

PAIRS OF EVENTUALLY CONSTANT MAPS AND NILPOTENT PAIRS

WEIXI CHEN, MEE SEONG IM, MIKHAIL KHOVANOV, CATHERINE LILLJA, AND NICOLAS RUGO

ABSTRACT. Tom Leinster gave a bijective correspondence between the set of operators on a finite-dimensional vector space V and the set of pairs consisting of a nilpotent operator and a vector in V . Over a finite field this bijection implies that the probability that an operator be nilpotent is the reciprocal of the number of vectors in V . We generalize this correspondence to pairs of operators between pairs of vector spaces and determine the probability that a random pair of operators be nilpotent. We also determine the set-theoretical counterpart of this construction and compute the number of eventually constant pairs of maps between two finite sets, closely related to the number of spanning trees in a complete bipartite graph.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	2
2. Eventually constant pairs of maps of sets	3
2.1. Background on graph theory	3
2.2. The enumeration of eventually constant pairs of functions	3
3. Pairs of nilpotent maps and a balanced vector	4
3.1. Linear algebra for pairs of vector spaces	4
3.2. Nilpotent pairs and balanced vectors	5
3.3. Nilpotent pairs over a finite field	7
4. Nilpotent endomorphisms over the Boolean semiring	13
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

The nilpotent cone is foundational and prevalent in geometric and combinatorial representation theory. It provides key geometric and algebraic structures for the study of representations of Hecke algebras and related algebras [CG10].

Let X be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field \mathbf{k} and $\mathcal{N}(X) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ be the set of nilpotent operators on X . Tom Leinster proved in [Lei21] that there is a bijection between $\mathcal{N}(X) \times X$ and $\text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$. Working over a finite field with q elements, the result specializes to an older theorem of Fine and Herstein [FH58, Theorem 1], which says that the number of $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices is $q^{n(n-1)} = |X|^{n-1}$. Equivalently, the probability that a random

Date: December 3, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B08, 20F18, 20F19, 20D15, 16N40; Secondary: 05C10, 05C20, 05C85, 05C05.

Key words and phrases. Nilpotent cone, eventually constant maps, nilpotent pairs, balanced vectors, quiver representations, Boolean semiring, finite field.



FIGURE 1. Left: a graph with a vertex and a loop. Right: graph Γ with 2 vertices and 2 arrows forming an oriented 2-cycle.

operator be nilpotent is q^{-n} . A useful feature of Leinster's argument is that it requires very little calculation, and exposes a deeper structural pattern. The set-theoretical analogue of nilpotent matrix counting reduces to the count of trees on n labeled vertices, given by the celebrated Cayley's formula n^{n-2} ; see [Lei21] and references there.

In [FH58, Theorem 2], Fine and Herstein determine the number of nilpotent matrices over rings of the form $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$, where a is any positive integer. The mod a count follows quite simply from the mod p count, for p a prime number, and this is the crux of the argument there.

A linear operator on a vector space describes a representation of a quiver (oriented graph) with a single vertex and single loop at the vertex, shown in Figure 1 on the left. In the present paper we consider an extension of Leinster's construction to the oriented 2-cycle quiver Γ , shown in Figure 1 on the right. A finite-dimensional representation of Γ over \mathbf{k} is given by a pair of vector spaces V, W of dimensions n, m , respectively, and a pair of linear operators $f : V \rightarrow W, g : W \rightarrow V$. The analogue of the nilpotency condition for a linear operator in this case is the nilpotency of the composition $gf \in \text{End}(V)$, equivalent to the nilpotency of $fg \in \text{End}(W)$.

Our main result is Theorem 3.17, which determines the number of nilpotent pairs (f, g) . Corollary 3.18 rephrases it: the probability that a random pair of operators (f, g) be nilpotent is $q^{-m} + q^{-n} - q^{-m-n}$.

The analogue of Leinster's bijection $\mathcal{N}(X) \times X \cong \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ is given earlier, by Theorem 3.6, which uses the notion of a *balanced* vector, see Section 3.2. To get from that theorem to the count of nilpotent pairs in Theorem 3.17 requires looking at interactions between balanced and unbalanced vectors in V and W , done in Section 3.3. The key bijection is provided by Lemma 3.15. The main result (Theorem 3.17) follows. Background material is given in Section 3.1.

The set-theoretical counterpart of a nilpotent pair is given by a pair of finite sets and maps f, g between them such that the composition gf is eventually constant. In Section 2.1, we give a brief background on graph theory, and in Section 2.2, we enumerate eventually constant pairs of maps (Theorem 2.3).

The count of nilpotent matrices over the Boolean semiring is explained in Section 4. In Section 4, we prove that $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices over a Boolean semiring is enumerated by the number of directed acyclic graphs on n ordered vertices (Proposition 4.2).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Haihan Wu and Matthew Hamil for productive conversations. The authors would also like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Johns Hopkins University for the opportunity to conduct research in these last several months. The

authors were partially supported by Simons Collaboration Award 994328. M.K. was also partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1807425.

2. EVENTUALLY CONSTANT PAIRS OF MAPS OF SETS

2.1. Background on graph theory. We consider unoriented graphs without loops or multiple edges. A simple path is a sequence of vertices in a graph, where each consecutive pair of vertices is connected by an edge, and no vertices, except possibly the endpoints, are repeated. If there is a repetition, a simple path is called a cycle. A tree is an unoriented graph in which every pair of distinct vertices is connected by exactly one simple path; equivalently, a tree is a connected graph with no cycles.

A rooted tree is a tree together with a choice of vertex, which we call the root. A spanning tree inside a connected graph Γ is a subgraph $T \subseteq \Gamma$ such that T is a tree, and maximal with respect to the property of being a tree inside Γ . This is equivalent to saying that T is a tree that contains all vertices of Γ .

