

ENDOMORPHISMS OF RANK ONE GORENSTEIN DEL PEZZO SURFACES

ROHAN JOSHI

ABSTRACT. We prove that, in all except one case, a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface of Picard rank 1 admits an int-amplified endomorphism if and only if it is a quotient of a toric variety by a finite group which acts freely in codimension one and preserves the open torus. We classify all such quotients.

1. INTRODUCTION

A surjective endomorphism of a projective algebraic variety $f: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *int-amplified* if there exists an ample Cartier divisor A on X such that $f^*A - A$ is ample. Equivalently, f is int-amplified if all eigenvalues of the operator $f^*: N^1(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow N^1(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ have magnitude greater than 1 [Men20, Theorem 1.1], where $N^1(X)$ is the group of divisors on X up to numerical equivalence. Roughly speaking, an endomorphism is int-amplified if it expands the algebraic variety “in all directions.”

Whether a given variety admits any int-amplified endomorphism is an interesting question of algebraic dynamics and seems to be a rare property. Abelian varieties admit int-amplified endomorphisms (e.g. the multiplication-by- m endomorphism) and normal toric varieties admit int-amplified endomorphisms (e.g. the m -th power Frobenius morphism). Meng and Zhong conjecture [MZ23, Question 1.2] that a smooth projective rationally connected complex variety admits an int-amplified endomorphism if and only if it is a toric variety (see also [Fak03, Question 4.4]). This has been proved for smooth surfaces [Nak02, Theorem 3] and smooth Fano threefolds [MZZ22, Theorem 1.4], [Tot24, Theorem 6.1].

When we consider singular Fano varieties, we find examples that admit int-amplified endomorphisms which are not toric, but are finite quotients of toric varieties.

Example 1.1. Consider the two-dimensional representation of the quaternion group given by

$$i \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad j \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = ij \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Extending this to a projective representation in $\mathrm{PGL}(3)$, we can consider it as an action of Q_8 on \mathbb{P}^2 . Let X be the quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 by this action. Then the image of $[0 : 0 : 1]$ is a D_4 singularity: D_4 singularities are not toric so X cannot be a toric variety. Nevertheless we can easily see that the “Frobenius endomorphism” $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x^5 : y^5 : z^5]$ of \mathbb{P}^2 commutes with the action of Q_8 and thus descends to an int-amplified endomorphism of X .

In view of such examples, it is a folklore conjecture that a klt Fano variety admits an int-amplified endomorphism if and only if it is a finite quotient of a toric variety. Nakayama proved [Nak20, Lemma 2.6] that a quotient of a toric surface by a finite group which acts freely in codimension one and preserves the open torus inherits an int-amplified endomorphism from the toric variety. Nakayama also proved that for a klt del Pezzo surface X of Picard rank > 1 , X admits an int-amplified endomorphism iff X is a quotient of a toric variety by a finite group acting freely in codimension 1 such that the action preserves the open torus [Nak21, Theorem 1.3].

In this article, I study the case of Picard rank 1 and consider del Pezzo surfaces with Gorenstein singularities. Note that an endomorphism of a projective variety of Picard rank 1 is int-amplified if and only if it has degree greater than one, since in this case $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $f^*: N^1(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow N^1(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$

is just multiplication by $(\deg f)^{\dim X}$. Define $S'(E_8)$ as the weighted sextic surface $\{X^5Y + X^4Z + Z^3 + W^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ [GPZ02]: it is a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface of Picard rank 1. Then the main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface of Picard rank 1 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero which is not isomorphic to the surface $S'(E_8)$. Then X admits an int-amplified endomorphism (i.e. an endomorphism of degree > 1) if and only if X is a quotient of a toric variety by a finite group acting freely in codimension one that preserves the open torus.*

We give an overview of rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces below and their classification by singularity type. To prove Theorem 1.2, we first identify which rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces are quotients of toric surfaces. The “easy direction” (that is, the statement that if X is such a quotient of a toric variety, it admits an int-amplified endomorphism) follows from [Nak20, Lemma 2.6]. For the rest, following Kawakami and Totaro [KT25], we use the tool of Bott vanishing, combined with lifting to universal covers, to deduce that they do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms. To find failures of Bott vanishing, we use a version of the Riemann-Roch formula for normal surfaces. This formula is automated in a Python program which is in the accompanying code repository [Jos25].

We work in characteristic zero, but everything in this paper should hold over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, as long as we everywhere replace “int-amplified endomorphism” with “int-amplified endomorphism of degree invertible in the base field”. The classification of rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces is the same over algebraically closed fields of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ [Lac24, Theorem B.6], and all the endomorphisms described in this paper have degree coprime to 2 and 3.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Burt Totaro for many helpful conversations. The author is supported by NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Grant No. DGE-2034835.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A (log) del Pezzo surface is a projective klt surface X such that $-K_X$ is ample. A del Pezzo surface X is Gorenstein if $-K_X$ is Cartier. A del Pezzo surface is Gorenstein if and only if it has ADE (a.k.a Du Val) singularities, if and only if it has canonical singularities. The degree of a normal surface X is the intersection number $(-K_X)^2$. The degree of a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface d satisfies $1 \leq d \leq 9$.

