R(t)$  that does not depend on  $x_1(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} dR(t) &= \frac{\left( f_{21}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{22}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2(t)}{x_1(t)} - x_2(t) \frac{\left( f_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1(t)}{x_1^2(t)} \\ &= \left[ f_{21}^{[1]} + (f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]}) R(t) - f_{12}^{[1]} R^2(t) \right] dt + R(t) \left[ \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} dB_2(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (236)$$

So we will first analyze the dynamics of  $R(t)$  alone before returning to the properties of  $x_1(t)$ .

2. *Properties of the dynamics of the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  alone*

The single-variable Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 236 can be rewritten as

$$dR(t) \equiv f(R(t))dt + R(t)\sqrt{2D^{[2]}}dB_R(t) \quad (237)$$

where the Stratonovich force

$$f(R) \equiv f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}R + f^{[2]}R^2 \quad (238)$$

is a polynomial of degree two in  $R$  with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} f^{[0]} &= f_{21}^{[1]} \\ f^{[1]} &= f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]} \\ f^{[2]} &= -f_{12}^{[1]} \end{aligned} \quad (239)$$

while the effective multiplicative Brownian noise defined via

$$\sqrt{2D^{[2]}}dB_R(t) \equiv \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}}dB_2(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) \quad (240)$$

involves the diffusion coefficient

$$D^{[2]} \equiv D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]} \quad (241)$$

The generator of Eq. 14 associated to the single-variable Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 237

$$\mathcal{L} = f(R)\frac{\partial}{\partial R} + D^{[2]}\left(R\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\right)\left(R\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\right) \quad (242)$$

leads to the adjoint operator

$$\mathcal{L}^\dagger = -\frac{\partial}{\partial R}f(R) + D^{[2]}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial R}R\frac{\partial}{\partial R}R\right) \quad (243)$$

that governs the Fokker-Planck dynamics of Eq. 19 for the probability density  $\rho_t(R)$  of  $R$  at time  $t$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_t(R) &= \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_t(R) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left[f(R)\rho_t(R) - D^{[2]}R\frac{\partial}{\partial R}(R\rho_t(R))\right] \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial R}J_t(R) \end{aligned} \quad (244)$$

that can be interpreted as a continuity equation involving the current

$$\begin{aligned} J_t(R) &\equiv f(R)\rho_t(R) - D^{[2]}R\frac{\partial}{\partial R}(R\rho_t(R)) \\ &= \left[f(R) - D^{[2]}R\right]\rho_t(R) - D^{[2]}R^2\frac{\partial \rho_t(R)}{\partial R} \end{aligned} \quad (245)$$

3. *Computation of the explicit steady state  $\rho_{st}(R)$  for the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  alone*

The steady solution  $\rho_{st}(R)$  of the Fokker-Planck dynamics of Eq. 244 is associated to the steady current  $J_{st}(R) = J_{st}$  that cannot depend on  $R$  but that can be non-vanishing as a consequence of the periodic boundary conditions at  $R = \pm\infty$  inherited from the polar-angle interpretation of Eq. 232

$$\begin{aligned} J_{st} &= \left[f(R) - D^{[2]}R\right]\rho_{st}(R) - D^{[2]}R^2\frac{d\rho_{st}(R)}{dR} \\ &= \left[f^{[0]} + (f^{[1]} - D^{[2]})R + f^{[2]}R^2\right]\rho_{st}(R) - D^{[2]}R^2\frac{d\rho_{st}(R)}{dR} \\ &\equiv D^{[2]}R^2\left(-U'(R)\rho_{st}(R) - \frac{d\rho_{st}(R)}{dR}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (246)$$

where we have used the polynomial form  $f(R) = f^{[0]} + f^{[1]}R + f^{[2]}R^2$  of Eq. 238 for the Stratonovich force and where on the last line we have introduced the effective potential  $U(R)$  via its derivative

$$U'(R) \equiv -\frac{[f^{[0]} + (f^{[1]} - D^{[2]})R + f^{[2]}R^2]}{D^{[2]}R^2} = -\frac{f^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}R^2} + \frac{D^{[2]} - f^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R} - \frac{f^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}} \quad (247)$$

The final result for the potential  $U(R)$  itself reads using the coefficients of Eq. 239

$$\begin{aligned} U(R) &= \frac{f^{[0]}}{D^{[2]}R} + \frac{D^{[2]} - f^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} \ln |R| - \frac{f^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}} R \\ &= \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R} + \frac{D^{[2]} + f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} \ln |R| + \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} R \end{aligned} \quad (248)$$

The first term in  $1/R$  of Eq. 248 gives the leading diverging behaviors on both sides of the origin  $R \rightarrow 0^\pm$  whose signs are determined by the sign of the off-diagonal coefficient  $f_{21}^{[1]}$

$$\begin{aligned} U(R) &\underset{R \rightarrow 0^+}{\simeq} \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R} \underset{R \rightarrow 0^+}{\simeq} \left[ \text{sgn}(f_{21}^{[1]}) \right] \infty \\ U(R) &\underset{R \rightarrow 0^-}{\simeq} -\frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}(-R)} \underset{R \rightarrow 0^-}{\simeq} \left[ -\text{sgn}(f_{21}^{[1]}) \right] \infty \end{aligned} \quad (249)$$

The last term in  $R$  of Eq. 248 determines the leading asymptotic behaviors at the two infinities  $R \rightarrow \pm\infty$  whose signs are determined by the sign of the off-diagonal coefficient  $f_{12}^{[1]}$

$$\begin{aligned} U(R) &\underset{R \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} R \underset{R \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \left[ \text{sgn}(f_{12}^{[1]}) \right] \infty \\ U(R) &\underset{R \rightarrow -\infty}{\simeq} -\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} (-R) \underset{R \rightarrow -\infty}{\simeq} \left[ -\text{sgn}(f_{12}^{[1]}) \right] \infty \end{aligned} \quad (250)$$

As discussed in detail in [74] concerning similar models, it is very important to distinguish whether the uniform steady current  $J_{st}$  of Eq. 246 vanishes or not :

- (i) When the steady current vanishes  $J_{st} = 0$ , then the dynamics of  $R(t)$  is an equilibrium dynamics satisfying detailed-balance, and the corresponding steady state  $\rho_{st}^{eq}(R)$  satisfying Eq. 246

$$\frac{d \ln \rho_{st}^{eq}(R)}{dR} = -U'(R) \quad (251)$$

is the Boltzmann distribution associated to the potential  $U(R)$  of Eq. 248

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{st}^{eq}(R) &= \mathcal{N} e^{-U(R)} = \mathcal{N} e^{-\frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R} - \frac{D^{[2]} + f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} \ln |R| - \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} R} \\ &= \mathcal{N} |R|^{-1 - \frac{f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}}} e^{-\frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R} - \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}} R} \end{aligned} \quad (252)$$

that should be normalized on the appropriate interval  $R \in ]R_{min}, R_{max}[$ . Here the two possible cases are :

(i-a) When both off-diagonal coefficients are positive  $f_{12}^{[1]} > 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} > 0$ , then the equilibrium steady state of Eq. 252 is normalized on the positive half-line  $R \in ]0, +\infty[$

$$\text{Case } f_{12}^{[1]} > 0 \text{ and } f_{21}^{[1]} > 0 : \quad \rho_{st}^{eq}(R) = \frac{e^{-U(R)}}{\int_0^{+\infty} dr e^{-U(r)}} \quad \text{for } R \in ]0, +\infty[ \quad (253)$$

(i-b) When both off-diagonal coefficients are negative  $f_{12}^{[1]} < 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} < 0$ , then the equilibrium steady state of Eq. 252 is normalized on the negative half-line  $R \in ]-\infty, 0[$

$$\text{Case } f_{12}^{[1]} < 0 \text{ and } f_{21}^{[1]} < 0 : \quad \rho_{st}^{eq}(R) = \frac{e^{-U(R)}}{\int_{-\infty}^0 dr e^{-U(r)}} \quad \text{for } R \in ]-\infty, 0[ \quad (254)$$



• (ii) When the steady current does not vanish  $J_{st} \neq 0$ , then the dynamics of  $R(t)$  is an out-of-equilibrium dynamics breaking detailed-balance, and the corresponding steady state  $\rho_{st}^{neq}(R)$  satisfying Eq. 246

$$\frac{d\rho_{st}^{neq}(R)}{dR} + U'(R)\rho_{st}^{neq}(R) = -\frac{J_{st}}{D^{[2]}R^2} \quad (255)$$

can be computed to obtain the two following cases (see [74] for very detailed discussions concerning similar models) :

(ii-a) When  $f_{12}^{[1]} > 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} < 0$ , then the potential  $U(R)$  grows from  $U(R \rightarrow -\infty) = -\infty$  towards  $U(R \rightarrow 0^-) = +\infty$  and from  $U(R \rightarrow 0^+) = -\infty$  towards  $U(R \rightarrow +\infty) = +\infty$ , so the steady current is negative  $J_{st} < 0$  with the corresponding steady state

$$\text{Case } f_{12}^{[1]} > 0 \text{ and } f_{21}^{[1]} < 0 : \quad \rho_{st}^{neq}(R) = \begin{cases} (-J_{st})e^{-U(R)} \int_{-\infty}^R \frac{dr}{D^{[2]}r^2} e^{U(r)} & \text{for } R \in ]-\infty, 0[ \\ (-J_{st})e^{-U(R)} \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{D^{[2]}r^2} e^{U(r)} & \text{for } R \in ]0, +\infty[ \end{cases} \quad (256)$$

(ii-b) When  $f_{12}^{[1]} < 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} > 0$ , then the potential  $U(R)$  decays from  $U(R \rightarrow -\infty) = +\infty$  towards  $U(R \rightarrow 0^-) = -\infty$  and from  $U(R \rightarrow 0^+) = +\infty$  towards  $U(R \rightarrow +\infty) = -\infty$ , so the steady current is positive  $J_{st} > 0$  with the corresponding steady state

$$\text{Case } f_{12}^{[1]} < 0 \text{ and } f_{21}^{[1]} > 0 : \quad \rho_{st}^{neq}(R) = \begin{cases} J_{st}e^{-U(R)} \int_R^0 \frac{dr}{D^{[2]}r^2} e^{U(r)} & \text{for } R \in ]-\infty, 0[ \\ J_{st}e^{-U(R)} \int_R^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{D^{[2]}r^2} e^{U(r)} & \text{for } R \in ]0, +\infty[ \end{cases} \quad (257)$$

In both cases of Eqs 256 and 257, it is the normalization of the non-equilibrium steady state  $\rho_{st}^{neq}(R)$  on  $R \in ]-\infty, +\infty[$

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR \rho_{st}^{neq}(R) = \int_{-\infty}^0 dR \rho_{st}^{neq}(R) + \int_0^{+\infty} dR \rho_{st}^{neq}(R) \quad (258)$$

that determines the value of steady-state current  $J_{st}$  in terms of the parameters of the model.

• In summary, when  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$ , the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  satisfies the single-variable Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 237 that converges towards a steady state  $\rho_{st}(R)$  that can be either an equilibrium steady state  $\rho_{st}^{eq}(R)$  associated to a vanishing steady current  $J_{st}^{eq} = 0$  when  $f_{12}^{[1]} f_{21}^{[1]} > 0$  with the explicit expressions of Eqs 253 and 254, or a non-equilibrium steady state  $\rho_{st}^{neq}(R)$  associated to a non-vanishing steady current  $J_{st}^{neq} \neq 0$  when  $f_{12}^{[1]} f_{21}^{[1]} < 0$ , with the explicit expressions of Eqs 256 and 257.

#### 4. Analysis of the dynamics of $x_1(t)$ that depends on $R(t)$

Now that the dynamics for  $R(t)$  alone has been well understood in the two previous subsections, let us return to the dynamics of Eq. 235 for the variable  $x_1(t)$  that depends on  $R(t)$ .

Since we have introduced in Eqs 240 241 the effective Brownian noise

$$dB_R(t) \equiv \frac{\left(-\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) + \sqrt{D_2^{[2]}}dB_2(t)\right)}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}} = \frac{\left(-\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) + \sqrt{D_2^{[2]}}dB_2(t)\right)}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}} \quad (259)$$

that appears in the single-variable Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 237 for  $R(t)$ , it is convenient to introduce the orthogonal Brownian noise

$$dB_R^\perp(t) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{D_2^{[2]}}dB_1(t) + \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_2(t)}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}} = \frac{\sqrt{D_2^{[2]}}dB_1(t) + \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_2(t)}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}} \quad (260)$$

that is uncorrelated with  $dB_R(t)$

$$\mathbb{E}(dB_R(t)dB_R^\perp(t)) = 0 \quad (261)$$

The inversion yields the initial Brownian noise  $dB_1(t)$  can be rewritten in terms of  $dB_R(t)$  and  $dB_R^\perp(t)$

$$dB_1(t) = \frac{\sqrt{D_2^{[2]}}dB_R^\perp(t) - \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_R(t)}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}} = \frac{\sqrt{D_2^{[2]}}dB_R^\perp(t) - \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_R(t)}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}} \quad (262)$$

and can be plugged into Eq. 235 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} &= \left(f_{11}^{[1]} + f_{12}^{[1]}R(t)\right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) \\ &= \left(f_{11}^{[1]} + f_{12}^{[1]}R(t)\right) dt - \frac{\sqrt{2}D_1^{[2]}}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}}dB_R(t) + \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}}dB_R^\perp(t) \end{aligned} \quad (263)$$

One can then use the single-variable Stratonovich SDE of Eq. 237 to rewrite the noise  $dB_R(t)$  in terms of  $R(t)$  and its increment  $dR(t)$

$$\sqrt{2D^{[2]}}dB_R(t) = \frac{dR(t)}{R(t)} - \left[\frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{R(t)} + (f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]}) - f_{12}^{[1]}R(t)\right] dt \quad (264)$$

and plug it into Eq. 263

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} &= \left(f_{11}^{[1]} + f_{12}^{[1]}R(t)\right) dt - \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}} \left(\frac{dR(t)}{R(t)} - \left[\frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{R(t)} + (f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]}) - f_{12}^{[1]}R(t)\right] dt\right) + \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}}dB_R^\perp(t) \\ &= \left[\left(f_{11}^{[1]} + \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}}(f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]})\right) + \left(1 - \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}}\right)f_{12}^{[1]}R(t) + \frac{D_1^{[2]}f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R(t)}\right] dt - \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{D^{[2]}} \frac{dR(t)}{R(t)} + \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D^{[2]}}}dB_R^\perp(t) \end{aligned} \quad (265)$$

Let us now replace  $D^{[2]} = D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}$  of Eq. 241 to obtain the final result in terms of the initial parameters

$$\frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} = \left[\left(\frac{f_{11}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]} + f_{22}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right) + \left(\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}R(t) + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{R(t)}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right)\right] dt - \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \frac{dR(t)}{R(t)} + \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}}dB_R^\perp(t) \quad (266)$$

This expression is useful to analyze the finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T)$  as discussed in the next subsection.

