

IRREDUCIBLE OPERATORS IN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

SUKITHA ADAPPA, MINGHUI MA, JUNHAO SHEN, RUI SHI, AND SHANSHAN YANG

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{M} be a separable von Neumann algebra with center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. An operator T in \mathcal{M} is called irreducible if the von Neumann algebra $W^*(T)$ generated by T has trivial relative commutant, i.e., $W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. In this paper, we show that irreducible operators in \mathcal{M} form a norm-dense G_δ set, which is a generalization of Halmos' theorem. Moreover, we prove that every operator in \mathcal{M} is the sum of two irreducible operators, which is an analogue of Radjavi's theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A *von Neumann algebra* is a self-adjoint unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that is closed in the weak-operator topology. Every von Neumann algebra can be decomposed into the direct sum of those of type I_n , type I_∞ , type II_1 , type II_∞ , and type III by the type decomposition theorem for von Neumann algebras [10, Theorem 6.5.2]. A von Neumann algebra is called *separable* if it has a separable predual space. A *factor* is a von Neumann algebra whose center consists of scalar multiples of the identity. By definition, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a factor of type I.

Let \mathcal{M} be a factor with identity I . Recall that an operator T is said to be *irreducible* in \mathcal{M} if $PT = TP$ implies that either $P = 0$ or $P = I$ for each projection P in \mathcal{M} , i.e., $W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}I$, where $W^*(T)$ denotes the von Neumann algebra generated by T . Otherwise, T is called *reducible* in \mathcal{M} . In 1968, P. Halmos [6] proved that the set of irreducible operators on a separable complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a norm-dense G_δ subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Later, H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal [12] provided a very short proof of Halmos' theorem. In 1976, D. Voiculescu [19] proved a noncommutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem and obtained the norm-density of reducible operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Recently, D. Hadwin et al. [5] established the norm-density of reducible operators in separable properly infinite factors. Without the assumption of separability, J. Shen and R. Shi [15, Theorem 6.6] proved that the set of reducible operators in every non- Γ type II_1 factor \mathcal{M} is nowhere norm-dense. In particular, the set of irreducible operators in \mathcal{M} is norm-dense. As an example,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10; Secondary 47C15.

Key words and phrases. Irreducible operators, central supports, von Neumann algebras.

Rui Shi, Minhua Ma, and Shanshan Yang are partly supported by NSFC (No.12271074), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.DUT23LAB305). Minghui Ma is also supported by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (No. GZC20252022).

let \mathbb{F}_S be the free group generated by an uncountable set S . Then the group factor $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_S)$ is neither separable nor singly generated, but the set of irreducible operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_S)$ is norm-dense. On the other hand, there exists a large class of nonseparable type II_1 factors containing no irreducible operator [15, Example 5.3]. By replacing $W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}I$ with $W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ in the definition of irreducible operators in factors, it is natural to generalize the irreducibility of operators in von Neumann algebras as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. A von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{M} is said to have *trivial relative commutant* if the equality $\mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ holds. An operator T in \mathcal{M} is *irreducible* if the von Neumann algebra $W^*(T)$ generated by T has trivial relative commutant, i.e., $W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$.

A. Sinclair and R. Smith [18, Theorem 8] proved that every separable type II_1 von Neumann algebra contains an injective von Neumann subalgebra which has trivial relative commutant. By matrix construction techniques, we show in Proposition 2.6 that there is an irreducible operator whose real part is a projection in each separable von Neumann algebra. Recall that an operator T in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is called a *generator* if $\mathcal{M} = W^*(T)$. Clearly, every generator of \mathcal{M} is irreducible, and it is an open question whether every separable von Neumann algebra is singly generated. The authors of [20] proved the norm-density of irreducible operators in separable factors, which is a generalization of Halmos' theorem. A refined version in semifinite factors can be found in [17]. In this paper, we mainly investigate two properties of irreducible operators in separable von Neumann algebras with nontrivial center. To this point, we need to develop new methods. As a generalization of Halmos' theorem into von Neumann algebras, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a separable von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{I} a weak-operator dense norm-closed ideal in \mathcal{M} . Then for any $T \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an operator $K \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\|K\| < \varepsilon$ such that $T + K$ is irreducible in \mathcal{M} .*

