ANTI-SELF-DUAL BLOWUPS II

VSEVOLOD SHEVCHISHIN AND GLEB SMIRNOV

ABSTRACT. Let X be a closed, oriented four–manifold with $b_2^+ \leqslant 3$, and suppose X contains a collection of pairwise disjoint embedded (-2)–spheres. We prove that there is a Riemannian metric on X such that the Poincare dual of each of these spheres is represented by an anti–self–dual harmonic 2–form. This extends our earlier result for (-1)–spheres. The main new ingredient is an application of Eliashberg's h–principle for overtwisted contact structures, which we use to construct self–dual harmonic forms on four–orbifolds with prescribed local behaviour near the orbifold singular set.

1. Main result. Let (X,g) be a closed, oriented Riemannian 4-manifold, and let $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2 T_X^*$ denote the bundle of 2-forms. The Hodge operator $*: \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^2$ has eigenvalues ± 1 and induces the splitting:

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \oplus \Lambda^-$$

where Λ^{\pm} are the ± 1 -eigenbundles. A 2-form φ is self-dual (SD) if $*\varphi = \varphi$ and anti-self-dual (ASD) if $*\varphi = -\varphi$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_q^2 = \{ \varphi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2) : \Delta \varphi = 0 \}$$

be the space of harmonic 2-forms. By Hodge theory, every harmonic 2-form is closed, and the natural map $\mathcal{H}_g^2 \to H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism. Since Δ commutes with *, we obtain the splitting:

$$\mathcal{H}_g^2 = \mathcal{H}_{+,g} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-,g},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{+,g}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-,g}$ are the spaces of harmonic SD and ASD forms. $\mathcal{H}_{+,g}, \mathcal{H}_{-,g} \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ are positive- and negative-definite with respect to the cup product, and they are orthogonal. Their dimensions $b_2^{\pm} = \dim \mathcal{H}_{\pm,g}$ are independent of g; they are the maximal dimensions of positive-and negative-definite subspaces of $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$, hence topological invariants of X.

For each metric g, consider the positive-definite subspace:

$$P(g) = \mathcal{H}_{+,g} \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$$
 of dimension b_2^+ .

 $g \to P(g)$ is the period map of X, introduced in [9]. P(g) depends only on the conformal class of g, and the map P is open in the C^{∞} -topology on metrics. It remains an open problem whether a given positive-definite subspace $\pi \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ arises as P(g) for some metric g.

Let us now state our main result:

Theorem 1. Let X be a closed, oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+ \leq 3$. Suppose X contains a collection of pairwise disjoint embedded spheres S_i , each with self-intersection (-2). Then there exists a Riemannian metric g on X such that every g-self-dual harmonic form u satisfies:

$$\int_{S_i} u = 0 \quad \text{for all } S_i.$$

Equivalently, if $s_i \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the Poincaré dual of S_i , then each s_i is represented by an anti-self-dual form.

Scaduto [13] recently proved that the period map P has dense image for every 4-manifold, and is surjective when $b_2^+ = 1$. This does not imply Theorem 1. Indeed, the conditions $s_i \perp P(g)$ define a closed, positive-codimension subset of the Grassmannian of positive b_2^+ -planes in $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$, and a dense open subset may avoid such a set.

Remark. Consider the following example. Let X be the underlying manifold of a smooth complex K3 surface. The cup product on $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ has signature (3,19). Let Gr_X^+ be the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional positive-definite planes in $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$. For any class $\delta \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ with $\delta^2 = -2$, define:

$$\delta^{\perp} = \{ \pi \in Gr_X^+ : \pi \perp \delta \}.$$

Set:

$$D = Gr_X^+ - \bigcup_{\delta^2 = -2} \delta^{\perp}.$$

The global Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces states that D is precisely the points in Gr_X^+ realized as the period of a Kähler metric on X; see [15, 21]. Each δ^{\perp} has codimension 2 in Gr_X^+ , so D is open and dense. Although no point of δ^{\perp} can be the period of a Kähler metric, it may still occur as the period of a more general Riemannian metric. This indeed occurs: Kummer surfaces, which are K3, contain 16 disjoint embedded rational curves. Thus, Theorem 1 produces metrics for which up to 16 pairwise disjoint integral (-2)-classes are simultaneously represented by ASD forms.

In our previous work [14], we proved the analogue of Theorem 1 for collections of pairwise disjoint embedded (-1)-spheres. Both proofs follow the same overall strategy, but the (-2)-case presents an additional difficulty, which we now describe.

The first step is to construct a Riemannian orbifold (M, g) together with a closed SD form that vanishes at a prescribed point $p \in M$. Blowing up M at p then produces a manifold X with an embedded exceptional sphere. In the (-1)-case, the point p may be chosen arbitrarily, so producing a metric g with a closed SD form vanishing somewhere is relatively straightforward. In contrast, in the (-2)-case, the point p must be the orbifold point of M; otherwise the exceptional sphere created in the resolution has self-intersection (-1) rather than (-2). Thus, the metric g on M has to be chosen much more carefully.