5. *Analysis of the two finite-time Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_1(T)$  and  $\lambda_2(T)$  with their explicit common asymptotic value  $\lambda_1(T = \infty) = \lambda_2(T = \infty)$*

The integration of Eq. 266 over the time interval  $t \in [0, T]$  contains fully-integrated terms involving  $T$ ,  $R(T)$  and the Brownian  $B_R^\perp(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left| \frac{x_1(T)}{x_1(0)} \right| &= \left(\frac{f_{11}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]} + f_{22}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right) T + \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}}B_R^\perp(t) - \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \ln \left| \frac{R(T)}{R(0)} \right| \\ &\quad + \int_0^T dt \left(\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}R(t) + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{R(t)}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (267)$$

while the remaining integral corresponds to an additive functional of the diffusion process  $R(t)$  over the time-window  $t \in [0, T]$ . [Note that the integration of  $\frac{dR(t)}{R(t)}$  into  $\ln \left| \frac{R(T)}{R(0)} \right|$  is actually valid only when  $R(t)$  is not able to use the periodic boundary conditions to go around the ring, i.e. here for the cases of Eqs 253 and 254, and should be thus

analyzed more precisely for the cases of Eqs 256 and 257 concerning non-equilibrium steady states with non-vanishing steady currents, as discussed in details in [74] for analog models. However in the present case, the results concerning the large deviations for large  $T$  will not involve this term, as found in a similar example described in the last section of [74], so that here we will continue the discussion with Eq. 267].

Let us divide Eq. 267 by  $T$  to obtain the finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T)$  of Eq. 223

$$\lambda_1(T) = \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_1(T)}{x_1(0)} \right| = \left( \frac{f_{11}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} + f_{22}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]} D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}} \frac{B_R^\perp(t)}{T} - \frac{D_1^{[2]}}{(D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]})T} \ln \left| \frac{R(T)}{R(0)} \right| + A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)] \quad (268)$$

where the last contribution

$$A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)] \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R(t) + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R(t)}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \quad (269)$$

is a functional of the stochastic trajectory  $R(0 \leq t \leq T)$  that corresponds to a time-averaging over the time-window  $[0, T]$  that can be thus evaluated for large  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  by an average over the steady state  $\rho_{st}(R)$

$$A[R(0 \leq t \leq T)] \underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR \rho_{st}(R) \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \equiv A(T = \infty) \quad (270)$$

Since the Brownian  $B_R^\perp(T)$  scales as  $\sqrt{T}$  and since  $R(T)$  converges towards the steady state  $\rho_{st}(R)$ , one obtains that the asymptotic Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T = \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(T = \infty) &= \left( \frac{f_{11}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} + f_{22}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) + A(T = \infty) \\ &= \left( \frac{f_{11}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} + f_{22}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR \rho_{st}(R) \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (271)$$

can be computed from the averages of  $R$  and of  $\frac{1}{R}$  over the steady state  $\rho_{st}(R)$  with the four possible explicit expressions of Eqs 253, 254, 256 and 257.

The finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_2(T)$  of Eq. 223 for the process  $x_2(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(T) &= \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_2(T)}{x_2(0)} \right| = \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_1(T)}{x_1(0)} \right| + \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{\frac{x_2(T)}{x_1(T)}}{\frac{x_2(0)}{x_1(0)}} \right| \\ &= \lambda_1(T) + \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{R(T)}{R(0)} \right| = \lambda_1(T) + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (272)$$

differs from the finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T)$  for the process  $x_1(t)$  only via a correction of order  $\frac{1}{T}$  since  $R(T)$  converges towards its steady state. As a consequence, the asymptotic value  $\lambda_2(T = \infty)$  coincides with the asymptotic  $\lambda_1(T = \infty)$  computed in Eq. 271

$$\lambda_2(T = \infty) = \lambda_1(T = \infty) \quad (273)$$

## 6. Large deviations properties of the two finite-time Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1(T)$ and $\lambda_2(T)$ in relation with the Carleman moments $m_T(n_1, n_2)$

Besides their common asymptotic value of Eq. 273, the difference of Eq. 272 yields moreover that the leading exponential behavior for large  $T$  of Eq. 225 concerning the Carleman moment of Eq. 224

$$\begin{aligned} m_T(n_1, n_2) &= \mathbb{E} (x_1^{n_1}(T) x_2^{n_2}(T)) = \mathbb{E} \left( x_1^{n_1}(0) x_2^{n_2}(0) e^{T(n_1 \lambda_1(T) + n_2 \lambda_2(T))} \right) \\ &\underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} e^{T \mathcal{E}(n=n_1+n_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (274)$$

will involve the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\mathcal{E}(n = n_1 + n_2)$  that depends only on the global degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and that can be thus evaluated from the special case ( $n_1 = n, n_2 = 0$ ) involving only  $\lambda_1(T)$  of Eq. 268

$$\begin{aligned}
m_T(n, 0) &= \mathbb{E}(x_1^n(T)) = \mathbb{E}\left(x_1^n(0)e^{Tn\lambda_1(T)}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(x_1^n(0)e^{Tn\left[\left(\frac{f_{11}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}+f_{22}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]}}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]}}}B_R^\perp(t)-\frac{D_1^{[2]}}{(D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]})T}\ln\left|\frac{R(T)}{R(0)}\right|+A_T[R(0\leq t\leq T)]\right]}\right) \\
&\stackrel{T\rightarrow+\infty}{\simeq} e^{Tn\left(\frac{f_{11}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}+f_{22}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]}}\right)} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{n\frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]}}}B_R^\perp(t)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(e^{TnA_T[R(0\leq t\leq T)]}\right) \\
&\stackrel{T\rightarrow+\infty}{\simeq} e^{Tn\left(\frac{f_{11}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}+f_{22}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]}}\right)} e^{Tn^2\left(\frac{2D_1^{[2]}D_2^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]}+D_2^{[2]}}\right)} e^{T\Phi(n)}
\end{aligned} \tag{275}$$

where  $\Phi(n)$  represents the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function of the additive observable  $A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  of the one-dimensional diffusion process  $R(t)$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{TnA_T[R(0\leq t\leq T)]}\right) \stackrel{T\rightarrow+\infty}{\simeq} e^{T\Phi(n)} \tag{276}$$

that can be expanded in powers of  $n$  around  $n = 0$

$$\Phi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} n^k \frac{\Phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!} = n\Phi'(0) + n^2 \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} + \dots \tag{277}$$

in order to obtains the cumulants  $c_n$  of the additive observable  $A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  via

$$c_k = \frac{\Phi^{(k)}(0)}{T^{k-1}} \tag{278}$$

In particular, the first cumulant  $c_1$  coincides with the asymptotic value  $A(T = \infty)$  evaluated in Eq. 270

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= \Phi'(0) = \mathbb{E}(A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \left(\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}R(t) + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{R(t)}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right)\right] \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR \rho_{st}(R) \left(\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right) = A(T = \infty)
\end{aligned} \tag{279}$$

while the second cumulant  $c_2$  corresponding to the variance characterizes the typical fluctuations of order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2 &= \frac{\Phi''(0)}{T} = \mathbb{E}[A_T^2[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]] - (\mathbb{E}(A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]))^2 = \mathbb{E}[(A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)] - c_1)^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T dt_1 \int_0^T dt_2 \left(\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}R(t_1) + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{R(t_1)}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right) \left(\frac{f_{12}^{[1]}D_2^{[2]}R(t_2) + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}D_1^{[2]}}{R(t_2)}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}}\right)\right] - c_1^2
\end{aligned} \tag{280}$$

and involves the two-time correlations of the process  $R(t)$ .

If one wishes to analyze the full Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\Phi(n)$  that contains the cumulants  $c_n$  of arbitrary order, i.e. if one wishes to study the whole large deviations properties of the additive observable  $A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  of the one-dimensional diffusion process  $R(t)$ , one can use various methods as discussed in detail in [74] concerning similar models. One of them is the famous Feynman-Kac formula [75–77], where the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\Phi(n)$  represents the highest eigenvalue of the following tilted Fokker-Planck generator, where

one adds the contribution  $n \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right)$  to the generator of Eq 242

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n &= \mathcal{L} + n \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \\ &= f(R) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + D^{[2]} \left( R \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right) \left( R \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right) + n \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (281)$$

or equivalently to its adjoint

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n^\dagger &= \mathcal{L}^\dagger + n \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial R} f(R) + D^{[2]} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial R} R \frac{\partial}{\partial R} R \right) + n \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (282)$$

that will govern the following dynamics for the population  $Z_t(R)$

$$\partial_t Z_t(R) = \mathcal{L}_n^\dagger Z_t(R) \quad (283)$$

that replaces the conserved Fokker-Planck dynamics of Eq. 244 for the probability density  $\rho_t(R)$ . The physical interpretation is that the additional contribution  $n \left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} R + \frac{f_{21}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{R}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right)$  can be interpreted as a replicating-rate if it is positive or as a killing rate if it is negative, and that the corresponding population  $Z_t(R)$

$$Z_t(R) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} e^{t\Phi(n)} \quad (284)$$

will either grow exponentially in time if  $\Phi(n) > 0$  or decay exponentially in time if  $\Phi(n) < 0$ .

The quantitative analysis of the whole Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\Phi(n)$  for the various possible diffusion processes  $R(t)$  clearly goes beyond the goals of the present work and will not be discussed in the present paper (see [74] for more details on the methods and on the results that can be obtained in examples). However for the present perspective, the important conclusion of Eq. 275 is that the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\mathcal{E}(n)$  of the finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T)$  that governs the Carleman moment  $m_T(n, 0)$  for large time  $T$  reads

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = n \left( \frac{f_{11}^{[1]} D_2^{[2]} + f_{22}^{[1]} D_1^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) + n^2 \left( \frac{2D_1^{[2]} D_2^{[2]}}{D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}} \right) + \Phi(n) \quad (285)$$

and is thus directly related, apart from the explicit terms involving  $n$  and  $n^2$ , to the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\Phi(n)$  of Eq. 276 for the additive observable  $A_T[R(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  of the one-dimensional diffusion process  $R(t)$ .

Let us now summarize the asymptotic behavior of the two couples processes  $[x_1(t), x_2(t)]$  whose ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  converges towards a steady state :

- If their common asymptotic Lyapunov exponent of Eq. 271 is positive  $\lambda_2(T = \infty) = \lambda_1(T = \infty) > 0$ , then they flow towards infinity.
- If their common asymptotic Lyapunov exponent of Eq. 271 is negative  $\lambda_2(T = \infty) = \lambda_1(T = \infty) < 0$ , then they flow towards zero. However, as in Eq. 142 concerning the one-dimensional case, it is then useful to define the positive value  $\mu > 0$  where the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\mathcal{E}(n)$  vanishes

$$\mathcal{E}(n = \mu) = 0 \quad (286)$$

in order to separate the moments of order  $n < \mu$  converging towards zero and the moments of order  $n > \mu$  diverging towards infinity

$$m_T(n, 0) \underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} e^{T\mathcal{E}(n)} \begin{cases} \text{converges towards 0 if } n < \mu \\ \text{diverges towards } +\infty \text{ if } n > \mu \end{cases} \quad (287)$$

This exponent  $\mu$  plays also a very important role in the next section IX concerning models whose Carleman matrices are block-lower-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  as will be discussed in subsection IX F.

## 7. Discussion

In summary, the non-vanishing coefficients  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$  produce the common asymptotic Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T = \infty) = \lambda_2(T = \infty)$  of Eqs 271–273 for the two components  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$ , instead of the two different values of Eq. 229 for the case without interactions  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0 = f_{21}^{[1]}$ . It is thus interesting in the next subsection to consider the intermediate case where only one of the two off-diagonal coefficients vanishes.

**D. When  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$  :  $x_1(t)$  is a Geometric Brownian motion that acts as an external forcing for  $x_2(t)$**

In the two previous subsections, we have discussed the cases where the two off-diagonal elements  $f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]}$  are either both vanishing or both non-vanishing. So let us now analyze the cases where only one of them vanishes, and let us choose the case  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$  (since the other case  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} = 0$  can be obtained by exchanging the two variables  $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ ).

