A DECAY ESTIMATE FOR CUBIC DEFOCUSING NON-LINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION IN THREE DIMENSIONS

YI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we prove a decay estimate for non-linear solutions of 3D cubic defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equation.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and main results. We consider cubic defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^3 ,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = |u|^2 u, & t \in [0, \infty), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in \dot{H}_x^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

 $u(t,x):[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{C}$ is a complex-valued function. Our particular choice of non-linearity makes the equation $\dot{\mathrm{H}}_x^{1/2}$ -critical: If u(t,x) solves (1.1),

$$u_{\lambda}(t,x) := \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

also solve (1.1) and the $\dot{H}_x^{1/2}$ -norm is invariant under scaling.

Also the equation preserves the mass of solutions

$$M[u(t)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^2 dx.$$

Dispersive estimates play a fundamental role in the study of non-linear dispersive equations. It is well known that for the linear propagator $e^{it\Delta}$ of Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^d , one has

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_x^{\infty}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{d}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L_x^1}, \quad t > 0.$$
 (1.2)

Meanwhile, for defocusing NLS, many scattering type results have been widely studied. Scattering means the solution u(t) to the defocusing non-linear equation asymptotically behaves like a linear solution. This means one can find u_0^+ in certain Sobolev space, such that

$$||u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u_0^+||_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_x^s} \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$
 (1.3)

A natural question may be that in what sense one can recover estimate (1.2) for solutions to the non-linear equations. This problem has been studied in a series works [4] by Fan, Killip, Visan and Zhao, [5] by Fan, Staffilani and Zhao, [6, 7] by Fan and Zhao, and [12] by Kowalski.

This work is mainly inspired by work [12] of Kowalski. Kowalski put the initial data into scaling critical Besov space which is stronger than the scaling critical Sobolev space, and recovered the full dispersive estimate. We find this method also works for our model. (1.1) is $\dot{\mathrm{H}}_{x}^{1/2}$ -critical. If we put the initial data in scaling critical Besov space $\dot{\mathrm{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}$ which is stronger than scaling critical Sobolev space $\dot{\mathrm{H}}_{x}^{1/2}$, we can recover estimate (1.2) for solutions to (1.1).

Global well-posedness and scattering results in works [3] by Dodson, and in [14] by Kenig and Merle are also critical to this work.

We summarize the well-posedness results that are needed:

Proposition 1.1 (Theorem 1.1 in [14]). Suppose that u(t) solves (1.1) with initial data u_0 . Assume that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_x^{1/2}} = A < +\infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Then there exists u_0^+ such that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u - e^{it\Delta} u_0^+\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_x^{1/2}} = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^5 \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \le g(A),\tag{1.6}$$

where $g:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is an increasing function (c.f. corollary 5.3 in [14]).

Remark 1.1. Property (1.5) is known as scattering, which states that the solution u to the non-linear equation asymptotically behaves like a linear solution. That the scattering norm (1.6) is finite is also very important to our analysis. In fact, for $(t,x) \in [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$, $||u||_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}} < +\infty$ implies that

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{p}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{q}} \leq C(\|u_{0}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{-}^{1/2}}, \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^{5}})$$

where (p,q) are Schrödinger admissible pairs. One can prove it for (p,q) = (5,30/11), then generalize the estimates to all Schrödinger admissible pairs by Strichartz estimates

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{p}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{q}} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{x}^{1/2}} + \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}}^{2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}}$$

and standard bootstrap argument.

Recall the following embedding relations:

$$\dot{B}_{1,1}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}_{x}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}).$$
 (1.7)

It turns out that placing the initial data in a stronger space makes it easier to obtain the full dispersive estimate.

Based on the results by Kenig and Merle, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let u(t) solve the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial data u_0 . Assume that

$$\sup_{t\in(0,+\infty)}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathrm{H}}_{x}^{1/2}}<+\infty,$$

and $||u_0||_{\dot{B}^{1/2}_{2,1}} \leq R_0$. Then there exists a constant $C(||u||_{L^5_{t,x}}, R_0) > 0$ such that

$$||u(t,x)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0})t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}$$
(1.8)

holds for any t > 0.

In [3], Dodson established the global well-posedness and scattering results for radially symmetric initial data in the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{1,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

Proposition 1.2 (Theorem 2 in [3]). If $u_0 \in \dot{B}^2_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and u_0 is radially symmetric, then (1.1) has a global solution u(t) with initial data u_0 and there exists $u_0^+ \in \dot{H}^{1/2}_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u - e^{it\Delta} u_0^+\|_{\dot{H}_x^{1/2}} = 0.$$
 (1.9)

And if $||u_0||_{\dot{B}^2_{1,1}} \leq R_0$, there exists a function $f:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |u(t,x)|^{5} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \le f(R_{0}). \tag{1.10}$$

Based on this result, in a same way as we prove the theorem 1.1, we can obtain the following unconditional result:

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $u_0 \in \dot{B}^2_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is radially symmetric and $||u_0||_{\dot{B}^2_{1,1}} \leq R_0$ and u(t) is the corresponding global solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial data u_0 . Then there exists a constant $C(R_0) > 0$ such that

$$||u(t,x)||_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}} \le C(R_0)t^{-3/2}||u_0||_{\mathcal{L}_x^1}$$
(1.11)

holds for any t > 0.

Remark 1.2. Since the global well-posedness and scattering results are established, we do not need to assume the boundedness of the solutions. In fact by (1.10) and Strichartz estimates, we can obtain, for Schrödinger admissible pairs (p, q),

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_t^p\mathbf{L}_x^q([0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \leqslant C(R_0).$$

This theorem can be viewed as a parallel version of theorem 1.3 in [12].

1.2. **Auxiliary Results.** We also summarize some well known results in the theory of dispersive equations that are crucial to our analysis.

Proposition 1.3 (Perturbation theorem c.f. [14]). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a time interval, and let $t_0 \in I$. Let u be defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times I$ such that $\sup_{t \in I} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}_x} \leq A$, $\|u\|_{L^5_{t,x}(I)} \leq M$ and $\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{L^5_t L^{30/11}_x(I)} < +\infty$ for some constants M, A > 0. Assume that u solves

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - |u|^2 u = e, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times I,$$

and let $v_0 \in \dot{H}_x^{1/2}$ be such that $||v_0 - u(t_0)||_{\dot{H}_x^{1/2}} \leqslant A'$.

