

A SIMPLE ALGEBRAIC PROOF OF THE NON-TRANSITIVITY OF THE BRAID GROUP ACTION ON FULL EXCEPTIONAL SEQUENCES

ATSUKI NAKAGO AND ATSUSHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. Recently, Chang–Haiden–Schroll shows that the braid group action on full exceptional collections in a triangulated category is not transitive but has infinitely many orbits in general. Their proof is based on a geometric model and the theory of branched coverings such as Birman–Hilden theory. This paper provides a simple algebraic proof of their theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Exceptional collections were first introduced by Gorodentsev–Rudakov [GR] in the study of coherent sheaves on the projective space \mathbb{P}^n . Building on their geometric constructions, Bondal [Bo] developed a more general abstract theory of exceptional objects and mutations for arbitrary triangulated categories. A *full exceptional collection* provides a semi-orthogonal decomposition of the triangulated category into simple building blocks, and hence plays a central role in the study of derived categories. In particular, the existence and classification of such collections have been fundamental in the development of homological mirror symmetry and representation theory. In singularity theory, distinguished bases of vanishing cycles play a central role. In particular, a fundamental subject of study is the derived category of the directed Fukaya category associated to a singularity, and a chosen distinguished basis of vanishing cycles gives rise to a full exceptional collection in this category (see [Se1, Se2]). Consequently, the essential importance of working with full exceptional collections has been recognized since the early days of singularity theory.

The braid group acts on the set of full exceptional collections via mutations, and Bondal–Polishchuk [BP] conjectured that this action, together with the action of the translations on objects, is transitive. This conjecture has been confirmed in several important cases, including hereditary algebras [CB, Ri], the projective plane [Ru], weighted projective lines [Me], all del Pezzo surfaces [KO], and the Hirzebruch surface of degree 2 [IOU]. However, recently Chang–Haiden–Schroll [CHS] showed that transitivity does not hold in general:

Theorem 1.1 ([CHS, Theorem 5.4]). *Let $\mu \geq 4$ and (Q, I) the quiver with relations given by*

$$Q : \underset{1}{\bullet} \xrightarrow[\beta_1]{\alpha_1} \underset{2}{\bullet} \xrightarrow[\beta_2]{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow[\beta_{\mu-1}]{\alpha_{\mu-1}} \underset{\mu}{\bullet}, \quad I = \langle \alpha_i \beta_{i+1}, \beta_i \alpha_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, \mu - 2 \rangle.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ be the path algebra of (Q, I) and $\mathcal{D}^b\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ the bounded derived category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ -modules. The $B_\mu \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ -action on the set of full exceptional collections in $\mathcal{D}^b\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ is not transitive but has infinitely many orbits.

Date: December 4, 2025.

They prove this theorem based on a geometric model and the theory of branched coverings such as Birman–Hilden theory. Our goal in this paper is to provide an algebraic proof of their theorem without using a geometric model.

Acknowledgements. A. T. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21H04994.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section prepares the terminology and some properties that will be needed later.

2.1. Full exceptional collections. Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated \mathbb{C} -linear category with the translation functor $[1]$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ the p -times composition of $[1]$ will be denoted by $[p]$. We assume that \mathcal{T} is of *finite type*, namely, we have

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y[p]) < \infty, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$$

and that \mathcal{T} is the derived category of an abelian \mathbb{C} -linear category. Let $\mathcal{D}^b\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C})$ be the bounded derived category of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -modules. Since \mathcal{T} has a canonical differential graded (dg) enhancement, we have the functor

$$\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, -) : \mathcal{T}^{op} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C}),$$

with the property that $H^p(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y[p])$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also have functorial mapping cones for all morphisms in \mathcal{T} .

Definition 2.1. Let the notations be as above.

