

FROM n -SYSTEMS TO LIE AND COURANT ALGEBROIDS

LIQIANG CAI, ZHUO CHEN, ZHIXIONG CHEN, AND YANHUI BI*

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces a method for constructing pure algebroids, dull algebroids, and Lie algebroids. The construction relies on what we defined as n -systems on vector bundles, and we provide explicit computations for all resulting structure maps. Analogously, metric n -systems defined on metric vector bundles allow us to construct metric algebroids, pre-Courant algebroids, and Courant algebroids.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The motivation	1
1.2. Structures and main results of the paper	2
Acknowledgements	4
1.3. List of terminologies and notations	4
2. From connections to Lie algebroids	5
2.1. Connections and pure algebroids	5
2.2. Lie algebroids from compatible n -systems	6
2.3. A special type of compatible n -systems	8
2.4. DG manifolds from compatible n -systems	10
2.5. Construction of Lie pairs from compatible n -systems	10
3. From metric connections to Courant algebroids	11
3.1. Metric connections and metric algebroids	11
3.2. Three typical examples of metric algebroids	15
3.3. Construction of Courant algebroids from compatible metric n -systems	17
3.4. Construction of Lie bialgebroids from compatible metric n -systems	20
3.5. Compatible metric n -systems and Manin pairs	21
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The motivation. Lie algebroids, initially introduced by Pradines [39], furnish a rigorous framework for describing the infinitesimal structure of Lie groupoids. The reader who wishes to pursue the topic of Lie

algebroids and groupoids is referred to Mackenzie's book [36] (see also [10, 35]) for foundational materials. In short, a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ consists of a vector bundle A (over a base manifold M), a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ on the section space $\Gamma(A)$, and an anchor map $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ which are compatible with the Lie bracket. Furthermore, any Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ admits an equivalent characterization as homological vector fields of degree 1 on the graded manifold $(M, \wedge^\bullet A^*)$ (see [42]). Thus, Lie algebroids have important research in algebraic geometry [6, 8, 9] and differential geometry [38], while also having some applications in analytical mechanics [24, 26].

Courant algebroids, introduced in [34], unify the concept of Lie bialgebras and the bracket on $TM \oplus T^*M$ first discovered by Courant [17], where M is an arbitrary smooth manifold. Around the same time and developed independently, the Dorfman bracket [22], introduced in the context of Hamiltonian structures, is an alternation of the Courant bracket. Roytenberg provided an equivalent definition using the Dorfman bracket [41], which gives the relation of Courant algebroids to L_∞ -algebras [40]. In this paper, we use the notation $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \circ, a_E)$ to denote a Courant algebroid. In specific, we have a pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (over a base manifold M), equipped with a vector bundle morphism $a_E : E \rightarrow TM$, also called the anchor, and a Leibniz algebra structure \circ , called the Dorfman bracket, defined on the section space $\Gamma(E)$, subject to specific compatibility conditions. The subject of Courant algebroids has inspired significant research in higher structure [5], Poisson geometry [2, 3, 13, 27, 37] and generalize geometry [16, 23], while also having some important applications in 3-dimensional topological field theory [29–31], string theory [19] and double field theory [43].

In a prior work [7], we presented a construction of split Courant algebroids utilizing Dirac generating operators. While this provides a theoretical framework, many Lie algebroids and Courant algebroids considered in the literature remain purely abstract concepts. Therefore, it is pertinent to seek concrete examples of these algebraic objects where their structures can be explicitly formulated and analyzed. This paper addresses this need by introducing the concept of n -systems and demonstrating how these n -systems can be used to generate concrete examples of both Lie algebroids and Courant algebroids.

1.2. Structures and main results of the paper. An anchored bundle, denoted (A, a_A) , is the fundamental object of study in the initial section. It consists of a vector bundle A over a base manifold M , equipped with a bundle map $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$, termed the anchor. At this stage, (A, a_A) lacks a Lie structure. We aim to investigate the conditions under which a Lie bracket, denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]$, can be defined on $\Gamma(A)$, the space of sections of A , such that $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], a_A)$ constitutes a Lie algebroid. Our approach involves employing connections on the anchored bundle, drawing inspiration from the role of connections in differential geometry on smooth manifolds (see Definition 2.1). We denote such a connection on (A, a_A) by ∇ , and we seek a bracket on $\Gamma(A)$ of the torsion-free form:

$$[x, y]^\nabla = \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A). \quad (1)$$

Indeed, it can be demonstrated that any Lie algebroid structure compatible with (A, a_A) must adopt this form (see equation (7) in Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.2). In this scenario, the connection ∇ is constrained by a condition referred to as the Lie-Bianchi identity (see Proposition 2.7).

The primary contribution of this paper is the introduction of a method for constructing anchored bundles, connections, and their associated Lie algebroid structures. This construction relies on a specific set of data, termed an “ n -system” on A , denoted by $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n; \hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^n)$. Here, $\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n$ are covariant differential operators acting on A , while $\hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^n$ are elements of $\Gamma(A^*)$, linearly independent over $C^\infty(M)$. From a given n -system, we define an anchor map $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ by

$$a_A(y) = \langle y | \hat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{x}_i, \quad \forall y \in \Gamma(A),$$

where $\hat{x}_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ represents the symbol of \hat{X}_i . Simultaneously, a connection ∇^A is defined as:

$$\nabla_y^A z := \langle y | \hat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{X}_i(z), \quad \forall y, z \in \Gamma(A).$$

Consequently, the bracket operation on $\Gamma(A)$, defining the Lie algebroid structure on A , is given explicitly by:

$$[y, z]_A = \nabla_y^A z - \nabla_z^A y = \langle y | \hat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{X}_i(z) - \langle z | \hat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{X}_i(y), \quad \forall y, z \in \Gamma(A).$$

We note that the Lie algebroid structure of T^*M constructed in [20] is a special case derived from a particular 1-system.

The satisfaction of Lie algebroid axioms by the aforementioned structures on A requires specific conditions for the said n -system. Proposition 2.11 provides a sufficient condition, expressed by Equations (13) and (14). When these two equations hold, the n -system $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n; \hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^n)$ is termed a “compatible n -system”.

In conclusion, any compatible n -system gives rise to an associated Lie algebroid with explicitly defined structure maps. Moreover, the language of compatible n -systems allows us to characterize certain DG manifolds and Lie pairs, as demonstrated in Sections 2.4 and 2.5. In Section 2.3, we further investigate a special type of compatible n -system, providing a characterization of its associated Lie algebroid in terms of explicit bases and functions, alongside concrete examples.

Analogously to Lie algebroids, Courant algebroids can also be constructed using a similar method. In the second part of this paper, we will elaborate on this construction, beginning with an arbitrary anchored metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, a_E)$ over a base manifold M . Here, (E, a_E) denotes an anchored bundle equipped with a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on E . We aim to utilize connections on this anchored metric bundle, specifically metric connections, denoted by ∇ (see Section 3.1). These connections are required to be compatible with the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Notably, our metric connection is a specific instance of the generalized connections introduced in [11].

A key distinction between the Lie bracket and the Courant bracket lies in the skew-symmetry property, which is satisfied by the former but not by the latter. Consequently, a Courant bracket on $\Gamma(E)$ cannot be expressed in the form of equation (1). Instead, we will demonstrate that the Courant bracket necessarily takes the form:

$$e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 = \nabla_{e_1} e_2 - \nabla_{e_2} e_1 + \Delta_{e_2} e_1,$$

where the operator $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is determined by ∇ according to the relation:

$$\langle \Delta_{e_2} e_1, e_3 \rangle := \langle \nabla_{e_3} e_1, e_2 \rangle, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$

Proposition 3.2 provides a proof of this result. Following this, Section 3.2 examines three specific examples of anchored metric bundles, analyzing their metric, pre-Courant, and Courant algebroid structures.

To construct metric connections and their associated Courant algebroids, we introduce a method analogous to our previous construction of Lie algebroids from n -systems for vector bundles. Specifically, given a metric vector bundle $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, we define a *metric n -system* as a tuple $(\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n; \hat{u}^1, \dots, \hat{u}^n)$, where $\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n$ are metric-compatible covariant differential operators on E , and $\hat{u}^1, \dots, \hat{u}^n$ are elements of $\Gamma(E)$ that are linearly independent over $C^\infty(M)$. From such a metric n -system, we construct a metric connection ∇ and an operator Δ on $\Gamma(E)$ as follows:

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 := \langle e_1 | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{Z}_i(e_2), \quad \Delta_{e_2} e_1 := \langle \hat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \hat{u}^i,$$

for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$. Consequently, we formulate the associated Courant algebroid structure on E as follows:

- The anchor map $a_E : E \rightarrow TM$ is defined by $a_E(e) = \langle e | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{z}_i$, and the operator $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is given by $\mathcal{D}(f) := \hat{z}_i(f) \cdot \hat{u}^i$, where $\hat{z}_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ denotes the symbol of \hat{Z}_i ;
- The Dorfman bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ} : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is defined by

$$e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 = \langle e_1 | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{Z}_i(e_2) - \langle e_2 | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{Z}_i(e_1) + \langle \hat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \hat{u}^i,$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$.

To ensure that the aforementioned structures satisfy the axioms of a Courant algebroid, we invoke Proposition 3.13, which provides a sufficient condition. This condition is articulated through the satisfaction of three equations: (41), (43), and (44). When these three equations hold, the system $(\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n; \hat{u}^1, \dots, \hat{u}^n)$ is

defined as a compatible metric n -system. Consequently, given any such compatible metric n -system, we can conclude that there exists an associated Courant algebroid, the structure maps of which can be explicitly formulated.

In Sections 3.4 and 3.5, we present applications of our findings. Specifically, Section 3.4 focuses on a particular class of compatible metric n -systems, characterizing the Courant algebroid structures they induce and providing illustrative examples. Furthermore, Section 3.5 demonstrates the utility of compatible metric n -systems in characterizing certain Manin pairs and Dorfman connections.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Honglei Lang, Zhangjiu Liu, Ping Xu, and Maosong Xiang for fruitful discussions and useful comments. This research is partially supported by NSFC grants 11961049, 10601219, 12071241, 11701146, and Key Project of Jiangxi Natural Science Foundation grant (Bi).

1.3. List of terminologies and notations.

- (1) Throughout this paper, we adopt the Einstein convention, i.e., $a^i b_i$ implies summation over the index i .
- (2) The letter A (or E) denotes a vector bundle over a smooth manifold M .
- (3) We define $\Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$ as the space of covariant differential operators on A . Specifically, an element $\hat{X} \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear operator $\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$, accompanied by some $\hat{x} \in \Gamma(TM)$, such that for all $y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the condition

$$\hat{X}(fy) = f\hat{X}(y) + \hat{x}(f) \cdot y$$

holds.

- (4) The standard pairing on $A \oplus A^*$ is defined for all $x + \xi, y + \eta \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ as

$$\langle x + \xi | y + \eta \rangle := \xi(y) + \eta(x). \quad (2)$$

- (5) A pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ is composed by a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$, a bundle map $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ known as the anchor map, and a skew-symmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ on $\Gamma(A)$ satisfying the Leibniz identity

$$[x, fy]_A = f[x, y]_A + a_A(x)(f) \cdot y, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A), f \in C^\infty(M).$$

- (6) A dull algebroid, which we defined slightly differently from [33] (where the skew-symmetric property of $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ is not required), is a pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ that satisfies an additional condition:

$$a_A([x, y]_A) = [a_A(x), a_A(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A). \quad (3)$$

- (7) A Lie algebroid is a pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ that adheres to the Jacobi identity

$$[[x, y]_A, z]_A + [[y, z]_A, x]_A + [[z, x]_A, y]_A = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \Gamma(A).$$

Notably, a Lie algebroid must be a dull algebroid, as the Jacobi identity implies Condition (3).