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(M, A, A') > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ and

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}e\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5/3}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/23}(\mathbf{I})} \leqslant \varepsilon, \quad \|e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_{0})\Delta}[v_{0}-u(t_{0})]\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}(\mathbf{I})} \leqslant \varepsilon,$$

then there exists a unique solution v(t) of (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times I$ such that $v|_{t=t_0} = v_0$ and

$$||v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^{5}(\mathbf{I})} \leqslant C(A, A', M), \quad ||v - u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^{5}(\mathbf{I})} \leqslant C(A, A', M)(\varepsilon + \varepsilon'),$$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{I}} \|v(t) - u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_{x}^{1/2}} + \||\nabla|^{1/2}(v - u)\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathcal{I})} \leqslant C(A, A', M)(A' + \varepsilon + \varepsilon'),$$

where $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon^{\beta}$ for some $\beta > 0$.

We may also use the Strichartz estimate for Schrödinger in our analysis:

Proposition 1.4 (Strichartz estimate for Schrödinger, d=3, c.f. [10, 15, 24]). Call a pair (p,q) of Schrödinger admissible for d=3 if $2 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2}$. Then for any admissible pair (p,q) and (\tilde{p},\tilde{q}) , we have homogeneous Strichartz estimate

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^p_tL^q_x(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_{p,q} \|u_0\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$
 (1.12)

the dual homogeneous Strichartz estimate

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-is\Delta} F(s) \, ds \right\|_{L^{2}_{x}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \lesssim_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}} \|F\|_{L^{\tilde{p}'}_{t}L^{\tilde{q}'}_{x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{3})}, \tag{1.13}$$

and the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimate

$$\left\| \int_{s < t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(s) \, ds \right\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_{p,q,\tilde{p},\tilde{q}} \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{p}'} L_x^{\tilde{q}'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{1.14}$$

1.3. **Notations.** We use the notation $A \lesssim B$ to indicate that $A \leqslant CB$ for some universal constant C > 0 that may change from line to line. If both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ hold, we denote $A \sim B$.

We abbreviate the maximum and minimum of two numbers a and b as $a \lor b = \max(a, b)$ and $a \land b = \min(a, b)$ respectively.

When we mention embeddings, we use \hookrightarrow to denote a continuous embedding, i.e. $X \hookrightarrow Y$ if the inclusion map $X \to Y$ satisfies $||f||_Y \lesssim ||f||_X$.

Our definition for the Fourier transform is

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi \cdot x} \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \hat{f}(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\xi \cdot x} \, \, \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

This Fourier transform is unitary on L² and yields the standard Plancherel formula. When a function f(t,x) depends on both time and space, we let $\hat{f}(t,\xi)$ denote the Fourier transform of f in only the spatial variable.

For $s \ge 0$, we define the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s$ as the completion of the Schwartz function \mathcal{S} with respect to the norm

$$||f||_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s}^2 = \int |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi. \tag{1.15}$$

We define the *Littlewood-Paley projections* as follows: Let φ denote a smooth bump function supported on $\{|\xi| \leq 2\}$ such that $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$. For dyadic numbers $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we then define $P_{\leq N}$, $P_{>N}$ and P_N as

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{P}_{\leq N}f}(\xi) &= \varphi\left(\xi/N\right) \widehat{f}(\xi), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{P}_{>N}f}(\xi) &= \left[1 - \varphi\left(\xi/N\right)\right] \widehat{f}(\xi), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{P}_{N}f}(\xi) &= \left[\varphi\left(\xi/N\right) - \varphi\left(2\xi/N\right)\right] \widehat{f}(\xi). \end{split}$$

We denote $P_N f = f_N$, $P_{\leq N} f = f_{\leq N}$ and $P_{>N} f = f_{>N}$. If a function f(t, x) depends on both time and space, we let $f_N(t, x)$ denote the Littlewood-Paley projection of f in only spatial variable x.

As Fourier multipliers, the Littlewood-Paley projections are commute with derivative operators and linear propagator of Schrödinger. Moreover, they are bounded on L^p for all $1 \le p \le \infty$ and on \dot{H}^s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For 1 , we have that

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} P_N f \to f, \quad \text{in } L^p. \tag{1.16}$$

In addition, P_N , $P_{\leq N}$ are bounded pointwise by a constant multiple of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$|P_N f| + |P_{\leq f}| \lesssim Mf. \tag{1.17}$$

The Bernstein inequalities state that

$$\||\nabla|^s P_N f\|_{L^p} \sim N^s \|P_N f\|_{L^p},$$
 (1.18)

$$\||\nabla|^s P_{\leq N} f\|_{L^p} \lesssim N^s \|P_N f\|_{L^p},$$
 (1.19)

$$\|P_N f\|_{L^q}, \|P_{\leq N} f\|_{L^q} \lesssim N^{d\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \|P_N f\|_{L^p},$$
 (1.20)

for all $s \ge 0$ and $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$. Additionally, we have almost orthogonality estimate, which states

$$\|\|f_N(x)\|_{\ell_N^2}\|_{\mathbf{L}^p} \sim \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^p} \tag{1.21}$$

for 1 .

We use $\mathcal{O}(X)$ to denote a term that is schematically like X. That is a finite linear combination of terms that look like X but potentially with some terms replaced by their absolute value, complex

conjugate or a Littlewood-Paley projection. For example,

$$|v+w|^2(v+w) = \sum_{j=0}^3 \mathcal{O}(v^j w^{3-j})$$
 and $f_{\leq N/8} \cdot g_{N_1} \cdot h = \mathcal{O}(fg_{N_1}h)$.

We use $L_t^p L_x^q (T \times X)$ to denote the mixed Lebesgue spacetime norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}^{p}_{t}\mathcal{L}^{q}_{x}(T\times X)} = |||f(t,x)||_{\mathcal{L}^{q}_{x}(X)}||_{\mathcal{L}^{p}_{t}(T)} = \left[\int_{T} \left(\int_{X} |f(t,x)|^{q} dx\right)^{p/q} dt\right]^{1/p}.$$

When p = q, denote $L_{t,x}^p = L_t^p L_x^q$. When $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, we abbreviate $L_t^p L_x^q(T) = L_t^p L_x^q(T \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Unless explicitly stated, $L_t^p L_x^q$ stands for $L_t^p L_x^q([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

2. Preliminaries

It will be important to employ a paraproduct decomposition to the cubic nonlinearity of (1.1). We recall such a lemma (c.f. lemma 4.2 in [12]):

