

Active Visual Perception: Opportunities and Challenges

Yian Li, Xiaoyu Guo, Hao Zhang, Shuiwang Li*, and Xiaowei Dai*

Abstract—Active visual perception refers to the ability of a system to dynamically engage with its environment through sensing and action, allowing it to modify its behavior in response to specific goals or uncertainties. Unlike passive systems that rely solely on visual data, active visual perception systems can direct attention, move sensors, or interact with objects to acquire more informative data. This approach is particularly powerful in complex environments where static sensing methods may not provide sufficient information. Active visual perception plays a critical role in numerous applications, including robotics, autonomous vehicles, human-computer interaction, and surveillance systems. However, despite its significant promise, there are several challenges that need to be addressed, including real-time processing of complex visual data, decision-making in dynamic environments, and integrating multimodal sensory inputs. This paper explores both the opportunities and challenges inherent in active visual perception, providing a comprehensive overview of its potential, current research, and the obstacles that must be overcome for broader adoption.

Index Terms—Active Visual Perception, Human-Computer Interaction, Collaborative Systems, Real-Time Decision-Making.

I. INTRODUCTION

VISUAL perception is a cornerstone of intelligent systems, enabling machines to understand and interpret their environment, recognize objects, and make informed decisions. Traditional visual perception systems, which passively process visual data, have been foundational in many fields, focusing on tasks such as object detection, segmentation, and recognition. These systems generally rely on pre-defined algorithms to extract specific features from fixed viewpoints or sensors, making them effective in structured, predictable environments. However, in the real world, environments are often complex, dynamic, and cluttered, posing significant challenges for passive systems to capture the full scope of relevant information.

In contrast to passive perception, active visual perception embodies an advanced paradigm where the system dynamically interacts with its environment to optimize the collection of sensory data [1]–[4]. This involves the system actively selecting regions of interest, adjusting its viewpoint, and even physically manipulating objects or sensors to obtain task-specific information [5]. Through actively engaging with the surroundings, active perception allows the system to focus on the most relevant data, improving its ability to make accurate predictions and decisions, particularly in dynamic and uncertain settings. For example, a robotic arm may change its

position to observe an object from multiple angles, and a drone may adjust its sensors to better capture data from different viewpoints.

As human-machine systems evolve toward higher levels of intelligence and adaptability, active visual perception is increasingly becoming a key factor in enhancing interaction efficiency and system responsiveness. It can adaptively adjust its perceptual strategies, enabling the system to acquire more relevant environmental information and user data. Through the integration of multimodal inputs—such as user gestures, motion patterns, and gaze direction—the system can analyze user behavior with greater precision and depth, thereby laying a solid foundation for intuitive human-machine interaction mechanisms [6]–[9].




Fig. 1. (a) In retail environments, robots equipped with active visual perception systems dynamically reposition themselves to detect suspicious behaviors. (b) In autonomous vehicles, the system dynamically adjusts its perception modules and viewpoints to detect obstacles. (c) A robot equipped with an active visual perception system participates in a tennis match, adjusting its posture and vision in real time to respond effectively to human actions. (d) In wartime emergency rescue, drones equipped with active visual perception systems can monitor and analyze the environment in real time and quickly locate trapped people.

Building on these advantages, active visual perception shows great potential in various practical applications. For example, in autonomous vehicles, the system can dynamically adjust sensors and viewpoints based on real-time traffic conditions to better detect pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles, thus enhancing perception accuracy and ensuring effective decision-making [10]–[12]. In robotics, active perception allows robots to effectively manipulate objects in complex environments by adjusting their focus or movement patterns [13]–[15]. Similarly, in human-computer interaction (HCI), systems with active visual perception can adjust their responses based on user gaze or gestures, enhancing user experience and creating more intuitive interactive interfaces [16]–[19]. Fig. 1 illustrates representative application scenarios of active visual

*Corresponding authors.

Yian Li, Xiaoyu Guo, Hao Zhang, and Shuiwang Li are with the College of Computer Science and Engineering, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, China (e-mail: liyian@glut.edu.cn; guoxiaoyu@glut.edu.cn; zhanghao@glut.edu.cn; lishuiwang0721@glut.edu.cn).

Xiaowei Dai is with the New Engineering Industry College, Putian University, Putian 351100, China (e-mail: daixiaowei@ptu.edu.cn).

perception, such as retail security [20], autonomous driving [21], human-machine motion interaction [22], [23], and drone-assisted rescue [24].

Despite the considerable promise demonstrated by these applications, several technical and engineering challenges remain to be addressed in the attempt to scale active visual perception to more diverse and complex real-world scenarios. A key challenge lies in the continuous processing of dynamic sensory data and the need to make timely and reliable decisions, which places stringent demands on the efficiency and robustness of algorithms. Furthermore, systems must effectively manage the inherent complexity of multimodal data fusion (e.g., visual, haptic, and auditory inputs) while maintaining high computational responsiveness and adaptability. Finally, to enable practical deployment, systems must also be capable of handling unpredictable events in real-world environments while ensuring long-term robustness and reliability [25], [26].

To investigate current trends and challenges, this survey examines the core applications and technical obstacles in the context of active visual perception in human-machine systems. We first discuss its advantages, with particular emphasis on its pivotal role in real-time decision-making, enhancing task efficiency, and optimizing interaction experiences. We next examine the key challenges that must be overcome, including computational resources, sensor integration, real-time processing, and robustness in dynamic environments [27]–[29]. Finally, we explore potential research directions and emerging technologies advancing active visual perception, with implications for system adaptability and responsiveness, enabling more seamless, intelligent human-machine interactions. Through a comprehensive survey of its current applications, challenges, and emerging directions, this paper aims to offer theoretical perspectives that help contextualize the significance of active visual perception in the ongoing development of intelligent automation systems, smart robotics, and human-computer interaction technologies, ultimately contributing to a deeper understanding of human-machine collaboration [30].