The new geometric input is the following existence theorem for closed SD forms with prescribed zeros. Its proof occupies § 5, § 6, and § 7.

Proposition 1. Let M be a compact, oriented 4-orbifold with isolated singular points p_1, \ldots, p_n , each of type $\mathbb{R}^4/\{\pm 1\}$, and assume $b_2^+(M) \geqslant 1$. Then there exist a Riemannian metric g on M, flat in neighborhoods of all p_i , and a closed, g-self-dual orbifold form ψ such that $\psi(p_i) = 0$ for all i.

For each p_i , fix an orbifold chart:

$$\pi_i: (B^4, g_{\mathrm{euc}}) \longrightarrow (U_i, g) \cong B^4/\{\pm 1\} \ni p_i,$$

which is an isometry. An orbifold form means that on $U_i - \{p_i\}$, the pullback $\pi_i^* \psi$ is antipodal-invariant and extends smoothly over $0 \in B^4$; $\psi(p_i) = 0$ means that $\pi_i^* \psi$ vanishes at $0 \in B^4$.

The proof of Proposition 1 proceeds as follows. To begin with, we reduce the problem to constructing a near-symplectic form on M with prescribed behavior near the points p_i . This is done via a theorem of Auroux-Donaldson-Katzarkov (Proposition 1 in [2]). Then, following Taubes

[20], we construct such a near-symplectic form via Eliashberg's classification of overtwisted contact structures in dimension 3 [3].

With Proposition 1 in hand, our argument proceeds as follows. In § 2, we introduce an iterative neck-stretching scheme for closed SD forms on manifolds with a long cylindrical neck. In § 3, we apply this scheme to the blowup of M at p. This shows that, after inserting a sufficiently long neck, one can find a metric g for which P(g) is arbitrarily close to being orthogonal to a prescribed collection of (-2)-classes. In § 4, we then use Proposition 1 to deform such almost-orthogonal metrics so that those (-2)-classes become genuinely ASD, thereby proving Theorem 1. Although these arguments closely parallel our earlier work [14], we reprove certain steps here for completeness.

Combining Theorem 1 with the main result of [14], one obtains the following mixed statement.

Theorem. Let X be a closed, oriented 4-manifold with $b_2^+ \leq 3$. Suppose X contains a collection of pairwise disjoint embedded spheres S_i , each with self-intersection (-1) or (-2). Then there exists a Riemannian metric q on X such that every q-self-dual harmonic form u satisfies:

$$\int_{S_i} u = 0 \quad \text{for all } S_i.$$

This statement does not require any new ideas beyond those used in the pure (-1)- and (-2)-cases. Our neck-stretching scheme treats all spheres simultaneously. We therefore omit a separate proof.

Remark. A closed ASD 2-form whose cohomology class is integral is the curvature of a line bundle with an ASD connection. Thus, our neck-stretching construction is formally similar to Taubes' existence proofs for ASD connections [18, 16, 17] on higher-dimensional bundles. However, unlike Taubes' positive-index problems, the condition that a given set of (-2)-classes be represented by ASD forms is not open in the C^{∞} -topology on metrics; the index of our problem is negative.

2. A neck-stretching argument. The gluing argument in this section is inspired by the existing literature (see, e.g., [1, 11, 18]), but we present it in a form tailored to our later applications.

Let X_1 and X_2 be compact, oriented 4-manifolds with common boundary

$$\partial X_1 = \partial X_2 = Y$$
.

Fix $\delta > 0$ small. On each X_i choose a Riemannian metric g_i which, on a collar neighborhood

$$(-\delta,1] \times Y \subset X_i,$$

splits as a product:

$$g_i = dt \otimes dt + g_Y. (2.1)$$

Throughout let us take $Y = \mathbb{RP}^3$ equipped with the metric g_Y induced from the unit round metric g_{S^3} on S^3 via the double cover $S^3 \to \mathbb{RP}^3$. All results remain valid if $H^1(Y;\mathbb{R}) = 0$. Let

$$P_i = [0,1] \times Y \subset X_i$$

denote the smaller collar of the boundary.

Let us form the non-compact manifold X_i^{∞} by attaching the semi-infinite cylinder $[0,\infty) \times Y$ along P_i , and extending the product metric (2.1) over the cylindrical end. The resulting metric is denoted g_i^{∞} .

Fix T > 0 and consider the finite cylinder:

$$N = [0, T] \times Y$$

equipped with the product metric (2.1). Introduce the extended cylinder:

$$N' = [-1, T+1] \times Y,$$

and attach it to X_1 and X_2 as follows: the collar P_1 is identified with the subcylinder $[-1,0] \times Y \subset N'$, and P_2 with $[T, T+1] \times Y \subset N'$. For each T, this yields a closed 4-manifold:

$$X_T = X_1 \cup N \cup X_2$$
,

carrying a metric g_T which equals g_i on X_i and the product metric on $N = [0, T] \times Y$. Inside N, let us single out the unit cylinders:

$$Q_1 = [0,1] \times Y, \quad Q_2 = [T-1,T] \times Y.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{D} = d + d^*, \quad \Delta = \mathfrak{D}^2$$

denote the Hodge operator and the Hodge Laplacian.