When  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$ , then  $x_1(t)$  remains the independent Geometric Brownian motion of Eq. 226

$$x_1(t) = x_1(0)e^{f_{11}^{[1]}t + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} B_1(t)} \quad (288)$$

with the properties of Eqs 229

$$\lambda_1(T) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_1(T)}{x_1(0)} \right| = f_{11}^{[1]} + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} \frac{B_1(T)}{T} \quad (289)$$

and 229

$$\lambda_1(T = \infty) = f_{11}^{[1]} \quad (290)$$

One can plug the solution  $x_1(t)$  of Eq. 288 into the SDE of Eq. 222 for  $x_2(t)$  where it appears as an inhomogeneous forcing term

$$dx_2(t) = f_{21}^{[1]}x_1(0)e^{f_{11}^{[1]}t + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} B_1(t)} + x_2(t) \left( f_{22}^{[1]}dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} dB_2(t) \right) \quad (291)$$

so that one obtains the following integral representation for  $x_2(t)$  in terms of the two Brownian motions  $B_1(s)$  and  $B_2(s)$

$$x_2(t) = e^{f_{22}^{[1]}t + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} B_2(t)} \left[ x_2(0) + f_{21}^{[1]}x_1(0) \int_0^t ds e^{(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]})s + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} B_1(s) - \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} B_2(s)} \right] \quad (292)$$

To make the link with the previous subsection, let us write the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  in terms of the effective noise  $\sqrt{2D^{[2]}}B_R(t) = \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}}B_2(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}}B_1(t)$  introduced in Eq. 240

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)} &= e^{(f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]})t + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} B_2(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} B_1(t)} \left[ \frac{x_2(0)}{x_1(0)} + f_{21}^{[1]} \int_0^t ds e^{(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]})s + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} B_1(s) - \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} B_2(s)} \right] \\ &= e^{(f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]})t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} B_R(t)} \left[ R(0) + f_{21}^{[1]} \int_0^t ds e^{(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]})s - \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} B_R(s)} \right] \\ &= e^{(f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]})t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} B_R(t)} R(0) + f_{21}^{[1]} \int_0^t ds e^{-(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]})t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} (B_R(t) - B_R(s))} \end{aligned} \quad (293)$$

The asymptotic behavior of  $R(t)$  for large time  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  depends on the sign of the difference  $(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]})$  between the two diagonal coefficients  $f_{11}^{[1]}$  and  $f_{22}^{[1]}$  :

• (i) If  $(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]}) > 0$ , then the first contribution of Eq. 293 becomes exponentially small for large times  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , while the second contribution remains finite and thus dominates the ratio  $R(t)$  of Eq. 293 for large times  $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$R(t) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} f_{21}^{[1]} \int_0^t ds e^{-(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]})t + \sqrt{2D^{[2]}} (B_R(t) - B_R(s))} \quad (294)$$

This expression is similar to the exponential functional of the Brownian motion of Eq. 171, whose Inverse-Gamma steady distribution of Eq. 170 is in agreement with the equilibrium distribution of Eq. 252 for  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$

$$\rho_{st}^{eq}(R) = \mathcal{N} e^{-U(R)} = \mathcal{N} |R|^{-1 - \frac{f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}}} e^{-\frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D^{[2]}R}} \quad (295)$$

with the following possible steady states from Eqs 253 and 254 depending of the sign of  $f_{21}^{[1]}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case } f_{12}^{[1]} = 0 \text{ and } f_{11}^{[1]} > f_{22}^{[1]} \text{ and } f_{21}^{[1]} > 0 : \quad \rho_{st}^{eq}(R) &= \frac{e^{-U(R)}}{\int_0^{+\infty} dr e^{-U(r)}} \quad \text{for } R \in ]0, +\infty[ \\ \text{Case } f_{12}^{[1]} = 0 \text{ and } f_{11}^{[1]} > f_{22}^{[1]} \text{ and } f_{21}^{[1]} < 0 : \quad \rho_{st}^{eq}(R) &= \frac{e^{-U(R)}}{\int_{-\infty}^0 dr e^{-U(r)}} \quad \text{for } R \in ]-\infty, 0[ \end{aligned} \quad (296)$$

The physical interpretation is that when  $f_{11}^{[1]} > f_{22}^{[1]}$ , i.e. when the self-growth of  $x_1(t)$  is bigger than the self-growth of  $x_2(t)$ , then  $x_1(t)$  imposes its growth to  $x_2(t)$  via the off-diagonal coefficient  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$ , so that the ratio  $R(t)$  remains finite and converges towards the steady state of Eq. 296.

- (ii) If  $(f_{11}^{[1]} - f_{22}^{[1]}) < 0$ , then both contributions of Eq. 293 diverge exponentially for large times  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , and there is no normalizable steady state for the ratio  $R(t)$  of Eq. 293 that flows towards infinity. The physical interpretation is that when  $f_{11}^{[1]} < f_{22}^{[1]}$ , i.e. when the self-growth of  $x_1(t)$  is smaller than the self-growth of  $x_2(t)$ , then  $x_1(t)$  becomes negligible with respect to  $x_2(t)$  for large times, so that the ratio  $R(t)$  flows towards infinity.

As a final remark, let us mention that from the point of view of the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  with the matrix elements of Eq. 215, the vanishing of the coefficient  $F_{12}^{[1]} = f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  yields that the diagonal blocks  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$  of Eq. 207 are bidiagonal instead of tridiagonal, so that their eigenvalues are given by the diagonal elements  $q_2 = n_2$  of Eq. 215 and are thus the same as in Eq. 228 with  $n_1 = n - n_2$ .

## E. Discussion

In summary, we have discussed in detail the effects of the interactions mediated by the two off-diagonal coefficients  $f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]}$  for the 2D stochastic system of Eq. 222. In particular when they are both non-vanishing  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$ , we have explained why it was useful to keep  $x_1(t)$  and to replace  $x_2(t)$  by the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  whose dynamics converging towards an explicit steady state determines the statistical properties of the finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T)$ .

In Appendix D, we discuss what happens when one tries to apply the same strategy for the Stratonovich system of Eq. 105 in dimension  $d > 2$  and in particular  $d = 3$ .

## IX. MODELS IN DIMENSION $d = 2$ WITH CARLEMAN MATRICES $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

In this section, we analyze the properties of diffusion processes of section VII whose Carleman matrices are block-lower-triangular  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ . So with respect to the previous section where the Carleman matrix was block-diagonal  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  and involved the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$  (linear forces) and  $\mathbf{D}^{[2]}$  (multiplicative noises), the block  $\mathbf{M}^{[-2]}$  involves the matrix  $\mathbf{D}^{[0]}$  parametrizing the additive noises, while the matrix  $\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}$  involves the matrices  $\mathbf{F}^{[0]}$  (constant forces) and  $\mathbf{D}^{[1]}$  (square-root noises). The goal is thus to analyze the generalizations in dimension  $d = 2$  of the Pearson family of one-dimensional discussed in section VID.

### A. General properties of the Carleman moments

When  $\mathbf{M}^{[1]} = 0$ , the dynamics of Eq. 203 for the ket  $|\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle$  of Eq. 202 that gathers the  $(n+1)$  moments  $m_t(n_1 = n - n_2, n_2)$  of a given degree  $n$  with  $n_2 = 0, \dots, n$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t |\mathcal{M}_t^{[0]}\rangle &= 0 \\
\partial_t |\mathcal{M}_t^{[1]}\rangle &= \mathbf{M}_{[1,0]}^{[-1]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[0]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[1,1]}^{[0]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[1]}\rangle \\
\partial_t |\mathcal{M}_t^{[2]}\rangle &= \mathbf{M}_{[2,0]}^{[-2]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[0]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[2,1]}^{[-1]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[1]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[2,2]}^{[0]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[2]}\rangle \\
&\dots \\
\partial_t |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle &= \mathbf{M}_{[n,n-2]}^{[-2]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n-2]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[n,n-1]}^{[-1]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n-1]}\rangle + \mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]} |\mathcal{M}_t^{[n]}\rangle \\
&\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{297}$$

that generalizes the dynamics of Eq. 149 concerning one-dimensional Pearson diffusions, and that should also be solved recursively iteratively in the order  $n = 0, 1, \dots$

At each step  $n$ , one should thus diagonalise the  $\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$  of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  with its tridiagonal structure of Eq. 207 in order to obtain in particular its  $(n+1)$  eigenvalues  $E_{n,\alpha}$  labelled by  $\alpha = 0, \dots, n$ .

From the point of view of the spectral decomposition of Eq. 52, this means that the moment  $m_t(k_1 = k - k_2, k_2) = \langle k_2 | \mathcal{M}_t^{[k]} \rangle$  can only involve the eigenvalues  $E_{n,\alpha}$  of the blocks  $0 \leq n \leq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_t(k_1 = k - k_2, k_2) = \langle k_2 | \mathcal{M}_t^{[k]} \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{\alpha=0}^n e^{tE_{n,\alpha}} \langle k, k_2 | R_{n,\alpha} \rangle \langle L_{n,\alpha} | \mathcal{M}_{t=0} \rangle \\
&= \langle k, k_2 | R_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{\alpha=0}^n e^{tE_{n,\alpha}} \langle k, k_2 | R_{n,\alpha} \rangle \langle L_{n,\alpha} | \mathcal{M}_{t=0} \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{298}$$

So the moment  $m_t(k_1 = k - k_2, k_2)$  will converge towards its steady value  $m_{st}(k_1 = k - k_2, k_2) = \langle k, k_2 | R_0 \rangle$  only if all the eigenvalues  $E_{n,\alpha}$  that really appear in Eq. 298 have negative real parts. If one considers all the moments  $|\mathcal{M}_t^{[k]}\rangle$  of a given degree  $k$ , the conclusion is that the convergence toward steady moments require that all the eigenvalues  $E_{n,\alpha}$  of the blocks  $1 \leq k \leq n$  should have negative real parts

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}_t^{[k]}\rangle \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} |\mathcal{M}_{st}^{[k]}\rangle &\quad \text{only if all the eigenvalues } E_{n,\alpha} \text{ labelled by } \alpha = 0, \dots, n \text{ of the blocks } 1 \leq n \leq k \\
&\quad \text{have negative real parts } \operatorname{Re}(E_{n,\alpha}) < 0
\end{aligned} \tag{299}$$

In subsection C 2 of Appendix C 1, we describe how the spectral decomposition of block-lower-bidiagonal matrices  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  can be explicitly computed, with the final results of Eqs C24 and C25 for the right and left eigenvectors respectively. Note that these calculations can be extended to the case  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ . So in the following subsections, we describe the corresponding simplest examples of section V in dimension  $d = 2$ .

### B. Models with only one square-root-noise $dB_j^{\text{square-root}}(t)$ for each $x_j > 0$ having $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

The case  $d = 2$  of Eq. 101, that generalizes the one-dimension case of Eq. 159, correspond to the following Ito system for the positive variables  $x_1(t) > 0$  and  $x_2(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
dx_1(t) &= \left( F_1^{[0]} + F_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[1]} x_1(t)} dB_1^{\text{square-root}}(t) \\
dx_2(t) &= \left( F_2^{[0]} + F_{21}^{[1]} x_1(t) + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[1]} x_2(t)} dB_2^{\text{square-root}}(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{300}$$

where the discussion of Eq. 103 yield that the four following coefficients  $(F_1^{[0]}, F_2^{[0]}, F_{12}^{[1]}, F_{21}^{[1]})$  should be positive

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^{[0]} &\geq 0 \quad ; \quad F_2^{[0]} \geq 0 \\
F_{12}^{[1]} &\geq 0 \quad ; \quad F_{21}^{[1]} \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{301}$$



while  $(F_{11}^{[1]}, F_{22}^{[1]})$  are arbitrary.

The eigenvalues of the full Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  coincide with the eigenvalues of the diagonal-block  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  that only involves the matrix  $F^{[1]}$  that are directly given by Eq. 104

$$E_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2} = \kappa_1 \lambda_1 + \kappa_2 \lambda_2 \quad (302)$$

as linear combinations with integers coefficients  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  of the two eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1,2}$  of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{F}^{[1]}$

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{F_{11}^{[1]} + F_{22}^{[1]} \pm \sqrt{(F_{11}^{[1]} - F_{22}^{[1]})^2 + 4F_{12}^{[1]}F_{21}^{[1]}}}{2} \quad (303)$$

### C. Models with only one multiplicative noise $dB_j^{\text{multiplicative}}(t)$ for each $x_j$ having $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

The case  $d = 2$  of Eq. 106 that generalizes the one-dimension case of Eq. 165 correspond to the following Stratonovich system

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( f_1^{[0]} + f_{11}^{[1]}x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]}x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \left( f_2^{[0]} + f_{21}^{[1]}x_1(t) + f_{22}^{[1]}x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2^{\text{multiplicative}}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (304)$$

To get some intuition, let us discuss progressively the effects of the interactions mediated by the off-diagonal coefficients  $f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]}$  as follows :

- When  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} = 0$ , then  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are two independent Kesten processes that were discussed in subsection VID 4. In particular in the region of parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j &\equiv \frac{(-f_{jj}^{[1]})}{D_j^{[2]}} > 0 \\ \lambda_j &\equiv \frac{f_j^{[0]}}{D_j^{[2]}} > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (305)$$

the steady state  $\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2)$  is factorized into two Inverse-Gamma distributions of Eq. 170 of parameters  $(\mu_j, \lambda_j)$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) = \prod_{j=1}^2 \frac{\lambda_j^{\mu_j}}{\Gamma(\mu_j) x_j^{1+\mu_j}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_j}{x_j}} \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in (]0, +\infty[)^2 \quad (306)$$

with possibly different power-laws for large  $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  and large  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .

- When  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$  : then  $x_1(t)$  still converges towards its own one-dimensional Inverse-Gamma distribution  $\rho_{st}(x_1)$  of parameters  $(\mu_1, \lambda_1)$  and appears as an external forcing in the SDE for  $x_2(t)$  that would otherwise converge towards its own one-dimensional Inverse-Gamma distribution of parameters  $(\mu_2, \lambda_2)$  if it were alone. As a consequence, one needs to distinguish two cases :

(i) if  $\mu_2 < \mu_1$ , i.e. when the steady state for  $x_1$  alone is less broad, then  $x_1$  acting as external forcing will not change the exponent  $\mu_2$  that  $x_2(t)$  would produce alone, the moments of  $x_2(t)$  of any order  $n_2 > \mu_2$  will remain infinite, so that there will be the two distinct exponents  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  as in Eq. 306

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) \underset{|x_j| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_j|^{1+\mu_j}} \quad (307)$$

(ii) if  $\mu_2 > \mu_1$ , i.e. when the steady state for  $x_1$  alone is broader, then  $x_1$  acting as external forcing will impose to  $x_2$  its smaller exponent  $\mu_1$  and make infinite the moments of  $x_2(t)$  of any order  $n_2 > \mu_1$ , so that the same exponent  $\mu_1$  will govern the power-law for the two variables in contrast to Eqs 306 and 307

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) \underset{|x_j| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_j|^{1+\mu_1}} \quad (308)$$

• When  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$ , then both processes acting on each other will produce a common exponent  $\mu$  that will govern the tails for both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) \underset{|x_j| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_j|^{1+\mu}} \quad (309)$$

Then to make the link with subsection VIII C concerning the dynamics of Eq. 304 without the constant forces  $f_1^{[0]}$  and  $f_1^{[0]}$ , it is useful to replace the process  $x_2(t)$  by the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  of Eq. 232 to obtain the Stratonovich system obtained from Eq. 304

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left[ f_1^{[0]} + \left( f_{11}^{[1]} + f_{12}^{[1]} R(t) \right) x_1(t) \right] dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1(t) \\ dR(t) &= \left[ \left( f_{21}^{[1]} + \frac{f_2^{[0]}}{x_1(t)} \right) + \left( f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]} - \frac{f_1^{[0]}}{x_1(t)} \right) R(t) - f_{12}^{[1]} R^2(t) \right] dt + R(t) \left[ \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} dB_2(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (310)$$

In the dynamics of  $R(t)$ , the new terms with respect to single-variable SDE for  $R(t)$  of Eq. 236 discussed in detail in subsection VIII C are the contributions  $\frac{f_2^{[0]} - R(t)f_1^{[0]}}{x_1(t)}$  to the Stratonovich force that involve  $x_1(t)$ , but that are not expected to change the fact that all the moments of  $R(t)$  will converge towards finite steady values, so that the joint steady state  $\rho_{st}(x_1, R)$  will involve power-law only in the variable  $x_1$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, R) \underset{|x_1| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_1|^{1+\mu}} \quad (311)$$

as will be further discussed in subsection IX F after describing other examples involving analogous power-laws.