Lemma 2.1 (Paraproduct decomposition). Suppose that $f^1, f^2, f^3 \in L^2$. Then $P_N(f^1f^2f^3)$ can be expressed as

$$P_{N}(f^{1}f^{2}f^{3}) = P_{N}\left(f_{\geq N/8}^{1}f^{2}f^{3} + f_{< N/8}^{1}f_{\geq N/8}^{2}f^{3} + f_{< N/8}^{1}f_{\geq N/8}^{2}f^{3} + f_{< N/8}^{1}f_{\geq N/8}^{2}f^{3}\right)$$

$$= P_{N}\sum_{N_{1} \geq N}\sum_{(i,j,k)} \mathcal{O}\left(f_{N_{1}}^{i}f^{j}f^{k}\right),$$

where (i, j, k) is summed over all permutation of (1, 2, 3). In particular,

$$\left| P_N(f^1 f^2 f^3) \right| \lesssim \sum_{(i,j,k)} \sum_{N_1 \gtrsim N} M \left[f_{N_1}^i \cdot M f^j \cdot M f^k \right],$$

uniformly for $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

Similar to proposition 4.3 and 4.4 in [12], we now prove such kind of estimates which are important to our proof:

Proposition 2.1 (Besov spacetime bounds). Let u(t) be the global solution to (1.1) with initial data $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{1/2}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let (p,q) be a Schrödinger-admissible pair for d=3 i.e. (p,q) satisfies $\frac{2}{p}+\frac{3}{q}=\frac{3}{2}$. Suppose that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{1/2}} < +\infty.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathbf{L}_t^p \mathbf{L}_x^q} \le C(\|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5}) \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}.$$
(2.1)

Proof. We first consider p=q=10/3 before generalizing to all Schrödinger-admissible pairs (p,q). We introduce a small parameter $\eta>0$ which is fixed and chosen later. By Proposition 1.1, we may decompose $[0,+\infty)$ into $J=J(\eta,\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,r}})$ many intervals $\mathrm{I}_j=[t_j,t_{j+1})$ on which

$$||u||_{\mathbf{L}^{5}_{t,r}(\mathbf{I}_{i}\times\mathbb{R}^{3})} < \eta.$$
 (2.2)

By the Duhamel formula and Strichartz estimates, for each spacetime slab $I_i \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we may estimate

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathrm{I}_j)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathrm{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \| (|u|^2 u)_N \|_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^{10/7}(\mathrm{I}_j)}.$$

For the second term, we apply the paraproduct decomposition, Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, then we obtain

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathbf{I}_j)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \sum_{N,N_1:N_1 \gtrsim N} N^{1/2} \|u_{N_1} (\mathbf{M}u)^2\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/7}(\mathbf{I}_j)}
\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5(\mathbf{I}_j)}^2 \sum_{N,N_1:N_1 \gtrsim N} N^{1/2} \|u_{N_1}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathbf{I}_j)}.$$

Summing over N first, then (2.2) implies that

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathcal{I}_j)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \eta^2 \sum_{N_1} N_1^{1/2} \|u_{N_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathcal{I}_j)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Choosing η sufficiently small relative to the constants in (2.3), a standard bootstrap argument yields

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathbf{I}_j)} \leqslant C \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}.$$

Summing over $j = 1, ..., J(\|u\|_{L^5_{t,x}})$, this concludes the proof of the proposition for p = q = 10/3 for the initial value problem.

By the Duhamel formula and Strichartz estimates, for any Schrödinger admissible pair (p,q), we can similarly obtain that

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathbf{L}_t^p \mathbf{L}_x^q} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5}^2 \sum_{N_1 \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N_1^{1/2} \|u_{N_1}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}} \leqslant C(\|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5}) \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}.$$

The proof is complete.

We also need the following stability result.

Proposition 2.2 ($\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}$ stability). Let (p,q) be a Schrödinger admissible pair for d=3. Suppose that u(t) and v(t) are the solutions to (1.1) satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{1/2}_x}, \ \sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{1/2}_x} < +\infty$$

with corresponding initial data u_0, v_0 respectively obeying the bound

$$||u_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}, ||v_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leqslant R_0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_N \|_{\mathbf{L}_t^p \mathbf{L}_x^q} \le C(S, R_0) \| u_0 - v_0 \|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}$$
(2.4)

where $S = ||u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5} \vee ||v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5}$.

Proof. We first consider p=q=10/3 before generalizing to all Schrödinger-admissible pairs (p,q). We introduce $\eta>0$. Decompose $[0,+\infty)$ into $J=J(\eta,S)$ many intervals I_j on which

$$||u| \lor |v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^{5}(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \le ||u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^{5}(\mathbf{I}_{i})} + ||v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^{5}(\mathbf{I}_{i})} < \eta.$$

$$(2.5)$$

By the Duhamel formula and Strichartz estimates, for each spacetime slab $I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we may estimate

$$\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_{N} \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}} \lesssim \| u_{0} - v_{0} \|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \sum_{N} N^{1/2} \| (|u|^{2}u - |v|^{2}v)_{N} \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/7}}
= \| u_{0} - v_{0} \|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + \mathbf{II}$$
(2.6)

We focus on the second term and decompose the non-linearity as

$$|u|^{2}u - |v|^{2}v = u^{2}\overline{(u-v)} + \bar{v}u(u-v) + |v|^{2}(u-v).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{II} \leqslant \sum_{N} N^{1/2} \left\{ \| [u^2 \overline{(u-v)}]_N \|_{\mathcal{L}^{10/7}_{t,x}} + \| [\bar{v}u(u-v)]_N \|_{\mathcal{L}^{10/7}_{t,x}} + \| [|v|^2 (u-v)]_N \|_{\mathcal{L}^{10/7}_{t,x}} \right\}.$$

Applying paraproduct decomposition, we obtain

$$II \lesssim \sum_{N,N_{1}:N_{1} \gtrsim N} N^{1/2} \left[\|u_{N_{1}} M [|u| \lor |v|] M(u-v) \|_{L_{t,x}^{10/7}} + \|v_{N_{1}} M [|u| \lor |v|] M(u-v) \|_{L_{t,x}^{10/7}} \right]
+ \sum_{N,N_{1}:N_{1} \gtrsim N} N^{1/2} \|(u-v)_{N_{1}} [M (|u| \lor |v|)]^{2} \|_{L_{t,x}^{10/7}}.$$
(2.7)

Summing over N firstly, we obtain

$$\Pi \lesssim \sum_{N_1} N_1^{1/2} \left[\|u_{N_1} \mathbf{M} [|u| \vee |v|] \mathbf{M} (u - v) \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/7}} + \|v_{N_1} \mathbf{M} [|u| \vee |v|] \mathbf{M} (u - v) \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/7}} \right] \\
+ \sum_{N_1} N_1^{1/2} \|(u - v)_{N_1} \left[\mathbf{M} (|u| \vee |v|) \right]^2 \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/7}}. \tag{2.8}$$

