

MAGNETIC EQUIVARIANT GRADED BRAUER GROUP

HIGINIO SERRANO AND BERNARDO URIBE

ABSTRACT. Given a magnetic finite group, we consider the similarity classes of magnetic equivariant central simple graded algebras over the complex numbers. We call this set the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group and its structure as an abelian group is explicitly determined. Following Karoubi, we argue that the elements of this graded Brauer group parametrize the twistings of the magnetic equivariant K-theory of a point.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Graded Brauer groups and the ten-fold way	3
1.1. Graded Brauer groups over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C}	4
1.2. Algebras over \mathbb{C} with antilinear automorphism	6
1.3. Graded division algebras and the tenfold way	9
2. Magnetic Equivariant Graded Brauer group	13
2.1. Non-Abelian cohomology	13
2.2. Magnetic equivariant projective representations.	15
2.3. Magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group	19
2.4. Relation to other equivariant graded Brauer groups	26
2.5. Examples	28
2.6. Relation to Dyson's ten-fold classification	31
3. Twistings in magnetic equivariant K-theory	32
3.1. K-theory à la Karoubi	33
3.2. Twisted magnetic equivariant K-theory	35
3.3. Degree shift isomorphism	37
Acknowledgments	39
References	39

Date: December 4, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. (primary) 16K50, 19L50, (secondary) 16S35.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic group, magnetic representation, equivariant Brauer group, graded Brauer group.

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic representations of groups are complex representations where the elements of the group act either complex linearly or complex antilinearly. Fixing the elements of the group that act complex antilinearly, we obtain a magnetic group. This is done with a surjective homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ which encodes whether the elements act linearly or antilinearly. The study of the representations of magnetic groups was carried by Wigner in his seminal book [Wig59], and the classification on 3 types (\mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H}) of irreducible representations was understood through the structure of the algebra of equivariant endomorphisms of the irreducible representations.

The classification of magnetic equivariant $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded representations was done by Dyson [Dys62] and a ten-fold classification was thus obtained. Around the same time, the generalization of the Brauer group of Morita equivalence classes of central simple algebras over a fixed field to the case of central simple graded algebras was carried out by Wall [Wal64], and the graded Brauer group of a field was born. The graded Brauer group of the reals was shown to be a cyclic group of order eight, and the one of the complex numbers of order two.

It was clear at the time that the Wedderburn-Artin theorem, on its graded version [Var04, Thm. 6.2.5], was the key ingredient to relate both approaches. The equivariant endomorphisms of an irreducible magnetic graded representation has the structure of a graded division algebra [Dys62], while central simple graded algebras are isomorphic to graded matrix algebras over graded division algebras by the Wedderburn-Artin theorem.

On the other hand, the endomorphism algebra of a graded magnetic representation is a magnetic equivariant central simple graded algebra, and as such, it defines an element in the associated graded Brauer group. This Brauer group, which we coined *magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group*, is the main study topic in this work.

The equivariant Brauer group of a field was introduced by Fröhlich [Frö72] and its graded extension was introduced by Riehm [Rie05]. Here we study central simple graded algebras over \mathbb{C} endowed with a magnetic G -action of algebra automorphisms; we call these objects magnetic equivariant central simple graded algebras. Two of these algebras are called similar if after tensoring with the endomorphism algebra of degree 0 magnetic representations they become G -equivariant isomorphic algebras. The similarity classes of these algebras is a finite group by the tensor product structure and it is our desired magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group.

The main result of this work is Thm. 2.7 where we present an explicit calculation of the abelian group structure of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group. This is the following isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \left(H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \times^{\bullet} \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \right) \times^{\bullet} \mathbb{Z}/2$$

where the symbols \times^{\bullet} denotes twisted products by explicit 2-cocycles.

We compare our calculation with the previously known calculations of the graded equivariant Brauer groups of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , and we highlight some of their similarities and differences. We present explicit calculations of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer groups noting the key differences whenever the homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ does not split.

We finish our work with an application of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer groups in the classification of twists in magnetic equivariant K-theory [UJSGXM26]. We follow Karoubi's approach to study K-theory, and we argue that the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group parametrizes the twists in the magnetic equivariant K-theory. We show that the degree shift isomorphism in magnetic equivariant K-theory follows from a property of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group, and we further show that the 4-periodicity in certain magnetic equivariant K-theories follow from the particular structure of the associated magnetic equivariant graded Brauer groups.

We include in the first section Table 1 where we present a summary of the results in the theory of graded Brauer groups over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , in the classification of real division graded algebras, and in the appearance of the ten-fold way in the study of symmetries of periodic Hamiltonians. The explicit algebras defined in the first section are the main characters appearing in the latter sections.

1. GRADED BRAUER GROUPS AND THE TEN-FOLD WAY

In this section we are going to explore the relation between the graded Brauer groups of the reals and the complex numbers, the set of real graded central division algebras, and the ten-fold classification of non-interacting fermionic Hamiltonians.

The famous Wedderburn-Artin theorem, in its graded version [Var04, Thm. 6.2.5], implies that any central simple graded algebra over a fixed field is a matrix algebra over a graded division algebra over the field. Therefore, the classification of central simple graded algebras can be reduced to the classification of graded division algebras.

Two central simple graded algebras are called similar if they are matrix algebras over the same graded division algebra, and the similarity classes of simple central graded algebras is called the graded Brauer group. It becomes an abelian group because the tensor product of simple central graded algebras is also a central simple graded algebra.

In the case of the reals and the complex numbers the graded Brauer groups are respectively $\mathbb{Z}/8$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2$. The real and complex Clifford algebras can be taken as representatives of the similarity classes and the periodicity of the Clifford algebras is the famous Bott periodicity.

The ten possibilities of central simple graded algebras have also appeared in the physics literature in different disguises. Perhaps the first appearance was Dyson classification of symmetries of Quantum systems [Dys62], while a more recent one is the famous Altland-Zirnbauer (AZ) classification of free-fermion periodic Hamiltonians [AZ97]. This classification is also known as the "Ten-fold way" and has been masterfully reinterpreted by Kitaev in terms of the classification of Clifford algebras [Kit09].

Here we will summarize the classifications mentioned above and we will present a simple description that allows us to relate the graded Brauer groups over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , the graded division algebras over \mathbb{R} , and the AZ classification scheme. The intermediary group that will allow us to relate all these objects is the graded Brauer group of simple central graded complex algebras endowed with a \mathbb{C} -antilinear automorphism. The main results of this section are summarized in Table 1.

1.1. Graded Brauer groups over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} . Graded magnetic representations and their endomorphisms incorporate information on graded division algebras over both the field of complex numbers and the field of real numbers. To study both in the same framework we are going to take the algebraic approach of working over a field k taking into account its separable closure k_s and the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$. Our main application will be whenever $k = \mathbb{R}$, $k_s = \mathbb{C}$ and the Galois group is $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on \mathbb{C} by conjugation.

The main references for what follows are Wall's paper on graded Brauer groups [Wal64], its exposition on Lam's book [Lam05, §4], the generalization for field extensions done in Gille and Szamuely's book [GS06, §2 & §4] and the equivariant extension of Riehm [Rie05].

Assume the field k if of characteristic different from 2. All algebras we will consider will be finite dimensional associative k -algebras with unit 1, and "super algebra" or simply "graded algebra" will mean $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebra.

Thus a graded algebra A is a direct sum $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ with $A_i A_j \subset A_{i+j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. The homogeneous elements of A are $hA = A_0 \cup A_1$ and the degree function is $\partial : hA \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Graded operations will be denoted with a hat $\hat{}$, while the operations disregarding the grading will not contain a hat.

If B is a subalgebra of A , its *centralizer* $C_A(B)$ consists of the elements in A which commute with B :

$$(1.1) \quad C_A(B) := \{a \in A : ab = ba \text{ for all } b \in B\}$$

while the *graded centralizer* $\hat{C}_A(B)$ consists of all elements in A which commute in the graded sense with all elements in B . Recall that two homogeneous elements $a, b \in hA$ commute in the graded sense if $ab = (-1)^{\partial a \partial b} ba$.

The *center* $Z(A)$ of A is the centralizer $C_A(A)$ and the *graded center* $\hat{Z}(A)$ of A is the graded centralizer $\hat{C}_A(A)$. If $A_1 = 0$ then the two concepts agree.

A is denoted *graded simple* if the only two-sided graded ideals are 0 and A , and it is *central* if its graded center $\hat{Z}(A)$ is k .

Graded algebras, simple graded algebras, central graded algebras and central simple graded algebras over the field k will be denoted respectively $\text{GA}(k)$, $\text{SGA}(k)$, $\text{CGA}(k)$ and $\text{CSGA}(k)$.

The graded tensor product $A \hat{\otimes} B$ of two GAs is the usual tensor product as vector spaces, and the graded multiplication defined on homogeneous elements a, b, a', b' by the equation

$$(1.2) \quad (a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{\partial a' \partial b} (aa' \otimes bb')$$

makes $A \hat{\otimes} B$ into a GA. Moreover, if A and B are CSGA, then so is $A \hat{\otimes} B$.

If $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a finite dimensional graded vector space over k , we let the algebra of endomorphisms $\text{End}(V)$ of V be graded algebra with homogeneous elements of degree 0 the endomorphisms ρ with $\rho(V_i) \subset V_i$ and the homogeneous elements of degree 1 the ones which satisfy $\rho(V_i) \subset V_{i+1}$. We will denote this CSGA by $\text{End}(V_0, V_1)$. If V is the graded vector space with $V_0 = k^p$ and $V_1 = k^q$, we will use the matrix notation:

$$(1.3) \quad M_{p|q}(k) := \text{End}(k^p, k^q).$$

The matrix algebra $M_p(k)$ will simply denote $M_{p|0}(k)$.

Two CSGAs A and B are similar, denoted as $A \sim B$, if there are graded vector spaces V and W such that there is an isomorphism:

$$(1.4) \quad A \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V_0, V_1) \cong B \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(W_0, W_1)$$

as GAs. Similarity is an equivalence relation, and we denote by $[A]$ the similarity class of the CSGA A . The equivalence classes of similar CSGAs is called the *Graded Brauer group* or the *Brauer-Wall group*:

$$(1.5) \quad \text{GrBr}(k) := \text{CSGA}(k) / \sim.$$

The graded tensor product makes $\text{GrBr}(k)$ into a monoid, and the opposite graded algebra:

$$(1.6) \quad A^{\text{op}} = \{a^{\text{op}} : a \in A\}, \quad a^{\text{op}} b^{\text{op}} := (-1)^{\partial a \partial b} (ab)^{\text{op}}$$

provides the inverse. This follows from the isomorphism of graded algebras $A \hat{\otimes} A^{\text{op}} \cong \text{End}(A)$. Therefore the Graded Brauer group of k becomes an abelian group, since we know that $A \hat{\otimes} B \cong B \hat{\otimes} A$ with $a \otimes b \mapsto (-1)^{\partial a \partial b} b \otimes a$.

The cases of interest for us are when the field is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . In these cases we have:

$$(1.7) \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/8 \quad \& \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

and the Clifford algebras provide representatives for the similarity classes of CSGA. For p, q non-negative integers, the Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p,q}$ is the Clifford algebra of the vector space \mathbb{R}^{p+q} with quadratic form $Q(x) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2$. Alternatively it could be written as:

$$(1.8) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p,q} = \mathbb{R} \langle e_1, \dots, e_{p+q} | e_i e_j = -e_j e_i, e_j^2 = Q(e_j) \rangle$$

where the generators e_j have degree 1. The Clifford algebras are CSGA over \mathbb{R} and satisfy several properties:

$$(1.9) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p,q} \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{r,s} \cong C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p+r, q+s} \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1} \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$$

$$(1.10) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p, q+8} \cong C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p+8, q} \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,8} \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{16}, \mathbb{R}^{16}).$$

Wall [Wal64] showed that the similarity class of the Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}$ can be taken as a generator of the graded Brauer group of \mathbb{R} . That is:

$$(1.11) \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}) = \langle [C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/8.$$

For the complex numbers there are only two similarity classes and we have the isomorphisms:

$$(1.12) \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C}) = \langle [C_{\mathbb{C}}^1] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Here $C_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ denotes the complex Clifford algebra with n -generators and quadratic form $Q(x) = |x|^2$. Note that for the complex numbers all quadratic forms are equivalent and therefore $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+q} \cong C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}$ where $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q} := C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

1.2. Algebras over \mathbb{C} with antilinear automorphism. The field of real numbers can be also understood as the conjugation invariant field of the complex numbers. With this approach we could see the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{R} as equivalent to the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} endowed with a \mathbb{C} -antilinear involution.

If $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector spaces, and $\text{Vect}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces endowed with an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ whose linearity is defined by the homomorphism $\text{id} : \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, then Speiser's lemma [GS06, Lem. 2.3.8] implies that the functors:

$$(1.13) \quad \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{Vect}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$(1.14) \quad V \mapsto V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \quad W \mapsto W^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

provides the equivalence of the categories.

