

Lattice-like Packings and Coverings with Congruent Translation Balls and Cylinders in Sol geometry *

Judit Sajtos and Jenő Szirmai

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Institute of Mathematics,
Budapest University of Technology and Economics,
Műegyetem rkp. 3., H-1111 Budapest, Hungary
szirmai@math.bme.hu

December 4, 2025

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study lattice-like coverings with congruent translation balls and the packings and coverings with a type of translation cylinders in Sol space related to the fundamental lattices. We introduce the notions of the densities of the considered problems and give upper estimate to ball coverings using the radii and the volumes of the circumscribed translation spheres of given *translation tetrahedra*. Moreover we determine the exact optimal packing and covering densities of a type of cylinder packings belonging to the fundamental lattices.

1 Introduction

The basic problems of packings and coverings are classical questions of geometry and they deeply connected to number theory, crystallography, and many other

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 53A20, 52C17, 53A35, 52C35, 53B20.

Key words and phrases: Thurston geometries, Sol geometry, translation-like bisector surface of two points, circumscribed sphere of Sol tetrahedron and its convexity, Dirichlet-Voronoi cell.

important areas of mathematics. Therefore, determining the densest packing and the thinnest covering with congruent copies of a given body have always been considered important problems. Our work is related to this topic.

The beginning of this topic is the famous Kepler's conjecture, which concerned the densest packing of congruent balls in the Euclidean space \mathbf{E}^3 . One major recent development has been the settling of the long-standing Kepler conjecture, part of Hilbert's 18th problem, by Thomas Hales at the turn of the 21st century. Hales' computer-assisted proof was largely based on a program set forth by L. Fejes-Tóth in the 1950's.

The second author extended the classic Kepler's problem to non-constant curvature Thurston geometries $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SL}_2\mathbf{R}}$, Nil, Sol, in [10], [21], [24], [25], [32]; from which in this paper we consider the Sol geometry.

At present, we consider the lattice-like covering problem with congruent translation balls in Sol space. These questions are related to the theory of the Dirichlet-Voronoi cells (briefly $D - V$ cells). In 3-dimensional spaces of constant curvature the $D - V$ cells are widely investigated, but in the other Thurston geometries, $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$, Nil, Sol, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SL}_2\mathbf{R}}$, there are few results in this topic. Let X be one of the above five geometries and Γ be one of its discrete isometry groups. Moreover, we distinguish two distance function types: d^g is the usual geodesic distance function and d^t is the translation distance function (see Section 2). Therefore, we obtain two types of the $D - V$ cells regarding the two distance functions. *In this paper we use the notion of the translation distance.*

The investigation of this issue brought many interesting results and opened an important path in the direction of non-Euclidean crystal geometry (see the survey [32] and [3], [4], [5], [12], [13], [14], [33], [21], [22], [23], [24], [34], [35], [20], [36], [37]). We mention only some here:

1. A candidate of the densest geodesic ball packing is described in [24]. In the Thurston geometries the greatest known density was ≈ 0.8533 , which is not realized by a packing with *equal balls* of the hyperbolic space \mathbf{H}^3 . However, it is attained, e.g., by a *horoball packing* of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^3$ where the ideal centres of horoballs lie on the absolute figure of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^3$ inducing the regular ideal simplex tiling (3, 3, 6) by its Coxeter-Schläfli symbol. In [23] we have presented a geodesic ball packing in the $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ geometry whose density is ≈ 0.8776 .
2. In [11] we classified Sol lattices in an algorithmic way into 17 (seventeen) types, in analogy with the 14 Bravais-types of the Euclidean 3-lattices, but

infinitely many Sol affine equivalence classes, in each type. This way the discrete isometry groups of compact fundamental domains (crystallographic groups) can also be classified into infinitely many classes but finitely many types, left to other publication. For this we shall study relations between Sol lattices and lattices of the pseudoeuclidean (or here rather called Minkowskian) plane [1].

Moreover, the densest translation ball packing by so-called fundamental lattices, which is one (Type **I/1**) of the 17 Bravais-type of Sol-lattices described in [15] is determined in [25]. It turns out that the optimal arrangement has a richer symmetry group (in Type **I/2**) for $N = 4$. This density is $\delta \approx 0.56405083$ and the kissing number of the balls to this packing is 6.

3. Another important direction is the study of Apollonius surfaces, a special case of which are the bisector surfaces. These are of fundamental importance in the determinations of Dirichlet-Voronoi cells. The geodesic-like Apollonius surfaces are investigated in $S^2 \times \mathbf{R}$, $H^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ and Nil geometries in [30, 31], and the translation-like Apollonius surfaces in Thurston geometries in [2]. Moreover, we studied the translation-like equidistant surfaces in Sol and Nil geometries in [28] and in [29]. In [16], [17], [18] we studied the geodesic-like bisector surfaces in $S^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ and $H^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ spaces.

Among Thurston geometries with non-constant curvature, even fewer results are known regarding coverings by congruent translation or geodesic balls:

1. In [23] the second author investigated *lattice-like ball coverings* in Nil space, introduced the notion of the density of the considered coverings and gave upper and lower estimates to it, moreover formulated a conjecture for the ball arrangement of the least dense lattice-like geodesic ball covering and gave its covering density $\Delta \approx 1.42900615$.
2. In [29] A. Vránics and the second author studied the Nil translation ball coverings, determined the equation of the translation-like bisector surface of any two points, proved that the isosceles property of a translation triangle is not equivalent to two angles of the triangle being equal and that the triangle inequalities do not remain valid for translation triangles in general. We developed a method to determine the centre and the radius of the circumscribed translation sphere of a given *translation tetrahedron*.

Moreover, we investigated lattice-like coverings with congruent translation balls in Nil space, introduced the notion of the density of the considered

coverings and gave upper estimate to it using the radius and the volume of the circumscribed translation sphere of a given *translation tetrahedron*. The found minimal upper bound density of the translation ball coverings is $\Delta \approx 1.42783$.

In this work, related to this coverage topic, we develop an algorithm to determine the least dense ball covering arrangement of a given periodic parallelepiped Sol tiling using the fact that this fundamental domain of the tiling can be decomposed into tetrahedra. We will use the method described in the paper [28] to determine the centre and the radius of the circumscribed translation sphere of a given *translation tetrahedron*. Applying the above procedure we determine the minimal covering density of some lattice types and thus we give an upper bound of the lattice-like covering density related to the important, so called fundamental lattice types (and thus for all lattice types) (see Theorems 3.4).

Moreover, we introduce a type of Sol translation cylinders, define their volume and introduce the notion of cylinder covering related to the lattice-like tilings and define their packing and covering densities. Furthermore, we determine exactly the density of their densest packings or thinnest coverings related to fundamental lattices. Also, for the general lattice types, we give an exact lower bound of the density of cylinder coverings and an exact upper bound of the density of the packings, which are the same as the optimal covering and packing densities with congruent circles in the Euclidean plane (see Theorems 4.12 and 4.14).

2 On Sol geometry

In this Section we summarize the significant notions and notations of real Sol geometry (see [7], [20]).