II. OPPORTUNITIES OF ACTIVE VISUAL PERCEPTION

Active visual perception enhances system adaptability and efficiency in complex environments by actively modulating sensory input such as viewpoint, resolution, and sampling frequency. It has shown strong adaptability in fields like industrial robotics, autonomous driving, surveillance, and environmental monitoring, supporting more accurate perception, decision-making, and response. As illustrated in Fig. 2, these examples from real-world applications underscore the pivotal role of active visual perception in mission-critical and dynamic environments.

A. Robotics and Autonomous Systems

Active visual perception is a pivotal component in enabling robotics and autonomous systems to perform complex tasks with greater efficiency and precision. By actively engaging with their environment, these systems can autonomously regulate perceptual parameters, improving their capacity to interact with and adapt to dynamic and uncertain environments.




Fig. 2. Opportunities of active visual perception.

In industrial robotics, active visual perception allows robots to adapt their focus based on specific operational requirements. For example, when navigating through cluttered environments, robots can shift their attention from object detection to trajectory planning to ensure safe and efficient movement. In grasping scenarios, robots instead prioritize the precise shape and orientation of the target object to ensure stable and reliable grasp execution [31]. This adaptability enhances robotic versatility in tasks such as assembly, packaging, and quality inspection. Furthermore, robots can adapt their perceptual attention depending on the priority or complexity of tasks, making them more efficient in environments involving concurrent operations.

For autonomous vehicles, active visual perception is a game-changer in complex, dynamic environments. Traditional passive perception systems, while effective in static or relatively predictable settings, often struggle with real-time decision-making in highly dynamic scenarios [32]. In contrast, active perception systems dynamically reconfigure sensor parameters by adjusting camera angles and modifying LiDAR scanning patterns to acquire context-specific information essential for real-time navigation. This is particularly beneficial in low-visibility driving scenarios, including driving in heavy rain, dense fog, snowy weather, and nighttime conditions, where sensors must actively adjust their angle and resolution to detect pedestrians, cyclists, and other vehicles. Active visual perception not only improves the accuracy and robustness of obstacle perception, but also enhances the system's capability to anticipate the behavior of surrounding road users, enabling better decision-making in critical situations.

B. Human-Computer Interaction

Active visual perception has the potential to revolutionize human-computer interaction by creating more natural, intuitive, and immersive experiences. Traditional HCI systems, such as keyboards, mice, and touchscreens, offer limited interaction capabilities. In contrast, active visual perception introduces dynamic, user-centered systems that can adjust to the user's attention, gestures, and intentions.

In eye-tracking systems, active perception enables devices to locate and respond to where the user is looking, allowing for gaze-driven control and navigation. Such capability is

particularly useful in assistive technologies, where users with physical disabilities can interact with computers through eye movements, providing more freedom and accessibility [33]. In virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR), active perception can enhance immersion by dynamically adjusting the displayed content based on the user's gaze [34]. For instance, AR systems selectively enhance critical objects and overlay relevant contextual information within the user's visual field, thereby improving situational awareness and enriching the overall user experience [35]. In gaming, active visual perception can enable more natural player interactions, where in-game characters or interfaces respond to the player's gaze or gesture [36]. This enhances the immediacy and immersion of interactions, offering a more engaging and responsive environment.

Moreover, gesture recognition can be integrated with active visual perception to further improve interactivity. For instance, smart home systems or virtual assistants can use cameras and sensors to recognize user gestures (e.g., waving a hand or pointing) to control devices, creating seamless and intuitive experiences that do not require physical input methods [37], [38].

C. Surveillance and Security

Active visual perception can significantly improve the effectiveness and reliability of surveillance and security systems. Traditional surveillance systems, which rely on fixed camera placements and passive data collection, often do not ensure comprehensive coverage or miss crucial events in rapidly changing environments. In contrast, active perception systems can dynamically adjust their viewing direction and scope, zoom in on specific objects of interest, and continuously track targets in real time, thereby enabling the acquisition of more accurate and timely data [39].

In public safety, active visual perception enables surveillance systems to adapt to changing conditions, such as the movement of people, vehicles, or objects in a crowded area [40]. For example, security cameras equipped with active perception can automatically zoom in or reorient to focus on areas where suspicious activity is detected, enhancing the system's ability to identify potential threats. Similarly, in retail environments, intelligent cameras can track customer movement patterns, detect potential theft, or monitor staff efficiency, providing real-time insights for better decision-making and resource management.

Additionally, active visual perception allows for predictive monitoring by analyzing patterns and behaviors. For instance, by tracking and analyzing the movements of individuals, an advanced surveillance system can be designed to predict potential risks, such as a person approaching a restricted area or exhibiting suspicious behavior patterns, and notify security personnel preemptively. This proactive approach enhances the ability to address potential security issues before they escalate, making surveillance systems not just reactive but also anticipatory.

D. Environmental Monitoring and Conservation

Active visual perception has substantial potential in environmental monitoring and conservation efforts by enabling

environmental monitoring systems to gather more precise and context-specific data from habitats, wildlife, and ecosystems. Drones, autonomous robots, or satellites equipped with active visual perception can explore and monitor remote or challenging environments, providing critical data for ecological research, disaster management, and biodiversity conservation [41].