Theorem 2.1. [Lac24, Theorem B.6] *Let X be a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface of Picard rank 1. Then X is either isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 or has one of the singularity types listed below. The surface X is determined up to isomorphism by its singularity type, except in the cases E_8 , $A_1 + E_7$ and $A_2 + E_6$, which have two isomorphism classes each, and the case $2D_4$, which has infinitely many isomorphism classes.*

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| A_1 | $A_1 + A_2$ | A_4 | $2A_1 + A_3$ | D_5 | $A_1 + A_5$ |
| $3A_2$ | E_6 | $3A_1 + D_4$ | A_7 | $A_1 + D_6$ | E_7 |
| $A_1 + 2A_3$ | $A_2 + A_5$ | D_8 | $2A_1 + D_6$ | E_8 | $A_1 + E_7$ |
| $A_1 + A_7$ | $2A_4$ | A_8 | $A_1 + A_2 + A_5$ | $A_2 + E_6$ | $A_3 + D_5$ |
| $4A_2$ | $2A_1 + 2A_3$ | $2D_4$ | | | |

Here singularity type $2A_1 + A_3$, for example, means that the surface has three singular points: two A_1 singularities and one A_3 singularity.

There are 28 families of Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of rank 1: 24 consisting of a single isomorphism class, 3 consisting of two isomorphism classes, and one one-parameter family. For all families consisting of a single isomorphism class, we denote a representative of that isomorphism class by $S(A_1)$, etc. For families with two isomorphism classes (i.e. the singularity types E_8 , $A_1 + E_7$, and $A_2 + E_6$), we denote representatives of the two isomorphism classes of surfaces with that singularity type by $S(E_8)$ and $S'(E_8)$, etc. In these cases, to distinguish them, S denotes the

“special element” and S' a “general element” of the family. For example, we can define $S(E_8) := \{X^5Y + Z^3 + W^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ and $S'(E_8) := \{X^5Y + X^4Z + Z^3 + W^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$, and the family is given by $\{X^5Y + cX^4Z + Z^3 + W^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ [GPZ02] (see also [CP21] for other explicit equations for Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces). Finally, we denote the surfaces in the one one-parameter family by $S_t(2D_4)$.

The minimal resolution of a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface is a *smooth weak del Pezzo surface* (that is, a smooth surface with $-K_X$ nef and big). A smooth weak del Pezzo surface is either a blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at most eight times, or is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$. If X is a smooth weak del Pezzo surface and $C \subset X$ is a curve, then since $-K_X \cdot C \geq 0$, the adjunction formula implies the self-intersection of C is at least -2 . A (-1) - (resp. (-2) -) curve on a surface is a smooth rational curve C with self-intersection -1 (resp. -2). Martin and Stadlmayr [MS24] classifies (smooth) weak del Pezzo surfaces that have a global vector field, and for each draws the arrangement of (-1) - and (-2) -curves. Miyanishi and Zhang [MZ88] draws the arrangement of (-1) - and (-2) -curves on the minimal resolutions of all rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. Going in the other direction, every Gorenstein del Pezzo surface can be obtained as the anticanonical model of a smooth weak del Pezzo surface, by contracting all (-2) -curves on it. Thus there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of smooth weak del Pezzo surfaces and Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces.

The most important tool we will use in the study of int-amplified endomorphisms of Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces is Bott vanishing.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a normal projective variety. X is said to satisfy *Bott vanishing* (for Weil divisors) if

$$H^i(X, \Omega_X^{[j]}(D)) = 0$$

for all ample Weil divisors D , $i > 0$, $j \geq 0$. A Weil divisor D is ample if some positive multiple mD is an ample Cartier divisor. By $\Omega_X^{[j]}(D)$ we mean the reflexive sheaf $(\Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}(D))^{**}$. If the vanishing only holds for all D an ample Cartier divisor, we say X satisfies *Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors*.

Theorem 2.3. [KT25, Theorem C] *Let X be a normal projective variety over a perfect field k . If X admits an int-amplified endomorphism of degree invertible in k , then X satisfies Bott vanishing.*

Baker in his Ph.D. thesis [Bak21] studied Bott vanishing for Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces using the Leray spectral sequence and classified those that satisfy Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors.

Theorem 2.4. [Bak21, p.14] *Let X be a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface and \tilde{X} its minimal resolution. Let n be the number of (-2) -curves on \tilde{X} . Then X satisfies Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors if and only if*

$$h^0(\tilde{X}, T_{\tilde{X}}) = 10 - n - 2\rho(X).$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surface. Then X satisfies Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors iff it is not one of the following surfaces: $S(E_8)$, $S(A_1 + E_7)$, $S(A_2 + E_6)$, or $S_t(2D_4)$.*

Proof. See [Bak21, Proposition 2.7.2] □

There are finitely many families of Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces: a complete classification can be found in [Dol12]. Baker’s thesis contains tables which indicate which families satisfy/fail Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors. On page 14 of [Bak21], there are two tables: the first table describes Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of degree > 1 whose minimal resolution has a global vector field (these weak del Pezzo surfaces are classified in [MS24]), and the second describes those whose minimal resolution does not have a global vector field. The second table has some gaps which we correct here. We have:

| Degree | Satisfies Cartier BV | Fails Cartier BV |
|--------|---|---|
| 5 | \emptyset | |
| 4 | $2A_1, A_2$ | A_1, \emptyset |
| 3 | $D_4, A_4, A_3 + A_1, A_2 + 2A_1, 4A_1$ | $A_3, A_2 + A_1, 3A_1, A_2, 2A_1, A_1, \emptyset$ |
| 2 | $E_6, D_6, D_5 + A_1, D_4 + 2A_1, A_6, A_5 + A_1, A_4 + A_2, 2A_3, A_3 + A_2 + A_1, A_3 + 3A_1, 3A_2, 6A_1$ | $D_5, D_4 + A_1, A_5, A_3 + A_2, 2A_2 + A_1, A_4 + A_1, A_3 + 2A_1, A_2 + 3A_1, 5A_1, D_4, 2A_2, A_4, A_3 + A_1, A_2 + 2A_1, 4A_1, A_3, A_2 + A_1, 3A_1, A_2, 2A_1, A_1, \emptyset$ |

TABLE 1. Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces X with $(-K_X)^2 > 1$ and $h^0(\tilde{X}, T_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$

However, failure of Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors is a weaker tool than Bott vanishing for Weil divisors for the purpose of studying endomorphisms. In the case of rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces, three of the 30 rigid Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces fail Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors (in addition to all surfaces $S_t(D_4)$), but at least fourteen fail Bott vanishing (for Weil divisors).

