

# GLOBAL EMBEDDINGS OF WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX COMPLEX SPACES AND REFINED APPROXIMATION THEOREMS

YUTA WATANABE

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, by refining approximation theorems for holomorphic sections of adjoint line bundles, it is proved that the regular locus of a weakly pseudoconvex complex space admitting a positive line bundle can be embedded into a complex projective space. As an application of approximation theorems, it is shown that the Union problem can be solved for weakly pseudoconvex complex manifolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In complex geometry, the notions of positivity and embedding theorems have played a very important role. Indeed, when a complex manifold is compact, Kodaira's embedding theorem [Kod54] implies that being projective is characterized by the existence of a Hodge metric, and, with respect to positivity, the notions of *ample* and *positive* coincide. After that, Kodaira's result was extended to compact complex spaces by Grauert [Gra62], and to (non-compact) weakly pseudoconvex manifolds by Takayama [Tak98]. Weakly pseudoconvexity includes both compact and Stein cases and forms the broadest and highly significant class among the complex-geometric objects that can be treated. In fact, every complex Lie group is always weakly pseudoconvex (see [Kaz73]). Here, a function  $\Psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  on a complex space  $X$  is *exhaustive* if all sublevel sets  $X_c := \{x \in X \mid \Psi(x) < c\}$ ,  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ , are relatively compact. A complex space is said to be *weakly pseudoconvex* if there exists a smooth exhaustive plurisubharmonic function. This is also referred to as *weakly 1-complete*.

In this paper, embeddings of weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces equipped with a positive line bundle are studied. In this setting, for each sublevel set  $X_c$  with singularities, embeddings exist due to relative compactness, and it is known that ampleness coincides with positivity on  $X_c$  [Fuj75]. However, globally, non-compactness prevents the positive line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  from being necessarily ample; indeed, Ohsawa provided a counterexample where this fails [Ohs79]. In general, when there are no singularities, as shown by Takayama [Tak98, Theorem 1.2], for any integer  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$ , the adjoint bundle  $K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m}$  becomes ample and yields a global embedding, where  $n = \dim X$ .

Recently, it has been shown that, for a weakly pseudoconvex manifold  $X$  equipped with a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  carrying a singular positive Hermitian metric  $h$ , global embeddings can be obtained via the adjoint bundle  $K_X \otimes L^{\otimes m}$  while avoiding the essential singular locus of  $h$ ,  $E_+(h) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V(\mathcal{I}(h^k)) = \{x \in X \mid \nu(-\log h, x) > 0\}$  (see [Wat24, Proposition 3.11]), and, in particular, the adjoint bundle is big (see [Wat24, Theorem 1.2 and 1.7]). However, the integer  $m$  cannot, in general, be taken optimally as  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$  as in Takayama's result; rather, there exist a constant  $C \geq 1$  and a smooth Hermitian metric  $h_0$  satisfying the necessary conditions such that  $m \geq C \cdot n(n+1)/2$  is required. We present the following main results.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q40, 32F32, 14F18, 32L10.

*Key words and phrases.* embedding, weakly pseudoconvex, positivity, singular Hermitian metrics.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a non-compact weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension  $n$  and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. If  $L$  is positive, then the regular locus  $X_{\text{reg}}$  of  $X$  can be holomorphically embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ .*

*Furthermore, let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization as in Theorem 2.1 and  $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  be a  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor, then the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}$  is ample over  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$  for any  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$ . In fact,  $\tilde{X} \setminus E \cong X_{\text{reg}}$  is holomorphically embeddable into  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$  by a linear subsystem of  $|(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m})^{\otimes (n+2)}|$  for  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a non-compact weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension  $n$  and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization as in Theorem 2.1. Let  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. If  $L$  is positive, then the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}$  is big for any  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$ .*

*In particular, if  $m \geq n(n-1)/2 + 1$  then the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}$  is generated by global sections on  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ . Furthermore, if there exists  $c > \inf_X \Psi$  such that  $X_{\text{sing}} \cap X_c = \emptyset$ , then we have the inequality  $\text{Vol}_{\tilde{X}}(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m+1}) > 0$ , where  $m \geq n(n-1)/2 + 1$ .*

Throughout this paper, *canonical desingularization* is understood in the sense of Theorem 2.1, and a complex space is always assumed to be equidimensional, without necessarily being reduced.

These results provide a global extension of the results of Grauert [Gra62] and Fujiki [Fuj75] to weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces, which may be non-compact, and also generalize Takayama's result [Tak98] to complex spaces with singularities.

**Observation.** On each sublevel set  $X_c$ , we take a resolution of singularities  $\pi : \tilde{X}_c \rightarrow X_c$ . Then, by using the Negativity Lemma (see Lemma 2.2) and the relative compactness of  $X_c$ , we can construct on  $\tilde{X}_c$  a singular positive Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_c$  on  $\pi_c^* L|_{\tilde{X}_c}$  that becomes smooth on  $\tilde{X}_c \setminus E_c$ , where  $E_c$  denotes the  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor. Consequently, on each sublevel set  $X_c$ , it follows from [Wat24] that  $\tilde{X}_c \setminus E_c \cong X_c \setminus X_{\text{sing}}$  admits an embedding via the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}_c} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}$ . Here, Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 correspond to the case  $C = 1$  in [Wat24, Theorem 1.2], which is optimal.

However, globally, it is unclear whether the Negativity Lemma can be applied, and it is unknown whether we can construct a singular *positive* Hermitian metric on  $\pi^* L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  that is defined *globally* on  $\tilde{X}$ . Therefore, the result of [Wat24, Theorem 1.2] cannot be applied directly. The uncertainty regarding the existence of a globally defined singular positive Hermitian metric arises as the *main difficulty* in obtaining a global embedding. Here, Theorem 1.2 provides an example in which the adjoint bundle becomes big even though no globally defined singular positive Hermitian metric exists on  $\pi^* L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ .

We introduce the following definition and provide a refined Approximation Theorem 1.4 for holomorphic sections to overcome this difficulty, using which we obtain a global embedding theorem 1.1.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, \Psi)$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and  $\mathcal{J}$  be an ideal sheaf on  $X$ . We say that a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  is *exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{J}$* , if there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that each  $h_j$  is defined on  $L|_{X_{c_j}}$ , is singular positive on  $X_{c_j}$ , and satisfies  $\mathcal{J}(h_j) = \mathcal{J}$  on  $X_{c_j}$ .

In particular, if each metric  $h_j$  in the sequence  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is smooth, we simply say that  $L$  is *exhaustively positive*.

Here, the approximation theorem regarding positive line bundles is established by Nakano, Kazama and Ohsawa, and is one of most important conclusion of the cohomology theory on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds (see [Ohs82, Ohs83]), and it plays a central role in globalizing embeddings (see [Tak98]). Below, we present a refined approximation theorem regarding singular positivity, established in [Wat24].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold,  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal sheaf on  $X$ . If  $L$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}$ , then for any sublevel set  $X_c$ , the natural restriction map*

$$\rho_c : H^0(X, K_X \otimes L) \rightarrow H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I})$$

*has dense images with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets in  $X_c$ .*

As an important and typical example of exhaustive singular-positivity, in the setting of Theorem 1.1, we show in §3 that  $\pi^*L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  (see Theorem 3.1). In particular, in order to obtain a global embedding, it is also necessary that, for a holomorphic line bundle  $P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  equipped with a singular semi-positive Hermitian metric  $h_P$ , the holomorphic line bundle  $\pi^*L \otimes P$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(h_P)$  (see Theorem 3.4), which can be proved using the strong openness property (cf. [JM12, GZ15]).

The following question has held great interest in Stein theory:

**The Union Problem.** *Is a reduced complex space  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_\nu$  which is exhaustable by a sequence  $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \Omega_3 \Subset \dots$  of open Stein subspaces itself a Stein space?*

Every compact analytic subspace of such a space  $\Omega$  is certainly finite. This problem was raised by Behnke-Thullen [BT33] in the case where  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and it was solved for various special domains. After that, it was solved affirmatively by Behnke-Stein [BS39]. In 1956, K. Stein provided a sufficient condition for the Union Problem:

**Theorem 1.5.** (cf. [Ste56]) *Let  $\Omega$  be a reduced complex space and  $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \dots$  be an exhaustion of  $\Omega$  by Stein domains. If every pair  $(\Omega_\nu, \Omega_{\nu+1})$  is Runge, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_{\nu+1})$  dense in  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega_\nu)$  in the topology of compact convergence, then  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_\nu$  is Stein.*

Nevertheless, if a Runge condition is not imposed on the  $\Omega_\nu$ 's, the space  $X$  is not necessarily holomorphically convex. In fact, E. Fornaess constructed in [For76, For77] a non-holomorphically convex complex manifold as a *counterexample* to the Union Problem. In other words, the Union Problem *cannot* be solved in general. A. Markoe and A. Silve showed in [Mar77, Sil78] that the Union Problem is solvable if and only if  $H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{O}_\Omega) = 0$ .