By Hölder's inequality, we estimate

$$II \lesssim ||u| \vee |v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}} ||u - v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{10/3} \mathbf{L}_{x}^{15/2}} \left(\sum_{N_{1}} N_{1}^{1/2} ||u_{N_{1}}||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5} \mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}} + \sum_{N_{1}} N_{1}^{1/2} ||v_{N_{1}}||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5} \mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}} \right)
+ ||u| \vee |v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}}^{2} \sum_{N_{1}} N_{1}^{1/2} ||(u - v)_{N_{1}}||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}}.$$
(2.9)

By Bernstein's inequality,

$$||u-v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{10/3}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{15/2}} \leqslant \sum_{N_{1}} ||(u-v)_{N_{1}}||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{10/3}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{15/2}} \lesssim \sum_{N_{1}} N_{1}^{1/2} ||(u-v)_{N_{1}}||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}}.$$

By proposition 2.1, it turns out that

II
$$\lesssim C(S, R_0) \eta \sum_{N_1} N_1^{1/2} \| (u - v)_{N_1} \|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}},$$

which implies

$$\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_N \|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}} \lesssim \| u_0 - v_0 \|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + C(S, R_0) \eta \sum_{N_1} N_1^{1/2} \| (u - v)_{N_1} \|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}}$$
(2.10)

Choosing η small enough depending on S, R_0 and absolute constants in (2.10), a standard bootstrap argument yields

$$\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_N \|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}(\mathcal{I}_j)} \le C(S, R_0) \| u_0 - v_0 \|_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Summing over $j = 1, ..., J(S, R_0)$, this concludes the proof of the proposition for p = q = 10/3 for the initial value problem.

By the Duhamel formula and Strichartz estimates, for any Schrödinger admissible pair (p,q), we have

$$\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_{N} \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{p} \mathbf{L}_{x}^{q}} \lesssim \| u_{0} - v_{0} \|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} + C(S, R_{0}) (\| u \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}} + \| v \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}}) \sum_{N} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_{N} \|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{10/3}} \\
\leqslant C(S, R_{0}) \| u_{0} - v_{0} \|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}. \tag{2.12}$$

The proof is complete.

3. Proof of the main theorems

Let u(t) be the solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 satisfying $||u_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leqslant R_0$ and

$$\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{x}^{1/2}} < +\infty.$$

Define

$$A(t) := \sup_{0 < s \le t} s^{3/2} \|u(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}}.$$
(3.1)

Note that A(t) is monotone increasing. Our aim is to prove there is some constant $C(\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5}, R_0)$ such that

$$A(t) \le C(\|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0})\|u_{0}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}}, \text{ holds for any } t > 0.$$
 (3.2)

By the density of Schwartz functions in $B_{2,1}^{1/2} \cap L^1$, it suffices to consider Schwartz solutions. Then for any given l, one can find C_l such that

$$A(t) \le C_l \|u_0\|_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad 0 < t \le l.$$
 (3.3)

Also the solution is continuous in time in L^{∞} .

Now we start to prove theorem 1.1 by bootstrap argument.

3.1. **Base case.** Theorem 1.1 holds trivially for $||u_0||_{\dot{B}^{1/2}_{2}} = 0$. We choose a small data case to be the base case to do induction. Specifically, we prove the following bootstrap lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Assume $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathrm{H}}^{1/2}_x} < +\infty$. There exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ depending on $\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}}$ and some absolute constants, and there exists $C_0(\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}}) > 0$ such that if assume $\|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathrm{B}}^{1/2}_{2,1}} \leqslant \varepsilon$ and assume $A(L) \leqslant C_0\|u_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1_x}$ for any L > 0, then we have $A(L) \leqslant \frac{C_0}{2}\|u_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1_x}$.

Proof. For any $0 < t \le L$, by Duhamel's formula, write

$$u(t,x) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^2 u)(s) ds = u_1 + u_{nl}.$$
 (3.4)

We split $u_{\rm nl}$ into

$$u_{\rm nl} = F_1(t) + F_2(t),$$
 (3.5)

where

$$F_1(t) = -i \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (|u|^2 u)(s) ds,$$

$$F_2(t) = -i \int_{t/2}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (|u|^2 u)(s) ds.$$

Estimate for u_{l} . Dispersive estimate gives that for some constant C_{1} , we have

$$||u_{l}(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C_{1} t^{-3/2} ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$
(3.6)

Estimate for $F_1(t)$. Note that $\dot{\mathrm{H}}_x^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is embedded into $\mathrm{L}_x^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It turns out that $u_0 \in \mathrm{L}_x^3$ and $u(t) \in \mathrm{L}_x^{\infty} \mathrm{L}_x^3$. Since $u_0 \in \mathrm{L}_x^1$ and the mass $m = \int |u(x,t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$ is conserved, we know $u_0 \in \mathrm{L}_x^2$ and

$$||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} = ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \leq ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{1/2} ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{3}}^{3/2} \leq C_{2}^{3/2} ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{1/2} ||u_{0}||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}_{x}^{1/2}}^{3/2} \leq C_{2}^{3/2} ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{1/2}$$

$$(3.7)$$

by Hölder's inequality where C_2 is from Sobolev embedding.

By dispersive estimate, we estimate F_1 as

$$||F_1(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}} \le 2C_1 t^{-3/2} ||u(s)||_{\mathcal{L}^3\mathcal{L}^3((0,+\infty))}^3. \tag{3.8}$$

We introduce a parameter M and estimate (3.8) as

$$||u(s)||_{\mathcal{L}_{s}^{3}\mathcal{L}_{x}^{3}((0,+\infty))}^{3} \leq ||u(s)||_{\mathcal{L}_{s}^{3}\mathcal{L}_{x}^{3}((0,M))}^{3} + \int_{M}^{+\infty} ||u(s)||_{\mathcal{L}_{s}^{\infty}} ||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} ds.$$

$$(3.9)$$

By remark 1.1, $\sup_{t \in [0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}_x} \leq A = A(\|u\|_{L^5_{t,x}})$. By Sobolev embedding,

$$||u(s)||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{3}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{3}((0,M))}^{3} \leq MC_{2}^{3}||u(s)||_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{\infty}\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{x}^{1/2}}^{3} \leq MC_{2}^{3}A^{3}.$$

$$(3.10)$$

By (3.7) and bootstrap assumption, we obtain

$$\int_{M}^{+\infty} \|u(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{s}^{\infty}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} ds \leq C_{0} C_{2}^{3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{3/2} \int_{M}^{+\infty} s^{-3/2} ds \leq 2C_{0} C_{2}^{3/2} M^{-1/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{3/2}.$$
(3.11)

Taking $M = 1600C_1^2C_2^3||u_0||_{L_x^1}$, we obtain

$$||F_1(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}} \le \left(3200C_1^3C_2^6A^3 + \frac{1}{10}C_0\right)t^{-3/2}||u_0||_{\mathcal{L}_x^1}$$
 (3.12)

Estimate for $F_2(t)$. By paraproduct decomposition, we estimate F_2 as

$$||F_{2}(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq \sum_{N,N_{1}:N_{1} \gtrsim N} \sum_{N_{2}} \left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} \mathcal{P}_{N} \left[e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O} \left(u_{N_{1}} u_{N_{2}} u \right) \right] ds \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}}.$$
 (3.13)

Fix N, N_1, N_2 and we introduce B > 0 which will be chosen later.