- (8) A metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \circ, a_E)$, as discussed in [44], is a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ equipped with three structures: a pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on $\Gamma(E)$, which is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\Gamma(E)$; a bilinear operation \circ on $\Gamma(E)$ known as the Dorfman bracket; and a bundle map $a_E : E \rightarrow TM$ called the anchor. These structures must satisfy the following axioms: for all $e, h_1, h_2 \in \Gamma(E)$,

- (i) $a_E(e)\langle h_1 | h_2 \rangle = \langle e \circ h_1 | h_2 \rangle + \langle h_1 | e \circ h_2 \rangle$,
- (ii) $e \circ e = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}\langle e | e \rangle$,

where $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is determined by $\langle \mathcal{D}(f) | e \rangle = a_E(e)(f)$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$. An important equality for metric algebroids, useful in [41], is

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(f) \circ e | h \rangle = (a_E(e \circ h) - [a_E(e), a_E(h)])(f), \quad (4)$$

for all $e, h \in \Gamma(E), f \in C^\infty(M)$.

- (9) A pre-Courant algebroid, as defined in [43], is a metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \circ, a_E)$ that satisfies an additional condition:

$$a_E(e_1 \circ e_2) = [a_E(e_1), a_E(e_2)], \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (5)$$

According to Equation (4), this condition also implies $\mathcal{D}(f) \circ e = 0$ for all $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

(10) A *Courant algebroid* is a metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \circ, a_E)$ that satisfies the Leibniz identity

$$e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3), \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$

2. FROM CONNECTIONS TO LIE ALGEBROIDS

This section introduces compatible n -systems on vector bundles. We present our main findings, which characterize pure algebroids, dull algebroids, and Lie algebroids through connections and n -systems.

2.1. Connections and pure algebroids. We begin by outlining some key concepts and facts that are well established in the literature. Let A be a vector bundle over a base manifold M . We also consider a bundle map $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$, which we designate as the **anchor**. The combination (A, a_A) constitutes what we call an **anchored (vector) bundle**.

Definition 2.1. A **connection** on the anchored bundle (A, a_A) is an operator $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ that satisfies the following two properties:

$$\nabla_{(fx)}y = f\nabla_x y \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_x(fy) = a_A(x)(f) \cdot y + f\nabla_x y,$$

where $x, y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

From this Definition, we can observe that any connection ∇ defined on the anchored bundle (A, a_A) naturally induces an associated bracket operation on $\Gamma(A)$. This bracket, denoted as

$$[\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$$

is defined by the covariant derivatives in alternating directions

$$[x, y]^\nabla := \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A). \quad (6)$$

Proposition 2.2. Let (A, a_A) be an anchored vector bundle. Given a connection ∇ on (A, a_A) , a pure algebroid structure $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla, a_A)$ can be constructed, where the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla$ is defined by Equation (6). Conversely, every pure algebroid structure on (A, a_A) arises from an associated connection through this way.

Proof. We only prove the second statement. Beginning with an arbitrary affine connection $\nabla^{TM} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$, we can define a corresponding operator $\nabla^A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ through the following relation:

$$\nabla_x^A y := \nabla_{a_A(x)}^{TM} y, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A).$$

To construct the desired connection, we introduce a skew-symmetric and $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear operator $K : \Gamma(A) \otimes \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$, defined as

$$K(x, y) := [x, y]_A - \nabla_x^A y + \nabla_y^A x, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A).$$

Subsequently, we construct an operator $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ by

$$\nabla_x y := \nabla_x^A y + \frac{1}{2}K(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A).$$

One can verify that ∇ determines a connection on (A, a_A) and satisfies the relation:

$$[x, y]_A = \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x =: [x, y]^\nabla.$$

□

Definition 2.3. Two connections ∇ and ∇' on (A, a_A) are called *equivalent* if there exists a symmetric bundle map $S : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\nabla'_x y = \nabla_x y + S(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A).$$

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2 and Definition 2.3, we can state the following one-to-one correspondence:

Proposition 2.4. *There exists a canonical one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of connections on the anchored bundle (A, a_A) and pure algebroid structures underlying (A, a_A) .*

Let us introduce the curvature form of ∇ , which is an operator

$$R^\nabla : \Gamma(A) \wedge \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$$

defined as

$$R^\nabla(x \wedge y)(z) := \nabla_x \nabla_y z - \nabla_y \nabla_x z - \nabla_{([x, y]^\nabla)} z, \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A)$.

According to Equation (7), the operator R^∇ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in the arguments x and y . This property results in the following proposition:

Proposition 2.5. *For a pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla, a_A)$ arising from the connection ∇ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- The curvature R^∇ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear with respect to the argument z ,
- $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla, a_A)$ is a dull algebroid.

The proof is easy and is therefore omitted. Through direct computation, we obtain another significant property:

Proposition 2.6. *With the same condition as in Proposition 2.5, for a pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla, a_A)$, the following identity holds:*

$$R^\nabla(x, y)z + R^\nabla(y, z)x + R^\nabla(z, x)y = [x, [y, z]^\nabla]^\nabla + [y, [z, x]^\nabla]^\nabla + [z, [x, y]^\nabla]^\nabla, \quad (8)$$

where $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A)$.

This identity (8) is called the **pure-Bianchi identity** (of a pure algebroid).

Proposition 2.7. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla, a_A)$ is a Lie algebroid;
- (2) For all $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A)$, the following identity holds:

$$R^\nabla(x, y)z + R^\nabla(y, z)x + R^\nabla(z, x)y = 0. \quad (9)$$

We call (9) the **Lie-Bianchi identity** (of a Lie algebroid).

Remark 2.8. *There is another type of curvature in [1]. Let M be a smooth manifold and $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle; a connection ∇ is a degree 1 derivation of the differential graded module $\Omega(M; E) = \Gamma(\wedge T^*M \otimes E)$ over the differential graded algebra $(\Omega(M), \wedge, d)$, that is, an operator $\nabla : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet T^*M \otimes E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} T^*M \otimes E)$ satisfying*

$$\nabla(\omega\sigma) = (d\omega) \cdot \sigma + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \cdot \nabla(\sigma), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega(M), \sigma \in \Omega(M; E).$$

The curvature of ∇ is $R_\nabla = \nabla^2$, is defined to be an $\Omega(M)$ -module morphism of degree 2. It is different from the curvature form that we use in this paper.

2.2. Lie algebroids from compatible n -systems. Consider a vector bundle A over a base manifold M , and suppose that $\hat{\xi}^1, \hat{\xi}^2, \dots, \hat{\xi}^n$ are elements of $\Gamma(A^*)$ that are linearly independent over the ring of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$. Furthermore, let $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X}_n$ be covariant differential operators on A , belonging to $\Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$, where these operators might be linearly dependent over $C^\infty(M)$. In the sequel, the rank of the vector bundle A is at least n .

Definition 2.9. *The datum*

$$(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n; \hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^n)$$

is called an n -system on A .

In the subsequent discussion, we consistently represent the symbols of $\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_{n-1}$, and \widehat{X}_n by the letters $\widehat{x}_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_{n-1}$, and \widehat{x}_n (where $\widehat{x}_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ for each i). Given an n -system $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n; \widehat{\xi}^1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}^n)$, one can define the following structure maps on $\Gamma(A)$, which establish a pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$:

- The anchor map $a_A : A \rightarrow TM$ is defined as

$$a_A(y) := \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_i, \quad \forall y \in \Gamma(A). \quad (10)$$

- The connection $\nabla^A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ is defined by

$$\nabla_y^A z := \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{X}_i(z), \quad \forall y, z \in \Gamma(A). \quad (11)$$

- The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$, induced by ∇^A , is formulated as

$$[y, z]_A := \nabla_y^A z - \nabla_z^A y = \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{X}_i(z) - \langle z | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{X}_i(y), \quad \forall y, z \in \Gamma(A). \quad (12)$$

For specific examples of n -systems, see Section 2.3. The next step is to investigate the conditions under which this pure algebroid can be characterized as either a dull algebroid or a Lie algebroid. The first fact we need is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *Consider the n -system $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n; \widehat{\xi}^1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}^n)$ on A , and suppose that it satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_i(\widehat{\xi}^j) &= a_{ik}^j \widehat{\xi}^k, \\ [\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{x}_j] &= (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k) \widehat{x}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ik}^j \in C^\infty(M)$. Under these conditions, the triple $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ constitutes a dull algebroid.

Proof. Based on the definitions and conditions presented above, one verifies the following relation:

$$a_A([x, y]_A) - [a_A(x), a_A(y)] = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A).$$

In fact, the left hand side of the above equation can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{X}_i(y) | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j - \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{X}_i(x) | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j \\ & - \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{x}_j] - \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_i \cdot (\langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle) \cdot \widehat{x}_j + \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j \cdot (\langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle) \cdot \widehat{x}_i \\ & = -\langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{x}_i, \widehat{x}_j] - \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{X}_i(\widehat{\xi}^j) \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j + \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \langle x | \widehat{X}_i(\widehat{\xi}^i) \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j \\ & = -\langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k) \widehat{x}_k - \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | a_{ik}^j \widehat{\xi}^k \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j + \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \langle x | a_{ik}^j \widehat{\xi}^k \rangle \cdot \widehat{x}_j \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.11. *Consider an n -system $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n; \widehat{\xi}^1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}^n)$ on A , and suppose that it satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\widehat{X}_i(\widehat{\xi}^j) = a_{ik}^j \widehat{\xi}^k, \quad (13)$$

$$[\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{X}_j] = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k) \widehat{X}_k, \quad (14)$$

where $a_{ik}^j \in C^\infty(M)$. Under these conditions, the following equation holds:

$$R^\nabla(x, y)z = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A)$. Consequently, the triple $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ constitutes a Lie algebroid.

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A)$, by Formulas (10)–(12) and Conditions (13)–(14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^\nabla(x, y)z &= \nabla_x \nabla_y z - \nabla_y \nabla_x z - \nabla_{[x, y]_A} z \\ &= \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{X}_j](z) + (\langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{X}_i(\widehat{\xi}^j) \rangle - \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \langle x | \widehat{X}_j(\widehat{\xi}^i) \rangle) \cdot \widehat{X}_k(z) \\ &= \langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k) \widehat{X}_k(z) + (\langle x | \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle \cdot \langle y | a_{ij}^k \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle - \langle y | \widehat{\xi}^j \rangle \cdot \langle x | a_{ji}^k \widehat{\xi}^i \rangle) \cdot \widehat{X}_k(z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Equation (8), we have $[x, [y, z]_A]_A + [y, [z, x]_A]_A + [z, [x, y]_A]_A = R^\nabla(x, y)z + R^\nabla(y, z)x + R^\nabla(z, x)y = 0$. \square

Definition 2.12. An n -system $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n; \hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^n)$ on A is called **compatible** if it satisfies Conditions (13) and (14).