Here, we present the following as an application of approximation theorems.

**Theorem 1.6.** *The Union Problem can be solved when the union is a weakly pseudoconvex manifold. In other words, if a weakly pseudoconvex manifold  $\Omega$  is exhaustable by a sequence  $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \dots$  of open Stein subspace, then  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_\nu$  is itself Stein.*

Fornaess and Narasimhan posed the following problem in [FN80]: if  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_\nu$  is an open subset of a Stein space, does the Union Problem admit a solution? This remains an important open problem on Stein spaces with singularities, and at present, the term *Union Problem* often refers to this formulation.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Canonical desingularization of complex spaces.** Even in the non-compact case, it is known that a global resolution of singularities can be obtained, which is locally given by a finite sequence of blow-ups with smooth centers. This is achieved by patching together the resolutions of singularities constructed on relatively compact subsets.

**Theorem 2.1.** [BM97, Theorem 13.3 and 13.4] *Let  $X$  be a complex space which is not necessarily compact or reduced. There exists a desingularization  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , which is a composite of a locally finite sequence of blow-ups, such that*

- *the map  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is proper holomorphic.*
- *the set  $\tilde{X}$  is smooth, i.e. complex manifold, and the  $\pi$ -exceptional set  $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  is simple normal crossing, where  $E$  denotes the collection of all exceptional divisors.*
- *for any relatively compact open subset  $V$  of  $X$ , the restriction  $\pi|_V : \tilde{X}|_{\pi^{-1}(V)} \rightarrow X|_V$  is a composite of a finite sequence of blow-ups with smooth centres.*
- *the restriction  $\pi|_{\tilde{X} \setminus E} : \tilde{X} \setminus E \rightarrow X \setminus X_{\text{sing}} = X_{\text{reg}}$  is biholomorphic.*
- *$\pi$  is canonical in the sense that for any isomorphic  $\varphi : X|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} X|_V$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are open subsets of  $X$ , lifts to an isomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} \rightarrow \tilde{X}|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}$ .*

The desingularization in this theorem is referred to as the *canonical desingularization*.

**Lemma 2.2.** (Negativity Lemma, cf. [Kaw24, Remark 1.6.2 (2)], the proof of [Wat24, Lemma 3.6]) *Let  $X$  be a complex space,  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $E = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} E_j := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  be the  $\pi$ -exceptional set which is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor. Then, for any relatively compact open subset  $V$  of  $X$ , there exist an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $E$  and an effective divisor  $E_V := \sum_{j \in J_V} b_j E_j$  with  $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V)$  admits a smooth Hermitian metric on  $\tilde{V} := \pi^{-1}(V)$  whose curvatur is positive on  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}$ , where  $J_V := \{j \in \mathcal{J} \mid \pi(E_j) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ .*

*In particular, the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V)|_{\tilde{V}}$  is  $\pi$ -ample.*

**2.2. Plurisubharmonicity and positivity on complex spaces.** Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional equidimensional complex space.

**Definition 2.3.** (cf. [GPR94, Chapter V, Definition 1.4], [Fuj75]) A function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  is called (resp. *strictly*) *plurisubharmonic* if for any  $x \in X$  there exist an open neighborhood  $U$  admitting a closed embedding  $\iota_U : U \hookrightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ , here  $V$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and a (resp. *strictly*) plurisubharmonic function  $\tilde{\varphi} : V \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $\varphi|_U = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota_U$ .

Concerning the smoothness of functions, it is defined similarly by requiring that it can be expressed as the restriction of smooth functions on  $V$ . Moreover, a function is said to be *quasi-plurisubharmonic* if it can be written locally as the sum of a smooth function and a plurisubharmonic function.

Let  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. Then there exist an open covering  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of  $X$  and isomorphisms  $\iota_\alpha : L|_{U_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$ . Conversely, the set of holomorphic functions  $\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda}$ , where  $f_{\alpha\beta} := \iota_\alpha \circ \iota_\beta^{-1}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} \in \Gamma(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , defines  $L$ . Such a  $(\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{U_\alpha\})_\Lambda$  is called a *system of transition functions* of  $L$ .

**Definition 2.4.** We say that  $h$  is *smooth Hermitian metric* on  $L$  if for a system of transition functions  $(\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{U_\alpha\})_\Lambda$  of  $L$ , there exists a collection of positive smooth functions  $h = \{h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  such that  $h_\beta/h_\alpha = |f_{\alpha\beta}|^2$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ .

For any trivialization  $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$ , a smooth Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  can be expressed as

$$||\xi||_h = |\tau(\xi)|e^{-\varphi(x)}, \quad x \in U, \xi \in L_x$$

using a smooth function  $\varphi$  on  $U$ . The smooth function  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called the *weight function of  $h$  with respect to the trivialization  $\tau$* .

For a smooth Hermitian metric  $h = \{h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  with respect to a system of transition functions  $(\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{U_\alpha\})_\Lambda$ , the weight function of  $h$  with respect to any trivialization  $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$  can be expressed on  $U \cap U_\alpha$  as  $\varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \log h_\tau = -\frac{1}{2} \log h_\alpha + \log |\iota_\alpha \circ \tau^{-1}|$ , here the new element  $h_\tau$  of the collection  $h = \{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is defined by  $h_\tau = h_\alpha |\iota_\alpha \circ \tau^{-1}|^2$  on  $U \cap U_\alpha$ . In particular, if  $\tau = \iota_\alpha$ , then  $\varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \log h_\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A smooth Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  is said to be *positive* if there exists a collection of positive smooth functions  $h = \{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  on  $L$  such that  $-\log h_\alpha$  is strictly plurisubharmonic on  $U_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . In other words, the weight function of  $h$  with respect to any trivialization  $\tau$  is smooth strictly plurisubharmonic.

A holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  is said to be *positive* if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  which is positive.

Moreover, for a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  over a complex space  $X$ , there is a notion of weak positivity (or negativity) introduced by Grauert [Gra62]:  $E$  is said to be *weakly negative* if there exists a strongly pseudoconvex neighborhood  $U_{Z_0} \Subset E$  of the zero section  $Z_0 \subset E$ ;  $E$  is *weakly positive* if the dual bundle  $E^*$  is weakly negative.

In general, if a holomorphic line bundle  $L$  on a complex space  $X$  is positive, then it is weakly positive. When  $X$  is compact, these notions coincide with ampleness (see [Gra62]).

**2.3. Singular Hermitian metric and exhaustive positivity.** In this subsection, let  $X$  be a complex manifold, and introduce the notions of singular Hermitian metrics on holomorphic line bundles and their positivity.

**Definition 2.6.** (cf. [Dem90, Dem12]) A *singular Hermitian metric*  $h$  on a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  is a metric for which the weight function  $\varphi$  of  $h$  with respect to any local trivialization  $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$  is locally integrable, i.e.  $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(U)$ .

In other words, for a fixed smooth Hermitian metric  $h_0$ , the singular Hermitian metric  $h$  can be written as  $h = h_0 e^{-2\varphi}$  for some locally integrable function  $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 2.7.** (cf. [Wat25, Definition 3.2]) Let  $h$  be a singular Hermitian metric on a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$ . We say that a singular Hermitian metric  $h$  is

- *singular semi-positive* if  $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq 0$  in the sense of currents, i.e. the weight of  $h$  with respect to any trivialization coincides with some plurisubharmonic function almost everywhere.
- *singular positive* if  $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \varepsilon\omega$  in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric  $\omega > 0$  and some smooth positive function  $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , i.e. the weight of  $h$  with respect to any trivialization coincides with some strictly plurisubharmonic function almost everywhere.



Note that, being singular semi-positive is coincides with being pseudo-effective on compact complex manifolds. Furthermore, being singular positive also coincide with begin big on compact Kähler manifolds by Demailly's characterization (see [Dem90], [Dem12, Chapter6]).

**Definition 2.8.** A function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  is said to be a *quasi-plurisubharmonic* if  $\varphi$  is locally the sum of a plurisubharmonic function and a smooth function. The *multiplier ideal sheaf*  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(\varphi)(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \mid |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} \in L_{loc}^1(U)\}$$

for any open subset  $U \subset X$ . For a singular Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  with the local weight  $\varphi$ , i.e.  $h = h_0 e^{-2\varphi}$ , we define the multiplier ideal sheaf of  $h$  by  $\mathcal{I}(h) := \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ .

The *Lelong number* of a quasi-plurisubharmonic function  $\varphi$  on  $X$  is defined by

$$\nu(\varphi, x) := \liminf_{z \rightarrow x} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\log |z - x|}$$

for some coordinate  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  around  $x \in X$ . For the relationship between the Lelong number of  $\varphi$  and the integrability of  $e^{-\varphi}$ , the following important result obtained by Skoda in [Sko72] is known; If  $\nu(\varphi, x) < 1$  then  $e^{-2\varphi}$  is integrable around  $x$ . From this, particularly if  $\nu(-\log h, x) < 2$  then  $\mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  immediately.

**Definition 2.9.** (= Definition 1.3) Let  $(X, \Psi)$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal sheaf on  $X$ . We say that a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  is *exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}$* , if there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that each  $h_j$  is defined on  $L|_{X_{c_j}}$ , is singular positive on  $X_{c_j}$ , and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(h_j) = \mathcal{I}$  on  $X_{c_j}$ .

In particular, if each metric  $h_j$  in the sequence  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is smooth, we simply say that  $L$  is *exhaustively positive*.

It is clear that when  $X$  is compact, this notion coincides with being singular positive (resp. positive).

**Example 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold. If  $X$  is exhaustible by an increasing sequence of Stein open subsets, then any holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  is exhaustively positive. Furthermore, for any singular Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$ , the line bundle  $L$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(h)$ .

**Definition 2.11.** (cf. [Dem12, Definition 6.3]) Let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on a complex manifold. Consider two singular Hermitian metrics  $h_1, h_2$  on  $L$  with curvature  $i\Theta_{L, h_j} \geq \gamma$  in the sense of currents for some continuous real  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\gamma$  on  $X$ , which is not necessarily positive.

- (a) We will write  $h_1 \preceq h_2$ , and say that  $h_1$  is *less singular* than  $h_2$ , if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $h_1 \leq C h_2$ .
- (b) We will write  $h_1 \sim h_2$ , and say that  $h_1, h_2$  are *equivalent with respect to singularities*, if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $C^{-1} h_2 \leq h_1 \leq C h_2$ .

Finally, we introduce the strong openness property, which is also important for applications.

**Theorem 2.12.** (Strong openness property, cf. [JM12, GZ15]) *Let  $\varphi$  be a negative plurisubharmonic function on  $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $\psi \not\equiv -\infty$  be a negative plurisubharmonic function on  $\Delta^n$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \varepsilon\psi)$ .*

**2.4. Algebro-geometric positivity.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold which is not necessarily compact,  $E \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic vector bundle and  $V$  be a finite dimensional linear subspace of  $H^0(X, E)$ . We define the linear subsystem  $|V|$  corresponding to  $V$  by  $|V| = \mathbb{P}(V)$ . The base locus of the linear subsystem  $|V|$  is given by

$$\text{Bs}_{|V|} = \bigcap_{s \in V} s^{-1}(0) = \{x \in X \mid s(x) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in V \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Let  $U \subseteq X$  be an open subset of  $X$ . The notions of *generated by global sections* and *semi-ample* for a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  are known (see [Dem-book, Chapter VII]), and the corresponding notions *on  $U$*  are defined in the same way. Here, if  $E$  is a holomorphic line bundle, then being base-point free on  $U$ , being generated by global sections on  $U$ , and having  $\text{Bs}_{|E|} \cap U \neq \emptyset$  are equivalent.

Let  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle and  $V$  be a finite dimensional linear subspace of  $H^0(X, L)$ . Let  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N$  be a basis of the linear subsystem  $V$ , then we have the Kodaira map  $\Phi_V : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N : x \mapsto [\sigma_0(x) : \dots : \sigma_N(x)]$ , which is holomorphic on  $X \setminus \text{Bs}_{|V|}$ , and that the isomorphism  $L \cong \Phi_V^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$  is obtained on  $X \setminus \text{Bs}_{|V|}$ .

**Definition 2.13.** (cf. [Tak98, Fuj75]) Let  $X$  be a complex manifold (not necessarily compact),  $U \subseteq X$  be an open subset of  $X$  and  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$ .

- $L$  is *very ample on  $U$*  if there exists a finite dimensional linear subspace  $V$  of  $H^0(U, L)$  such that the Kodaira map  $\Phi_V : U \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\dim V - 1}$  is holomorphic embedding on  $U$ , i.e. injective holomorphic immersion.
- $L$  is *ample on  $U$*  if there is an integer  $m_U$  such that  $L^{\otimes m_U}$  is very ample on  $U$ .

**Definition 2.14.** (cf. [Wat24]) Let  $X$  be a complex manifold (not necessarily compact) and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. Set

$$\varrho_m := \sup\{\dim \overline{\Phi_V(X)} \mid V \subseteq H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \text{ with } \dim V < +\infty\}$$

if  $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \neq \{0\}$ , and  $\varrho_m = -\infty$  otherwise. The *Kodaira-Iitaka dimension* of  $L$  is defined by  $\kappa(L) := \max\{\varrho_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We say that  $L$  is *big* if  $\kappa(L) = \dim X$ .

For an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , the *volume* of  $L$  on  $U$  is defined by

$$\text{Vol}_U(L) := n! \cdot \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\dim H^0(U, L^{\otimes k})}{k^n}.$$

It is well known (see [Dem90, Dem12]) that, if  $X$  is compact, the following are equivalent for a line holomorphic bundle  $L \rightarrow X$ ;  $L$  is big,  $\text{Vol}_X(L) > 0$ , and  $L$  admits a singular Hermitian metric which is singular positive on  $X$ .

### 3. EXHAUSTIVE POSITIVITY

In this section, we provide the proofs of the following theorem and Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space,  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. If  $L$  is positive, then the pulled-back line bundle  $\pi^*L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ .*

For this purpose, we first present a useful lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complex space,  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  be a  $\pi$ -exceptional set which is simple normal crossing. Let  $V$  be a relatively compact open subsets of  $X$ , and set  $\tilde{V} := \pi^{-1}(V)$ . Then there exist an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $E$  and a function  $\psi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $\psi$  is smooth on  $\tilde{V} \setminus E$  and strictly plurisubharmonic on  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}$ , and tends to  $-\infty$  as it approaches  $E$ . In particular, we have  $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\tilde{V})$ .*

*Proof.* By Negativity Lemma 2.2, there exist an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $E$ , an effective divisor  $E_V = \sum_{j \in J_V} b_j E_j$  with  $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and a smooth Hermitian metric  $h^*_{E_V}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V)|_{\tilde{V}}$  such that  $i\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V), h^*_{E_V}} > 0$  on  $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}$ . Let  $\sigma_j$  be the defining section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_j)$  for each  $j \in J_V$ , and set  $\sigma_V := \bigotimes_{j \in J_V} \sigma_j^{b_j}$  as a section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_V)$ . Then, we define the natural singular Hermitian metric  $1/|\sigma_V|^2$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_V)$  by  $1/|\sigma_V|^2 := h_{sm}/|\sigma_V|_{h_{sm}}^2$  for some smooth Hermitian metric  $h_{sm}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_V)$ . It follows immediately that the metric  $1/|\sigma_V|^2$  is singular semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$ .

Hence, the singular metric  $h^*_{E_V} \otimes 1/|\sigma_V|^2$  on the trivial bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ , obtained by tensor product, can be regarded as a function. In particular, the weight function  $\psi := -\log(h^*_{E_V} \otimes 1/|\sigma_V|^2)$  is a globally defined locally integrable function, i.e.  $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\tilde{V})$ . Locally, the computation proceeds as follows.

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = -i\partial\bar{\partial}\log h^*_{E_V} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\log |\sigma_V|^2 \geq i\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V), h^*_{E_V}},$$

in the sense of currents. Thus it is clear that this  $\psi$  is the desired function satisfying the conditions.  $\square$

Theorem 3.1 follows immediately from the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \Psi)$  be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space,  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  be a  $\pi$ -exceptional set which is simple normal crossing. Let  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle, and take an arbitrary number  $c > \inf_X \Psi$ .*

*For some  $\tau > 0$ , if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L|_{X_{c+\tau}}$  whose curvature is positive on  $X_{c+\tau}$ , then there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_c$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}}$  such that  $\tilde{h}_c$  is smooth on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau} \setminus E$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_c) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$  and  $\tilde{h}_c$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_c$ , i.e.  $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \tilde{h}_c} \geq \gamma$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$  in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric  $\gamma > 0$ .*

*Proof.* For the subset  $\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}$ , apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $E$  and a locally integrable function  $\psi : \tilde{X}_{c+2\tau} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.2. Here, for a smooth Hermitian metric  $\pi^*h$  on  $\pi^*L$ , we have that the curvature  $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} = \pi^*i\Theta_{L, h}$  is positive on  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$  and semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$ . From the relative compact-ness of  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ , there exists an integer  $m_c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any integer  $m \geq m_c$ , the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_m := \pi^*h^m \cdot e^{-\psi}$  on  $\pi^*L^{\otimes m}|_{\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}}$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ , i.e.

$$i\Theta_{\pi^*L^{\otimes m}, \tilde{h}_m} = m \cdot \pi^*i\Theta_{L, h} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq m_c \cdot \pi^*i\Theta_{L, h} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \tilde{\gamma},$$

in the sense of currents on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$  for some Hermitian metric  $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ . In other words, for any positive number  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/m_c$ , the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon := \pi^*h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon\psi}$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}}$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ .



By Skoda's result [Sko72], if  $\nu(-\log \tilde{h}_\varepsilon, x) < 2$  then  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},x}$  immediately. From the equality  $\nu(-\log \tilde{h}_\varepsilon, x) = \nu(\varepsilon\psi, x) = \varepsilon\nu(\psi, x)$ , let  $M_{\psi,c} := \max_{x \in \tilde{X}_{c+\tau}} \nu(\psi, x)$ . Thus, by choosing  $\varepsilon_c > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_c < \min\{1/m_c, 2/M_{\psi,c}\}$ , the desired singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_c := \tilde{h}_{\varepsilon_c}$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}}$  is obtained.  $\square$

Furthermore, to prove the global embedding theorem, the following theorem is proved by using the strong openness property (= Theorem 2.12, see [JM12, GZ15]).

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space,  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. Let  $P \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  be a holomorphic line bundle equipped with a singular Hermitian metric  $h_P$ . If  $L$  is positive and  $h_P$  is singular semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$ , then the holomorphic line bundle  $P \otimes \pi^*L$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(h_P)$ .*

In particular, we can construct a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defining  $\pi^*L$  to be exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ , such that the sequence  $\{\tilde{h}_j \otimes h_P\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  obtained by twisting with  $h_P$  defines  $\pi^*L \otimes P$  to be exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(h_P)$ .

*Proof.* For any constant  $c > \inf_X \Psi$ , it suffices to construct a singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_c$  of  $\pi^*L$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$  which is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_c$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_c) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  and  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_c \otimes h_P) = \mathcal{I}(h_P)$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$ .

For some  $\tau > 0$ , similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3, there exist a locally integrable function  $\psi : \tilde{X}_{c+2\tau} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  and an integer  $m_c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/m_c$ , the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon := \pi^*h \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon\psi}$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}}$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon \otimes h_P$  is also singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ .

Let  $H_{sm}$  be a smooth Hermitian metric on  $P$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi} := -\frac{1}{2} \log(h_P/H_{sm})$  is a locally integrable function on  $\tilde{X}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^1_{loc}(\tilde{X})$ . By the singular semi-positivity of  $h_P$ , there exists a quasi-plurisubharmonic function  $\varphi$  on  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$  almost everywhere. Here, we can replace  $h_P$  by  $h_P := H_{sm} \cdot e^{-2\varphi}$  without loss of generality, and we have  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{I}(\varepsilon\psi)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon \otimes h_P) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \varepsilon\psi)$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}(h_P) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$  on  $\tilde{X}$ .

By the strong openness property (= Theorem 2.12), we have  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{0 < \delta < 1/m_c} \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \delta\psi)$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ . Therefore, by the relative compact-ness of  $\tilde{X}_c$  and the strong Noetherian property of coherent sheaves (see [Dem-book, Chapter II, (3.22)]), there exists  $0 < \delta_c < 1/m_c$  such that  $\bigcup_{0 < \delta < 1/m_c} \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \delta\psi) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \delta_c\psi)$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$ . Hence, for any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta_c$ , we have  $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \varepsilon\psi)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon \otimes h_P) = \mathcal{I}(h_P)$ , on  $\tilde{X}_c$ . Let  $M_{\psi,c} := \max_{x \in \tilde{X}_{c+\tau}} \nu(\psi, x)$ . Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3, by choosing  $\varepsilon_c > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_c < \min\{\delta_c, 1/M_{\psi,c}\}$ , the desired singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_c := \tilde{h}_{\varepsilon_c} = \pi^*h \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon_c\psi}$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}}$  is obtained.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** *The sequence of singular metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  that makes the line bundle  $\pi^*L$  exhaustively positive as obtained in Theorem 3.1 can, in general, be constructed such that  $\tilde{h}_{j+1}|_{\tilde{X}_j} \preceq \tilde{h}_j$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C_{j+1} > 0$  such that  $\tilde{h}_{j+1} \leq C_{j+1}\tilde{h}_j$  on  $\tilde{X}_j$ .*

*Proof.* We construct the sequence inductively. Fix  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $\tilde{h}_\gamma$  has been constructed as in Proposition 3.3. Then we construct  $\tilde{h}_{\gamma+1}$  such that  $\tilde{h}_{\gamma+1}|_{\tilde{X}_\gamma} \preceq \tilde{h}_\gamma$  holds.

The singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_\gamma$  was constructed as follows: By Negativity Lemma 2.2, there exist an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_\gamma$  of  $E$ , an effective divisor  $E_{X_\gamma} = \sum_{j \in J_\gamma} b_j E_j$

with  $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and a smooth Hermitian metric  $h_{E_{X_\gamma}}^*$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_{X_\gamma})|_{X_{\gamma+1/2}}$  such that  $i\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_{X_\gamma}), h_{E_{X_\gamma}}^*} > 0$  on  $\tilde{U}_\gamma \cap \tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}$ . Here  $h_{E_{X_\gamma}}^* = \bigotimes_{j \in J_\gamma} h_{E_j}^{*\otimes b_j}$ , and the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_j)|_{E_j \cap \tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}}$  coincides with the tautological line bundle of the normal bundle, and each  $h_{E_j}^*$  is the smooth metric defined on  $\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}$  and induced by the Fubini-Study metric on that bundle. Let  $\sigma_j$  be the defining section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_j)$  for each  $j \in J_\gamma$ , and set  $\sigma_\gamma := \bigotimes_{j \in J_\gamma} \sigma_j^{b_j}$  as a section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_{X_\gamma})$ . Let  $\psi_\gamma := -\log(h_{E_{X_\gamma}}^* \otimes 1/|\sigma_\gamma|^2)$  and  $M_{\psi_\gamma} := \max_{x \in \tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}} \nu(\psi_\gamma, x)$ . Here, there exists  $m_\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tilde{h}_{\gamma, \varepsilon} := \pi^* h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon \psi_\gamma}$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}$  for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/m_\gamma$ . Thus, we choose  $\varepsilon_\gamma > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_\gamma < \min\{1/m_\gamma, 2/M_{\psi_\gamma}\}$ , and  $\tilde{h}_\gamma$  is then constructed by  $h_\gamma := \pi^* h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon_\gamma \psi_\gamma}$ .

We then construct the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_{\gamma+1}$ . By Negativity Lemma 2.2, there exist an open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_{\gamma+1}$  of  $E$ , an effective divisor  $E_{X_{\gamma+1}} = \sum_{j \in J_{\gamma+1}} \beta_j E_j$  with  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and a smooth Hermitian metric  $h_{E_{X_{\gamma+1}}}^*$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_{X_{\gamma+1}})|_{X_{\gamma+3/2}}$  such that  $i\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_{X_{\gamma+1}}), h_{E_{X_{\gamma+1}}}^*} > 0$  on  $\tilde{U}_{\gamma+1} \cap \tilde{X}_{\gamma+3/2}$ . Here  $h_{E_{X_{\gamma+1}}}^* = \bigotimes_{j \in J_{\gamma+1}} h_{E_j}^{*\otimes \beta_j}$ , and since  $\pi$  is canonical (see Theorem 2.1), for each  $j \in \{\ell \in J_\gamma \mid E_\ell \cap (\tilde{X}_{\gamma+3/2} - \tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}) \neq \emptyset\}$ , the restriction of  $\mathcal{O}(-E_j)|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+3/2}}$  to  $\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{O}(-E_j)|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}}$ , which provides  $h_{E_j}$  as a natural extension of  $h_{E_j}$ , i.e.  $h_{E_j}|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}} = h_{E_j}$ . From the proof of the Negative Lemma 2.2 (see [Wat24, Lemma 3.6]), for any  $\beta_j$  with  $j \in J_\gamma$ , there exists an integer  $\kappa_\gamma$  such that  $\beta_j = \kappa_\gamma b_j$  for all  $j \in J_\gamma$ . Hence, we have that  $E_{X_{\gamma+1}}|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}} = \kappa_\gamma E_{X_\gamma}$  and  $h_{E_{X_{\gamma+1}}}^*|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}} = h_{E_{X_\gamma}}^{*\otimes \kappa_\gamma}$ .