Sol is defined as a 3-dimensional real Lie group with multiplication

$$(a, b, c)(x, y, z) = (x + ae^{-z}, y + be^z, z + c). \quad (2.1)$$

We note that the conjugation by (x, y, z) leaves invariant the plane (a, b, c) with fixed c :

$$(x, y, z)^{-1}(a, b, c)(x, y, z) = (x(1 - e^{-c}) + ae^{-z}, y(1 - e^c) + be^z, c). \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, for $c = 0$, the action of (x, y, z) is determined only by its z -component, where $(x, y, z)^{-1} = (-xe^z, -ye^{-z}, -z)$. Thus the $(a, b, 0)$ plane is distinguished

as a *base plane* in **Sol**, or with other words, $(x, y, 0)$ is a normal subgroup of **Sol**. **Sol** multiplication can also be interpreted affinely (projectively) by "right translations" on its points as the following matrix formula shows, according to (2.1):

$$(1, a, b, c) \rightarrow (1, a, b, c) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y & z \\ 0 & e^{-z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1, x + ae^{-z}, y + be^z, z + c) \quad (2.3)$$

by row-column multiplication. This defines "translations" $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{R}) = \{(x, y, z) : x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}\}$ on the points of space **Sol** $= \{(a, b, c) : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}\}$. These translations are not commutative, in general. Here we can consider **L** as a projective collineation group with right actions in homogeneous coordinates as usual in classical affine-projective geometry. We will use the Cartesian homogeneous coordinate simplex $E_0(\mathbf{e}_0), E_1^\infty(\mathbf{e}_1), E_2^\infty(\mathbf{e}_2), E_3^\infty(\mathbf{e}_3), (\{\mathbf{e}_i\} \subset \mathbf{V}^4$ with the unit point $E(\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_0 + \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3))$ which is distinguished by an origin E_0 and by the ideal points of coordinate axes, respectively. Thus **Sol** can be visualized in the affine 3-space \mathbf{A}^3 (so in Euclidean space \mathbf{E}^3) as well.

In this affine-projective context in [7] E. Molnár has derived the usual infinitesimal arc-length square at any point of **Sol**, by pull back translation, as follows

$$(ds)^2 := e^{2z}(dx)^2 + e^{-2z}(dy)^2 + (dz)^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence we get an infinitesimal Riemann metric invariant under translations, by the symmetric metric tensor field g on **Sol** by components as usual.

It will be important for us that the full isometry group $\text{Isom}(\mathbf{Sol})$ has eight components, since the stabilizer of the origin is isomorphic to the dihedral group \mathbf{D}_4 , generated by two involutive (involutory) transformations, preserving (2.4):

(1) $y \leftrightarrow -y$; (2) $x \leftrightarrow y$; $z \leftrightarrow -z$; i.e. first by 3×3 matrices :

$$(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.5)$$

with its product, generating a cyclic group \mathbf{C}_4 of order 4

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Or we write by collineations fixing the origin $O = (1, 0, 0, 0)$:

$$(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{of form (2.3)}. \quad (2.6)$$

A general isometry of **Sol** to the origin O is defined by a product $\gamma_O \tau_X$, with first γ_O of form (2.6) then τ_X of (2.3). For a general point $A = (1, a, b, c)$, this will be a product $\tau_A^{-1} \gamma_O \tau_X$, mapping A into $X = (1, x, y, z)$.

Conjugation of translation τ by an above isometry γ , as $\tau^\gamma = \gamma^{-1} \tau \gamma$ also denotes it, will also be used by (2.3) and (2.6) or also by coordinates with above conventions.

We remark only that the role of x and y can be exchanged throughout the paper, but this leads to the mirror interpretation of **Sol**. As formula (2.4) fixes the metric of **Sol**, the change above is not an isometry of a fixed **Sol** interpretation. Other conventions are also accepted and used in the literature.

Sol is an affine metric space (affine-projective in the sense of the unified formulation of [7]). Therefore, its linear, affine, unimodular, etc. transformations are defined as those of the embedding affine space.

2.1 Translation curves

We consider a **Sol** curve $(1, x(t), y(t), z(t))$ with a given starting tangent vector at the origin $O = (1, 0, 0, 0)$

$$u = \dot{x}(0), \quad v = \dot{y}(0), \quad w = \dot{z}(0). \quad (2.7)$$

For a translation curve let its tangent vector at the point $(1, x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be defined by the matrix (2.3) with the following equation:

$$(0, u, v, w) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x(t) & y(t) & z(t) \\ 0 & e^{-z(t)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{z(t)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (0, \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t), \dot{z}(t)). \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, *translation curves* in **Sol** geometry (see [9] and [10]) are defined by the first order differential equation system $\dot{x}(t) = u e^{-z(t)}$, $\dot{y}(t) = v e^{z(t)}$, $\dot{z}(t) = w$, whose

solution is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= -\frac{u}{w}(e^{-wt} - 1), \quad y(t) = \frac{v}{w}(e^{wt} - 1), \quad z(t) = wt, \quad \text{if } w \neq 0 \text{ and} \\ x(t) &= ut, \quad y(t) = vt, \quad z(t) = z(0) = 0 \quad \text{if } w = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We assume that the starting point of a translation curve is the origin, because we can transform a curve into an arbitrary starting point by translation (2.3), moreover, unit velocity translation can be assumed :

$$\begin{aligned} x(0) &= y(0) = z(0) = 0; \\ u = \dot{x}(0) &= \cos \theta \cos \phi, \quad v = \dot{y}(0) = \cos \theta \sin \phi, \quad w = \dot{z}(0) = \sin \theta; \\ -\pi < \phi &\leq \pi, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Definition 2.1. *The translation distance $d^t(P_1, P_2)$ between the points P_1 and P_2 is defined by the arc length of the above translation curve from P_1 to P_2 .*

Thus we obtain the parametric equation of the the *translation curve segment* $t(\phi, \theta, t)$ with starting point at the origin in direction

$$\mathbf{t}(\phi, \theta) = (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta) \quad (2.11)$$

where $t \in [0, r]$, $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$. If $\theta \neq 0$, then the system of equations is:

$$\begin{cases} x(\phi, \theta, t) = -\cot \theta \cos \phi (e^{-t \sin \theta} - 1), \\ y(\phi, \theta, t) = \cot \theta \sin \phi (e^{t \sin \theta} - 1), \\ z(\phi, \theta, t) = t \sin \theta. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

If $\theta = 0$ then : $x(t) = t \cos \phi$, $y(t) = t \sin \phi$, $z(t) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. *The sphere of radius $r > 0$ with centre at the origin (denoted by $S_O^t(r)$) with the usual longitude and altitude parameters $-\pi < \phi \leq \pi$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively, by (2.10), is specified by the equations (2.12), where $t = r$.*

Definition 2.3. *The body of the translation sphere of centre O and of radius r in the Sol space is called a translation ball, denoted by $B_O^t(r)$, i.e. $Q \in B_O^t(r)$ iff $0 \leq d^t(O, Q) \leq r$.*

In [25] we proved the volume formula of the translation ball $B_O^t(r)$ of radius r :