The complex tensor product makes $\text{Vect}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ into a tensor category, and an algebra object in this category is nothing else but an algebra A over the complex numbers endowed with a \mathbb{C} -antilinear automorphism $\tau : A \xrightarrow{\cong} A$. Two complex algebras endowed with \mathbb{C} -antilinear automorphisms are isomorphic if they are isomorphic as complex algebras and the isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the automorphisms.

We call graded algebras over \mathbb{C} with antilinear automorphism $\text{GA}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$, and accordingly, we may take the central and simple complex algebras with \mathbb{C} -antilinear automorphism $\text{CSGA}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$.

Two algebras (A, τ_A) and (B, τ_B) in $\text{CSGA}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ are similar if there is an isomorphism of complex algebras:

$$(1.15) \quad A \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V_0, V_1) \cong B \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(W_0, W_1)$$

compatible with the induced \mathbb{C} -antilinear automorphisms on each side. Here the automorphism on the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(V_0, V_1)$ is given by the adjoint map of the complex

conjugation:

$$(1.16) \quad \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}} : \text{End}(V_0, V_1) \rightarrow \text{End}(V_0, V_1)$$

$$(1.17) \quad \rho \mapsto \mathbb{K}\rho\mathbb{K},$$

which on vectors act in the following form $v \mapsto \mathbb{K}\rho(\mathbb{K}v)$. Here \mathbb{K} denotes complex conjugation.

The equivalence classes of similar algebras in $\text{CSGA}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ is the Graded Brauer groups and will be denoted as:

$$(1.18) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The following proposition follows from Speiser's lemma [GS06, Lem. 2.3.8] and is a key result in our generalization of the Graded Brauer group for magnetic groups.

Proposition 1.1. *There is a canonical isomorphism of groups $\text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ realized by the maps:*

$$(1.19) \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$(1.20) \quad A \mapsto (A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \text{Ad}_{\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{K}}) \quad (A, \tau) \mapsto A^{\tau}.$$

Here A^{τ} denotes the fixed points of the automorphism and \mathbb{K} denotes complex conjugation.

Proof. The natural map $\lambda : A^{\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$, $\lambda(a \otimes \mu) = \mu a$, is a \mathbb{C} -linear map that provides the isomorphism of complex vector spaces; this is Speiser's lemma [GS06, Lem. 2.3.8]. This isomorphism is equivariant with the \mathbb{C} -antilinear isomorphisms of the algebras:

$$(1.21) \quad \lambda(\text{Ad}_{\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{K}}(a \otimes \mu)) = \lambda(\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{K}(a \otimes \mu)\text{id} \otimes \mathbb{K}) = \lambda(a \otimes \bar{\mu}) = \bar{\mu}a = \tau(\mu a) = \tau(\lambda(a \otimes \mu)).$$

□

The similarity classes of real Clifford algebras $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p,q}$ generate $\text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/8$ and they can be rewritten in terms of complex central algebras with antilinear automorphisms. Let us show explicit generators of these 8 similarity classes:

$p + q = 0$ The Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,0}$ is \mathbb{R} and it is mapped to the algebra \mathbb{C} with complex conjugation as antilinear automorphism $\tau^{0,0} = \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}}$. We therefore have:

$$(1.22) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,0} \mapsto (\mathbb{C}, \tau^{0,0} = \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}})$$

$p + q = 1$ Both Clifford algebras $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0}$ and $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}$ map to the complex algebra:

$$(1.23) \quad C_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \cong \mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle$$

where the automorphism $\tau^{1,0}$ associated to $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0}$ is simply complex conjugation $\tau^{1,0}(x + ye) = \bar{x} + \bar{y}e$, while the automorphism $\tau^{0,1}$ associated to $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}$ is $\tau^{1,0}(x + ye) =$

$\bar{x} - \bar{y}e$. In the former case the element e is $\tau^{1,0}$ -invariant with $e^2 = 1$, and in the latter ie is $\tau^{0,1}$ -invariant with $(ie)^2 = -1$. We therefore have:

$$(1.24) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0} \mapsto (\mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle, \tau^{1,0} = \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}}),$$

$$(1.25) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1} \mapsto (\mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = -1 \rangle, \tau^{0,1} = \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}})$$

$p + q = 2$ The three Clifford algebras $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0}$, $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}$ and $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2}$ map to the complex algebra:

$$(1.26) \quad C_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \cong M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The automorphisms $\tau^{p,q}$ in terms of matrices are:

$$(1.27) \quad \tau^{2,0} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\delta} & \bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad \tau^{1,1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & \bar{\beta} \\ \bar{\gamma} & \bar{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \quad \tau^{0,2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\delta} & -\bar{\gamma} \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

and in terms of operators are:

$$(1.28) \quad \tau^{2,0} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}}, \quad \tau^{1,1} = \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad \tau^{0,2} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}}.$$

Here the adjoint operator $\text{Ad}_{M_{\mathbb{K}}}$ means $\text{Ad}_{M_{\mathbb{K}}}(C) = M_{\mathbb{K}} C M_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}$ for $C \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. We therefore have the assignment:

$$(1.29) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \mapsto (M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C}), \tau^{2,0} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}})$$

$$(1.30) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1} \mapsto (M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C}), \tau^{1,1} = \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}})$$

$$(1.31) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2} \mapsto (M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C}), \tau^{0,2} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}})$$

$p + q = 3$ Here we are interested in the Clifford algebras $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0}$ and $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3}$. In both cases, the algebra generated by the elements $e_1 e_2$ and $e_2 e_3$ generate the degree 0 part of the algebras and this is isomorphic to the quaternions \mathbb{H} . If we further add the element $e_1 e_2 e_3$ of degree 1, we see that it commutes with $e_1 e_2$ and $e_2 e_3$ and it squares to -1 in $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0}$ and to 1 in $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3}$. Therefore we have the graded algebra isomorphisms:

$$(1.32) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0} \cong \mathbb{H}[e]/\langle e^2 = -1 \rangle, \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3} \cong \mathbb{H}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

After complexification, the quaternions algebra \mathbb{H} becomes the matrix algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with antiunitary automorphism given by the operator $\text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}}$, and the Clifford algebras $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0}$ and $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3}$ are mapped to the complex algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle$. If we write the elements in this algebra as $(M_0 + M_1 e)$ with $M_i \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, then the Clifford algebras transform as follows:

$$(1.33) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0} \mapsto (M_2(\mathbb{C})[e]/\langle e^2 = -1 \rangle, \tau^{3,0} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}}),$$

$$(1.34) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3} \mapsto (M_2(\mathbb{C})[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle, \tau^{3,0} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}}).$$

$p + q = 4$ Here we are interested in $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,4}$ which can be seen alternatively as:

$$(1.35) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,4} \cong C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3} \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1} \cong (\mathbb{H} \otimes C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0}) \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1} \cong \mathbb{H} \otimes (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0} \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}) \cong \mathbb{H} \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Here we obtain the well-known fact that the graded Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,4}$ is similar to the quaternions algebra \mathbb{H} localized in degree 0.

After complexification we obtain:

$$(1.36) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,4} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong (\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \otimes (M_{1|1}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the matrix algebra over the complex numbers localized in degree 0. The associated antilinear automorphism is simply the operator $\tau^{0,4} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}}$ where the adjoint operator is defined with a matrix of homogeneous degree 0, and the complex conjugation on $M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C})$ is also of degree 0. So we have:

$$(1.37) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,4} \mapsto (M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{1|1}(\mathbb{C}), \tau^{0,4} = \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}}),$$

$$(1.38) \quad \mathbb{H} \mapsto (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbb{K}}}).$$

1.3. Graded division algebras and the tenfold way. The graded version of the famous Wedderburn-Artin theorem states that any graded simple algebra over the field k is isomorphic to a graded matrix algebra with coefficients in a *graded division algebra* over k [Var04, Thm. 6.2.5]. A *graded division algebra* over k is a graded algebra where every non-zero homogeneous element is invertible.

Frobenius showed [Fro78] that there are only three division algebras over \mathbb{R} , being them \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{H} . Wall [Wal64], and later Deligne [Del99, §3], showed that there are ten graded division algebras over \mathbb{R} , three of pure even degree \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{H} , and seven Clifford graded algebras, six real Clifford algebras $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0}$, $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0}$, $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0}$, $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}$, $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2}$, and $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3}$, and one complex Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. The fact that there are only ten graded division algebras over \mathbb{R} is nice to prove, and an elegant proof has been showed by Baez [Bae20]. This is our first tenfold classification; let us summarize it.

A graded division algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ over \mathbb{R} has for degree 0 an algebra A_0 whose non-zero elements are invertible, hence a division algebra. Therefore A_0 is \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} .

$$(1.39) \quad \text{If } A_1 = \{0\}, \text{ then } A \text{ is } \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{H}.$$

If $A_1 \neq \{0\}$ then take $e \in A_1$ and note that e is invertible, and moreover $e^2 \in A_0$. In the case that $A_0 = \mathbb{R}$ we may rescale e in order to obtain $e^2 = 1$ or $e^2 = -1$.

$$(1.40) \quad \text{If } A_0 = \mathbb{R} \text{ and } A_0 \neq \{0\}, \text{ then } A \text{ is } \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle, \text{ or} \\ \mathbb{R}[e]/\langle e^2 = -1 \rangle \end{cases}$$

If $A_0 = \mathbb{C}$ then the conjugation map $a \mapsto eae^{-1}$ is an automorphism of A_0 , and therefore it is the identity or complex conjugation. In the latter case we have that $ea = ae$ and we

may rescale e such that $e^2 = 1$. In the former case we have $ea = \bar{a}e$, and we may rescale e such that either $e^2 = 1$ or $e^2 = -1$.

$$(1.41) \quad \text{If } A_0 = \mathbb{C} \text{ and } A_0 = \{0\}, \text{ then } A \text{ is } \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle \text{ with } ei = ie, \text{ or} \\ \mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle \text{ with } ei = -ie, \text{ or} \\ \mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = -1 \rangle \text{ with } ei = -ie. \end{cases}$$

If $A_0 = \mathbb{H}$ then the conjugation map $a \mapsto eae^{-1}$ is an automorphism of A_0 , and all automorphisms of the quaternions are inner transformations. This means that there must be a quaternion q such that $qaq^{-1} = eae^{-1}$, and therefore $q^{-1}e$ commutes with A_0 . Replacing $q^{-1}e$ by e , we see that e commutes with A_0 and therefore e^2 also commutes with A_0 . Since e^2 belongs to A_0 , we see that $e \in \mathbb{R} = Z(\mathbb{H})$. Rescaling e we see that $e^2 = 1$ or $e^2 = -1$.

$$(1.42) \quad \text{If } A_0 = \mathbb{H} \text{ and } A_0 = \{0\}, \text{ then } A \text{ is } \begin{cases} \mathbb{H}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle \text{ with } ei = ie, ej = je, \text{ or} \\ \mathbb{H}[e]/\langle e^2 = -1 \rangle \text{ with } ei = ie, ej = je. \end{cases}$$

Another tenfold classification structure was shown by Dyson [Dys62] when studying the symmetries of Hamiltonians in nuclear physics, where the threefold classification of complex linear representations was generalized to a tenfold classification whenever the representations possess both complex linear and complex antilinear symmetry transformations. A more recent appearance of this tenfold structure is the Altland-Zirnbauer (AZ) scheme classification of free-fermion Hamiltonians [AZ97], a classification which was masterfully rewritten by Kitaev [Kit09] in terms of the 2 types of complex Clifford algebras and the 8 types of real Clifford algebras with the name of "Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors".

Let us recall the basic ideas in the AZ classification [AZ97] of non-interacting fermionic Hamiltonians in terms of ten symmetry classes. These are classified in terms of the presence or absence of certain discrete symmetries on a prescribed Hamiltonian [SRFL08, §II].

Suppose that this Hamiltonian encodes the electromagnetic properties of some crystal and take its eigenvectors and its spectrum. Assume that the Fermi level (energy of occupied bands) is set at zero and denote by *valence bands* the vector space generated by the negative energy eigenvectors, and *conduction bands* the vector space generated by positive energy eigenvectors.

Assume furthermore that the Hamiltonian is gapped at the Fermi level, thus modeling an insulating material, and permitting to have a well defined vector space of valence and conduction bands.

Assign degree 0 to the valence bands and degree 1 to the conduction bands. This way the vector space spanned by the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian becomes a graded vector space.

A Hamiltonian preserves *time reversal symmetry* (TRS) if there is a \mathbb{C} -antilinear operator \mathcal{T} , such that:

$$(1.43) \quad \mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}, \text{ with } \mathcal{T} = U_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{K} \text{ and } \mathcal{T}^2 = \pm 1$$

TABLE 1. Tenfold way in terms of the ten real division algebras, and their relation to the graded Brauer group of the reals and the complex numbers, the graded Brauer group of central simple complex algebras endowed with an antilinear automorphism, and the Altland-Zirnbauer classification of non-interacting fermionic Hamiltonians. The first column corresponds to a numbering that is compatible with the group structure of the Graded Brauer groups. The second column corresponds to the a choice of representatives of the elements in the graded Brauer group over the reals and the complex numbers. The third column is a description of the division algebra that exists on each element of the Brauer groups, The fourth column is a choice of representative for the elements in the graded Brauer group of central simple complex algebras with antilinear automorphism. The last four columns provide the associate Altland-Zirnbauer [AZ97] classification scheme of Hamiltonian symmetries. The operators \mathcal{T} , C and S are respectively time reversal, particle hole and chiral symmetry. A 0 represents absence of the symmetry while a ± 1 encodes the square of the operator which is preserved.