Let $L^2(X_i^{\infty})$ denote the space of L^2 -integrable differential forms on X_i^{∞} with respect to the metric g_i . Let $\mathcal{H}^+(X_i^{\infty})$ denote the space of SD harmonic forms on X_i^{∞} that are also L_2 , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}^+(X_i^\infty) = \{g_i^\infty\text{-self-dual}\} \cap \ker \Delta \cap L^2(X_i^\infty).$$

For a domain A, use $\|\cdot\|_{k,A}$ to denote the L_k^2 -Sobolev norm on A. Introduce cut-off functions ρ_1, ρ_2 such that:

- (1) $\rho_2 = 0$ on $X_1 P_1$, $\rho_2 = 1$ on $X_T X_1$, and ρ_2 interpolates between 0 and 1 on P_1 .
- (2) $\rho_1 = 0$ on $X_2 P_2$, $\rho_1 = 1$ on $X_T X_2$, and ρ_1 interpolates between 0 and 1 on P_2 .

Fix a form $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^+(X_1^{\infty})$. Construct two sequences of smooth SD forms,

$$v^{(i)}, u^{(i)}, i \geqslant 1,$$

such that:

$$v^{(i)} \in L^2(X_1^{\infty})$$
 for i odd, $v^{(i)} \in L^2(X_2^{\infty})$ for i even, $u^{(i)} \in L^2(X_T)$ for all i ,

via the recursion:

- (a) $v^{(1)} = \psi$.
- (b) If i is odd, restrict $v^{(i)}$ to $X_1 \cup N \cup P_2$ and set:

$$u^{(i)} = \rho_1 \, v^{(i)}.$$

If i is even, restrict $v^{(i)}$ to $X_2 \cup N \cup P_1$ and set:

$$u^{(i)} = \rho_2 v^{(i)}$$
.

(c) Suppose i is odd (the even case is symmetric). Construct an SD form $v^{(i+1)} \in L^2(X_2^{\infty})$ solving

$$\mathfrak{D}v^{(i+1)} = -\mathfrak{D}u^{(i)}.$$

The construction produces elliptic estimates of the form:

$$||v^{(i+1)}||_{k,X_2 \cup Q_2} \leqslant A_k ||\Delta u^{(i)}||_{k-2,P_2}, \tag{2.2}$$

with constants A_k independent of T and i. For even i, an identical estimate holds on $X_1 \cup Q_1$.

Let us now explain step (c) in detail for odd i. The even case is similar.

To begin with, assume $v^{(i-1)}$ and $u^{(i-1)}$ have already been constructed. Fix numbers $0 < T_1 < T_2$ and choose a smooth, positive function $\chi \colon [0, \infty) \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$\chi(t,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0, T_1], \\ e^{-2t}, & t \geqslant T_2. \end{cases}$$

Extend χ to all of X_1^{∞} by setting it equal to 1 on X_1 . Consider the conformal rescaling:

$$\hat{g}_1 = \chi g_1^{\infty}$$
.

 $(X_1^{\infty}, \hat{g}_1)$ has an asymptotically Euclidean end. Indeed, setting $r = e^{-t}$ yields:

$$e^{-2t} \left(dt \otimes dt + g_{S^3} \right) = dr \otimes dr + r^2 g_{S^3}.$$

Thus, the region $[T_2, \infty) \times Y$ endowed with \hat{g}_1 is isometric to the punctured closed ball of radius e^{-T_2} modulo the antipodal map. Adding the point at infinity produces a compact Riemannian orbifold, smooth away from the added point, where the metric is locally isometric to $\mathbb{R}^4/\{\pm 1\}$.

Use \hat{X}_1 to denote the orbifold compactification of X_1^{∞} .

Choose a neighborhood $U \subset \hat{X}_1$ of the orbifold point together with an isometry:

$$B \xrightarrow{\pi} B/\{\pm 1\} \xrightarrow{\tau} U$$

where π identifies antipodal points and τ is the chosen isometry. A differential form ϕ is smooth on U if $(\pi \circ \tau)^* \phi$ extends smoothly across the origin in B. A form on \hat{X}_1 is smooth if it is smooth away from the orbifold point and smooth on U. On the domain of such smooth forms, the operator \mathcal{D} remains elliptic and self-adjoint, so standard Hodge theory applies.