Consider the simplest case where $n = 1$, which corresponds to a 1-system on the vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$, say $(\hat{X}; \hat{\xi})$ where $\hat{X} \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$, $\hat{\xi} \in \Gamma(A^*)$. The compatibility becomes

$$\hat{X}(\hat{\xi}) = \hat{a}\hat{\xi}, \quad \text{for some } \hat{a} \in C^\infty(M).$$

This condition implies that $\hat{\xi}$ can be regarded as an eigenvector of \hat{X} . Arising from this 1-system, the corresponding Lie algebroid structure on A can be explicitly characterized through the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_A(y) &= \langle y | \hat{\xi} \rangle \cdot \hat{x}, \\ [y, z]_A &= \langle y | \hat{\xi} \rangle \cdot \hat{X}(z) - \langle z | \hat{\xi} \rangle \cdot \hat{X}(y), \quad \forall y, z \in \Gamma(A). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. A special type of compatible n -systems. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open subset on which smooth functions are represented as $f = f(t)$, with t being the coordinate of I . Consider the m -dimensional vector space $V = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$ and its dual space $V^* = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w^1, w^2, \dots, w^m\}$. We define two product vector bundles $A = I \times V$ and $A^* = I \times V^*$ over I .

Let $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X}_n \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$ ($n \leq m$) be differential operators, each associated with a symbol $\hat{x}_i = f_i \frac{d}{dt}$, where f_i are smooth functions on I . The action of each operator \hat{X}_i is specifically characterized by its operation on the basis vectors w^1, \dots, w^m of V^* , which are treated as constant sections in $\Gamma(A^*)$. Suppose that this action is explicitly expressed as:

$$\hat{X}_i \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \\ \vdots \\ w^m \end{pmatrix} = A_i \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \\ \vdots \\ w^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1}^1 & a_{i2}^1 & \cdots & a_{im}^1 \\ a_{i1}^2 & a_{i2}^2 & \cdots & a_{im}^2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{i1}^m & a_{i2}^m & \cdots & a_{im}^m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \\ \vdots \\ w^m \end{pmatrix},$$

where each A_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is a $m \times m$ matrix composed by functions $a_{ik}^j = a_{ik}^j(t) \in C^\infty(I)$ ($1 \leq j, k \leq m$). The collection $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n; w^1, \dots, w^n)$ forms an n -system on A .

In what follows we only let the indices i, j, k range from 1 to n . The compatibility condition $[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)\hat{x}_k$ can be expressed as:

$$[f_i \frac{d}{dt}, f_j \frac{d}{dt}] = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)f_k \frac{d}{dt} \quad \text{or} \quad f_i f_j' - f_j f_i' = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)f_k. \quad (15)$$

Furthermore, the condition $[\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)\hat{X}_k$ implies Equation (15) and the following equation:

$$A_i A_j - A_j A_i + f_i A_j' - f_j A_i' = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)A_k. \quad (16)$$

When f_i and A_i satisfy Equations (15) and (16), $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n; w^1, \dots, w^n)$ constitutes a compatible n -system. The associated Lie algebroid structure on $A = I \times V$ is explicitly given by

$$a_A(w_i) = f_i \frac{d}{dt}, \quad a_A(w_{n+1}) = \cdots = a_A(w_m) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [w_i, w_j]_A &= \hat{X}_i(w_j) - \hat{X}_j(w_i) = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)w_k, \\ [w_i, w_p]_A &= \hat{X}_i(w_p) = - \sum_{s=1}^m a_{ip}^s w_s, \quad (n+1 \leq p \leq m) \\ [w_q, w_p]_A &= 0. \quad (n+1 \leq q, p \leq m) \end{aligned}$$

In the special case that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are constant matrices, Equation (16) reduces to

$$[A_i, A_j] = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k)A_k.$$

Example 2.13. For $m = n = 1$, we have only one element $\hat{X}_1 \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$. Denote its symbol by $\hat{x}_1 = f \frac{d}{dt}$, and suppose that $\hat{X}_1(w^1) = gw^1$ where $g \in C^\infty(I)$. Under these conditions, Equations (15) and (16) are automatically satisfied. As a consequence, the pair $(\hat{X}_1; w^1)$ forms a compatible 1-system on A , resulting in the associated Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$. The structure maps for this Lie algebroid are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_A(gw_1) &= gf \frac{d}{dt}, \\ [gw_1, hw_1]_A &= (gh' - g'h)f w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.14. For $m = n = 2$, let $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant, and consider the set $I := \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \neq c_1\}$. Consider the functions $f_1 = 1$ and $f_2 = 0$, along with the following matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{c_2}{c_1 - t} \\ \frac{1}{c_1 - t} & \frac{c_3}{c_1 - t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants. These matrices satisfy Equations (15) and (16), confirming $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2; w^1, w^2)$ as a compatible 2-system on A . Furthermore, the associated Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ is characterized by the following maps:

$$a_A(w_1) = \frac{d}{dt}, \quad a_A(w_2) = 0, \quad [w_1, w_2]_A = \frac{1}{c_1 - t} w_2.$$

Example 2.15. Consider $m = n = 2$ again. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant, and $I := \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \neq -b\}$ an open set. Take any non-negative integer $k \neq 1$, and we define the functions $f_1 = (t + b)^k$ and $f_2 = t + b$. The matrices A_1 and A_2 provided below are solutions to the equations derived from the conditions for compatible 2-systems, specifically Equations (15), which simplifies to $a_{21}^1 - a_{12}^1 = 1 - k$ and $a_{21}^2 - a_{12}^2 = 0$, and (16).

(1) Take constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and set

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - k & c_1 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix};$$

(2) Take constants $c_3 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1-k}{2}\}$ and $c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, and set

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_3 - \frac{1-k}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c_3 + \frac{1-k}{2} & c_4 \\ 0 & \frac{4c_3^2 + 4(1-k)c_3 - 3(1-k)^2}{4c_3 - 2(1-k)} \end{pmatrix};$$

(3) Take constant $c_5 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and set

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

(4) Take constants $c_6 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $c_7 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{1-k}{2}\}$, and set

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} c_6 & c_7 - \frac{1-k}{2} \\ \frac{2c_6^2}{(1-k) - 2c_7} & -c_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c_7 + \frac{1-k}{2} & \frac{4c_7^2 - (1-k)^2}{4c_6} \\ -c_6 & -c_7 + \frac{1-k}{2} \end{pmatrix};$$

(5) Set

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (t+b)^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (2-k)(t+b)^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1-k & (t+b)^{1-k} \\ (2-k)(t+b)^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each of the above pairs (A_1, A_2) gives rise to a compatible 2-system $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2; w^1, w^2)$ defined on A .

Example 2.16. For the $m = n = 3$ case, let $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ be two constants and $I = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \neq b_0, t \neq b_1\}$. Take $f_1 = 1$, $f_2 = 0$, $f_3 = 0$,

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{b_0 - t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{b_3}{(b_0 - t)(b_1 - t)} & 0 & \frac{b_2}{b_1 - t} \\ \frac{1}{b_1 - t} & 0 & \frac{b_4}{b_1 - t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ can be arbitrary. Then Equations (15) and (16) can be verified. Hence $(\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_2, \widehat{X}_3; w^1, w^2, w^3)$ is a compatible 3-system on A . For the associated Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_A(w_1) &= \frac{d}{dt}, \\ a_A(w_2) &= 0, \\ a_A(w_3) &= 0, \\ [w_1, w_2]_A &= -\frac{1}{b_0 - t} w_2, \\ [w_1, w_3]_A &= -\frac{b_3}{(b_0 - t)(b_1 - t)} w_2 - \frac{1}{b_1 - t} w_3, \\ [w_2, w_3]_A &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. DG manifolds from compatible n -systems. In this section, we consider differential graded (DG) manifolds derived from compatible n -systems. Let V be a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space over \mathbb{R} , represented as $V = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, where each element $v \in V_n$ is assigned a degree n , denoted as $|v| = n$. Suppose that A is a Lie algebroid arising from a compatible n -system $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n; \widehat{\xi}^1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}^n)$ underlying the vector bundle A . Given these data, we can construct a DG manifold $(A[1] = (M, \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), D), D)$, where $D : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^*)$ is defined as the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of the Lie algebroid. In fact, this differential can be expressed as $D := \widehat{\xi}^i \otimes \widehat{X}_i$, by some easy verification. In conclusion, $(A[1], D = \widehat{\xi}^i \otimes \widehat{X}_i)$ constitutes a DG manifold derived from the said compatible n -system.

2.5. Construction of Lie pairs from compatible n -systems. Consider a compatible n -system $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n; \widehat{\xi}^1, \dots, \widehat{\xi}^n)$ defined on A . Suppose that the covariant differential operators $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n)$ within this system can be divided into two subsets: $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_k)$ and $(\widehat{X}_{k+1}, \dots, \widehat{X}_n)$, and the subset $(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_k)$ exhibits closure under the commutator operation, and satisfies the following condition:

$$[\widehat{X}_{l_1}, \widehat{X}_{l_2}] = \sum_{l_3=1}^k (a_{l_2 l_1}^{l_3} - a_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3}) \widehat{X}_{l_3}, \quad \forall l_1, l_2 = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (17)$$

Here, the functions $a_{ik}^j \in C^\infty(M)$ are defined in Equation (13). The equation above corresponds to the following condition:

$$a_{l_2 l_1}^p = a_{l_1 l_2}^p, \quad \forall l_1, l_2 = 1, 2, \dots, k; p = k+1, k+2, \dots, n.$$

We can define a subbundle S of A as

$$S := \{s \in A \mid \langle s | \widehat{\xi}^{k+1} \rangle = \langle s | \widehat{\xi}^{k+2} \rangle = \dots = \langle s | \widehat{\xi}^n \rangle = 0\}.$$

Under these conditions, (A, S) forms a Lie pair as defined in [15]. Recall that a Lie pair defined as follows—A Lie pair (A, S) is a pair consisting of two Lie algebroids over the same manifold M together with a Lie algebroid inclusion $S \hookrightarrow A$ (covering the identity map id_M).

Resume the setting of (A, S) as earlier. The structure maps of the Lie subalgebroid S are

$$\begin{aligned} a_S(s) &:= a_A(s) = \sum_{l=1}^k \langle s | \widehat{\xi}^l \rangle \widehat{X}_l, \quad \forall s \in S, \\ [s_1, s_2]_S &:= [s_1, s_2]_A = \sum_{l=1}^k \langle s_1 | \widehat{\xi}^l \rangle \cdot \widehat{X}_l(s_2) - \sum_{l=1}^k \langle s_2 | \widehat{\xi}^l \rangle \cdot \widehat{X}_l(s_1), \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in S. \end{aligned}$$

The straightforward verification of these formulas is omitted for brevity. A simple method for constructing Lie pairs is to choose any covariant differential operator \widehat{X}_i . Using the method above, we can define a non-trivial Lie subalgebroid S such that (L, S) forms a Lie pair.

Example 2.17. Following Example 2.16 of a compatible 3-system, we can form a sub-vector bundle of A ,

$$S = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2\}.$$

Moreover, the structure maps of the Lie subalgebroid S are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_A(w_1) &= \frac{d}{dt}, & a_A(w_2) &= 0, \\ [w_1, w_2]_A &= -\frac{1}{b_0 - t}w_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (A, S) forms a Lie pair.

3. FROM METRIC CONNECTIONS TO COURANT ALGEBROIDS

This section introduces compatible *metric* n -systems on *metric* bundles. We present characterizations of metric algebroids, pre-Courant algebroids, and Courant algebroids through metric connections and metric n -systems.