N.	$\text{BrGr}(\mathbb{R})$	$\text{DivAlg}(\mathbb{R})$	$\text{BrGr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$	\mathcal{T}	C	S	AZ
0	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,0}$	\mathbb{R}	$(\mathbb{C}, \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}})$	1	0	0	AI
1	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0}$	$\frac{\mathbb{R}[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}$	$\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}, \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}} \right)$	1	1	1	BDI
2	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0}$	$\frac{\mathbb{C}[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}, ei = -ie$	$\left(M_{1 1}(\mathbb{C}), \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right)$	0	1	0	D
3	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{3,0}$	$\frac{\mathbb{H}[e]}{\langle e^2=-1 \rangle}, ei = ie, ej = je$	$\left(\frac{M_2(\mathbb{C})[e]}{\langle e^2=-1 \rangle}, \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right)$	-1	1	1	DIII
4	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,4}$	\mathbb{H}	$\left(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right)$	-1	0	0	AII
5	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,3}$	$\frac{\mathbb{H}[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}, ei = ie, ej = je$	$\left(\frac{M_2(\mathbb{C})[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}, \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right)$	-1	-1	0	CII
6	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2}$	$\frac{\mathbb{C}[e]}{\langle e^2=-1 \rangle}, ei = -ie$	$\left(M_{1 1}(\mathbb{C}), \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right)$	0	-1	0	C
7	$C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,1}$	$\frac{\mathbb{R}[e]}{\langle e^2=-1 \rangle}$	$\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[e]}{\langle e^2=-1 \rangle}, \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}} \right)$	1	-1	1	CI
0	$C_{\mathbb{C}}^0$	\mathbb{C}	\mathbb{C}	0	0	0	A
1	$C_{\mathbb{C}}^1$	$\frac{\mathbb{C}[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}, ei = ie$	$\frac{\mathbb{C}[e]}{\langle e^2=1 \rangle}$	0	0	1	AIII
N.	$\text{BrGr}(\mathbb{C})$	$\text{DivAlg}(\mathbb{R})$	$\text{BrGr}(\mathbb{C})$	\mathcal{T}	C	S	AZ

where $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a unitary operator ($U_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1} = U_{\mathcal{T}}^{\dagger}$). The fact that the operator is \mathbb{C} -antilinear induces two non-equivalent possibilities for the operator \mathcal{T}^2 as was shown by Wigner [Wig59]. If the Hamiltonian preserves TRS, then the TRS operator \mathcal{T} acts on the graded vector space spanned by the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian as a degree 0 operator.

A Hamiltonian preserves *particle hole symmetry* (PHS) if there is a \mathbb{C} -antilinear operator C , such that:

$$(1.44) \quad C\mathcal{H}C^{-1} = -\mathcal{H}, \text{ with } C = U_C\mathbb{K} \text{ and } C^2 = \pm 1$$

where U_C is a unitary operator ($U_C^{-1} = U_C^\dagger$). If the Hamiltonian preserve PHS, then the operator C exchanges occupied states with unoccupied ones, or particles with antiparticles (holes), inverting the charge. It changes the sign of the energy of an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, and therefore acts on the graded vector space spanned by the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian as a degree 1 operator.

If a Hamiltonian preserves both TRS and PHS, then it preserves the composition $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}C$. This is a \mathbb{C} -linear transformation, which after rescaling can be made to satisfy $\mathcal{S}^2 = 1$. This symmetry is called *chiral symmetry* and satisfies:

$$(1.45) \quad \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}^{-1} = -\mathcal{H}, \text{ with } \mathcal{S} = U_S \text{ and } \mathcal{S}^2 = 1$$

with U_S a unitary operator ($U_S^{-1} = U_S^\dagger$). The chiral symmetry operator \mathcal{S} becomes a degree 1 operator exchanging the valence and the conduction bands without inverting the charge. Note that a Hamiltonian may possess chiral symmetry without possessing TRS or PHS.

The ten different combinations of the three symmetries is known as the AZ classification scheme and it appears in Table 1. If a symmetry is not present, a 0 appears, and if a symmetry is present, a ± 1 appears characterizing the square of the operator.

This tenfold classification could be understood as an alternative appearance of the fact that there are only ten real division algebras. Since the operators \mathcal{T} , C and \mathcal{S} act on the complex vector space of eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian, we could focus on the complex algebra that they generate as operators. Comparing the modules over these algebras with the modules of the algebras that generate the Graded Brauer groups $\text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$, we find the following set of matchings:

- A No symmetries. Corresponds to the algebra \mathbb{C} .
- AIII Corresponds to the graded algebra $\mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle$ where \mathcal{S} is mapped to the operator e .
- AI Corresponds to the algebra \mathbb{C} with antilinear automorphism \mathbb{K} . Here \mathcal{T} is mapped to \mathbb{K} .
- AII Corresponds to the algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with antilinear automorphism given by the adjoint of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with \mathbb{K} . Here \mathcal{T} is mapped to the composition of operators $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}$.
- BDI & CI Corresponds to the graded algebras $\mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = \pm 1 \rangle$ with antilinear automorphism \mathbb{K} . Here \mathcal{T} is mapped to \mathbb{K} and C is mapped to $e\mathbb{K}$.
- D & C Corresponds to the graded algebra $M_{|\mathbb{I}|}(\mathbb{C})$ with antilinear automorphism given by the adjoint of the degree 1 operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ composed with \mathbb{K} . Here C is mapped to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}$.

DIII & CII Corresponds to the algebras $M_2(\mathbb{C})[e]/\langle e^2 = \pm 1 \rangle$ with antilinear automorphism given by the adjoint of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ composed with \mathbb{K} . Here \mathcal{T} is mapped to the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}$ and C is mapped to the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}e$.

2. MAGNETIC EQUIVARIANT GRADED BRAUER GROUP

In the study of the electromagnetic properties of periodic free-fermion Hamiltonians associated to crystals, the crystal symmetries play a fundamental role. Whenever the crystal has a non-trivial internal magnetization, there might be symmetries of the Hamiltonian which are the composition of either TRS, PHS or chiral symmetry with the geometrical symmetries of the crystal. This gives rise to the concept of magnetic groups, where the elements of the group may act complex linearly or complex antilinearly [UJSGXM26].

In this section we are going to study magnetic equivariant simple central complex algebras and we are going to explicitly calculate its similarity classes. This group will be called the *magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group*.

Now, the magnetic and equivariant version of the Graded Brauer group incorporates results of magnetic and equivariant projective representations. Therefore, prior to studying the Brauer group we will review some results in the theory of non abelian cohomology and in the theory of magnetic projective representations. Then we will introduce the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group associated to a magnetic group, and we provide an explicit calculation of this group in terms of cohomology of groups; this is Thm. 2.7 and it is the main result of this section. Then we will relate this Brauer group with the graded equivariant Brauer groups of real and complex algebras and we calculate some explicit examples.

2.1. Non-Abelian cohomology. Let us recall the definition of the first cohomology pointed set $H^1(G, A)$ when A is a group which is not necessarily abelian.

Let G be a group and A other group with a left action of G by automorphisms. Denote by:

$$(2.1) \quad Z^1(G, A)$$

the set of all maps $f : G \rightarrow A$ such that:

$$(2.2) \quad f(gh) = f(g)(g \cdot f(h))$$

where $f(g)$ times $g \cdot f(h)$ uses the multiplication in A and $g \cdot f(h)$ is given by the action of G on A . Note that A acts on $Z^1(G, A)$ by conjugation:

$$(2.3) \quad (a \cdot f)(g) := af(g)(g \cdot (a^{-1}))$$

where $a \in A$, $f \in Z^1(G, A)$ and $g \in G$. In fact we have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \cdot f)(gh) &= af(gh)((gh) \cdot (a^{-1})) \\
 &= af(g)(g \cdot f(h))((gh) \cdot (a^{-1})) \\
 &= af(g)(g \cdot a)(g \cdot a^{-1})(g \cdot f(h))((gh) \cdot (a^{-1})) \\
 &= (a \cdot f)(g)(g \cdot (a^{-1}f(h)(h \cdot (a^{-1})))) \\
 &= (a \cdot f)(g)(g \cdot ((a \cdot f)(h))),
 \end{aligned}$$

thus showing that $(a \cdot f) \in Z^1(G, A)$.

Define the first cohomology set:

$$(2.4) \quad H^1(G, A) := Z^1(G, A)/A$$

as the set of equivalent classes of $Z^1(G, A)$ modulo the action of A . The constant 1-cocycle $g \mapsto 1$ is called the base point of $H^1(G, A)$. Clearly, if A is abelian, then $H^1(G, A)$ is the usual first cohomology group of G with coefficients in A . Now, let us recall a fundamental result from [GS06] about exact sequences of pointed sets. A sequence of pointed sets:

$$(2.5) \quad (A, a) \xrightarrow{f} (B, b) \xrightarrow{g} (C, c)$$

is exact at (B, b) if $g^{-1}(c)$, the kernel of g , is equal to the image of f .

From [GS06, Prop. 2.7.1 & 4.4.1] we quote:

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a group and*

$$(2.6) \quad 1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 1$$

an exact sequence of groups equipped with G -actions and with G -equivariant homomorphisms. Then there is an induced exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$(2.7) \quad 1 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B) \rightarrow H^1(G, C).$$

Moreover, if A is abelian and it is contained in the center of B , then the induced exact sequence of pointed sets extends one term to the right:

$$(2.8) \quad 1 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B) \rightarrow H^1(G, C) \rightarrow H^2(G, A).$$

Suppose A is the direct limit of a G -directed set $(A_k, \psi_k : A_k \rightarrow A_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a map:

$$(2.9) \quad \Gamma_{ij} : A_i \times A_j \longrightarrow A_{i+j}$$

such that:

- $\Gamma_{ij}(g \cdot a, g \cdot b) = g \cdot \Gamma_{ij}(a, b)$ for all $g \in G$, $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A_j$.
- $\Gamma_{ij}(aa', bb') = \Gamma_{ij}(a, b) \cdot \Gamma_{ij}(a', b')$ for all $a, a' \in A_i$ and $b, b' \in A_j$.
- Γ_{ij} is compatible with ψ_i and ψ_j .

In this case we can define a product on $H^1(G, A)$ as follows. Define the product on the set of 1-cocycles by the formula:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} Z^1(G, A_i) \times Z^1(G, A_j) &\longrightarrow Z^1(G, A_{i+j}) \\ (f_0, f_1) &\longmapsto f_0 f_1 \quad (f_0 f_1)(a) = \Gamma_{ij}(f_0(a), f_1(a)), \end{aligned}$$

and it can be shown that this product commutes with the action of G , thus inducing a well-defined product in cohomology:

$$(2.11) \quad H^1(G, A) \times H^1(G, A) \longrightarrow H^1(G, A).$$

2.2. Magnetic equivariant projective representations. Recall that the magnetic general linear group $\text{MGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the semidirect product:

$$(2.12) \quad \text{MGL}_n(\mathbb{C}) := \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts by conjugation on the matrices of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Explicitly the product is:

$$(2.13) \quad (\Lambda_0, \tau_0)(\Lambda_1, \tau_1) = (\Lambda_0 \mathbb{K}^{\tau_0} \Lambda_1, \tau_0 \tau_1), \quad \mathbb{K}^{\tau_0} \Lambda_1 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_1 & \text{if } \tau_0 = 1 \\ \overline{\mathbb{K} \Lambda_1} = \overline{\Lambda_1} & \text{if } \tau_0 = -1. \end{cases}$$

The magnetic projective linear group:

$$(2.14) \quad \text{MPGL}_n(\mathbb{C}) := \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$$

fits into the short exact sequence of groups:

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \text{MGL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{MPGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

where $\text{MPGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathbb{C}^* by complex conjugation.

The inclusion of groups:

$$(2.16) \quad \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}), \quad A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

allow us to define the direct limit of groups:

$$(2.17) \quad \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}) = \lim_n \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

and the groups $\text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$, $\text{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ and $\text{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ are defined accordingly, all of them fitting into the product in a direct limit presented in Eqn. (2.9).

A magnetic (G, ϕ) -representation is nothing else than a magnetic homomorphism $(G, \phi) \rightarrow \text{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ and a projective one is a homomorphism $(G, \phi) \rightarrow \text{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$. Because of the finite dimensionality property of the magnetic group (G, ϕ) , a magnetic (G, ϕ) -representation factors through a finite dimensional one $(G, \phi) \rightarrow \text{MGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$; the same applies to magnetic projective representations.