A graph is called bipartite or bicolored if it is possible to color the vertices in two distinct colors, such as red and black, such that no two vertices of the same color are adjacent, i.e., connected by an edge. Recall that a graph is bipartite if and only if its cycles are all of even length [BM76, Theorem 5.3] or [CCPS11]. Denote the complete bipartite graph by $K(m, n)$.

2.2. The enumeration of eventually constant pairs of functions. We say that a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a finite set X is *eventually constant* if $|\text{im}(f^k)| = 1$ for some $k \geq 0$, i.e., iterating f results in a map that takes X into a single element of X .

Given sets X, Y of cardinalities m, n , respectively, a pair (f, g) of maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is called an *eventually constant pair* if the composite $gf : X \rightarrow X$ is eventually constant. Equivalently, $fg : Y \rightarrow Y$ is eventually constant. Denote the set of eventually constant pairs by $P(m, n)$. The cardinality $|P(n, m)|$ is divisible by mn since the eventually constant condition gives us unique “final” elements x_0, y_0 of X, Y , respectively, with $f(x_0) = y_0$, $g(y_0) = x_0$ and $(gf)^k(x) = x_0$, $(fg)^k(y) = y_0$ for large k .

Counting eventually constant pairs is a distributed version of Cayley’s formula on counting trees.

Lemma 2.1 (Cayley’s formula). The number of unrooted trees with vertex set X is m^{m-2} .

Proof. We refer the reader to [Joy81, page 16], and see [Lei21] for the connection to eventually constant maps. \square

Lemma 2.2. There are $m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ spanning trees in the complete bipartite graph $K(m, n)$.

Proof. Originally proved in [FS58], also see [AS90] and [Pak09, DK25]. \square

The following theorem generalizes Cayley’s formula:

Theorem 2.3. Let X and Y be finite sets of cardinality m and n , respectively. Then there are $m^{n-1}n^{m-1}(m+n-1)$ eventually constant pairs of maps between X and Y .

Proof. Pairs of maps (f, g) between X and Y are in a bijection with bipartite oriented graphs on the vertex set pair (X, Y) , such that there is one oriented edge out of each vertex. The graph associated to (f, g) has an oriented edge from $x \in X$ to $f(x) \in Y$ for each x and an oriented edge from $y \in Y$ to $g(y) \in X$ for each y . Let $G(f, g)$ be the graph associated with (f, g) .

An oriented cycle in a bipartite oriented graph has an even number of vertices, alternating between vertices in X and Y . The graph $G(f, g)$ for an eventually constant pair (f, g) has an (oriented) 2-cycle $x_0 \rightarrow f(x_0) = y_0 \rightarrow g(y_0) = x_0$. It has no other oriented $2k$ -cycles for any $k \geq 1$.

Vice versa, if $G(f, g)$ has an oriented 2-cycle and no other oriented cycles then (f, g) is an eventually constant pair. Consequently, there is a bijection between eventually constant pairs (f, g) and oriented bipartite graphs G on vertex set (X, Y) with one edge out of each vertex such that G has a unique 2-cycle and no other oriented cycles.

Given a spanning tree T in a complete (unoriented) graph $K(m, n) = K(X, Y)$, pick an edge e of T . This edge connect vertices $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$. To the data (T, e) associate an oriented bipartite graph $G(T, e)$ on vertex set (X, Y) as follows. Deleting edge e from T while keeping vertices x_0, y_0 gives a disjoint union of 2 trees T_x, T_y with $x_0 \in T_x, y_0 \in T_y$ (so that $T \setminus \{e\} = T_x \sqcup T_y$).

Orient each edge of T_x towards x_0 and each edge of T_y towards y_0 . Define the graph $G(T, e)$ to contain all of these oriented edges and two oriented edges $x_0 \rightarrow y_0$ and $y_0 \rightarrow x_0$. Thus, we're orienting all edges of T except e and converting e to a pair of oriented edges between x_0 and y_0 .

Oriented graph $G(T, e)$ satisfies all properties above and determines a pair of eventually constant maps (f, g) . Vice versa, the graph $G(f, g)$ of an eventually constant pair determines a tree T by taking the 2-cycle $x_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow x_0$ in $G(f, g)$, converting it to an unoriented edge e of T and adding all other edges of $G(f, g)$ without their orientations. We obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.4. The above correspondence is a bijection between eventually constant pairs of maps (f, g) and spanning trees in $K(m, n)$ together with a choice of a edge in the tree.

Any spanning tree in $K(m, n)$ contains $(m + n - 1)$ edges, and there are $m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ trees in $K(m, n)$, see Lemma 2.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Corollary 2.5. The probability that a pair (f, g) of maps $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow X$ is eventually constant is $\frac{m+n-1}{mn}$.

Proof. There are $m^n n^m$ pairs of maps between X and Y . \square

3. PAIRS OF NILPOTENT MAPS AND A BALANCED VECTOR

Let V, W be pairs of vector spaces of dimension m and n , respectively, over any field \mathbf{k} . Consider pairs of linear maps (f, g) , with $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}(W, V)$. Denote the set (or cone) of nilpotent operators on V by $\mathcal{N}(V) \subseteq \text{End}(V)$.

In this section, we generalize Leinster's main result [Lei21, Theorem 5].

3.1. Linear algebra for pairs of vector spaces. We begin by recalling some linear algebra. Firstly, a complement of a subspace X in a vector space V is a subspace X' with $X \oplus X' = V$.

Lemma 3.1. There is a canonical bijection between $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ and complements of W in $V \oplus W$.

Proof. A linear map $h : V \rightarrow W$ determines the complement that consists of vectors $(v, h(v)) \in V \oplus W, v \in V$. (See [Lei21, Lemma 1].) \square

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $f : V \rightarrow W$ and $g : W \rightarrow V$ are linear maps. Then gf and fg are automorphisms of V and W , respectively, if and only if both f and g are isomorphisms.

