

A Preliminary Study on the Promises and Challenges of Native Top- k Sparse Attention

Di Xiu* Hongyin Tang* Bolin Rong
Lizhi Yan Jingang Wang† Yifan Lu Xunliang Cai

Meituan, Beijing, China.

{xiudi, tanghongyin, rongbolin}@meituan.com

{yanlizhi, wangjingang02, luyifan04, caixunliang}@meituan.com

ABSTRACT

Large Language Models (LLMs) are increasingly prevalent in the field of long-context modeling, however, their inference computational costs have become a critical bottleneck hindering the advancement of tasks such as agents and multimodal applications. Centering on the core issue of accelerating long-context inference, this report conducts a preliminary investigation into the effectiveness and theoretical mechanisms of the Top- k Attention mechanism during both the decoding and training phases. First, we validate the effectiveness of exact Top- k Decoding through extensive experimentation. Experiments based on models such as Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K and Qwen3-32B demonstrate that retaining only the pivotal Keys with the highest similarity to the Query as the context window during the decoding stage not only significantly reduces computational overhead but also achieves performance comparable to, or even surpassing, full attention on downstream tasks such as HELMET and LongBench v2. Second, we further explore the native Top- k Attention training strategy. By fine-tuning Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Base with the introduction of the Top- k Attention kernel during the Supervised Fine-Tuning (SFT) stage, our experiments confirm that ensuring the consistency between training and inference regarding Top- k Attention operations facilitates the further unlocking of Top- k Decoding’s potential, thereby significantly enhancing model performance. Furthermore, considering the high computational complexity of exact Top- k Attention, we investigate the impact of approximate Top- k algorithm precision on downstream tasks. Our research confirms a positive correlation between downstream task performance and approximation fidelity, and we provide statistical evaluations of the Lightning Indexer’s precision within the DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp model. Finally, this report provides a theoretical interpretation from the perspective of Entropy. Experimental observations indicate that models subjected to Top- k Attention SFT exhibit a distinct phenomenon of entropy reduction in downstream tasks. This validates the hypothesis that low-entropy states are better adapted to Top- k Decoding, providing a robust theoretical foundation for the effectiveness of sparse attention mechanisms.

*Equal contribution.

†Project Lead.

1 Exact Top- k Decoding Suffices

The long-context modeling capability of Large Language Models (LLMs) increasingly constrains the advancement of applications such as agents and multimodality, emerging as a critical technical bottleneck requiring urgent resolution [Liu et al., 2025]. Consequently, accelerating long-context inference has garnered significant attention as a pivotal research topic [Chen et al., 2024, Tang et al., 2024a, Xiao et al., 2024, Tang et al., 2024b, Cai et al., 2025].


We evaluated exact Top- k Decoding [Synk et al., 2025] with Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct [Gao et al., 2025] and Qwen3-32B [Yang et al., 2025] across benchmarks such as HELMET [Yen et al., 2024], MATH500 [Lightman et al., 2023], GSM8K [Cobbe et al., 2021], LongBench v2 [Bai et al., 2025] and AIME24 [MAA, 2024]. Empirical results in Figure 1 demonstrates that Top- k Decoding rivals or outperforms full attention even at low Top- k ratios.

Definition 1 (Top- k Ratio). Let $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N\}$ denote the set of all key tokens in the context, where N is the total context length. During the decoding phase for a given query token, let $\mathcal{K}_{top} \subset \mathcal{K}$ represent the subset of selected key tokens consisting of the \mathcal{W} most prominent tokens, such that $|\mathcal{K}_{top}| = \mathcal{W}$. During the attention calculation, the query token interacts exclusively with keys in \mathcal{K}_{top} , ignoring the rest.


The **Top- k Ratio**, denoted as ρ , is defined as the proportion of the selected critical tokens to the total context tokens:

$$\rho = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{N} = \frac{|\mathcal{K}_{top}|}{|\mathcal{K}|} \quad (1)$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1]$. A lower ρ indicates a sparser attention computation, while $\rho = 1$ corresponds to full attention.