Note that a magnetic representation:

$$(2.18) \quad (G, \phi) \rightarrow \text{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}), \quad g \mapsto (\Lambda_g, \phi(g))$$

can also be represented as a ϕ -twisted homomorphism from G to $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$. The product in the group $g \cdot h = gh$ transforms into the equation:

$$(2.19) \quad (\Lambda_g, \phi(g))(\Lambda_h, \phi(h)) = (\Lambda_{gh}, \phi(gh))$$

which implies the equation:

$$(2.20) \quad \Lambda_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} \Lambda_h = \Lambda_{gh}.$$

Hence a magnetic representation induces an assignment $g \mapsto \Lambda_g$ such that:

$$(2.21) \quad \Lambda_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} \Lambda_h \Lambda_{gh}^{-1} = 1,$$

which is precisely the definition of a ϕ -twisted homomorphism from G to $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

We see that the condition of ϕ -twisted homomorphism 2.21 is nothing but the 1-cocycle condition 2.2 for $A = \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)$, so we have:

$$(2.22) \quad Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) = \{\phi - \text{twisted homomorphisms from } G \text{ to } \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)\}$$

$$(2.23) \quad Z^1(G, \mathrm{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) = \{\phi - \text{twisted homomorphisms from } G \text{ to } \mathrm{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)\}$$

and therefore we have the following isomorphisms of sets:

$$(2.24) \quad Z^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})),$$

$$(2.25) \quad Z^1(G, \mathrm{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})).$$

We say that two (G, ϕ) -representations are equivalent, or conjugate, if there is a complex change of basis from one to the other. That is, for magnetic representations $\rho, \rho' : (G, \phi) \rightarrow \mathrm{MGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, they are equivalent if there exists $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that:

$$(2.26) \quad \rho' = (M, 1) \rho (M^{-1}, 1).$$

For projective representations the same definition of equivalence holds.

In terms of ϕ -twisted homomorphisms the conjugation equation looks like:

$$(2.27) \quad M \Lambda_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} M^{-1} = \Lambda'_g,$$

which is nothing but condition 2.3, and therefore we have the isomorphism of pointed sets:

$$(2.28) \quad H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) /_{\mathrm{equiv}}$$

$$(2.29) \quad H^1(G, \mathrm{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) /_{\mathrm{equiv}}.$$

where the right-hand side denote the set of equivalence classes of magnetic (projective) representations and the base point of the right-hand side is the representation $g \mapsto \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}$.

Note that the set $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi))$ can be made into an abelian group by the construction 2.10 with Γ_{ij} the tensor product of representations. This is the map:

$$(2.30) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MGL}_p(\mathbb{C})) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MGL}_q(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mag}}(G, \mathrm{MGL}_{pq}(\mathbb{C}))$$

$$(2.31) \quad (\rho, \rho') \mapsto \rho \otimes \rho'$$

which is compatible with the equivalence classes of representations. Projective representations can also be tensored and thus $H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi))$ is also an abelian group and the canonical map:

$$(2.32) \quad H^1(G, \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi))$$

is a homomorphism of groups.

Now, by Prop. 2.1 we know that the short exact sequence of groups with G -action:

$$(2.33) \quad \mathbb{C}_\phi^* \rightarrow \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi),$$

where G acts through ϕ by complex conjugation on the three groups, induces the long exact sequence of groups:

$$(2.34) \quad (\mathbb{C}_\phi^*)^G \rightarrow \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)^G \rightarrow \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*).$$

Here the connection homomorphism $H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ can be understood as the assignment:

$$(2.35) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{Mag}}(G, \text{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) / \text{equiv} \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$$

$$(2.36) \quad [\psi : G \rightarrow \text{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})] \mapsto [\tilde{G} := \psi^* \text{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C})]$$

where \tilde{G} is the pullback in the following diagram:

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & \text{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

and $[\tilde{G}] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ is the equivalence class of the extension \tilde{G} of G by \mathbb{C}^* .

Generalizing to the equivariant setup [GS06, Theo. 4.4.5], we claim the following result:

Proposition 2.2. *The canonical map:*

$$(2.38) \quad H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi^*)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$$

is surjective. Therefore we have an isomorphism:

$$(2.39) \quad \frac{H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi^*))}{\text{image}(H^1(G, \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi^*)))} \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$$

Proof. By the classification of extension of groups we know that the group $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ classifies extensions of G by \mathbb{C}^* where G acts on \mathbb{C}^* via ϕ by complex conjugation. Therefore an element in $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ defines an extension of groups:

$$(2.40) \quad \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G$$

where the magnetic structure is given by the homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} := \pi \circ \phi$. The core $\tilde{G}_0 = \ker(\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2)$ of the magnetic group \tilde{G} is a central extension $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow G_0$ and by the Peter-Weyl theorem it has an irreducible representation V where \mathbb{C}^* acts by multiplication. According to Wigner [Wig59] this representation can be lifted to a magnetic representation W of \tilde{G} and therefore it defines an element in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Mag}}(\tilde{G}, \text{MGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ whose projectivization defines an element in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Mag}}(G, \text{MPGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$. The image of this element in $H^1(G, \text{PGL}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_\phi^*))$ is precisely the class of the extension \tilde{G} . This shows the surjectivity of the connection homomorphism. \square

We can see the previous argument at the level of cocycles. Recall that $H^*(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ is the cohomology of the cochain complex:

$$(2.41) \quad C^n(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) := \text{Maps}(G^n, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

with boundary map:

$$(2.42) \quad \delta : C^n(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$$

$$(2.43) \quad \delta f(g_0, \dots, g_n) = \phi(g_0) f(g_1, \dots, g_n) \prod_{j=1}^n f(g_0, \dots, g_{j-1} g_j, \dots, g_n)^{(-1)^j} f(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1})^{(-1)^{n+1}}.$$

For a 2-cocycle $\tau \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ we have the equation:

$$(2.44) \quad \phi(g) \tau(h, k) \tau(g, hk) = \tau(gh, k) \tau(g, h)$$

and we may define the group \tilde{G} as the set $\mathbb{C}^* \times G$ with product structure given by the formula:

$$(2.45) \quad (\lambda_0, g_0)(\lambda_1, g_1) := (\tau(g_0, g_1) \lambda_0 \phi(g_0) \lambda_1, g_0 g_1).$$

The magnetic group \tilde{G} is a compact Lie group and therefore the existence of a representation of \tilde{G} where \mathbb{C}^* acts by scalar multiplication is ensured by the Peter-Weyl theorem.

A magnetic projective magnetic representation of the group G can be encoded in matrices Λ_g for $g \in G$ such that:

$$(2.46) \quad \Lambda_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} \Lambda_h = \tau(g, h) \Lambda_{gh}$$

where $\tau(g, h) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ keeps track of the discrepancy satisfying Eqn. (2.44). If the cocycle τ is trivializable, i.e.:

$$(2.47) \quad \tau(g, h) = \delta \alpha(g, h) = \phi(g) \alpha(h) \alpha(gh)^{-1} \alpha(g),$$

then the projective magnetic representation can be lifted to a magnetic representation of G with assignment $g \mapsto \alpha(g)^{-1} \Lambda_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}$.

2.3. Magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group. Let (G, ϕ) be a magnetic group and $G_0 := \ker(\phi)$. We say that a graded algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ over \mathbb{C} is equivariant with respect to the magnetic group (G, ϕ) , if there is a homomorphism:

$$(2.48) \quad \tau : G \rightarrow \text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(A),$$

to the magnetic group of automorphisms $\text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(A)$ consisting of \mathbb{C} -linear and \mathbb{C} -antilinear graded algebra automorphisms of A .

In particular we have that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in A$:

$$(2.49) \quad \tau(g)(\lambda a_1 \cdot a_2) = (\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} \lambda) \tau(g)(a_1) \cdot \tau(g)(a_2),$$

where:

$$(2.50) \quad \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} \lambda = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K} \lambda = \bar{\lambda} & \text{if } \phi(g) = 1 \\ \lambda & \text{if } \phi(g) = 0. \end{cases}$$

In most cases the use of τ will be suppressed and we will write $ga = \tau(g)(a)$.

For B another (G, ϕ) -equivariant graded algebra $\tau' : G \rightarrow \text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(B)$, the graded tensor product $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is (G, ϕ) -equivariant with the action $g(a \hat{\otimes} b) = (ga) \hat{\otimes} (gb)$ for $g \in G$. The canonical isomorphisms:

$$(2.51) \quad (A \hat{\otimes} B) \hat{\otimes} C \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} (B \hat{\otimes} C) \quad \text{and} \quad A \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow B \hat{\otimes} A, \quad a \hat{\otimes} b \mapsto (-1)^{\partial a \partial b} b \hat{\otimes} a$$

are also (G, ϕ) -equivariant and the map associated to the (G, ϕ) action on $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is denoted $\tau \hat{\otimes} \tau' : G \rightarrow \text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(A \hat{\otimes} B)$. In fact, the category of (G, ϕ) -equivariant graded algebras together with the graded tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ and the trivial (G, ϕ) -equivariant graded algebra \mathbb{C} is a symmetric monoidal category.

We may consider the category of magnetic (G, ϕ) -equivariant central and simple graded algebras $\text{MECSGA}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ and we may define an equivalence relation of similarity in this context as follows. Consider direct sums of magnetic (G, ϕ) -representations:

$$(2.52) \quad (V, \rho) = (V_0, \rho_0) \oplus (V_1, \rho_1)$$

where $\rho_i : G \rightarrow \text{MGL}(V_i)$ are homomorphisms to the magnetic general linear group of the complex vector spaces V_i . Consider the graded algebra of endomorphisms:

$$(2.53) \quad \text{End}(V_0, V_1)$$

and endow it with the (G, ϕ) action given by conjugation by ρ . Namely, $F \in \text{End}(V_0, V_1)$ is mapped to $\rho(g)F\rho(g)^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

Two $\text{MECSGA}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ s A and B are similar, denoted as $A \sim B$, if there are magnetic (G, ϕ) -representations V_i, W_i such that there is an isomorphism:

$$(2.54) \quad A \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V_0, V_1) \cong B \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(W_0, W_1)$$

of graded algebras which is moreover G -equivariant.

Similarity is an equivalence relation in $\text{MECSGA}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$, and for this to hold, one has to check that the canonical isomorphism:

$$(2.55) \quad \text{End}(V_0, V_1) \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(W_0, W_1) \cong \text{End}((V \hat{\otimes} W)_0, (V \hat{\otimes} W)_1)$$

is G -equivariant.

The equivalence classes of similar $\text{MECSGA}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ s is called the *Magnetic (G, ϕ) -equivariant Brauer group* and will be denoted:

$$(2.56) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Note that in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\phi = \text{id}$, we recover the Graded Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ studied in §1.2.

The graded tensor product makes the magnetic (G, ϕ) -equivariant Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ into an abelian group. Let us understand its structure.

Proposition 2.3. *The graded magnetic (G, ϕ) -equivariant Brauer group fits into the non-split short exact sequence:*

$$(2.57) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

where the second map forgets the (G, ϕ) -equivariant structure of the algebras, and its kernel fits into the non-generally split short exact sequence:

$$(2.58) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 0$$

where G acts by complex conjugation on the coefficients \mathbb{C}_ϕ^* via the homomorphism ϕ .

Proof. A very important case when the exact sequences are not split is when the magnetic group is $(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})$. In this case we know that $\text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}/8$ and

$$(2.59) \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_{\text{id}}^*) = \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Therefore $\text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})' = \mathbb{Z}/4$ and none of the sequences split.

Now consider the natural homomorphism of magnetic groups $(G, \phi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})$ that ϕ defines, take the induced homomorphism, and note that it is compatible with the forgetful homomorphism making the following diagram commutative:

$$(2.60) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' & \longrightarrow & \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})' \cong \mathbb{Z}/4 & \longrightarrow & \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/8 & & \end{array}$$

Therefore the forgetful homomorphism is surjective and the algebra $\mathbb{C}[e]/\langle e^2 = 1 \rangle$ with G action defined by the automorphism $\text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$ belongs to $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ and maps to the generator in $\text{GrBr}(\mathbb{C})$.

Consider now the kernel $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$ of the forgetful map and take an algebra A in this subgroup. The algebra A is isomorphic to a graded endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(V_0, V_1)$ for some graded complex vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and G acts by graded automorphisms.

By the Skolem-Nöther Theorem [GS06, Thm. 2.7.2] the group G acts by inner automorphisms in $\text{End}(V_0, V_1)$, and therefore for every g in G there are homogeneous elements M_g in $h\text{End}(V)$ such that the G action is given by the inner automorphisms:

$$(2.61) \quad G \rightarrow \text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(\text{End}(V)), \quad g \mapsto \text{Ad}_{M_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}.$$

The endomorphisms M_g have a degree $\partial(M_g)$, where $\partial(M_g) = 0$ if M_g is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ and $\partial(M_g) = 1$ if M_g is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This degree induces an assignment:

$$(2.62) \quad \psi_A : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad g \mapsto \partial(M_g)$$

that becomes a homomorphism because $M_g \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} M_h$ and M_{gh} only differ by a scalar. The similarity class of A is therefore mapped to the homomorphism $\psi_A \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and the graded tensor product structure in $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$ induces the desired homomorphism:

$$(2.63) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2), \quad A \mapsto \psi_A.$$

This homomorphism is surjective because of the following construction. Take any homomorphism $\psi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and take the algebra $A = M_{|I|}(\mathbb{C})$ where the group G acts by graded automorphisms in the following form:

$$(2.64) \quad \tau_{\psi}(g) = \begin{cases} \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}} & \text{if } \psi(g) = 0 \\ \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}} & \text{if } \psi(g) = 1. \end{cases}$$

We have that the similarity class of A with G -action defined by τ_{ψ} defines an element in $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$, and by construction $\psi_A = \psi$. Therefore the assignment of Eqn. (2.63) is a surjective homomorphism of groups.