3.1. Metric connections and metric algebroids. Consider a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ equipped with a pseudo-metric, which is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form denoted by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. In addition, we assume a bundle map $a_E : E \rightarrow TM$ is given, and designate it as the anchor map. The triple $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a_E)$ is called an **anchored metric bundle**. Given this data, a connection $\nabla : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ on the anchored metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a_E)$ is called a **metric connection** if it satisfies the compatibility condition:

$$a_E(e_1)\langle e_2 | e_3 \rangle = \langle \nabla_{e_1} e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2 | \nabla_{e_1} e_3 \rangle, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (18)$$

The metric connection ∇ is a special instance of the generalized connection described in [11]. To see the existence of such a connection, one takes an arbitrary TM -connection $\nabla^0 : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$, and define two auxiliary operators $H : \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ and $\nabla^{TM} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H(X, e_1) | e_2 \rangle &:= X\langle e_1 | e_2 \rangle - \langle \nabla_X^0 e_1 | e_2 \rangle - \langle e_1 | \nabla_X^0 e_2 \rangle, \\ \nabla_X^{TM} e_1 &:= \nabla_X^0 e_1 + \frac{1}{2}H(X, e_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$. One can easily check that

$$H(X, fe_1) = H(fX, e_1) = fH(X, e_1), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

Then, the operator ∇^{TM} defines a TM -connection on E and satisfies the following condition:

$$X\langle e_1 | e_2 \rangle = \langle \nabla_X^{TM} e_1 | e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1 | \nabla_X^{TM} e_2 \rangle.$$

Subsequently, we define a metric connection $\nabla : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ as the pullback of ∇^{TM} :

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 := \nabla_{a_E(e_1)}^{TM} e_2, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$

Then, this connection ∇ satisfies the compatibility condition:

$$\langle \nabla_{e_1} e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2 | \nabla_{e_1} e_3 \rangle = \langle \nabla_{a_E(e_1)}^{TM} e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2 | \nabla_{a_E(e_1)}^{TM} e_3 \rangle = a_E(e_1)\langle e_2 | e_3 \rangle.$$

Definition 3.1. Given a metric connection ∇ on an anchored metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a_E)$, we defined an associated bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ} : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ on $\Gamma(E)$ through the following relation:

$$\langle e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2, e_3 \rangle := \langle \nabla_{e_1} e_2, e_3 \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_2} e_1, e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_3} e_1, e_2 \rangle. \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, define an operator $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ by

$$\langle \Delta_{e_2} e_1, e_3 \rangle := \langle \nabla_{e_3} e_1, e_2 \rangle, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (20)$$

We can easily verify the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{(fe_2)}e_1 &= f\Delta_{e_2}e_1, \\ \Delta_{e_2}(fe_1) &= f\Delta_{e_2}e_1 + \langle e_1|e_2 \rangle \cdot \mathcal{D}(f), \\ \mathcal{D}\langle e_1|e_2 \rangle &= \Delta_{e_1}e_2 + \Delta_{e_2}e_1,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is given by $\langle \mathcal{D}(f)|e \rangle = a_E(e)(f)$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

The associated bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ}$ can also be expressed by

$$e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 = \nabla_{e_1}e_2 - \nabla_{e_2}e_1 + \Delta_{e_2}e_1. \quad (21)$$

Consequently, we obtain the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}a_E(e)\langle h_1|h_2 \rangle &= \langle e \overset{\nabla}{\circ} h_1|h_2 \rangle + \langle h_1|e \overset{\nabla}{\circ} h_2 \rangle, \\ e \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}\langle e|e \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the quadruple $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ forms a metric algebroid.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, \circ, a_E)$ be a metric algebroid. Then there exists a metric connection ∇ on the anchored metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, a_E)$ such that the operation \circ is exactly the operation $\overset{\nabla}{\circ}$, as defined in Equation (19) or (21).*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary metric connection $\nabla^0 : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ on the metric vector bundle E . Consequently, we have an operator $\overset{\nabla^0}{\circ} : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ defined as follows:

$$\langle e_1 \overset{\nabla^0}{\circ} e_2|e_3 \rangle := \langle \nabla_{e_1}^0 e_2 - \nabla_{e_2}^0 e_1|e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_3}^0 e_1|e_2 \rangle,$$

for all $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, and we have a metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla^0}{\circ}, a_E)$. However, $\overset{\nabla^0}{\circ}$ is different from the given operator \circ . To derive the desired connection ∇ , we introduce an operator $K : \Gamma(E) \otimes \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$, which is defined by

$$K(e_1, e_2) := e_1 \circ e_2 - e_1 \overset{\nabla^0}{\circ} e_2, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$

Through direct calculation, it can be verified that K is skew-symmetric and $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear, and satisfies the following identity:

$$\langle K(e_1, e_2)|e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2|K(e_1, e_3) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$

Utilizing these properties, we construct the desired connection $\nabla : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ as

$$\nabla_{e_1}e_2 := \nabla_{e_1}^0 e_2 + \frac{1}{3}K(e_1, e_2), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$

It can be demonstrated that ∇ is indeed a metric connection on $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, a_E)$, satisfying the following equation:

$$\langle e_1 \circ e_2|e_3 \rangle = \langle \nabla_{e_1}e_2 - \nabla_{e_2}e_1|e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_3}e_1|e_2 \rangle, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.3. *Two metric connections ∇ and ∇' are said to be equivalent, written as $\nabla \sim \nabla'$, if their difference is a vector bundle map $T : E \otimes E \rightarrow E$ satisfying the property*

$$\langle T(e_2, e_1)|e_3 \rangle = \langle T(e_1, e_2)|e_3 \rangle + \langle T(e_3, e_1)|e_2 \rangle, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$

Using Proposition 3.2, we can directly derive the next proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of metric connections on the anchored metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, a_E)$ and metric algebroid structures underlying $(E, \langle \cdot|\cdot \rangle, a_E)$.*

Definition 3.5. Assume that $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ is a metric algebroid arising from a metric connection ∇ . Define a binary operation $C^\nabla : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{CDO}(E))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} C^\nabla(e_1, e_2) &:= \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_2} - \nabla_{e_2} \nabla_{e_1} - \nabla_{(e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2)} \\ &= \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_2} - \nabla_{e_2} \nabla_{e_1} - \nabla_{(\nabla_{e_1} e_2)} + \nabla_{(\nabla_{e_2} e_1)} - \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_2} e_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$. We call C^∇ the **Courant curvature** associated with the metric connection ∇ .

Remark 3.6. Consider a pure algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]^\nabla, a_A)$ arising from a connection ∇ , and a trivial Lie algebroid $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_* = 0, a_{A^*} = 0)$. Using these structures, we can construct an anchored metric bundle $(E = A \oplus A^*, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a_E = a_A)$, where $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is the standard pairing as defined in (2). This construction naturally induces a metric connection

$$\widehat{\nabla} : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$$

defined by

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{x+\xi}(y+\eta) := \nabla_x y + \nabla_x \eta,$$

for all $x + \xi, y + \eta \in \Gamma(E)$.

The above structures rise to a metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \widehat{\circ}, a_E = a_A)$, where the Dorfman bracket $\widehat{\circ}$ can be explicitly expressed as

$$(x + \xi) \widehat{\circ} (y + \eta) = [x, y]^\nabla + L_x \eta - \iota_y (d_A \xi),$$

for all $x + \xi, y + \eta \in \Gamma(E)$. The associated Courant curvature $C^{\widehat{\circ}}$ is of the form

$$C^{\widehat{\circ}}(x + \xi, y + \eta) = R^\nabla(x, y).$$

This formulation shows that Courant curvatures naturally extend curvatures of pure algebroids.

By direct computations, we can verify that the Courant curvature C^∇ satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} C^\nabla(e_1, e_2) + C^\nabla(e_2, e_1) &= -\nabla_{\mathcal{D}(e_1|e_2)}, \\ C^\nabla(fe_1, e_2) &= fC^\nabla(e_1, e_2) - \langle e_1 | e_2 \rangle \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{D}(f)}, \\ C^\nabla(e_1, fe_2) &= fC^\nabla(e_1, e_2), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)(fe_3) = fC^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 + ([a_E(e_1), a_E(e_2)] - a_E(e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2))(f) \cdot e_3, \quad (24)$$

for all $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Remark 3.7. The curvature R_∇ introduced in [33] differs from our Courant curvature C^∇ due to two reasons – (1) What she called the Dorfman connection is not our metric connection; and (2), her R_∇ is not linear in its second argument, i.e., $R_\nabla(e_1, fe_2) \neq fR_\nabla(e_1, e_2)$ for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proposition 3.8. The quadruple $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ is a pre-Courant algebroid if and only if either of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- The operator $C^\nabla(e_1, e_2) : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$;
- For any $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the relation $\mathcal{D}(f) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e = 0$ holds.

To establish a key theorem that fully characterizes Courant algebroids through Courant curvature, we introduce an operator $Q : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ defined by

$$\langle Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) | t \rangle := \langle C^\nabla(e_1, t)e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle C^\nabla(e_2, t)e_3 | e_1 \rangle + \langle C^\nabla(e_3, t)e_1 | e_2 \rangle, \quad (25)$$

where $e_1, e_2, e_3, t \in \Gamma(E)$. The $C^\infty(M)$ -linearity of this relation with respect to the t -argument, as derived from Equation (23), ensures that $Q(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is well-defined.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ be a metric algebroid. If C^∇ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear with respect to its third argument, and the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 + C^\nabla(e_2, e_3)e_1 + C^\nabla(e_3, e_1)e_2 \\ & + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3}e_2)}e_1 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_1}e_3)}e_2 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_2}e_1)}e_3 \\ & + Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all $e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ forms a Courant algebroid.

We call (26) the **Courant-Bianchi identity** (of the Courant algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$).

The proof of Theorem 3.9 will follow immediately from Equation (24) and the proposition below.

Proposition 3.10. *The following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 + C^\nabla(e_2, e_3)e_1 + C^\nabla(e_3, e_1)e_2 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3}e_2)}e_1 \\ & + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_1}e_3)}e_2 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_2}e_1)}e_3 + Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) + (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 \\ & = e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) - (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3 - e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for all $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$.

We call (27) the **metric-Bianchi identity** (of the metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$).