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is a separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. By Theorem 1.2, for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|K\| < \varepsilon$ such that $T + K$ is irreducible in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, as a consequence of Theorem 1.2, the set of irreducible operators in every separable von Neumann algebra is a norm-dense G_δ set (see Corollary 2.8). Inspired by [15, Definition 6.1] and Theorem 1.2, we propose the following question.

Question 1.3. *Let \mathcal{N} be a von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{M} a von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{N} , and*

$$\text{IR}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \{T \in \mathcal{M} : W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{N}\}.$$

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is separable. Is the set $\text{IR}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ norm-dense in \mathcal{M} ?

Note that the above question is true for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ by Theorem 1.2, and we will write $\text{IR}(\mathcal{M})$ instead of $\text{IR}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\text{IR}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ becomes $\text{Gen}(\mathcal{M})$, the set of all generators of \mathcal{M} , by the double commutant theorem [9, Theorem 5.3.1]. In general, $\text{Gen}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \text{IR}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subseteq \text{IR}(\mathcal{M})$. The question is quite interesting when \mathcal{N} is a type II_1 factor and \mathcal{M} is an irreducible subfactor with finite index, i.e., $\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{C}I$ and $[\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{M}] < \infty$.

P. Fillmore and D. Topping [4] showed that every operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the sum of four irreducible operators, where \mathcal{H} is a separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. H. Radjavi [11] improved this result by proving that every operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the sum of two irreducible operators. With a different proof, the authors of [16] proved that every operator in a separable factor is the sum of two irreducible operators. By developing the techniques with respect to the centers of von Neumann algebras, we establish an analogue in von Neumann algebras as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a separable von Neumann algebra. Then every operator in \mathcal{M} is the sum of two irreducible operators in \mathcal{M} .*

Before closing the introduction, we raise two more problems which we hope will contribute to a deeper understanding of irreducible operators in von Neumann algebras. Inspired by Definition 1.1, we introduce *strongly irreducible operators* in von Neumann algebras, which are a natural analogue of Jordan blocks in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 1.5. An operator T in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is said to be *strongly irreducible* if XTX^{-1} is irreducible in \mathcal{M} for every invertible operator X in \mathcal{M} . Denote by $\text{SIR}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of strongly irreducible operators in \mathcal{M} .

Note that the spectrum of each strongly irreducible operator is connected in factors. For $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we write $\text{SIR}(\mathcal{H})$ instead of $\text{SIR}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. D. Herrero and C. Jiang proved in [7, Main theorem] that the norm-closure of $\text{SIR}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all operators whose spectra are connected. Also, from [3, Theorem 8.2], it yields that $\text{SIR}(\mathcal{R})$ is nonempty for the hyperfinite type II_1 factor \mathcal{R} .

Question 1.6. *Are there strongly irreducible operators in each factor \mathcal{M} ? If so, is it true that*

$$\overline{\text{SIR}(\mathcal{M})}^{\|\cdot\|} = \{T \in \mathcal{M} : \sigma(T) \text{ is connected}\}?$$

In comparison with [11], it follows from [8, Theorem 3.1.1] that every operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the sum of two strongly irreducible operators. In light of this, it is natural to raise the following question.

Question 1.7. *Is every operator in a factor \mathcal{M} the sum of two strongly irreducible operators? Equivalently, is the equality $\mathcal{M} = \text{SIR}(\mathcal{M}) + \text{SIR}(\mathcal{M})$ true?*

2. PROOFS

We start by presenting some basic results that will be used later. The proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 will be given in Section 2.2 and Section 2.3, respectively.