For example, in forest conservation, drones equipped with active perception systems are capable of autonomously navigating dense forests, collecting high-resolution imagery and video data of flora and fauna, while also identifying indicators of deforestation or unauthorized logging activities [42]. Active perception enables autonomous drones to precisely adjust their cameras and sensors, thereby facilitating comprehensive data acquisition from multiple perspectives, including challenging conditions such as low light or dense vegetation. In marine biology, autonomous underwater vehicles with active visual perception capabilities can explore coral reefs, track marine species, and monitor visible changes in the aquatic environment, while adapting their sensors to the varying underwater conditions [43].

In agriculture, active visual perception systems can enhance crop monitoring by dynamically adjusting focus to observe plant health. For example, autonomous drones or agricultural robotic systems can adapt their viewing angle and sensor orientation to detect early signs of pest infestations or crop diseases, thereby enabling early intervention and reducing the reliance on chemical treatments [44]. Such systems are also capable of monitoring soil conditions, tracking plant growth patterns, and optimizing irrigation practices, contributing to more sustainable agricultural practices.

Active perception in environmental conservation also extends to climate monitoring, where systems can collect real-time data from various environments, such as glaciers, oceans, and atmospheric conditions [45]. These data are vital for tracking climate change, predicting extreme weather events, and guiding conservation efforts aimed at mitigating environmental degradation.

III. CHALLENGES OF ACTIVE VISUAL PERCEPTION

As one of the core approaches for intelligent systems to understand and interact with their environment, active visual perception is becoming an increasingly important research focus through multidisciplinary collaboration in artificial intelligence. Additionally, with its expanding applications across various domains, active perception also faces numerous challenges that require further investigation. In this section, we discuss the key issues currently confronting active visual perception, as illustrated in Fig. 3, and outline the major technical bottlenecks and research difficulties in real-world deployments.

A. Real-Time Decision-Making

One of the central challenges of active visual perception is ensuring real-time decision-making in dynamic environments [46]. As active visual perception systems are designed to interact with their operational environment, they must rapidly



Fig. 3. Challenges of active visual perception.

identify and assess multiple potential actions [47], such as determining where to focus attention or how to modulate sensory inputs to support timely decision-making. As a result, this real-time responsiveness is especially critical in dynamic scenarios such as autonomous driving and robotics, where delays in decision-making may lead to catastrophic consequences, including accidents, system failures, or erroneous judgments. Therefore, the system must process large volumes of sensory data, evaluate possible outcomes, and adapt to rapidly changing conditions within extremely short timeframes.

In autonomous vehicles, for instance, active perception is vital for detecting pedestrians or other road users in real-time. The vehicle's system needs to make rapid decisions about adjusting the camera or sensor angle, assessing potential risks, and determining an appropriate course of action [48]. Similarly, in industrial robotics, real-time decision-making allows the robot to modify its sensor configurations, enabling efficient and safe navigation through a cluttered environment while executing tasks [49]. Ensuring that these decisions are made swiftly and accurately, without compromising the safety and integrity of the system, is a considerable challenge.

Real-time decision-making is not only about speed but also accuracy. Incorrect or slow decisions can lead to dangerous situations or inefficiencies in task execution. Therefore, developing algorithms that can handle the complexity of active visual perception while meeting the stringent time requirements, remains a core challenge.

B. Sensor Integration and Coordination

Active visual perception systems rely heavily on the integration of diverse sensor types, such as cameras, LiDAR, depth sensors, and IMUs (inertial measurement units). Each sensor provides unique advantages in terms of data capture, but integrating them to produce coherent, high-quality sensory information is far from trivial. Cameras, for example, offer rich visual data but are susceptible to noise and occlusion. LiDAR sensors, on the other hand, provide accurate depth information but may suffer from lower resolution or have limited performance in certain environmental conditions, such as fog or heavy rain.

Coordinating and integrating data from these different sensors in real-time requires sophisticated algorithms that can handle variations in sensor resolution, data formats, and accuracy [50]. For instance, the camera might detect an object's appearance, while LiDAR might provide depth data on the same object, and IMUs might contribute information about the system's position and orientation. To form a cohesive perception of the environment, all these data streams must be fused effectively, and discrepancies must be resolved. Achieving this while maintaining synchronization, minimizing latency, and handling sensor failures (e.g., if one sensor temporarily malfunctions) presents a significant challenge.

Moreover, active visual perception systems must dynamically adjust the position or orientation of sensors, which introduces a new layer of complexity. For example, a robot or autonomous vehicle may move a sensor to improve its view of an area. Such motion must be carefully controlled to ensure that the data gathered remains accurate and that the sensor does not introduce distortions. Accurate control mechanisms for sensor repositioning are essential to ensure that the system remains stable, responsive, and efficient in real-time.

C. Computational Overhead

Active visual perception systems typically require more computational resources than their passive counterparts due to the additional complexity introduced by real-time sensor adjustments, dynamic data fusion, and decision-making processes [51]. As these systems process data from multiple sensors simultaneously, the computational demand can become substantial, particularly in applications with large datasets or high-resolution images. Additionally, the system must constantly evaluate different strategies for improving its sensory data collection, which requires sophisticated optimization and decision-making algorithms.