**D. Models with one square-root noise  $dB_j^{square-root}(t)$  and one multiplicative noise  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  for each coordinate  $x_j > 0$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

The case  $d = 2$  of Eq. 117 that generalizes the one-dimensional case of Eq. 173 correspond to the following Ito system for the two positive processes  $x_1(t) > 0$  and  $x_2(t) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( F_1^{[0]} + F_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + F_{12}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[1]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{multiplicative}(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \left( F_2^{[0]} + F_{21}^{[1]} x_1(t) + F_{22}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[1]}} x_2(t) dB_2^{square-root}(t) + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2^{multiplicative}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (312)$$

with the same conditions as in Eq. 301.

Here again to understand the effects of the interactions mediated by the off-diagonal coefficients  $F_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $F_{21}^{[1]}$ , the discussion is as follows :

• When  $F_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  and  $F_{21}^{[1]} = 0$ , then  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are two independent one-dimensional Fisher-Snedecor processes that were discussed in subsection VID 5. In particular in the region of parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j &\equiv \frac{(-f_{jj}^{[1]})}{D_j^{[2]}} > 0 \\ \alpha_j &\equiv \frac{F_j^{[0]}}{D_j^{[1]}} > 0 \\ c_j &\equiv \frac{D_j^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (313)$$

the steady state  $\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2)$  is factorized into two Fisher-Snedecor distributions of Eq. 176 of parameters  $(\mu_j, \alpha_j, c_j)$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) = \prod_{j=1}^2 \left( c_j^\mu \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j + \mu_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)\Gamma(\mu_j)} \frac{x^{\alpha_j-1}}{(c_j + x_j)^{\alpha_j+\mu_j}} \right) \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in (]0, +\infty[)^2 \quad (314)$$

with possibly different power-laws for large  $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  and large  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .

- When  $F_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $F_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$ , then both processes acting on each other will produce a common exponent  $\mu$  that will govern the tails for both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) \underset{|x_j| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_j|^{1+\mu}} \quad (315)$$

that will be discussed further in subsection IX F.

**E. Models with one additive-noise  $dB_j^{additive}(t)$  and one multiplicative-noise  $dB_j^{multiplicative}(t)$  for each  $x_j$  having  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-2]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$**

The case  $d = 2$  of Eq. 116 that generalizes the one-dimensional case of Eq. 177 correspond to the following Stratonovich system

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( f_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[0]}} dB_1^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{multiplicative}(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \left( f_{21}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{22}^{[1]} x_2(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_2^{[0]}} dB_2^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} x_2(t) dB_2^{multiplicative}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (316)$$

Here again to understand the effects of the interactions mediated by the off-diagonal coefficients  $f_{12}^{[1]}$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]}$ , the discussion is as follows :

- When  $f_{12}^{[1]} = 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} = 0$ , then  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are two independent one-dimensional Student processes that were discussed in subsection VID 6. In particular in the region of parameters  $\mu_j = \frac{(-f_{jj}^{[1]})}{D_j^{[2]}} > 0$ , the steady state  $\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2)$  is factorized into two Student distributions of Eq. 180

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) = \prod_{j=1}^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{D_j^{[2]}}{D_j^{[0]}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\mu_j+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\mu_j}{2}) \left(1 + \frac{D_j^{[2]}}{D_j^{[0]}} x_j^2\right)^{\frac{1+\mu_j}{2}}} \right) \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in ]-\infty, +\infty[^2 \quad (317)$$

with possibly different power-laws for large  $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  and large  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .

- When  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$ , then both processes acting on each other will produce a common exponent  $\mu$  that will govern the tails for both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, x_2) \underset{|x_j| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_j|^{1+\mu}} \quad (318)$$

Then to make the link with subsection VIII C concerning the dynamics of Eq. 304 without the additive noises, it is useful to replace the process  $x_2(t)$  by the ratio  $R(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  of Eq. 232 to obtain the Stratonovich system obtained from Eq. 316

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1(t) &= \left( f_{11}^{[1]} x_1(t) + f_{12}^{[1]} R(t) x_1(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[0]}} dB_1^{additive}(t) + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1^{multiplicative}(t) \\ dR(t) &= \left[ f_{21}^{[1]} + (f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]}) R(t) - f_{12}^{[1]} R^2(t) \right] dt + R(t) \left[ \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} dB_2^{multiplicative}(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1^{multiplicative}(t) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2D_2^{[0]}} dB_2^{additive}(t)}{x_1(t)} - R(t) \frac{\sqrt{2D_1^{[0]}} dB_1^{additive}(t)}{x_1(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (319)$$

In the dynamics of  $R(t)$ , the new terms with respect to single-variable SDE for  $R(t)$  of Eq. 236 discussed in detail in subsection VIII C are the contributions of the two additive noises with non-trivial amplitudes involving  $x_1(t)$  in the denominator, but that are not expected to change the fact that all the moments of  $R(t)$  will converge towards finite steady values, so that the joint steady state  $\rho_{st}(x_1, R)$  will involve power-law only in the variable  $x_1$

$$\rho_{st}(x_1, R) \underset{|x_1| \rightarrow +\infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{|x_1|^{1+\mu}} \quad (320)$$

as will be further discussed in the next subsection.

## F. Relation between the power-law exponent $\mu$ and the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function $\mathcal{E}(n)$ of Eq. 285

As discussed in detail in subsection VIII C concerning the interacting case  $f_{12}^{[1]} \neq 0$  and  $f_{21}^{[1]} \neq 0$  when the Carleman matrix is block-diagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$ , in particular in subsection VIII C 6 concerning the two finite-time Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_1(T)$  and  $\lambda_2(T)$ , the Carleman moments  $m_T(n_1, n_2)$  of Eq. 274 are governed for large  $T$

$$m_T(n_1, n_2) \underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} e^{T\mathcal{E}(n=n_1+n_2)} \quad (321)$$

by the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function  $\mathcal{E}(n = n_1 + n_2)$  that depends only on the global degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and the value  $n = \mu$  where  $\mathcal{E}(n = n_1 + n_2)$  vanishes is useful in the case  $\lambda_2(T = \infty) = \lambda_1(T = \infty) < 0$  to separate the moments of order  $n < \mu$  converging towards zero and the moments of order  $n > \mu$  diverging towards infinity in Eq. 287

In the three models of the three previous subsections, the additional contributions with respect to the block-diagonal  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  case do not change the fact that the moments are diverging for  $n > \mu$ , but they turn the vanishing moments  $n < \mu$  of Eq. 287 into finite steady values, so that the parameter  $\mu$  introduced via  $\mathcal{E}(n = \mu) = 0$  in Eq. 286 of the previous section is the power-law exponent that appear in the steady distributions of Eq. 311 for the 2D Kesten process, of Eq. 315 for the 2D Fisher-Snedecor process, of Eq. 320 for the 2D Student process.

Related models formulated in discrete time are discussed in detail in the recent works [39, 40] both for  $d = 2$  and for large dimension  $d \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## X. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have described how the Carleman approach can be applied to diffusion processes  $[x_1(t), \dots, x_d(t)]$  in dimension  $d$  when the forces and the diffusion-matrix elements are polynomials, in order to write the linear system governing the dynamics of the averaged values  $\mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t)\dots x_d^{n_d}(t))$  labelled by the  $d$  integers  $(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ . We have explained why the natural decomposition of the Carleman matrix into blocks associated to the global degree  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_d$  is very useful to identify the models that have the simplest spectral decompositions in the bi-orthogonal basis of right and left eigenvectors. We have discussed in detail the application to models with a single noise per coordinate, that can be either additive or multiplicative or square-root, or with two types of noises per coordinate, with many examples in dimensions  $d = 1, 2$ . In dimension  $d = 1$ , we have described how the Carleman dynamics of the moments  $\mathbb{E}(x^n(t))$  labelled by the integer  $n$  is able to recover the well-known simplest soluble diffusions: the Carleman matrix is diagonal for the Geometric Brownian motion, while it is lower-triangular for the family of Pearson diffusions containing the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck and the Square-Root processes, as well as the Kesten, the Fisher-Snedecor and the Student processes that converge towards steady states with power-law-tails. We have then focused on the dimension  $d = 2$  where the Carleman matrix governing the dynamics of the correlations  $\mathbb{E}(x_1^{n_1}(t)x_2^{n_2}(t))$  has a natural decomposition into blocks associated to the global degree  $n = n_1 + n_2$ , and we have discussed in detail the simplest models where the Carleman matrix is either block-diagonal or block-lower-triangular or block-upper-triangular.

### Appendix A: Simplest deterministic example in dimension $d = 1$ to illustrate the Carleman approach

In this Appendix, the Carleman approach is illustrated with the one-dimensional deterministic dynamics

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = F^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t) = x(t) \left[ F^{[1]} + F^{[2]}x(t) \right] \quad (A1)$$

In the field of population dynamics, the linear coefficient  $F^{[1]} > 0$  represents the growth rate that would lead to the exponential growth as  $x(t) = e^{tF^{[1]}}x(0)$  if it were alone, while the quadratic coefficient  $F^{[2]} < 0$  represents the saturation mechanism that will produce the finite fixed point

$$x_* = -\frac{F^{[1]}}{F^{[2]}} \quad (A2)$$

Let us first write the direct solution before describing the Carleman method.

### 1. Explicit solution for $x(t)$ via direct integration

The new variable

$$z(t) \equiv \frac{1}{x(t)} \quad (\text{A3})$$

satisfies the linear dynamics

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\frac{dx(t)}{dt}}{x^2(t)} = -\frac{F^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t)}{x^2(t)} = -F^{[1]}z(t) - F^{[2]} \quad (\text{A4})$$

that can be integrated

$$z(t) = e^{-F^{[1]}t} \left( z(0) + \frac{F^{[2]}}{F^{[1]}} \right) - \frac{F^{[2]}}{F^{[1]}} \quad (\text{A5})$$

to obtain that  $z(t)$  converges towards its fixed point  $z_* = \frac{1}{x_*} = -\frac{F^{[2]}}{F^{[1]}}$  exponentially in time with the relaxation rate  $F^{[1]}$ .

The solution for the variable  $x(t) = \frac{1}{z(t)}$  reads in terms of the initial condition  $x(0) = \frac{1}{z(0)}$  and in terms of the fixed point  $x_* = -\frac{F^{[1]}}{F^{[2]}}$  of Eq. A2

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{z(t)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{x_*} + e^{-F^{[1]}t} \left( \frac{1}{x(0)} - \frac{1}{x_*} \right)} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Let us now write two different series expansions of this explicit solution in the two following subsections.

*a. Series expansion of the explicit solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. A6 to analyze the time-convergence towards the fixed-point  $x_*$*

The time-convergence of the solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. A6 towards the fixed-point  $x_*$  can be analyzed via the series expansion

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \frac{x_*}{1 - e^{-F^{[1]}t} \left( 1 - \frac{x_*}{x(0)} \right)} = x_* \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} e^{-pF^{[1]}t} \left( 1 - \frac{x_*}{x(0)} \right)^p \\ &= x_* \left[ 1 + e^{-F^{[1]}t} \left( 1 - \frac{x_*}{x(0)} \right) + e^{-2F^{[1]}t} \left( 1 - \frac{x_*}{x(0)} \right)^2 + \dots \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

that involves the exponentially-decaying factors  $e^{-pF^{[1]}t}$  in time with the infinite series of relaxation rates  $[pF^{[1]}]$  with  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

*b. Powers  $x^k(t)$  of the explicit solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. A6 in terms of the powers  $x^q(0)$  of the initial condition  $x(0)$*

To make the link with the Carleman approach described in the next subsection, one wishes to write the powers  $x^k(t)$  of the solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. A6 in terms of the powers  $x^q(0)$  of the initial condition  $x(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} x^k(t) &= \frac{x^k(0)e^{kF^{[1]}t}}{\left[ 1 + \frac{x(0)}{x_*}(e^{F^{[1]}t} - 1) \right]^k} = x^k(0)e^{kF^{[1]}t} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(k-1+p)!}{(k-1)!p!} \left( -\frac{x(0)}{x_*} \right)^p (e^{F^{[1]}t} - 1)^p \\ &= x^k(0)e^{kF^{[1]}t} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^p \frac{(k-1+p)!}{(k-1)!p!} \left( \frac{x(0)}{x_*} \right)^p \sum_{j=0}^p e^{jF^{[1]}t} (-1)^{p-j} \frac{p!}{j!(p-j)!} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} x^{p+k}(0) \frac{(k-1+p)!}{(k-1)!x_*^p} \sum_{j=0}^p e^{(k+j)F^{[1]}t} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!(p-j)!} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

where it is convenient to replace  $p$  by  $q = p + k$  and  $j$  by  $n = k + j$  in the two sums to obtain the final result

$$x^k(t) = \sum_{q=k}^{+\infty} x^q(0) \frac{(q-1)!}{(k-1)!x_*^{q-k}} \sum_{n=k}^q e^{nF^{[1]}t} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!(q-n)!} \quad (\text{A9})$$

that will be useful to compare with the Carleman approach described in the next subsection.