(a) Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct performance on HELMET-128K benchmark.



(b) Qwen3-32B non-thinking mode performance on LongBench v2.

Figure 1: Performance of Top- k Decoding on different benchmarks.

2 Native Top- k Attention Training Promotes Top- k Decoding

Motivated by the promising observation that Top- k Attention achieves performance comparable to full attention even at low Top- k ratios, we noted that the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct model used in our initial experiments was originally trained in the full attention way during both the long-context continued training and supervised fine-tuning of the Meta-Llama-3-8B-Instruct model [Dubey et al., 2024]. This prompted further investigation: would a model natively trained with Top- k Attention yield superior results when applying Top- k Decoding?

To this end, we utilized the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Base³ model (the checkpoint preceding the SFT stage of the Instruct model) to conduct subsequent SFT. We employed the same SFT corpus as the original Instruct model but integrated the Top- k Attention training kernel, resulting in the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K-TopK-SFT model. Subsequently, we evaluated the relative performance of the Top- k SFT model against the original Instruct model on downstream tasks using the 8K variant of HELMET benchmark.

Specifically, at the training kernel level, we wrapped the FLASHATTENTION [Dao et al., 2022] kernel. By pre-calculating the exact Top- k indices and scores and passing them into the kernel to update the corresponding masks, we implemented variable-length Top- k Attention training at Top- k ratio of 1%.

Empirical results demonstrate that the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K-TopK-SFT model exhibits significant performance improvements over the original model on downstream tasks. Given that this adaptation was achieved using a limited number of tokens solely during the SFT phase, we anticipate that incorporating Top- k Attention during the continued training phase with a larger token corpus would yield even greater performance gains.




Figure 2: Top- k Decoding performance on the 8K variant of HELMET benchmark between Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct and Top- k SFT.

³<https://huggingface.co/princeton-nlp/Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Base/tree/main>

3 Precision Impact for Approximate Top- k Decoding

In the preceding sections, the approaches to Top- k Attention we discussed—whether applied directly during the decoding phase or utilized following Supervised Fine-Tuning—share a common characteristic: they all fall within the scope of exact Top- k Attention.

It is important to note that computing exact Top- k attention entails high computational complexity. A naive calculation of attention scores costs $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ time and space complexity. Furthermore, to mitigate Out-Of-Memory (OOM) issues, frequent CPU-GPU offloading may be necessitated during the decoding phase, which further impedes the algorithm’s execution speed.

One of the core design principles of FLASHATTENTION—the *de facto* standard for modern full attention—is to avoid materializing logits by performing attention computations in a tiling fashion. This design presents a challenge for compatibility with exact Top- k attention. As Tri Dao, the author of FLASHATTENTION, explicitly stated: “If you want attn scores it’s better to just use the standard implementation.”⁴

Consequently, the practical implementation of Top- k attention may require the adoption of corresponding approximation algorithms, such as utilizing Approximate Nearest Neighbor (ANN) [Chen et al., 2018, Douze et al., 2024] algorithms to rapidly construct approximately accurate indices. To formally assess the fidelity of such approximations compared to the exact baseline, we introduce the following metric:

Definition 2 (Retrieval Precision). Let $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N\}$ denote the set of all key tokens in the context, where N is the total context length. Given a specific context window size \mathcal{W} , let $\mathcal{K}_{top} \subset \mathcal{K}$ denote the set of tokens corresponding to the exact top- \mathcal{W} attention scores, and let $\mathcal{K}_{approx} \subset \mathcal{K}$ denote the set of tokens actually retrieved by an approximate method, such that $|\mathcal{K}_{top}| = |\mathcal{K}_{approx}| = \mathcal{W}$.