- (i) An object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ is called *indecomposable* if E is nonzero and $E \cong X \oplus Y$ for some $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$ then X or Y is the zero object.
- (ii) An object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ is called *exceptional* if $\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{id}_E$ in $\mathcal{D}^b\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C})$. If an object is exceptional then it is indecomposable.
- (iii) An ordered collection $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_{\mu})$ of exceptional objects $E_1, \dots, E_{\mu} \in \mathcal{T}$ is called an *exceptional collection* if $\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_j) \cong 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^b\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C})$ if $i > j$.
- (iv) An exceptional collection \mathcal{E} is called *full* if the smallest full triangulated subcategory $\langle E_1, \dots, E_{\mu} \rangle$ of \mathcal{T} containing E_1, \dots, E_{μ} is equivalent to \mathcal{T} .
- (v) Two full exceptional collections $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_{\mu})$, $\mathcal{E}' = (E'_1, \dots, E'_{\mu})$ in \mathcal{T} are said to be *isomorphic* if $E_i \cong E'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \mu$. Denote by $\operatorname{FEC}(\mathcal{T})$ the set of isomorphism classes of full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T} .
- (vi) A full exceptional collection $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_{\mu})$ is called *strong* if $\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_j)$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}^b\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C})$ to a complex concentrated in degree zero, that is, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_j[p]) = 0$ for all $p \neq 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let the notations be as above.

- (i) An auto-equivalence Φ of \mathcal{T} is an exact functor $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ which is also an equivalence of \mathcal{T} . Denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ the group of isomorphism classes of auto-equivalences of \mathcal{T} .
- (ii) A *Serre functor* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is an auto-equivalence of \mathcal{T} with bi-functorial isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}(X))^*$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$, where $(-)^*$ denotes $\text{Hom}_{\text{mod}(\mathbb{C})}(-, \mathbb{C})$.

The notion of Serre functors is introduced by Bondal–Kapranov [BK, Section 3]. If a Serre functor exists, it is unique up to canonical isomorphism which commutes with the above bi-functorial isomorphisms. Therefore, it is usually referred to as *the* Serre functor of \mathcal{T} and is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Several properties of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are given there, among which the following are particularly used in this paper.

Proposition 2.3 ([BK, Section 3]). *Let the notations be as above. Assume that \mathcal{T} admits a full exceptional collection (E_1, \dots, E_{μ}) .*

- (i) *The Serre functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ exists.*
- (ii) *The Serre functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ commutes with any auto-equivalence of \mathcal{T} , namely, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}} \circ \Phi \cong \Phi \circ \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ for any $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$.*

□

In this paper, we consider as \mathcal{T} the bounded derived category of finite dimensional modules over a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra, so the first statement is a consequence of [Ha, Chapter 1, Section 4.6].

2.2. Group actions on the set of full exceptional collections. The Artin *braid group* B_{μ} on μ -strands is a group presented by the following generators and relations:

$$B_{\mu} := \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mu-1} \mid \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \mu - 2, \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1 \rangle.$$

Consider the semi-direct product $B_{\mu} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{\mu}$ of B_{μ} and the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^{μ} of rank μ where the homomorphism $B_{\mu} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mu})$ is the composition of a group homomorphism $B_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$ into the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{μ} and the natural action of \mathfrak{S}_{μ} on \mathbb{Z}^{μ} .

The group $B_{\mu} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{\mu}$ acts by mutations and translations on the set $\text{FEC}(\mathcal{T})$ of full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T} from the right [BP]:

$$\begin{aligned} (E_1, \dots, E_{\mu}) \cdot \sigma_i &:= (E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}, \mathcal{L}_{E_i} E_{i+1}, E_i, E_{i+2}, \dots, E_{\mu}), \\ (E_1, \dots, E_{\mu}) \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} &:= (E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}, E_{i+1}, \mathcal{R}_{E_{i+1}} E_i, E_{i+2}, \dots, E_{\mu}), \\ (E_1, \dots, E_{\mu}) \cdot \mathbf{n} &:= (E_1[n_1], \dots, E_{\mu}[n_{\mu}]), \quad \mathbf{n} := (n_1, \dots, n_{\mu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}_{E_i} E_{i+1}$, $\mathcal{R}_{E_{i+1}} E_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \mu - 1$ are defined by the following exact triangles

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{E_i} E_{i+1} &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_{i+1}) \otimes E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{E_i} E_{i+1}[1], \\ \mathcal{R}_{E_{i+1}} E_i[-1] &\rightarrow E_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_i, E_{i+1})^* \otimes E_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{E_{i+1}} E_i. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. We consider the right B_{μ} -action since it is natural to regard this B_{μ} as the fundamental group of a configuration space of μ points in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