 $\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$ is supported in $P_1 \cup P_2$. Let us restrict $\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$ to $X_1 \cup N$ and extend it by zero to the remainder of \hat{X}_1 . Let us continue to denote this extension by $\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\hat{X}_1)$ denote the space of harmonic 2-forms on (\hat{X}_1, \hat{g}_1) . For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\hat{X}_1)$,

$$\int_{\hat{X}_1} \langle \varphi, \Delta u^{(i-1)} \rangle = \int_{\hat{X}_1} \langle \mathcal{D}\varphi, \mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)} \rangle = 0,$$

because $\Delta \varphi = 0$ implies $\mathcal{D}\varphi = 0$. Thus, $\Delta u^{(i-1)}$ is L^2 -orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}(\hat{X}_1)$. Hodge theory on the compact orbifold (\hat{X}_1, \hat{g}_1) then gives a unique SD form $\hat{v}^{(i)}$ such that:

$$\Delta \hat{v}^{(i)} = -\Delta u^{(i-1)}, \qquad \hat{v}^{(i)} \perp \mathcal{H}(\hat{X}_1).$$

This can be strengthened:

Lemma 1. $\mathfrak{D}\hat{v}^{(i)} = -\mathfrak{D}u^{(i-1)}$ for $\hat{v}^{(i)}$ defined above.

Proof. To begin with, observe that $du^{(i-1)}$ is an exact 3-form on \hat{X}_1 . Indeed:

$$du^{(i-1)} = d(\rho_2 v^{(i-1)}) = d\rho_2 \wedge v^{(i-1)}.$$

Since $H^2(Y;\mathbb{R}) = 0$, there exists a 1-form α on P_1 with $d\alpha = v^{(i-1)}$. Hence:

$$du^{(i-1)} = -d(d\rho \wedge \alpha)$$
 on P_1 .

Consider $\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}$. By construction:

$$\mathcal{D}^2(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) = 0.$$

Thus:

$$\Delta \mathcal{D}(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) = \Delta d(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) + \Delta d^*(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) = 0.$$

In particular, $d(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)})$ is harmonic. Since it is also exact, it must vanish. Both $\hat{v}^{(i)}$ and $u^{(i-1)}$ are self-dual; therefore:

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) = d(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) - *d(\hat{v}^{(i)} + u^{(i-1)}) = 0,$$

and the lemma follows.

Elliptic regularity now gives, for each k,

$$\|\hat{v}^{(i)}\|_{k,\hat{X}_1} \leqslant A_k \|\Delta u^{(i-1)}\|_{k-2,\hat{X}_1} = A_k \|\Delta u^{(i-1)}\|_{k-2,P_1},$$

with constants A_k depending only on \hat{g}_1 .

Restrict $\hat{v}^{(i)}$ to the cylindrical-end manifold:

$$v^{(i)} = \hat{v}^{(i)}|_{X_1^{\infty}}.$$

Choosing T_2 large enough, assume $\chi = 1$ on $X_1 \cup Q_1$. On that region, the metrics g_1^{∞} and \hat{g}_1 agree, and hence:

$$||v^{(i)}||_{k,X_1 \cup Q_1} \le ||\hat{v}^{(i)}||_{k,\hat{X}_1} \le A_k ||\Delta u^{(i-1)}||_{k-2,P_1},$$

which is precisely (2.2).

The L^2 -norm of 2-forms is conformally invariant; therefore, if $\hat{v}^{(i)}$ is L^2 for \hat{g}_1 , then it also L^2 for g_1^{∞} .

Finally, the equation

$$\mathfrak{D}v^{(i)} = -\mathfrak{D}u^{(i-1)}$$

holds on $(X_1^{\infty}, \hat{g}_1)$ by construction. To see that it also holds for g_1^{∞} , note that the kernel of \mathcal{D} is locally conformally invariant, so the only issue is the region where $\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$ is supported. But $\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$ is supported in P_1 , where $\chi = 1$ and $g_1^{\infty} = \hat{g}_1$. This completes step (c).

Iterating this procedure one obtains sequences $\{u^{(i)}\}\$ and $\{v^{(i)}\}\$ as above. Formally, one expects:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\sum_{i} u^{(i)}\right) = 0.$$

More precisely, for each i:

- the support of $\mathcal{D}u^{(i)}$ is contained in $P_1 \cup P_2$;
- on P_2 we have $\mathcal{D}u^{(i)} = -\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$ for even i, while on P_1 we have $\mathcal{D}u^{(i)} = -\mathcal{D}u^{(i-1)}$ for odd i.

Lemma 2. Let k > 0. For all large enough T, the series

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u^{(i)}$$

converges in the L_k^2 -norm on (X_T, g_T) , and its tail satisfies:

$$||u - u^{(1)}||_{k, X_T} = O(e^{-2T}), \quad ||u - u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}||_{k, X_T} = O(e^{-4T}).$$

Proof. It is enough to find constants $C_k > 0$, independent of T and i, such that:

$$||u^{(i)}||_{k,X_T} \leqslant C_k e^{-2T} ||u^{(i-1)}||_{k,X_T}. \tag{2.3}$$

The needed convergence then follows by summing a geometric series.

To this end, let us study harmonic forms on the cylindrical neck. On $N = [0, T] \times Y$, the Hodge Laplacian splits as:

$$\Delta = -\partial_t^2 + \Delta_3$$

where Δ_3 is the Hodge Laplacian on Y, acting in the y-variables only. Since ψ is harmonic on X_1^{∞} , its restriction to N admits a Fourier expansion:

$$\psi = \sum_{\lambda} (\alpha_{\lambda} + *\alpha_{\lambda}) e^{-\lambda t}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where λ ranges over square roots (positive and negative) of eigenvalues of Δ_3 acting on 2-forms, the α_{λ} are Δ_3 -eigenforms with eigenvalue λ^2 , and * is the Hodge star on Y.