3. QUOTIENTS OF TORIC SURFACES

Proposition 3.1. *The five surfaces \mathbb{P}^2 , $S(A_1)$, $S(A_1 + A_2)$, $S(2A_1 + A_3)$ and $S(3A_2)$ are toric, and thus admit int-amplified endomorphisms.*

Proof. \mathbb{P}^2 is of course toric, and $S(A_1)$ is the projective closure of the quadric cone, which is a toric variety: it can also be described as the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. $S(A_1 + A_2)$ can be described as the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$. It is easy to see these three surfaces are precisely the weighted projective planes with at worst canonical singularities. $S(2A_1 + A_3)$ and $S(3A_2)$ are given respectively by the following complete fans.



□

Remark 3.2. We can also describe $S(2A_1 + A_3)$ as a quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 . Consider the two dimensional linear representation of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ where the generator acts by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we can extend this to a projective representation in $\mathrm{PGL}(3)$ and consider it as an action of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Define X as the quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 by this action. The image of the origin $[0 : 0 : 1]$ in X is an A_3 singularity. The square of the generator (but not the generator) acts as a quasi-reflection locally around the two points $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1 : 0]$, so the images of $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1 : 0]$ are A_1 singularities. There are no other singular points on X , so $X \cong S(2A_1 + A_3)$.

Remark 3.3. Similarly, we can describe $S(3A_2)$ as a quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 . Consider the representation of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ where the generator acts by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Extend this to an action of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathbb{P}^2 (so the generator sends $[x : y : z] \mapsto [\omega x : \omega^2 y : z]$) and let X be the quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 by this action. We can see that the images of $[0 : 0 : 1]$, $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1 : 0]$ are A_2 singularities and there are no other singular points. So $X \cong S(3A_2)$.

These five are the only toric rank one Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces, by the classification of toric Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces [KN09].

Proposition 3.4. *The five surfaces $S(3A_1 + D_4)$, $S(A_1 + 2A_3)$, $S(A_1 + A_2 + A_5)$, $S(4A_2)$ and $S(2A_1 + 2A_3)$ are quotients of toric surfaces by a finite group that acts freely in codimension one and preserves the open torus.*

Proof. We describe each of these surfaces as a quotient of a toric variety, and find an int-amplified endomorphism of the toric variety that descends to the quotient (we can also apply [Nak20, Lemma 2.6] to show that the quotients admit int-amplified endomorphisms).

- $S(3A_1 + D_4)$ is the surface constructed in Example 1.1.
- $S(A_1 + 2A_3)$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by $\mathbb{Z}/4$, where the action is generated by $([x : y], [u : v]) \mapsto ([u : v], [x : -y])$. The morphism raising each coordinate in each \mathbb{P}^1 to the fifth power descends to the quotient.
- $S(A_1 + A_2 + A_5)$ can be described as the quotient of the action $\mathbb{Z}/6$ on the sextic del Pezzo surface. Let X be the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at the three toric points $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$. Then the Cremona automorphism and the order 3 automorphism given in coordinates by $[x : y : z] \mapsto [y : z : x]$ (lifted from \mathbb{P}^2) generate a cyclic group of automorphisms of X . The quotient of X by this automorphism is $S(A_1 + A_2 + A_5)$, and all m -th power endomorphisms of X commute with the automorphisms and descend to the quotient.
- $S(4A_2)$ is \mathbb{P}^2 mod the action of $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ where the two generators act on \mathbb{P}^2 by $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x : \omega y : \omega^2 z]$ and $[x : y : z] \mapsto [y : z : x]$, respectively. The seventh power Frobenius morphism descends to the quotient.
- $S(2A_1 + 2A_3)$ is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ modulo the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$, where the generators act by $([x, y], [u : v]) \mapsto ([x : -y], [u : -v])$ and $([x : y], [u : v]) \mapsto ([u : v], [y : -x])$. The fifth power morphism descends to the quotient.

In all of these examples the group action preserves the open torus. It is routine to check that the quotients have the stated singularity type. \square

4. LIFTING ENDOMORPHISMS

Definition 4.1. Let Y be a normal variety such that the fundamental group of $Y^0 = Y - \text{Sing } Y$ is finite. Define X to be the normalization of Y in the function field of the universal cover of Y^0 . Then there is finite morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ which is étale over Y^0 . We call X the *quasi-universal cover* of Y .

The fundamental group of the smooth locus of a complex Fano variety is finite [Bra21], so it has a quasi-universal cover. Miyanishi and Zhang [MZ88, Lemma 4] prove that the quasi-universal cover of a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface is also a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface.