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} P_{N} \left[e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O} \left(u_{N_{1}} u_{N_{2}} u \right) \right] ds \right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}$$

$$(3.14)$$

is bounded by the sum of

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{(t-B)\vee t/2} P_N \left[e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}\left(u_{N_1} u_{N_2} u\right) \right] ds \right\|_{L_x^{\infty}}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\left\| \int_{(t-B)\vee t/2}^{t} P_{N} \left[e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}\left(u_{N_{1}} u_{N_{2}} u\right) \right] ds \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$
(3.16)

By dispersive estimate and Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$(3.15) \lesssim \int_{t/2}^{(t-B)\vee t/2} |t-s|^{-3/2} \|u_{N_1}(s)u_{N_2}(s)\|_{\mathbf{L}_x^1} \|u(s)\|_{\mathbf{L}_x^{\infty}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\lesssim C_0 t^{-3/2} B^{-1/2} \|u_{N_1}\|_{\mathbf{L}_t^{\infty} \mathbf{L}_x^2} \|u_{N_2}\|_{\mathbf{L}_t^{\infty} \mathbf{L}_x^2} \|u_0\|_{\mathbf{L}_x^1}. \tag{3.17}$$

By Bernstein's inequality,

$$(3.16) \lesssim \int_{(t-B)\vee t/2}^{t} N^{3/2} \|u_{N_{1}}(s)u_{N_{2}}(s)\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{2}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{\infty}} ds$$

$$\lesssim C_{0}t^{-3/2}BN^{3/2} \|u_{N_{1}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{2}} \|u_{N_{2}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}}$$

$$\lesssim C_{0}t^{-3/2}BN^{3/2}N_{2}^{3/2} \|u_{N_{1}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{2}} \|u_{N_{2}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{2}} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$

$$(3.18)$$

Choosing $B = N^{-1}N_2^{-1}$, we obtain

$$(3.14) \lesssim C_0 t^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^1} \left(N^{1/2} \|u_{N_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^2} \right) \left(N_2^{1/2} \|u_{N_2}\|_{\mathcal{L}_t^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_x^2} \right). \tag{3.19}$$

Summing over N with $N \lesssim N_1$ firstly, then summing over N_1, N_2 and by (2.1), we obtain

$$||F_2(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}} \lesssim C_0 C^2(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^5}) \varepsilon^2 t^{-3/2} ||u_0||_{\mathcal{L}_x^1}.$$
(3.20)

Choosing ε small enough depending on $\|u\|_{\mathbf{L}^5_{t,x}}$ and some absolute constants, we can ensure

$$||F_2(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}} \le \frac{1}{10} C_0 t^{-3/2} ||u_0||_{\mathcal{L}_x^1}.$$
 (3.21)

By (3.6), (3.12) and (3.21), we can conclude that

$$||u(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq \left[\left(C_{1} + 3200C_{1}^{3}C_{2}^{6}A^{3} \right) + \frac{1}{5}C_{0} \right] t^{-3/2} ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$
(3.22)

Choosing $C_0 = 10(C_1 + 3200C_1^3C_2^6A^3)$ we can close the bootstrap. The proof is complete.

Since we close the bootstrap argument, we prove:

Corollary 3.1. Let u(t) be the solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 . Assume $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{1/2}} < +\infty$. There exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ depending on $\|u\|_{\dot{L}_{t,x}^5}$ and some absolute constants such that if $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leq \varepsilon$, then there exists a constant $C(\|u\|_{\dot{L}_{t,x}^5})$ such that

$$||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,r}^{5}})t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}, \quad holds \ for \ any \ t > 0.$$

3.2. **Induction.** Let u(t) be the solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 . For the sake of induction, we assume $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_x^{1/2}} < +\infty$, and there exists some $R_0 \geqslant 0$ such that if $\|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leqslant R_0$, then

$$||u(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \le C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0})t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}$$
 (3.23)

holds for any t > 0.

It suffices to show that (3.23) extends to all solutions with $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_x^{1/2}} < +\infty$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leqslant R_0 + 1$, perhaps with a new constant $C(\|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5}, R_0)$.

We finish the induction by showing this increment.

Proof of theorem 1.1. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small to be chosen later. Suppose that if v(t) is the solution to (1.1) with initial data v_0 with $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|v(t)\| < +\infty$ and $\|v_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{1/2}_{2,1}} \leqslant R_0 + k\varepsilon$, then it holds

$$||v(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C(||v||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)t^{-3/2}||v_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$
(3.24)

We aim to show that for all solutions u(t) to (1.1) with initial data u_0 , if $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} ||u(t)|| < +\infty$ and $||u_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}^{1/2}_{2.1}} \leq R_0 + (k+1)\varepsilon$, then it holds

$$||u(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \le C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}},$$
 (3.25)

perhaps with a new constant $C(\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)$. Provided $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0})$ is chosen, iterating over $k = 0, \ldots, \varepsilon^{-1} - 1$ will extend (3.23) to all solutions with $\sup_{t \in (0,+\infty)} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathrm{H}}_{x}^{1/2}} < +\infty$ and $\|u_{0}\|_{\dot{\mathrm{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leqslant R_{0} + 1$. Note that $R_{0} = 0$ corresponds to the base case.

Let u(t) be the solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 with $\sup_{t\in(0,+\infty)}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_x^{1/2}}<+\infty$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}}\leqslant R_0+(k+1)\varepsilon$. We aim to prove (3.25) holds for any t>0.

We decompose u_0 as

$$u_0 = \frac{R_0 + k\varepsilon}{R_0 + (k+1)\varepsilon} u_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{R_0 + (k+1)\varepsilon} u_0 =: v_0 + w_0.$$
 (3.26)

Then $||v_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leq R_0 + k\varepsilon$, $||w_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leq \varepsilon$ and $||v_0||_{\mathbf{L}_x^1}$, $||w_0||_{\mathbf{L}_x^1} \leq ||u_0||_{\mathbf{L}_x^1}$.