Eigenvalues and eigenforms of Δ_3 are known (see, e.g., [4]). $\mathcal{D}\psi = 0$ forces $d\alpha_{\lambda} = 0$. Hence, only closed eigenforms occur. The smallest eigenvalue of the Hodge Laplacian on closed 2-forms on the unit sphere S^3 is 4, and these eigenforms descend to \mathbb{RP}^3 . Thus, the smallest eigenvalue for closed 2-forms on Y is also 4. Consequently, every λ in (2.4) satisfies $\lambda \geqslant 2$. (Only the fact that the smallest eigenvalue is positive will be used below.)

The L^2 condition on X_1^{∞} rules out negative λ in (2.4), so we may rewrite:

$$\psi = \sum_{\lambda \geqslant 2} (\alpha_{\lambda} + *\alpha_{\lambda}) e^{-\lambda t}.$$

For $s \in [0,T]$, define the translated unit cylinder:

$$Q_1 + s = [s, s+1] \times Y \subset N$$
.

Since the α_{λ} are independent of t,

$$\|\psi\|_{0,Q_1+s}^2 = \sum_{\lambda \ge 2} \int_s^{s+1} 2e^{-2\lambda t} \left(\int_Y \langle \alpha_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda \rangle \right) dt \leqslant e^{-4s} \|\psi\|_{0,Q_1}^2.$$

Thus:

$$\|\psi\|_{0,Q_1+s} \leqslant e^{-2s} \|\psi\|_{0,Q_1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Choose $\delta > 0$ small so that g_T remains product on the longer collars $[-\delta, 1+\delta] \times Y$ and $[T-1-\delta, T+\delta] \times Y$ for all large T. Interior elliptic estimates for the translation-invariant operator Δ imply, for each k,

$$\|\psi\|_{k,Q_1+s}^2 \leqslant B_k' \Big(\|\psi\|_{0,Q_1+s+\delta}^2 + \|\psi\|_{0,Q_1+s-\delta}^2 \Big), \tag{2.6}$$

with a constant B'_k independent of s and T. Combining (2.5) and (2.6), we find:

$$\|\psi\|_{k,Q_1+s}^2 \leqslant B_k e^{-4s} \|\psi\|_{k,Q_1}^2$$

for a suitable B_k independent of s and T. Summing over s = 0, 1, ..., T - 1, we obtain:

$$\|\psi\|_{k,N}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \|\psi\|_{k,Q_1+j}^2 \leqslant D_k \|\psi\|_{k,Q_1}^2,$$

and at the far end of the neck,

$$\|\psi\|_{k,P_2}^2 = \|\psi\|_{k,Q_1+T}^2 \leqslant B_k e^{-4T} \|\psi\|_{k,Q_1}^2.$$

 B_k, D_k depend only on (Y, g_Y) and the choice of δ . Hence, the same estimates hold with $v^{(i)}$ in place of ψ :

$$||v^{(i)}||_{k,N}^{2} \leqslant D_{k} ||v^{(i)}||_{k,Q_{1}}^{2}, \quad ||v^{(i)}||_{k,P_{2}}^{2} \leqslant B_{k}e^{-4T} ||v^{(i)}||_{k,Q_{1}}^{2}, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd,}$$

$$||v^{(i)}||_{k,N}^{2} \leqslant D_{k} ||v^{(i)}||_{k,Q_{2}}^{2}, \quad ||v^{(i)}||_{k,P_{1}}^{2} \leqslant B_{k}e^{-4T} ||v^{(i)}||_{k,Q_{2}}^{2}, \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even.}$$

$$(2.7)$$

On Q_1 (for odd i) and on Q_2 (for even i), we have $u^{(i)} = v^{(i)}$. Since $u^{(i)}$ and $v^{(i)}$ also agree on N, (2.7) implies:

$$||u^{(i)}||_{k,N}^2 \le D_k ||u^{(i)}||_{k,Q_1}^2$$
 for i odd, $||u^{(i)}||_{k,N}^2 \le D_k ||u^{(i)}||_{k,Q_2}^2$ for i even. (A)

 ρ_1, ρ_2 are smooth, so for we obtain:

$$||u^{(i)}||_{k,P_2}^2 \leqslant E_k ||v^{(i)}||_{k,P_2}^2$$
 for i odd, $||u^{(i)}||_{k,P_1}^2 \leqslant E_k ||v^{(i)}||_{k,P_1}^2$ for i even,

Combining this with (2.7), we find:

$$||u^{(i)}||_{k,P_2}^2 \leqslant F_k e^{-4T} ||u^{(i)}||_{k,Q_1}^2$$
 for i odd, $||u^{(i)}||_{k,P_1}^2 \leqslant F_k e^{-4T} ||u^{(i)}||_{k,Q_2}^2$ for i even, (B) where $F_k = B_k E_k$.