Proposition 4.2. *Let Y be a normal surface and X its quasi-universal cover. Then any int-amplified endomorphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ lifts to an int-amplified endomorphism of X .*

Proof. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be the covering map and set $V = (f \circ \phi)^{-1}(Y^0) \subset X$. Since f has finite fibers, V is $\phi^{-1}(Y^0)$ minus a finite set of points. Since $\phi^{-1}(Y^0)$ is smooth and simply-connected, V is also simply-connected. Thus there exists a lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \\ & \nearrow \phi|_V & \downarrow \phi \\ V & \xrightarrow{\phi|_V} Y & \xrightarrow{f} Y \end{array}$$

We can think of this as a rational map $\bar{f} : X \dashrightarrow X$ which lifts f . I claim \bar{f} is defined on all of X . Assume for the sake of contradiction that the indeterminacy locus S of f is a nonempty set of points. Then we can blow up X finitely many times to obtain a variety B , with $p : B \rightarrow X$ the blowup morphism, such that \bar{f} extends to a morphism $\tilde{f} : B \rightarrow X$. If C is an exceptional curve in the fiber of some $x \in S$, then $\tilde{f}(C)$ must be a curve (otherwise \bar{f} would be determined at x). But since \bar{f} is a lift of f ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & X \\ \downarrow \phi \circ p & & \downarrow \phi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. The ϕ does not contract any curves, so $\phi(\tilde{f}(C))$ is a curve, but this is impossible since $p(C) = x$ which is a point.

Thus $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow X$ is a morphism which lifts f . To show \bar{f} is int amplified, let D be an ample divisor on Y such that $f^*D - D$ is also ample (such a divisor D exists since f is int-amplified). Since ϕ is a finite morphism, ϕ^*D and $\phi^*(f^*D - D) = \phi^*f^*D - \phi^*D = \bar{f}^*\phi^*D - \phi^*D$ are ample. Thus \bar{f} is int-amplified. \square

We can use the lifting lemma to show some rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms.

Proposition 4.3. *The surfaces $S(2A_4)$, $S(D_8)$, $S(A_1 + A_7)$, $S(A_8)$, $S(A_2 + E_6)$, $S'(A_2 + E_6)$, $S(A_1 + E_7)$, and $S'(A_1 + E_7)$ do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms.*

Proof. [MZ88] describes the singularity types and Picard rank of the quasi-universal covers of rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces. Assume for the sake of contradiction that the surface $S(2A_4)$ admits an int-amplified endomorphism. Then by 4.2 it would lift to an int-amplified endomorphism of the quasi-universal cover of $S(2A_4)$, which is the smooth quintic del Pezzo surface. By [Tot24, Proposition 6.4] the smooth quintic del Pezzo surface does not admit an int-amplified endomorphism, yielding a contradiction: so $S(2A_4)$ cannot admit an int-amplified endomorphism.

The quasi-universal cover of $S(D_8)$ is a rank 3 Gorenstein del Pezzo with singularity type D_5 . The quasi-universal cover of $S(A_1 + A_7)$ is a rank 5 Gorenstein del Pezzo with singularity type A_1 . The quasi-universal cover of $S(A_8)$ is a rank 5 Gorenstein del Pezzo of singularity type A_2 . All these universal covers fail Bott vanishing for a Cartier divisor by 2.4 since for each we have $10 - n - 2\rho(X) < 0$. Since their universal covers do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms, $S(D_8)$, $S(A_1 + A_7)$ and $S(A_8)$ do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms.

The quasi-universal covers of $S(A_2 + E_6)$ and $S'(A_2 + E_6)$ are Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of rank 3 with a single D_4 singularity. The quasi-universal covers of $S(A_1 + E_7)$ and $S'(A_1 + E_7)$ are Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of rank 2 with a single E_6 singularity. Let Y be one of the four surfaces $S(A_2 + E_6)$, $S'(A_2 + E_6)$, $S(A_1 + E_7)$ or $S'(A_1 + E_7)$ and let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be the quasi-universal cover. Since D_4 and E_6 singularities are not toric, X is not a toric variety. Furthermore, we know $f^{-1}(Y^0)$ is simply-connected and is X^0 minus a finite set of points: since X^0 is smooth, X^0 is also simply-connected. Thus X cannot be a quotient of a toric variety by a finite group acting freely in codimension one. However, by [Nak21, Theorem 1.3], if a klt del Pezzo surface of Picard rank > 1 admits a endomorphism of degree > 1 , it is a quotient of a toric variety by a finite group acting freely in codimension one. If Y admitted an int-amplified endomorphism, it would lift to endomorphism of X of degree > 1 , which is impossible. Thus the surfaces $S(A_2 + E_6)$, $S'(A_2 + E_6)$, $S(A_1 + E_7)$ and $S'(A_1 + E_7)$ do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms. \square

5. RIEMANN-ROCH FOR NORMAL SURFACES

The Riemann-Roch formula for normal surfaces generalizes the classical Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for vector bundles on a smooth projective surface and computes the Euler characteristic of a reflexive sheaf on a surface in terms of intersection theory and local invariants of singularities. Let us give an overview of this theory, which is developed in works of Blache [Bla95] and Langer [Lan00], [Lan23].

Let X be a normal projective variety. A coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on X is said to be *reflexive* if the natural map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{**}$ is an isomorphism. The double-dual of a coherent sheaf is a reflexive sheaf called its *reflexive hull*. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism and \mathcal{E} a reflexive sheaf on X , we denote $f_{[*]}\mathcal{E} := (f_*\mathcal{E})^{**}$.

We also denote $\Omega_X^{[p]} := (\Omega_X^p)^{**}$, the sheaf of reflexive p -forms on X , and $\Omega_X^{[p]}(D) := (\Omega_X^p \otimes \mathcal{O}(D))^{**}$.