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 are generalizations of standard facts about linear operators to the case of pairs of maps. The first is a generalization of the Fitting decomposition.

Lemma 3.3. Let V, W be finite-dimensional vector spaces. An ordered pair (f, g) of linear maps, where $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}(W, V)$, is uniquely characterized by the following data. Take subspaces, which we write as V_N, V_I , such that $V = V_I \oplus V_N$, and subspaces W_N, W_I of W with $W = W_I \oplus W_N$. These are subject to the condition that $(gf)|_{V_I}$ and $(fg)|_{W_I}$ are automorphisms, and $(gf)|_{V_N} \in \mathcal{N}(V_N)$, $(fg)|_{W_N} \in \mathcal{N}(W_N)$.

Proof. We can take $V_I = \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \text{im}((gf)^i)$, $V_N = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \ker((gf)^i)$. Likewise, take $W_I = \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \text{im}((fg)^i)$ and $W_N = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \ker((fg)^i)$. The fact that $V = V_I \oplus V_N$ and $W = W_I \oplus W_N$ is the statement of Fitting's lemma, see [Jac89, pages 113–114].

It is immediate that, in the above subspace decompositions, f and g have the following block-diagonal form

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where S_i are invertible, and B_i compose to nilpotent operators. Maps $S_1 := f|_{V_I} : V_I \rightarrow W_I$ and $S_2 := g|_{W_I} : W_I \rightarrow V_I$ are isomorphisms, while $(gf)|_{V_N} = B_2 B_1$ and $(fg)|_{W_N} = B_1 B_2$ are nilpotent operators. \square

The following statement is clear.

Lemma 3.4. There is a natural bijection between ordered bases of finite-dimensional vector space X of dimension ℓ and isomorphisms $X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{k}^\ell$.

Corollary 3.5. There is a bijection between pairs of ordered bases of finite-dimensional vector spaces V and W with $\dim V = \dim W$, and pairs of isomorphisms $(f, g) \in \text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(W, V)$.

3.2. Nilpotent pairs and balanced vectors. We continue to use the notations from Section 3.1. Consider $T = gf : V \rightarrow V$ and $T' = fg : W \rightarrow W$. We say the pair (f, g) of linear maps is a *nilpotent pair* if $T \in \mathcal{N}(V)$, equivalently, $T' \in \mathcal{N}(W)$. Denote the set of nilpotent pairs (f, g) for V, W by $\mathcal{N}(V, W)$.

Let $v \in V$. Form the subspace $T[v]$ of V , spanned by the linearly independent vectors $v, Tv, \dots, T^{a-1}(v) \neq 0$, with $T^a(v) = 0$, and the subspace $T'[fv]$ of W which is the span of linearly independent vectors $fv, T'fv, \dots, (T')^{\ell-1}fv \neq 0$, with $(T')^\ell fv = 0$.

There are two possible cases:

- We say that v is *balanced* if $a = \ell$, i.e., the spaces $T[v]$ and $T'[fv]$ have the same dimension, so that f restricts to an isomorphism $T[v] \xrightarrow{f} T'[fv]$. We call $a = \ell$ the *length* of v .
- The other case is $\ell = a - 1$, so the second subspace has one dimension less than the first: $\dim T'[fv] = \dim T[v] - 1$. We say that v is *unbalanced* in this case.

Figure 2 shows the structure of images of v under compositions of f and g when v is balanced.

We have the following result, which is the analogue of [Lei21, Theorem 5] for the quiver Γ in Figure 1:




FIGURE 2. Example of a balanced vector v of length ℓ and its images under compositions of f and g .

Theorem 3.6. There is a bijection

$$\{(f, g, v) : gf \in \mathcal{N}(V), v \text{ is balanced}\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(W, V).$$

Proof. At first, for each subspace $V_0 \subset V$ pick a complementary subspace $V_0^\perp \subset V$ such that $V = V_0 + V_0^\perp$ and $V_0 \cap V_0^\perp = 0$. Likewise, pick a complement W_0^\perp for each subspace $W_0 \subset W$.

Let f, g be a nilpotent pair with a balanced vector v of length ℓ . We have subspaces $T[v]$ and $T'[fv]$ of the same dimension, each equipped with ordered bases $(v, Tv, \dots, T^{\ell-1}v)$ and $(fv, T'fv, \dots, (T')^{\ell-1}fv)$, respectively. In these bases, $f|_{T[v]}$ is the identity matrix and $g|_{T'[fv]}$ is a nilpotent Jordan block of size ℓ , i.e., $(J_\ell)_{ij} = \delta_{i,j+1}$, indices taken modulo ℓ , by construction.

We have complements $T[v]^\perp$ and $T'[fv]^\perp$ of $T[v]$ and $T'[fv]$ in V and W , respectively, see the beginning of the proof. With respect to these subspaces, f and g are given by:

$$(1) \quad f = \begin{matrix} T[v] & T[v]^\perp \\ T'[fv] & T'[fv]^\perp \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_\ell & A \\ 0 & f|_{T[v]^\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{matrix} T'[fv] & T'[fv]^\perp \\ T[v] & T[v]^\perp \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_\ell & B \\ 0 & g|_{T[v]^\perp} \end{pmatrix},$$

where Id_ℓ is the $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix, J_ℓ is the $\ell \times \ell$ Jordan block with 1 immediately below the diagonal, A is an $\ell \times (m - \ell)$ block, and B is an $\ell \times (n - \ell)$ block. Since

$$gf = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$$

where C, D, E are blocks of appropriate size and $gf \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ if and only if $C \in \mathcal{N}(T[v])$ and $E \in \mathcal{N}(T[v]^\perp)$, we see that $(f|_{T[v]^\perp}, g|_{T'[fv]^\perp})$ is a nilpotent pair. Now, the data of subspaces with ordered bases is equivalent to a pair of isomorphisms $S_1 : T[v] \rightarrow T'[fv]$ and $S_2 : T'[fv] \rightarrow T[v]$ by Corollary 3.5. We replace the upper-left blocks of (1) with S_1 and S_2 since the original blocks gave the data of ordered bases of $T[v]$ and $T'[fv]$, and we are simply replacing them with their corresponding isomorphisms.