Now let us assume that ψ_A is the trivial homomorphism for $A = \text{End}(V)$ with $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. Then $A \in \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})''$ where we define:

$$(2.65) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'' = \ker \left(\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \right).$$

This implies that the endomorphisms M_g are all of degree 0, and therefore this action of G on A defines a magnetic projective representation on $V_0 \oplus V_1$. Note that $\text{End}(V_0, V_1)$ and $\text{End}(V_0 \oplus V_1, 0)$ are similar because we can tensor each algebra by the trivial representation $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, so in $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})''$ we can forget about the graduation of the vector spaces. Hence we can construct a map:

$$(2.66) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'' \rightarrow \frac{H^1(G, \text{PGL}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*))}{\text{image}(H^1(G, \text{GL}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*)))}$$

$$(2.67) \quad \text{End}(V) \mapsto [g \mapsto M_g]$$

which is well-defined because of Eqn. (2.54), it is an injective homomorphism of groups because of Eqn. 2.55, and it is surjective because for any magnetic projective representation W , the G action on the algebra $\text{End}(W)$ permits to recover W .

In view of Prop. 2.2 we therefore have that:

$$(2.68) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'' \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*)$$

and we obtain the short exact sequence of Eqn. (2.58):

$$(2.69) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here an element $\lambda \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ defines an extension of magnetic groups \widetilde{G}_λ as in Eqn. (2.40). If V_λ is a magnetic representation of \widetilde{G}_λ where $\mathbb{C}^* = \ker(\widetilde{G} \rightarrow G)$ acts by multiplication of scalars and $\widetilde{g} \mapsto M_{\widetilde{g}} \in \text{End}(V_\lambda)$, then the element λ in $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ is mapped to the algebra $\text{End}(V_\lambda)$ with automorphism:

$$(2.70) \quad \tau_\lambda(g) = \text{Ad}_{M_{\widetilde{g}}^{-1} \phi(g)}$$

where $g \in G$ and \widetilde{g} is any lift of g in \widetilde{G}_λ . Therefore we have the assignment:

$$(2.71) \quad \begin{aligned} H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})'' \\ \lambda &\mapsto (\text{End}(V_\lambda), \tau_\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

which realizes the isomorphism since both algebras $\text{End}(V_{\lambda_0}) \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V_{\lambda_1})$ and $\text{End}(V_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1})$ are similar. \square

The 2-cocycle associated to the extension of Eqn. (2.58) is given by a set theoretical map:

$$(2.72) \quad \iota \circ \rho : \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$$

which is defined by the composition of the 2-cocycle:

$$(2.73) \quad \rho : (\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

with the homomorphism:

$$(2.74) \quad \iota : H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$$

induced by the homomorphism in coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\phi^*$.

Proposition 2.4. *The 2-cocycle:*

$$(2.75) \quad \rho \in Z^2(\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2), H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2))$$

of the extension of Eqn. (2.58) is defined by the formula:

$$(2.76) \quad \rho(\psi_0, \psi_1)(g, h) := (-1)^{\psi_0(g)\psi_0(h) + \psi_0(g)\psi_1(h) + \psi_1(g)\psi_1(h)}$$

where $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, $g, h \in G$, and the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is taken with the additive group structure of $\{0, 1\}$, while the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is taken with the multiplicative group structure of $\{1, -1\}$.

Proof. This explicit choice of 2-cocycle follows from the construction done in Eqn. (2.63) of a prescribed $\text{MECSGA}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ for each given homomorphisms $\psi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Let us elaborate.

Define the set theoretical section of the homomorphism of Eqn. (2.63) by the assignment:

$$(2.77) \quad \sigma : \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$$

$$(2.78) \quad \psi \mapsto (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\psi})$$

where $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0}$ is the real Clifford algebra and the G action is defined by the equation:

$$(2.79) \quad \tau_{\psi}(g) = \text{Ad}_{e_1^{\psi(g)} \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$$

with $e_1^2 = 1$ and the adjoint action conjugates by $e_1^{\psi(g)}$. Here we are using the results presented in Eqn. (1.29) of the complexification of the real Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0}$.

Now take two homomorphism $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and denote their product by $\psi_{01} := \psi_0 + \psi_1$. The failure of σ to be a homomorphism will provide us with the 2-cocycle condition that we are looking for. Consider the product of $\text{MECSGA}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})$'s:

$$(2.80) \quad \sigma(\psi_0) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_{01})^{-1}$$

and note that this product lies in $\text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})''$. The projective representation that the G -action defines will return the desired cocycle.

The inverse of the algebra $\sigma(\psi_{01})$ appearing in Eqn. (2.80) is given by the opposite algebra of the complexification of the Clifford algebra $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0}$. Therefore we may take:

$$(2.81) \quad \sigma(\psi_{01})^{-1} = ((C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\psi_{01}}^{\text{op}})$$

where $\tau_{\psi_{01}}^{\text{op}}(g) = \text{Ad}_{e_5 \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$ whenever $\psi_{01}(g) = 1$ and $e_5^2 = -1$.

Fixing notation we will have the equations:

$$(2.82) \quad C_{\mathbb{R}}^{4,2} \cong C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2}, \quad e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_4^2 = 1, \quad e_5^2 = e_6^2 = -1$$

with $\tau_{\psi_0}(g) = \text{Ad}_{e_1 \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$ whenever $\psi_0(g) = 1$, and $\tau_{\psi_1}(g) = \text{Ad}_{e_3 \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$ whenever $\psi_1(g) = 1$.

The algebra of Eqn. (2.80) is therefore:

$$(2.83) \quad \sigma(\psi_0) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_{01})^{-1} = (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\psi_1}) \hat{\otimes} (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\psi_1}) \hat{\otimes} (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\psi_{01}}^{\text{op}})$$

$$(2.84) \quad \cong \left(C_{\mathbb{R}}^{4,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \tau_{\psi_0} \hat{\otimes} \tau_{\psi_1} \hat{\otimes} \tau_{\psi_{01}}^{\text{op}} \right).$$

Denoting:

$$(2.85) \quad \tau := \tau_{\psi_0} \hat{\otimes} \tau_{\psi_1} \hat{\otimes} \tau_{\psi_{01}}^{\text{op}}$$

we see that:

$$(2.86) \quad \tau(g) = \text{Ad}_{e_1^{\psi_0(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(g)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(g)} \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$$

and therefore the 2-cocycle $\rho(\psi_0, \psi_1)$ is defined by the equation:

$$(2.87) \quad \left(e_1^{\psi_0(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(g)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(g)} \right) \left(e_1^{\psi_0(h)} e_3^{\psi_1(h)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(h)} \right) = \sigma(\psi_0, \psi_1)(g, h) \left(e_1^{\psi_0(gh)} e_3^{\psi_1(gh)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(gh)} \right).$$

Using the anticommutation relation of the e_j 's we obtain the following equations:

$$(2.88) \quad \left(e_1^{\psi_0(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(g)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(g)}\right) \left(e_1^{\psi_0(h)} e_3^{\psi_1(h)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(h)}\right)$$

$$(2.89) \quad = (-1)^{\psi_0(h)\psi_{01}(g)+\psi_0(h)\psi_1(g)} \left(e_1^{\psi_0(g)} e_1^{\psi_0(h)} e_3^{\psi_1(g)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(h)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(h)}\right)$$

$$(2.90) \quad = (-1)^{\psi_0(h)\psi_0(g)+\psi_1(h)\psi_{01}(g)} \left(e_1^{\psi_0(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(h)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(g)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(h)}\right)$$

$$(2.91) \quad = (-1)^{\psi_0(g)\psi_0(h)+\psi_0(g)\psi_1(h)+\psi_1(g)\psi_1(h)} \left(e_1^{\psi_0(g)} e_3^{\psi_1(g)} e_5^{\psi_{01}(g)}\right),$$

thus showing that the 2-cocycle is indeed:

$$(2.92) \quad \rho(\psi_0, \psi_1)(g, h) = (-1)^{\psi_0(g)\psi_0(h)+\psi_0(g)\psi_1(h)+\psi_1(g)\psi_1(h)}.$$

□

Define the group:

$$(2.93) \quad H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

as the set $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \times \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with product structure:

$$(2.94) \quad (\lambda_0, \psi_0)(\lambda_1, \psi_1) := ((-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1} \lambda_0 \lambda_1, \psi_0 + \psi_1)$$

where $\psi_0 \cup \psi_1 \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the cup product of ψ_0 with ψ_1 defined by the formula:

$$(2.95) \quad (\psi_0 \cup \psi_1)(g, h) = \psi_0(g)\psi_1(h)$$

for $g, h \in G$. Then we see that the product:

$$(2.96) \quad (\lambda_0, \psi_0)(\lambda_1, \psi_1)(\lambda_2, \psi_0 + \psi_1) = ((-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1} \lambda_0 \lambda_1, \psi_0 + \psi_1)(\lambda_2, \psi_0 + \psi_1)$$

$$(2.97) \quad = ((-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_0 + \psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_1 \cup \psi_1} \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2, 0)$$

encodes the cohomology class of the cocycle $\rho(\psi_0, \psi_1)$ of Eqn. (2.76), i.e.

$$(2.98) \quad [\rho(\psi_0, \psi_1)] = (-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_0 + \psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_1 \cup \psi_1} \in H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*).$$

Corollary 2.5. *The assignment:*

$$(2.99) \quad \left(H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$$

$$(2.100) \quad (\lambda, \psi) \mapsto \text{End}(V_\lambda) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi)$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

Proof. The result follows from Props. 2.3 and 2.4, and the fact that the algebra $\text{End}(V_{\rho(\psi_0, \psi_1)})$ is similar to the algebra $\rho(\psi_0) \hat{\otimes} \rho(\psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \rho(\psi_{01})^{-1}$ since they define the same classes in $\text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$ and by the isomorphism of Eqn. (2.68) they induce the same classes in $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$. □

Now, the 2-cocycle:

$$(2.101) \quad \beta : \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$$

of the extension of Eqn. (2.57) measures the failure of the section:

$$(2.102) \quad \alpha : \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(2.103) \quad 0 \mapsto (\mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}})$$

$$(2.104) \quad 1 \mapsto (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}})$$

to be a homomorphism. Here we are using the complexification of the Clifford algebra appearing in Eqn. (1.24). The 2-cocycle β is non-trivial only for $\beta(1, 1)$, and in this case we have that:

$$(2.105) \quad \beta(1, 1) = \alpha(1) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(1) \cong (C_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \text{Ad}_{e_1^{\phi(g)} \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}).$$

Note that:

$$(2.106) \quad \beta(1, 1) = \sigma(\phi)$$

where $\sigma(\phi)$ is defined in Eqns. (2.78), (2.64) and (2.79). Therefore we may take:

$$(2.107) \quad \beta(a_0, a_1) = \sigma(\phi^{a_0 a_1}).$$

Define the group:

$$(2.108) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \times_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/2$$

as the set $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ with group structure:

$$(2.109) \quad (A_0, a_0)(A_1, a_1) := (A_1 \hat{\otimes} A_2 \hat{\otimes} \beta(a_0, a_1), a_0 + a_1)$$

$$(2.110) \quad = (A_1 \hat{\otimes} A_2 \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\phi^{a_0 a_1}), a_0 + a_1)$$

then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *The assignment:*

$$(2.111) \quad \left(\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})' \times_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/2 \right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(2.112) \quad (A, a) \mapsto A \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a)$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

Note that $\sigma(\phi)$ in $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})'$ corresponds to $(1, \phi)$ in $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Therefore we may define the group:

$$(2.113) \quad \left(H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \right) \dot{\times} \mathbb{Z}/2$$

as the set $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*) \times \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ with product structure:

$$(2.114) \quad (\lambda_0, \psi_0, a_0)(\lambda_1, \psi_1, a_1) := ((-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_0 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1} + \psi_1 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1}} \lambda_0 \lambda_1, \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \phi^{a_0 a_1}, a_0 + a_1)$$

Now we can now bundle up corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 to obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.7. *The assignment:*

$$(2.115) \quad \left(H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \times \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \right) \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(2.116) \quad (\lambda, \psi, a) \mapsto \text{End}(V_\lambda) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a)$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