## 2. Alternative solution via the Carleman approach and the spectral decomposition of the Carleman matrix

In the Carleman approach, the one-dimensional dynamics of Eq. A1 with quadratic non-linearity translates in the infinite-dimensional space of all the integer powers  $x^n(t)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  into the linear dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^n(t)}{dt} &= nx^{n-1}(t) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = nx^{n-1}(t) \left( F^{[1]}x(t) + F^{[2]}x^2(t) \right) \\ &= nF^{[1]}x^n(t) + nF^{[2]}x^{n+1}(t) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} U_{n,k}x^k(t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

where the Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  is upper-bidiagonal with the matrix elements

$$U_{n,k} = nF^{[1]}\delta_{k,n} + nF^{[2]}\delta_{k,n+1} \quad (\text{A11})$$

So the solution of the linear dynamics of Eq. A10

$$x^k(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} \langle k|e^{t\mathbf{U}}|q\rangle x^q(0) \quad (\text{A12})$$

can be written in terms of the explicit spectral decomposition of Eq. B2 derived in subsection B 1 of Appendix B

$$\langle k|e^{t\mathbf{U}}|q\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e^{te_n} \langle k|r_n\rangle \langle l_n|q\rangle \quad (\text{A13})$$

with the following notations :

(i) the eigenvalues  $e_n$  of the upper-bidiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  are directly given by the diagonal matrix elements  $U_{n,n} = nF^{[1]}$  of Eq. A11

$$e_n = U_{n,n} = nF^{[1]} \quad (\text{A14})$$

(ii) the right eigenvectors of Eq. B10 read using Eq. A11 and the notation  $x_* = -\frac{F^{[1]}}{F^{[2]}}$  of Eq. A2

$$\langle k|r_n\rangle = r_n(k) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{U_{j,j+1}}{e_n - e_j} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{jF^{[2]}}{(n-j)F^{[1]}} = \left(-\frac{1}{x_*}\right)^{n-k} \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 1 & \text{for } k = n \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{A15})$$

(iii) the left eigenvectors of Eq. B16 read using Eq. A11 and the notation  $x_* = -\frac{F^{[1]}}{F^{[2]}}$  of Eq. A2

$$\langle l_n|q\rangle = l_n^*(q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q < n \\ 1 & \text{for } q = n \\ \prod_{j=n+1}^q \frac{U_{j-1,j}}{e_n - e_j} = \prod_{j=n+1}^q \frac{(j-1)F^{[2]}}{(n-j)F^{[1]}} = \left(\frac{1}{x_*}\right)^{q-n} \frac{(q-1)!}{(n-1)!(q-n)!} & \text{for } q > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{A16})$$

Putting everything together, Eq. A12 becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
x^k(t) &= \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e^{te_n} \langle k|r_n \rangle \langle l_n|q \rangle \right) x^q(0) = \sum_{q=k}^{+\infty} x^q(0) \sum_{n=k}^q e^{te_n} \langle k|r_n \rangle \langle l_n|q \rangle \\
&= \sum_{q=k}^{+\infty} x^q(0) \sum_{n=k}^q e^{tnF^{[1]}} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{x_*} \right)^{n-k} \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{x_*} \right)^{q-n} \frac{(q-1)!}{(n-1)!(q-n)!} \right] \\
&= \sum_{q=k}^{+\infty} x^q(0) \frac{(q-1)!}{(k-1)!x_*^{q-k}} \sum_{n=k}^q e^{tnF^{[1]}} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!(q-n)!}
\end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

that coincides with the calculation of Eq. A9 based on the direct exact solution.

### 3. Discussion

This simple deterministic example is thus useful to see how the Carleman approach described in subsection A 2 is in agreement with the direct calculation described in subsection A 1.

## Appendix B: Explicit spectral decomposition of bidiagonal matrices

In this Appendix, we write the explicit spectral decompositions of bidiagonal matrices that are useful in various sections of the paper.

### 1. Case of upper-bidiagonal matrices $\mathbf{U}$

In this subsection, we focus on the upper-bidiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  with matrix elements for  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$\langle n|\mathbf{U}|k \rangle = U_{n,n}\delta_{k,n} + U_{n,n+1}\delta_{k,n+1} = e_n\delta_{k,n} + U_{n,n+1}\delta_{k,n+1} \tag{B1}$$

where the diagonal elements  $U_{n,n}$  giving the possible eigenvalues  $e_n = U_{n,n}$  will be assumed to be all different in order to write the spectral decomposition

$$\mathbf{U} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e_n |r_n \rangle \langle l_n| \tag{B2}$$

in terms of the right and left eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{U}|r_n \rangle &= e_n |r_n \rangle \\
\langle l_n|\mathbf{U} &= e_n \langle l_n|
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

that form a bi-orthogonal basis

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle l_n|r_{n'} \rangle &= \delta_{n,n'} \\
\mathbf{1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |r_n \rangle \langle l_n|
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

Let us now focus on a given eigenvalue  $e_n$  in order to compute the corresponding right and left eigenvectors in the two following subsections.

#### a. Computation of the right eigenvector $|r_n \rangle$ associated to the eigenvalue $e_n = U_{n,n}$

The eigenvalue Eq. B3 for right eigenvector can be projected on the basis  $\langle k|$  to obtain

$$e_n \langle k|r_n \rangle = \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} \langle k|\mathbf{U}|q \rangle \langle q|r_n \rangle = e_k \langle k|r_n \rangle + U_{k,k+1} \langle k+1|r_n \rangle \tag{B5}$$

i.e. more explicitly for the components  $r_n(k) = \langle k|r_n \rangle$  with  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
k=0: \quad e_n r_n(0) &= e_0 r_n(0) + U_{0,1} r_n(1) \\
k=1: \quad e_n r_n(1) &= e_1 r_n(1) + U_{1,2} r_n(2) \\
&\dots \\
k=n-1: \quad e_n r_n(n-1) &= e_{n-1} r_n(n-1) + U_{n-1,n} r_n(n) \\
k=n: \quad e_n r_n(n) &= e_n r_n(n) + U_{n,n+1} r_n(n+1) \\
k=n+1: \quad e_n r_n(n+1) &= e_{n+1} r_n(n+1) + U_{n+1,n+2} r_n(n+2) \\
k=n+2: \quad e_n r_n(n+2) &= e_{n+2} r_n(n+2) + U_{n+2,n+3} r_n(n+3) \\
&\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

The equation for  $k = n$  yields that  $r_n(n+1) = 0$ , and the equations for  $k > n$  then yield iteratively that all the components for  $k \geq n+1$  also vanish

$$r_n(k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n \tag{B7}$$

One can then choose the following normalization for the component  $k = n$

$$r_n(k = n) = 1 \tag{B8}$$

and compute the other components for  $k = n-1, n-2, \dots, 0$  via the recurrence

$$r_n(k) = \frac{U_{k,k+1}}{e_n - e_k} r_n(k+1) \tag{B9}$$

to obtain the final result

$$\langle k|r_n \rangle = r_n(k) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{U_{j,j+1}}{e_n - e_j} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 1 & \text{for } k = n \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n \end{cases} \tag{B10}$$

*b. Computation of the left eigenvector  $\langle l_n|$  associated to the eigenvalue  $e_n = U_{n,n}$*

The eigenvalue Eq. B3 for left eigenvector  $\langle l_n|$  can be projected onto the basis  $|q\rangle$  to obtain

$$e_n \langle l_n|q \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \langle l_n|k \rangle \langle k|\mathbf{U}|q \rangle = \langle l_n|q \rangle e_q + \langle l_n|q-1 \rangle U_{q-1,q} \tag{B11}$$

i.e. more explicitly for the components  $\langle l_n|q \rangle = l_n^*(q)$  with  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
q=0: \quad e_n l_n^*(0) &= l_n^*(0) e_0 + 0 \\
q=1: \quad e_n l_n^*(1) &= l_n^*(1) e_1 + l_n^*(0) U_{0,1} \\
&\dots \\
q=n-1: \quad e_n l_n^*(n-1) &= l_n^*(n-1) e_{n-1} + l_n^*(n-2) U_{n-2,n-1} \\
q=n: \quad e_n l_n^*(n) &= l_n^*(n) e_n + l_n^*(n-1) U_{n-1,n} \\
q=n+1: \quad e_n l_n^*(n+1) &= l_n^*(n+1) e_{n+1} + l_n^*(n) U_{n,n+1} \\
q=n+2: \quad e_n l_n^*(n+2) &= l_n^*(n+2) e_{n+2} + l_n^*(n+1) U_{n+1,n+2} \\
&\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{B12}$$

The equation for  $q = n$  yields that  $l_n^*(n-1) = 0$ , and the equations for  $q < n$  then yield iteratively that all components for  $q \leq n-1$  also vanish

$$l_n^*(q) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq q < n \tag{B13}$$



The previous choice  $r_n(n) = 1$  of Eq. B8 and the normalization of Eq. B4

$$1 = \langle l_n | r_n \rangle = \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} \langle l_n | q \rangle \langle q | r_n \rangle = \langle l_n | n \rangle \langle n | r_n \rangle = l_n^*(n) r_n(n) = l_n^*(n) \quad (\text{B14})$$

determines the component  $l_n^*(q = n) = 1$ , while the components for  $q > n$  can be then computed via the recurrence

$$l_n^*(q) = l_n^*(q-1) \frac{U_{q-1,q}}{e_n - e_q} \quad (\text{B15})$$

to obtain the final result

$$\langle l_n | q \rangle = l_n^*(q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q < n \\ 1 & \text{for } q = n \\ \prod_{j=n+1}^q \frac{U_{j-1,j}}{e_n - e_j} & \text{for } q > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{B16})$$

## 2. Spectral properties of lower-bidiagonal matrices $\mathbf{M}$

To obtain the spectral properties of lower-bidiagonal matrices  $\mathbf{M}$ , one can remake the computations as in the previous subsection, but one can also obtain directly the results by considering that the lower-bidiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is the adjoint  $\mathbf{U}^\dagger$  of a upper-bidiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{U}$

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \quad (\text{B17})$$

with the following correspondence with the matrix elements of Eq. B1

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k | \mathbf{M} | n \rangle = \langle n | \mathbf{U} | k \rangle^* &= U_{n,n}^* \delta_{k,n} + U_{n,n+1}^* \delta_{k,n+1} \\ &\equiv M_{k,k} \delta_{n,k} + M_{k,k-1} \delta_{n,k-1} \quad \text{with } M_{k,k} = U_{k,k}^* \text{ and } M_{k,k-1} = U_{k-1,k}^* \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B18})$$

and with the spectral decomposition of Eq. B2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e_n^* |l_n\rangle \langle r_n| \\ &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n |R_n\rangle \langle L_n| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B19})$$

with the following conclusions :

(i) the eigenvalues  $E_n$  of the lower-bidiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  are given by the diagonal elements  $M_{n,n}$  as expected and correspond to the complex conjugate of the eigenvalues  $e_n$  of  $\mathbf{U}$

$$E_n = e_n^* = U_{n,n}^* = M_{n,n} \quad (\text{B20})$$

(ii) the right eigenvector  $|R_n\rangle$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  associated to  $E_n$  correspond to  $|l_n\rangle$  with the components obtained from Eq B16

$$\langle q | R_n \rangle = \langle q | l_n \rangle = l_n(q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q < n \\ 1 & \text{for } q = n \\ \prod_{j=n+1}^q \frac{U_{j-1,j}^*}{e_n^* - e_j^*} = \prod_{j=n+1}^q \frac{M_{j,j-1}}{E_n - E_j} & \text{for } q > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{B21})$$

(iii) the left eigenvector  $\langle L_n |$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  associated to  $E_n$  correspond to  $\langle r_n |$  with the components obtained from Eq B10

$$\langle L_n | k \rangle = \langle r_n | k \rangle = r_n^*(k) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{U_{j,j+1}^*}{e_n^* - e_j^*} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_{j+1,j}}{E_n - E_j} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 1 & \text{for } k = n \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{B22})$$

### Appendix C: Explicit spectral decomposition of block-bidiagonal matrices

In this Appendix, the goal is to generalize the previous Appendix B to the case of block-bidiagonal matrices. The diagonal-block  $\mathbf{U}^{[0]}$  can be decomposed into its blocks  $\mathbf{U}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$  associated to a given degree  $n$

$$\mathbf{U}^{[0]} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{U}_{[n,n]}^{[0]} \quad (\text{C1})$$

and the spectral decomposition of each block  $\mathbf{U}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$

$$\mathbf{U}_{[n,n]}^{[0]} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n e_{n,\alpha} |r_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}\rangle \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}| \quad (\text{C2})$$

is assumed to be known in terms of its eigenvalues  $e_{n,\alpha}$  and the bi-orthogonal basis of its left eigenvectors  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}|$  and right eigenvectors  $|r_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}\rangle$ . [Note that this spectral decomposition of diagonal-block  $\mathbf{U}^{[0]}$  replaces the orthonormalized basis  $|k\rangle$  of the previous Appendix B].

#### 1. Case of block-upper-bidiagonal matrices $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]}$

In this subsection, we focus on the case of block-upper-bidiagonal matrices  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]}$  where the off-diagonal block  $\mathbf{U}^{[1]}$  can be decomposed into the blocks  $\mathbf{U}_{[n,n+1]}^{[1]}$  associated to the consecutive degrees  $n$  and  $(n+1)$

$$\mathbf{U}^{[1]} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{U}_{[n,n+1]}^{[1]} \quad (\text{C3})$$

The eigenvalues of the block-matrix  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]}$  are the same as the eigenvalues  $e_{n,\alpha}$  of the diagonal block  $\mathbf{U}^{[0]}$  of Eq. C2, while the corresponding right and left eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]})|r_{n,\alpha}\rangle &= e_{n,\alpha}|r_{n,\alpha}\rangle \\ \langle l_{n,\alpha}|(\mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]}) &= e_{n,\alpha}\langle l_{n,\alpha}| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C4})$$

can be computed as explained in the following subsections.