The **Retrieval Precision**, denoted as p , is defined as the overlap ratio between the retrieved and ground-truth token sets:

$$p = \frac{|\mathcal{K}_{approx} \cap \mathcal{K}_{top}|}{|\mathcal{K}_{approx}|} = \frac{|\mathcal{K}_{approx} \cap \mathcal{K}_{top}|}{\mathcal{W}} \quad (2)$$

where $p \in [0, 1]$. While the Top- k Ratio ρ determines the sparsity of the computation, the precision p measures the fidelity of the retrieval. A higher p implies that the approximate method preserves more of the critical information identified by full attention.




Figure 3: Top- k Decoding precision-performance curve on the 128K variant of HELMET benchmark for Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct with context window size being 2048.

An intuitive hypothesis is that given a specific context window size \mathcal{W} and an approximate Top- k retrieval precision p , performance on downstream tasks will improve as p increases. To validate this intuition, we evaluated the performance of the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K model on the 128K variant of HELMET benchmark across varying levels

⁴<https://github.com/Dao-AILab/flash-attention/issues/1824>

of retrieval precision p . Specifically, for a given dataset \mathcal{D} , we maintained the use of FLASHATTENTION-based Full Attention during the prefilling phase. During the decoding phase, we fixed the context window length \mathcal{W} for each attention head at 2048 and adjusted the number of tokens within this window that correspond to the exact Top- k indices to $2048 \times p$. We then calculated the corresponding downstream task accuracy. The results illustrated in Figure 3 preliminarily corroborate our hypothesis that downstream task performance improves as precision p increases until saturation.

The Lightning Indexer employed by the contemporaneous DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp model [DeepSeek-AI, 2025], despite theoretically retaining $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ complexity, effectively reduces the coefficient of computational complexity through a design featuring fewer attention heads, reduced embedding dimensions, and FP8 quantization. Thus, it serves as an approximation method for exact Top- k attention. We deployed DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp using SGLang [Zheng et al., 2024], applying the recent official patch regarding RoPE [Su et al., 2024] positional embeddings revisions⁵, and evaluated the precision of the Lightning Indexer on the HELMET benchmark.




Figure 4: Visualization of layer-wise statistics for four representative datasets. Top-left: Dataset A; Top-right: Dataset B; Bottom-left: Dataset C; Bottom-right: Dataset D. These plots demonstrate the variance in feature distributions across different layers.

The specific evaluation methodology was as follows: for a given dataset, ten samples were selected to generate statistical information. For a specific layer, the precision of the Lightning Indexer was calculated as the average overlap ratio between the exact Top- k indices of the main model’s various attention heads and the Lightning Indexer’s Top- k indices, across all decoding steps for all test samples.

Although the precision of the Lightning Indexer module in DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp averages approximately 60% across different datasets in the 128K variant of HELMET benchmark, the model’s end-to-end performance on the benchmark remains superior, bolstered by its massive parameter scale.

An interesting observation is that while previous exact Top- k Attention mechanisms operated on a *per-head* basis, the Lightning Indexer in DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp is designed such that different attention heads share the same set of KV tokens. The results obtained from two different methods of calculating Lightning Indexer accuracy align closely, indicating that DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp achieves an approximation of exact Top- k Attention via the Multi-Query Attention (MQA) [Shazeer, 2019] mode, a more memory-efficient approach.

⁵<https://github.com/sgl-project/sglang/pull/13495>

4 Entropy Perspective for Top- k Decoding

Experiments on Top- k Decoding demonstrate that utilizing a reduced context window during the decoding phase yields performance comparable to that of full attention. Drawing on the relationship between Top- k and Top- p [Lin et al., 2025] sampling, entropy serves as a valuable analytical metric. Specifically, we hypothesize that Top- k Decoding is particularly well-suited for tasks characterized by lower entropy. A natural corollary of this hypothesis is that Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K-TopK-SFT should exhibit a reduction in entropy on downstream tasks compared to the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct model.