By Sobolev embedding and Strichartz estimate (1.12),

$$\|e^{it\Delta}[u_0 - v_0]\|_{L_{t,x}^{5}([0,+\infty))} \lesssim \|e^{it\Delta}|\nabla|^{1/2}[u_0 - v_0]\|_{L_{t}^{5}L_{x}^{30/11}([0,+\infty))} \lesssim \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\dot{H}_{x}^{1/2}} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$
(3.27)

We introduce a small parameter $\eta > 0$ which is fixed and chosen later and decompose $[0, +\infty)$ into $J = J(\eta, ||u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^5})$ many intervals $\mathbf{I}_j = [t_j, t_{j+1})$ on which

$$||u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,r}^5(\mathbf{I}_i\times\mathbb{R}^3)}<\eta.$$

By Strichartz estimate, fractional Leibniz and Hölder's inequality,

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})} \lesssim (R_{0}+1) + \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}(\mathbf{I}_{j})}^{2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})}$$

$$\lesssim (R_{0}+1) + \eta^{2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})}.$$

$$(3.28)$$

Choosing η small enough depending on R_0 and absolute constants in (3.28), a standard bootstrap argument yields

$$||\nabla|^{1/2}u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})} \le C(R_{0}).$$

Summing over $j = 1, ..., J(A, R_0)$, we obtain

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}([0,+\infty))} \le C(\|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}). \tag{3.29}$$

Also we have

$$||u_0 - v_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{1/2}} \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant 1. \tag{3.30}$$

By proposition 1.3, (3.27), (3.29) and (3.30), choosing ε small enough depending on $||u||_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^5}$, R_0 and absolute constants ensures that there exists a unique solution v(t) to (1.1) on $[0, +\infty)$ such that

$$v|_{t=0} = v_0$$
 and $||v||_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}} + \sup_{t \in [0,+\infty)} ||v(t)||_{\dot{\mathrm{H}}^{1/2}_x} \leqslant C_v = C_v(||u||_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}}, R_0).$

Since $||v_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{2,1}^{1/2}} \leq R_0 + k\varepsilon$, by induction assumption (3.24), we have

$$||v(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C(||v||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,r}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)t^{-3/2}||v_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}} \leq C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,r}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$
(3.31)

Let w(t) := u(t) - v(t). Then w(t) solves

$$i\partial_t w + \Delta w = |u|^2 u - |v|^2 v, \tag{3.32}$$

and by proposition 1.3,

$$||w||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}} + \sup_{t \in [0,+\infty)} ||w(t)||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}_{x}^{1/2}} \leqslant C_{w} = C_{w}(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}).$$
(3.33)

Since

$$||u(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq ||v(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} + ||w(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,r}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}} + ||w(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}},$$
(3.34)

it remains to prove

$$||w(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,r}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon)t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}$$
(3.35)

holds for any t > 0.

Define

$$W(t) := \sup_{0 < s \leqslant t} s^{3/2} \|w(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{x}}.$$

As the analysis in the beginning of this section, it remains to prove the following bootstrap lemma: There exists $C_0 = C_0(\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}}, R_0, k, \varepsilon)$ such that if we assume $W(L) \leqslant C_0 \|u_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1_x}$ for any L > 0, then we have $W(L) \leqslant \frac{C_0}{2} \|u_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1_x}$.

For $0 < t \le L$, since w(t) solves (3.32), by Duhamel formula, we can write

$$w(t) = e^{it\Delta}w_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}[|u|^2u - |v|^2v](s) ds$$
 (3.36)

Substituting u = v + w, we write

$$w(t) = e^{it\Delta}w_0 - i \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \left[\mathcal{O}(w^3) + \mathcal{O}(w^2v) + \mathcal{O}(wv^2) \right] (s) ds$$

$$- i \int_{t/2}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \left[\mathcal{O}(w^3) + \mathcal{O}(w^2v) + \mathcal{O}(wv^2) \right] (s) ds$$

$$=: w_1(t) + G_1(t) + G_2(t).$$
(3.37)

Estimate for w_1 . By dispersive estimate,

$$||w_{l}(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq C_{1}t^{-3/2}||w_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}} \leq C_{1}t^{-3/2}||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$
(3.38)

Estimate for $G_1(t)$. Similar to (3.8) to (3.12), we can obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^3)(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{\infty}} \le \left(12800 C_1^3 C_2^6 C_3^3 C_w^6 + \frac{1}{20} C_0 \right) t^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{L_x^1}$$
(3.39)

where C_3 is from \mathcal{O} .

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^2 v)(s) ds \right\|_{L_{\infty}^{\infty}} \le 2C_1 C_4 t^{-3/2} \|w^2 v\|_{L_s^1 L_x^1((0,+\infty))}$$
(3.40)

Similar to (3.9), we introduce M and do estimate

$$\|w^{2}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{s}^{1}\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}((0,+\infty))} \leq \|w^{2}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{s}^{1}\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}((0,M))} + \int_{M}^{+\infty} \|w^{2}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}} \, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.41}$$

By Hölder and Sobolev embedding,

$$||w^{2}v||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{1}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}((0,M))} \leq M||w||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{s}^{3}}^{2}||v||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{3}} \leq MC_{2}^{3}C_{w}^{2}C_{v}.$$
(3.42)

Similar to (3.7), by Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_x} \leqslant C_2^{3/4} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_x}^{1/4} C_v^{3/4}$$
 and $\|w\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_x} \leqslant C_2^{3/4} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_x}^{1/4} C_w^{3/4}$.

Therefore by bootstrap assumption,

$$\int_{M}^{+\infty} \|w^{2}v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}} \, \mathrm{d}s \leq \int_{M}^{+\infty} \|w\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \, \mathrm{d}s \leq C_{0} C_{2}^{3/2} C_{v}^{3/4} C_{w}^{3/4} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{3/2} \int_{M}^{+\infty} s^{-3/2} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq 2C_{0} C_{2}^{3/2} C_{v}^{3/4} C_{w}^{3/4} M^{-1/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}^{3/2}. \tag{3.43}$$

Choosing $M = 6400C_1^2C_4^2C_2^3C_v^{3/2}C_w^{3/2}\|u_0\|_{L_x^1}$, by (3.40) to (3.43), we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^2 v)(s) ds \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \left(12800 C_1^3 C_2^6 C_4^3 C_v^{5/2} C_w^{7/2} + \frac{1}{20} C_0 \right) t^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{L^1_x}. \tag{3.44}$$

Similarly,

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(wv^2)(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{\infty}} \le 2C_1 C_5 t^{-3/2} \|wv^2\|_{L_x^1 L_x^1((0,+\infty))}$$
(3.45)