##### a. Perturbation theory for $\mathbf{U}(\epsilon) = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \epsilon\mathbf{U}^{[1]}$ at all orders in $\epsilon$

It is technically convenient to introduce a perturbation parameter  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$  and to define the matrices that have exactly the same block-diagonal part  $\mathbf{U}^{[0]}$  but have a reduced block-off-diagonal part  $\mathbf{U}^{[1]}$

$$\mathbf{U}(\epsilon) = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \epsilon\mathbf{U}^{[1]} \quad (\text{C5})$$

in order to interpolate continuously between the block-diagonal case  $\mathbf{U}(\epsilon = 0) = \mathbf{U}^{[0]}$  and the full upper-bidiagonal matrix  $\mathbf{U}(\epsilon = 1) = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]} = \mathbf{U}$  one is interested in. The eigenvalues  $e_{n,\alpha}$  of  $\mathbf{U}(\epsilon)$  are independent of  $\epsilon$  while the corresponding right eigenvector  $|r_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)\rangle$  and left eigenvector  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)|$  depend continuously on  $\epsilon$  and can be expanded in power-series

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)\rangle &= \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle \\ \langle l_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)| &= \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$

around the unperturbed eigenvectors for  $\epsilon = 0$

Let us plug the series expansions of Eqs C6 into the eigenvalue equation for the right eigenvector  $|r_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = (\mathbf{U}(\epsilon) - e_{n,\alpha}) |r_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)\rangle &= \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} + \epsilon \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \right) \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle + \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{p+1} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle \\
&= \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p \left[ \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle + \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p-1)}\rangle \right] \quad (\text{C7})
\end{aligned}$$

and into the eigenvalue equation for the left eigenvector  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)|$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \langle l_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon)| (\mathbf{U}(\epsilon) - e_{n,\alpha}) &= \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} + \epsilon \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \right) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) + \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon^{p+1} \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \\
&= \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}| \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \epsilon^p \left[ \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) + \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p-1)}| \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \right] \quad (\text{C8})
\end{aligned}$$

These eigenvalues equations are satisfied for the unperturbed case  $\epsilon = 0$ , while the vanishing at all orders  $\epsilon^p$  leads to the following simple recurrences between consecutive orders for any  $p = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle + \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p-1)}\rangle \\
0 &= \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \left( \mathbf{U}^{[0]} - e_{n,\alpha} \right) + \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p-1)}| \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \quad (\text{C9})
\end{aligned}$$

Note that these simplifications with respect to the usual perturbation theory familiar from quantum mechanics come from the fact that the eigenvalues  $e_{n,\alpha}$  do not depend on the perturbation parameter  $\epsilon$  here.

It is useful to introduce the inverse  $\mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}$  of the operator  $(e_{n,\alpha} - \mathbf{U}^{[0]})$  in the subspace orthogonal to  $|r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}|$

$$\mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \equiv \left( \mathbb{1} - |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}| \right) \frac{1}{e_{n,\alpha} - \mathbf{U}^{[0]}} \left( \mathbb{1} - |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}| \right) = \sum_{(k,\beta) \neq (n,\alpha)} \frac{|r_{k,\beta}^{(0)}\rangle \langle l_{k,\beta}^{(0)}|}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{k,\beta}} \quad (\text{C10})$$

in order to rewrite the recurrences of Eq. C9 as

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle &= \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p-1)}\rangle \\
\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| &= \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p-1)}| \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \quad (\text{C11})
\end{aligned}$$

so that the iteration up to the unperturbed eigenvectors  $|r_{n,\alpha}^{(p=0)}\rangle$  and  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p=0)}|$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle &= \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p-2)}\rangle = \dots = \left( \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \right)^p |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle \\
\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| &= \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p-2)}| \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} = \dots = \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}| \left( \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \right)^p \quad (\text{C12})
\end{aligned}$$

Up to now the analysis is actually valid for any block-upper-triangular matrix. In the next subsection, we take into account the specific form of Eq. C3 for the off-diagonal part  $\mathbf{U}^{[1]}$  to obtain more explicit expressions.

*b. Taking into account the specific form of off-diagonal part  $\mathbf{U}^{[1]} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{U}_{[n,n+1]}^{[1]}$*

Let us now take into account that the off-diagonal part  $\mathbf{U}^{[1]}$  of Eq. C3 has non-vanishing matrix elements only between consecutive degrees  $[n, n+1]$ , while the Green function  $\mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}$  of Eq. C10 has non-vanishing matrix elements only between coinciding degrees  $[k, k]$ .

As a consequence, the recurrences of Eq C11 for  $p = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}\rangle &= \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle = \left[ \sum_{(k,\beta) \neq (n,\alpha)} \frac{|r_{k,\beta}^{(0)}\rangle \langle l_{k,\beta}^{(0)}|}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{k,\beta}} \right] \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_1} |r_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)}\rangle \frac{\langle l_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n-1,\alpha_1}} \\
\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(1)} | &= \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} = \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \left[ \sum_{(k,\beta) \neq (n,\alpha)} \frac{|r_{k,\beta}^{(0)}\rangle \langle l_{k,\beta}^{(0)}|}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{k,\beta}} \right] = \sum_{\alpha_1} \frac{\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n+1,\alpha_1}} \langle l_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} | \quad (C13)
\end{aligned}$$

yields that the first correction  $|r_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}\rangle$  of the right eigenvector is a linear combination of the unperturbed right eigenvectors  $|r_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)}\rangle$  of the lower degree  $(n-1)$ , while the first correction  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}|$  of the left eigenvector is a linear combination of the unperturbed left eigenvectors  $\langle l_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)}|$  of the bigger degree  $(n+1)$ .

Plugging Eqs C13 into the recurrences of Eq C11 for  $p = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_{n,\alpha}^{(2)}\rangle &= \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_1} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \mathbf{U}^{[1]} |r_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)}\rangle \frac{\langle l_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n-1,\alpha_1}} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} |r_{n-2,\alpha_2}^{(0)}\rangle \left( \frac{\langle l_{n-2,\alpha_2}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n-2,\alpha_2}} \right) \left( \frac{\langle l_{n-1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n-1,\alpha_1}} \right) \\
\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(2)} | &= \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(1)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} = \sum_{\alpha_1} \frac{\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n+1,\alpha_1}} \langle l_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} \mathbf{G}_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} \left( \frac{\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n+1,\alpha_1}} \right) \left( \frac{\langle l_{n+1,\alpha_1}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n+2,\alpha_2}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha} - e_{n+2,\alpha_2}} \right) \langle l_{n+2,\alpha_2}^{(0)} | \quad (C14)
\end{aligned}$$

yields that the second correction  $|r_{n,\alpha}^{(2)}\rangle$  of the right eigenvector is a linear combination of the unperturbed right eigenvectors  $|r_{n-2,\alpha_2}^{(0)}\rangle$  of the lower degree  $(n-2)$ , while the second correction  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(2)}|$  of the left eigenvector is a linear combination of the unperturbed left eigenvectors  $\langle l_{n+2,\alpha_2}^{(0)}|$  of the bigger degree  $(n+2)$ .

Via iteration, one obtains the following results in terms of the notation  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  :

(i) For  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , the correction  $|r_{n,\alpha_0}^{(p)}\rangle$  of the right eigenvector is a linear combination of the unperturbed right eigenvectors  $|r_{n-p,\alpha_p}^{(0)}\rangle$  of the lower degree  $(n-p)$  with the following coefficients

$$|r_{n,\alpha_0}^{(p)}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_p} \dots \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} |r_{n-p,\alpha_p}^{(0)}\rangle \left[ \prod_{j=1}^p \left( \frac{\langle l_{n-j,\alpha_j}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n-j+1,\alpha_{j-1}}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha_0} - e_{n-j,\alpha_j}} \right) \right] \quad (C15)$$

while there are no corrections of order  $p > n$ .

(ii) For  $p \geq 1$ , the correction  $\langle l_{n,\alpha_0}^{(p)}|$  of the left eigenvector is a linear combination of the unperturbed left eigenvectors  $\langle l_{n+p,\alpha_p}^{(0)}|$  of the bigger degree  $(n+p)$  with the following coefficients

$$\langle l_{n,\alpha_0}^{(p)} | = \sum_{\alpha_p} \dots \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^p \left( \frac{\langle l_{n+j-1,\alpha_{j-1}}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n+j,\alpha_j}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha_0} - e_{n+j,\alpha_j}} \right) \right] \langle l_{n+p,\alpha_p}^{(0)} | \quad (C16)$$

In summary, the corrections of various orders  $p$  that appear in perturbation series of Eqs C6 have for non-vanishing coefficients in the different blocks  $(n-p)$  and  $(n+p)$  respectively for right and left eigenvectors.

c. Conclusion for the right and left eigenvectors of the block-upper-bidiagonal matrices  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]}$

For  $\epsilon = 1$ , the perturbation series of Eqs C6

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{n,\alpha}\rangle &= |r_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon = 1)\rangle = |r_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} |r_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}\rangle \\ \langle l_{n,\alpha}| &= \langle l_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon = 1)| = \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(0)}| + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \langle l_{n,\alpha}^{(p)}| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C17})$$

with the explicit results of Eqs C15 and C16 leads to the following coefficients in the bi-orthogonal basis of unperturbed eigenvectors :

(i) the right eigenvectors  $|r_{n,\alpha_0}\rangle$  have for coefficients

$$\langle l_{k,\beta}^{(0)} | r_{n,\alpha_0} \rangle = \begin{cases} \delta_{\beta,\alpha_{n-k}} \sum_{\alpha_{n-k-1}} \dots \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} \left( \frac{\langle l_{n-j,\alpha_j}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n-j+1,\alpha_{j-1}}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha_0} - e_{n-j,\alpha_j}} \right) \right] & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ \delta_{\beta,\alpha_0} & \text{for } k = n \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{C18})$$

(ii) the left eigenvectors  $\langle l_{n,\alpha}|$  have for coefficients

$$\langle l_{n,\alpha_0} | r_{q,\beta}^{(0)} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q < n \\ \delta_{\beta,\alpha_0} & \text{for } q = n \\ \delta_{\beta,\alpha_{q-n}} \sum_{\alpha_{q-n-1}} \dots \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{q-n} \left( \frac{\langle l_{n+j-1,\alpha_{j-1}}^{(0)} | \mathbf{U}^{[1]} | r_{n+j,\alpha_j}^{(0)} \rangle}{e_{n,\alpha_0} - e_{n+j,\alpha_j}} \right) \right] & \text{for } q > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{C19})$$

These formulas C18 and C19 concerning the block-upper-bidiagonal case generalize the results of Eqs B10 and B16 concerning the case of block-upper-bidiagonal matrices that are recovered when there are no internal structures in the blocks.

## 2. Case of block-lower-bidiagonal matrices $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

As in Eq. B17 of the previous Appendix, the results for block-lower-bidiagonal matrices  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} + \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  can be directly translated from the case of block-upper-bidiagonal matrices  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{[0]} + \mathbf{U}^{[1]}$  considered in the previous subsection C 1 by considering that  $\mathbf{M}$  is the adjoint  $\mathbf{U}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbf{U}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} &= \mathbf{U}^\dagger \\ \mathbf{M}^{[0]} &= [\mathbf{U}^{[0]}]^\dagger \\ \mathbf{M}^{[-1]} &= [\mathbf{U}^{[1]}]^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C20})$$

The spectral decomposition of Eq. C2 for the diagonal block  $\mathbf{U}_{[n,n]}^{[0]}$

$$\mathbf{M}_{[n,n]}^{[0]} = [\mathbf{U}^{[0]}]^\dagger = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n e_{n,\alpha}^* |l_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}\rangle \langle r_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}| \equiv \sum_{\alpha=0}^n E_{n,\alpha} |R_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}\rangle \langle L_{n,\alpha}^{[0]}| \quad (\text{C21})$$

and the spectral decomposition of the full matrix  $\mathbf{U}$

$$\mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{U}]^\dagger = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\alpha=0}^n e_{n,\alpha}^* |l_{n,\alpha}\rangle \langle r_{n,\alpha}| \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\alpha=0}^n E_{n,\alpha} |R_{n,\alpha}\rangle \langle L_{n,\alpha}| \quad (\text{C22})$$

lead to the following conclusions :

(i) the eigenvalues  $E_{\mathbf{U}^{[1]}\alpha}$  of the  $\mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  and of  $\mathbf{M}$  are the complex conjugate of the eigenvalues  $e_{n,\alpha}$  of  $\mathbf{U}^{[0]}$  and of  $\mathbf{U}$

$$E_{n,\alpha} = e_{n,\alpha}^* \quad (\text{C23})$$

(ii) the scalar products of the right eigenvector  $|R_{n,\alpha_0}\rangle = |l_{n,\alpha_0}\rangle$  with the unperturbed left eigenvectors  $\langle L_{q,\beta}^{[0]}| = \langle r_{q,\beta}^{[0]}|$  are obtained from Eq. C19

$$\langle L_{q,\beta}^{[0]}|R_{n,\alpha_0}\rangle = \left(\langle l_{n,\alpha_0}|r_{q,\beta}^{(0)}\rangle\right)^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q < n \\ \delta_{\beta,\alpha_0} & \text{for } q = n \\ \delta_{\beta,\alpha_{q-n}} \sum_{\alpha_{q-n-1}} \dots \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{q-n} \left( \frac{\langle r_{n+j,\alpha_j}^{(0)}|\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}|l_{n+j-1,\alpha_{j-1}}^{(0)}\rangle}{E_{n,\alpha_0} - E_{n+j,\alpha_j}} \right) \right] & \text{for } q > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{C24})$$

(iii) the scalar products of the left eigenvector  $\langle L_{n,\alpha_0}| = \langle r_{n,\alpha_0}|$  with the unperturbed left eigenvectors  $|R_{k,\beta}^{[0]} = |l_{k,\beta}^{[0]}\rangle$  are obtained from Eq B10

$$\langle L_{n,\alpha_0}|R_{k,\beta}^{[0]}\rangle = \left(\langle l_{k,\beta}^{(0)}|r_{n,\alpha_0}\rangle\right)^* = \begin{cases} \delta_{\beta,\alpha_{n-k}} \sum_{\alpha_{n-k-1}} \dots \sum_{\alpha_2} \sum_{\alpha_1} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} \left( \frac{\langle r_{n-j+1,\alpha_{j-1}}^{(0)}|\mathbf{M}^{[-1]}|l_{n-j,\alpha_j}^{(0)}\rangle}{E_{n,\alpha_0} - E_{n-j,\alpha_j}} \right) \right] & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ \delta_{\beta,\alpha_0} & \text{for } k = n \\ 0 & \text{for } k > n \end{cases} \quad (\text{C25})$$

These formulas C24 and C25 concerning the block-lower-bidiagonal case generalize the results of Eqs B21 and B22 concerning the case of block-lower-bidiagonal matrices that are recovered when there are no internal structures in the blocks.