Proof. For all $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, by Equations (18) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) | t \rangle &= \langle \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_2} e_3 | t \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_3} e_2 | t \rangle - \langle \nabla_{(e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3)} e_1 | t \rangle \\ &+ \langle \nabla_t e_1 | \nabla_{e_2} e_3 \rangle - \langle \nabla_t e_1 | \nabla_{e_3} e_2 \rangle + \langle \nabla_t e_2 | \nabla_{e_1} e_3 \rangle \\ &+ \langle \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_t e_2 | e_3 \rangle - \langle \nabla_{(\nabla_{e_1} t)} e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{(\nabla_t e_1)} e_2 | e_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By rotation of arguments, we derive the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) + c.p.(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3}e_2)}e_1 + \mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | \nabla_{e_2} e_3 \rangle + c.p.(e_1, e_2, e_3) \\ &+ Q(e_1, e_2, e_3). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Using $e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 + e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_1 = \mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_2 \rangle$, we have two identities:

$$-(e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3 = e_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) - \mathcal{D}\langle e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 | e_3 \rangle, \quad (29)$$

$$-e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) = e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_1) - e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle). \quad (30)$$

We also need the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} a_E(e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} t) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle &= \langle e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} t | \mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_2} t - \nabla_t e_2 | \mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle} e_2 | t \rangle \\ &= a_E(\nabla_{e_2} t) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle - a_E(\nabla_t e_2) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle} e_2 | t \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Then, we can derive the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle -\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 | e_3 \rangle - e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) | t \rangle \\ &= -a_E(t) (\langle \nabla_{e_1} e_2 | e_3 \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_2} e_1 | e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_3} e_1 | e_2 \rangle) \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_{e_2} (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) | t \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle} e_2 | t \rangle - \langle \nabla_t e_2 | \mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle \rangle \\ &= -a_E(t) (\langle \nabla_{e_1} e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_2} e_3 | e_1 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_3} e_1 | e_2 \rangle) + a_E(\nabla_{e_2} t) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle \\ &\quad - a_E(\nabla_t e_2) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle} e_2 | t \rangle - a_E(e_2) (a_E(t) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) + a_E(t) (a_E(e_2) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \\ &\stackrel{(31)}{=} -a_E(t) (\langle e_1 | \nabla_{e_2} e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2 | \nabla_{e_3} e_1 \rangle + \langle e_3 | \nabla_{e_1} e_2 \rangle) + (a_E(e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} t) - [a_E(e_2), a_E(t)]) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) - (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3 - e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) | t \rangle \\
& \stackrel{(29)(30)(32)}{=} \langle e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_3) | t \rangle + \langle e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_1) | t \rangle + \langle e_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) | t \rangle \\
& \quad - a_E(t) (\langle e_1 | \nabla_{e_2} e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2 | \nabla_{e_3} e_1 \rangle + \langle e_3 | \nabla_{e_1} e_2 \rangle) + (a_E(e_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} t) - [a_E(e_2), a_E(t)]) \langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle \\
& \stackrel{(28)(4)}{=} \langle C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 + C^\nabla(e_2, e_3)e_1 + C^\nabla(e_3, e_1)e_2 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3}e_2)}e_1 \\
& \quad + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_1}e_3)}e_2 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_2}e_1)}e_3 + Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) + (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 | t \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Three typical examples of metric algebroids. We will examine three types of metric bundles: $E = A \oplus A^*$ (where A is an arbitrary vector bundle), $TM \oplus T^*M$ (where M is an arbitrary manifold), and $TM \oplus TM$, and establish the corresponding metric, pre-Courant, and Courant algebroid structures.

Case 1: $E = A \oplus A^*$.

Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, a_{A^*})$ be two pure algebroids arising from two torsion free connections $\nabla^{AA} : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ and $\nabla^{A^*A^*} : \Gamma(A^*) \times \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$, respectively. The standard metric on $A \oplus A^*$ is defined by the standard pairing (2). The anchor map $a_E : A \oplus A^* \rightarrow TM$ is defined by

$$a_E(x + \xi) = a_A(x) + a_{A^*}(\xi),$$

for all $x + \xi \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$.

These structures establish an anchored metric bundle $(A \oplus A^*, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a_E)$. By ∇^{AA} and $\nabla^{A^*A^*}$, we obtain two induced connections $\nabla^{AA^*} : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$ and $\nabla^{A^*A} : \Gamma(A^*) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_x^{AA^*} \xi | y \rangle &= a_A(x) \langle \xi | y \rangle - \langle \xi | \nabla_x^{AA} y \rangle, \\
\text{and } \langle \nabla_\xi^{A^*A} x | \eta \rangle &= a_{A^*}(\xi) \langle x | \eta \rangle - \langle x | \nabla_\xi^{A^*A^*} \eta \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A), \xi, \eta \in \Gamma(A^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a natural operator $\nabla : \Gamma(A \oplus A^*) \times \Gamma(A \oplus A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ defined by

$$\nabla_{x+\xi}(y + \eta) := \nabla_x^{AA} y + \nabla_x^{AA^*} \eta + \nabla_\xi^{A^*A} y + \nabla_\xi^{A^*A^*} \eta,$$

and the associated Dorfman bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ} : \Gamma(A \oplus A^*) \times \Gamma(A \oplus A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ given by

$$(x + \xi) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (y + \eta) := \nabla_{x+\xi}(y + \eta) - \nabla_{y+\eta}(x + \xi) + \Delta_{y+\eta}(x + \xi), \quad (33)$$

where $\Delta : \Gamma(A \oplus A^*) \times \Gamma(A \oplus A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ (according to Equation (20)) is explicitly expressed by

$$\langle \Delta_{y+\eta}(x + \xi) | z + \gamma \rangle = \langle \nabla_{z+\gamma}(x + \xi) | y + \eta \rangle = \langle \nabla_z^{AA} x + \nabla_\gamma^{A^*A} x | \eta \rangle + \langle \nabla_z^{AA^*} \xi + \nabla_\gamma^{A^*A^*} \xi | y \rangle,$$

for all $x + \xi, y + \eta, z + \gamma \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$.

Further, the operator $\overset{\nabla}{\circ}$ given by Equation (33) can be expressed alternatively:

$$(x + \xi) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (y + \eta) = ([x, y]_A + L_\xi y - \iota_\eta(d_* x)) + ([\xi, \eta]_{A^*} + L_x \eta - \iota_y(d_A \xi)). \quad (34)$$

In conclusion, $(A \oplus A^*, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ is a metric algebroid. One may ask under what conditions the datum $(A \oplus A^*, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ will become a pre-Courant algebroid. Indeed, the answer is merely some system of equations which is not so interesting. Moreover, suppose that (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid in the sense of [37], then $A \oplus A^*$ is a Courant algebroid and the canonical Dorfman bracket of $A \oplus A^*$ is exactly defined as above (see [17]).

Case 2: $E = TM \oplus T^*M$.

Let $A = TM$ be the tangent Lie algebroid and $A^* = T^*M$ equipped with the trivial Lie algebroid structure. The anchor map $a_E : \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ is defined by:

$$a_E(X + \alpha) = X, \quad \forall X + \alpha \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M).$$

Moreover, the Courant bracket (34) transforms into the following expression:

$$(X + \alpha) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (Y + \beta) = [X, Y] + L_X \beta - \iota_Y d\alpha, \quad (35)$$

where $d : \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} T^*M)$ is the de Rham differential. Indeed, the quadruple $(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ is the first example of Courant algebroids [17].

The standard Courant bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ}$ in (35) can be altered through a twisting operation and a differential 3-form $\omega \in \Omega^3(M) = \Gamma(\wedge^3 T^*M)$. The modified Courant bracket, denoted $\overset{\omega}{\circ}$, is defined as

$$(X + \alpha) \overset{\omega}{\circ} (Y + \beta) := (X + \alpha) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (Y + \beta) + \omega(X, Y, \cdot). \quad (36)$$

Indeed, we can rewrite $\overset{\omega}{\circ}$ via a particular type of metric connections on $(TM \oplus T^*M, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, a_E)$ as follows:

- (1) Consider an arbitrary torsion-free connection $\nabla^{TM} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$. This connection induces a corresponding connection $\nabla^{T^*M} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M)$ on the cotangent bundle T^*M , defined by the following equation:

$$\langle \nabla_X^{T^*M} \alpha, Y \rangle = X \langle \alpha, Y \rangle - \langle \alpha, \nabla_X^{TM} Y \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \alpha \in \Gamma(T^*M).$$

- (2) Define an associated connection $\nabla : \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \times \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ by

$$\nabla_{X+\alpha}(Y + \beta) = \nabla_X^{TM} Y + \nabla_X^{T^*M} \beta, \quad \forall X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M). \quad (37)$$

Then ∇ is a metric connection on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

- (3) Define an ω -twisted connection $\nabla^\omega : \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \times \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ by

$$\nabla_{X+\alpha}^\omega(Y + \beta) = \nabla_{X+\alpha}(Y + \beta) + \frac{1}{3}\omega(X, Y, \cdot), \quad \forall X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M),$$

where ∇ is the metric connection defined in Equation (37). Then ∇^ω is also a metric connection on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$.

- (4) It can be directly verified that the Courant bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ}$ from Equation (35) is induced by the connection ∇ . Similarly, the ω -twisted Courant bracket $\overset{\omega}{\circ}$ defined in Equation (36) is induced by the modified connection ∇^ω .

Case 3: $E = TM \oplus TM$.

Let M be a smooth manifold and $g : TM \otimes TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a pseudo-Riemannian metric. Thus, one can define an induced pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : (TM \oplus TM) \otimes (TM \oplus TM) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle (X_1, X_2) | (Y_1, Y_2) \rangle := g(X_1, Y_1) + g(X_2, Y_2), \quad \forall (X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus TM).$$

Define the anchor map $\rho : \Gamma(TM \oplus TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ by $\rho(X_1, X_2) := X_1 + X_2$, for all $(X_1, X_2) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus TM)$. So, the triple $(TM \oplus TM, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \rho)$ is an anchored metric bundle.

Since we have an isomorphism of vector bundles $g^\sharp : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ given by $\langle g^\sharp(X) | Y \rangle := g(X, Y)$, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, one can identify T^*M with TM and endow T^*M with a Lie algebroid structure isomorphic to TM . Then consider the particular instance of $A = TM$ in **Case 1**, and we see that $TM \oplus TM \cong TM \oplus T^*M$ has a metric algebroid structure. However, *it can never become a pre-Courant algebroid*. The reason is as follows.

We can first take the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{TM} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ arising from g ; hence ∇^{TM} is torsion-free. Then define an associative operator $\Delta^{TM} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ by

$$g(\Delta_w^{TM} u, v) := g(\nabla_v^{TM} u, w), \quad \forall u, v, w \in \Gamma(TM).$$

In the meantime, we have the associated metric connection in $TM \oplus TM$, i.e., $\nabla : \Gamma(TM \oplus TM) \times \Gamma(TM \oplus TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \oplus TM)$, given by

$$\nabla_{(X_1, X_2)}(Y_1, Y_2) := (\nabla_{X_1+X_2}^{TM} Y_1, \nabla_{X_1+X_2}^{TM} Y_2), \quad \forall (X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus TM).$$

As for the Dorfman bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ} : \Gamma(TM \oplus TM) \times \Gamma(TM \oplus TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \oplus TM)$ which is generally expressed by

$$(X_1, X_2) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (Y_1, Y_2) := \nabla_{(X_1, X_2)}(Y_1, Y_2) - \nabla_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(X_1, X_2) + \Delta_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(X_1, X_2),$$

for all $(X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus TM)$, we can compute the following four special situations:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1, 0) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (Y_1, 0) &= ([X_1, Y_1], 0), \\ (X_1, 0) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (0, Y_2) &= (-\nabla_{Y_2}^{TM} X_1 + \Delta_{Y_2}^{TM} X_1, \nabla_{X_1}^{TM} Y_2 + \Delta_{Y_2}^{TM} X_1), \\ (0, X_2) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (Y_1, 0) &= (\nabla_{X_2}^{TM} Y_1 + \Delta_{Y_1}^{TM} X_2, -\nabla_{Y_1}^{TM} X_2 + \Delta_{Y_1}^{TM} X_2), \\ (0, X_2) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (0, Y_2) &= (0, [X_2, Y_2]). \end{aligned}$$

In order that the said metric algebroid $(TM \oplus TM, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, \rho)$ is a pre-Courant algebroid, we need

$$\rho((X_1, 0) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} (0, Y_2)) = [\rho(X_1, 0), \rho(0, Y_2)]$$

which is just the condition $\Delta_{Y_2}^{TM} X_1 = 0, \forall Y_2, X_1 \in \Gamma(TM)$, and hence we must have $\nabla^{TM} = 0$, a contradiction.