This presents a particularly challenging problem in real-time applications, such as autonomous driving or robotic manipulation, where delays in computation could result in suboptimal or unsafe outcomes [52]. For instance, autonomous vehicles must be able to process high-definition camera and LiDAR data, track multiple objects, and make predictions about their future movements within fractions of a second. Similarly, robotic systems performing tasks like assembly or object manipulation must adapt their sensory collection based on the context of the task, which can place high demands on computational resources [53].

The computational overhead is further amplified when the system operates in resource-constrained environments, such as on mobile robots or embedded systems. These systems often have limited processing power, memory, and energy resources, which can hinder their ability to handle the large amounts of data and computations required for active visual perception. Balancing performance and efficiency remains a critical challenge, as it is essential to optimize computational costs without sacrificing the quality of decision-making or system responsiveness.

D. Uncertainty and Robustness

Active visual perception systems must contend with the inherent uncertainties of real-world environments. Sensory data are often noisy, and environmental conditions can change rapidly. For example, lighting conditions can fluctuate, objects may become occluded or move unpredictably, and sensor readings may be subject to distortions or inaccuracies. In dynamic environments, where the system must adapt continuously, maintaining robustness and reliability is particularly challenging [54].

Handling uncertainties requires the system to have adaptive algorithms that can respond to changes in the environment, while still making reliable decisions. For instance, in an autonomous vehicle, a system must not only detect pedestrians and other vehicles but also predict their movements in real-time. If a pedestrian suddenly steps into the vehicle's path, the system must act immediately, despite imperfect or partial data [55].

Similarly, in robotics, a system performing object manipulation may need to adjust its actions if an object shifts or becomes obscured. Developing robust algorithms that can tolerate and compensate for environmental uncertainties is vital for ensuring safety and effectiveness in tasks like navigation, object recognition, and human-robot interaction [56].

Moreover, the system's ability to generalize to previously unseen conditions is a significant challenge. If the system is trained in one environment but deployed in another, it must still be able to make effective decisions despite the difference in conditions. This generalization capability requires building models that are not only accurate but also flexible and adaptable to new situations without requiring extensive retraining [57].

E. Safety and Ethical Considerations

The deployment of active visual perception systems in real-world applications raises several safety and ethical concerns. In critical domains such as autonomous driving or medical robotics, the consequences of errors or system malfunctions can be catastrophic. For instance, a decision made by an autonomous vehicle's perception system, such as misidentifying an obstacle or failing to adjust its sensors promptly, could result in accidents, injuries, or fatalities [58]. As such, ensuring the reliability and predictability of active perception systems is paramount, especially in safety-critical applications.

Beyond safety concerns, ethical issues also arise, particularly in surveillance systems and privacy-sensitive contexts. Active visual perception technologies, such as facial recognition or object tracking, have the potential to infringe on privacy rights if deployed improperly. For example, systems that continuously monitor and track individuals in public spaces may raise concerns about mass surveillance and unauthorized data collection [59], [60]. Moreover, the consent of individuals being monitored must be considered, along with the potential for misuse in unauthorized or harmful ways.

To address these challenges, the development of ethical guidelines and safety standards is crucial. These frameworks




Fig. 4. Overview of future directions in active visual perception.

must ensure that active perception systems are deployed transparently, responsibly, and with respect for privacy and human rights. Rigorous testing, verification, and validation of system performance are necessary to guarantee their reliability and safety in real-world conditions [61].

IV. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As active visual perception technology continues to evolve, its future trajectory will be shaped by several key technological advancements. As illustrated in Fig. 4, these key technologies include multi-modal sensor fusion, collaborative systems, advanced machine learning approaches, innovations in sensor technologies, and the establishment of ethical and safety standards. Collectively, these trends not only enhance the robustness and efficiency of perception, decision-making, and interaction, but also lay the foundation for the responsible deployment of active visual systems in complex real-world environments.

A. Advanced Machine Learning and AI

The future of active visual perception will be heavily influenced by advancements in machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI). Techniques such as deep learning, reinforcement learning, and unsupervised learning will significantly enhance the ability of systems to actively perceive and interact with their environment. Deep learning, in particular, offers immense potential for improving object recognition, scene understanding, and contextual awareness, enabling systems to automatically adjust their sensory collection based on changing environmental conditions [62].

Reinforcement learning (RL) allows intelligent agents to learn from interaction with their environment, making it well-suited for active visual perception [63]. By rewarding agents for making successful sensory adjustments or identifying important features, RL promotes the exploration of new strategies for gathering data that improve performance over time. For example, an autonomous vehicle might use RL to decide when and where to reposition its sensors for optimal perception in different traffic scenarios, thereby enhancing safety and navigation [64].

Unsupervised learning will also play a critical role in enabling systems to adapt without the need for labeled data

[65]. This approach will be essential for environments that are constantly changing or where large amounts of data are generated in real-time. By leveraging unsupervised techniques, future systems could learn to identify relevant features and develop models that adapt to unseen environments without requiring constant human intervention or retraining.

As these techniques evolve, they will enable active visual perception systems to continually improve through learning from experience, making real-time predictions, and autonomously adjusting to new environments [66]. Moreover, these AI-driven methods can optimize decision-making processes, ensuring that systems can effectively manage the complexities and uncertainties of dynamic real-world environments.

B. Improved Sensor Technologies

The ongoing development of sensor technologies will be a major driver of progress in active visual perception. Improvements in sensor miniaturization, accuracy, and energy efficiency will directly contribute to more capable and reliable systems. For example, the integration of high-resolution cameras with LiDAR, radar, and thermal sensors will provide more detailed, multi-dimensional data that can be fused to create a more complete understanding of the environment [67].