We introduce M and do estimate

$$\|wv^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^1\mathcal{L}_x^1((0,+\infty))} \le \|wv^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^1\mathcal{L}_x^1((0,M))} + \int_M^{+\infty} \|wv^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_x^1} \, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.46}$$

By Hölder and Sobolev embedding,

$$||wv^{2}||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{1}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}((0,M))} \leq M||w||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{3}}||v||_{\mathbf{L}_{s}^{\infty}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{3}}^{2} \leq MC_{2}^{3}C_{w}C_{v}^{2}.$$
(3.47)

By bootstrap assumption,

$$\int_{M}^{+\infty} \|wv^{2}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}} \, \mathrm{d}s \leq \int_{M}^{+\infty} \|v\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \|w\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \, \mathrm{d}s \leq C_{0} C_{2}^{3/2} C_{v}^{3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}}^{3/2} \int_{M}^{+\infty} s^{-3/2} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq 2C_{0} C_{2}^{3/2} C_{v}^{3/2} M^{-1/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{1}}^{3/2}. \tag{3.48}$$

Choosing $M=6400C_1^2C_5^2C_2^3C_v^3\|u_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^1_x}$, by (3.45) to (3.48), we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^{t/2} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^2 v)(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^{\infty}} \le \left(12800 C_1^3 C_2^6 C_5^3 C_v^5 C_w + \frac{1}{20} C_0 \right) t^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{L_x^1}.$$
 (3.49)

In conclusion, we obtain

$$||G_1(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_x^{\infty}} \le \left[12800C_1^3C_2^6\left(C_3^3C_w^6 + C_4^3C_v^{5/2}C_w^{7/2} + C_5^3C_v^5C_w\right) + \frac{3}{20}C_0\right]t^{-3/2}||u_0||_{\mathcal{L}_x^1}$$
(3.50)

Estimate for $G_2(t)$. We start to estimate $G_2(t)$. As the estimates we do in the base case, we have

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^{3})(s) ds \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \sum_{N,N_{1}:N_{1} \gtrsim N} \sum_{N_{2}} \left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} P_{N} \left[e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}\left(w_{N_{1}} w_{N_{2}} w\right) \right] ds \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$
 (3.51)

Similar to (3.17) to (3.19), we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} P_{N} \left[e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}\left(w_{N_{1}} w_{N_{2}} w \right) \right] ds \right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \lesssim C_{0} t^{-3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{L_{x}^{1}} \left(N^{1/2} \|w_{N_{1}}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \right) \left(N_{2}^{1/2} \|w_{N_{2}}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \right). \tag{3.52}$$

Summing over N with $N \lesssim N_1$ firstly, then summing over N_1, N_2 and by proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^{3})(s) ds \right\|_{L_{\infty}^{\infty}} \lesssim C_{0} C(\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0})^{2} \varepsilon^{2} t^{-3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{L_{x}^{1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{20} C_{0} t^{-3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{L_{x}^{1}}$$
(3.53)

for ε chosen small enough depending on $||u||_{\mathrm{L}^5_{t,x}}$, R_0 and some absolute constants.

By paraproduct decomposition,

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(w^{2}v)(s) ds \right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}$$
(3.54)

is bounded by

$$\sum_{N,N_1:N_1 \gtrsim N} \sum_{N_2} \left\{ \left\| \int_{t/2}^t \mathbf{P}_N \left[e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\Delta} \left(w_{N_1} v_{N_2} w \right) \right] \, \mathrm{d}s \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}_x} + \left\| \int_{t/2}^t \mathbf{P}_N \left[e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\Delta} \left(v_{N_1} w_{N_2} w \right) \right] \, \mathrm{d}s \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}_x} \right\}. \tag{3.55}$$

Similar to (3.17) to (3.19), by proposition 2.1 and proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$(3.54) \lesssim \|w\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \left(\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \|w_{N}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}} \right) \left(\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \|v_{N}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon C(\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}) C_{0} t^{-3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{20} C_{0} t^{-3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}$$

$$(3.56)$$

for ε chosen small enough depending on $\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^5}, R_0$ and some absolute constants. Similarly,

$$\left\| \int_{t/2}^{t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} \mathcal{O}(wv^{2})(s) ds \right\|_{L^{\infty}}$$
(3.57)

is bounded by

$$\sum_{N,N_{1}:N_{1}\gtrsim N}\sum_{N_{2}}\left\{\left\|\int_{t/2}^{t}\mathbf{P}_{N}\left[\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\Delta}\left(w_{N_{1}}v_{N_{2}}v\right)\right]\right.\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{\infty}}+\left\|\int_{t/2}^{t}\mathbf{P}_{N}\left[\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\Delta}\left(v_{N_{1}}w_{N_{2}}v\right)\right]\right.\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\right\}.$$
(3.58)

and

$$(3.57) \lesssim \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \left(\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \|w_{N}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}} \right) \left(\sum_{N} N^{1/2} \|v_{N}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}} \right) \leqslant C(\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon) t^{-3/2} \|u_{0}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}$$

$$(3.59)$$

by the induction assumption.

By (3.38), (3.50), (3.53), (3.56) and (3.59), we obtain

$$||w(t)||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leq \left[C_{1} + 12800C_{1}^{3}C_{2}^{6} \left(C_{3}^{3}C_{w}^{6} + C_{4}^{3}C_{v}^{5/2}C_{w}^{7/2} + C_{5}^{3}C_{v}^{5}C_{w} \right) + C(||u||_{\mathcal{L}_{t,x}^{5}}, R_{0}, k, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{4}C_{0} \right]$$

$$\cdot t^{-3/2} ||u_{0}||_{\mathcal{L}_{x}^{1}}.$$

$$(3.60)$$

Choosing $C_0 = 20[C_1 + 12800C_1^3C_2^6(C_3^3C_w^6 + C_4^3C_v^{5/2}C_w^{7/2} + C_5^3C_v^5C_w) + C(\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5}, R_0, k, \varepsilon)], \text{ then}$ we close the bootstrap and the proof the complete.

3.3. Remark on the proof of theorem 1.2. Since the proof of theorem 1.2 is almost identical to theorem 1.1, we only give a remark here.