Theorem 2.4 ([25]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Vol}(B_O^t(r)) &= \int_V dx \, dy \, dz = \\
 &= \int_0^r \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} (e^{\rho \sin \theta} + e^{-\rho \sin \theta} - 2) \, d\phi \, d\theta \, d\rho = \\
 &= 4\pi \int_0^r \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} (\cosh(\rho \sin \theta) - 1) \, d\theta \, d\rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

An easy power series expansion with substitution $\rho \sin \theta =: z$ can also be applied, no more detailed. From the equation of the translation spheres $S_O^t(r)$ (see (2.12)) it follows that the plane sections of these spheres, given by parameters θ and r , parallel to the $[x, y]$ plane are ellipses by the equations (see Fig. 4):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{x^2}{k_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{k_2^2} &= 1 \text{ where} \\
 k_1^2 &= (-\cot \theta (e^{-r \sin \theta} - 1))^2, \quad k_2^2 = (\cot \theta (e^{r \sin \theta} - 1))^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

2.2 Translation-like bisector surfaces, translation tetrahedra and their circumscribed spheres

The process of determining of the translational bisector surfaces was carried out in our article [28]. Here we recall only the results that are necessary to determine the center and radius of the circumscribed sphere of a translational tetrahedron. We note here that these surfaces are important in order to examine and visualize the Dirichlet-Voronoi cells of Sol geometry. It can be assumed by the homogeneity of Sol that the starting point of a given translation curve segment is $E_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. The other endpoint will be given by its homogeneous coordinates, $P = (1, a, b, c)$. We consider the translation curve segment $t_{E_0 P}$ and determine its parameters (ϕ, θ, t) expressed by the real coordinates a, b, c of P . We obtain directly by equation system (2.12) the following Lemma (see [28]):

Lemma 2.5 ([28]). *1. Let $(1, a, b, c)$ ($b, c \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}, a \in \mathbf{R}$) be the homogeneous coordinates of the point $P \in \mathbf{Sol}$. The parameters of the corresponding translation curve $t_{E_0 P}$ are the following*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi &= \operatorname{arccot} \left(-\frac{a}{b} \frac{e^c - 1}{e^{-c} - 1} \right), \quad \theta = \operatorname{arccot} \left(\frac{b}{\sin \phi (e^c - 1)} \right), \\
 t &= \frac{c}{\sin \theta}, \text{ where } -\pi < \phi \leq \pi, \quad -\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

2. Let $(1, a, 0, c)$ ($a, c \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$) be the homogeneous coordinates of the point $P \in \mathbf{Sol}$. The parameters of the corresponding translation curve t_{E_0P} are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= 0 \text{ or } \pi, \quad \theta = \operatorname{arccot}\left(\mp \frac{a}{(e^{-c} - 1)}\right), \\ t &= \frac{c}{\sin \theta}, \text{ where } -\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

3. Let $(1, a, b, 0)$ ($a, b \in \mathbf{R}$) be the homogeneous coordinates of the point $P \in \mathbf{Sol}$. The parameters of the corresponding translation curve t_{E_0P} are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \arccos\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}\right), \quad \theta = 0, \\ t &= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}, \text{ where } -\pi < \phi \leq \pi, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+. \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

This method leads to

Lemma 2.6 ([28]). *The implicit equation of the equidistant surface $\mathcal{S}_{P_1P_2}(x, y, z)$ of two points $P_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $P_2 = (1, a, b, c)$ in \mathbf{Sol} space:*

1. $c \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} z \neq 0, c : \quad & \frac{|c - z|}{|e^c - e^z|} \sqrt{(a - x)^2 e^{2(c+z)} + (e^c - e^z)^2 + (b - y)^2} = \\ & = \frac{|z|}{|e^z - 1|} \sqrt{x^2 e^{2z} + (e^z - 1)^2 + y^2}, \\ z = c : \quad & \sqrt{(x - a)^2 e^{2c} + (y - b)^2 e^{-2c}} = \frac{|z|}{|e^z - 1|} \sqrt{x^2 e^{2z} + (e^z - 1)^2 + y^2}, \\ z = 0 : \quad & \frac{|c|}{|e^c - 1|} \sqrt{(a - x)^2 e^{2c} + (e^c - 1)^2 + (b - y)^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

2. $c = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} z \neq 0 : \quad & \frac{|z|}{|e^z - 1|} \sqrt{(a - x)^2 e^{2z} + (e^z - 1)^2 + (b - y)^2} = \\ & = \frac{|z|}{|e^z - 1|} \sqrt{x^2 e^{2z} + (e^z - 1)^2 + y^2} \Leftrightarrow e^{2z} a(a - 2x) + b(b - 2y) = 0, \\ z = 0 : \quad & \sqrt{(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \Leftrightarrow xa + yb - \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}. \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The process of determining of the center and radius of the circumscribed sphere of a translational tetrahedron was examined in the paper [28], here we only recall the necessary results.

We consider 4 points A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 in the projective model of Sol space (see Section 2). These points are the vertices of a *translation tetrahedron* in the Sol space if any two *translation segments* connecting the points A_i and A_j ($i < j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$) do not have common inner points and any three vertices do not lie in the same translation curve. Now, the translation segments $A_i A_j$ are called edges of the translation tetrahedron $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4$. The circumscribed sphere of a translation tetrahedron is a translation sphere (see Definition 2.2, (2.12) and Fig. 1) that touches each of the tetrahedron's vertices. As in the Euclidean case the radius of a translation sphere circumscribed around a tetrahedron T is called the circumradius of T , and the center point of this sphere is called the circumcenter of T .

Lemma 2.7 ([28]). *For any translation tetrahedron there exists uniquely a translation sphere (called the circumsphere) on which all four vertices lie.*

The procedure to determine the radius and the circumcenter of a given translation tetrahedron is the following:

For the circumcenter $C = (1, x, y, z)$ of a given translation tetrahedron $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4$ ($A_i = (1, x^i, y^i, z^i)$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$) the following system of equations has to hold:

$$d^t(A_1, C) = d^t(A_2, C) = d^t(A_3, C) = d^t(A_4, C). \quad (2.19)$$

Therefore it lies on the translation-like bisector surfaces \mathcal{S}_{A_i, A_j} ($i < j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$) which equations are determined in Lemma 2.6. The coordinates x, y, z of the circumcenter of the circumscribed sphere around the tetrahedron $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4$ are obtained by the system of equation derived from these facts:

$$C \in \mathcal{S}_{A_1 A_2}, \mathcal{S}_{A_1 A_3}, \mathcal{S}_{A_1 A_4}.$$

Finally, we get the circumradius r as the translation distance e.g. $r = d^t(A_1, C)$.

2.3 On Sol lattices

In this subsection, we recall the necessary results related to Sol lattices described in the papers [11], [25], .




Figure 1: Tetrahedron with vertices $A_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $A_2 = (1, -0.5, 0, 0)$, $A_3 = (1, 0, 0.5, 0)$, $A_4 = (1, 0, 0, 0.5)$ and circumradius $r \approx 0.459231$.