Let  $\sigma_j$  be the defining section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_j)$  for each  $j \in J_{\gamma+1}$ , and set  $\sigma_{\gamma+1} := \bigotimes_{j \in J_{\gamma+1}} \sigma_j^{\beta_j}$  as a section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_{X_{\gamma+1}})$ . Let  $\psi_{\gamma+1} := -\log(h_{E_{X_{\gamma+1}}}^* \otimes 1/|\sigma_{\gamma+1}|^2)$  and  $M_{\psi_{\gamma+1}} := \max_{x \in \tilde{X}_{\gamma+3/2}} \nu(\psi_{\gamma+1}, x)$ , then we obtain  $\psi_{\gamma+1}|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}} = \kappa_\gamma \psi_\gamma$ . Here, there exists  $m_{\gamma+1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\kappa_\gamma m_\gamma \leq m_{\gamma+1}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{\gamma+1, \varepsilon} := \pi^* h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon \psi_{\gamma+1}}$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{\gamma+3/2}$  for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/m_{\gamma+1}$ . Thus, we choose  $\varepsilon_{\gamma+1} > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_{\gamma+1} < \min\{1/m_{\gamma+1}, 2/M_{\psi_{\gamma+1}}, \varepsilon_\gamma/\kappa_\gamma\}$ , and the desired singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_{\gamma+1}$  is then constructed by  $h_{\gamma+1} := \pi^* h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon_{\gamma+1} \psi_{\gamma+1}}$ .

In fact, the ordering of singular Hermitian metrics is determined by the rate at which their weight functions tend to  $-\infty$ . Hence, we may assume  $\psi_\tau < 0$  with  $\tau = \gamma, \gamma+1$ , and the construction yields the inequality

$$0 > \varepsilon_{\gamma+1} \psi_{\gamma+1}|_{\tilde{X}_{\gamma+1/2}} = \varepsilon_{\gamma+1} \kappa_p \psi_\gamma > \varepsilon_\gamma \psi_\gamma,$$

which expresses  $\tilde{h}_{j+1}|_{\tilde{X}_j} \preccurlyeq \tilde{h}_j$ . □

**Example 3.6.** Let  $(X, \Psi)$  be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a positive holomorphic line bundle. If there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  such that  $\partial X_{c_j} \cap X_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defining the exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  of  $\pi^* L$ , which becomes progressively more singular, i.e.  $\tilde{h}_j \preccurlyeq \tilde{h}_{j+1}|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j}}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In particular, the sequence can also be arranged to be equivalent with respect to singularities, that is,  $\tilde{h}_j \sim \tilde{h}_{j+1}|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j}}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case, we can construct a

globally defined singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}$  on  $\pi^*L$  which is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\tilde{X}$ .

*Proof.* By assumption,  $X_{\text{sing}}$  is separated by each boundary  $\partial X_{c_j}$ . Thus, setting  $X_{\text{sing}}^j := (X_{c_j} \cap X_{\text{sing}}) \setminus X_{c_{j-1}}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , here  $X_{c_0} := \emptyset$ , it suffices to treat  $X_{\text{sing}}^j$  and  $X_{\text{sing}}$  separately. For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 3.2, there exists a neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_j$  of  $E_j := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}}^j)$  and a function  $\psi_j : \tilde{X}_{c_j} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $\psi_j$  is smooth on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j} \setminus E_j$  and strictly plurisubharmonic on  $\tilde{U}_j \cap \tilde{X}_{c_j}$ . Here, by the construction of  $\psi_j$ , an open subset  $\tilde{V}_j$  with  $\tilde{U}_j \subseteq \tilde{V}_j \subset \tilde{X}_{c_j} \setminus \tilde{X}_{c_{j-1}}$  can be chosen. That is,  $\psi_j$  is in fact strictly plurisubharmonic on  $\tilde{U}_j \subseteq \tilde{X}_{c_j} \setminus \tilde{X}_{c_{j-1}}$ . Let  $\chi_j : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a cut-off function for  $\tilde{U}_j$ ; that is,  $\chi_j$  is smooth on  $\tilde{X}$  satisfying  $\chi_j \geq 0$ ,  $\chi_j = 1$  on  $\tilde{U}_j$ , and  $\chi_j = 0$  on  $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{V}_j$ . Let  $\tilde{\psi}_j := \chi_j \psi_j$ , then  $\tilde{\psi}_j : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  is strictly plurisubharmonic on  $\tilde{U}_j$ , smooth on  $\tilde{X} \setminus E_j$ , and equal to 0 on  $\tilde{X} \setminus (\tilde{X}_{c_j} \setminus \tilde{X}_{c_{j-1}}) = \{x \in \tilde{X} \mid \pi^*\Psi(x) > c_j, \pi^*\Psi(x) < c_{j-1}\}$ .

By the relative compactness of  $\tilde{X}_{c_j} \setminus \tilde{X}_{c_{j-1}}$ , similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3, there exists  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_j$ , the singular Hermitian metric  $\pi^*h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon \tilde{\psi}_j}$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j} \setminus \tilde{X}_{c_{j-1}}$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{J}(\pi^*h \cdot e^{-\varepsilon \tilde{\psi}_j}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ . Take a decreasing sequence of real numbers  $\{\varepsilon_j(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to 0, with  $\varepsilon_j(1) = \varepsilon_j$ . From the above, by setting the singular Hermitian metric of  $\pi^*L$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j}$  as

$$\tilde{h}_j := \pi^*h \cdot e^{-\sum_{k=1}^j \varepsilon_k(j+1-k) \tilde{\psi}_k}$$

we obtain the desired sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which satisfy  $\tilde{h}_j \preccurlyeq \tilde{h}_{j+1}|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j}}$  and  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_j) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In particular, by setting the singular Hermitian metric of  $\pi^*L$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j}$  as  $\tilde{h}_j := \pi^*h \cdot \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^j \varepsilon_k \tilde{\psi}_k)$ , we obtain a sequence  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  that is equivalent with respect to singularities. Furthermore, by defining a global singular Hermitian metric on  $\tilde{X}$  as  $\tilde{h} = \pi^*h \cdot \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \varepsilon_k \tilde{\psi}_k)$ , this metric is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\tilde{X}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. APPROXIMATION THEOREMS

In this section, the proof of Theorem 1.4 is given. We first introduce the semi-norm  $|\bullet|_K$ . Let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold,  $\gamma$  be a Hermitian metric on  $X$  and  $h_0$  be any smooth Hermitian metric of  $L$  on  $X$ . For a compact subset  $K$  in  $X_c$ , we put

$$|\phi|_K := \sup_{x \in K} |\phi|_{h_0, \gamma}(x)$$

for  $\phi \in H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L)$ . Then  $\{|\bullet|_K\}_K$  gives a system of semi-norms in  $H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** (Approximation property for  $L^2$ -norms and semi-norms, cf. [Wat24, Theorem 1.1, Proposition 4.8 and 4.10]) *Let  $(X, \Psi)$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle, and take arbitrary numbers  $c > b > \inf_X \Psi$ . For some  $\tau > 0$ , if there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L|_{X_{c+\tau}}$  which is singular positive on  $X_{c+\tau}$ , then the restriction map*

$$\rho_{c,b} : H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)) \rightarrow H^0(X_b, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}(h))$$

*has a dense images with respect to the topology induced by the  $L^2$ -norm  $\|\bullet\|_h$  and the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets in  $X_b$ .*

In other words, for any compact subset  $K$  in  $X_b$ , any positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any holomorphic section  $\phi \in H^0(X_b, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h))$ , there exists  $\tilde{\phi} \in H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h))$  such that  $\|\tilde{\phi} - \phi\|_{h, X_b} < \varepsilon$  and  $|\tilde{\phi} - \phi|_K < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $\gamma := \lfloor c \rfloor + 2$ , where  $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$  denotes the integer part. Here, there exists a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying the appropriate conditions. For simplicity, we may assume that each  $h_j$  is defined on  $L|_{X_j}$  without loss of generality; that is, we may take the sequence  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  as  $c_j = j$ . By Proposition 4.1 and the exhaustive positivity of  $h$ , the restriction map

$$\rho_k : H^0(X_{c+k+1}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\gamma+k})) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{c+k}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\gamma+k}))$$

has dense image with the topology induced by the  $L^2$ -norm  $\|\bullet\|_{h_{\gamma+k}}$  and the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets in  $X_{c+k}$  for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, for any compact subset  $K$  in  $X_c$ , any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\phi \in H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_\gamma))$ , we can find a sequence  $\phi_k \in H^0(X_{c+k}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\gamma+k}))$  such that

$$|\phi_1 - \phi|_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k|_{\overline{X}_{c+k-1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