Given a Weil divisor D on X , $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is a reflexive sheaf of rank one on X . In the other direction, the vanishing locus of a nonzero rational section of a reflexive sheaf of rank one is a Weil divisor. Thus $D \mapsto \mathcal{O}(D)$, $\mathcal{E} \mapsto c_1(\mathcal{E})$ define a bijection between isomorphism classes of reflexive rank one sheaves and Weil divisor classes. Define the first Chern class of a reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank r by $c_1(\mathcal{E}) := c_1((\wedge^r \mathcal{E})^{**})$.

Now let us require X to be a surface. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities. Then given any divisor D on X , it has a numerical lift π^*D to \tilde{X} , where we can write $\pi^*D = \bar{D} + D^s$ where \bar{D} is the strict transform of D and the support of D^s is contained in the exceptional locus of π . Let x be a singular point of X . Given a vector bundle \mathcal{F} on \tilde{X} , there is a local Chern class $c_1(x, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$, supported in $\pi^{-1}(x)$, which satisfies the formula

$$c_1(\mathcal{F}) = \pi^*c_1(\pi_{[*]}\mathcal{F}) + \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Sing} X} c_1(x, \mathcal{F}).$$

We use $c_1(x, D)$ as a shorthand for $c_1(x, \mathcal{O}(D))$. If $D = \pi_*\tilde{D}$, we have

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{D})) = \pi^*c_1(\mathcal{O}(D)) + \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Sing} X} c_1(x, \tilde{D}).$$

There is also a local second Chern class $c_2(x, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{CH}^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, which satisfies many standard formulas for Chern classes, for example

$$c_2(x, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = c_2(x, \mathcal{F}) + (r-1)c_1(x, \mathcal{F}) \cdot c_1(x, \mathcal{L}) + \frac{r(r-1)}{2}c_1(x, \mathcal{L})^2$$

for \mathcal{L} a line bundle [Lan00, Proposition 2.4(5)]. We use the local second Chern class to define the (global) second Chern class as follows. Suppose \mathcal{E} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Letting \mathcal{F} be any vector bundle on \tilde{X} such that $f_{[*]}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{E}$, we set

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) := \pi_*c_2(\mathcal{F}) - \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Sing} X} c_2(x, \mathcal{F})[x].$$

Finally, there is a local invariant $a(x, \mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{R}$ which serves as the correction term for the Riemann-Roch formula. Let us assume that the singularity at x is a quotient singularity. Then we have

$$a(x, \mathcal{O}(D)) = \frac{1}{2}\{c_1(x, D)\} \cdot (\lfloor \pi^*D \rfloor - K_{\tilde{X}})$$

where $\{ \}$ is the fractional part [Bla95, Theorem 1.2(b)]. There are other formulas we can use when X is an ADE singularity [Lan00, §6].

We also have [Lan00, Proposition 5.8],

$$a(x, (\Omega_X^{[1]} \otimes \mathcal{E})^{**}) = a(x, \mathcal{E}) + a(x, (\mathcal{E} \otimes \omega_X)^{**}) + \frac{\mathrm{rk} \mathcal{E}}{|G|} - k$$

where k is the number of \mathcal{O}_X s in a direct sum decomposition of \mathcal{E} into indecomposable sheaves and G is the local fundamental group of the singularity at x , i.e. locally (X, x) is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2/G with G acting freely in codimension 1 (for example if there is an A_n singularity at x , $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}$).

Theorem 5.1. [Lan23, Theorem 4.4] *Let X be a normal projective surface. Then for a reflexive sheaf \mathcal{E} on X we have*

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{E}) = (\text{rk } \mathcal{E})\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot (c_1(\mathcal{E}) - K_X) - c_2(\mathcal{E}) + \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} a(x, \mathcal{E}).$$

Here the intersection products are defined by pulling back to a resolution à la Mumford's intersection theory on a normal surface. This is a generalization of the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem for vector bundles on algebraic surfaces.

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface and D a Weil divisor on X . Then*

$$\chi(X, \Omega^{[1]}(D)) = 2 + (K_X + 2D) \cdot D - c_2(\Omega^{[1]}(D)) + \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} a(x, \Omega^{[1]}(D))$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} = \Omega^{[1]}(D)$. We have $\text{rk } \mathcal{E} = 2$. Also, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^{0,0} - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2} = h^{0,0} - h^{1,0} + h^{2,0}$ by Hodge symmetry for varieties with quotient singularities; since X is rational it has no global reflexive differential forms [GKP14], so $h^{1,0}$ and $h^{2,0}$ are both zero; thus $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. Finally $c_1(\Omega^{[1]}(D)) = c_1((\wedge^2 \Omega^{[1]}(D))^{**}) = c_1(\Omega^{[2]}(2D)) = K_X + 2D$. Plugging this into the above theorem gives us the expression. \square

Now we will suppose $D = \pi_* \tilde{D}$. Then $\Omega_X^{[1]}(D) = \pi_{[*]} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(\tilde{D})$

$$c_2(\Omega_X^{[1]}(\pi_* D)) = c_2(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(D)) - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} c_2(x, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(\tilde{D})) = c_2(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) + K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot D + D^2 - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} c_2(x, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(\tilde{D})).$$

By [Bla95, Theorem 7.3],

$$c_2(\Omega_X^{[1]}) = 1 + \#\text{Components}(E_x) - \frac{1}{|G|}.$$

Furthermore,

$$c_2(x, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(\tilde{D})) = c_2(x, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) + c_1(x, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) \cdot c_1(x, \tilde{D}) + c_1(x, \tilde{D})^2$$

Since $c_1(x, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) = K_{\tilde{X}}$ and $K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot E_i$ for any exceptional curve of π , the middle term vanishes. Also $c_2(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) = c_2(T_{\tilde{X}})$ which is the Euler characteristic of \tilde{X} . This is equal to 12 minus the degree of \tilde{X} .