There are many choices of complement for $T[v]$ and $T'[fv]$ (we fixed one choice). In order to resolve this, we can apply Lemma 3.1. The four relevant maps are

$$A : T[v]^\perp \rightarrow T'[fv], \quad S_1 : T[v] \rightarrow T'[fv], \quad S_2 : T'[fv] \rightarrow T[v], \quad B : T'[fv]^\perp \rightarrow T[v].$$

We observe that $S_2 A \in \text{Hom}(T[v]^\perp, T[v])$ corresponds exactly to a choice of complement for $T[v]$ in V . Likewise, $S_1 B \in \text{Hom}(T'[fv]^\perp, T'[fv])$ corresponds exactly to a choice of complement for $T'[fv]$ in W . Form new complementary subspaces of $T[v]$ and $T'[fv]$ using

maps S_2A and S_1B , respectively. Define f', g' to be given by the following block-diagonal matrices relative to these subspaces:

$$f' = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & N_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g' = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & N_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$N_1 = f|_{T[v]^\perp}, \quad N_2 = g|_{T'[fv]^\perp},$$

see (1). This is also the Fitting decomposition of (f', g') . N_i compose to nilpotent operators, and S_i are isomorphisms. By Lemma 3.3, we have obtained a unique pair of linear maps

$$(f', g') \in \text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(W, V).$$

This concludes the isomorphism. \square

Corollary 3.7. The average number of balanced vectors in V for a random nilpotent pair equals the average number of balanced vectors in W .

This follows due to the symmetry between V and W . Theorem 3.6 holds with a balanced $v \in V$ replaced by a balanced $w \in W$.

3.3. Nilpotent pairs over a finite field. Now, let V and W be m and n -dimensional vector spaces, respectively, over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , where q is a prime power. We would like to determine the number of nilpotent pairs (f, g) , i.e., $fg \in \mathcal{N}(W)$, given m, n . Define the q -binomial coefficient

$$(2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q := \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^m - q^i) / \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^r - q^i),$$

where if $r = 0$, then $\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^m - q^i) := 1$, and likewise for the denominator. This is the number of r -dimensional subspaces of an m -dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector space.

Lemma 3.8. For $0 \leq r \leq \min\{m, n\}$, the number of rank r linear maps $f : V \rightarrow W$ is

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{N}_{m,n;r} = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (q^r - q^i).$$

Proof. The image of f is an r -dimensional subspace of W , for which there are $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ choices. The number of surjective linear maps $V \rightarrow \text{im}(f)$ is the product of the number of codimension r subspaces of V (for $\ker f$; there are $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-r \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ choices) and the size of $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{F}_q)$, given by the product term on the RHS of (3). \square

Denote by $\mathcal{N}(V, W)$ the set of nilpotent pairs (f, g) as above ($f : V \rightarrow W$ and $g : W \rightarrow V$) and by $\mathcal{N}_{m,n} = |\mathcal{N}(V, W)|$ the cardinality of that set.

Theorem 3.9. The number of nilpotent pairs is given by the formula

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{N}_{m,n} = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} q^{mn-r} \mathcal{N}_{m,n;r}.$$

Proof. Given a rank r linear map $f : V \rightarrow W$, we count the number of maps g such that fg is nilpotent.

Without loss of generality, let $\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m\}$ be a basis for $\ker f \subset V$. Extend it to a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of V . Since f is linear, $\{f(v_1), \dots, f(v_r)\}$ forms a basis of $\operatorname{im} f \subset W$. Extend it to a basis $\{w_1 = f(v_1), \dots, w_r = f(v_r), w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n\}$ of W .

With respect to these two chosen bases for V and W , the $n \times m$ matrix representation of f is

$$F = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} r & m-r \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} r \\ n-r \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

where \mathbf{I}_r is the $r \times r$ identity matrix. Now let

$$G = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} r & n-r \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} r \\ m-r \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

be the matrix representation of g , where A is $r \times r$, B is $r \times (n-r)$, C is $(m-r) \times r$, and D is $(m-r) \times (n-r)$ matrices. The matrix representation for the composition fg is the product:

$$FG = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a block upper-triangular matrix. Such a matrix is nilpotent if and only if its diagonal blocks are nilpotent. In our case, A must be nilpotent. By [Lei21, Theorem 5], the number of such $r \times r$ nilpotent matrices A over \mathbb{F}_q is $q^{r(r-1)}$. For blocks B, C, D , there are no restrictions. So the total number of such matrices G (and thus maps g) for a fixed f of rank r is:

$$q^{r(r-1)} q^{r(n-r)} q^{r(m-r)} q^{(m-r)(n-r)} = q^{mn-r}.$$

Hence, for each f of rank r , the same number of linear maps g exists, such that fg is nilpotent.

Now, by Lemma 3.8, we know the number of rank r linear maps between V and W . Summing over the rank of the linear map f , the result follows. \square

Proposition 3.10. Equation (4) can also be written as

$$\mathcal{N}_{m,n;r} = \sum_{T \in \operatorname{Hom}(V,W)} q^{mn - \operatorname{rank}(T)}.$$

Proof. The number of rank r linear maps $T : V \rightarrow W$ is given by the expression in Lemma 3.8. In (4) each map is weighted with the coefficient q^{mn-r} . \square

The following lemma is straightforward to establish.