3.3. Construction of Courant algebroids from compatible metric n -systems. In this section, we are about to state our second main result. Consider a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ equipped with a pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on E and suppose that $\hat{u}^1, \hat{u}^2, \dots, \hat{u}^n$ are elements of $\Gamma(E)$ that are linearly independent over $C^\infty(M)$. Furthermore, let $\{\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2, \dots, \hat{Z}_n\} \subset \Gamma(\text{CDO}(E))$ be covariant differential operators on E (which may be linearly dependent over $C^\infty(M)$). We consistently represent the symbols of $\{\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n\}$ by the letters $\{\hat{z}_1, \dots, \hat{z}_n\}$ (where $\hat{z}_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ for each i). Assume that the operators \hat{Z}_i , their corresponding symbols \hat{z}_i , and the pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfy the following compatibility condition:

$$\langle \hat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1 | \hat{Z}_i(e_2) \rangle = \hat{z}_i \langle e_1 | e_2 \rangle, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (38)$$

In this part, we suppose that the rank of the vector bundle E is at least n .

Definition 3.11. *The datum*

$$(\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n; \hat{u}^1, \dots, \hat{u}^n)$$

*described as above is called a **metric n -system**.*

Given a metric n -system, one can define the following structure maps on $\Gamma(E)$, which constitute a metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$.

- The anchor map $a_E : E \rightarrow TM$ is defined by the expression:

$$a_E(e) := \langle e | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{z}_i, \quad \forall e \in \Gamma(E).$$

The associated operator $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is given by the following formula

$$\mathcal{D}(f) := \hat{z}_i(f) \cdot \hat{u}^i, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

- The associated connection $\nabla : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is defined by the expression:

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 := \langle e_1 | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \hat{Z}_i(e_2), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (39)$$

The connection ∇ is a metric connection because it satisfies Equation (38). The associated operator $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ corresponding to ∇ is given by the following formula

$$\Delta_{e_2} e_1 := \langle \hat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \hat{u}^i, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$

- The Dorfman bracket $\overset{\nabla}{\circ} : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ induced by ∇ can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 &:= \nabla_{e_1} e_2 - \nabla_{e_2} e_1 + \Delta_{e_2} e_1 \\ &= \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_i(e_2) - \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) + \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \widehat{u}^i, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The next step is to investigate the sufficient conditions under which this metric algebroid can be characterized as either a pre-Courant algebroid or a Courant algebroid.

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose that the metric n -system $(\widehat{Z}_1, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n; \widehat{u}^1, \dots, \widehat{u}^n)$ on E satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\widehat{Z}_i(\widehat{u}^j) = C_{ik}^j \widehat{u}^k, \quad \text{where } C_{ik}^j \in C^\infty(M), \quad (41)$$

$$[\widehat{z}_i, \widehat{z}_j] = (C_{ji}^k - C_{ij}^k) \widehat{z}_k, \quad (42)$$

$$\langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^j \rangle = 0, \quad (43)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then the associated metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ is a pre-Courant algebroid.

Proof. We only need to verify the relation

$$a_E(e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) - [a_E(e_1), a_E(e_2)] = 0, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_E(e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2) - [a_E(e_1), a_E(e_2)] \\ & \stackrel{(40)}{=} \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_2) | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j - \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j + \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j \\ & \quad - \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{z}_i, \widehat{z}_j] - \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_i \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j + \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_i \\ & \stackrel{(38)}{=} - \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{Z}_i(\widehat{u}^k) \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_k + \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \langle e_1 | \widehat{Z}_j(\widehat{u}^k) \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_k \\ & \quad - \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{z}_i, \widehat{z}_j] + \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j \\ & \stackrel{(41)(42)}{=} - \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | C_{ij}^k \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_k + \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \langle e_1 | C_{ji}^k \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_k \\ & \quad - \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot (C_{ji}^k - C_{ij}^k) \widehat{z}_k + \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_j \\ & \stackrel{(43)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.13. *Consider the metric n -system $(\widehat{Z}_1, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n; \widehat{u}^1, \dots, \widehat{u}^n)$ on E , and suppose that it satisfies Conditions (41) and (43) of the previous proposition, and the following condition:*

$$[\widehat{Z}_i, \widehat{Z}_j] = (C_{ji}^k - C_{ij}^k) \widehat{Z}_k, \quad (44)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Under these conditions, the following equation holds:

$$C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 = \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3} e_2)} e_1 = Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2 = 0, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$

Consequently, the quadruple $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ constitutes a Courant algebroid.

Proof. Now, we verify that $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \overset{\nabla}{\circ}, a_E)$ is a Courant algebroid.

- To see $C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0$, we use Equations (41) and (44) to get

$$\begin{aligned} C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 &= \nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{e_2} e_3 - \nabla_{e_2} \nabla_{e_1} e_3 - \nabla_{(e_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} e_2)} e_3 \\ &= \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{Z}_i, \widehat{Z}_j](e_3) \\ & \quad + \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{Z}_i(\widehat{u}^k) \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) - \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \langle e_1 | \widehat{Z}_j(\widehat{u}^k) \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) \\ & \quad - \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^k \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) \\ &= \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot (C_{ji}^k - C_{ij}^k) \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \langle e_1 | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \langle e_2 | C_{ij}^k \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) - \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \langle e_1 | C_{ji}^k \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) \\
& - \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_1) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^k \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_k(e_3) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

- By using the above fact $C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0$, and Equation (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3} e_2)} e_1 &= \langle \widehat{Z}_i(e_2) | e_3 \rangle \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^i | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_j(e_1) = 0, \\
\langle Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) | t \rangle &= \langle C^\nabla(e_1, t)e_2 | e_3 \rangle + \langle C^\nabla(e_2, t)e_3 | e_1 \rangle + \langle C^\nabla(e_3, t)e_1 | e_2 \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

- It is left to show that $(\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \circ^\nabla e_2 = 0$. By applying Equations (41), (42) and (44), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \circ^\nabla e_2 &= \widehat{z}_j(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^j | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_i(e_2) \\
&\quad + \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot [\widehat{z}_i, \widehat{z}_j](\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \widehat{u}^i \\
&\quad - \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_k(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \widehat{Z}_j(\widehat{u}^k) + \widehat{z}_k(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \langle \widehat{Z}_i(\widehat{u}^k) | e_2 \rangle \cdot \widehat{u}^i \\
&= \widehat{z}_j(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \langle \widehat{u}^j | \widehat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_i(e_2) \\
&\quad + \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot (C_{ji}^k - C_{ij}^k) \widehat{z}_k(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \widehat{u}^i \\
&\quad - \langle e_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \cdot \widehat{z}_k(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot C_{ji}^k \widehat{u}^i + \widehat{z}_k(\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \cdot \langle C_{ij}^k \widehat{u}^j | e_2 \rangle \cdot \widehat{u}^i \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the following Leibniz identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_1 \circ^\nabla (e_2 \circ^\nabla e_3) - (e_1 \circ^\nabla e_2) \circ^\nabla e_3 - e_2 \circ^\nabla (e_1 \circ^\nabla e_3) \\
&= C^\nabla(e_1, e_2)e_3 + C^\nabla(e_2, e_3)e_1 + C^\nabla(e_3, e_1)e_2 \\
&\quad + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_3} e_2)} e_1 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_1} e_3)} e_2 + \nabla_{(\Delta_{e_2} e_1)} e_3 + Q(e_1, e_2, e_3) + (\mathcal{D}\langle e_1 | e_3 \rangle) \circ^\nabla e_2 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This fact confirms that the metric algebroid $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \circ^\nabla, a_E)$ is a Courant algebroid. \square

Definition 3.14. A metric n -system $(\widehat{Z}_1, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n; \widehat{u}^1, \dots, \widehat{u}^n)$ on E is called **compatible** if it satisfies Conditions (41), (43) and (44).

In summary, a compatible metric n -system on E induces a Courant algebroid underlying E . Let us now examine a specific example.

Example 3.15. Let $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Consider $M = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{c_1\}$ and the vector space $V(\cong \mathbb{R}^4) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$. We define a vector bundle $E = M \times V$ over M . Assume that V is equipped with a metric which is determined by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$. Let \widehat{Z}_1 and $\widehat{Z}_2 \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(E))$ be covariant differential operators equipped with symbol $\widehat{z}_1 = \frac{d}{dt}$ and $\widehat{z}_2 = 0$, respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Z}_1(w_i) &= 0, \\
\widehat{Z}_2 \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{c_2}{c_1-t} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{c_1-t} & \frac{c_3}{c_1-t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c_4}{c_1-t} & 0 & \frac{1}{c_1-t} \\ \frac{c_4}{c_1-t} & 0 & \frac{c_2}{c_1-t} & -\frac{c_3}{c_1-t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

The collection $(\widehat{Z}_1, \widehat{Z}_2; w_1, w_2)$ forms a metric 2-system on E . Observing that $[\widehat{Z}_1, \widehat{Z}_2] = \frac{1}{c_1-t} \widehat{Z}_2$, we can directly verify the compatibility conditions. Consequently, this metric 2-system gives rise to a corresponding

Courant algebroid structure on E , which is characterized by its anchor and Dorfman bracket:

$$\begin{aligned} a_E(w_3) &= \frac{d}{dt}, & a_E(w_1) &= a_E(w_2) = a_E(w_4) = 0, \\ w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_3 &= \frac{1}{c_1 - t} w_2, & w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 &= \frac{1}{c_1 - t} w_1, & w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 &= \frac{1}{c_1 - t} w_4, \\ w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_1 &= w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_2 = w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_3 = w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 = w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_2 = w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_3 = w_4 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. Construction of Lie bialgebroids from compatible metric n -systems. Suppose that $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_p; \hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^p)$ is a compatible p -system on the vector bundle A such that A is a Lie algebroid. Suppose that $(\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_q; \hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^q)$ is a compatible q -system on the vector bundle A^* such that A^* is also a Lie algebroid. Our objective is to obtain the necessary conditions for the two Lie algebroids, A and A^* , to form a Lie bialgebroid. The operators $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X}_p$ and $\hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_q$ can be expressed as covariant differential operators acting on both A and A^* . Additionally, they naturally extend to covariant differential operators on $A \oplus A^*$. This extension preserves the standard pairing as defined in Equation (2).

Suppose that

$$\hat{X}_i(\hat{\xi}^j) = a_{ik}^j \hat{\xi}^k, \quad \hat{Y}_\alpha(\hat{v}^\beta) = b_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta \hat{v}^\gamma, \quad \hat{X}_i(\hat{v}^\alpha) = c_{i\gamma}^\alpha \hat{v}^\gamma, \quad \hat{Y}_\alpha(\hat{\xi}^i) = d_{\alpha k}^i \hat{\xi}^k,$$

for some $a_{ik}^j, b_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta, c_{i\gamma}^\alpha, d_{\alpha k}^i \in C^\infty(M)$. By the compatibility conditions, we already have

$$[\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = (a_{ji}^k - a_{ij}^k) \hat{X}_k, \quad (45)$$

$$[\hat{Y}_\alpha, \hat{Y}_\beta] = (b_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma - b_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma) \hat{Y}_\gamma. \quad (46)$$

We further impose two conditions

$$[\hat{Y}_\alpha, \hat{X}_i] = c_{i\alpha}^\gamma \hat{Y}_\gamma - d_{\alpha i}^k \hat{X}_k, \quad (47)$$

$$\text{and } \langle \hat{\xi}^i | \hat{v}^\alpha \rangle = 0. \quad (48)$$

It is easy to see that under the extra conditions (47) and (48), the set of data

$$(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_p, \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_q; \hat{\xi}^1, \dots, \hat{\xi}^p, \hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^q)$$

defines a compatible metric $(p+q)$ -system. Consequently, $E = A \oplus A^*$ becomes a Courant algebroid. In other words, A and A^* form a Lie bialgebroid.