In particular, advancements in multi-modal sensor fusion will enable systems to process data from different sensor types simultaneously, allowing for a more robust and accurate perception [68]. For instance, a self-driving car might combine camera data for object detection with LiDAR for precise depth mapping, radar for detecting moving vehicles in poor visibility conditions, and thermal sensors for identifying pedestrians in the dark [69]. This fusion of sensory information is critical for improving safety, decision-making, and object detection in real-time, particularly in complex or challenging environments.

Further improvements in compact and low-cost sensors will make these technologies more accessible and applicable across a broader range of industries. For example, smaller, more efficient sensors could be integrated into consumer devices or medical equipment, enabling applications in fields such as augmented reality, healthcare, and remote sensing. Additionally, advancements in sensor calibration and autonomous sensor positioning will enable systems to dynamically adjust their sensory suite, ensuring that they can always capture the most relevant data.

The integration of next-generation sensors into active visual perception systems will reduce the computational load, making real-time processing more feasible. As sensors become more accurate and efficient, the need for powerful computational resources will decrease, enabling more widespread adoption in embedded systems with limited computational power, such as drones, wearable devices, and mobile robots.

C. Collaborative Systems

One of the most exciting developments in active visual perception is the rise of collaborative systems. In anticipated future, multiple agents—whether robots, autonomous vehicles,

or even drones—will work together to perceive and interact with the environment. These systems will share data and coordinate actions, enhancing the overall environmental perception and improving task performance.

In robotics, collaborative systems could involve multiple robots working in concert to complete complex tasks such as assembly, search-and-rescue missions, or warehouse management [70]. Each robot might have specialized sensors or capabilities, but by combining their perceptions and actions, they can cover a wider area, handle more complex situations, and improve overall system robustness [71]. For example, a swarm of drones could actively explore a disaster area, gathering information in real-time and dynamically adjusting their positions to avoid obstacles or provide comprehensive coverage of the scene.

In autonomous vehicles, vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication and collaborative perception systems will allow vehicles to share data about road conditions, traffic, and pedestrians [72]. This could lead to more efficient decision-making and improved safety, as vehicles can anticipate and respond to events beyond their individual sensors, thus extending their situational awareness and enabling coordinated responses. For instance, if one vehicle detects an obstacle or hazard, it could notify nearby vehicles, enabling them to adjust their route or speed to avoid the danger [73].

Moreover, in multi-agent systems, sharing perception data can mitigate the limitations of individual sensors, increasing overall accuracy and reliability [74], [75]. As these systems evolve, the ability of multiple agents to collaboratively adapt and coordinate in real-time will unlock new levels of efficiency and safety in active visual perception applications.

D. Ethical and Safety Standards

As active visual perception systems become more embedded in critical applications—such as autonomous vehicles, surveillance systems, and robotics—there will be a growing need to establish ethical and safety standards. These standards must address several key issues, such as data privacy, human safety, and system accountability.

The widespread deployment of surveillance systems equipped with active visual perception raises privacy concerns. These systems, which may involve facial recognition, tracking, or monitoring, could infringe upon individual privacy if adequate safeguards are not implemented. As a result, data protection laws and guidelines for responsible use will be essential to guarantee the ethical and lawful application of the technology [76]. Additionally, establishing comprehensive transparency, including clear communication of data collection practices, will contribute to public trust and accountability [77].

Safety remains a fundamental issue, particularly for autonomous vehicles and robotic systems operating within human-centered environments [78]. Such platforms must prioritize human well-being by integrating fail-safe mechanisms capable of addressing unforeseen events. Achieving this objective requires the establishment of standardized testing protocols, certification procedures, and ongoing monitoring to

confirm that active visual perception systems comply with established safety standards [79].

Explainability and interpretability of AI-driven decision-making will constitute a central concern. As systems become increasingly complex and autonomous, gaining insight into decision-making processes will be essential for ensuring both accountability and trust. Future research in interpretable machine learning will significantly contribute to developing systems capable of explaining their actions in human-comprehensible terms, especially in high-stakes domains such as healthcare, where decisions will carry substantial impact [80].

Finally, as these systems are deployed in a variety of critical and sensitive settings, establishing clear ethical frameworks is necessary to guarantee that active visual perception systems are developed and applied responsibly [81]. These frameworks comprise guidelines for transparency, bias mitigation, and the ethical treatment of individuals impacted by these systems, fostering fairness, safety, and accountability across all applications.