Definition 2.8 ([11], [25]). Let $\Gamma < \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{R})$ be a subgroup, generated by three translations $\tau_1(t_1^1, t_1^2, t_1^3)$, $\tau_2(t_2^1, t_2^2, t_2^3)$, $\tau_3(t_3^1, t_3^2, t_3^3)$ with \mathbf{Z} (integer) linear combinations. Here upper indices indicate the corresponding $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$ coordinates of basis translations. Γ is called discrete translation group or lattice of \mathbf{Sol} , if its action is discrete (by the induced orbit topology), i.e. there is a compact fundamental "parallelepiped" (with side face identifications on its bent side faces) $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)} = \mathbf{L}/\Gamma$ (Fig. 2).

Theorem 2.9 ([11], [25]). Each lattice Γ of \mathbf{Sol} has a group presentation (see [20])

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(\Phi) = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 : [\tau_1, \tau_2] = 1, \tau_3^{-1}\tau_1\tau_3 = \tau_1\Phi^T, \tau_3^{-1}\tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_2\Phi^T\}, \quad (2.20)$$

where $t_1^3 = t_2^3 = 0$ holds, and this implies $[\tau_1, \tau_2] = \tau_1^{-1}\tau_2^{-1}\tau_1\tau_2 = 1$, that means, the commutator of τ_1, τ_2 is the identity, as a first condition of discrete action of Γ .

Moreover there exists $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ with $\text{tr}(\Phi) = p + s > 2$, $ps - qr =$

1, such that for above $\tau_1(t_1^1, t_1^2, 0)$, $\tau_2(t_2^1, t_2^2, 0)$ the matrix $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^1 & t_1^2 \\ t_2^1 & t_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \in$

$GL_2(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies the following: $T^{-1}\Phi T =: \Phi^T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t_3^3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t_3^3} \end{pmatrix}$ is just a hy-

perbolic rotation fixed by t_3^3 in τ_3 above. Namely, $\tau_1\Phi^T = (t_1^1e^{-t_3^3}, t_1^2e^{t_3^3}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tau_2\Phi^T = (t_2^1e^{-t_3^3}, t_2^2e^{t_3^3}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ hold in the commutative basic vector plane of \mathbf{Sol} , spanned by \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 . These basis vectors are just the eigenvectors of Φ^T to eigenvalues $e^{-t_3^3}$ and $e^{t_3^3}$, respectively.

Definition 2.10 ([11], [25]). A \mathbf{Sol} point lattice $\Gamma_P(\Phi)$ is a discrete orbit of point P in the \mathbf{Sol} space generated by an arbitrary lattice $\Gamma(\Phi)$ above. For visualizing a point lattice we have chosen the origin as the starting point, by the homogeneity of \mathbf{Sol} .

By the above considerations and by our modifications, we obtain the following summary:

Theorem 2.11 ([11], [25]). Translations τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 generate a lattice $\Gamma(\Phi)(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ in the \mathbf{Sol} space if and only if the vectors τ_1, τ_2 in the $[x, y]$ plane generate a lattice, unimodularly equivalent to a $\Gamma(N, p, q)$ lattice; the components $t_3^1, t_3^2 \in \mathbf{R}$ are given modulo this sublattice $\Gamma^0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, and the parameter t_3^3 in τ_3 satisfies the following (see [11], [25]):

$$2 \cosh t_3^3 = p + s = N, \\ \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t_3^3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t_3^3} \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t_3^3 & \sinh t_3^3 \\ \sinh t_3^3 & \cosh t_3^3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow t_3^3 = \log\left(\frac{1}{2}(N + \sqrt{N^2 - 4})\right). \quad (2.21)$$

2.4 On the fundamental parallelepiped

If we take integers as coefficients, we generate the discrete group $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \rangle$ denoted by $\Gamma(\Phi)$, as above. We know that the orbit space $\mathbf{Sol}/\Gamma(\Phi)$ is a compact manifold, i.e. a \mathbf{Sol} space form.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$ be a *fundamental domain* of $\Gamma(\Phi)$ with face identifications. The homogeneous coordinates of the vertices of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$ can be determined in our affine model by the translations in Definition 2.9 with the parameters t_i^j , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ as follows. (see Fig. 2).

$$\begin{aligned} &P(1, t_1^1, t_1^2, 0), P'(1, t_2^1, t_2^2, 0), P_3(1, t_3^1, t_3^2, t_3^3), Q(1, t_1^1 + t_2^1, t_1^2 + t_2^2, 0), \\ &Q'(1, (t_1^1 + t_2^1)e^{-t_3^3}, (t_1^2 + t_2^2)e^{t_3^3}, 0), \\ &Q^{\tau_3}(1, t_3^1 + (t_1^1 + t_2^1)e^{-t_3^3}, t_3^2 + (t_1^2 + t_2^2)e^{t_3^3}, t_3^3), P''(1, t_2^1e^{-t_3^3}, t_2^2e^{t_3^3}, 0), \\ &P'^{\tau_3}(1, t_3^1 + t_2^1e^{-t_3^3}, t_3^2 + t_2^2e^{t_3^3}, t_3^3), P^{\tau_3}(1, t_3^1 + t_1^1e^{-t_3^3}, t_3^2 + t_1^2e^{t_3^3}, t_3^3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Definition 2.12 ([25]). $\Gamma(\Phi) = \Gamma(\Phi, t_3^1, t_3^2)$ is called a *fundamental lattice in Sol space* if $t_3^1 = 0 = t_3^2$ and $\{\tau_1, \tau_2 = \tau_1 \Phi^T, \tau_3\}$ is a basis of $\Gamma(\Phi)$.

The following basis describes the *type of fundamental lattices*, that means, $\text{tr}(\Phi) = N$ is the characteristic free parameter, and

$$\frac{t_2^1}{t_1^1} = \frac{N - \sqrt{N^2 - 4}}{2} = e^{-t_3^3}, \quad \frac{t_2^2}{t_1^2} = \frac{N + \sqrt{N^2 - 4}}{2} = e^{t_3^3}, \quad (2.23)$$

for $\tau_1(t_1^1, t_1^2, 0), \tau_2(t_2^1, t_2^2, 0)$. The case $N = p + s = 3$ and $t_1^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = t_1^2, t_1^3 = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{2}}, t_2^1 = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{2}}, t_2^2 = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{2}}, t_3^1 = t_3^2 = 0, t_3^3 = \log \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is illustrated in Fig. 2.




Figure 2: A fundamental parallelepiped for $N = 3$.

It is easy to see by (2.4) and by integration that the volume of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ can be determined by the following formula:

Theorem 2.13 ([25]).

$$\text{Vol}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} t_1^1 & t_1^2 \\ t_2^1 & t_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t_3^3 \right| = \left| (t_1^1 t_2^2 - t_1^2 t_2^1) \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{2} (N + \sqrt{N^2 - 4}) \right) \right|. \quad (2.24)$$

3 The lattice-like translation ball coverings

To construct a covering of a **fundamental lattice** with congruent translation balls, we want to find a suitable radius, for which placing the centers of the balls at the vertices of the lattice, we can ensure that the balls together cover the whole space.