2.1. Preliminaries

Recall that the *central support* C_T of an operator T in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is the minimal central projection with the property $C_T T = T$. Clearly, $C_{ZT} = ZC_T$ for every central projection Z in \mathcal{M} by definition. In the next two lemmas, we perturb an operator $T \in PMQ$ to be Y such that $C_Y = C_P C_Q$. We will use Lemma 2.2 to construct the operators Y_{jk} in the proof of Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.1. *Let P and Q be projections in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Then there exists a partial isometry V in PMQ such that $C_V = C_P C_Q$.*

Proof. By the comparison theorem [10, Theorem 6.2.7], there exists a central projection Z in \mathcal{M} such that $ZP \precsim ZQ$ and $(I - Z)P \succsim (I - Z)Q$. Let V_1 and V_2 be partial isometries in PMQ such that

$$V_1 V_1^* = ZP, \quad V_1^* V_1 \leq ZQ, \quad V_2^* V_2 = (I - Z)Q, \quad V_2 V_2^* \leq (I - Z)P.$$

Let $V = V_1 + V_2 \in PMQ$. Then $C_V \leq C_P C_Q$. Since $V_1 \in \mathcal{M}Z$ and $V_2 \in \mathcal{M}(I - Z)$, we have

$$C_V = C_{V_1} + C_{V_2} = ZC_P + (I - Z)C_Q.$$

It follows that $C_V C_P C_Q = C_P C_Q$. Thus, $C_V = C_P C_Q$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let P and Q be projections in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Then for any operator $T \in PMQ$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an operator $Y \in PMQ$ such that*

$$\|T - Y\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad C_Y = C_P C_Q.$$

Proof. Let $P_1 = (I - C_T)P$ and $Q_1 = (I - C_T)Q$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a partial isometry V in $P_1 \mathcal{M} Q_1$ such that $C_V = C_{P_1} C_{Q_1} = (I - C_T)C_P C_Q$. Let $Y = T + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}V \in PMQ$. Then $C_Y \leq C_P C_Q$ and

$$C_Y = C_T + C_V = C_T + (I - C_T)C_P C_Q.$$

It follows that $C_Y C_P C_Q = C_P C_Q$. Therefore, Y has the desired property. □

The following lemma characterizes irreducible operators in the direct sum of a family of von Neumann algebras.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda} \mathcal{M}_j$, where $\{\mathcal{M}_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ is a family of von Neumann algebras. Let $T = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda} T_j \in \mathcal{M}$. Then T is irreducible in \mathcal{M} if and only if T_j is irreducible in \mathcal{M}_j for each $j \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $P = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda} P_j$ be a projection in \mathcal{M} . Then $PT = TP$ if and only if $P_j T_j = T_j P_j$ for each $j \in \Lambda$. Hence

$$W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda} W^*(T_j)' \cap \mathcal{M}_j.$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{j \in \Lambda} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}_j)$. This completes the proof. \square

Recall that $\mathcal{Z}(EME) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})E$ for every projection E in \mathcal{M} by [9, Proposition 5.5.6]. We provide a useful criterion for the irreducibility of operators as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let A and B be self-adjoint operators in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Suppose that $\{E_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ is a family of projections in $W^*(A)$ such that*

- (1) $I = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} E_j$;
- (2) $C_{E_j B E_k} = C_{E_j} C_{E_k}$ for all $j \neq k$;
- (3) $E_j(A + iB)E_j$ is irreducible in $E_j \mathcal{M} E_j$ for each $j \in \Lambda$.