To validate this hypothesis, we compared the entropy values derived from the two aforementioned models across various tasks within the 8K variant of HELMET benchmark, employing distinct statistical strategies. The experimental aggregation space encompasses the following dimensions: the scope of attention heads (all attention heads vs. retrieval heads [Wu et al., 2024]); the aggregation method for the entropy of the attention heads of interest (mean, min, max, or median); the inference phase (prefilling or decoding); and the number of tokens included in the computation (1, 5, or 10). The obtained experimental results largely align with the expectation that the Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K-TopK-SFT model exhibits lower entropy, as depicted in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Attention entropy reduction (%) of Llama-3-8B-ProLong-Instruct-512K-TopK-SFT compared to Llama-3-8B-ProLong-512k-Instruct on the 8K variant of HELMET benchmark.

5 Conclusion

This report investigates the application potential, optimization strategies, and underlying mechanisms of Top- k Attention in long-context reasoning for Large Language Models (LLMs), yielding the following key conclusions:

1. Adopting exact Top- k Attention during the decoding phase is a highly promising acceleration strategy. Even at low Top- k ratios, this method maintains high performance comparable to full attention across various long-context and logical reasoning benchmarks, effectively mitigating the computational bottlenecks associated with long-context reasoning.
2. Incorporating native Top- k Attention training significantly enhances model performance. By utilizing Top- k Attention operators during the Supervised Fine-Tuning phase, the model adapts more effectively to the sparse attention patterns characteristic of Top- k Decoding during inference.
3. Addressing the complexity of exact Top- k Attention, we demonstrated a positive correlation between the precision of approximate retrieval algorithms and model performance. Analysis of the DeepSeek-V3.2-Exp model indicates that despite the limited precision of approximate algorithms (e.g., Lightning Indexer, $\sim 60\%$), the integration of massive parameters enables superior end-to-end performance. These findings provide valuable insights for the future design of low-complexity approximate Top- k operators.
4. From an information-theoretic perspective, Top- k SFT effectively reduces the model’s entropy when processing downstream tasks, corroborating the inherent advantages of Top- k Decoding in low-entropy task environments.

References

- Jiaheng Liu, Dawei Zhu, Zhiqi Bai, Yancheng He, Huanxuan Liao, Haoran Que, Zekun Wang, Chenchen Zhang, Ge Zhang, Jiebin Zhang, et al. A comprehensive survey on long context language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.17407*, 2025.
- Zhuoming Chen, Ranajoy Sadhukhan, Zihao Ye, Yang Zhou, Jianyu Zhang, Niklas Nolte, Yuandong Tian, Matthijs Douze, Leon Bottou, Zhihao Jia, and Beidi Chen. Magicpig: Lsh sampling for efficient llm generation, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.16179>.
- Jiaming Tang, Yilong Zhao, Kan Zhu, Guangxuan Xiao, Baris Kasikci, and Song Han. Quest: Query-aware sparsity for efficient long-context llm inference, 2024a.
- Chaojun Xiao, Pengl Zhang, Xu Han, Guangxuan Xiao, Yankai Lin, Zhengyan Zhang, Zhiyuan Liu, Song Han, and Maosong Sun. Infilmm: Unveiling the intrinsic capacity of llms for understanding extremely long sequences with training-free memory. *arXiv*, 2024.
- Hongyin Tang, Di Xiu, Lanrui Wang, Xiurui Geng, Jingang Wang, and Xunliang Cai. Ltri-llm: Streaming long context inference for llms with training-free dynamic triangular attention pattern. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.04757*, 2024b.
- Zefan Cai, Wen Xiao, Hanshi Sun, Cheng Luo, Yikai Zhang, Ke Wan, Yucheng Li, Yeyang Zhou, Li-Wen Chang, Jiuxiang Gu, et al. R-kv: Redundancy-aware kv cache compression for training-free reasoning models acceleration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.24133*, 2025.
- Ryan Synk, Monte Hoover, John Kirchenbauer, Neel Jain, Alex Stein, Manli Shu, Josue Melendez Sanchez, Ramani Duraiswami, and Tom Goldstein. Exploiting sparsity for long context inference: Million token contexts on commodity gpus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.06766*, 2025.
- Tianyu Gao, Alexander Wettig, Howard Yen, and Danqi Chen. How to train long-context language models (effectively). In *ACL*, 2025.
- An Yang, Anfeng Li, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Gao, Chengen Huang, Chenxu Lv, et al. Qwen3 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.09388*, 2025.
- Howard Yen, Tianyu Gao, Minmin Hou, Ke Ding, Daniel Fleischer, Peter Izsak, Moshe Wasserblat, and Danqi Chen. Helmet: How to evaluate long-context language models effectively and thoroughly. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.02694*, 2024.
- Hunter Lightman, Vineet Kosaraju, Yuri Burda, Harrison Edwards, Bowen Baker, Teddy Lee, Jan Leike, John Schulman, Ilya Sutskever, and Karl Cobbe. Let’s verify step by step. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, Christopher Hesse, and John Schulman. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168*, 2021.