We define the section  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $K_X \otimes L$  on  $X$  by

$$\tilde{\phi} := \phi_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k) = \phi_\mu + \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} (\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k).$$

For each  $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , by the following inequality

$$|\tilde{\phi} - \phi_{\mu+1}|_{\overline{X}_{c+\mu}} \leq \sum_{k=\mu+1}^{\infty} |\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k|_{\overline{X}_{c+\mu}} < \sum_{k=\mu+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^\mu}$$

on  $\overline{X}_{c+\mu}$ , the holomorphic sequence  $\{\phi_{\mu+\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  converges to  $\tilde{\phi}$  uniformly on all compact subset of  $X_{c+\mu}$ . Hence, the section  $\tilde{\phi}$  is holomorphic on each  $X_{c+\mu}$ , and we obtain  $\tilde{\phi} \in H^0(X, K_X \otimes L)$ . Furthermore, we derive the density  $|\tilde{\phi} - \phi|_K < \varepsilon$  by setting  $\mu = 1$ .  $\square$

The following Corollary follows immediately from Theorems 3.1 and 1.4.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $(X, \Psi)$  be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension  $n$  and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization as in Theorem 2.1. If a holomorphic line bundle  $L \longrightarrow X$  is positive, then for any sublevel set  $\tilde{X}_c := \pi^{-1}(X_c) = \{x \in \tilde{X} \mid \pi^*\Psi(x) < c\}$ , the natural restriction map*

$$\rho_c : H^0(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L) \longrightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}_c, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L)$$

*has dense images with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets in  $\tilde{X}_c$ .*

**Remark-Theorem 4.3.** Concerning Theorem 1.4, if the sequence of singular Hermitian metrics on  $L$  obtained from its exhaustive singular-positivity becomes progressively more singular, then the space  $H^0(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I})$ , containing the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$ , has a dense image under the natural restriction map  $\rho_c$ .

*In other words, let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and  $L$  be an exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e. there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that each*

$h_j$  is defined on  $L|_{X_{c_j}}$ , is singular positive on  $X_{c_j}$ , and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(h_j) = \mathcal{J}$  on  $X_{c_j}$ . If  $h_j \preceq h_{j+1}|_{X_{c_j}}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for any sublevel set  $X_c$ , the natural restriction map

$$\rho_c : H^0(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{J})$$

has dense images with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets in  $X_c$ .

In particular, it is not necessary that  $\mathcal{I}(h_j) = \mathcal{J}$  on  $X_{c_j}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ; it suffices that there exists  $j_0$  with  $c_{j_0} > c$  such that  $\mathcal{I}(h_{j_0}) = \mathcal{J}$  on  $X_{c_{j_0}}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}(h_j) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  on  $X_{c_j}$  for all  $j > j_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma := \lfloor c \rfloor + 2$ , where  $\lfloor \bullet \rfloor$  denotes the integer part. For simplicity, we may assume that each  $h_j$  is defined on  $L|_{X_j}$  without loss of generality; that is, we may take the sequence  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  as  $c_j = j$ . By the assumption, for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a constant  $C_{j+1} > 0$  such that  $h_j \leq C_{j+1}h_{j+1}|_{X_j}$ . By Proposition 4.1 and the exhaustive positivity of  $h$ , the restriction map

$$\rho_k : H^0(X_{c+k+1}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\gamma+k})) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{c+k}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\gamma+k}))$$

has dense image with the topology induced by the  $L^2$ -norm  $\|\bullet\|_{h_{\gamma+k}}$  and the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets in  $X_{c+k}$  for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, for any compact subset  $K$  in  $X_c$ , any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\phi \in H^0(X_c, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_\gamma))$ , we can find a sequence  $\phi_k \in H^0(X_{c+k}, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\gamma+k}))$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1 - \phi|_K &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad |\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k|_{\overline{X}_{c+k-1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}, \\ \|\phi_1 - \phi\|_{h_\gamma, X_c} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k\|_{h_{\gamma+k}, X_{c+k}} < \left( \prod_{q=1}^k C_{\gamma+q} \right)^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}. \end{aligned}$$

We define the section  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $K_X \otimes L$  on  $X$  by

$$\tilde{\phi} := \phi_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k) = \phi_\mu + \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} (\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k).$$

For each  $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , by the following inequality

$$|\tilde{\phi} - \phi_{\mu+1}|_{\overline{X}_{c+\mu}} \leq \sum_{k=\mu+1}^{\infty} |\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k|_{\overline{X}_{c+\mu}} < \sum_{k=\mu+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^\mu}$$

on  $\overline{X}_{c+\mu}$ , the holomorphic sequence  $\{\phi_{\mu+\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  converges to  $\tilde{\phi}$  uniformly on all compact subset of  $X_{c+\mu}$ . Hence, the section  $\tilde{\phi}$  is holomorphic on each  $X_{c+\mu}$ , and we obtain  $\tilde{\phi} \in H^0(X, K_X \otimes L)$ . Furthermore, we derive the density  $|\tilde{\phi} - \phi|_K < \varepsilon$  by setting  $\mu = 1$ .



For each  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , the conditions obtained yield the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\phi} - \phi_\mu\|_{h_{\gamma+\mu}, X_{c+\mu}} &\leq \sum_{k=\mu}^{\infty} \|\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k\|_{h_{\gamma+\mu}, X_{c+\mu}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_{\mu+\ell+1} - \phi_{\mu+\ell}\|_{h_{\gamma+\mu}, X_{c+\mu}} \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} C_{\gamma+\mu+q} \right) \|\phi_{\mu+\ell+1} - \phi_{\mu+\ell}\|_{h_{\gamma+\mu+\ell}, X_{c+\mu}} \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{q=1}^{\ell} C_{\gamma+\mu+q} \right) \left( \prod_{q=1}^{\mu+\ell} C_{\gamma+q} \right)^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\mu+\ell}} \\
&= \left( \prod_{q=1}^{\mu} C_{\gamma+q} \right)^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\mu+\ell}} = \left( \prod_{q=1}^{\mu} C_{\gamma+q} \right)^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\mu-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the  $L^2$ -inequality

$$\left( \int_{X_{c+\mu}} |\tilde{\phi}|_{h_{\gamma+\mu}, \omega_X}^2 dV_{\omega_X} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{h_{\gamma+\mu}, X_{c+\mu}} < \|\phi_\mu\|_{h_{\gamma+\mu}, X_{c+\mu}} + \left( \prod_{q=1}^{\mu} C_{\gamma+q} \right)^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\mu-1}} < +\infty,$$

for some Hermitian metric  $\omega_X$  on  $X$ . Therefore, we have  $\tilde{\phi} \in K_{X,x} \otimes L_x \otimes \mathcal{I}_x$  for any point  $x$  in each sublevel set  $X_{c+\mu}$ , and thus  $\tilde{\phi} \in H^0(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I})$  is obtained.  $\square$

From this Remark-Theorem and Example 3.6, we propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.4.** Let  $X$  be weakly pseudoconvex manifold,  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal sheaf on  $X$ , and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle which is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e. the sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists and satisfies the appropriate conditions. If  $h_j \preceq h_{j+1}|_{X_{c_j}}$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , does there exist a singular Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$ , globally defined on  $X$ , which is singular positive on  $X$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{I}$  on  $X$ ?

If this conjecture is true, Remark-Theorem 4.3 reduces to [Wat24, Theorem 1.1].

## 5. POINTS SEPARATION AND EMBEDDING THEOREMS

In this section, let  $X$  be a non-compact weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension  $n$  and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$  be the  $\pi$ -exceptional divisor. In this setting, we present global points separation and global embedding theorems for  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$  in the case where  $L$  is positive.

**Theorem 5.1. (Global points separation)** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be  $r$  distinct points on an open subset  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ . If  $L$  is positive, then for any integer  $m \geq (n(n+2r-3))/2 + 2 - r$ , the space  $H^0(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m})$  separates  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^r$ , i.e. the following restriction map*

$$H^0(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} / \mathfrak{m}_{X, x_j}$$

*is surjective. In particular, if  $m \geq n(n-1)/2 + 1$  then the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}$  is generated by global sections on  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ .*

Here, if  $X$  is compact, then the condition on  $m$  can be taken as  $m \geq n(n+2r-1)/2 + 1$  (see [Wat24, Section 8]). For the proof of this theorem, we introduce the following.