#### Appendix D: Diffusions in dimension $d$ with block-diagonal Carleman matrices $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$

In this Appendix, we focus on diffusions in dimension  $d$  with block-diagonal Carleman matrices  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{[0]}$  in order to see if the strategy described in subsection VIII C for the dimension  $d = 2$  can be applied to the Stratonovich system of Eq. 105 in dimension  $d > 2$ .

##### 1. Dynamics for the $(d-1)$ ratios $R_j(t) = \frac{x_j(t)}{x_1(t)}$ for $j = 2, \dots, d$

As in Eq. 232 concerning the dimension  $d = 2$ , it is useful to replace the  $(d-1)$  variables  $x_j(t)$  by the  $(d-1)$  ratios

$$R_j(t) \equiv \frac{x_j(t)}{x_1(t)} \in ]-\infty, +\infty[ \quad \text{with periodic B.C. at } (\pm\infty) \quad (\text{D1})$$

They satisfy the following Stratonovich system obtained from Eq. 105 that does not depend on  $x_1(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} dR_j(t) &= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} x_i(t)\right) dt + \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} x_j(t) dB_j(t)}{x_1(t)} - R_j(t) \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^d f_{1i}^{[1]} x_i(t)\right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} x_1(t) dB_1(t)}{x_1(t)} \\ &= \left(f_{j1}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]} R_j(t) + \sum_{i=2}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} R_i(t) - R_j(t) \sum_{i=2}^d f_{1i}^{[1]} R_i(t)\right) dt + R_j(t) \left[\sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} dB_j(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1(t)\right] \\ &\equiv \mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)] dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \mathcal{G}_{j\alpha}[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)] dB_\alpha(t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D2})$$

where the Stratonovich forces  $\mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]$  are polynomial of degree 2 with respect to its  $(d-1)$  variables

$$\mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)] \equiv f_{j1}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]} R_j(t) + \sum_{i=2}^d f_{ji}^{[1]} R_i(t) - R_j(t) \sum_{i=2}^d f_{1i}^{[1]} R_i(t) \quad (\text{D3})$$

while the amplitudes of the  $d$  noises  $\alpha = 1, \dots, d$  that appear in the SDE of  $R_j(t)$  with  $2 \leq j \leq d$

$$\mathcal{G}_{j\alpha}[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)] = R_j \left[ \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} \delta_{j,\alpha} - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} \delta_{1,\alpha} \right] \quad (\text{D4})$$

produce the following symmetric diffusion matrix  $\mathcal{D}_{ij}(R_2, \dots, R_d)$  of Eq. 5 for  $2 \leq i \leq d$  and  $2 \leq j \leq d$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{ji}[R_2, \dots, R_d] &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \mathcal{G}_{j\alpha}[R_j] \mathcal{G}_{i\alpha}[R_i] = \frac{1}{2} R_j R_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \left[ \sqrt{2D_j^{[2]}} \delta_{j,\alpha} - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} \delta_{1,\alpha} \right] \left[ \sqrt{2D_i^{[2]}} \delta_{i,\alpha} - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} \delta_{1,\alpha} \right] \\ &= R_j R_i \left( D_j^{[2]} \delta_{j,i} + D_1^{[2]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D5})$$

with its diagonal elements for  $j = i$

$$\mathcal{D}_{jj}[R_2, \dots, R_d] = R_j^2 \left( D_j^{[2]} + D_1^{[2]} \right) \quad (\text{D6})$$

and its off-diagonal elements for  $j \neq i$

$$\mathcal{D}_{j \neq i}[R_2, \dots, R_d] = R_j R_i D_1^{[2]} \quad (\text{D7})$$

The Ito forces  $\mathcal{F}_j^{Ito}[R_2, \dots, R_d]$  that can be computed from the Stratonovich forces  $\mathcal{F}_j[R_2, \dots, R_d]$  via Eq. 13 using Eq. D4

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_j^{Ito}[R_2, \dots, R_d] &= \mathcal{F}_j[R_2, \dots, R_d] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^d \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \mathcal{G}_{i\alpha}(R_i) \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{j\alpha}(R_j)}{\partial R_i} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_j[R_2, \dots, R_d] + R_j \left( D_1^{[2]} + D_j^{[2]} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D8})$$

are useful to write the generator of Eq. 10

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=2}^d \mathcal{F}_j^{Ito}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{i=2}^d \mathcal{D}_{i,j}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_i \partial R_j} \quad (\text{D9})$$

As discussed around Eq. 246 concerning the dimension  $d = 2$ , one expects that this dynamics will converge towards a steady state  $\rho_{st}(R_2, \dots, R_d)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d] &= - \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} \left[ \mathcal{F}_j^{Ito}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \rho_{st}((R_2, \dots, R_d)) - \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} (\mathcal{D}_{i,j}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d]) \right] \\ &\equiv - \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} \mathcal{J}_j^{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

i.e. the corresponding steady current with  $(d-1)$  components for  $j = 2, \dots, d$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_j^{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d] &= \mathcal{F}_j^{Ito}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d] - \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial R_i} (\mathcal{D}_{i,j}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d]) \\ &= \left( \mathcal{F}_j^{Ito}[R_2, \dots, R_d] - \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_{i,j}[R_2, \dots, R_d]}{\partial R_i} \right) \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d] - \sum_{i=2}^d \mathcal{D}_{i,j}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \frac{\partial \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d]}{\partial R_i} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D11})$$

should have a vanishing divergence.

## 2. Dynamics of $x_1(t)$ and its finite-time Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1(T)$

The remaining coordinate  $x_1(t)$  satisfies the dynamics obtained from Eq. 105

$$\frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} = \left( f_{11}^{[1]} + \sum_{i=2}^d f_{1i}^{[1]} R_i(t) \right) dt + \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1(t) \quad (\text{D12})$$

It is then useful to introduce the normalized noise

$$dB^\perp(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}}} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{dB_i(t)}{\sqrt{D_i^{[2]}}} \quad (\text{D13})$$

that is uncorrelated with the  $(d-1)$  linear combinations  $\left(\sqrt{D_j^{[2]}}dB_j(t) - \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t)\right)$  that appear in the SDE of the ratios  $R_j(t)$  for  $j = 2, \dots, d$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ dB_R^\perp(t) \left( \sqrt{D_j^{[2]}}dB_j(t) - \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) \right) \right] = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, d \quad (\text{D14})$$

Then one can rewrite Eq. D13 as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}} dB^\perp(t) &= \frac{dB_1(t)}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}} + \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\left( \sqrt{D_j^{[2]}}dB_j(t) - \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) \right) + \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t)}{D_j^{[2]}} \\ &= dB_1(t) \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}} + \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right] + \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\left( \sqrt{D_j^{[2]}}dB_j(t) - \sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) \right)}{D_j^{[2]}} \\ &= dB_1(t) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\sqrt{D_1^{[2]}}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right] + \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{dR_j(t) - \mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]dt}{R_j(t)\sqrt{2}D_j^{[2]}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D15})$$

where we have used the SDE of Eq. D2. One can then plug

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}}dB_1(t) &= \frac{\sqrt{2 \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}} dB^\perp(t) - \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{dR_j(t) - \mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]dt}{R_j(t)D_j^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}}} dB^\perp(t) - \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}} \right]} \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{dR_j(t)}{R_j(t)D_j^{[2]}} + \frac{dt}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}} \right]} \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]}{R_j(t)D_j^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D16})$$

into Eq. D12 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} &= \left( f_{11}^{[1]} + \sum_{i=2}^d f_{1i}^{[1]} R_i(t) + \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]}{R_j(t)D_j^{[2]}} \right) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}}} dB^\perp(t) - \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}} \right]} \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{dR_j(t)}{R_j(t)D_j^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D17})$$

Let us now use the explicit form of the Stratonovich forces  $\mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]$  of Eq. D2 to simplify the term in  $dt$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right] \left( f_{11}^{[1]} + \sum_{i=2}^d f_{1i}^{[1]} R_i(t) \right) + \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\mathcal{F}_j[R_2(t), \dots, R_d(t)]}{D_j^{[2]} R_j(t)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f_{jj}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} + \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{f_{1i}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_i(t) + \sum_{j=2}^d \left( \frac{f_{j1}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_j(t)} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{i \neq 1, j} \left( \frac{f_{ji}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_i(t)}{R_j(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D18})$$



so that Eq. D17 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} &= \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f_{jj}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} + \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{f_{1i}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_i(t) + \sum_{j=2}^d \left( \frac{f_{j1}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_j(t)} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{i \neq 1, j} \left( \frac{f_{ji}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_i(t)}{R_j(t)} \right) dt \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}}} dB^\perp(t) - \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}} \right]} \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{dR_j(t)}{R_j(t) D_j^{[2]}} \end{aligned} \quad (D19)$$

The integration over the time interval  $t \in [0, T]$  (with the same remark as after Eq. 267) yields that the finite-time Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_1(T)$  of Eq. 223

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(T) &= \frac{1}{T} \ln \left| \frac{x_1(T)}{x_1(0)} \right| = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{dx_1(t)}{x_1(t)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f_{jj}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}}}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}}} B^\perp(t) - \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{D_i^{[2]}} \right]} \sum_{j=2}^d \frac{\ln \left| \frac{R(T)}{R(0)} \right|}{D_j^{[2]}} + A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); \dots; R_d(0 \leq t \leq T)] \end{aligned} \quad (D20)$$

involves the following functional  $A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); \dots; R_d(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  of the stochastic trajectories of the ratios  $R_j(0 \leq t \leq T)$

$$\begin{aligned} &A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); \dots; R_d(0 \leq t \leq T)] \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} \left( \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{f_{1i}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_i(t) + \sum_{j=2}^d \left( \frac{f_{j1}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_j(t)} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{i \neq 1, j} \left( \frac{f_{ji}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_i(t)}{R_j(t)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (D21)$$

As in Eq. 270, this time-averaging over the time-window  $[0, T]$  can be thus evaluated for large  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  by an average over the steady state  $\rho_{st}(R_2, \dots, R_d)$

$$\begin{aligned} &A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); \dots; R_d(0 \leq t \leq T)] \\ &\underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR_2 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR_d \rho_{st}[R_2, \dots, R_d] \frac{1}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} \left( \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{f_{1i}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_i + \sum_{j=2}^d \left( \frac{f_{j1}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_j} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{i \neq 1, j} \left( \frac{f_{ji}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_i}{R_j} \right) \\ &\equiv A(T = \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (D22)$$

As a consequence, the asymptotic value for  $T = +\infty$  of the Lyapunov exponent of Eq. D20

$$\lambda_1(T = \infty) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f_{jj}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}}}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} + A(T = \infty) \quad (D23)$$

while the Carleman moment

$$\begin{aligned}
m_T(n, 0) &= \mathbb{E}(x_1^n(T)) = \mathbb{E}\left(x_1^n(0)e^{Tn\lambda_1(T)}\right) \\
&\underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} e^{Tn \left[ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f_{jj}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right]} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{n \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}}} B^\perp(t)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(e^{Tn A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); \dots; R_d(0 \leq t \leq T)]}\right) \\
&\underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} e^{T \left[ n \left[ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f_{jj}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right] + n^2 \frac{2}{\sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}}} + \Phi(n) \right]}
\end{aligned} \tag{D24}$$

where  $\Phi(n)$  represents the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function of the additive observable  $A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); \dots; R_d(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  as in Eq. 276 and corresponds to the highest eigenvalue of the following tilted version of the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Eq. D9

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L} + \frac{n}{\left[ \sum_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{D_l^{[2]}} \right]} \left( \sum_{i=2}^d \frac{f_{1i}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_i + \sum_{j=2}^d \left( \frac{f_{j1}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_j} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{i \neq 1, j} \left( \frac{f_{ji}^{[1]}}{D_j^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_i}{R_j} \right) \tag{D25}$$

as in Eq. 281 concerning  $d = 2$ .

### 3. Example for the dimension $d = 3$

To be more concrete, let us write explicitly the case of the simplest dimension  $d = 3$  beyond the case  $d = 2$  analyzed in subsection VIII C of the main text.

$$a. \quad \text{Dynamics of the two ratios } R_2(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)} \text{ and } R_3(t) = \frac{x_3(t)}{x_1(t)}$$

In dimension  $d = 3$ , the Stratonovich system of Eq. D2 for the two ratios  $R_2(t) = \frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}$  and  $R_3(t) = \frac{x_3(t)}{x_1(t)}$  read

$$\begin{aligned}
dR_2(t) &= \left[ f_{21}^{[1]} + (f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]})R_2(t) + f_{23}^{[1]}R_3(t) - f_{12}^{[1]}R_2^2(t) - f_{13}^{[1]}R_2(t)R_3(t) \right] dt \\
&\quad + R_2(t) \left[ \sqrt{2D_2^{[2]}} dB_2(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1(t) \right] \\
dR_3(t) &= \left[ f_{31}^{[1]} + f_{32}^{[1]}R_2(t) + (f_{33}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]})R_3(t) - f_{12}^{[1]}R_2(t)R_3(t) - f_{13}^{[1]}R_3^2(t) \right] dt \\
&\quad + R_3(t) \left[ \sqrt{2D_3^{[2]}} dB_3(t) - \sqrt{2D_1^{[2]}} dB_1(t) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{D26}$$

The corresponding  $2 \times 2$  diffusion matrix has the two diagonal elements of Eqs D6 and the off-diagonal element of Eq. D7

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{22}[R_2, R_3] &= R_2^2 \left( D_2^{[2]} + D_1^{[2]} \right) \\
\mathcal{D}_{33}[R_2, R_3] &= R_3^2 \left( D_3^{[2]} + D_1^{[2]} \right) \\
\mathcal{D}_{23}[R_2, R_3] &= R_2 R_3 D_1^{[2]}
\end{aligned} \tag{D27}$$