Proof. We just need to show that the assignment is a homomorphism of groups. We have on the one side that:

$$(2.117) \quad (\lambda_0, \psi_0, a_0)(\lambda_1, \psi_1, a_1) = ((-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_0 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1} + \psi_1 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1}} \lambda_0 \lambda_1, \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \phi^{a_0 a_1}, a_0 + a_1)$$

is mapped to:

$$(2.118) \quad \text{End}(V_{(-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_0 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1} + \psi_1 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1}} \lambda_0 \lambda_1}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_0 + \psi_1 + \phi^{a_0 a_1}) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a_0 + a_1);$$

while on the other we have the product:

$$(2.119) \quad \text{End}(V_{\lambda_0}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_0) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a_0) \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V_{\lambda_1}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a_1).$$

Whenever a_0 or a_1 equal 0, then the equality of the expressions (2.118) and (2.119) follows from Cor. 2.5. If both a_0 and a_1 equal 1, then $a_0 + a_1 = 0$, and:

$$(2.120) \quad \text{End}(V_{(-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_0 \cup \phi + \psi_1 \cup \phi}}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_0 + \psi_1 + \phi) = \sigma(\psi_0 + \psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\phi)$$

$$(2.121) \quad = \sigma(\psi_0 + \psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(1) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(1)$$

together with:

$$(2.122) \quad \text{End}(V_{(-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1}}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_0 + \psi_1) = \sigma(\psi_0) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_1).$$

Assembling these equalities we get the equality:

$$(2.123) \quad \text{End}(V_{(-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1 + \psi_0 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1} + \psi_1 \cup \phi^{a_0 a_1}} \lambda_0 \lambda_1}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_0 + \psi_1 + \phi^{a_0 a_1}) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a_0 + a_1)$$

$$(2.124) \quad = \text{End}(V_{\lambda_0}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_0) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a_0) \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V_{\lambda_1}) \hat{\otimes} \sigma(\psi_1) \hat{\otimes} \alpha(a_1),$$

which implies that the assignment of (2.116) is a homomorphism. The injectivity and surjectivity follow from corollaries 2.5 and 2.6. \square

2.4. Relation to other equivariant graded Brauer groups. The explicit decomposition of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group presented in Thm. 2.7 fits within a series of decomposition results of Brauer groups of different kinds. Here we will survey some of these decompositions.

Using Galois cohomology it can be shown that the Bauer group of a field k can be described in cohomological terms as follows [GS06, Thm. 4.4.7]:

$$(2.125) \quad \text{Br}(k) \cong H^2(\text{Gal}(k_s/k), k_s^*)$$

where k_s is the separable closure of k and the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ acts on the coefficients k_s^* .

The equivariant extension of the Brauer group is due to Fröhlich, and in [Frö72, Thm. 4.1] it is shown the following isomorphism:

$$(2.126) \quad \text{Br}_G(k) \cong \text{Br}(k) \times H^2(G, k^*)$$

where G acts trivially on k^* .

The extension to graded algebras was due to Wall and the graded Brauer group was born (also known as Brauer-Wall group). In [Wal64, Thm. 3] the extension of groups is shown, cf. [Lam05, Thm. 4.4]:

$$(2.127) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}(k) \rightarrow (k^*/k^{*2}) \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

where the product structure on $(k^*/k^{*2}) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ is given by the formula:

$$(2.128) \quad (d, e)(d', e') = ((-1)^{ee'} dd', e + e'),$$

and the 2-cocycle of the extension has a similar description than the one in Prop. 2.4.

The equivariant graded Brauer group was introduced by Riehm, and in [Rie05, Thm. 4] the following isomorphism is shown:

$$(2.129) \quad \text{GrBr}_G(k) \cong (H^2(G, k^*) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \times \text{GrBr}(k)$$

where the twisted product $\dot{\times}$ is defined by the 2-cocycle which is the composition of the cup product with the homomorphism induced by the inclusion of coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow k^*$:

$$(2.130) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) &\xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(G, k^*) \\ (\psi_0, \psi_1) &\mapsto \psi_0 \cup \psi_1 \mapsto (-1)^{\psi_0 \cup \psi_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the decomposition formula of Eqn. (2.129) to the cases of $k = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ we obtain the following formulas:

$$(2.131) \quad \text{GrBr}_G(\mathbb{R}) \cong (H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \times \mathbb{Z}/8,$$

$$(2.132) \quad \text{GrBr}_G(\mathbb{C}) \cong (H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*) \dot{\times} \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \times \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Relating these two decompositions of the graded equivariant Brauer groups to the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer groups we get the following homomorphisms.

Take the magnetic group $(G_0 \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)$ and note that the complexification of real algebras induces the homomorphism:

$$(2.133) \quad \text{GrBr}_{G_0}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(G_0 \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

This homomorphism fails to be an isomorphism for many groups, and the explicit examples will be shown in the next section.

If $G_0 = \ker(G \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the core of the magnetic group G , the restriction of the G action to G_0 induces a homomorphism of groups:

$$(2.134) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{G_0}(\mathbb{C})$$

which is surjective whenever the homomorphism:

$$(2.135) \quad H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \rightarrow H^2(G_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

is surjective. The explicit examples will be shown in the next section.

2.5. Examples. Prior to introducing the examples, let us start by recalling some well known facts on the cohomology of groups.

Consider the short exact sequence of coefficients:

$$(2.136) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\phi \xrightarrow{\times 2\pi i} \mathbb{C}_\phi \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{C}_\phi^* \rightarrow 0$$

compatible with the G -action induced by the homomorphism ϕ , that is, on \mathbb{Z}_ϕ and \mathbb{C}_ϕ it acts by the sign representation, and on \mathbb{C}_ϕ^* by complex conjugation.

We know that $H^j(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$ and therefore the long exact sequence in coefficients imply that:

$$(2.137) \quad H^j(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \cong H^{j+1}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\phi) \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Take now C to be a finite cyclic group and M any abelian group with an action of C ; this is the same as a $\mathbb{Z}C$ -module.

From [Bro82, p.59] we know that the cohomology of the cyclic group C with coefficients in the module M can be determined for $k \geq 1$ by the isomorphisms:

$$(2.138) \quad H^0(C, M) \cong M^C$$

$$(2.139) \quad H^{2k}(C, M) \cong \text{coker}(M_C \rightarrow M^C) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1$$

$$(2.140) \quad H^{2k-1}(C, M) \cong \ker(M_C \rightarrow M^C) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1$$

where the invariants and the coinvariants are respectively defined as follows:

$$(2.141) \quad M^C = \{m \in M \mid \forall g \in C \, gm = m\}$$

$$(2.142) \quad M_C = M / \langle gm - m \mid g \in C, m \in M \rangle$$

and the homomorphism:

$$(2.143) \quad M_C \rightarrow M^C, \quad [m] \mapsto \sum_{g \in C} gm$$

is called the norm map.

For the group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ we have the coefficients \mathbb{Z} with trivial action and \mathbb{Z}_- with the sign representation. Here we have $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}_-)_{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $(\mathbb{Z}_-)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = 0$ and therefore for $k \geq 1$ we have the isomorphism:

$$(2.144) \quad H^{2k}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2k-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}_-) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$(2.145) \quad H^{2k-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}) = H^{2k}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}_-) = 0.$$

To calculate $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ for the magnetic group (G, ϕ) we use the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre (LHS) spectral sequence associated to the short exact sequence of groups:

$$(2.146) \quad G_0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

The second page of the spectral sequence gives us the terms:

$$(2.147) \quad E_2^{2,0} = H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_{\text{id}}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$(2.148) \quad E_2^{1,1} = H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, H^1(G_0, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)),$$

$$(2.149) \quad E_2^{0,2} = H^2(G_0, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)^{\mathbb{Z}/2},$$

and the second differential $d_2 : E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$ is the only differential that might be non-zero. This follows from the fact that the term:

$$(2.150) \quad E_2^{3,0} \cong H^3(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_{\text{id}}^*) \cong H^4(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}_-) = 0$$

and therefore $E_3^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$ for $p + q = 2$.

Example 2.8 (Cyclic magnetic groups). Consider the magnetic cyclic group $(G, \phi) = (\mathbb{Z}/(2n), \text{mod}_2)$. We have in this case $(\mathbb{Z}_-)^{\mathbb{Z}/2n} = 0$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_-)_{\mathbb{Z}/2n} = \mathbb{Z}/2$, and therefore:

$$(2.151) \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) = H^3(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}_-)$$

$$(2.152) \quad = \ker \left((\mathbb{Z}_-)_{\mathbb{Z}/2n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_-)^{\mathbb{Z}/2n} \right)$$

$$(2.153) \quad = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Note that $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and the inclusion of coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\phi^*$ induces an isomorphism:

$$(2.154) \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*).$$

The non-trivial element in $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ defines the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -central extension of $\mathbb{Z}/2n$ given by:

$$(2.155) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n.$$

If we consider any surjective homomorphism $\mu : \mathbb{Z}/2n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2k$ of the magnetic groups $(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \text{mod}_2)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/2k, \text{mod}_2)$ with $n > k$, we have that the pullback of $\mathbb{Z}/4k$ by μ is not a cyclic group. Explicitly we have:

$$(2.156) \quad \mu^*(\mathbb{Z}/4k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2n$$

and therefore the pullback homomorphism:

$$(2.157) \quad \mu^* : H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2k, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is trivial. Since the class in $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is generated by the square of the non-trivial class in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, we have that the square of the non trivial class in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2)$

trivializes in $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. We conclude that:

$$(2.158) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \text{mod}_2)}(\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/8 & n = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4 & n > 1, \end{cases}$$

and the induced homomorphisms for $1 < k < n$ are the following:

$$(2.159) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2k, \text{mod}_2)}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2k, \text{mod}_2)}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \text{mod}_2)}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(2.160) \quad \mathbb{Z}/8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4 \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$$

$$(2.161) \quad a \mapsto (0, a) \quad (c, d) \mapsto (0, d).$$

Note that the generator of the Brauer group $\text{Br}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C})$ can be taken to be the algebra:

$$(2.162) \quad \left(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right),$$

being the image of \mathbb{H} in the Brauer group $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R})$ after complexification, as it is shown in Eqn. (1.38). The magnetic projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ that the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}$ defines, is not projective once it is being pulled back to $\mathbb{Z}/2k$. This shows that the morphism:

$$(2.163) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \text{Br}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\times 0} \text{Br}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2k, \text{mod}_2)}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$$

is trivial.

Example 2.9 (Direct and semidirect product by $\mathbb{Z}/2$). Consider the magnetic group of the form $(G, \phi) = (G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)$. In this case the homomorphisms of magnetic groups:

$$(2.164) \quad (\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id}) \xrightarrow{\iota} (G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})$$

with $\iota(a) = (1_G, a)$ and $\pi_2 \circ \iota = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, implies that:

$$(2.165) \quad \mathbb{Z}/8 \cong \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{GrBr}_{(G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The second differential of the LHS spectral sequence is trivial for the elements with $p + q \leq 2$ and we have that:

$$(2.166) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, H^1(G_0, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)) \rightarrow \frac{H^2(G_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)}{H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_{\text{id}}^*)} \rightarrow H^2(G_0, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow 0$$

Let us take $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}/2n$ and the direct product $\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ with ϕ the projection on the second coordinate. In this case we have:

$$(2.167) \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*) \cong H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{C}_{\text{id}}^*) \times H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n_-})$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n} \cong H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action conjugates the homomorphisms in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n_-}$.

The non-trivial twisted homomorphism in:

$$(2.168) \quad H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n_-}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$$

corresponds to the projective representation of the magnetic group generated by the matrices:

$$(2.169) \quad (1, 0) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (0, 1) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}.$$

Note that the commutator of these two matrices is a non-trivial element in the center of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$; hence it is a projective representation of the magnetic group $(\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)$.

Since $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, we obtain the following homomorphisms:

$$(2.170) \quad \text{GrBr}_{\mathbb{Z}/2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(2.171) \quad H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \mathbb{Z}/8 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \mathbb{Z}/8$$

$$(2.172) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/8$$

$$(2.173) \quad (a, b, c) \mapsto (0, b, c).$$

Forgetting further the antilinear automorphisms we get the homomorphism:

$$(2.174) \quad \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GrBr}_{\mathbb{Z}/2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(2.175) \quad H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \mathbb{Z}/8 \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{C}^*) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$$

$$(2.176) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$$

$$(2.177) \quad (a, b, c) \mapsto (0, b, c).$$

Remark 2.10. The magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2, \pi_2)}(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the graded real equivariant Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{\mathbb{Z}/2n}(\mathbb{R})$, but the complexification from the latter to the former is not an isomorphism. The real projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}/2n$ is not projective once complexified, and there is a magnetic projective representation of the magnetic group $\mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ which is of complex type and does not appear as a complexification of a real projective representation.

2.6. Relation to Dyson's ten-fold classification. In 1962, while studying symmetries of Hamiltonians, physicist F. Dyson argued that the three-fold classification of associative division algebras by Frobenius should be enhanced by a ten-fold classification which incorporates the symmetries of graded vector spaces [Dys62].

This ten-fold classification of Dyson is obtained when studying the algebra of endomorphisms of irreducible graded representations of graded groups [GM21]. Let us see recall the main constructions.