Figure 3: The plane sections of the sphere with $r = 2$ parallel to the plane $[y, z]$ at $y = 0$

It is also important to try to find a minimal such radius, so that the density of the covering may be minimal.

To ensure that the 8 balls together cover the lattice, we need to make sure that they are convex in an Euclidean sense, which is not true for all spheres in Sol geometry. For this, the following Theorem is used that can be proved using classical differential geometry tools, we will not detail this here (see Fig. 3,4,5):

Theorem 3.1. *A sphere in the Sol space is convex in an Euclidean sense, if for its radius: $r \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.* \square

3.1 Coverings of the fundamental lattice

Let $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(r)$ be the covering of the Sol space with translation balls $B^C(r)$ of radius r . The centers of the balls form a Sol point lattice $\Gamma(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$. We denote an arbitrary Sol parallelepiped of this lattice by $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$, whose images by the discrete translation group $\Gamma(\Phi)$ described in subsections 2.3 and 2.4 covers the Sol space with no gap.

Remark 3.2. *Similarly to the Euclidean space \mathbf{E}^d ($d \geq 1$), an arbitrary lattice Γ belongs to a lattice-like covering of equal balls, if the radius of the balls is large enough.*




Figure 4: Plane sections of spheres with different radii, parallel to the $[x, y]$ plane.




Figure 5: The visualization of a convex translation ball and its plane sections parallel to the $[x, y]$ base plane.

Taking any translation-like lattice covering $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(r)$ and shrinking the balls until they don't cover the space any more, we get the minimal radius with which the balls still cover the lattice. This defines the least dense covering of the given lattice $\Gamma(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ and the threshold value R_Γ^C is called the minimal covering radius.

$$R_\Gamma^C := \{r : \mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(r) \text{ is the least dense lattice covering for } \Gamma(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)\} \quad (3.1)$$

To determine the density $\Delta(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(r))$ of a covering, it is sufficient to relate the volume of the minimal covering ball and the volume of the solid lattice $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$ (see Theorem 2.13), so it can be defined similarly to the Euclidean case:

Definition 3.3.

$$\Delta(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(r)) := \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(r))}{\text{Vol}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)})} \quad (3.2)$$

and its minimum is $\Delta(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(R_\Gamma^C))$ with radius R_Γ^C from formula 3.1.

The main problem is finding the lattice $\Gamma(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ to which the optimal minimal density belongs.

$$\Delta_{opt}(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C) = \inf_{\Gamma} \left\{ \Delta(\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(R_\Gamma^C)) \right\}.$$

3.2 Thinnest covering

To determine the thinnest covering for a given fundamental lattice $\Gamma(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$, we use the following method, similar to the one used in [29].

The lattice is distinctly given by the coordinates t_1^1, t_1^2 and the parameter $3 \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$. The homogeneous coordinates of the vertices of the Sol parallelepiped $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)} = OPP'QP_3P^{\tau_3}P'^{\tau_3}Q^{\tau_3}$ can be derived from these three attributes (see formula (2.22)). It is enough to examine the ball coverings \mathcal{B}_Γ^C of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$.

To find the minimal covering radius R_Γ^C for a given lattice $\Gamma(t_1^1, t_1^2, N)$, we first decompose the fundamental parallelepiped $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$ into six tetrahedra, which together fill it once with no gaps or overlapping: $\{O, P_3, P^{\tau_3}, P'^{\tau_3}\}$, $\{O, P, P^{\tau_3}, P'\}$, $\{O, P', P^{\tau_3}, P'^{\tau_3}\}$, $\{Q^{\tau_3}, P^{\tau_3}, P', P\}$, $\{Q^{\tau_3}, Q, P', P\}$, $\{Q^{\tau_3}, P'^{\tau_3}, P', P^{\tau_3}\}$. Then we determine each of their circumscriberradii R_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$) like it is described in subsection 2.2. With the choice $R_\Gamma^C = \max\{R_i\}$, the lattice-like arrangement of the balls $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma^C(R_\Gamma^C)$ cover the fundamental lattice, if the balls are convex in an Euclidean sense, i.e. $R_\Gamma^C \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ (see Theorem 3.1).

In the table below we have determined the minimum covering radii and the corresponding covering densities for some given lattices and the tetrahedral decomposition given above. This obviously may gives different results for different tetrahedral decompositions, but in our experience this decomposition gives the lowest density.

Some locally optimal lattice-like translation ball coverings.		
Lattice parameters	R_F^C	Δ_F^C
$t_1^1 = 0.5, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 3$	≈ 0.60839	≈ 8.82040
$t_1^1 = 0.6, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 3$	≈ 0.76434	≈ 7.31397
$t_1^1 = 0.7, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 3$	≈ 0.77643	≈ 6.57346
$t_1^1 = 0.79, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 3$	≈ 0.80393	≈ 6.47048
$t_1^1 = 0.8, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 3$	≈ 0.80731	≈ 6.47105
$t_1^1 = 0.9, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 3$	≈ 0.84427	≈ 6.58552
$t_1^1 = 0.5, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 4$	≈ 0.88821	≈ 13.03880
$t_1^1 = 0.6, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 4$	≈ 0.93486	≈ 12.68740
$t_1^1 = 0.7, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 4$	≈ 1.00251	≈ 13.44040
$t_1^1 = 0.8, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 4$	≈ 1.34052	≈ 14.24860
$t_1^1 = 0.9, t_1^2 = 0.2, N = 4$	≈ 1.37453	≈ 13.67550
$t_1^1 = 0.4, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 5$	≈ 1.22135	≈ 27.24710
$t_1^1 = 0.5, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 5$	≈ 1.20960	≈ 21.16430
$t_1^1 = 0.6, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 5$	≈ 1.22263	≈ 18.22280
$t_1^1 = 0.7, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 5$	≈ 1.32750	≈ 20.08420
$t_1^1 = 0.8, t_1^2 = 0.1, N = 5$	≈ 1.47274	≈ 24.16320

From the previous computations, by examining many lattices belonging to the fundamental lattices, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *The density of the least dense lattice-like translation ball covering is less than or equal to the locally thinnest covering with congruent translation balls related to the fundamental lattice $\Gamma(t_1^{1,opt}, t_1^{2,opt}, N^{opt})$ given by the parameters $t_1^{1,opt} = 0.79, t_1^{2,opt} = 0.2, N^{opt}$ (see Fig. 6).*

$$\Delta_{opt}(R_{opt}^C, \tau_1, \tau_2, N) \leq \Delta(R_F^C, t_1^{1,opt}, t_1^{2,opt}, N^{opt} = 3) \approx 6,47048. \quad (3.3)$$

This covering density can most likely be improved for other lattice types. To do this, a similar study should be conducted for the lattices determined in [11]. An exact result cannot be expected due to the complexity of the calculations, but approximate better local optima can certainly be achieved. These are currently being studied.




Figure 6: Locally optimal lattice-like translation ball covering of the lattice $\Gamma(0.79, 0.2, 3)$ with density $\Delta_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{C}} \approx 6.47048$.