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $L$  is positive, then for any positive integer  $m$ , there exist a sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\pi^*L$  such that  $\pi^*L^{\otimes m}$  becomes exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  by means of the sequence  $\{\tilde{h}_j^m\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where each  $\tilde{h}_j$  is defined on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j}}$ . That is, for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_j$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j}}$  can be constructed such that  $\tilde{h}_j$  is singular positive on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j}$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_j^m) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j}$ .*

The desired singular Hermitian metrics  $\tilde{h}_j$  can be defined in the same manner as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, for some  $\tau > 0$ , by taking a locally integrable function  $\psi_j : \tilde{X}_{c_j+\tau} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  and an appropriate constant  $\varepsilon_{c_j} > 0$  with  $\varepsilon_{c_j} < 2/\max_{x \in \tilde{X}_{c_j+\tau}} \nu(\psi_j, x)$ , and setting  $\tilde{h}_j := \tilde{h}_{\varepsilon_{c_j}/m} = \pi^*h \cdot \exp(-\frac{\varepsilon_{c_j}}{m}\psi_j)$  on  $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j}}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let  $m \geq (n(n+2r-3))/2 + 2 - r$  be an integer, and take the sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  and the sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  from the above Lemma corresponding to this  $m$ ; that is,  $\pi^*L^{\otimes m}$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  and  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_j^t) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\tilde{X}_{c_j}$  for any  $0 < t \leq m$ . Then there exists an integer  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subset \tilde{X}_{c_{j_0}}$ .

By applying [Wat24, Theorem 7.5] to  $(\pi^*L, \tilde{h}_{j_0})$ , the restriction map

$$H^0(\tilde{X}_{c_{j_0}}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L^{\otimes m}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}/\mathfrak{m}_{X, x_j}$$

is surjective. In fact, the following inequalities for the symbols are known:

$$I_{\Sigma}(\pi^*L, \tilde{h}_{j_0}, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^r) \leq m_0(\pi^*L, \tilde{h}_{j_0}, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^r) \leq \frac{n(n+2r-3)}{2} + 2 - r,$$

which are the symbols introduced in [Wat24, Section 7]. Since  $\pi^*L^{\otimes m}$  is exhaustively singular-positive with the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  by Theorem 3.1 or the above Lemma, the proof is completed by applying the Approximation Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3. (Global embedding)** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer with  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$ . If  $L$  is positive, then the open subset  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$  is holomorphically embeddable in to  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$  by a linear subsystem of  $|(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L^{\otimes m})^{\otimes n+1+\ell} \otimes \pi^*L^{\otimes p}|$  for any  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $p \geq 0$ .*

*Furthermore, the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L^{\otimes m}$  admits a singular Hermitian metric which is singular semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$ , and in particular, for any fixed relatively compact open subset of  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ , the metric can be constructed to be smooth on this subset.*

*Proof.* We take  $\mathcal{X}$  as an arbitrary open subset of  $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ . By Theorem 5.1, for every relatively compact open subset  $K$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $V_K \subset H^0(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L^{\otimes m})$  such that the Kodaira map  $\Phi_{V_K} : \tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_K)$  is holomorphic and one to one over  $K$ , where  $K \subset \tilde{X} \setminus \text{Bs}|_{V_K}|$ .

Let  $N := \dim V_K - 1$  and  $\mathcal{L} := K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L^{\otimes m}$ , and let  $h_{\mathcal{L}}$  be a smooth Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{L}$ . For any point  $x \in K$ , there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $\mathcal{H}_x$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1}$  over  $\tilde{X}$  such that the curvature current is semi-positive,  $x$  is isolated in  $V(\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{H}_x))$ , and that  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{H}_x)_x \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{X}, x}^2$  (see [Tak98, Lemma 5.1]). This metric  $\mathcal{H}_x$  can be constructed as follows. Let  $(w_0 : \dots : w_N)$  be a homogenous coordinate of  $\mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}(V_K)$  such that  $\Phi_{V_K}(x) = \{w_1 = \dots = w_N = 0\}$ . In other words,  $x$  is an isolated common zero of  $\{\Phi_{V_K}^* w_j\}_{j=1}^N$ . For a local coordinate  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  centered at  $x$ , the inequality

$\sum_{j=1}^N |\Phi_{V_K}^* w_j|_{h_{\mathcal{L}}}^2 \leq \mathcal{C}_x \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2$  holds, where  $\mathcal{C}_x > 0$  is a constant. Thus, the desired singular metric  $\mathcal{H}_x$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is obtained by

$$\mathcal{H}_x := \frac{h_{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes n+1}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\Phi_{V_K}^* w_j|_{h_{\mathcal{L}}}^2\right)^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\Phi_{V_K}^* w_j|^2\right)^{n+1}},$$

which is defined on  $\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Bs}_{|V_K|}$ , is singular semi-positive, and satisfies the desired properties (see Skoda's result [Dem12, Lemma 5.6 (b)]). Locally, for some open neighborhood  $U$  of each point in  $\text{Bs}_{|V_K|}$ , the weight function  $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_x} := (n+1) \log \sum_{j=1}^N |\Phi_{V_K}^* w_j|^2$  of  $\mathcal{H}_x$  is plurisubharmonic on  $U \setminus \text{Bs}_{|V_K|}$ , and it tends to  $-\infty$  as the point approaches  $\text{Bs}_{|V_K|}$ . Since  $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_x}$  is bounded above, it extends uniquely as a plurisubharmonic function. Hence, by defining the value of  $\mathcal{H}_x$  at  $\text{Bs}_{|V_K|}$  to be  $+\infty$ , the metric  $\mathcal{H}_x$  can be redefined as a singular Hermitian metric of  $\mathcal{L}$  over the whole  $\tilde{X}$ , and it is singular semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$ .

Let  $h_{V_K}$  be a natural singular Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by  $V_K$ , then this metric is singular semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$  and smooth on  $K$ . Fix  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $p \geq 0$ . Here, the singular Hermitian metric  $\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell}$  is singular semi-positive on  $\tilde{X}$ . From Theorem 3.4, similarly to Lemma 5.2, there exist a sequence of real numbers  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  diverging to  $+\infty$  and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defining  $\pi^* L$  to be exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  such that the sequence  $\{\tilde{h}_j^{p+1}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defines  $\pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}$  to be exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  and the sequence  $\{\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1} \otimes \tilde{h}_j^{p+1}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defines  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}$  to be exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})$ .

Here, there exists an integer  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \subset \tilde{X}_{c_{j_0}} \setminus E$ . For simplicity, let  $c := c_{j_0}$ . Let  $\tilde{h}_x := \mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1} \otimes \tilde{h}_{j_0}^{p+1}$ , then the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_x$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}|_{\tilde{X}_c}$  is singular positive and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_x) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$ . By Negativity Lemma 2.2, there exists a positive line bundle on  $\tilde{X}_{c_{j_0+1}}$ , hence  $\tilde{X}_{c_{j_0+1}}$  admits a Kähler metric. Applying the Nadel vanishing Theorem (see ) to  $(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}, \tilde{h}_x)$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$ , for any  $x \in K$  the following restriction map is surjective.

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0(\tilde{X}_c, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})) \\ &= H^0(\tilde{X}_c, (K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m})^{\otimes n+1+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_x)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X / \mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By [Tak98, Lemma 5.1] and the above extension to a natural singular Hermitian metric, for any distinct two points  $x$  and  $y$  on  $K$ , there exists a singular Hermitian metric  $\mathcal{H}_{x,y}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+1}$  such that the curvature current is semi-positive,  $\{x, y\} \subset V(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_{x,y}))$  and  $x$  is isolated in  $V(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_{x,y}))$ . By the same argument as above, from the proofs of Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 5.2, there exists a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics  $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\pi^* L$  such that the sequence  $\{\mathcal{H}_{x,y} \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1} \otimes \tilde{h}_j^{p+1}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defines  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}$  to be exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_{x,y} \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})$ . Let  $\tilde{h}_{x,y} := \mathcal{H}_{x,y} \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1} \otimes \tilde{h}_{j_0}^{p+1}$ , then the singular Hermitian metric  $\tilde{h}_{x,y}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}|_{\tilde{X}_c}$  is singular positive and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}_{x,y}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})$  on  $\tilde{X}_c$ . Similarly applying the Nadel vanishing Theorem (see ), there exists a holomorphic section  $\sigma \in H^0(\tilde{X}_c, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_{x,y} \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1}))$  that satisfies  $\sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p+1}$  is exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_x \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})$  and  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}_{x,y} \otimes h_{V_K}^{\ell-1})$ , by applying the Approximation Theorem 1.4, each section obtained on  $X_c$  can be obtained as a section on the entire  $X$ . Hence, every relatively open subset  $K$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  can be embedded into a projective space by some finite dimensional subspace

of  $H^0(\tilde{X}, (K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m})^{\otimes n+1+\ell} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes p})$ . Here,  $\mathcal{X}$  is countable at infinity. Then this theorem follows from well-known argument of Whitney (cf. [Hör90, Chapter V]).  $\square$

Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorems 5.1, 5.3, and [Wat24, Theorem 8.6]. Finally, we state the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 5.4.** Let  $X$  be a non-compact weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension  $n$ ,  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a canonical desingularization and  $L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. If  $L$  is positive, then

- (a) does there exist an integer  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$  such that the adjoint bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L^{\otimes m}$  of the pullback  $\pi^* L$  is semi-ample?
- (b) does there exist an integer  $m \geq n(n+1)/2$  such that the adjoint bundle  $\omega_X^{GR} \otimes L^{\otimes m}$  is ample? Furthermore, is the tensor power of the adjoint bundle  $(\omega_X^{GR} \otimes L^{\otimes m})^{\otimes (n+2)}$  very ample? In other words, does the linear system  $|(\omega_X^{GR} \otimes L^{\otimes m})^{\otimes (n+2)}|$  give a holomorphic embedding of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ ?