Then $A + iB$ is irreducible in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Let P be a projection in \mathcal{M} that commutes with $A + iB$. Since $E_j \in W^*(A)$, we have $PE_j = E_j P$ for each $j \in \Lambda$. It follows that PE_j commutes with $E_j(A + iB)E_j$ and hence the condition (3) implies that

$$PE_j \in \mathcal{Z}(E_j \mathcal{M} E_j) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})E_j.$$

In particular, $PE_j = Z_j E_j$ for some $Z_j \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. Since $PB = BP$, it is clear that

$$Z_j E_j B E_k = E_j P B E_k = E_j B P E_k = E_j B E_k Z_k = Z_k E_j B E_k,$$

i.e., $(Z_j - Z_k)E_j B E_k = 0$. By the condition (2), we have

$$(Z_j - Z_k)C_{E_j} C_{E_k} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \neq k. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that (2.1) holds trivially for $j = k$. Let T be an operator in \mathcal{M} . Then

$$(Z_j - Z_k)E_j T E_k = (Z_j - Z_k)C_{E_j} C_{E_k} E_j T E_k = 0 \quad \text{for all } j, k \in \Lambda.$$

It follows that $PE_j T E_k = Z_j E_j T E_k = E_j T E_k Z_k = E_j T E_k P$. Thus, the condition (1) yields $PT = TP$. Therefore, $P \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. \square

If \mathcal{N} is a von Neumann algebra with an irreducible operator and $n \geq 2$, then there exists an irreducible operator in $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ with a nice property.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{N} is a von Neumann algebra, $n \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{M} = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$. If there exists an irreducible operator in \mathcal{N} , then there exists an irreducible operator $P + iB$ in \mathcal{M} , where P is a projection and B is a self-adjoint operator.*

Proof. Let $a + ib$ be an irreducible operator in \mathcal{N} , where a and b are self-adjoint. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\frac{3}{5}I \leq a, b \leq \frac{4}{5}I$. Let $\{e_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n$ be a system of matrix units in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and we define two operators in \mathcal{M} by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I - a & \sqrt{\frac{a-a^2}{n-1}} & \cdots & \sqrt{\frac{a-a^2}{n-1}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{a-a^2}{n-1}} & \frac{a}{n-1} & \cdots & \frac{a}{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{\frac{a-a^2}{n-1}} & \frac{a}{n-1} & \cdots & \frac{a}{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b & & & \\ & \frac{1}{2}I & & \\ & & \frac{1}{3}I & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \frac{1}{n}I \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that P is a projection and B is self-adjoint. By Borel function calculus, we have $e_{jj} \otimes I \in W^*(B)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. By considering $(e_{jj} \otimes I)P(e_{j+1,j+1} \otimes I)$, the operators

$$e_{12} \otimes \sqrt{\frac{a-a^2}{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{j,j+1} \otimes \frac{a}{n-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n-1)$$

are all in $W^*(P + iB)$. Since $\frac{3}{5}I \leq a \leq \frac{4}{5}I$, both $\sqrt{\frac{a-a^2}{n-1}}$ and $\frac{a}{n-1}$ are invertible operators in \mathcal{N} . It follows that $e_{j,j+1} \otimes I \in W^*(P + iB)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and hence

$$\{e_{jk} \otimes I\}_{j,k=1}^n \subseteq W^*(P + iB).$$

From this, we obtain that $W^*(P + iB) = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes W^*(a + ib)$. Therefore, $P + iB$ is an irreducible operator in \mathcal{M} . \square

Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a separable hyperfinite type II_1 von Neumann algebra. Then \mathcal{P} can be decomposed as a tensor product $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{R} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ by [2], where \mathcal{R} is the hyperfinite type II_1 factor and \mathcal{A} is an abelian von Neumann algebra. By [14, Corollary 5.15], we assume that \mathcal{R} is generated by two self-adjoint operators A and B . By [13, Theorem 7.12], the abelian von Neumann algebra $W^*(\{A \otimes I\} \cup (I \otimes \mathcal{A}))$ is generated by a self-adjoint operator A_1 . Let $B_1 = B \otimes I$. It is clear that \mathcal{P} is generated by the operator $A_1 + iB_1$. This fact will be directly applied in the proof of the following proposition, which is a comparable version of the lemma in [4].