- Yushi Bai, Shangqing Tu, Jiajie Zhang, Hao Peng, Xiaozhi Wang, Xin Lv, Shulin Cao, Jiazheng Xu, Lei Hou, Yuxiao Dong, Jie Tang, and Juanzi Li. LongBench v2: Towards deeper understanding and reasoning on realistic long-context multitasks. In *Proceedings of the 63rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 3639–3664, Vienna, Austria, July 2025. Association for Computational Linguistics. ISBN 979-8-89176-251-0. doi:[10.18653/v1/2025.acl-long.183](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2025.acl-long.183). URL <https://aclanthology.org/2025.acl-long.183/>.
- MAA. Aime 2024, 2024. URL <https://maa.org/math-competitions/american-invitational-mathematics-examination-aime>.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2407, 2024.
- Tri Dao, Dan Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pages 16344–16359. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/67d57c32e20fd0a7a302cb81d36e40d5-Paper-Conference.pdf.
- Qi Chen, Haidong Wang, Mingqin Li, Gang Ren, Scarlett Li, Jeffery Zhu, Jason Li, Chuanjie Liu, Lintao Zhang, and Jingdong Wang. *SPTAG: A library for fast approximate nearest neighbor search*, 2018. URL <https://github.com/Microsoft/SPTAG>.
- Matthijs Douze, Alexandr Guzhva, Chengqi Deng, Jeff Johnson, Gergely Szilvasy, Pierre-Emmanuel Mazaré, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, and Hervé Jégou. The faiss library. 2024.
- DeepSeek-AI. Deepseek-v3.2-exp: Boosting long-context efficiency with deepseek sparse attention, 2025.
- Lianmin Zheng, Liangsheng Yin, Zhiqiang Xie, Chuyue Sun, Jeff Huang, Cody Hao Yu, Shiyi Cao, Christos Kozyrakis, Ion Stoica, Joseph E. Gonzalez, Clark Barrett, and Ying Sheng. Sglang: Efficient execution of structured language model programs. In A. Globerson, L. Mackey, D. Belgrave, A. Fan, U. Paquet, J. Tomczak, and C. Zhang, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 37, pages 62557–62583. Curran Associates, Inc., 2024. doi:[10.52202/079017-2000](https://doi.org/10.52202/079017-2000). URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2024/file/724be4472168f31ba1c9ac630f15dec8-Paper-Conference.pdf.
- Jianlin Su, Murtadha Ahmed, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Wen Bo, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *Neurocomput.*, 568(C), February 2024. ISSN 0925-2312. doi:[10.1016/j.neucom.2023.127063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2023.127063). URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2023.127063>.
- Noam Shazeer. Fast transformer decoding: One write-head is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02150*, 2019.
- Chaofan Lin, Jiaming Tang, Shuo Yang, Hanshuo Wang, Tian Tang, Boyu Tian, Ion Stoica, Song Han, and Mingyu Gao. Twilight: Adaptive attention sparsity with hierarchical top- p pruning. In *The Thirty-ninth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2025. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ve693NkzcU>.
- Wenhao Wu, Yizhong Wang, Guangxuan Xiao, Hao Peng, and Yao Fu. Retrieval head mechanistically explains long-context factuality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.15574*, 2024.