Accompanying this paper is a GitHub repository [Jos25] which contains functions to compute $\chi(X, \Omega_X^{[1]}(\pi_* \tilde{D}))$ for any divisor $\tilde{D} = \sum a_i C_i$, where C_i are the (-1) -curves on \tilde{X} , in terms of the singularities on X , intersection numbers, and the coefficients a_i . Here X is assumed to be a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface but not necessarily Picard rank 1.

We also need to be able to determine if $\pi_* \tilde{D}$ is ample. Note that if X is rank 1 and a divisor on X is effective and nonzero, then it is ample (this follows because any nonzero effective divisor has positive intersection with an ample divisor). This suffices in many cases, but in general, we can use the following lemmas to determine whether $\pi_*(\tilde{D})$ is ample.

Lemma 5.3. *Let \tilde{X} be a weak del Pezzo surface. If \tilde{X} is not \mathbb{P}^2 or a Hirzebruch surface, then the closed cone of curves is spanned by (-1) - and (-2) -curves.*

Proof. Since X is weak Fano it is log Fano, so by the Cone Theorem, the cone of curves of X is spanned by finitely many rational curves. Let C be an extremal curve. Since $-K_X$ is nef, we have either $K_X \cdot C < 0$ or $K_X \cdot C = 0$. In the case $K_X \cdot C < 0$, by [KM98, Theorem 1.28], C is

a (-1) -curve (since we have assumed X is not isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 or a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1). In the other case, we have $K_X \cdot C = 0$. Since $(-K_X)^2 > 0$, the intersection pairing on $(-K_X)^\perp$ is negative definite, so $C^2 < 0$. Thus $(K_X + C) \cdot C = C^2 < 0$, so the arithmetic genus of C must be zero. Thus C is a smooth rational curve with $C^2 = -2$ as desired. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface whose minimal resolution is not \mathbb{P}^2 or a Hirzebruch surface and let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be its minimal resolution. Let C_1, \dots, C_n be the (-1) -curves on \tilde{X} and let $D = \sum a_i(\pi_*C_i)$. Then D is ample on X iff for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_j \left(C_i \cdot C_j - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} c_1(x, C_i) \cdot c_1(x, C_j) \right) > 0$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, the cone of curves of \tilde{X} is spanned by (-1) - and (-2) -curves. π contracts all (-2) -curves, so the cone of curves of X is spanned by images of (-1) -curves. So any divisor D on X can be written as a linear combination of images of (-1) -curves. Furthermore, D is ample if and only if $(\pi^*D) \cdot C_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where C_1, \dots, C_n are the (-1) -curves on \tilde{X} .

Let $D = \sum a_j(\pi_*C_j)$. We have $\pi^*\pi_*C_j = C_j - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} c_1(x, C_j)$. Furthermore, note that for any singular point x , $C_i - c_1(x, C_i)$ does not contain any of the exceptional curves over x , so $(C_i - c_1(x, C_i)) \cdot c_1(x, C_j) = 0$. Thus $C_i \cdot c_1(x, C_j) = c_1(x, C_j) \cdot c_1(x, C_j)$. So

$$(\pi^*\pi_*C_i) \cdot C_j = C_i \cdot C_j - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} c_1(x, C_i) \cdot c_1(x, C_j).$$

Thus


$$(\pi^*D) \cdot C_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_j \left(C_i \cdot C_j - \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } X} c_1(x, C_i) \cdot c_1(x, C_j) \right)$$

which gives us the desired result. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be one of the following surfaces: $S(A_4)$, $S(D_5)$, $S(A_1 + A_5)$, $S(E_6)$, $S(A_7)$, $S(A_1 + D_6)$, $S(E_7)$, $S(A_2 + A_5)$, $S(D_8)$, $S(A_1 + A_7)$, $S(A_8)$. Then there exists an ample Weil divisor D on X such that $\chi(X, \Omega_X^{[1]}(D)) < 0$, so X fails Bott vanishing. In particular, X does not admit an int-amplified endomorphism.*

Proof. The computation of the Euler characteristic using the Riemann-Roch formula for all these surfaces is implemented in the code repository associated to this paper [Jos25]. In particular, the code repository contains, for each surface, the coefficients a_i defining a specific divisor $\pi_*(\sum a_i C_i)$. We describe the computation in detail for $S(A_4)$; the rest are similar.

Let $X = S(A_4)$ and $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be its minimal resolution. Then \tilde{X} is the weak del Pezzo surface 5F in [MS24]. It has one (-1) -curve, and the arrangement of (-1) - and (-2) -curves looks like



Here the dashed line represents the (-1) -curve and the solid lines represent (-2) -curves. Let E_1, E_2, E_3 and E_4 be the (-2) -curves from left to right and let $C \subset \tilde{X}$ be the (-1) -curve (which meets E_3). Set $D = \pi_*C$. The degree of X is $(-K_X) \cdot (-K_X) = 5$. Also, $(-K_X) \cdot D = (-K_{\tilde{X}}) \cdot C = 1$ by the adjunction formula, since C is a rational curve and $C^2 = -1$. Since X is Picard rank 1, $-K_X \equiv 5D$, so D is an ample Weil divisor on X .

X has one singular point x which D meets. To compute the Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \Omega_X^{[1]}(D))$ with the Riemann-Roch formula, let us first compute the local invariants $c_2(x, \Omega_X^{[1]}(D))$ and $a(x, \Omega_X^{[1]}(D))$.