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a separable von Neumann algebra. Then there exists an irreducible operator $P + iB$ in \mathcal{M} , where P is a projection and B is a self-adjoint operator.*

Proof. According to [13, Theorem 7.12], every separable abelian von Neumann algebra is generated by a self-adjoint operator. If \mathcal{M} is abelian, then we can choose $P + iB$ with $P = 0$ and $W^*(B) = \mathcal{M}$. If \mathcal{M} is of type I_n for some integer $n \geq 2$, then we can write that $\mathcal{M} \cong M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ by [10, Theorem 6.6.5] and the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.5.

If \mathcal{M} is either properly infinite or of type II_1 , then $\mathcal{M} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for some von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} by [10, Lemma 6.3.3, Lemma 6.5.6]. If \mathcal{N} is properly infinite, then \mathcal{N} has a generator by [21], which is clearly irreducible in \mathcal{N} . If \mathcal{N} is of type II_1 , then there exists a hyperfinite type II_1 von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{N} such that $\mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N})$ by [18, Theorem 8]. Hence every generator of \mathcal{P} is an irreducible operator in \mathcal{N} and we complete the proof by Lemma 2.5. The general case follows from Lemma 2.3 and the type decomposition theorem [10, Theorem 6.5.2]. \square

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let \mathcal{I} be a (two-sided) norm-closed ideal in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . We show that certain operators in \mathcal{M} can be perturbed to be irreducible operators with respect to \mathcal{I} in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a separable von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{I} a norm-closed ideal in \mathcal{M} . Suppose that $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of projections in \mathcal{I} such that $I = \sum_{j=1}^\infty E_j$. Let A and B be self-adjoint operators in \mathcal{M} with $A = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j E_j$, where $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of real numbers. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an operator $K \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\|K\| < \varepsilon$ such that $(A + iB) + K$ is irreducible in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. By spectral theory, for each $j \geq 1$, there are projections $\{F_{jk}\}_{k=1}^{n_j}$ and real numbers $\{\lambda_{jk}\}_{k=1}^{n_j}$ such that

$$E_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} F_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| E_j B E_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \lambda_{jk} F_{jk} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}}.$$

Let $T_j = E_j B E_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \lambda_{jk} F_{jk} \in E_j \mathcal{M} E_j \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and $T = \sum_{j=1}^\infty T_j$. It is clear that $T \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|T\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $B_0 = B - T$. Then $F_{jk} B_0 F_{jk} = \lambda_{jk} F_{jk}$.

For the sake of simplicity, we rename $\{F_{jk}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n_j, j \geq 1}$ as $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $A = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j F_j$ and $F_j B_0 F_j = \lambda_j F_j$ for each $j \geq 1$. By Proposition 2.6, there exists an irreducible operator $P_j + iH_j$ in $F_j \mathcal{M} F_j$, where P_j is

a projection and H_j is a self-adjoint operator with $\|H_j\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+3}}$ for each $j \geq 1$. There are two sequences $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of real numbers and $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers such that

$$|\alpha_j - \beta_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+3}}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+3}} \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1,$$

and $\{\beta_j, \beta_j + \varepsilon_j\} \cap \{\beta_k, \beta_k + \varepsilon_k\} = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq k$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists an operator $Y_{jk} \in F_j \mathcal{M} F_k$ such that $\|F_j B_0 F_k - Y_{jk}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+k+3}}$ and $C_{Y_{jk}} = C_{F_j} C_{F_k}$ for all $j < k$. Let $Y_{jk} = Y_{kj}^*$ for all $j > k$. Now we define

$$A_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\beta_j F_j + \varepsilon_j P_j) \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_j F_j + H_j) + \sum_{j \neq k} Y_{jk}.$$

Then $A_1 - A, B_1 - B_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\|A_1 - A\|, \|B_1 - B_0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. It follows that

$$(A_1 + iB_1) - (A + iB) \in \mathcal{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(A_1 + iB_1) - (A + iB)\| < \varepsilon.$$