V. CONCLUSION

Active visual perception presents substantial potential across a wide range of applications, from robotics and autonomous vehicles to human-computer interaction and environmental monitoring. By enabling systems to dynamically engage with their operational environments, active perception systems can improve decision-making, enhance task performance, and provide richer, more accurate data. Despite these promising advancements, several critical challenges must still be addressed, including real-time decision-making, sensor integration, computational demands, and safety concerns. Overcoming these challenges requires continued progress in machine learning, sensor technologies, and ethical standards, which will collectively lay a solid foundation for the development of more robust, efficient, and responsible active visual perception systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Yuan, X. Chang, Q. Liu, Y. Yang, D. Wang, M. Shu, Z. He, and G. Shi, "Active learning for deep visual tracking," *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, 2023.
- [2] M. Chli and A. J. Davison, "Active matching for visual tracking," *Rob. Auton. Syst.*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 1173–1187, 2009.
- [3] Z. Yang, H. Fang, H. Liu, J. Li, Y. Jiang, and M. Zhu, "Active visual perception enhancement method based on deep reinforcement learning," *Electronics*, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 1654, 2024.
- [4] R. Bajcsy, "Active perception," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 76, no. 8, pp. 966–1005, 1988.
- [5] R. Eidenberger and J. Scharinger, "Active perception and scene modeling by planning with probabilistic 6d object poses," in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems.*, 2010, pp. 1036–1043.
- [6] W. Deng, Q. Liu, F. Zhao, D. T. Pham, J. Hu, Y. Wang, and Z. Zhou, "Learning by doing: A dual-loop implementation architecture of deep active learning and human-machine collaboration for smart robot vision," *Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 86, p. 102673, 2024.
- [7] D. Ognibene, T. Foulsham, L. Marchegiani, and G. M. Farinella, "Active vision and perception in human-robot collaboration," p. 848065, 2022.
- [8] F. Semeraro, A. Griffiths, and A. Cangelosi, "Human-robot collaboration and machine learning: A systematic review of recent research," *Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 79, p. 102432, 2023.
- [9] J.-M. Hoc, "From human-machine interaction to human-machine cooperation," *Ergonomics*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 833–843, 2000.
- [10] B. Rohini and J. S. Reddy, "Sensors in unmanned robotic vehicle," *Def. Sci. J.*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 409–413, 2008.
- [11] X. Kong, S. Shi, B. Yan, S. Si, J. Ni, D. Guo, P. Wang, L. Wang, and Y. Xu, "Research on camera rotation strategies for active visual perception in the self-driving vehicles," in *Actuators*, vol. 13, no. 8, 2024.
- [12] S. Schmidt, L. Stappen, L. Schwinn, and S. Günnemann, "Generalized synchronized active learning for multi-agent-based data selection on mobile robotic systems," *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, 2024.
- [13] R. Sharma and N. Srinivasa, "A framework for active vision-based robot control using neural networks," *Robotica*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 309–327, 1998.
- [14] A. J. Davison and D. W. Murray, "Simultaneous localization and map-building using active vision," *IEEE trans. pattern anal. mach. intell.*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 865–880, 2002.
- [15] D. Zhou, G. Sun, Z. Zhang, and L. Wu, "On deep recurrent reinforcement learning for active visual tracking of space noncooperative objects," *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 4418–4425, 2023.
- [16] Z. Nie, Y. Yu, and Y. Bao, "Application of human-computer interaction system based on machine learning algorithm in artistic visual communication," *Soft comput.*, vol. 27, no. 14, pp. 10 199–10 211, 2023.
- [17] K. Wang, "Human-computer interaction design of intelligent vehicle-mounted products based on the internet of things," *Mob. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 2021, no. 1, p. 6795440, 2021.
- [18] T. Halverson and A. J. Hornof, "A computational model of "active vision" for visual search in human-computer interaction," *Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 285–314, 2011.
- [19] M. J. Reale, S. Canavan, L. Yin, K. Hu, and T. Hung, "A multi-gesture interaction system using a 3-d iris disk model for gaze estimation and an active appearance model for 3-d hand pointing," *IEEE Trans. multimed.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 474–486, 2011.
- [20] N. Griffioen, N. Rankovic, F. Zamberlan, and M. Punith, "Efficient annotation reduction with active learning for computer vision-based retail product recognition," *J. Comput. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1039–1070, 2024.
- [21] A. Unterholzner, M. Himmelsbach, and H.-J. Wuensche, "Active perception for autonomous vehicles," in *2012 IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom.*, IEEE, 2012, pp. 1620–1627.
- [22] V. J. Traver and A. Bernardino, "A review of log-polar imaging for visual perception in robotics," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 378–398, 2010.
- [23] J. Fan, P. Zheng, and S. Li, "Vision-based holistic scene understanding towards proactive human-robot collaboration," *Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 75, p. 102304, 2022.
- [24] J. P. Queralta, J. Taipalmaa, B. C. Pullinen, V. K. Sarker, T. N. Gia, H. Tenhunen, M. Gabbouj, J. Raitoharju, and T. Westerlund, "Collaborative multi-robot search and rescue: Planning, coordination, perception, and active vision," *Ieee Access*, vol. 8, pp. 191 617–191 643, 2020.
- [25] A. Mukherjee, T. Ibrayev, and K. Roy, "On inherent adversarial robustness of active vision systems," *Trans. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 2024.
- [26] T. Matsuyama, "Cooperative distributed vision: Dynamic integration of visual perception, action, and communication," in *Mustererkenn. 1999: 21. DAGM-Symp. Bonn 15.–17. Sept. 1999*. Springer, 1999, pp. 138–151.
- [27] T. E. Halverson, "Hand gesture recognition for human computer interaction," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Image Inf. Process.*, Himachal Pradesh, India, pp. 1–7, 2011.
- [28] L. Liu, S. Lu, R. Zhong, B. Wu, Y. Yao, Q. Zhang, and W. Shi, "Computing systems for autonomous driving: State of the art and challenges," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 6469–6486, 2020.
- [29] M. J. Swain and M. A. Stricker, "Promising directions in active vision," *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 109–126, 1993.
- [30] W. Wen and H. Imamizu, "The sense of agency in perception, behaviour and human-machine interactions," *Nat. Rev. Psychol.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 211–222, 2022.
- [31] Ş. Ünver, E. Selim, E. Tatlıcioğlu, E. Zengeroglu, and M. Alci, "Adaptive control of bldc driven robot manipulators in task space," *IET Control Theory Appl.*, vol. 18, no. 15, pp. 1910–1921, 2024.
- [32] G. Best, J. Faigl, and R. Fitch, "Multi-robot path planning for budgeted active perception with self-organising maps," in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems.*, 2016, pp. 3164–3171.
- [33] L. Bryant and B. Hemsley, "Augmented reality: a view to future visual supports for people with disability," *Disabil. Rehabil.: Assist. Technol.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 800–813, 2024.
- [34] S. M. Pablos, J. G. García-Bermejo, E. Zalama Casanova, and J. López, "Dynamic facial emotion recognition oriented to hci applications," *Interact. Comput.*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 99–119, 2015.