First let us compute the numerical lift π^*D . We know that $\pi^*D = C + \sum a_i E_i$ and that $\pi^*D \cdot E_i = 0$. Furthermore $C \cdot E_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$ and $C \cdot E_3 = 1$. Solving this system of equations, we obtain

$$\pi^*D = C + \frac{2}{5}E_1 + \frac{4}{5}E_2 + \frac{6}{5}E_3 + \frac{3}{5}E_4$$

So we have

$$a(x, \mathcal{O}(D)) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{5}E_1 + \frac{4}{5}E_2 + \frac{1}{5}E_3 + \frac{3}{5}E_4 \right) \cdot (C + E_3 - K_{\tilde{X}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \left(\frac{4}{5} - \frac{2}{5} + \frac{3}{5} \right) \right) = -\frac{3}{5}.$$

so $a(x, \Omega^{[1]}(D)) = 2(-\frac{3}{5}) + \frac{1}{5} - 0 = -1$.

Next, $c_1(x, \mathcal{O}(C))^2 = C^2 - D^2 = -1 - \frac{1}{5}(-K_X) \cdot C = -\frac{6}{5}$. Thus $c_2(x, \Omega_X^1) = 1 + 4 - \frac{1}{5} - \frac{6}{5} = \frac{18}{5}$.

Thus $c_2(\Omega^{[1]}(D)) = (12 - 5) - 1 - 1 - \frac{18}{5} = \frac{7}{5}$.


Putting it all together we have

$$\chi(X, \Omega^{[1]}(D)) = 2 + \left(-1 + \frac{2}{5} \right) - \frac{7}{5} - 1 = -1 < 0$$

as desired. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let Y be either $S(2A_1 + D_6)$ or $S(A_3 + D_5)$. Then Y does not admit an int-amplified endomorphism.*

Proof. First let $Y = S(A_3 + D_5)$ first. Then by [MZ88, p.71] the universal cover X of Y is a rank 4 Gorenstein del Pezzo with singularity type A_2 . There is only one such Gorenstein del Pezzo surface: it satisfies Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors but we show it fails Bott vanishing for a Weil divisor. Let \tilde{X} be its minimal resolution. We can construct \tilde{X} by blowing up \mathbb{P}^2 at four points, three of which lie on a line, and then blowing up a point P on the exceptional curve of one of the points on the line such that P doesn't lie on any other (-1) -curve. The arrangement of (-1) - and (-2) -curves on \tilde{X} looks like:



As before, the dashed lines represent (-1) -curves and the solid lines represent (-2) -curves. Now let $\tilde{D} = 2C_1 - 2C_2 - 4C_3 + C_4 + 2C_5 + 3C_6 + 4C_7 + C_8$. This particular divisor was found through a computer search. Then one can show using Lemma 5.4 that $D = \pi_*\tilde{D}$ is ample on X . Applying the Riemann-Roch formula, we can compute $\chi(\Omega^{[1]}(D)) < 0$, so X fails Bott vanishing for D . Thus X cannot admit an int-amplified endomorphism. By Proposition 4.2 this implies Y does not admit an int-amplified endomorphism.

Now let $Y = S(2A_1 + D_6)$. The quasi-universal cover $S(2A_1 + D_6)$ is of degree 4 and has an A_3 singularity. There are two such Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces: both satisfy Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors (their minimal resolutions are 4D and 4E in [MS24]), but using the same method,

one can show they both fail Bott vanishing for some Weil divisor. So without knowing which is actually the universal cover we can nevertheless conclude that Y cannot admit an int-amplified endomorphism.

All of these specific Riemann-Roch computations can be found in the associated code repository, as well functions for doing searches for divisors in the ample cone [Jos25]. The repository also implements Lemma 5.4 for verifying divisors are ample on Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of rank > 1 .

□

In summary we have:

- \mathbb{P}^2 , $S(A_1)$, $S(A_1 + A_2)$, $S(2A_1 + A_3)$ and $S(3A_2)$ are toric
- $S(3A_1 + D_4)$, $S(A_1 + 2A_3)$, $S(A_1 + A_2 + A_5)$, $S(4A_2)$ and $S(2A_1 + 2A_3)$ are quotients of toric varieties (of the kind described in Theorem 1.2)
- $S(E_8)$, $S(A_1 + E_7)$, $S(A_2 + E_6)$ and all surfaces $S_t(2D_4)$ fail Bott vanishing for a Cartier divisor, and hence do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms
- $S(2A_4)$, $S(D_8)$, $S(A_1 + A_7)$, $S(A_8)$, $S'(A_2 + E_6)$ and $S'(A_1 + E_7)$ do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms, by lifting to universal covers
- $S(A_4)$, $S(D_5)$, $S(A_1 + A_5)$, $S(E_6)$, $S(A_7)$, $S(A_1 + D_6)$, $S(E_7)$ and $S(A_2 + A_5)$ fail Bott vanishing for a Weil divisor, and thus do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms
- $S(2A_1 + D_6)$ and $S(A_3 + D_5)$ have universal covers that fail Bott vanishing for a Weil divisor, and thus do not admit int-amplified endomorphisms

This addresses all surfaces listed in the classification of rank 1 Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces except $S'(E_8)$. Thus we conclude Theorem 1.2. $S'(E_8)$ is not amenable to any of the techniques in this paper (see also [GPZ02], which characterizes normal Gorenstein surfaces dominated by \mathbb{P}^2 , except for $S'(E_8)$). $S'(E_8)$ satisfies Bott vanishing for Weil divisors (by [Bak21], it satisfies Bott vanishing for Cartier divisors, and all Weil divisors are Cartier by [MZ88, p.71]) and its smooth locus has trivial fundamental group, so it has no nontrivial covers. Thus, this last surface remains open for future investigation.