Let (G, ϕ) be a magnetic group, and endow it with an extra grading defined by a homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let V be a complex graded magnetic representation of G , meaning that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a graded vector space, the elements of $\psi^{-1}(0)$ act by homogeneous endomorphisms of degree 0 while the elements of $\psi^{-1}(1)$ act by homogeneous endomorphisms of degree 1, and the elements of $G_0 = \phi^{-1}(0)$ act complex linearly while the elements of $G \setminus G_0$ act complex antilinearly.

The endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(V)$ can be endowed with an action of G by conjugation:

$$(2.178) \quad G \times \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

$$(2.179) \quad (g, \Phi) \mapsto g\Phi g^{-1},$$

making it a magnetic equivariant central simple graded algebra, and thus defining a similarity class in $\text{GrBr}_{(G, \phi)}(\mathbb{C})$.

If the representation V is irreducible, the algebra of G -invariant endomorphisms $\text{End}(V)^G$, which is the same as the G -equivariant endomorphisms, becomes a graded division algebra over the reals. The explicit classification of the ten possibilities was masterfully done by Dyson [Dys62] and was later related to the classification of the graded division algebras over the reals [GM21].

If the homomorphism ψ is trivial, then the irreducible representation V can be taken to be of degree 0, and the algebra of G -invariant endomorphism gives rise to the three-fold classification originally due to Wigner [Wig59]. If $V|_{G_0}$ denotes the complex representation restricted to G_0 , then in this case we have:

$$(2.180) \quad \text{End}(V)^G = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } V|_{G_0} \text{ is irreducible,} \\ \mathbb{H} & \text{if } V|_{G_0} \cong W \oplus W \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where W denotes an irreducible complex G_0 -representation.

Note two important facts. First, the algebra $\text{End}(V)$ for V irreducible of degree 0, is trivial in the graded Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$, independently of the magnetic group G . Second, for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ we have always that $\text{End}(V)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore we see that there is no way to extract information of the G -invariant algebras out of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer groups, except perhaps for the magnetic group $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Since the algebra $\text{End}(V)$ is trivial in $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$, then one would expect that its G -invariant part would be trivial in $\text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R})$. But as we have shown in Eqn. (2.180), this is not possible.

The case of the magnetic group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is special in the sense that taking $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariants is the inverse map of the complexification isomorphism:

$$(2.181) \quad \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\otimes \mathbb{C}} \text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{id})}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\wedge (\mathbb{Z}/2)} \text{GrBr}(\mathbb{R}).$$

For the magnetic group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ we can relate its graded equivariant Brauer groups with the set of real graded division algebras, as it is shown in Table 1. Meanwhile for a general magnetic group (G, ϕ) , the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ does not relate in the same manner as for the group $\mathbb{Z}/2$ to the set of real graded division algebras.

3. TWISTINGS IN MAGNETIC EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY

The graded Brauer group appears as the natural group parametrizing twistings in K-theory. This has been masterfully shown by Donovan and Karoubi in [DK70] where they study and analyze twisted K-theory as the appropriate K-theory with local coefficients, building on the theory of Banach categories developed by Karoubi in his thesis [Kar68].

The foundations, properties and results of Karoubi's approach to twisted K-theory provide an appropriate background where graded Brauer groups are the fundamental objects, and the K-theories associated to them recover the well known K-theories built from vector bundles on spaces. Here we will follow Karoubi's approach to distinguish the twists on

magnetic equivariant K-theory [UJSGXM26]. The main construction involves the theory of Banach categories which we will follow from Karoubi's book [Kar08a], and from the survey on the relation of twisted K-theory and the graded Brauer groups done by the same author [Kar08b].

Summarizing some of the results by Donovan and Karoubi [DK70] and incorporating some of the results of Atiyah and Segal [AS04], we have that the complex and real equivariant graded Brauer groups of a finite G -CW-complex X become:

$$(3.1) \quad \text{GrBrU}_G(X) \cong \left(\text{Tors}(H_G^3(X, \mathbb{Z})) \dot{\times} H_G^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \right) \times \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{GrBrO}_G(X) \cong \left(H_G^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \dot{\times} H_G^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \right) \times \mathbb{Z}/8.$$

Here the G -equivariant cohomology groups H_G^* stand for the cohomology of the homotopy quotient $X \times_G EG$. These two groups parametrize respectively the twistings in the K-theory $\text{KU}_G(X)$ of complex G -equivariant bundles over X and in the K-theory $\text{KO}_G(X)$ of real G -equivariant bundles over X . In both cases the product structure $\dot{\times}$ incorporates the cup product in cohomology as in Cor. 2.5, where in the unitary case it is further composed with the integer Bockstein map.

The authors together with M. Xiconténcatl [UJSGXM26] have introduced the magnetic equivariant K-theory $\mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}(X)$ as the K-theory group of complex vector bundles over X endowed with actions of the magnetic group (G, ϕ) . The twistings of this K-theory have been assumed by other authors [FM13, Gom23] to behave in similar way as the ones of the K-theories KU and KO presented in Eqns. (3.1) and (3.2). Here we will argue that the appropriate graded equivariant Brauer groups for magnetic equivariant K-theory are the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer groups. In particular we will show that the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ parametrizes the twistings of the magnetic equivariant K-theory $\mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}(*)$ of a point.

One main application of this perspective is the alternative proof of the degree shift isomorphism [Gom23, Thm. 3.12] presented in Prop. 3.4, and its consequence, which is a 4-periodicity on the total magnetic equivariant K-theory for certain magnetic groups presented in Cor. 3.5.

3.1. K-theory à la Karoubi. Let $(A, \tau : G \rightarrow \text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(A))$ be a magnetic (G, ϕ) -equivariant central simple graded algebra, thus defining a similarity class in $\text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$. Following Karoubi [DK70, §5], consider the category:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_{(G,\phi)}^A$$

whose objects are (G, ϕ) -equivariant A -modules and whose morphisms are degree 0 morphisms of A -modules which are G -equivariant. These modules are graded A -modules $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ endowed with a compatible action of G such that:

$$(3.4) \quad g \cdot (av) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot v)$$

for every $g \in G$, $a \in A$ and $v \in V$ [KW17, Def. 1.1]. Let $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{(G,\phi)}^A$ be the category whose objects are the same as in $\mathcal{E}_{(G,\phi)}^A$ but whose morphisms are not necessarily of degree 0.

The automorphisms of A parametrized by G can be lifted to the algebra:

$$(3.5) \quad A^{p,q} := A \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}$$

making it a magnetic (G, ϕ) -equivariant central simple graded algebra. Here the G action on $A \hat{\otimes} C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}$ is:

$$(3.6) \quad g \cdot (a \hat{\otimes} b) := (g \cdot a) \hat{\otimes} (\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)} b)$$

for $a \in A$ and $b \in C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}$. The forgetful functor:

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi^{p,q} : \mathcal{E}_{(G,\phi)}^{A^{p,q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{(G,\phi)}^{A^{p,q}}$$

is a Banach functor between Banach categories [Kar66], and the Grothendieck group:

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^{A^{p,q}}$$

is the K-group $K(\varphi^{p,q})$ of the Banach functor $\varphi^{p,q}$ [Kar68, pp. 191]¹. An element in this K-theory group consists of the homotopy class of a triple (E, F, σ) with E, F objects in $\mathcal{E}_{(G,\phi)}^{A^{p,q}}$ and $\sigma : \varphi^{p,q} E \xrightarrow{\cong} \varphi^{p,q} F$ an isomorphism not necessarily of degree 0. We set:

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^A := \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^{A^{0,0}}.$$

If $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a graded magnetic representation of (G, ϕ) , the functor:

$$(3.10) \quad \gamma : \mathcal{E}_{(G,\phi)}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{(G,\phi)}^{A \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V)} \quad F \mapsto F \hat{\otimes} V$$

is an equivalence of Banach categories [DK70, Thm. 16], and therefore it induces an isomorphism in K-theories

$$(3.11) \quad \gamma_* : \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^A \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^{A \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(V)}.$$

The previous isomorphism of Eqn. (3.11) shows that the K-theory thus defined is independent of the algebra in the similarity class (see Eqn. (2.54)). Following [DK70, Def. 17] we can define:

Definition 3.1. For $\alpha \in \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$ we define $\mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^\alpha$ (up to canonical isomorphism) as the Grothendieck group $\mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^A$ for any A in the class of α . The complete K-theory groups are then:

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^{\text{tot}} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{GrBr}_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})} \mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^\alpha$$

¹To be precise, this is the definition appearing in [Kar68, Rem. 2, pp 203] and also appearing in [DK70, §5].

3.2. Twisted magnetic equivariant K-theory. In order to recover the magnetic equivariant K-theory groups of a point defined in [UJSGXM26], we will first introduce some alternative forms of understanding (G, ϕ) -equivariant A -modules. Take the twisted algebra $A \rtimes G$ as the algebra of linear combinations of elements (a, g) with $a \in A$ and $g \in G$ with product:

$$(3.13) \quad (a, g)(b, h) = (a(g \cdot b), gh),$$

and consider the category $\mathcal{E}^{A \rtimes G}$ of graded modules M of this algebra where:

$$(3.14) \quad (a, g)\lambda m = (\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}\lambda)(a, g)m$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in M$.

Lemma 3.2. *The categories $\mathcal{E}_{(G, \phi)}^A$ and $\mathcal{E}^{A \rtimes G}$ are naturally equivalent. Hence:*

$$(3.15) \quad \mathbf{K}_{(G, \phi)}^A \cong \mathbf{K}^{A \rtimes G}.$$

Proof. The categories $\mathcal{E}_{(G, \phi)}^A$ and $\mathcal{E}^{A \rtimes G}$ are naturally equivalent because any module M of $A \rtimes G$ is an A -module by the assignment $am := (a, 1_G)m$ and the action $g \cdot m := (1_A, g)m$ implies the equations:

$$(3.16) \quad g \cdot (am) = (1_A, g)(am)$$

$$(3.17) \quad = (1_A, g)(a, 1_G)m$$

$$(3.18) \quad = (g \cdot a, g)m$$

$$(3.19) \quad = (g \cdot a, 1_G)(1_A, g)m$$

$$(3.20) \quad = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot m)$$

which matches the definition of graded A -modules endowed with compatible G actions of Eqn. (3.4). We have therefore the isomorphism of K-theory groups $\mathbf{K}_{(G, \phi)}^A \cong \mathbf{K}^{A \rtimes G}$, where the right-hand side is the K-theory of the obvious Banach functor. \square

In the particular case that the automorphisms $\tau : G \rightarrow \text{MAut}_{\text{gr}}(A)$ are given by inner automorphisms $\text{Ad}_{X(g)\mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}}$ with $X(g) \in A$ of degree 0 for all $g \in G$ as in Eqn. (2.70), we know that the composition rule:

$$(3.21) \quad X(g)\overline{X(h)}^{\phi(g)} = \rho(g, h)X(gh)$$

defines a 2-cocycle $\rho \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}_\phi^*)$ satisfying the equation:

$$(3.22) \quad \rho(g, hk)\overline{\rho(h, k)}^{\phi(g)} = \rho(gh, k)\rho(g, h).$$

This is the cocycle description of what has been presented in Prop. 2.2 with a simplified notation defined as follows:

$$(3.23) \quad \overline{X(h)}^{\phi(g)} := \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}X(h) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\rho(h, k)}^{\phi(g)} := \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}\rho(h, k).$$

Define the algebra $A_\rho[G]$ as the linear combination of elements $[a, g]$ with $a \in A$, $g \in G$ and product structure:

$$(3.24) \quad [a, g][b, h] = [\rho(g, h)ab^{\overline{\phi(g)}}, gh].$$

Then we have the following isomorphism (cf. [KW17, Thm. 1.8]):

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that the group G acts on A by inner automorphisms $\text{Ad}_{X(g)} \mathbb{K}^{\phi(g)}$ with $X(g) \in A$ of degree 0 for all $g \in G$ satisfying the composition rule of Eqn. (3.21) with the 2-cocycle ρ satisfying Eqn. (3.22). Then the assignment:*

$$(3.25) \quad A \rtimes G \xrightarrow{\cong} A_\rho[G]$$

$$(3.26) \quad (a, g) \mapsto [aX(g), g]$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. In particular the following isomorphism hold:

$$(3.27) \quad \mathbf{K}_{(G, \phi)}^A \cong \mathbf{K}^{A \rtimes G} \cong \mathbf{K}^{A_\rho[G]}.$$

Proof. We just need to show that the assignment preserves the product structure. On the one hand we have:

$$(3.28) \quad (a, g)(b, h) = (a(g \cdot b), gh)$$

$$(3.29) \quad = (aX(g)b^{\overline{\phi(g)}}X(g)^{-1}, gh)$$

which is mapped to:

$$(3.30) \quad (a, g)(b, h) \mapsto [aX(g)b^{\overline{\phi(g)}}X(g)^{-1}X(gh), gh].$$