Finally, using (2.2) together with continuity of Δ , we obtain:

$$||u^{(i)}||_{k,X_1 \cup Q_1} \leqslant A_k ||\Delta u^{(i-1)}||_{k-2,P_1} \leqslant G_k ||u^{(i-1)}||_{k,P_1}, \text{ if } i \text{ is odd,}$$

$$||u^{(i)}||_{k,X_2 \cup Q_2} \leqslant A_k ||\Delta u^{(i-1)}||_{k-2,P_2} \leqslant G_k ||u^{(i-1)}||_{k,P_2}, \text{ if } i \text{ is even,}$$
(C)

for some G_k .

Let us now estimate $||u^{(i)}||_{k,X_T}$ for odd i (the even case is symmetric). We compute:

$$\begin{split} \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,X_{T}}^{2} &= \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,X_{1}}^{2} + \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,N}^{2} + \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,P_{2}}^{2} \overset{\text{(A)}}{\leqslant} \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,X_{1}}^{2} + D_{k} \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,Q_{1}}^{2} + \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,P_{2}}^{2} \overset{\text{(B)}}{\leqslant} \\ &\leqslant \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,X_{1}}^{2} + D_{k} \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,Q_{1}}^{2} + e^{-4T} F_{k} \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,Q_{1}}^{2} \leqslant H_{k} \|u^{(i)}\|_{k,X_{1} \cup Q_{1}}^{2} \overset{\text{(C)}}{\leqslant} \\ &\leqslant H_{k} G_{k}^{2} \|u^{(i-1)}\|_{k,P_{1}}^{2} \overset{\text{(B)}}{\leqslant} e^{-4T} F_{k} H_{k} G_{k}^{2} \|u^{(i-1)}\|_{k,Q_{2}}^{2} \leqslant e^{-4T} C_{k}^{2} \|u^{(i-1)}\|_{k,X_{T}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Let us now isolate the contribution of $u^{(1)}$ to the full series u. Along the neck, write the Fourier expansion of ψ as:

$$\psi = \sum_{\lambda \geqslant 2} \psi_{\lambda}, \qquad \psi_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t}, \tag{2.8}$$

where each ψ_{λ} is an SD eigenform of $-\partial_t^2 + \Delta_3$ with parameter λ .

Let $v^{(2)}$ be as above. Decompose it as follows:

$$v^{(2)} = \sum_{\lambda \geqslant 2} v_{\lambda}^{(2)},$$

where $v_{\lambda}^{(2)} \in L^2(X_2^{\infty})$ solves

$$\mathcal{D}v_{\lambda}^{(2)} = -\mathcal{D}(\rho_1 \psi_{\lambda}).$$

Define $u_{\lambda}^{(2)} = \rho_2 v_{\lambda}^{(2)}$ on X_T so that

$$u^{(2)} = \sum_{\lambda \geqslant 2} u_{\lambda}^{(2)}.$$

Let c > 0 be such that c^2 is the next eigenvalue of Δ_3 on closed 2-forms after the minimal eigenvalue 4. Let us show that $u^{(2)}$ is well-approximated by the part corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue.

Lemma 3. For each k, we have:

$$\left\| \sum_{\lambda > 2} u_{\lambda}^{(2)} \right\|_{k, X_2} = O(e^{-cT}),$$

for c > 2 as above.

Proof. By the definition of $u_{\lambda}^{(2)}$, we have:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\sum_{\lambda\geqslant c}u_{\lambda}^{(2)}\right) = -\mathcal{D}\left(\rho_{1}\sum_{\lambda\geqslant c}\psi_{\lambda}\right).$$

Using (C), we find:

$$\left\| \sum_{\lambda \geqslant c} u_{\lambda}^{(2)} \right\|_{k,X_2} \stackrel{\text{(C)}}{\leqslant} G_k \left\| \rho_1 \sum_{\lambda \geqslant c} \psi_{\lambda} \right\|_{k,P_2} \leqslant G_k \sqrt{E_k} \left\| \sum_{\lambda \geqslant c} \psi_{\lambda} \right\|_{k,P_2}.$$

For the tail $\sum_{\lambda \geqslant c} \psi_{\lambda}$, repeat the decay argument used to prove (2.5), but using that now $\lambda \geqslant c > 2$. This gives:

$$\left\| \sum_{\lambda \geqslant c} \psi_{\lambda} \right\|_{k, P_2} \leqslant B_k e^{-cT} \left\| \sum_{\lambda \geqslant c} \psi_{\lambda} \right\|_{0, Q_1} = O(e^{-cT}),$$

and the lemma follows.

3. Resolution formula. Let (M,g) be a Riemannian 4-orbifold, and let $p \in M$ be an isolated orbifold point modelled on $\mathbb{R}^4/\{\pm 1\}$ with the standard flat metric. Thus there exists a neighbourhood U of p and an isometry:

$$U \simeq (B/\{\pm 1\}, g_0),$$

where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is a ball centered at the origin, g_0 is the flat metric (the action of $\{\pm 1\}$ is orthogonal).