- [35] D. Das, M. G. Rashed, Y. Kobayashi, and Y. Kuno, "Supporting human-robot interaction based on the level of visual focus of attention," *IEEE Trans. Hum.-Mach. Syst.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 664–675, 2015.
- [36] B. Ji, X. Wang, Z. Liang, H. Zhang, Q. Xia, L. Xie, H. Yan, F. Sun, H. Feng, K. Tao *et al.*, "Flexible strain sensor-based data glove for gesture interaction in the metaverse: a review," *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 40, no. 21, pp. 6793–6812, 2024.
- [37] M. R. Abid, E. M. Petriu, and E. Amjadian, "Dynamic sign language recognition for smart home interactive application using stochastic linear formal grammar," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 596–605, 2014.
- [38] H. Rustam, M. Muneeb, S. A. Alsubihany, Y. Y. Ghadi, T. Al Shloul, A. Jalal, and J. Park, "Home automation-based health assessment along gesture recognition via inertial sensors," *Comput. Mater. Contin.*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 2331–2346, 2023.
- [39] G. L. Foresti, C. Micheloni, L. Snidaro, P. Remagnino, and T. Ellis, "Active video-based surveillance system: the low-level image and video processing techniques needed for implementation," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 25–37, 2005.
- [40] N. N. A. Aziz, Y. M. Mustafah, A. W. Azman, A. A. Shafie, M. I. Yusoff, N. A. Zainuddin, and M. A. Rashidan, "Features-based moving objects tracking for smart video surveillances: A review," *Int. J. Artif. Intell. Tools*, vol. 27, no. 02, p. 1830001, 2018.
- [41] J. Yang, C. Wang, B. Jiang, H. Song, and Q. Meng, "Visual perception enabled industry intelligence: state of the art, challenges and prospects," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 2204–2219, 2020.
- [42] X. Bi, *Environmental perception technology for unmanned systems*. Springer, 2020.
- [43] J. Su, D. Yi, B. Su, Z. Mi, C. Liu, X. Hu, X. Xu, L. Guo, and W.-H. Chen, "Aerial visual perception in smart farming: Field study of wheat yellow rust monitoring," *IEEE trans. ind. inform.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 2242–2249, 2020.
- [44] M. Li, X. Zhu, J. Ji, X. Jin, B. Li, K. Chen, and W. Zhang, "Visual perception enabled agriculture intelligence: A selective seedling picking transplanting robot," *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, vol. 229, p. 109821, 2025.
- [45] M. Vuckovic and J. Schmidt, "Visual analytics for climate change detection in meteorological time-series," *Forecasting*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 276–289, 2021.
- [46] W. F. A. Wan Aasim, M. Okasha, and W. F. Faris, "Real-time artificial intelligence based visual simultaneous localization and mapping in dynamic environments—a review," *J. Intell. Robot. Syst.*, vol. 105, no. 1, p. 15, 2022.
- [47] A. J. Davison, I. D. Reid, N. D. Molton, and O. Stasse, "Monoslam: Real-time single camera slam," *IEEE trans. pattern anal. mach. intell.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1052–1067, 2007.
- [48] N. Xu, C. Huo, X. Zhang, Y. Cao, G. Meng, and C. Pan, "Dynamic camera configuration learning for high-confidence active object detection," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 466, pp. 113–127, 2021.
- [49] A. Rasouli, P. Lanillos, G. Cheng, and J. K. Tsotsos, "Attention-based active visual search for mobile robots," *Auton. Robots*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 131–146, 2020.
- [50] T. Ma, G. Motta, and K. Liu, "Delivering real-time information services on public transit: A framework," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 2642–2656, 2017.
- [51] J. K. Tsotsos, "On the relative complexity of active vs. passive visual search," *Int. j. comput. vis.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 127–141, 1992.
- [52] Y.-S. Hsiao, S. K. S. Hari, M. Filipiuk, T. Tsai, M. B. Sullivan, V. J. Reddi, V. Singh, and S. W. Keckler, "Zhuyi: perception processing rate estimation for safety in autonomous vehicles," in *Proc. 59th ACM/IEEE Des. Autom. Conf.*, 2022, pp. 289–294.
- [53] R. Hadidi, N. S. Ghaleshani, B. Asgari, and H. Kim, "Context-aware task handling in resource-constrained robots with virtualization," in *2023 IEEE Int. Conf. Edge Comput. Commun. (EDGE)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 255–261.
- [54] M. Afrin, J. Jin, A. Rahman, A. Rahman, J. Wan, and E. Hossain, "Resource allocation and service provisioning in multi-agent cloud robotics: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 842–870, 2021.
- [55] X. Chen, H. Ma, J. Wan, B. Li, and T. Xia, "Multi-view 3d object detection network for autonomous driving," in *Proc. IEEE conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, 2017, pp. 1907–1915.
- [56] K. Hsiao, L. P. Kaelbling, and T. Lozano-Pérez, "Robust grasping under object pose uncertainty," *Auton. Robots*, vol. 31, pp. 253–268, 2011.
- [57] J. Wang, C. Lan, C. Liu, Y. Ouyang, T. Qin, W. Lu, Y. Chen, W. Zeng, and P. S. Yu, "Generalizing to unseen domains: A survey on domain generalization," *IEEE trans. knowl. data eng.*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 8052–8072, 2022.