Here, the sheaf  $\omega_X^{GR}$  denotes the Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf on  $X$ , which satisfies  $\omega_X^{GR} = \pi_* \omega_{\tilde{X}}$ .

Regarding (a), since  $\pi^* L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is semi-positive and exhaustively singular-positive with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ , the strength of positivity on each sublevel  $\tilde{X}_c$  corresponds to something comparable to being nef and big. Hence, the base point free theorem on compact manifolds suggests that the statement should hold, and a non-compact extension of the theorem is required.

Regarding (b), on each sublevel  $X_c$ , the ampleness of  $L^{\otimes p_c}$  is known for sufficiently large integers  $p_c$  (see [Fuj75]). Since the adjoint bundle  $\omega_X^{GR} \otimes L^{\otimes m_c}$  becomes positive on  $X_c$  for a large integer  $m_c$ , a similar argument shows that  $(\omega_X^{GR} \otimes L^{\otimes m_c})^{\otimes q_c}$  is ample on  $X_c$  for sufficiently large integers  $q_c$ . This gives a partial confirmation of the statement. If these integers  $q_c$  can be bounded independently of  $c$ , and if an approximation theorem for holomorphic sections can be established in the same manner on  $X$  with singularities, then the expectation is likely to be settled.

## 6. UNION PROBLEM

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* To avoid confusion, we rewrite the set  $\Omega$  as  $X := \Omega$ . For the smooth exhaustive plurisubharmonic function  $\Psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$  that exists by weakly pseudoconvexity, set its sublevel sets as  $X_\nu := \{x \in X \mid \Psi(x) < \nu\}$ . Since  $X_\nu$  is relatively compact, the compact set  $\overline{X_\nu}$  can be covered by finitely many open sets from the covering  $\{\Omega_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . As the covering is increasing, by taking the largest index  $j_\nu$  among them, we obtain  $\overline{X_\nu} \subset \Omega_{j_\nu}$ .

Therefore, each sublevel set  $X_\nu$  is also Stein. In fact, using a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\psi_{j_\nu}$  on  $\Omega_{j_\nu}$ , the smooth function  $\tilde{\Psi}_\nu := \frac{1}{\nu - \Psi} + \psi_{j_\nu}$  on  $X_\nu$  is exhaustive and strictly plurisubharmonic. Hence, the sequence of Stein domains  $\{X_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also exhaustion of  $X = \Omega$ .

The anti-canonical line bundle  $K_X^*$  is exhaustively positive (see Example 2.10). In fact, for a smooth Hermitian metric  $h_K^*$  on  $K_X^*$ , there exists a positive number  $m_\nu > 0$  such that a smooth Hermitian metric  $h_K^* \cdot e^{-m_\nu \psi_{j_\nu}}$  on  $K_X^*|_{X_\nu}$  is positive on  $X_\nu$  by relative compactness of  $X_\nu$ . By Theorem 1.4 (or Proposition 4.1 or [Ohs82, Ohs83]), for any

$\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , the restriction map

$$\rho_\nu : H^0(X_{\nu+1}, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^0(X_\nu, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

has dense image with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on all compact subsets on  $X_\nu$ ; in other words, the pair  $(X_\nu, X_{\nu+1})$  is Runge. Therefore, by Stein's result, i.e. Theorem 1.5, the union set  $\Omega =: X = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} X_\nu$  is Stein.  $\square$

In the setting of this Theorem 1.6, the anti-canonical bundle  $K_X^*$  is exhaustively positive, and from the result of Markoe and Silve (see [Mar77, Sil78]) it follows that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . In view of this, we propose the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 6.1.** Let  $X$  be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and  $L \longrightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. If  $L$  is exhaustively singular-positive with an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $X$ , does the following cohomology vanish?

$$H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}) = 0,$$

for any  $q > 0$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up #24K22837 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

## REFERENCES

- [BM97] E. Bierstone and P.D. Milman, *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 2, 207–302.
- [BS39] H. Behnke and K. Stein, *Konvergente Folgen von Regularitätsbereichen und die Meromorphiekonvexität*, Math. Ann. **116** (1939), 204–216.
- [BT33] H. Behnke and P. Thullen, *Zur Theorie der Singularitäten der Funktionen mehrerer komplexen Veränderlichen*, Math. Ann. **108** (1933), 91–104.
- [Dem90] J.-P. Demailly, *Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles*, Proc. Conf. Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, April 2-6, 1990), edited by K. Hulek, T. Peternell, M. Schneider, F. Schreyer, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1507, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Dem12] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, vol. 1, International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [Dem-book] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>.
- [For76] J.E. Fornæss, *An Increasing Sequence of Stein Manifolds whose Limit is not Stein*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), 275–277.
- [For77] J.E. Fornæss, *2 dimensional counterexamples to generalizations of the Levi problem*, Math. Ann. **230** (1977), 169–173.
- [FN80] J.E. Fornæss and R. Narasimhan, *The Levi problem on complex spaces with singularities*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), 47–72.
- [Fuj75] A. Fujiki, *On the Blowing Down of Analytic Spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **10** (1974), no. 2, pp. 473–507.
- [Gra62] H. Grauert, *Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, Math. Ann. **146** (1962), 331–368.
- [GPR94] H. Grauert, T. Peternell and R. Remmert, editors, *Several Complex Variables VII, Sheaf-Theoretical Methods in Complex Analysis*, volume 74 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [GZ15] Q. Guan and X. Zhou, *A Proof of Demailly's Strong Openness Conjecture*, Annals of Mathematics, **182** (2015), pp. 605–616.
- [Hör90] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Third edition, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 7, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1990).



- [JM12] M. Jonsson and M. Mustařă, *Valuations and asymptotic invariants for sequences of ideals*, Annales de L'Institut Fourier, **62**(6):2145-2209, 2012.
- [Kaw24] Y. Kawamata, *Algebraic Varieties: Minimal Models and Finite Generation*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2024.
- [Kaz73] H. Kazama, *On pseudoconvexity of complex abelian Lie groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **25** (1973), 329-333.
- [Kod54] K. Kodaira, *On Kähler varieties of restricted type (an intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties)*, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), 28-48.
- [Mar77] A. Markoe, *Runge families and inductive limits of Stein spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **27** (1977), 117-128.
- [Ohs79] T. Ohsawa, *A counter example of ampleness of positive line bundles*, Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **55** (1979), 193-194.
- [Ohs82] T. Ohsawa, *Isomorphism Theorems for Cohomology Groups of Weakly 1-Complete Manifolds*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **18** (1982), 191-232.
- [Ohs83] T. Ohsawa, *Cohomology Vanishing Theorems on Weakly 1-Complete Manifolds*, Publ. RIMS. **19** (1983), 1181-1201.
- [Sil78] A. Silva, *Rungescher Satz and a condition of Steiness for the limit of an increasing union of Stein spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **28** (1978), 187-200.
- [Sko72] H. Skoda, *Sous-ensembles analytiques d'ordre fini ou infini dans  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **100** (1972), 353-408.
- [Ste56] K. Stein, *Überlagerungen holomorphvollständiger komplexer Räume*, Arch. Math. **7** (1956), 354-361.
- [Tak98] S. Takayama, *Adjoint linear series on weakly 1-complete Kähler manifolds I: global projective embedding*, Math. Ann. **311** (1998), no. 3, 501-531.
- [Wat25] Y. Watanabe, *Nakano-Nadel type, Bogomolov-Sommese type vanishing and singular dual Nakano semi-positivity*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **34**(2), 339-394 (2025).
- [Wat24] Y. Watanabe, *Bigness of adjoint linear subsystem and approximation theorems with ideal sheaves on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds*, arXiv:2412.02007v2.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, CHUO UNIVERSITY.  
 1-13-27 KASUGA, BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO 112-8551, JAPAN  
*Email address:* wyuta.math@gmail.com, wyuta@math.chuo-u.ac.jp