Lemma 3.11. Let (f, g) be a nilpotent pair.

- (1) If $v \in V$, respectively $w \in W$, is balanced then every vector in the subspace $T[v] \subset V$, respectively in $T'[w] \subset W$, is balanced.
- (2) If $v \in V$ is unbalanced then every vector in $T[v] \setminus \{0\}$ is unbalanced. If $w \in W$ is unbalanced then every vector in $T'[w] \setminus \{0\}$ is unbalanced.
- (3) If $v \in V$ is balanced and $f(v) \neq 0$ then $f(v)$ is unbalanced. If $w \in W$ is balanced and $g(w) \neq 0$, then $g(w)$ is unbalanced.
- (4) If $v \in V$ is unbalanced, then $f(v) \in W$ is balanced. If $w \in W$ is unbalanced, then $g(w) \in V$ is balanced.

In each of the three corollaries below, it is assumed that a nilpotent pair (f, g) is given.

Corollary 3.12.

- (1) The set of balanced vectors for (f, g) in V is a union of T -stable linear subspaces of V . Likewise for balanced vectors in W .
- (2) The set of unbalanced vectors for (f, g) in V union with the zero vector is a union of T -stable linear subspaces of V . Likewise for unbalanced vectors in W .

Corollary 3.13. Suppose $v \in V$ is balanced and $v' \in V$ is unbalanced. Then $T[v] \cap T[v'] = 0$.

Corollary 3.14. Suppose $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ are balanced. Then

$$T[v] \cap T[g(w)] = 0 \subset V, \quad T'[f(v)] \cap T'[w] = 0 \subset W,$$

and the sums $T[v] + T[g(w)]$ in V and $T'[f(v)] + T'[w]$ in W are isomorphic to respective direct sums.

Lemma 3.15. There is a bijection between quadruples (f, g, v_b, w_b) where (f, g) is nilpotent, $v_b \neq 0$ and w_b are balanced in V and W , respectively, and quadruples (f, g, v_u, w_u) where (f, g) is nilpotent and v_u, w_u are unbalanced in V and W , respectively.

Proof. First, for each linear subspace $V_0 \subset V$ pick a complementary subspace $V_0^\perp \subset V$, so that $V = V_0 + V_0^\perp$ and $V_0 \cap V_0^\perp = 0$.

Start with a quadruple (f, g, v_b, w_b) as above with $v_b \neq 0$ (call such a quadruple *balanced*). Note the direct sum decomposition

$$T[v_b] \cong \mathbf{k}v_b \oplus T(T[v_b]) = \mathbf{k}v_b \oplus \langle Tv_b, T^2v_b, \dots, T^{a-1}v_b \rangle,$$

where $a = \dim T[v_b]$. Let $V'' := (T[v_b] + T[gw_b])^\perp$ and define $V' := V'' + T(T[v_b]) + T[gw_b]$. Note that $\dim V' = \dim V - 1$, $V' \cap \mathbf{k}v_b = 0$, and $V = \mathbf{k}v_b + V'$. Also, with our notations, there is an equality of subspaces $T[Tv_b] = T(T[v_b])$.

We define the map $f' : V \rightarrow W$ to coincide with f on V' and $f'(v_b) = w_b$. Thus, we are redefining f on the vector v_b , see Figure 3, but need its complement V' for that definition. In the block decomposition of $V \cong T[v_b] \oplus T[gw_b] \oplus V''$ and $W \cong T'[fv_b] \oplus T'[w_b] \oplus W''$, where W'' is defined similarly, maps f and f' have the form

$$(5) \quad f = \begin{matrix} & T[v_b] & T[gw_b] & V'' \\ \begin{matrix} T'[fv_b] \\ T'[w_b] \\ W'' \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} I_a & 0 & * \\ 0 & J_\ell & * \\ 0 & 0 & f_{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad g = \begin{matrix} & T'[fv_b] & T'[w_b] & W'' \\ \begin{matrix} T[v_b] \\ T[gw_b] \\ V'' \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} J_\ell & 0 & * \\ 0 & I_a & * \\ 0 & 0 & g_{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

$$(6) \quad f' = \begin{matrix} & T_\circ[v_b] & T_\circ[Tv_b] & V''_\circ \\ \begin{matrix} T'_\circ[w_b] \\ T'_\circ[fv_b] \\ W''_\circ \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} Z_\ell & 0 & * \\ 0 & Z'_a & * \\ 0 & 0 & f'_{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

where Z_ℓ is an $\ell \times (\ell + 1)$ matrix with 1's on the main diagonal and 0's everywhere else. Z'_a is an $(a + 1) \times a$ matrix with 1's right below the main diagonal and 0's everywhere else. (Note that the bases of V, W used to write down matrices of f, g above are different than the bases used for the matrix of f' .)

Here T_\circ and T'_\circ are the maps T and T' for the new pair (f', g) , and J_ℓ is the standard matrix of a Jordan block of size ℓ . The pair of entries $(f_{(1)}, g_{(1)})$ from lower right corners for




FIGURE 3. Top figure: f is an isomorphism. Bottom figure: g is an isomorphism. The map f is redefined to f' by changing it on v_b to $f'(v_b) = w_b$. Then using the pair (f', g) , every vector in $T'[w_b]$, except $\mathbf{0}$, becomes unbalanced. So we have the decompositions $V = T[v_b] \oplus T[gw_b] \oplus \tilde{V}$ and $W = T'[w_b] \oplus T'[fv_b] \oplus \tilde{W}$ for some vector spaces \tilde{V} and \tilde{W} .

f and g is a nilpotent pair. The subspace W'' is picked only to write down the block matrices in (5), while picking V'' is essential.