Example 3.16. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-dimensional smooth manifold. Consider the 2-dimensional vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2\}$ and its dual space $V^* = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w^1, w^2\}$. We define Lie algebroids $A = M \times V$ and $A^* = M \times V^*$ over M . Assume that $\hat{X} : \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$ is a covariant differential operator with symbol $\hat{x} = \frac{d}{dt}$ such that

$$\hat{X} \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Assume that $\hat{Y} : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ is a covariant differential operator with symbol $\hat{y} = \frac{d}{dt}$ such that

$$\hat{Y} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for some } l \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $(\hat{X}; w^1)$ and $(\hat{Y}; w_2)$ are compatible metric 1-systems on A and A^* , respectively. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}(w^1) &= -kw^1, \\ \hat{Y}(w_2) &= -lw_2, \\ \hat{X}(w_2) &= 0, \\ \hat{Y}(w^1) &= 0, \\ [\hat{Y}, \hat{X}] &= 0, \\ \langle w^1 | w_2 \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}; w^1, w_2)$ satisfies Conditions (45)–(48). Consequently, $E = A \oplus A^*$ forms a Courant algebroid. The anchor map of E is explicitly given by

$$a_E(w_1) = \frac{d}{dt} \quad \text{and} \quad a_E(w_2) = 0.$$

The Dorfman bracket of E is generated by the trivial relations

$$\begin{aligned} w^1 \circledast w^1 &= w^1 \circledast w^2 = w^1 \circledast w_1 = w^1 \circledast w_2 = 0, \\ w^2 \circledast w^1 &= w^2 \circledast w^2 = w^2 \circledast w_1 = w^2 \circledast w_2 = 0, \\ w_1 \circledast w^1 &= w_1 \circledast w^2 = w_1 \circledast w_1 = w_1 \circledast w_2 = 0, \\ w_2 \circledast w^1 &= w_2 \circledast w^2 = w_2 \circledast w_1 = w_2 \circledast w_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, we can not say that the Dorfman bracket on E is trivial. For example, we have

$$w_1 \circledast fw_1 = \frac{df}{dt}w_1, \quad w_1 \circledast fw_2 = \frac{df}{dt}w_2, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

Example 3.17. Consider the three dimensional vector space $V = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and its dual space $V^* = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w^1, w^2, w^3\}$. Consider the vector bundles $A = \mathbb{R} \times V$ and $A^* = \mathbb{R} \times V^*$ over \mathbb{R} .

Suppose that $\widehat{X} \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A^*))$ is equipped with a symbol $\widehat{x} = (t + b)^m \frac{d}{dt} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R})$, where $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Suppose further that \widehat{X} satisfies the following condition:

$$\widehat{X} \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \\ w^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w^1 \\ w^2 \\ w^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that $\widehat{Y} \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(A))$ is equipped with a symbol $\widehat{y} = (t + b) \frac{d}{dt} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the following condition:

$$\widehat{Y} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m-1 & c_3 & c_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, we can verify that $(\widehat{X}; w^1)$ and $(\widehat{Y}; w_2)$ are compatible metric 1-systems on A and A^* , respectively. It is easy to check that $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}; w^1, w_2)$ satisfies Conditions (45)–(48). Consequently, $E = A \oplus A^*$ forms a Courant algebroid. In fact, we can compute the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_E(w_1) &= \widehat{x}, & a_E(w^2) &= \widehat{y}, & a_E(w_2) &= a_E(w_3) = a_E(w^1) = a_E(w^3) = 0, \\ w_1 \circledast w^1 &= (m-1)w_2, & w_1 \circledast w^2 &= -(m-1)w_1 - c_4w_3, & w_1 \circledast w^3 &= c_4w_2, \\ w^1 \circledast w^2 &= (m-1)w^1, & w^2 \circledast w^3 &= -c_4w^1, \\ w_1 \circledast w_1 &= w_1 \circledast w_2 = w_1 \circledast w_3 = w_2 \circledast w_2 = w_2 \circledast w_3 = w_2 \circledast w^1 = w_2 \circledast w^2 = w_2 \circledast w^3 = 0, \\ w_3 \circledast w_3 &= w_3 \circledast w^1 = w_3 \circledast w^2 = w_3 \circledast w^3 = w^1 \circledast w^1 = w^1 \circledast w^3 = w^2 \circledast w^2 = w^3 \circledast w^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.5. Compatible metric n -systems and Manin pairs. In this part, we construct Manin pairs from certain compatible metric n -systems, find a formula to produce Dorfman connection, and study the associated Lie algebroids.

3.5.1. Construction of Manin pairs.

Definition 3.18. [3] Let $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \circ, a_E)$ be a Courant algebroid over M . A **Dirac structure** is a subbundle $L \subset E$ that is maximally isotropic with respect to the pseudo-metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and the space $\Gamma(L)$ of smooth sections of L is closed under the bracket \circ , i.e., $\Gamma(L) \circ \Gamma(L) \subseteq \Gamma(L)$. In this case, L naturally constitutes a Lie algebroid. The pair (E, L) is called a **Manin pair** over M .

Consider a compatible metric n -system $(\widehat{Z}_1, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n; \widehat{u}^1, \dots, \widehat{u}^n)$ on a metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$, and thus E is endowed with a Courant algebroid structure according to Proposition 3.13.

We suppose that the following three conditions are true:

- (i) The covariant differential operators $(\widehat{Z}_1, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n)$ can be divided into two parts: $(\widehat{Z}_1, \dots, \widehat{Z}_k)$ and $(\widehat{Z}_{k+1}, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n)$. Assume that the second part $(\widehat{Z}_{k+1}, \dots, \widehat{Z}_n)$ is closed under the commutator operation:

$$[\widehat{Z}_a, \widehat{Z}_b] = \sum_{c=k+1}^n (C_{ba}^c - C_{ab}^c) \widehat{Z}_c, \quad \forall a, b = k+1, k+2, \dots, n, \quad (49)$$

where the structure functions $C_{ab}^c \in C^\infty(M)$ are subject to Equation (41). This Equation (49) can be equivalently described as the following condition:

$$C_{ba}^c = C_{ab}^c, \quad \forall a, b = k+1, 2, \dots, n, \quad c = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (50)$$

- (ii) Assume that L is given by

$$\{v \in E | \langle v | \widehat{u}^1 \rangle = \langle v | \widehat{u}^2 \rangle = \dots = \langle v | \widehat{u}^k \rangle = 0\}.$$

- (iii) Assume that L constitutes a maximal isotropic subbundle of E .

By the definition of L and Condition (43), every \widehat{u}^j belongs to $\Gamma(L)$. Since the set $\{\widehat{u}^1, \dots, \widehat{u}^n\}$ is linearly independent over $C^\infty(M)$ and L is a maximal isotropic subbundle of E , the rank of E is at least $2n$.

Corollary 3.19. *Under the above three conditions (i), (ii), and (iii), (E, L) is a Manin pair. Moreover, the Lie algebroid structure maps of L are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} a_L(v) &:= a_E(v) = \sum_{l=k+1}^n \langle v | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \widehat{Z}_l, \quad \forall v \in L, \\ [v_1, v_2]_L &:= v_1 \circ_n v_2 \\ &\stackrel{(40)}{=} \sum_{l=k+1}^n (\langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_l(v_2) - \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \cdot \widehat{Z}_l(v_1)) + \sum_{l=1}^n \langle \widehat{Z}_l(v_1) | v_2 \rangle \cdot \widehat{u}^l, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma(L). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to verify that $[v_1, v_2]_L \in \Gamma(L)$. In fact, for any $j = 1, \dots, k$, we use the above expression of $[v_1, v_2]_L$ and Equations (38), (41), (43) and (50) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [v_1, v_2]_L | \widehat{u}^j \rangle &\stackrel{(43)}{=} \sum_{l=k+1}^n \langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \langle \widehat{Z}_l(v_2) | \widehat{u}^j \rangle - \sum_{l=k+1}^n \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \langle \widehat{Z}_l(v_1) | \widehat{u}^j \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(38)}{=} \sum_{l=k+1}^n \langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle (\widehat{Z}_l \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle - \langle v_2 | \widehat{Z}_l(\widehat{u}^j) \rangle) \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=k+1}^n \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle (\widehat{Z}_l \langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^j \rangle - \langle v_1 | \widehat{Z}_l(\widehat{u}^j) \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{l=k+1}^n (-\langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \langle v_2 | \widehat{Z}_l(\widehat{u}^j) \rangle + \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^l \rangle \langle v_1 | \widehat{Z}_l(\widehat{u}^j) \rangle) \\ &\stackrel{(41)}{=} \sum_{l_1, l_2=k+1}^n (-\langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^{l_1} \rangle C_{l_1 l_2}^j \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^{l_2} \rangle + \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^{l_2} \rangle C_{l_1 l_2}^j \langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^{l_1} \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{l_1, l_2=k+1}^n (C_{l_2 l_1}^j - C_{l_1 l_2}^j) \langle v_1 | \widehat{u}^{l_1} \rangle \langle v_2 | \widehat{u}^{l_2} \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(50)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.5.2. The associated Dorfman connection. Let us now study the relationship between Dorfman connections and compatible metric n -systems. The following Definitions 3.20, 3.21 and Proposition 3.22 are recalled from [33].

Definition 3.20. Let $(Q, [\cdot, \cdot]_Q, a_Q)$ be a dull algebroid over M . Let $B \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle equipped with a fiberwise pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{QB} : Q \times_M B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a map $d_B : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(B)$ such that

$$\langle q, d_B f \rangle_{QB} = a_Q(q)(f),$$

for all $q \in \Gamma(Q)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then $(B, d_B, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{QB})$ is called a pre-dual of Q .

Definition 3.21. Let $(Q, [\cdot, \cdot]_Q, a_Q)$ be a dull algebroid over M and $(B, d_B, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{QB})$ a pre-dual of Q . A Dorfman (Q) -connection on B is an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map

$$\nabla^{\text{Dorf}} : \Gamma(Q) \times \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B)$$

such that

- (a) $\nabla_{f_q}^{\text{Dorf}} b = f \nabla_q^{\text{Dorf}} b + \langle q, b \rangle_{QB} \cdot d_B f,$
- (b) $\nabla_q^{\text{Dorf}}(fb) = f \nabla_q^{\text{Dorf}} b + a_Q(q)(f) \cdot b,$
- (c) $\nabla_q^{\text{Dorf}}(d_B f) = d_B(a_Q(q)(f)),$

for all $q, q' \in \Gamma(Q), b \in \Gamma(B), f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let $L \subseteq E$ be an isotropic subalgebroid. This means that L is isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ (possibly not maximal) and $\Gamma(L)$ is closed with respect to \circ . In fact, a (possibly non-unique) Dorfman connection exists on the quotient bundle E/L .

Proposition 3.22. With assumptions as above, L has a Lie algebroid structure induced from E and the map

$$\nabla^{\text{Dorf}} : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(E/L) \rightarrow \Gamma(E/L), \quad \nabla_v^{\text{Dorf}} \bar{e} = \overline{v \circ e}, \quad \forall v \in \Gamma(L), \bar{e} \in \Gamma(E/L),$$

is an L -Dorfman connection. Here the map $d_{E/L} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E/L)$ is induced from $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ and the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L, E/L} : L \times_M (E/L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the natural pairing induced by the pairing on E , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, v_2]_L &= [v_1, v_2]_E, \\ a_L(v) &= a_E(v), \\ \langle v, \bar{e} \rangle_{L, E/L} &= \langle v | e \rangle, \\ d_{E/L}(f) &= \overline{\mathcal{D}(f)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v, v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma(L), e \in \Gamma(E)$.