4 The lattice-like cylinder packings and coverings by a type of Sol translation cylinders

4.1 On Sol cylinders

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}^i(r)$ be an infinite solid that is bounded by translation curves given by translations parallel to axis z and passing through the points of a circle $C^b(r)$ of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ lying in the base plane ($[x, y]$ plane, see Section 2) and

centred at the origin. The images $\mathcal{C}_t^i(r)$ of solid $\mathcal{C}^i(r)$ by Sol isometries t are called infinite circular cylinders.

The common part of $\mathcal{C}_t^i(r)$ with the base plane is the *base figure* of $\mathcal{C}_t^i(r)$ that is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_t(r)$.

Definition 4.2. A bounded circular cylinder $\mathcal{C}(r, h)$ is an isometric image of a solid which is bounded by the side surface of a infinite circular cylinder $\mathcal{C}^i(r)$, its base figure $\mathcal{C}^b(r)$ and the translated copy $\mathcal{C}^c(r)$ of $\mathcal{C}^b(r)$ by a translation parallel to axis z (see formula (2.3)). The faces $\mathcal{C}^b(r)$ and $\mathcal{C}^c(r)$ are called *cover faces*. The height h (or altitude) of the cylinder is the translation distance between its cover faces.

Let us denote the image of the cylinder $\mathcal{C}^i(r)$ at the Sol translation τ (see (2.3)) by $\mathcal{C}_\tau^i(r)$ where the translation is given by parameters $x^0 = 1, x^1, x^2, x^3 = 0$ and denote its common part with the base plane by $\mathcal{C}_\tau(r)$.

The direct consequence of the (2.2) and (2.3) formulas and the Definitions 4.1-2 is the following;

Lemma 4.3. *If the translation τ is given by parameters $x^0 = 1, x^1, x^2, x^3 = 0$, then the common part $\mathcal{C}_\tau(r)$ of $\mathcal{C}_\tau^i(r)$ with the $[x, y]$ plane is a circle in the Euclidean sense. \square*

Using Lemma 4.3, formula (2.3) and the results of classical differential geometry, we obtain the following;

Remark 4.4. *If the base circles $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_1}^b(r)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_2}^b(r)$ of two cylinders touch each other, then the corresponding cylinders touch each other along a corresponding translation curve.*

Applying the formulas (2.4), (2.12) we directly obtain the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.5. *The perimeter $p(\mathcal{C}(r))$ and the area $\text{Area}(\mathcal{C}(r))$ of a circle $\mathcal{C}(r)$ with radius r (Euclidean and the Sol translation distances are equal in the base plane) lying in the base plane centred at the origin of the projective model of the Sol geometry can be calculated with the same formulas in the Sol and the Euclidean \mathbf{E}^3 geometries.*

$$p(\mathcal{C}(r)) = 2 \cdot r \cdot \pi, \quad \text{Area}(\mathcal{C}(r)) = r^2 \cdot \pi. \quad (4.1)$$

Corollary 4.6. *The volume $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}(r, h))$ of a bounded fibre-like circular cylinder with radius r and height (or altitude) h in the projective model of the Sol geometry can be calculated with the following formula*

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}(r, h)) = h \cdot r^2 \cdot \pi. \quad (4.2)$$




Figure 7: Translation cylinders with radius $r = \frac{\pi}{2}$, height $h = 1$ and different centers in the Sol space.

4.1.1 Lattice-like cylinder packings, coverings and their densities

Let us consider a tiling $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma(N)$ with parallelepipeds related to the fundamental lattices in the Sol space. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$ be one of its tiles with base figure $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}^b$. This tiling is generated by translations τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 , that determine the lattice $\Gamma(\Phi)(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ too (see Section 2.3-4 and Theorem 2.11).

In this section we investigate a large class of lattice-like translation cylinder packings and coverings in Sol space where the tilings are related to the so called fundamental lattices.

We consider the corresponding images of the cylinder $\mathcal{C}^i(r)$ generated by $\tau_1(t_1^1, t_1^2, 0)$, $\tau_2(t_2^1, t_2^2, 0)$ translations of the fundamental lattices (see Theorem 2.11 and formula (2.22)).

By the Lemma 4.3, the common parts $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_j}^b(r)$ of these images with the fundamental plane will be circles in the Euclidean sense. Furthermore, the sublattice $\Gamma^0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ (see Theorems 2.9 and 2.11) of the fundamental lattice in the $[x, y]$ base plane is also a lattice in the Euclidean sense. The areas of the circles and subparallelograms also coincide with the Euclidean areas by Theorem 2.13 and Lemma 4.5.

From all this, the following are clearly evident:

Corollary 4.7. *1. The infinite circular cylinders $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_j}^i(r)$ that are determined by the translations of sublattice $\Gamma^0(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ (see Theorem 2.11) form a lattice-like cylinder packing or covering related to the corresponding fundamental*

lattice if their common parts with the $[x, y]$ base plane form a lattice-like circle packing or covering respectively.

2. *If we divide the above infinite cylinders $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_j}^i(r)$ into bounded circular cylinders with planes parallel to the $[x, y]$ base plane having the height distances of the fundamental parallelepiped ($h = t_3^3 = \log(\frac{1}{2}(N + \sqrt{N^2 - 4}))$), see Theorem 2.11) we obtain a lattice like packing or covering with bounded circular cylinders.*

For the density of the optimal lattice like cylinder packing or covering related to the $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma(N)$ parallelepiped tilings determined by fundamental lattices in the Sol space it is sufficient to relate the volume of the bounded circular cylinder $\mathcal{C}(r, h)$ ($h = \log(\frac{1}{2}(N + \sqrt{N^2 - 4}))$) to that of the parallelepiped $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)}$. This ratio can be replaced by the ratio of the areas of base figures $\text{Area}(\mathcal{C}^b(r))$ and $\text{Area}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)^b)$ (see Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5, Corollary 4.4).

Definition 4.8. *The density of the lattice-like cylinder packing $\mathcal{CP}(\tau_1, \tau_2, N)$ related to $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma(N)$ parallelepiped tilings determined by fundamental lattices in the Sol space is the following:*

$$\delta(\mathcal{CP}(\tau_1, \tau_2, N)) := \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}(r, h))}{\text{Vol}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)})} = \frac{\text{Area}(\mathcal{C}^b(r))}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)^b)}$$

where $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$ is the radius of cylinders and N, τ_1, τ_2 are the corresponding parameters of the fundamental lattice (see Definition 2.12).

Definition 4.9. *The density of the lattice-like cylinder covering $\mathcal{CC}(\tau_1, \tau_2, N)$ related to the $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma(N)$ parallelepiped tilings determined by fundamental lattices in the Sol space is the following:*

$$\Delta(\mathcal{CC}(\tau_1, \tau_2, N)) := \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}(r, h))}{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)^b}} = \frac{\text{Area}(\mathcal{C}^b(r))}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(N)^b)}$$

where $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$ is the radius of cylinders and N, τ_1, τ_2 are the corresponding parameters of the fundamental lattice (see Definition 2.12)).