REFERENCES

- [Bak21] W. BAKER, *Bott vanishing and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing for algebraic surfaces*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2021, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Los Angeles. MR 4297611. Available at http://gateway.proquest.com/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:dissertation&res_dat=xri:pqm&rft_dat=xri:pqdiss:28545423.
- [Bla95] R. BLACHE, Riemann-Roch theorem for normal surfaces and applications, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **65** (1995), 307–340. MR 1359140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02953338>.
- [Bra21] L. BRAUN, The local fundamental group of a Kawamata log terminal singularity is finite, *Invent. Math.* **226** no. 3 (2021), 845–896. MR 4337973. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-021-01062-0>.
- [CP21] I. CHELTSOV and Y. PROKHOROV, Del Pezzo surfaces with infinite automorphism groups, *Algebr. Geom.* **8** no. 3 (2021), 319–357. MR 4206439. <https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2021-008>.
- [Dol12] I. V. DOLGACHEV, *Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. MR 2964027. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139084437>.
- [Fak03] N. FAKHRUDDIN, Questions on self maps of algebraic varieties, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18** no. 2 (2003), 109–122. MR 1995861.
- [GKP14] D. GREB, S. KEBEKUS, and T. PETERNELL, Reflexive differential forms on singular spaces. Geometry and cohomology, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **697** (2014), 57–89. MR 3281652. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0097>.
- [GPZ02] R. V. GURJAR, C. R. PRADEEP, and D.-Q. ZHANG, On Gorenstein surfaces dominated by \mathbf{p}^2 , *Nagoya Math. J.* **168** (2002), 41–63. MR 1942393. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000008345>.
- [Jos25] R. JOSHI, RR-del-Pezzo, 2025, Available at <https://github.com/rohansjoshi/RR-del-Pezzo>.
- [KT25] T. KAWAKAMI and B. TOTARO, Endomorphisms of varieties and Bott vanishing, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **34** no. 2 (2025), 381–405. MR 4876293. Available at <https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/838>.

- [KN09] T. KIKUCHI and T. NAKANO, On the projective embeddings of Gorenstein toric del Pezzo surfaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **53** no. 4 (2009), 1051–1059. MR 2741177. Available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1290435338>.
- [KM98] J. KOLLÁR and S. MORI, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics* **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. MR 1658959. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662560>.
- [Lac24] J. LACINI, On rank one log del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic different from two and three, *Adv. Math.* **442** (2024), Paper No. 109568, 77. MR 4712257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2024.109568>.
- [Lan00] A. LANGER, Chern classes of reflexive sheaves on normal surfaces, *Math. Z.* **235** no. 3 (2000), 591–614. MR 1800214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002090000149>.
- [Lan23] A. LANGER, Intersection theory and Chern classes on normal varieties, *arXiv:2210.08766*, 2023. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.08766>.
- [MS24] G. MARTIN and C. STADLMAYR, Weak del Pezzo surfaces with global vector fields, *Geom. Topol.* **28** no. 8 (2024), 3565–3641. MR 4843745. <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2024.28.3565>.
- [Men20] S. MENG, Building blocks of amplified endomorphisms of normal projective varieties, *Math. Z.* **294** no. 3–4 (2020), 1727–1747. MR 4074056. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-019-02316-7>.
- [MZZ22] S. MENG, D.-Q. ZHANG, and G. ZHONG, Non-isomorphic endomorphisms of Fano threefolds, *Math. Ann.* **383** no. 3–4 (2022), 1567–1596. MR 4458408. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-021-02274-8>.
- [MZ23] S. MENG and G. ZHONG, Rigidity of rationally connected smooth projective varieties from dynamical viewpoints, *Math. Res. Lett.* **30** no. 2 (2023), 589–610. MR 4649641. <https://doi.org/10.4310/mrl.2023.v30.n2.a10>.
- [MZ88] M. MIYANISHI and D.-Q. ZHANG, Gorenstein log del Pezzo surfaces of rank one, *J. Algebra* **118** no. 1 (1988), 63–84. MR 961326. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(88\)90048-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(88)90048-8).
- [Nak02] N. NAKAYAMA, Ruled surfaces with non-trivial surjective endomorphisms, *Kyushu J. Math.* **56** no. 2 (2002), 433–446. MR 1934136. <https://doi.org/10.2206/kyushujm.56.433>.
- [Nak20] N. NAKAYAMA, *On the structure of normal projective surfaces admitting non-isomorphic surjective endomorphisms*, RIMS Preprint 1934, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, 2020, Available at <https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/preprint/file/RIMS1934.pdf>. Available at <https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/preprint/file/RIMS1934.pdf>.
- [Nak21] N. NAKAYAMA, *Outstanding problems on normal projective surfaces admitting non-isomorphic surjective endomorphisms*, RIMS Preprint 1943, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, 2021, Available at <https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/preprint/file/RIMS1943.pdf>. Available at <https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/preprint/file/RIMS1943.pdf>.
- [Tot24] B. TOTARO, Endomorphisms of Fano 3-folds and log Bott vanishing, *Math. Res. Lett.* **31** no. 6 (2024), 1879–1902. MR 4862363. <https://doi.org/10.4310/mrl.250211003919>.

UCLA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1413

Email address: rohansjoshi@math.ucla.edu