Choose a linear complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ such that g_0 is Kähler. Then view U as a complex orbifold domain. Let ω denote the Kähler form on U associated to g, and let $r \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ be the distance to p with respect to g. On U one has:

$$\omega = -d d^{\mathbb{C}} r^2.$$

After rescaling g, we may assume:

$$U = \{ x \in M \mid r(x) < 1 \}.$$

The unitary group U(2) preserves (\mathbb{C}^2, g_0) and commutes with the action of $\{\pm 1\}$; hence, it induces an isometric action on U that leaves both r and ω invariant.

Let $Y \subset U$ be defined as:

$$Y = \{ x \in M \mid r(x) = 1 \},\$$

and let g_Y be the induced metric. Geometrically, Y is the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 modulo the antipodal map.

Choose a > 0 so small that $a \cdot e < 1$ ($\ln e = 1$), and set:

$$N = \{ x \in U \mid a \leqslant r(x) \leqslant a \cdot e \}.$$

To apply Lemma 2, introduce the annuli:

$$P_1 = \{x \in U \mid a \cdot e \le r(x) \le a \cdot e^2\}, \qquad P_2 = \{x \in U \mid a \cdot e^{-1} \le r(x) \le a\},$$

which agree with the notation in §2.

The metric on N has the form:

$$dr \otimes dr + r^2 g_Y$$
.

Hence, N is conformally equivalent to the cylinder $[0,1] \times Y$ with the product metric:

$$dt \otimes dt + g_Y$$
.

Let us modify M as follows. Consider the neighbourhood of p defined as:

$$U_a = \{ x \in U \mid r(x) < a \} \subset M.$$

This neighbourhood contains the cuff P_2 but not the neck N. Let

$$\sigma: X_2 \longrightarrow U_a$$

be the minimal resolution of U_a , obtained by blowing up the orbifold point p. The exceptional set is an embedded sphere $C \subset X_2$ with self-intersection (-2).

Consider a smaller neighbourhood of p defined as:

$$U_{\delta} = \{x \in U \mid r(x) < \delta\} \subset M.$$

By standard complex–analytic results (see, e.g., Ch. 1 of [6]), the complex surface X_2 admits a strictly plurisubharmonic function $h: X_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$h = r^2$$
 on $X_2 - \sigma^{-1}(U_\delta)$.

Define a Kähler form:

$$\omega' = -dd^{\mathbb{C}}h$$
 on X_2 ,

and let g' be the associated Riemannian metric. By construction, g and g' agree away from U_{δ} . Let us now build a manifold X by cutting out U_a from M and gluing in (X_2, g') along the common boundary:

$$X = (M - U_a) \cup_{\partial X_2} X_2.$$

Introduce a conformal transformation of the metric on X. Let $\chi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function such that the χ -rescaled metric on $P_1 \cup N \cup P_2$ takes the form:

$$dt \otimes dt + g_Y$$

and such that $\chi = 1$ away from a small neighbourhood of $P_1 \cup N \cup P_2$. For T > 0, let (X_T, g_T) denote the space obtained from X by replacing the factor [0,1] in N by [0,T]. When T is large, (X_T, g_T) contains a long cylindrical region.

Lemma 4. Let M, X, and X_T be as above, and let $C \subset X_2$ be the exceptional (-2)-sphere. Assume that M carries an SD harmonic form ψ . Let $\langle \psi, \omega \rangle_p$ denote the pointwise inner product with respect to g, evaluated at p. Then, for all large enough T, there exists an SD harmonic form u on X_T such that:

$$||u-\psi||_{C^0,X_1} \longrightarrow 0$$
 as $T \to \infty$,

and

$$\int_{C} u = A \langle \omega, \psi \rangle_{p} e^{-2T} + O(e^{-cT})$$
(3.1)

for some constants A > 0 and c > 2 independent of T.

To clarify the quantity $\langle \omega, \psi \rangle_p$: choose a uniformizing chart $\pi \colon (B, g_0) \to (U, g)$. Both ω and ψ are smooth on U; thus their lifts $\pi^*\omega$ and $\pi^*\psi$ are smooth, $\{\pm 1\}$ -invariant forms on B. Define $\langle \omega, \psi \rangle_p$ by $\langle \omega, \psi \rangle_p = \langle \pi^*\omega, \pi^*\psi \rangle_{0 \in B}$, which is independent of the chosen uniformizing chart.

Proof. In the neighbourhood U of p, the form ψ admits a Fourier expansion:

$$\psi = \sum_{\lambda \geqslant 2} \psi_{\lambda}, \qquad \psi_{\lambda} = a_{\lambda} \, r^{\lambda},$$

where each ψ_{λ} is an SD harmonic form on U. Smoothness at the orbifold point forces all exponents to be positive. Introducing the cylindrical coordinate t via $r = e^{-t}$, the series takes the form used in (2.8).

Let P_1, P_2 be as above, and let χ be the function such that the χg satisfies:

$$dt \otimes dt + g_Y$$
 on $P_1 \cup N \cup P_2$,

and such that χ equals 1 away from a small neighbourhood of $P_1 \cup N \cup P_2$.