On the other hand, the group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ of auto-equivalences on \mathcal{T} acts on $\text{FEC}(\mathcal{T})$ from the left by

$$\Phi \cdot \mathcal{E} := (\Phi(E_1), \dots, \Phi(E_\mu)), \quad \Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}), \quad \mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_\mu) \in \text{FEC}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Note that on $\text{FEC}(\mathcal{T})$ the left action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ and the right action of $B_\mu \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ commute, namely,

$$(\Phi \cdot \mathcal{E}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{n}) = \Phi \cdot (\mathcal{E} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{n})), \quad \Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}), \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{n}) \in B_\mu \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^\mu.$$

Of particular importance in this paper are the auto-equivalences given based on spherical objects.

Definition 2.5 ([SeTh, Definition 2.14]). An object $S \in \mathcal{T}$ is *m-spherical* if the following holds

- (i) $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(S, S) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[-m]$,
- (ii) For the Serre functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}(S) \cong S[m]$.

Proposition 2.6 ([SeTh, Theorem 2.10]). Let $S \in \mathcal{T}$ be an *m-spherical* object. There exists an auto-equivalence T_S on \mathcal{T} given by the following exact triangle

$$T_S(X)[-1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(S, X) \otimes S \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow T_S(X), \quad X \in \mathcal{T}.$$

□

2.3. Let μ be a positive integer and (Q, I) the quiver with relations given by

$$Q : \bullet_1 \xrightarrow[\beta_1]{\alpha_1} \bullet_2 \xrightarrow[\beta_2]{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow[\beta_{\mu-1}]{\alpha_{\mu-1}} \bullet_\mu, \quad I = \langle \alpha_i \beta_{i+1}, \beta_i \alpha_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, \mu-2 \rangle.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ be the path algebra of (Q, I) , which is a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra of global dimension $\mu-1$ (see [D, Section 4]). Denote by $\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ the abelian category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ -modules and by $\mathcal{D}^b\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ the bounded derived category of $\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$. In order to simplify the notation, set $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}^b\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$. The Serre functor on \mathcal{D} is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

For each $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, denote by $P(i)$ and $I(i)$ the indecomposable projective and injective $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ -modules at the vertex i in Q , respectively. The following well-known properties will be used later.

Proposition 2.7. Let the notations be as above.

- (i) For each $i, j = 1, \dots, \mu$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(i), P(j))$ has a basis consisting of all paths from the vertex j to the vertex i in Q .
- (ii) For all $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, the $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ -module $P(i)$ is an exceptional object in \mathcal{D} .
- (iii) The ordered collection $\mathcal{E}_P := (P(\mu), \dots, P(1))$ of exceptional objects is a full strong exceptional collection in \mathcal{D} .
- (iv) For all $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(i)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(i), \mathbb{C}Q/I)^* \cong I(i)$.

□

Proposition 2.8. Let the notations be as above. For each $i = 1, \dots, \mu-1$, an object $S_i \in \mathcal{D}$ defined by the following exact triangle

$$P(\mu-i+1) \xrightarrow{f_i} P(\mu-i) \longrightarrow S_i \longrightarrow P(\mu-i+1)[1], \quad f_i := \alpha_{\mu-i} + \beta_{\mu-i}, \quad (2.1)$$

is 1-spherical and we have

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_i, S_j) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[1] & j - i = 0, \\ \mathbb{C} & j - i = 1, \\ \mathbb{C}[-1] & j - i = -1, \\ 0 & |i - j| > 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. It follows from a direct calculation. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let the notations be as above. In $\mathrm{FEC}(\mathcal{D})$, we have*

$$T_{S_i}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{E}_P = \mathcal{E}_P \cdot \sigma_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, \mu - 1.$$