By Eqn. (3.21) we know that $X(g)^{-1}X(gh) = \rho(g, h)\overline{X(h)}^{\phi(g)}$, and therefore we have that:

$$(3.31) \quad (a, g)(b, h) \mapsto [\rho(g, h)aX(g)b^{\overline{\phi(g)}}\overline{X(h)}^{\phi(g)}, gh].$$

On the other hand we have:

$$(3.32) \quad [aX(g), g][bX(h), h] = [\rho(g, h)aX(g)b\overline{X(h)}^{\phi(g)}, gh].$$

The isomorphism of algebras follows. Therefore we have isomorphisms of K-theories:

$$(3.33) \quad \mathbf{K}_{(G, \phi)}^A \cong \mathbf{K}^{A \rtimes G} \cong \mathbf{K}^{A_\rho[G]}.$$

□

Choosing $A = C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q} = C_{\mathbb{R}}^{p, q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ with complex conjugation for G -action, then the K-theory of the algebra $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}[G]$ is what the authors and Xicoténcatl [UJSGXM26, Def. 2.10] used to define the magnetic equivariant K-theory groups:

$$(3.34) \quad \mathbf{K}_G^{p, q} \cong \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{C}}^{C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}[G]}.$$

The relation between the construction appearing in [UJSGXM26, Thm. 2.4] and the one provided here using Banach categories à la Karoubi can be seen in the following diagram:

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{C}^{p,q+1}}[G] & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{C}^{p,q}}[G] & \xrightarrow{\text{ABS}} & \mathbf{K}_G^{p,q} \\ \text{res} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{p,q} & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{E}_C^{\mathbb{C}^{p,q}}[G] & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{p,q}} & \overline{\mathcal{E}}_C^{\mathbb{C}^{p,q}}[G] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}_C^{\mathbb{C}^{p,q}}[G]. \end{array}$$

The upper horizontal maps give the generalization of Atiyah, Bott and Shapiro for equivariant magnetic K-theory appearing in [UJSGXM26, §2.6], where ABS stands for the generalization of the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro homomorphism [ABS64, §11], while the bottom horizontal maps give the definition of the K-theory presented in Eqn. (3.8) with $\varphi^{p,q}$ the forgetful functor defined in Eqn. (3.7).

If \tilde{G} is a magnetic \mathbb{C}^* extension of G as in Eqn. (2.40), the \tilde{G} -twisted magnetic G -equivariant K-theory groups $\tilde{G}\mathbf{K}_G^{p,q}$ defined in [UJSGXM26, Def. 2.11], are precisely the K-theory groups of the twisted algebra $(C_C^{p,q})_\rho[G]$ where ρ is a 2-cocycle of the extension as in Eqn. (3.22) (up to a canonical isomorphism). So, in this case we have:

$$(3.36) \quad \tilde{G}\mathbf{K}_G^{p,q} \cong \mathbf{K}^{(C_C^{p,q})_\rho[G]},$$

where the left hand side is from [UJSGXM26, Def. 2.11] and the right hand side is the K-theory of the Banach functor of the twisted algebra $(C_C^{p,q})_\rho[G]$ as in Eqn. (3.24).

3.3. Degree shift isomorphism. Now we can prove the degree shift isomorphism for the total K-theory $\mathbf{K}_{(G,\phi)}^*$ generalizing the one presented in [UJSGXM26, Thm. 2.6]. For this, we need some extra notation.

Let $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the 2-cocycle:

$$(3.37) \quad \sigma(a, b) = e^{\pi i ab}$$

which characterizes the central extension of magnetic groups:

$$(3.38) \quad \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times_{\langle(-1,2)\rangle} \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

built from the central extension of magnetic groups:

$$(3.39) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Here $\langle(-1,2)\rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{Z}/4$ generated by $(-1,2)$. Denote the pull-back group $\widehat{G} := \phi^*\mathbb{Z}/4$ and note that the pullback cocycle $\phi^*\sigma$ characterizes the central extension:

$$(3.40) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \widehat{G} \rightarrow G.$$

Now we are ready to prove the degree shift isomorphism (cf. [UJSGXM26, Thm. 2.6]):

Proposition 3.4 (Degree shift isomorphism). *Consider the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by $\text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}}$ as in Eqn. (1.38). Then there is an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$(3.41) \quad M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}/2].$$

If G acts on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by the pullback action of ϕ , then:

$$(3.42) \quad M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes G \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})_{\phi^*\sigma}[G].$$

In particular, we have an induced isomorphism of K-theories:

$$(3.43) \quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{p,q+4} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4 \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{p,q}, \quad \mathbf{K}_G^{p,q+4} \cong \widehat{G} \mathbf{K}_G^{p,q}, \quad \mathbf{K}_G^{A^{p,q+4}} \cong \mathbf{K}^{A^{p,q}_{\phi^*\sigma}[G]},$$

for A any MECSGA $_{(G,\phi)}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The isomorphisms of algebras are a simple application of Prop. 3.3 since the cocycle induced by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is precisely σ .

The magnetic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant central and simple algebra $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,4}$ with automorphism given by complex conjugation \mathbb{K} is similar to the complexification of the quaternion algebra. Following the notation presented in Eqns. (1.37) and (1.38) we have the similarity of algebras:

$$(3.44) \quad (C_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,4}, \mathbb{K}) \sim \left(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \text{Ad}_{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{K}} \right).$$

Since the algebras $M_2(\mathbb{C})_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}/2]$ and $C_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}/2]$ are Morita equivalent, we have the following Morita equivalence of algebras:

$$(3.45) \quad C_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,4} \rtimes G \sim M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes G \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})_{\phi^*\sigma}[G] \sim C_{\phi^*\sigma}[G],$$

which imply the Morita equivalences:

$$(3.46) \quad C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q+4} \rtimes G \sim (C_{\mathbb{C}}^{p,q})_{\phi^*\sigma}[G], \quad A^{p,q+4} \rtimes G \sim A^{p,q}_{\phi^*\sigma}[G]$$

The isomorphism of K-theories follow. □

The K-theory groups $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{p,q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/4 \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{p,q}$ of trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -spaces are isomorphic respectively to the K-theory $\text{KO}^{p,q}$ of real vector bundles and to the symplectic K-theory KSp^* . The isomorphism of Eqn. (3.43) realizes the well known isomorphism $\text{KO}^{p,q+4} \cong \text{KSp}^{p,q}$ [Dup69].

Corollary 3.5 (4-periodicity). *Let (G, ϕ) be a magnetic group such that the cohomology class of $\phi^*\sigma$ is trivial, ie. $[\phi^*\sigma] = 1$. Then the magnetic equivariant K-theory \mathbf{K}_G^* is 4-periodic.*

Proof. If $\phi^*\sigma = \delta f$ for $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, then $(\phi^*\sigma)(g, h) = f(g)\overline{f(h)}^{\phi(g)} f(gh)^{-1}$ and the assignment:

$$(3.47) \quad \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\phi^*\sigma}[G]$$

$$(3.48) \quad g \mapsto f(g)g$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. Therefore, the algebras $C_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,4} \rtimes G$ and $\mathbb{C}[G]$ are Morita equivalent and therefore by Prop. 3.4 we obtain the desired 4-periodicity:

$$(3.49) \quad \mathbf{K}_G^{A^{p,q+4}} \cong \mathbf{K}_G^{A^{p,q}}.$$

□

The 4-periodicity had already appeared in the calculation of the magnetic equivariant graded Brauer group of the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}/2n$ for $n > 1$ in Eqn. (2.158). The pullback group satisfies $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2n} = \text{mod}_2^*(\mathbb{Z}/4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2n \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ and therefore $[\text{mod}_2^* \sigma] = 1$. Hence we have the isomorphism of groups $\text{GrBr}_{(\mathbb{Z}/2n, \text{mod}_2)}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$.

For the particular case of the magnetic group $(\mathbb{Z}/4, \text{mod}_2)$ the total K-theory groups are:

$$(3.50) \quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^{\text{tot}} \cong \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^* \oplus {}^{\mathbb{Z}/8} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^*$$

where for $0 \leq p + q < 4$ we have:

$$(3.51) \quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^{p,q} \cong \text{KO}^{p,q} \oplus \text{Ksp}^{p,q}, \quad {}^{\mathbb{Z}/8} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^{p,q} \cong \text{KU}^{p,q}.$$

The non-trivial class in $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/4, \mathbb{C}_{\phi}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ defines the twisted K-theory ${}^{\mathbb{Z}/8} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/4}^*$, and the Clifford algebras together with the 4-periodicity induce the isomorphism of Eqn. (3.50). The isomorphism in terms of the known K-theory spectra of Eqn. (3.51) follows from the calculation of the coefficients of magnetic equivariant K-theory done by the authors and Xicoténcatl in [UJSGXM26, Thm. 2.63].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

HS is supported by the Simons Foundation, grant SFI-MPS-T-Institutes-00007697, and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Bulgaria, through grant number DO1-239/10.12.2024. BU acknowledges the continuous financial support of the Max Planck Institute of Mathematics in Bonn, Germany, the International Center for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy, through its Associates Program, and the Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation in Bonn, Germany.

REFERENCES

- [ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3(suppl):3–38, 1964.
- [AS04] M. F. Atiyah and Graeme Segal. Twisted K -theory. *Ukr. Mat. Visn.*, 1(3):287–330, 2004.
- [AZ97] Alexander Altland and Martin R. Zirnbauer. Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures. *Phys. Rev. B*, 55:1142–1161, Jan 1997.
- [Bae20] John C. Baez. The tenfold way. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67(10):1599–1601, 2020.
- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Grad. Texts Math.* Springer, Cham, 1982.

- [Del99] Pierre Deligne. Notes on spinors. In *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2* (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), pages 99–135. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [DK70] P. Donovan and M. Karoubi. Graded Brauer groups and K -theory with local coefficients. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (38):5–25, 1970.
- [Dup69] Johan L. Dupont. Symplectic bundles and KR -theory. *Math. Scand.*, 24:27–30, 1969.
- [Dys62] Freeman J. Dyson. The threefold way. Algebraic structure of symmetry groups and ensembles in quantum mechanics. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 3:1199–1215, 1962.
- [FM13] Daniel S. Freed and Gregory W. Moore. Twisted equivariant matter. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 14(8):1927–2023, 2013.
- [Fro78] Herrn Frobenius. Ueber lineare Substitutionen und bilineare Formen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 84:1–63, 1878.
- [Frö72] A. Fröhlich. Orthogonal and symplectic representations of groups. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), 24:470–506, 1972.
- [GM21] Roman Geiko and Gregory W. Moore. Dyson’s classification and real division superalgebras. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (4):Paper No. 299, 26, 2021.
- [Gom23] Kiyonori Gomi. Freed-Moore K -theory. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 31(4):979–1067, 2023.
- [GS06] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, volume 101 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [Kar66] Max Karoubi. Cohomologie des catégories de Banach. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 263:A275–A278, 1966.
- [Kar68] M. Karoubi. Algèbres de Clifford et K -théorie. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4), 1(2):161–270, 1968.
- [Kar08a] Max Karoubi. *K -theory*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. An introduction, Reprint of the 1978 edition, With a new postface by the author and a list of errata.
- [Kar08b] Max Karoubi. Twisted K -theory – old and new. In *K -theory and non-commutative geometry. Proceedings of the ICM 2006 satellite conference, Valladolid, Spain, August 31–September 6, 2006*, pages 117–149. Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2008.
- [Kit09] Alexei Kitaev. Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, volume 1134, pages 22–30. American Institute of Physics, 2009.
- [KW17] Max Karoubi and Charles Weibel. Twisted K -theory, real A -bundles and Grothendieck-Witt groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 221(7):1629–1640, 2017.
- [Lam05] T. Y. Lam. *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence,

- RI, 2005.
- [Rie05] Carl R. Riehm. Equivariant central simple graded algebras and the equivariant Brauer-Wall group. *J. Algebra*, 287(2):501–520, 2005.
 - [SRFL08] Andreas P. Schnyder, Shinsei Ryu, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas W. W. Ludwig. Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 78:195125, Nov 2008.
 - [UJSGXM26] Bernardo Uribe Jongbloed, Higinio Serrano Garcia, and Miguel Alejandro Xicoténcatl Merino. *Magnetic equivariant K-theory. Topological invariants of magnetic symmetries (to appear)*. Cham: Springer, 2026.
 - [Var04] V. S. Varadarajan. *Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction*, volume 11 of *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
 - [Wal64] C. T. C. Wall. Graded Brauer groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 213:187–199, 1963/64.
 - [Wig59] Eugene P. Wigner. *Group theory and its application to the quantum mechanics of atomic spectra*, volume Vol. 5 of *Pure and Applied Physics*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1959. Expanded and improved ed. Translated from the German by J. J. Griffin.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES; AKAD. G. BONCHEV ST, BL. 8, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA.

Email address: hserrano@math.cinvestav.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y ESTADÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DEL NORTE, KM.5 VÍA ANTIGUA A PUERTO COLOMBIA, BARRANQUILLA, 081007, COLOMBIA.

Email address: bjongbloed@uninorte.edu.co, buribe@gmail.com