To a “balanced” quadruple (f, g, v_b, w_b) the above construction assigns the “unbalanced” quadruple (f', g, v_b, fw_b) . Thus,

$$v_u := v_b, \quad w_u := fw_b.$$

Vectors $v_b \in V$ and $fw_b \in W$ are unbalanced for (f', g) , which requires $v_b \neq 0$, as above. Denote this map by

$$(7) \quad \rho : (f, g, v_b, w_b) \mapsto (f', g, v_b, fw_b).$$

It depends on the bijection \perp above that picks a complement of each subspace and which is used to define V'' for each quadruple (f, g, v_b, w_b) .

Next, define a map in the opposite direction, from unbalanced to balanced quadruples, as follows:

$$(8) \quad \rho' : (f', g, v_u, w_u) \mapsto (f'', g, v_u, f'v_u).$$

Let $V''_{\circ} = (T_{\circ}[v_u] \oplus T_{\circ}[gw_u])^{\perp}$ and define $V'_{\circ} = V''_{\circ} \oplus T_{\circ}(T_{\circ}[v_u]) \oplus T_{\circ}[gw_u]$. We define the map $f'' : V \rightarrow W$ to coincide with f' on V'_{\circ} and $f''(v_u) = w_u$.

We next show that ρ and ρ' are mutually-inverse bijections.

(1) $\rho' \rho = \text{id}$: map ρ takes (f, g, v_b, w_b) to $(f', g, v_b, f v_b)$, while ρ' takes $(f', g, v_b, f v_b)$ to (f'', g, v_b, w_b) since $f' v_b = w_b$. It suffices to check $f'' = f$. Maps f'' and f' agree on $V'_\circ = V''_\circ \oplus T_\circ[T_\circ v_b] \oplus T_\circ[T v_b]$, and f and f' agree on $V' = V'' \oplus T[T v_b] \oplus T[g w_b]$. Since $f'' v_b = f v_b$ by definition of f'' , the statement follows if we can show $V' = V'_\circ$.

Note $T_\circ v_b = g f' v_b = g w_b$, so $T_\circ[T_\circ v_b] = T_\circ[g w_b]$. Because $f' = f$ on V' , in particular $f'(g w_b) = f(g w_b)$, we know $T_\circ[T_\circ v_b] = T_\circ[g w_b] = T[g w_b]$. Also, f and f' agreeing on V' implies $f'(T v_b) = f(T v_b)$, so $T_\circ[T v_b] = T[T v_b]$. Hence $T_\circ[T_\circ v_b] \oplus T_\circ[T v_b] = T[T v_b] \oplus T[g w_b]$. Adding v_b to $T_\circ[T_\circ v_b]$ and $T[T v_b]$ respectively, we have $V'' = V'_\circ$ by the choice of them at the beginning of the proof. Hence $V' = V'_\circ$.

(2) $\rho \rho' = \text{id}$: map ρ' takes (f, g, v_u, w_u) to $(f', g, v_u, f v_u)$, while ρ sends $(f', g, v_u, f v_u)$ to (f'', g, v_u, w_u) since $f' v_u = w_u$. It suffices to check $f'' = f$. Maps f'' and f' agree on $V'_\circ = V''_\circ \oplus T_\circ[T_\circ v_u] \oplus T_\circ[T v_u]$, and f and f' agree on $V' = V'' \oplus T[T v_u] \oplus T[g w_u]$. Since $f'' v_u = f v_u$ by definition of f'' , the statement follows if we can show $V' = V'_\circ$.

Note $T_\circ v_u = g f' v_u = g w_u$, so $T_\circ[T_\circ v_u] = T_\circ[g w_u]$. Because $f' = f$ on V' , in particular $f'(g w_u) = f(g w_u)$, we know $T_\circ[T_\circ v_u] = T_\circ[g w_u] = T[g w_u]$. Also, f and f' agreeing on V' implies $f'(T v_u) = f(T v_u)$, so $T_\circ[T v_u] = T[T v_u]$. Hence $T_\circ[T_\circ v_u] \oplus T_\circ[T v_u] = T[T v_u] \oplus T[g w_u]$. Adding v_u to $T_\circ[T_\circ v_u]$ and $T[T v_u]$ respectively, we have $V'' = V'_\circ$ by the choice of them at the beginning of the proof. Hence $V' = V'_\circ$.

Thus the bijection is established, completing the proof of the lemma. \square

Corollary 3.16. There is a bijection between the set of quadruples (f, g, v_b, w_b) with (f, g) nilpotent and v_b, w_b balanced and the disjoint union of the following two sets: (1) the set of quadruples (f, g, v_u, w_u) with (f, g) nilpotent and v_u, w_u unbalanced, (2) the set of triples (f, g, w_b) where (f, g) is nilpotent and $w_b \in W$ is balanced.

Proof. Use the previous lemma and consider two cases: $v_b \neq 0$ and $v_b = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.17. There is a bijection

$$(9) \quad \text{Hom}(V, W) \times \text{Hom}(W, V) \times (V \cup_0 W) \cong \mathcal{N}(V, W) \times V \times W.$$

The number of nilpotent pairs (f, g) is

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{N}_{m,n} := |\mathcal{N}(V, W)| = q^{2mn-m-n}(q^m + q^n - 1).$$

In (3.17), $V \cup_0 W$ denotes the union of V and W along their 0 vectors. This union is naturally a subset of $V \oplus W$. Expression (10) is a closed formula, c.f. (4), see also Lemma 3.8 for the notation. It would also be interesting to relate the count in Theorem 2.3 to that in (10).

Proof. The count of nilpotent pairs in (10) follows from the bijection in (9) by taking cardinalities of both sides. The cardinality of the LHS is $q^{2nm}(q^n + q^m - 1)$ and that of the RHS is $\mathcal{N}_{n,m} q^n q^m$.