Proof. It suffices to check the case $i = 1$, since the case of general i is obtained by the same argument with $(P(\mu), P(\mu - 1))$ replaced by $(P(\mu - i + 1), P(\mu - i))$. Applying $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, P(k))$ to (2.1) for $i = 1$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_1, P(k)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[-1] & k = \mu, \mu - 1, \\ 0 & k \neq \mu, \mu - 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence $T_{S_1}(P(\mu)) \cong P(\mu - 1)$ and $T_{S_1}(P(\mu - 2)) \cong P(\mu - 2)$. Moreover, we have $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(T_{S_1}(P(\mu - 1)), \mathcal{R}_{P(\mu - 1)}P(\mu)) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Since all other terms in the full exceptional collection coincide, this implies $T_{S_1}(P(\mu - 1)) \cong \mathcal{R}_{P(\mu - 1)}P(\mu)$. Therefore

$$T_{S_1} \cdot \mathcal{E} = (P(\mu - 1), \mathcal{R}_{P(\mu - 1)}P(\mu), P(\mu - 2), \dots, P(1)) = \mathcal{E}_P \cdot \sigma_1^{-1}.$$

\square

Proposition 2.8 implies that we have the left B_μ -action on the set $\mathrm{FEC}(\mathcal{D})$ via the group homomorphism

$$B_\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}), \quad \sigma_i \mapsto T_{S_i}^{-1},$$

by Seidel-Thomas [SeTh, Theorem 2.17], and Proposition 2.9 gives an identification of this left B_μ -action with the right B_μ -action on $\mathrm{FEC}(\mathcal{D})$.

3. ALGEBRAIC PROOF OF THE NON-TRANSITIVITY

In this section, we give an algebraic proof of Theorem 1.1 which is proven by Chang–Haiden–Schroll [CHS, Theorem 5.4] by a geometric method. We will use the terminology prepared in Section 2.

3.1. The case $\mu = 4$. We first prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $\mu = 4$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S_+ and S_- be $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ -modules defined by*

$$S_+ = \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[0]{1} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[0]{1} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[0]{1} \mathbb{C}, \quad S_- = \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[1]{0} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[1]{0} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow[1]{0} \mathbb{C}.$$

These modules admit the following projective and injective resolutions:

$$P(4) \xrightarrow{\beta_3} P(3) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} P(2) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} P(1) \longrightarrow S_+, \quad S_+ \longrightarrow I(4) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_3} I(3) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_2} I(2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_1} I(1),$$

$$P(4) \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} P(3) \xrightarrow{\beta_2} P(2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P(1) \longrightarrow S_-, \quad S_- \longrightarrow I(4) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_3} I(3) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}_2} I(2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_1} I(1),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\beta}_i$ denote the homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)}(\alpha_i, \mathbb{C}Q/I)^*$, $\text{Hom}_{\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)}(\beta_i, \mathbb{C}Q/I)^*$.

Proof. For simplicity, we only illustrate the case of S_+ , together with the explicit forms of the maps $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $\tilde{\beta}_i$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P(4) : & 0 & \rightrightarrows & 0 & \rightrightarrows & 0 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow \beta_3 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ P(3) : & 0 & \rightrightarrows & 0 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ \downarrow \alpha_2 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ P(2) : & 0 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ \downarrow \beta_1 & \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ P(1) : & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow (1 \ 0) & & \downarrow (1 \ 0) & & \downarrow (1 \ 0) \\ S_+ : & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S_+ : & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow 1 \\ I(4) : & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_3 & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \\ I(3) : & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & 0 \\ \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_2 & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I(2) : & \mathbb{C}^2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & 0 & \rightrightarrows & 0 \\ \downarrow \tilde{\beta}_1 & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I(1) : & \mathbb{C} & \rightrightarrows & 0 & \rightrightarrows & 0 & \rightrightarrows & 0 \end{array}$$

The case of S_- is obtained by exchanging every α_i and β_i . □

Lemma 3.2. *The modules S_+ and S_- are 3-spherical. Moreover, we have*

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_+, P(i)) \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_-, P(i)) \cong \mathbb{C}[-3], \quad i = 1, \dots, 4,$$

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_+, S_i) \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_-, S_i) \cong \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(S_+, S_-) \cong 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 3,$$

where S_i 's are the 1-spherical objects from Proposition 2.8.