It follows directly from the above notions and results, that

Corollary 4.10. *The densities of cylinder packings cannot exceed the known maximum value of Euclidean circle packing and the optimal densities of cylinder coverings cannot be smaller than the minimum density of Euclidean circle coverings:*

$$\delta(\mathcal{CP}(\tau_1, \tau_2), N) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{12}} \approx 0.906900; \quad \Delta(\mathcal{CC}(\tau_1, \tau_2, N)) \geq \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{27}} \approx 1.209200.$$

4.2 Densest packing

Remark 4.11. *As seen in Subsections 2.3-4, the fundamental lattice $\Gamma(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ is determined by the parameters $\tau_1(t_1^1, t_1^2, 0)$ and N , so we will be using the notation $\Gamma(t_1^1, t_1^2, N)$ to denote these lattices.*

To determine the densest packing for a given fundamental lattice $\Gamma(t_1^1, t_1^2, N)$ we choose the radius with the help of the minimum of the two sides and two diagonals of the sublattice $\Gamma^0(t_1^1, t_1^2)$; the half of this will be the radius r_p of the cylinders. This choice ensures that the base circles, and thus the cylinders, do not overlap with each other when placing the centers of their base circles to the vertices of the subparallelogram.

$$r_p = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min\{|\overline{OP}|, |\overline{OP'}|, |\overline{OQ}|, |\overline{PP'}|\} \quad (\text{see Fig. 7}) \quad (4.1)$$

To also make sure that the cylinders still do not overlap when taking the translates of the subparallelogram, we check that r_p is less than or equal to the heights of it. If not, then we replace r_p with the smaller of these two heights. Clearly, this construction gives optimal packing density for a given lattice.

Some locally optimal lattice-like translation cylinder packings.		
Lattice parameters	r_p	$\delta(\mathcal{CP}(t_1^1, t_1^2, N))$
$t_1^1 = 1.6, t_1^2 = 1, N = 3$	≈ 0.943398	≈ 0.781511
$t_1^1 = 1.8, t_1^2 = 1.1, N = 3$	≈ 1.04945	≈ 0.781491
$t_1^1 = 2.1, t_1^2 = 1.3, N = 3$	≈ 1.23491	≈ 0.784824
$t_1^1 = 2.3, t_1^2 = 1.4, N = 3$	≈ 1.33716	≈ 0.780141
$t_1^1 = 2.4, t_1^2 = 1.5, N = 3$	≈ 1.41510	≈ 0.781511
$t_1^1 = 2.5, t_1^2 = 1.5, N = 3$	≈ 1.43856	≈ 0.775339
$t_1^1 = 1, t_1^2 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}, N = 3$	$\frac{\sqrt{10-2\sqrt{5}}}{4}, \approx 0.58779$	$-\frac{(-5+\sqrt{5})\pi\sqrt{5}}{(20\sqrt{5}-20)} \approx 0.78540$

From the previous method after careful computations we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.12. *The density of the densest lattice-like cylinder packing is greater than or equal to the locally densest packing with congruent translation cylinders related to the fundamental lattice $\Gamma(t_1^{1,opt,p}, t_1^{2,opt,p}, N^{opt,p})$ given by the parameters $t_1^{1,opt} = 1, t_1^{2,opt} = -1/2 + (1/2)\sqrt{5} \approx 0.61803, N^{opt} = 3$ (see Fig. 8).*

$$\begin{aligned}
0.906900 &\approx \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{12}} \geq \delta_{opt}(\mathcal{CP}_{opt}(t_1^1, t_1^2, N)) \geq \\
&\geq \delta(\mathcal{CP}(t_1^{1,opt,p}, t_1^{2,opt,p}, N^{opt,p})) = -\frac{(-5 + \sqrt{5})\pi\sqrt{5}}{(20\sqrt{5} - 20)} \approx 0.78540. \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.13. *It is obvious, but can also be seen from the previous table, that the densities do not change when $t_1^1/t_1^2 = c \in \mathbf{R}^+$ constant.*




Figure 8: Locally optimal lattice-like cylinder packing of the lattice $\Gamma(t_1^{1,opt}, t_1^{2,opt}, N^{opt} = 3)$ with density $\delta(\mathcal{CP}) \approx 0.784824$.

4.3 Thinnest covering

To determine the thinnest covering for a given fundamental lattice $\Gamma(t_1^1, t_1^2, N)$, we choose the radius for the cylinder as follows.

We take the sublattice $\Gamma^0(t_1^1, t_1^2)$ and separate it into two triangles $\triangle OPQ, \triangle OQP'$ with one diagonal and two other triangles $\triangle OPP', \triangle PP'Q$ with the other diagonal. For these 4 triangles, we calculate the radius of their circumscribed circles. We take the maximal radius for both divisions, then the minimum of the two maximums. The radius r_c used for the covering will be this, (see Fig. 2 and 9).

$$r_c = \min\{r_{\triangle OPQ}, r_{\triangle OPP'}\} \quad (4.3)$$

This choice of r_c provides the locally thinnest cylinder covering for a given lattice.

Some locally optimal lattice-like translation cylinder covering.		
Lattice parameters	r_c	$\Delta(\mathcal{CC}(t_1^1, t_1^2, N))$
$t_1^1 = 2.3, t_1^2 = 1, N = 3$	≈ 1.45018	≈ 1.28465
$t_1^1 = 2.5, t_1^2 = 1, N = 3$	≈ 1.50151	≈ 1.26701
$t_1^1 = 2.6, t_1^2 = 1, N = 3$	≈ 1.52974	≈ 1.26452
$t_1^1 = 2.9, t_1^2 = 1.1, N = 3$	≈ 1.69444	≈ 1.26452
$t_1^1 = 3.1, t_1^2 = 1.2, N = 3$	≈ 1.82991	≈ 1.26486
$t_1^1 = 3.2, t_1^2 = 1.2, N = 3$	≈ 1.85933	≈ 1.26487
$t_1^1 = 3.4, t_1^2 = 1.3, N = 3$	≈ 1.99449	≈ 1.26447
$t_1^1 = 1, t_1^2 = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, N = 3$	$\frac{(6\sqrt{5}-15)\sqrt{2}}{-15+5\sqrt{5}} \approx 0.58632$	$-\frac{36}{125} \frac{(2\sqrt{5}-5)^2 \pi \sqrt{5}}{(\sqrt{5}-3)^3} \approx 1.26447$

From the previous method after careful computations we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.14. *The density of the thinnest lattice-like cylinder covering is less than or equal to the locally thinnest covering with congruent translation cylinders related to the fundamental lattice $\Gamma(t_1^{1,opt,c}, t_1^{2,opt,c}, N^{opt,c})$ given by the parameters $t_1^{1,opt} = 1, t_1^{2,opt} = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 0.38197, N^{opt} = 3$ (see Fig. 9).*

$$\begin{aligned}
1.20920 &\approx \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{27}} \leq \Delta_{opt}(\mathcal{CC}_{opt}(t_1^1, t_1^2, N)) \leq \\
&\leq \Delta(\mathcal{CC}(t_1^{1,opt,c}, t_1^{2,opt,c}, N^{opt,c})) = -\frac{36}{125} \frac{(2\sqrt{5}-5)^2 \pi \sqrt{5}}{(\sqrt{5}-3)^3} \approx 1.26447. \quad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

□

All investigated problems reviewed in this paper can be studied further with the help of other lattices, since there are 17 different, nonequivalent types in Sol (see [11]). This may result in finding more optimal, thinner coverings and denser packings for the Sol space with balls and cylinders.