To establish bijection (9) we introduce some notations:

- $\{f, g\}$ denotes the set of nilpotent pairs (f, g) ,
- $\{v\}$ denotes the set of vectors $v \in V$,
- $\{f, g, v\}$ denotes the set of triples consisting of nilpotent pair and a vector $v \in V$,
- $\{f, g, v_b\}$, respectively $\{f, g, v_u\}$ denotes the set of triples as above together with a choice of a balanced vector $v_b \in V$, respectively unbalanced vector $v_u \in V$,

- $\{f, g, v_b, w_u\}$ denotes the set of quadruples consisting of a nilpotent pair, a balanced $v_b \in V$, and an unbalanced $w_u \in W$,
- $[f, g]$ denotes the set of all pairs of maps $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W), g \in \text{Hom}(W, V)$.

We omit a full list of such notations.

From Theorem 3.6 we have bijections

$$(11) \quad \{f, g, v_b\} \sim [f, g] \sim \{f, g, w_b\}.$$

Corollary 3.16 gives a bijection

$$(12) \quad \{f, g, v_b, w_b\} \sim \{f, g, v_u, w_u\} \sqcup [f, g].$$

Let us separate $v \in V$ into balanced v_b and unbalanced v_u and likewise for w 's, giving bijections

$$(13) \quad \{f, g, v\} \sim \{f, g, v_b\} \sqcup \{f, g, v_u\} \sim [f, g] \sqcup \{f, g, v_u\},$$

and likewise for w 's. We have

$$(14) \quad \{f, g, v_b, w\} \sim [f, g] \times \{w\} \sim [f, g] \times W, \quad \{f, g, v, w_b\} \sim [f, g] \times \{v\} \sim [f, g] \times V.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g, v, w\} \sqcup [f, g] &\sim \{f, g, v_b, w_b\} \sqcup \{f, g, v_b, w_u\} \sqcup \{f, g, v_u, w_b\} \sqcup (\{f, g, v_u, w_u\} \sqcup [f, g]) \\ &\sim (\{f, g, v_b, w_b\} \sqcup \{f, g, v_b, w_u\}) \sqcup (\{f, g, v_u, w_b\} \sqcup \{f, g, v_u, w_u\}) \\ &\sim \{f, g, v_b\} \times W \sqcup \{f, g, w_b\} \times V \\ &\sim [f, g] \times (V \sqcup W). \end{aligned}$$

In the second step bijection (12) is used. The above chain of bijections gives a bijection

$$(15) \quad \{f, g, v, w\} \sqcup [f, g] \sim [f, g] \times (V \sqcup W).$$

Modifying this bijection to remove a copy of the set $[f, g]$ from both sides gives a bijection

$$(16) \quad \{f, g, v, w\} \sim [f, g] \times (V \cup_0 W)$$

for (9). □

Corollary 3.18. The probability that a random pair (f, g) be nilpotent is

$$\frac{q^m + q^n - 1}{q^{m+n}} = q^{-m} + q^{-n} - q^{-m-n}.$$

Proof. Follows at once from Theorem 3.17. □

If m is fixed and dimension n of W goes to infinity, this probability approaches $q^{-m} = 1/|V|$, which is the probability that a random endomorphism of V be nilpotent [Lei21].

Remark 3.19. Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.18 allow to compute the probability that a randomly chosen $v \in V$ be balanced for a random nilpotent pair (V, W) :

$$\text{prob}(v \text{ is balanced}) = \frac{|\{f, g, v_b\}|}{|\mathcal{N}(V, W)| \times |V|} = \frac{q^n}{q^m + q^n - 1}.$$

In particular, if $\dim V = m > n = \dim W$, this probability is less than $1/2$ and given by

$$\text{prob}(v \text{ is balanced}) = \frac{1}{q^{m-n} + 1 - q^{-n}}.$$

For $m \gg n$, this probability is close to q^{n-m} . If $m < n$, the probability is greater than $1/2$ and

$$\text{prob}(v \text{ is balanced}) = \frac{1}{1 + q^{-n}(q^m - 1)} \approx 1 - q^{m-n},$$

where \approx is for $n - m, n \gg 0$. If $m = n$,

$$\text{prob}(v \text{ is balanced}) = \frac{1}{2 - q^{-m}};$$

slightly greater than $1/2$ but exponentially close to it for $m = n \gg 0$.

Remark 3.20. A nilpotent endomorphism of a finite-dimensional vector space V over \mathbf{k} is isomorphic to a direct sum of nilpotent Jordan blocks J_r of sizes $r \geq 1$. The latter classify isomorphism classes of indecomposable nilpotent representations of the quiver with one vertex and one loop. See Figure 1 left. For the quiver Γ in Figure 1 right, indecomposable nilpotent representations (V, W, f, g) can be classified using balanced and unbalanced vectors. Namely,

- A balanced $v_b \in V$ with $\dim T[v_b] = \ell$ generates an indecomposable module $(T[v_b], T'[fv_b])$ of dimension (ℓ, ℓ) .
- An unbalanced $v_u \in V$ with $\dim T[v_u] = \ell$ generates an indecomposable module $(T[v_u], T'[fv_u])$ of dimension $(\ell, \ell - 1)$.
- A balanced $w_b \in W$ with $\dim T'[w_b] = \ell$ generates an indecomposable module $(T[gw_b], T'[w_b])$ of dimension (ℓ, ℓ) .
- An unbalanced $w_u \in W$ with $\dim T'[w_u] = \ell$ generates an indecomposable module $(T[gw_u], T'[w_u])$ of dimension $(\ell - 1, \ell)$.