In particular, arising from any Manin pair (E, L) there is an L -Dorfman connection on E/L .

We now consider a compatible metric n -system $(\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n; \hat{u}^1, \dots, \hat{u}^n)$ on a metric bundle $(E, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$, and suppose that Conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Section 3.5.1 are satisfied. Thus we have a Manin pair (E, L) accordingly. Using Equation (40), the associated Dorfman connection can be explicitly presented.

Corollary 3.23. The Dorfman (L) -connection $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(E/L) \rightarrow \Gamma(E/L)$ is given by

$$\nabla_v^{\text{Dorf}} \bar{e} = \overline{v \circ e} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e | \hat{u}^i \rangle \cdot \overline{\hat{Z}_i(v)}, \quad (51)$$

for all $v \in \Gamma(L)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$.

Example 3.24. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Consider $M = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{C\}$ and the vector space $V(\cong \mathbb{R}^8) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8\}$. We define a vector bundle $E = M \times V$ over M . Assume that V is

equipped with a metric which is determined by the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8\}$.

Suppose that \hat{Z}_1 and $\hat{Z}_2 \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(E))$ are covariant differential operators on E (both with trivial symbols) determined by the following matrices:

$$\hat{Z}_1 \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \\ w_5 \\ w_6 \\ w_7 \\ w_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{CC_1+C_2}{C-t} & 0 & \frac{1}{C-t} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{C_1t+C_2}{C-t} & C_1 & \frac{1}{C-t} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{C_3}{C-t} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{C_4}{C-t} & -\frac{C_4}{C-t} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{CC_1+C_2}{C-t} & -\frac{C_1t+C_2}{C-t} & -\frac{C_3}{C-t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{C_4}{C-t} & -\frac{1}{C-t} & -\frac{1}{C-t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{C_4}{C-t} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \\ w_5 \\ w_6 \\ w_7 \\ w_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{Z}_2 \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \\ w_5 \\ w_6 \\ w_7 \\ w_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_1 & -C_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \\ w_5 \\ w_6 \\ w_7 \\ w_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 , and C_4 are constants ($\in \mathbb{R}$). Suppose that $\hat{Z}_3 \in \Gamma(\text{CDO}(E))$ is a covariant differential operator on E equipped with the symbol $\hat{z}_3 = \frac{d}{dt}$ such that $\hat{Z}_3(w_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$.

Then we can check the relations $[\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2] = 0$, $[\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_3] = -\frac{1}{C-t}\hat{Z}_1 - \frac{1}{C-t}\hat{Z}_2$, and $[\hat{Z}_2, \hat{Z}_3] = 0$. Thus the 3-system $(\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2, \hat{Z}_3; w_1, w_2, w_3)$ satisfies Conditions (41), (43) and (44). Hence, E is equipped with a Courant algebroid structure:

$$\begin{aligned} a_E(w_1) &= a_E(w_2) = a_E(w_3) = a_E(w_4) = a_E(w_5) = a_E(w_6) = a_E(w_8) = 0, & a_E(w_7) &= \frac{d}{dt}, \\ w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_5 &= -\frac{1}{C-t}w_3, & w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 &= \frac{1}{C-t}w_1, & w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= -\frac{1}{C-t}w_3, \\ w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_5 &= -\frac{1}{C-t}w_3, & w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 &= \frac{1}{C-t}w_1, & w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= -\frac{1}{C-t}w_3, & w_4 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_5 &= \frac{C_4}{C-t}(w_8 - w_7), \\ w_4 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= \frac{C_4}{C-t}(w_8 - w_7), & w_5 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 &= -\frac{1}{C-t}(w_5 + w_6) - \frac{C_4}{C-t}w_4, \\ w_5 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= \frac{C_4}{C-t}w_4 + \frac{CC_1+C_2}{C-t}w_5 + \frac{C_1t+C_2}{C-t}w_6 + \frac{C_3}{C-t}w_7, & w_6 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= -C_1w_6, \\ w_7 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 &= -\frac{C_4}{C-t}w_1, & w_7 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= \frac{1}{C-t}(w_5 + w_6), & w_8 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 &= \frac{C_4}{C-t}w_1, \\ w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_1 &= w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_2 = w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_3 = w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 = w_1 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_6 = 0 \\ w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_2 &= w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_3 = w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 = w_2 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_6 = w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_3 = 0, \\ w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 &= w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_5 = w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_6 = w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 = w_3 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_8 = w_4 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_4 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$w_4 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_6 = w_4 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 = w_5 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_5 = w_5 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_6 = w_6 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_6 = w_6 \overset{\nabla}{\circ} w_7 = 0.$$

We can check that $L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ is a Dirac structure, and thus (E, L) constitutes a Manin pair. Furthermore, based on Equation (51), the only non-trivial terms in the L -Dorfman connection on E/L are

$$\nabla_{w_4} \overline{w_5} = \frac{C_4}{C-t}(\overline{w_8} - \overline{w_7}), \quad \nabla_{w_4} \overline{w_8} = \frac{C_4}{C-t}(\overline{w_8} - \overline{w_7}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aschieri, F. Bonechi, and A. Deser, *On curvature and torsion in Courant algebroids*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **22** (2021), 2475–2496.
- [2] R. Bandiera, Z. Chen, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu, *Shifted derived Poisson manifolds associated with Lie pairs*, Comm. Math. Phys. **375** (2020), no. 3, 1717–1760.
- [3] P. Batakidis and F. Petalidou, *Dorfman connections of Courant algebroids and the Atiyah class of Manin pairs*, J. Geom. Phys. **199** (2020), 105142.
- [4] L. Bianchi, *Lezioni di geometria differenziale* (2001). Unpublished manuscript.
- [5] P. Bressler and C. Rengifo, *On higher-dimensional Courant algebroids*, Lett. Math. Phys. **108** (2018), no. 9, 2099–2137.
- [6] U. Bruzzo, *Lie algebroid cohomology as a derived functor*, J. Algebra **483** (2017), 245–261.
- [7] L. Q. Cai, Z. Chen, H. L. Lang, and M. S. Xiang, *Dirac generating operators of split Courant algebroids*, J. Geom. Phys. **208** (2025), 105373.
- [8] D. Calaque and M. Bergh, *Hochschild cohomology and Atiyah classes*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 5, 1839–1889.
- [9] D. Calaque, C. A. Rossi, and M. Bergh, *Hochschild (co)homology for Lie algebroids.*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **21** (2010), 4098–4136.
- [10] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes, Amer. Math. Soc., 1999, G/A.
- [11] G. R. Cavalcanti, J. Pedregal, and R. Rubio, *On the Equivalence of Generalized Ricci Curvatures*, Vol. 153, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 2025.
- [12] Z. Chen, Z. J. Liu, and D. Zhong, *Lie-Rinehart bialgebras for crossed products*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 1270, 1270–1283.
- [13] Z. Chen and M. Stiénon, *Dirac generating operators and Manin triples*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **79** (2009), no. 2, 399–421.
- [14] Z. Chen, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu, *On regular Courant algebroids*, J. Symplectic Geom. **11** (2013), no. 1, 1–24.
- [15] ———, *From Atiyah classes to homotopy Leibniz algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **341** (2016), no. 1, 309–349.
- [16] V. Cortés and L. David, *Generalized connections, spinors, and integrability of generalized structures on Courant algebroids*, Moscow Math. J. (2019).
- [17] T. J. Courant, *Dirac manifolds*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **319** (1990), no. 2.
- [18] M. Crainic and I. Moerdijk, *Deformations of Lie brackets: cohomological aspects*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **10** (2008), no. 4, 1037–1059.
- [19] A. Deser, *Star products on graded manifolds and α -corrections to Courant algebroids from string theory*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (2012), no. 9, 092302.
- [20] A. Dobrogowska and G. Jakimowicz, *Generalization of the concept of classical r -matrix to Lie algebroids*, J. Geom. Phys. **165** (2021), 104227.
- [21] I. Y. Dorfman, *Dirac structures of integrable evolution equations*, Phys. Lett. A **125** (1987), no. 5, 240–246.
- [22] ———, *Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, Chichester, England; New York: Wiley, 1993.
- [23] M. G. Fernandez, *Ricci flow, Killing spinors, and T -duality in generalized geometry*, Adv. Math. **350** (2019), 1059–1108.
- [24] G. Ginot and M. Grützmann, *Cohomology of Courant algebroids with split base*, J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (2009), no. 3, 311–335.
- [25] K. Grabowska and J. Grabowski, *Variational calculus with constraints on general algebroids*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008), 175204.
- [26] K. Grabowska, J. Grabowski, and P. Urbański, *Geometrical Mechanics on algebroids*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **3** (2006), 559–575.
- [27] M. Grützmann, J. P. Michel, and P. Xu, *Weyl quantization of degree 2 symplectic graded manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **154** (2021), no. 2, 67–107.
- [28] P. J. Higgins and K. Mackenzie, *Algebraic Constructions of in the Category Lie Algebroids*, J. Algebra **129** (1990), 194–230.
- [29] C. Hofman and J. S. Park, *BV quantization of topological open membranes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), no. 2, 249–271.
- [30] N. Ikeda, *Deformation of BF theories, topological open membrane and a generalization of the star deformation*, J. High Energy Phys. **7** (2001), no. 37.
- [31] ———, *Chern-Simons gauge theory coupled with BF theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18** (2003), no. 15, 2689–2701.
- [32] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Quasi, twisted, and all that ... in Poisson geometry and Lie algebroid theory*, Progr. Math. **232** (2005), 363–389 (English summary).
- [33] M. Jotz Lean, *Dorfman connections and Courant algebroids*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **9** (2018), no. 116, 1–39.
- [34] Z. J. Liu, A. D. Weinstein, and Ping Xu, *Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids*, J. Diff. Geom. **45** (1995), 547–574.
- [35] K. Mackenzie, *Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Differential Geometry*, Vol. 124, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [36] ———, *General Theories of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [37] K. Mackenzie and P. Xu, *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2.
- [38] P. Popescu and M. Popescu, *Anchored vector bundles and Lie algebroids*, Bana. Cent. Publ. **54** (2001), 51–69.

- [39] J. Pradines, *Théorie de Lie pour les groupoides différentiables, dans la catégorie des groupoides infinitésimaux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A. **264** (1967), 245–248.
- [40] D. Roytenberg, *Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), no. 1, 81–93.
- [41] ———, *Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds*, University of California, Berkeley, 1999. ISBN 978-0599-31598-3.
- [42] A. Yu. Vaintrob, *Lie algebroids and homological vector fields*, Russ. Math. Surv. **52** (1997), no. 2, 428–429.
- [43] I. Vaisman, *Transitive Courant algebroids*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **11** (2005), 1737–1758.
- [44] ———, *On the geometry of double field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), 033509.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HENAN UNIVERSITY

Email address: cailiqiang@vip.henu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY

Email address: chenzhuo@tsinghua.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, CHINA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

Email address: zxchen@cau.edu.cn

CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, NANCHANG HANGKONG UNIVERSITY

Email address: (corresponding author) biyanhui0523@163.com