References

- [1] Alpers, K. – Quaisser, E., Lattices in the pseudoeuclidean plane. *Geometriae Dedicata*, **72**, 129–141, (1998).
- [2] Csima, G. – Szirmai, J., Translation-like Apollonius and triangular surfaces in non-constant curvature Thurston geometries. *Results Math.*, (2025), arXiv: 2410.22955.




Figure 9: Locally optimal lattice-like cylinder covering of the lattice $\Gamma(t_1^{1,opt,c}, t_1^{2,opt,c}, N^{opt,c} = 3)$ with density $\Delta(\mathcal{CC}) \approx 1.26447$.

- [3] Fejes Tóth, G. – Kuperberg, G. – Kuperberg, W., Highly Saturated Packings and Reduced Coverings, *Monatsh. Math.*, **125/2** (1998), 127–145.
- [4] Fejes Tóth, L. – Fejes Tóth, G. – Kuperberg, W., Ball Packings in Hyperbolic Space, In: *Lagerungen. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, Cham, **360** (2023), 263–270, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21800-2-11>.
- [5] Fejes Tóth, L., Regular Figures. *Macmillan (New York)*, 1964.
- [6] Milnor, J., Curvatures of left Invariant metrics on Lie groups. *Advances in Math.* **21**, 293–329 (1976)
- [7] Molnár, E., The projective interpretation of the eight 3-dimensional homogeneous geometries. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **38**(2), 261–288 (1997)
- [8] Molnár, E., On projective models of Thurston geometries, some relevant notes on Nil orbifolds and manifolds. *Sib. Electron. Math. Izv.*, **7** (2010), 491–498, <http://mi.mathnet.ru/semr267>

- [9] Molnár, E. – Szilágyi, B., Translation curves and their spheres in homogeneous geometries. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **78/2**, 327–346 (2010)
- [10] Molnár, E. – Szirmai, J., Symmetries in the 8 homogeneous 3-geometries. *Symmetry Cult. Sci.* **21**(1-3), 87–117 (2010)
- [11] Molnár, E. – Szirmai, J., Classification of Sol lattices. *Geom. Dedicata*, **161/1** (2012), 251–275.
- [12] Molnár, E. – Szirmai, J. – Vesnin, A., Projective metric realizations of cone-manifolds with singularities along 2-bridge knots and links. *J. Geom.*, **95** (2009), 91–133.
- [13] Molnár, E. – Szirmai, J., Volumes and geodesic ball packings to the regular prism tilings in $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbf{R}}$ space. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **84**(1-2) (2014), 189–203.
- [14] Molnár E. – Szirmai J. – Vesnin A., Geodesic and Translation Ball Packings Generated by Prismatic Tessellations of the Universal Cover of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbf{R}}$. *Results in Math.* (71) (2017) 623–642.
- [15] Molnár, E. – Szirmai, J., On Nil crystallography. *Symmetry Cult. Sci.*, **17/1-2** (2006), 55–74.
- [16] Pallagi, J. – Schultz B. – Szirmai, J., Visualization of geodesic curves, spheres and equidistant surfaces in $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ space, *KoG*, **14** (2010), 35–40.
- [17] Pallagi, J. – Schultz B. – Szirmai, J., Equidistant surfaces in Nil space, *Stud. Univ. Zilina. Math. Ser.*, **25** (2011), 31–40.
- [18] Pallagi, J. – Schultz B. – Szirmai, J., Equidistant surfaces in $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ space, *KoG*, **15** (2011), 3–6.
- [19] Schultz, B. – Szirmai, J., Geodesic ball packings generated by regular prism tilings in Nil geometry, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, (to appear), (2019), arXiv: 1607.04401.
- [20] Scott, P., The geometries of 3-manifolds. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **15**, 401–487 (1983).
- [21] Szirmai, J., The densest geodesic ball packing by a type of Nil lattices. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **48**(2), 383–398 (2007).

- [22] Szirmai, J., Lattice-like translation ball packings in Nil space. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **80**(3-4), 427–440 (2012).
- [23] Szirmai, J., On lattice Coverings of Nil space by Congruent Geodesic Balls. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **10**, 953–970 (2013).
- [24] Szirmai, J., A candidate to the densest packing with equal balls in the Thurston geometries. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **55**(2), 441–452 (2014).
- [25] Szirmai, J., The densest translation ball packing by fundamental lattices in Sol space. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **51**(2), 353–373 (2010).
- [26] Szirmai, J., Nil geodesic triangles and their interior angle sums. *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society, New Series*, **49**, 761.773, (2018), DOI: 10.1007/s00574-018-0077-9.
- [27] Szirmai, J., Triangle angle sums related to translation curves in Sol geometry. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **67** (2022), 621–631, arXiv: 1703.06646, doi: 10.24193/subbmath.2022.3.14.
- [28] Szirmai, J., Bisector surfaces and circumscribed spheres of tetrahedra derived by translation curves in Sol geometry. *New York J. Math.* **25**, 107-122 (2019).
- [29] Szirmai, J. – Vrănănică, A, Lattice coverings by congruent translation balls using translation-like bisector surfaces in Nil geometry, *KoG*, **23** (2019), 6–17, doi: 10.31896/k.23.1, arXiv:1710.02394.
- [30] Szirmai, J., Apollonius surfaces, circumscribed spheres of tetrahedra, Menelaus’ and Ceva’s theorems in $S^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ and $H^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ geometries. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, **73** (2022), 477–494, doi: 10.1093/qmath/haab038, arXiv: 2012.06155.
- [31] Szirmai, J., On Menelaus’ and Ceva’s theorem in Nil geometry. *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **15/ 1**, (2023) 123–141, DOI: 10.2478/ausm-2023-0008, arXiv: 2110.08877.
- [32] Szirmai, J., Classical Notions and Problems in Thurston Geometries. *International Electronic Journal of Geometry*, **16** No.2 (2023), 608–643, doi: 10.36890/IEJG.1221802, arXiv: 2203.05209.

- [33] Szirmai, J., Fibre-like cylinders, their packings and coverings in $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbf{R}}$ space. *Results Math.*, (2024), doi: 10.1007/s00025-024-02152-0, arXiv: 2306.05721.
- [34] Szirmai, J., Menelaus' and Ceva's theorems for translation triangles in Thurston geometries. *Submitted manuscript*, (2025), arXiv: 2506.01354.
- [35] Thurston, W. P. (and Levy, S. editor), *Three-Dimensional Geometry and Topology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, vol. **1** (1997)
- [36] Yahya, A. – Szirmai, J., New lower bound for the optimal congruent geodesic ball packing density of screw motion groups in $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ space. *Submitted manuscript*, (2024), arXiv:2407.21251.
- [37] Yahya, A. – Szirmai, J., Geodesic ball packings generated by rotations and monotonicity behavior of their densities in $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ space. *Submitted manuscript*, (2024), arXiv:2311.12260.