By Borel function calculus, we have $F_j \in W^*(A_1)$ for each $j \geq 1$. By the definition of $P_j + iH_j$, the operator $F_j(A_1 + iB_1)F_j$ is irreducible in $F_j \mathcal{M} F_j$ for each $j \geq 1$. Moreover, the central support of $F_j B_1 F_k = Y_{jk}$ is $C_{F_j} C_{F_k}$ for all $j \neq k$. Therefore, $A_1 + iB_1$ is irreducible in \mathcal{M} by Lemma 2.4. \square

We present the proof of Theorem 1.2 by using [1, Theorem 4.8] and Lemma 2.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By [1, Theorem 4.8], there exists a self-adjoint operator $K_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\|K_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that $\text{Re}(T) + K_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j E_j$, where $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are projections in \mathcal{I} with sum I and $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are real numbers. By Lemma 2.7, there exists an operator $K_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\|K_2\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that $T + K_1 + K_2$ is irreducible in \mathcal{M} . We complete the proof by taking $K = K_1 + K_2$. \square

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 2.8. *The set of irreducible operators in every separable von Neumann algebra is a norm-dense G_δ set.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M} be a separable von Neumann algebra. Then the set of irreducible operators in \mathcal{M} is norm-dense by Theorem 1.2. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{A \in \mathcal{M} : 0 \leq A \leq I\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}).$$

Since \mathcal{P} is weak-operator compact and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ is weak-operator closed, \mathcal{P}_0 is locally weak-operator compact. The remaining part of the proof is a slight modification of that of [20, Theorem 2.1]. \square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We present a standard result in the theory of von Neumann algebras as follows.

Lemma 2.9. *Let P be a projection in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} such that $C_P = I$ and $P \lesssim I - P$. Then there exists a family of projections $\{P_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ and an index $j_0 \in \Lambda$ such that*

$$I - P = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} P_j \quad \text{and} \quad P_j \lesssim P_{j_0} \sim P \quad \text{for all } j \in \Lambda.$$

Proof. Since $P \lesssim I - P$, there exists a projection Q in \mathcal{M} such that $P \sim Q \leq I - P$. Let $\{P_j\}_{j \in \Lambda_0}$ be a maximal orthogonal family of nonzero subprojections of $I - P - Q$ such that $P_j \lesssim P$ for each $j \in \Lambda_0$. Then $I - P - Q = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_0} P_j$. Otherwise, let

$$Q_0 = I - P - Q - \sum_{j \in \Lambda_0} P_j \neq 0.$$

Then $C_{Q_0}C_P = C_{Q_0} \neq 0$. By [10, Proposition 6.1.8], there exists a nonzero projection $P_0 \leq Q_0$ such that $P_0 \lesssim P$. That contradicts the maximality of $\{P_j\}_{j \in \Lambda_0}$. Let $P_{j_0} = Q$ and $\Lambda = \{j_0\} \cup \Lambda_0$. This completes the proof. \square

The special case $\mathcal{N} = W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M}$ of the following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.10. *Let \mathcal{N} be a von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{M} with $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}Z \neq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})Z$ for every nonzero central projection Z in \mathcal{M} . Then there exists a projection P in \mathcal{N} such that $C_P = C_{I-P} = I$. Furthermore, P can be chosen such that $P \lesssim I - P$.*

Proof. Let $\{P_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ be a maximal family of nonzero projections in \mathcal{N} with mutually orthogonal central supports $\{C_{P_j}\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ such that

$$C_{P_j} = C_{C_{P_j}-P_j} \quad \text{for each } j \in \Lambda.$$

We claim that $I = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} C_{P_j}$. Otherwise, let $Z = I - \sum_{j \in \Lambda} C_{P_j} \neq 0$. By assumption, there exists a nonzero projection $Q \in \mathcal{N}Z \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})Z$. It follows that $Q \neq C_Q Z$ and hence $C_Q(Z - Q) \neq 0$. Let $Z_0 = C_Q C_{Z-Q}$ and $P_0 = Z_0 Q$. Then Z_0 is a nonzero subprojection of Z and

$$C_{P_0} = Z_0 C_Q = Z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{Z_0-P_0} = C_{Z_0(Z-Q)} = Z_0 C_{Z-Q} = Z_0.$$

That contradicts the maximality of $\{P_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ when we consider $\{P_0\} \cup \{P_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$.