The Ito forces of Eq. D8

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{Ito}[R_2, R_3] &= \mathcal{F}_2[R_2, \dots, R_d] + R_2 \left( D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]} \right) \\
&= f_{21}^{[1]} + (f_{22}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]} + D_1^{[2]} + D_2^{[2]}) R_2 + f_{23}^{[1]} R_3 - f_{12}^{[1]} R_2^2 - f_{13}^{[1]} R_2 R_3 \\
\mathcal{F}_3^{Ito}[R_2, R_3] &= \mathcal{F}_3[R_2, \dots, R_d] + R_3 \left( D_1^{[2]} + D_3^{[2]} \right) \\
&= f_{31}^{[1]} + f_{32}^{[1]} R_2 + (f_{33}^{[1]} - f_{11}^{[1]} + D_1^{[2]} + D_3^{[2]}) R_3 - f_{12}^{[1]} R_2 R_3 - f_{13}^{[1]} R_3^2
\end{aligned} \tag{D28}$$

are useful to write the generator of Eq. D9

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{F}_2^{Ito}[R_2, R_3] \frac{\partial}{\partial R_2} + \mathcal{F}_3^{Ito}[R_2, R_3] \frac{\partial}{\partial R_3} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{D}_{22}[R_2, R_3] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_2^2} + \mathcal{D}_{33}[R_2, R_3] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_3^2} + 2\mathcal{D}_{23}[R_2, R_3] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_2 \partial R_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{D29}$$

whose adjoint  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger$  appears in Eq. D10 satisfied by the steady state  $\rho_{st}[R_2, R_3]$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathcal{L}^\dagger \rho_{st}[R_2, R_3] \\
&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial R_2} \left[ \mathcal{F}_2^{Ito}[R_2, R_3] \rho_{st}(R_2, R_3) - \frac{\partial}{\partial R_2} (\mathcal{D}_{22}[R_2, R_3] \rho_{st}[R_2, R_3]) - \frac{\partial}{\partial R_3} (\mathcal{D}_{23}[R_2, R_3] \rho_{st}[R_2, R_3]) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial R_3} \left[ \mathcal{F}_3^{Ito}[R_2, R_3] \rho_{st}(R_2, R_3) - \frac{\partial}{\partial R_3} (\mathcal{D}_{33}[R_2, R_3] \rho_{st}[R_2, R_3]) - \frac{\partial}{\partial R_2} (\mathcal{D}_{23}[R_2, R_3] \rho_{st}[R_2, R_3]) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{D30}$$

#### 4. Dynamics of $x_1(t)$ and its finite-time Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1(T)$

For  $d = 3$ , the additive functional of Eq. D21 of the stochastic trajectories of the two ratios  $R_2(0 \leq t \leq T)$  and  $R_3(0 \leq t \leq T)$  reads

$$\begin{aligned}
A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); R_3(0 \leq t \leq T)] & \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \frac{\left( \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_2(t) + \frac{f_{13}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_3(t) + \left( \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_2(t)} + \left( \frac{f_{31}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_3(t)} + \left( \frac{f_{23}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_3(t)}{R_2(t)} + \left( \frac{f_{32}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_2(t)}{R_3(t)} \right)}{\left[ \frac{1}{D_1^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_2^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_3^{[2]}} \right]}
\end{aligned} \tag{D31}$$

with its asymptotic value for  $T = +\infty$  of Eq. D22

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); R_3(0 \leq t \leq T)] \\
&\stackrel{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR_2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dR_3 \rho_{st}[R_2, R_3] \frac{\left[ \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_2 + \frac{f_{13}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_3 + \left( \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_2} + \left( \frac{f_{31}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_3} + \left( \frac{f_{23}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_3}{R_2} + \left( \frac{f_{32}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_2}{R_3} \right]}{\left[ \frac{1}{D_1^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_2^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_3^{[2]}} \right]} \\
&\equiv A(T = \infty)
\end{aligned} \tag{D32}$$

that appears in the asymptotic value of Eq. D23 of the Lyapunov exponent

$$\lambda_1(T = \infty) = \frac{\left( \frac{f_{11}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} + \frac{f_{22}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} + \frac{f_{33}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right)}{\left( \frac{1}{D_1^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_2^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_3^{[2]}} \right)} + A(T = \infty) \tag{D33}$$

while the Carleman moment of Eq. D24 becomes

$$m_T(n, 0) = \mathbb{E}(x_1^n(T)) = \mathbb{E}\left(x_1^n(0)e^{Tn\lambda_1(T)}\right) \\ \underset{T \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} e^{\left[ n \left( \frac{f_{11}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} + \frac{f_{22}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} + \frac{f_{22}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) + 2n^2 \right] + \Phi(n)} \quad (D34)$$

where  $\Phi(n)$  represents the Scaled Cumulant Generating Function of the additive observable  $A_T[R_2(0 \leq t \leq T); R_3(0 \leq t \leq T)]$  as in Eq. 276 and corresponds to the highest eigenvalue of the following tilted version of the generator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Eq. D29

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L} + n \frac{\left[ \frac{f_{12}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_2 + \frac{f_{13}^{[1]}}{D_1^{[2]}} R_3 + \left( \frac{f_{21}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_2} + \left( \frac{f_{31}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) \frac{1}{R_3} + \left( \frac{f_{23}^{[1]}}{D_2^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_3}{R_2} + \left( \frac{f_{32}^{[1]}}{D_3^{[2]}} \right) \frac{R_2}{R_3} \right]}{\left[ \frac{1}{D_1^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_2^{[2]}} + \frac{1}{D_3^{[2]}} \right]} \quad (D35)$$

as in Eq. 281 concerning  $d = 2$ .

## 5. Discussion

In conclusion, the main ideas described in subsection VIII C for the dimension  $d = 2$  can be extended to analyze the Stratonovich system of Eq. 105 in dimension  $d > 2$ , but it is much more difficult to obtain explicit results, in particular for the steady state  $\rho_{st}(R_2, \dots, R_d)$  involving  $(d-1)$  variables in Eq. D10 and even for the special case  $d = 3$  for the steady state  $\rho_{st}(R_2, R_3)$  involving two variables in Eq. D30. Further work is needed to see if one can make progress in this analysis.

- 
- [1] K. Kowalski and W. H. Steeb, *Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Carleman Linearization*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.
  - [2] T. Carleman, *Acta. Math.* 59, 63 (1932).
  - [3] B. O. Koopman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 17, 315 (1931).
  - [4] B. O. Koopman and J. V. Neumann, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, Vol. 18, 255 (1932).
  - [5] J. von Neumann, *Annals of Mathematics* Vol. 33, 587 (1932) ;  
J. von Neumann, *Annals of Mathematics* Vol. 33, 789 (1932).
  - [6] I. Joseph, *Phys. Rev. Research* 2, 043102 (2020)
  - [7] A. Engel, G. Smith, S. E. Parker, *Physics of Plasmas* 28, 062305 (2021)
  - [8] Y. T. Lin, R. B. Lowrie, D. Aslangil, Y. Subasi, A. T. Sornborger, arXiv:2202.02188
  - [9] W.H. Streeb and F. Wilhelm, *J. Math. Analysis and Appl.* 77, 601 (1980)
  - [10] F. Caravelli, Y. T. Lin, *Physica A* 670, 130484 (2025).
  - [11] R.F.S. Andrade and A. Rauh, *Physics Letters A* 82, 276 (1981)
  - [12] R. F. S. Andrade, *J. Math. Phys.* 23, 2271 (1982)
  - [13] R. Graham and A. Schenzle, *Phys. Rev. A* 25, 1731 (1982)
  - [14] B. Ottino-Löffler, M. Kardar, *Phys. Rev. E* 102, 052106 (2020)
  - [15] L. Fant, O. Mazzarisi, E. Panizon, J. Grilli, *Phys. Rev. E* 108, L012401 (2023)
  - [16] M. Bernard, J.P. Bouchaud, P. Le Doussal, arXiv:2503.23189
  - [17] M. D. Chekroun, E. Simonnet, M. Ghil, *Physica D* 240, 1685 (2011).
  - [18] T. Alberti, D. Faranda, V. Lucarini, R. V. Donner, B. Dubrulle and F. Daviaud, *Chaos* 33, 023144 (2023).
  - [19] V. Lucarini, M. Santos Gutierrez, J. Moroney, N. Zagli, arXiv:2506.16446
  - [20] C. W. Gardiner, “*Handbook of Stochastic Methods: for Physics, Chemistry and the Natural Sciences*” (Springer Series in Synergetics), Berlin (1985).
  - [21] N.G. Van Kampen, “*Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry*”, Elsevier Amsterdam (1992).
  - [22] H. Risken, “*The Fokker-Planck equation : methods of solutions and applications*”, Springer Verlag Berlin (1989).
  - [23] C. Kwon, P. Ao, and D. Thouless, *PNAS* 102, 13029 (2005).

- [24] C. Godrèche and J.M. Luck, J. Phys. A 52 (2019) 035002.
- [25] A. Mazzolo and C. Monthus, Phys. Rev. E 107, 014101 (2023).
- [26] J. du Buisson and H. Touchette, Phys. Rev. E 107, 054111, 2023.
- [27] J. du Buisson, PhD Thesis (2022) "Dynamical large deviations of diffusions" Stellenbosch University, South Africa arXiv:2210.09040.
- [28] F. van Wijland, Phys. Rev. E 74, 063101 (2006).
- [29] V. Dotsenko, A. Maciolek, O. Vasilyev and G. Oshanin, Phys. Rev. E 87, 062130 (2013).
- [30] K.-H. Chiang, C.-L. Lee, P.-Y. Lai and Y.-F. Chen, Phys. Rev. E 96, 032123 (2017).
- [31] A. Argun, J. Soni, L. Dabelow, S. Bo, G. Pesce, R. Eichhorn and G. Volpe, Phys. Rev. E 96, 052106 (2017).
- [32] O. Movilla Miangolarra, A. Taghvaei, R. Fu, Y. Chen and T. T. Georgiou, Phys. Rev. E 104, 044101 (2021).
- [33] O. Movilla Miangolarra, A. Taghvaei, Y. Chen and T. T. Georgiou, Phys. Rev. Research 4, 023218 (2022).
- [34] O. Movilla Miangolarra, A. Taghvaei, Y. Chen and T. T. Georgiou, IEEE Control Systems Letters, vol. 6, 3409, (2022).
- [35] B. Das, S. K. Manikandan and A. Banerjee, Phys. Rev. Research 4, 043080 (2022).
- [36] D. Lucente, A. Baldassarri, A. Puglisi, A. Vulpiani and M. Viale, Phys. Rev. Research 4, 043103 (2022).
- [37] S. Cerasoli, S. Ciliberto, E. Marinari, G. Oshanin, L. Peliti and L. Rondoni, Phys. Rev. E 106, 014137 (2022).
- [38] J. du Buisson, T. D. P. Mnyulwa, H. Touchette, Phys. Rev. E 108, 044136, 2023.
- [39] V. Troude, D. Sornette, arXiv:2510.21755
- [40] V. Troude, D. Sornette, arXiv:2510.11763
- [41] Y. Oono, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement 99, 165 (1989).
- [42] R.S. Ellis, Physica D 133, 106 (1999).
- [43] H. Touchette, Phys. Rep. 478, 1 (2009)
- [44] I. N. Burennev, D. W. H. Cloete, V. Kharbanda, H. Touchette, SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 104 (2025)
- [45] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. (2023) 083204
- [46] E. Wong, (1964) "The construction of a class of stationary Markoff processes", in Stochastic processes, in mathematical physics and engineering (ed. R. Bellman), 264–276. American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island.
- [47] P. Diaconis and S. Zabel, Statist. Sci. 6(3): 284-302 (1991)
- [48] B. M. Bibby, I. M. Skovgaard, M. Sorensen, Bernoulli 11(2), 2005, 191–220.
- [49] J. L. Forman and M. Sorensen, Scandinavian Journal of Statistics, Vol. 35: 438–465, 2008
- [50] G.M. Leonenko, T.N. Phillips, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 236 (2012) 2853–2868
- [51] F. Avram, N.N. Leonenko, N. Suvak Markov Processes and Related Fields, v.19, Issue 2, 249-298 (2013)
- [52] S. Jafarizadeh, IEEE Control Systems Letters, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 465-470, 2018
- [53] D. Dufresne, "The integrated square-root process", Centre for Actuarial Studies, Department of Economics, University of Melbourne (2001).
- [54] J.C. Cox, J.E. Ingersoll and S.A. Ross, Econometrica. 53 (2): 385–407 (1985).
- [55] C. Monthus and A. Comtet, Journal de Physique I, 4 (5), pp.635-653 (1994).
- [56] C. Monthus, PhD Thesis (1995) "Etude de quelques fonctionnelles du mouvement Brownien et de certaines propriétés de la diffusion unidimensionnelle en milieu aléatoire", Université Paris 6.
- [57] A. Comtet, C. Monthus, M. Yor, Journal of Applied Probability 35, pp.255-271 (1998).
- [58] H. Kesten, Acta Math. 131, 208 (1973); H. Kesten et al. , Compositio Math 30, 145 (1975).
- [59] F. Solomon, Ann. Proba. 1,31 (1975).
- [60] Y. G. Sinai, Theo. Prob. and Appl. 27, 256 (1982).
- [61] J. P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Phys. Rep. 195, 127 (1990).
- [62] J. P. Bouchaud, A. Comtet, A. Georges and P. Le Doussal, Ann. Phys. 201, 285 (1990).
- [63] B. Derrida and H. Hilhorst, J. Phys. A 16, 2641 (1983).
- [64] C. de Callan, J. M. Luck, Th. Nieuwenhuizen and D. Petritis, J. Phys. A 18, 501 (1985).
- [65] F. Igloi and C. Monthus, Phys. Rep. 412, 277 (2005).
- [66] C. Monthus, Phys. Rev. B 69, 054431 (2004).
- [67] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. P06036 (2015).
- [68] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. 123304 (2017).
- [69] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. (2021) 033201.
- [70] F. Avram, N. N. Leonenko and N. Suvak, International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes Volume 85, Pages 346-369 (2013)
- [71] A.M. Kulik and N.N. Leonenko, Bernoulli Vol. 19, No. 5B (2013)
- [72] C. C. Heyde and N. N. Leonenko, Advances in Applied Probability Vol. 37, No. 2 pp. 342-365 (2005)
- [73] N. Abourashchi and A. Yu. Veretennikov, Theor. Probability and Math. Statist. 81 (2010), 1-13
- [74] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. (2021) 033303.
- [75] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs "Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals" McGraw-Hill, New-York (1965).
- [76] M. Kac, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65, 1 (1949).
- [77] S. N. Majumdar, Current Science, vol-89, 2076 (2005).