Let $u_0 \in \dot{B}^2_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{L}^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be radially symmetric and $||u_0||_{\dot{B}^2_{1,1}} \leqslant R_0$. Let u(t) be the corresponding global solution to (1.1) with initial data u_0 . Firstly we point out that it holds

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{p}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{q}([0,+\infty))} \leq C(R_{0}) \tag{3.61}$$

for any Schrödinger admissible pairs (p,q). By (1.10), we introduce $\eta > 0$ and decompose $[0,+\infty)$ into $J = J(\eta, R_0)$ many intervals $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1})$ such that

$$||u||_{\mathrm{L}_{t,x}^5(\mathrm{I}_j)} \leqslant \eta.$$

By Strichartz estimate and fractional Leibniz rules,

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{x}^{1/2}} + \|u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{x}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}(\mathbf{I}_{j})}^{2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})} \lesssim R_{0} + \eta^{2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})}.$$

Choosing η small enough depending on some absolute constants, a standard bootstrap argument vields

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{i})} \lesssim R_{0}.$$

 $\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}(\mathbf{I}_{j})} \lesssim R_{0}.$ Summing over $j=1,\ldots,J(R_{0}),$ we obtain

$$|||\nabla|^{1/2}u||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{5}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{30/11}([0,+\infty))} \leq C(R_{0}).$$

By Strichartz estimate, it follows that

$$\||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathrm{L}_{x}^{p}\mathrm{L}_{x}^{q}([0,+\infty))} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{\dot{\mathrm{H}}_{x}^{1/2}} + \|u\|_{\mathrm{L}_{x}^{5}}^{2} \||\nabla|^{1/2}u\|_{\mathrm{L}_{x}^{5}\mathrm{L}_{x}^{30/11}([0,+\infty))} \leqslant C(R_{0}),$$

which gives (3.61).

We also have Besov estimates:

Proposition 3.1. Assume that $u_0 \in \dot{B}^2_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is radially symmetric and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^2_{1,1}} \leqslant R_0$ and u(t) is the corresponding global solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial data u_0 . Let (p,q) be a Schrödinger-admissible pair for d = 3. Then we have

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \|u_N\|_{\mathbf{L}_t^p \mathbf{L}_x^q} \leqslant C(R_0). \tag{3.62}$$

Proposition 3.2. Let (p,q) be a Schrödinger admissible pair for d=3. Suppose that u(t) and v(t)are the global solutions to (1.1) with corresponding radially symmetric initial data u_0, v_0 respectively obeying the bound

$$||u_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{1,1}^2}, ||v_0||_{\dot{\mathbf{B}}_{1,1}^2} \leqslant R_0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{1/2} \| (u - v)_N \|_{\mathcal{L}_t^p \mathcal{L}_x^q} \leqslant C(R_0) \| u_0 - v_0 \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2}}.$$
(3.63)

The proofs are almost identical to the proposition 2.1 and 2.2. Actually proposition 3.1 and 3.2 are parallel versions of proposition 4.3 and 4.4 in [12].

Finally, we remark that the proof of theorem 1.2 does not require any perturbation theorem. When we do induction and decompose the solution as (3.26), $||v_0||_{\dot{B}^2_{1,1}} \leq R_0 + k\varepsilon \leq R_0 + 1$ ensures the existence of global solution v(t) to the equation with $v|_{t=0} = v_0^{1,1}$ and

$$||v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t,x}^{5}([0,+\infty))} + |||\nabla|^{1/2}v||_{\mathbf{L}_{t}^{p}\mathbf{L}_{x}^{q}([0,+\infty))} \le C(R_{0})$$

for Schrödinger admissible (p,q), which is enough to perform the induction.

With these observations, Theorem 1.2 can be proved in the same manner as Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. This research was partially supported by NSFC, Grant No. 12471232 and 12288201, CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research, Grant No. YSBR-031. The author would like to thank his advisor Professor Chenjie Fan for insightful discussions and comments on the proofs. This work would not have taken its present form without his guidance.

References

- [1] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^3 , Ann. of Math. (2), 167(3):767–865,2008.
- T. Cazenave and F. Weissler, The Cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^s, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods Appl. 14 (1990), 807–836. MR1055532 (91j:35252).
- [3] B. Dodson, Scattering for the defocusing, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with initial data in a critical space, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2023, Issue 23, December 2023, Pages 19932–19962, https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac296.
- [4] C. Fan, R. Killip, M. Visan and Z. Zhao, Dispersive decay for the mass-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Math. Z. 311, 21 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-025-03821-8.
- [5] C. Fan, G. Staffilani and Z. Zhao, On decaying properties of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 56(3):3082-3109, 2024.
- [6] C. Fan and Z. Zhao, Decay estimates for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 41(8):3973-3984, 2021.
- [7] C. Fan and Z. Zhao, A note on decay property of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 151 (2023), no. 6, 2527–2542.
- [8] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis, 3rd Edition, Graduate Texts in Math. 249, Springer, NY, 2014.
- [9] Z. Guo, C. Huang and L. Song, Pointwise decay of solutions to the energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Journal of Differential Equations, 366:71–84, 2023.
- [10] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, Smoothing Properties and Retarded Estimates for Some Dispersive Evolution Equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 123 (1989), 535-573.
- [11] M. Ifrim, H. Koch and D. Tataru, Dispersive decay of small data solutions for the KdV equation, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 56(6):1709–1746, 2023.
- [12] M. Kowalski, Dispersive decay for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 429, 5 June 2025, Pages 392-426.
- [13] C. Kenig and F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case, Invent. Math. 166 (2006), 645–675. MR2257393 (2007g:35232).
- [14] C. Kenig and F. Merle, Scattering for $\dot{H}^{1/2}$ bounded solutions to the cubic, defocusing NLS in 3 dimensions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 362 (2010), no. 4, 1937–1962.
- [15] M. Keel and T. Tao, Endpoint Strichartz estimates, Amer. J. Math., 120 (1998), 955-980.
- [16] R. Killip and M. Visan, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing quintic NLS in three dimensions, Anal. PDE, 5(4):855–885, 2012.
- [17] R. Killip and M. Visan, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations at critical regularity, In "Evolution equations", 325–437, Clay Math. Proc., 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [18] D. Li, On Kato-Ponce and fractional Leibniz, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 35(1):23-100, 2019.
- [19] J. E. Lin and W. A. Strauss, Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Journal of Functional Analysis, 30(2):245–263, 1978.
- [20] J. Murphy, The radial defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in three space dimensions, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 40(2):265–308, 2015.
- [21] C. S. Morawetz and W. A. Strauss, *Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear relativistic wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1972), 1–31.
- [22] M. Nakamura and T. Wada, Strichartz type estimates in mixed Besov spaces with application to critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations, J. Differential Equations, 267(5):3162–3180, 2019.
- [23] T. Tao, Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis, vol. 106, American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [24] K. Yajima, Existence of solutions for Schrödinger evolution equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 110 (1987), 415-426.
- (Y. Sun) Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, The Chinese academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, CHINA

Email address: sunyi@amss.ac.cn