Let $P = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} P_j$. Then $C_P = C_{I-P} = I$. By the comparison theorem [10, Theorem 6.2.7], there exists a central projection Z in \mathcal{M} such that

$$ZP \precsim Z(I-P) \quad \text{and} \quad (I-Z)P \precsim (I-Z)(I-P).$$

Let $P' = ZP + (I-Z)(I-P)$. Then P' has the desired property $P' \precsim I - P'$. \square

Now we are ready to provide the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $T = A + iB$ be an operator in \mathcal{M} , where A and B are self-adjoint. We will construct irreducible operators T_1 and T_2 in \mathcal{M} such that $T = T_1 + T_2$.

Let $\{Z_j\}_{j \in \Lambda}$ be a maximal orthogonal family of central projections in \mathcal{M} such that TZ_j is irreducible in $\mathcal{M}Z_j$ for each $j \in \Lambda$. Let $Z_0 = \sum_{j \in \Lambda} Z_j$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}Z_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}(I-Z_0)$ and TZ_0 is irreducible in $\mathcal{M}Z_0$ by Lemma 2.3. In the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}Z_0$, we can take $T_1 = T_2 = \frac{1}{2}TZ_0$. Thus, it suffices to consider the operator $T(I-Z_0)$ in $\mathcal{M}(I-Z_0)$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $Z_0 = 0$. Then for any nonzero central projection Z in \mathcal{M} , we have $(W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M})Z \neq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})Z$. By Lemma 2.10, there exists a projection P in $W^*(T)' \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that $C_P = C_{I-P} = I$ and $P \precsim I - P$. By Lemma 2.9 and the separability of \mathcal{M} , there exists a sequence $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of projections in \mathcal{M} such that

$$I - P = \sum_{j=1}^\infty P_j \quad \text{and} \quad P_j \precsim P_1 \sim P \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

Let $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of partial isometries in \mathcal{M} such that

$$V_j^*V_j = P_j \quad \text{and} \quad V_jV_j^* \leq V_1V_1^* = P \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

By Corollary 2.8, there exists an irreducible operator $A_0 + iB_0$ in PMP , where A_0 and B_0 are positive invertible operators in PMP . Let Q be a projection in \mathcal{M} that commutes with $\{A_0, B_0\} \cup \{V_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Then $QP = PQ$ commutes with $A_0 + iB_0$. It follows that

$$QP \in \mathcal{Z}(PMP) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})P$$

and we can write $QP = ZP$ for some $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. Since

$$QP_j = QV_j^*PV_j = V_j^*QP_j = V_j^*ZPV_j = ZP_j \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1,$$

we have $Q = QP + \sum_{j=1}^\infty QP_j = Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$. Hence $W^*(\{A_0, B_0\} \cup \{V_j\}_{j=1}^\infty)$ has trivial relative commutant, i.e.,

$$W^*(\{A_0, B_0\} \cup \{V_j\}_{j=1}^\infty)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}). \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a bounded sequence of distinct positive numbers such that

$$\alpha_j > 1 + \|A_0\| + \|AP\| + \|A(I - P)\| \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

Define

$$X = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j P_j \quad \text{and} \quad Y = X + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^j} (B_0 V_j + V_j^* B_0).$$

Let $T_1 = A + X + iY$ and $T_2 = -X + i(B - Y)$. It is clear that $T = T_1 + T_2$. We claim that both T_1 and T_2 are irreducible in \mathcal{M} .