The dimension of a representation is understood to be the pair $(\dim V, \dim W)$. Possible dimensions of nilpotent indecomposables are (m, n) with $|m - n| \leq 1$. Note that there are two non-isomorphic indecomposables of dimension (m, m) , $m \geq 1$, and one indecomposable when $n = m \pm 1$.

Remark 3.21. Theorem 3.17 together with a simple count of maps implies that the number of triples: a nilpotent pair (f, g) and a balanced vector $v_b \in V$ of length ℓ , i.e., a balanced vector such that $\dim T[v_b] = \ell$, is given by

$$q^{2mn + \ell - (m+n)(\ell+1)}(q^m + q^n - q^\ell) \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} (q^m - q^i) \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (q^n - q^j).$$

Remark 3.22. It would be natural to give a motivic lifting of Theorem 3.17, similar to the motivic interpretation of the count of nilpotent endomorphisms in [GR25, Example 3.6.(2)].

4. NILPOTENT ENDOMORPHISMS OVER THE BOOLEAN SEMIRING

The notion of a nilpotent operator makes sense for linear operators on semimodules over semirings. Consider the Boolean semiring $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1 : 1 + 1 = 1\}$. The number of idempotents in the matrix semiring $M_n(\mathbb{B})$ was obtained by Butler [But72]. We give a count of nilpotent matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{B})$, denoting the set of such matrices by $\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbb{B})$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbb{B})$. Then $A_{ii} = 0$ for all i , and if $A_{ij} = 1$, then $A_{ji} = 0$. A Boolean matrix is nilpotent iff there is no oriented cycle of 1's among its entries, i.e., there is no sequence i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k such that $A_{i_1, i_2} = A_{i_2, i_3} = \dots = A_{i_k, i_1} = 1$.

Proof. A 1 on a diagonal in A remains 1 in any power of A . An oriented k -cycle of 1's create 1 on the diagonal in A^k . If A has no oriented cycles of 1's (including 1-cycles, i.e., diagonal entries 1) then $A^n = 0$ necessarily. \square

Corollary 4.2. The set $\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbb{B})$ is in a bijection with the set of directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) on n ordered vertices.

Proof. Indeed, to a direct acyclic graph G on vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$ assign the matrix A with $A_{ij} = 1$ iff there is an oriented edge from vertex j to vertex i , otherwise $A_{ij} = 0$. This is a bijection between DAGs and nilpotent Boolean matrices in view of the above lemma. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let $a_n = |\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbb{B})|$ be the number of nilpotent Boolean matrices of size n . These numbers satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(17) \quad a_0 = 1, \quad a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} 2^{k(n-k)} a_{n-k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Proof. See [OEI25] for the references that the number of DAGs on n labeled vertices satisfies relation (17). \square

Matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{B})$ are in a bijection with endomorphisms of the free \mathbb{B} -semimodule \mathbb{B}^n , and the count of nilpotent matrices is given above. One can ask a natural question about counting nilpotent endomorphisms of a general finitely-generated projective semimodule P instead of the free semimodule \mathbb{B}^n . Isomorphism classes of such semimodules are in a bijection with isomorphism classes of finite topological spaces X , see [IK22, Section 3.2], for instance. Semimodule P associated to X as above has its elements the open subsets of X . Zero element of P is the empty subset of X and the sum in P is given by the union of sets. Counting nilpotent endomorphisms of P is a combinatorial problem that generalizes the count of labeled DAGs, and it may be interesting for at least some classes of finite topological spaces. The linear counterpart of this problem might be counting nilpotent endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional module M over a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -algebra.

REFERENCES

- [AS90] Moh'd Z. Abu-Sbeih, *On the number of spanning trees of kn and km , n* , Discrete Mathematics **84** (1990), 205–207.
- [BM76] John A. Bondy and Uppaluri S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, American Elsevier Publishing Company, 1976.
- [But72] Kim Ki-Hang Butler, *The number of idempotents in $(0,1)$ -matrix semigroups*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **5** (1972), no. 3, 233–246.
- [CCPS11] William J. Cook, William H. Cunningham, William R. Pulleyblank, and Alexander Schrijver, *Combinatorial Optimization*, Wiley Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, vol. 33, John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [CG10] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2010, Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [DK25] Nayana Shibu Deepthi and Chanchal Kumar, *Combinatorial Identities Using the Matrix Tree Theorem*, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2504.21319](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.21319) (2025), 1–13.
- [FH58] N. J. Fine and I. N. Herstein, *The probability that a matrix be nilpotent*, Illinois J. Math. **2** (1958), 499–504.
- [FS58] Miroslav Fiedler and Jiří Sedláček, *On w -bases of directed graphs*, Časopis pro pěstování matematiky **83** (1958), 214–225.

- [GR25] Lydia Gösmann and Markus Reineke, *Motives of nullcones of quiver representations*, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2502.02353](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.02353) (2025), 1–22.
- [IK22] Mee Seong Im and Mikhail Khovanov, *Topological theories and automata*, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2202.13398](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.13398), submitted to Adv. Math. (2022), 1–70.
- [Jac89] Nathan Jacobson, *Basic algebra. II*, second ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1989.
- [Joy81] André Joyal, *Une théorie combinatoire des séries formelles*, Advances in Mathematics 42 (1981), 16.
- [Lei21] Tom Leinster, *The probability that an operator is nilpotent*, Amer. Math. Monthly **128** (2021), no. 4, 371–375.
- [OEI25] OEIS Foundation Inc., *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences A003024*, 2025, Founded in 1964 by N.J.A. Sloane <https://oeis.org/A003024>.
- [Pak09] Igor Pak, *Tree bijections*, IPAM workshop, <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~pak/lectures/bij-trees-talk1.pdf> (2009), 1–23.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
Email address: wchen159@jh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
Email address: meeseong@jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
Email address: khovanov@jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
Email address: clillja1@jh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
Email address: nrugoi@jh.edu