Proof. This follows from a direct calculation using Lemma 3.1 (see also [D, Proposition 4.1]). □

Hence, we obtain the auto-equivalences T_{S_+} and T_{S_-} , which commute with T_{S_i} for $i = 1, \dots, \mu-1$. It turns out also that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}[-1] = T_{S_+} \circ T_{S_-} = T_{S_-} \circ T_{S_+}$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, but, since we will not use this fact in this paper, we omit the proof.

In the abelian category $\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$, we have short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow P(i) \longrightarrow S_+ \oplus S_- \longrightarrow I(i) \longrightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, \quad (3.1)$$

which can be described in terms of quiver representations as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P(1) : & \mathbb{C} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 & P(2) : & 0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
S_+ \oplus S_- : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 & S_+ \oplus S_- : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
I(1) : & \mathbb{C} \rightrightarrows 0 \rightrightarrows 0 \rightrightarrows 0 & I(2) : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C} \rightrightarrows 0 \rightrightarrows 0 \\
\\
P(3) : & 0 \rightrightarrows 0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 & P(4) : & 0 \rightrightarrows 0 \rightrightarrows 0 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow & \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
S_+ \oplus S_- : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 & S_+ \oplus S_- : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
I(3) : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C} \rightrightarrows 0 & I(4) : & \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}
\end{array}$$

Suppose that \mathcal{E}_P and $T_{S_+}^k \cdot \mathcal{E}_P$ are in the same $B_4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^4$ -orbit for some non-zero integer k . Then, by Proposition 2.9, there would exist $\Phi \in \langle T_{S_1}, \dots, T_{S_4} \rangle$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\Phi \circ [n]) \cdot \mathcal{E}_P \cong T_{S_+}^k \cdot \mathcal{E}_P$. Namely, we have $(T_{S_+}^{-k} \circ \Phi \circ [n])(P(i)) \cong P(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Hence the auto-equivalence $T_{S_+}^{-k} \circ \Phi \circ [n]$ respects the standard t -structure of \mathcal{D} and we have $(T_{S_+}^{-k} \circ \Phi \circ [n])(\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)) = \text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$. Recall that the Serre functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ commutes with any auto-equivalence of \mathcal{D} and that $I(i) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(i))$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. However, we have

$$(T_{S_+}^{-k} \circ \Phi \circ [n])(S_+ \oplus S_-) \cong T_{S_+}^{-k}(\Phi(S_+[n] \oplus S_-[n])) \cong T_{S_+}^{-k}(S_+[n] \oplus S_-[n]) \cong S_+[n - 2k] \oplus S_-[n],$$

which contradicts (3.1) that $S_+, S_- \in \text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ since $k \neq 0$. In particular, it is concluded that $\text{FEC}(\mathcal{D})$ has an infinitely many $B_4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^4$ -orbits (at least, it has orbits indexed by $k \in \mathbb{Z}$).

3.2. The case $\mu \geq 5$. We next prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $\mu \geq 5$.

Consider the full strong exceptional collection $\mathcal{E}_P = (P(\mu), \dots, P(1))$ in \mathcal{D} and set $P_4 := P(4) \oplus \dots \oplus P(1)$. Since the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(P_4) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P_4, P_4)$ is isomorphic to the path algebra $\mathbb{C}Q/I$ with $\mu = 4$, the functor

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P_4, -) : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^b \text{mod}(\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}}(P_4)), \quad X \mapsto \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P_4, X),$$

gives an equivalence between the smallest full triangulated subcategory $\langle P(4), \dots, P(1) \rangle$ of \mathcal{D} containing $P(4), \dots, P(1)$ and the derived category $\mathcal{D}^b \text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ with $\mu = 4$. In particular, under this equivalence, “ $P(4), \dots, P(1)$ in \mathcal{D} ” and “ $P(4), \dots, P(1)$ in $\mathcal{D}^b \text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ with $\mu = 4$ ” correspond literally and can be identified. Therefore, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, through this identification a full exceptional collection $(T_{S_+}^k(P(4)), \dots, T_{S_+}^k(P(1)))$ in $\mathcal{D}^b \text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ with $\mu = 4$ can be completed to a full exceptional collection \mathcal{E}'_k in \mathcal{D} as