- [58] F. Poszler and M. Geißlinger, "Ai and autonomous driving: Key ethical considerations," *Inst. Ethics Artif. Intell. Tech. Univ. Munich*, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- [59] B. R. Ardabili, A. D. Pazho, G. A. Noghre, C. Neff, S. D. Bhaskararayani, A. Ravindran, S. Reid, and H. Tabkhi, "Understanding policy and technical aspects of ai-enabled smart video surveillance to address public safety," *Comput. Urban Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 21, 2023.
- [60] N. Babaguchi, A. Cavallaro, R. Chellappa, F. Dufaux, and L. Wang, "Guest editorial: special issue on intelligent video surveillance for public security and personal privacy," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1559–1561, 2013.
- [61] N. Sugianto, D. Tjondronegoro, R. Stockdale, and E. I. Yuwono, "Privacy-preserving ai-enabled video surveillance for social distancing: Responsible design and deployment for public spaces," *Inf. Technol. People*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 998–1022, 2024.
- [62] I. M. Al Jawarneh, P. Bellavista, A. Corradi, L. Foschini, R. Montanari, J. Berrocal, and J. M. Murillo, "A pre-filtering approach for incorporating contextual information into deep learning based recommender systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 40 485–40 498, 2020.
- [63] A. K. Shakyia, G. Pillai, and S. Chakrabarty, "Reinforcement learning algorithms: A brief survey," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 231, p. 120495, 2023.
- [64] B. Gangopadhyay, H. Soora, and P. Dasgupta, "Hierarchical program-triggered reinforcement learning agents for automated driving," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 10902–10911, 2021.
- [65] K. Balasubramanian, P. Donmez, and G. Lebanon, "Unsupervised supervised learning ii: Margin-based classification without labels," *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, vol. 12, pp. 3119–3145, 2011.
- [66] T. Zhao, Z. Wang, A. Masoomi, and J. Dy, "Deep bayesian unsupervised lifelong learning," *Neural Netw.*, vol. 149, pp. 95–106, 2022.
- [67] T. C. H. Heng, Y. Kuno, and Y. Shirai, "Active sensor fusion for collision avoidance," in *Proc of the IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, vol. 3, 1997, pp. 1244–1249.
- [68] Q. Tang, J. Liang, and F. Zhu, "A comparative review on multi-modal sensors fusion based on deep learning," *Signal Process.*, vol. 213, p. 109165, 2023.
- [69] S. Yang, M. Choi, S. Han, K.-H. Choi, and K.-S. Kim, "4d radar-camera sensor fusion for robust vehicle pose estimation in foggy environments," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 16 178–16 188, 2023.
- [70] Y. Zhou, J. Xiao, Y. Zhou, and G. Loianno, "Multi-robot collaborative perception with graph neural networks," *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 2289–2296, 2022.
- [71] H.-Y. Lee, P. Zhou, B. Zhang, L. Qiu, B. Fan, A. Duan, J. Tang, T. L. Lam, and D. Navarro-Alarcon, "A distributed dynamic framework to allocate collaborative tasks based on capability matching in heterogeneous multirobot systems," *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Dev. Syst.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 251–265, 2023.
- [72] H. Ye, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. F. Juang, "Deep reinforcement learning based resource allocation for v2v communications," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 3163–3173, 2019.
- [73] H. Tokunaga and S. Tang, "Efficient v2v communications by clustering-based collaborative caching," *Electronics*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 883, 2024.
- [74] L. Zhang, B. Wang, Y. Zhao, Y. Yuan, T. Zhou, and Z. Li, "Collaborative multimodal fusion network for multiagent perception," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, 2024.
- [75] X. Ma, Y. Zhang, W. Xie, J. Yang, and W. Zhang, "Multiagent multi-objective decision making and game for saving public resources," *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Dev. Syst.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 124–140, 2023.
- [76] S. Wachter and B. Mittelstadt, "A right to reasonable inferences: rethinking data protection law in the age of big data and ai," *Colum. Bus. L. Rev.*, p. 494, 2019.
- [77] A. T.-Y. Chen, M. Biglari-Abhari, I. Kevin, and K. Wang, "Context is king: Privacy perceptions of camera-based surveillance," in *2018 15th IEEE Int. Conf. Adv. Video Signal Based Surveill. (AVSS)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [78] R. Mariani, "An overview of autonomous vehicles safety," in *2018 IEEE Int. Reliab. Phys. Symp. (IRPS)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 6A–1.
- [79] P. Stigrow, "Effective management of functional safety for iso 26262 standard," in *2013 Proc. Annu. Reliab. Maintainab. Symp. (RAMS)*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 1–6.
- [80] A. Chaddad, Q. Lu, J. Li, Y. Katib, R. Kateb, C. Tanougast, A. Bouridane, and A. Abdulkadir, "Explainable, domain-adaptive, and federated artificial intelligence in medicine," *IEEE/CAA J. Autom. Sin.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 859–876, 2023.
- [81] E. Prem, "From ethical ai frameworks to tools: a review of approaches," *AI Ethics*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 699–716, 2023.