Note that P commutes with $T = A + iB$, $P \in W^*(A + X)$ by Borel function calculus, and

$$X = PYP + (I - P)Y(I - P) \in W^*(T_1).$$

Then $A_0, P_j \in W^*(X) \subseteq W^*(T_1)$ for each $j \geq 1$. Since $B_0 V_1 = 2P(Y - X)P_1 \in W^*(T_1)$ and B_0 is a positive invertible operator in PMP , we have $B_0, V_1 \in W^*(T_1)$ by polar decomposition. Since $B_0 V_j = 2^j P(Y - X)P_j \in W^*(T_1)$, we have $V_j \in W^*(T_1)$ for each $j \geq 1$. Similarly, since $P(Y - B)P_j = B_0 V_j$, we have $A_0, B_0, V_j \in W^*(T_2)$ for each $j \geq 1$. Therefore, T_1 and T_2 are irreducible in \mathcal{M} by (2.2). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Akemann, G. Pedersen. *Ideal perturbations of elements in C^* -algebras*. Math. Scand. 41 (1977), no. 1, 117-139. MR0473848
- [2] A. Connes. *Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$* . Ann. of Math. (2) 104 (1976), no. 1, 73-115. MR0454659
- [3] J. Fang, C. Jiang, H. Lin, F. Xu. *On generalized universal irrational rotation algebras and associated strongly irreducible operators*. Internat. J. Math. **24** no. 8, (2013), Paper No. 1350059, 35 pp.
- [4] P. Fillmore, D. Topping. *Sums of irreducible operators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 131-133. MR0233226
- [5] D. Hadwin, M. Ma, J. Shen. *Voiculescu's theorem in properly infinite factors*. J. Funct. Anal. 290 (2026), no. 1, Paper No. 111198. MR4964138
- [6] P. Halmos. *Irreducible operators*. Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 215-223. MR0231233
- [7] D. Herrero, C. Jiang. *Limits of strongly irreducible operators, and the Riesz decomposition theorem*. Michigan Math. J. 37 (2) (1990), 283-291. MR1058401
- [8] C. Jiang, Z. Wang. *Structure of Hilbert space operators*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2006. x+248 pp. MR2221863
- [9] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, I, Elementary theory*. Academic Press, New York, 1983. MR0719020
- [10] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, II, Advanced theory*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986. MR0859186
- [11] H. Radjavi. *Every operator is the sum of two irreducible ones*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 251-252. MR0238112

- [12] H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal. *The set of irreducible operators is dense*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 256. MR0236748
- [13] H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal. *Invariant subspaces*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Band 77 [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas] Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. xi+219 pp. MR0367682
- [14] J. Shen. *Type II_1 factors with a single generator*. J. Operator Theory 62 (2009), no. 2, 421-438. MR2552089
- [15] J. Shen, R. Shi. *Reducible operators in non- Γ type II_1 factors*. arXiv:1907.00573v3, 2019.
- [16] J. Shen, R. Shi. *Sum of irreducible operators in von Neumann factors*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020), no. 7, 2901-2908. MR4099778
- [17] R. Shi. *Normed ideal perturbation of irreducible operators in semifinite von Neumann factors*. Integral Equations Operator Theory 93 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 34, 25 pp. MR4270806
- [18] A. Sinclair, R. Smith. *Cartan subalgebras of finite von Neumann algebras*. Math. Scand. 85 (1999), no. 1, 105-120. MR1707749
- [19] D. Voiculescu. *A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem*. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 21 (1) (1976) 97-113. MR0415338
- [20] S. Wen, J. Fang, R. Shi. *On irreducible operators in factor von Neumann algebras*. Linear Algebra Appl. 565 (2019), 239-243. MR3892720
- [21] W. Wogen. *On generators for von Neumann algebras*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 95-99. MR0236725

SUKITHA ADAPPA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, 85281, US

Email address: Sukitha.Adappa@asu.edu

MINGHUI MA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, 116024, CHINA

Email address: minghuima@dlut.edu.cn

JUNHAO SHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DURHAM, 03824, US

Email address: junhao.shen@unh.edu

RUI SHI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, 116024, CHINA

Email address: ruishi@dlut.edu.cn

SHANSHAN YANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, 116024, CHINA

Email address: yss@mail.dlut.edu.cn