$$\mathcal{E}'_k := (P(\mu), \dots, P(5), T_{S_+}^k(P(4)), \dots, T_{S_+}^k(P(1))), \quad \mathcal{E}'_0 = \mathcal{E}_P,$$

where the same letters represent the corresponding objects in \mathcal{D} . For each $i = 1, \dots, 4$, we have the exact triangle

$$S_+[-2k-3] \longrightarrow T_{S_+}^k(P(i)) \longrightarrow T_{S_+}^{k+1}(P(i)) \longrightarrow S_+[-2k-2] \quad (3.2)$$

in \mathcal{D} by the definition of the functor T_{S_+} on $\mathcal{D}^b\text{mod}(\mathbb{C}Q/I)$ with $\mu = 4$, again through the above identification.

Lemma 3.3. *For $i = 1, \dots, 4$, $j = 5, \dots, \mu$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(j), T_{S_+}^k(P(i))) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[-2k].$$

Proof. If $k = 0$, then the statement is obvious. Lemma 3.1 yields that S_+ is isomorphic in \mathcal{D} to the complex $P(4) \xrightarrow{\beta_3} P(3) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} P(2) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} P(1)$ where the term $P(1)$ has degree zero. Therefore, it follows that $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(j), S_+) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[3]$. Applying $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P(j), -)$ to the exact triangle (3.2), we obtain the statement inductively. \square

Hence we see that \mathcal{E}'_k is *not* strong if $k \neq 0$. Moreover, \mathcal{E}'_k cannot be transferred to a full strong exceptional collection by the action of \mathbb{Z}^μ . On the other hand, \mathcal{E}_P is strong, and the action of B_μ respects the property of being strong by Proposition 2.9. Consequently, if $k \neq 0$ then \mathcal{E}'_k cannot be reachable from \mathcal{E}_P under the $B_\mu \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ -action. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [Bo] A. I. Bondal, *Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves*, Math. USSR-Izv. **34** (1990), 23–42.
- [BK] A. I. Bondal and M. M. Kapranov, *Representable functors, Serre functors, and reconstructions*, Math. USSR-Izv. **35** (1990), 519–541.
- [BP] A. I. Bondal and A. E. Polishchuk, *Homological properties of associative algebras: the method of helices*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **42** (1994), 219–260.
- [CHS] W. Chang, F. Haiden, and S. Schroll, *Braid group actions on branched coverings and full exceptional sequences*, Adv. Math. **472** (2025), Paper No. 110284, 24 pp.
- [CB] W. Crawley-Boevey, *Exceptional sequences of representations of quivers*, Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Representations of Algebras (Ottawa, ON, 1992), Carleton-Ottawa Math. Lecture Note Ser. **14**, Carleton Univ., Ottawa, ON, 1992, 7 pp.
- [D] A. Dugas, *Some algebras that are not silting connected*, J. Algebra **567** (2021), 371–385.
- [GR] A. L. Gorodentsev and A. N. Rudakov, *Exceptional vector bundles on projective spaces*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 115–130.
- [Ha] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1988.
- [IOU] A. Ishii, S. Okawa and H. Uehara, *Exceptional collections on Σ_2* , arXiv:2107.03051.
- [KO] S. A. Kuleshov, D. O. Orlov, *Exceptional sheaves on Del Pezzo surfaces*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **44** (1995), 479–513.
- [Me] H. Meltzer, *Exceptional sequences for canonical algebras*, Arch. Math. **64** (1995), 304–312.
- [Ri] C. M. Ringel, *The braid group action on the set of exceptional sequences of a hereditary Artin algebra*, Abelian group theory and related topics (Oberwolfach, 1993), Contemp. Math. **171**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, 339–352.
- [Ru] A. N. Rudakov, *Markov numbers and exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2* , Math. USSR-Izv. **32** (1989), 99–112.

- [Se1] P. Seidel, *More about vanishing cycles and mutation*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001, 429–465.
- [Se2] P. Seidel, *Fukaya categories and Picard–Lefschetz theory*, Zur. Lect. Adv. Math., Eur. Math. Soc. (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [SeTh] P. Seidel, R. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Duke Math. J. **108** (2001), 37–108.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA OSAKA,
560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: `u400778f@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA OSAKA,
560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: `takahashi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`