Replace the original neck N by a longer cylindrical region $[0,T] \times Y$, and analytically continue ψ from P_1 to P_2 along this neck. On P_2 , the continued form has the expansion:

$$\psi = \sum_{\lambda > 2} \psi_{\lambda} e^{-\lambda T},$$

and this series converges on all of M_2 . For $\lambda > 2$, the norm of ψ_{λ} tends to 0 as $r \to 0$. Hence, the leading contribution to $\langle \psi, \omega \rangle$ at p comes from the $\lambda = 2$ mode, giving:

$$\langle \psi, \omega \rangle_p = e^{-2T} \langle \psi_2, \omega \rangle_p.$$

Choose holomorphic coordinates (z, w) on \mathbb{C}^2 , and set:

$$\Omega = dz \wedge dw.$$

The form Ω is invariant under the action of $\{\pm 1\}$, so it descends to U. The eigenspace of the Laplacian on Y with eigenvalue 4 is 3-dimensional, and we may write:

$$\psi_2 = a_1 \,\omega_1 + a_2 \,\omega_2 + a_3 \,\omega_3, \qquad a_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where:

$$\omega_1 = \omega, \qquad \omega_2 = \operatorname{Re}(\Omega), \qquad \omega_3 = \operatorname{Im}(\Omega).$$

These satisfy:

$$\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle = 2 \, \delta_{ij}$$
 on U ,

so in particular:

$$\langle \psi_2, \omega \rangle = 2 a_1.$$

Replacing U_a by X_2 produces X_T . Using Lemma 2, construct an SD harmonic form u on X_T such that:

$$u = \rho_1 \psi + u^{(2)} + O(e^{-4T}),$$

with $d\rho_1$ supported in P_2 . Using Lemma 3, when restricted to X_2 , we obtain:

$$u^{(2)} = u_2^{(2)} + O(e^{-cT}), \qquad \mathfrak{D}u_2^{(2)} = -e^{-2T}\,\mathfrak{D}(\rho_1\psi_2),$$

for some c > 2. To solve

$$\mathfrak{D}u_2^{(2)} = -e^{-2T}\,\mathfrak{D}(\rho_1\psi_2),\,$$

observe that ψ_2 extends from P_2 to a closed SD form on all of X_2 :

$$\eta = a_1 \omega' + a_2 \operatorname{Re}(\sigma^* \Omega) + a_3 \operatorname{Im}(\sigma^* \Omega).$$

Indeed, the holomorphic form $\sigma^*\Omega$ is g'-self-dual, and ω' is Kähler for g'; both remain self-dual after introducing the conformal factor χ .

Thus:

$$u_2^{(2)} = e^{-2T} (1 - \rho_1) \eta.$$

Since $\rho_1 = 0$ near the exceptional sphere C, we obtain:

$$u = e^{-2T} \eta + O(e^{-cT}) \quad \text{near } C.$$

We compute:

$$\int_C u = e^{-2T} \int_C \eta + O(e^{-cT}) = e^{-2T} \int_C a_1 \omega' + O(e^{-cT}) = e^{-2T} \langle \psi_2, \omega \rangle_p A + O(e^{-cT}),$$

for some constant A > 0 independent of T. This completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to treat the case $b_2^+ = 3$; the general case is no harder. Let (M,g) be an oriented Riemannian 4-orbifold with isolated singular points p_1, \ldots, p_n , each of type $\mathbb{R}^4/\{\pm 1\}$. By Proposition 1, we may choose g so that there exists a g-self-dual harmonic 2-form ψ_1 which vanishes at every p_{α} . Since $b_2^+(M) = 3$, we can choose further SD harmonic forms ψ_2, ψ_3 so that ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 is a basis for SD harmonic forms.

For each $\alpha = 1, ..., n$, fix a neighbourhood V_{α} of p_{α} with an isometry

$$V_{\alpha} \simeq (B/\{\pm 1\}, g_0),$$

where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is a ball centered at the origin and g_0 is the flat metric. Choose linear complex structures J_{α} on B such that g_0 is Kähler. J_{α} is invariant under the antipodal map, so it descends to V_{α} . Let ω_{α} be the resulting Kähler form on V_{α} .

By rotating J_{α} , we may arrange that, for each α ,

$$\langle \omega_{\alpha}, \psi_1 \rangle_{p_{\alpha}} = \langle \omega_{\alpha}, \psi_2 \rangle_{p_{\alpha}} = 0.$$

For each α , let $D_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a 3-disc with coordinates $(s_{\alpha,1}, s_{\alpha,2}, s_{\alpha,3})$. Set:

$$D^{3n} = D_1 \times \dots \times D_n \subset \mathbb{R}^{3n}.$$

Let g_s , $s \in D^{3n}$, be a family of orbifold metrics with $g_0 = g$. Let $a_i \in H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$ denote the cohomology class of ψ_i , and let $\psi_{i,s}$ be the g_s -self-dual part of the g_s -harmonic representative of a_i . For each α and i, define:

$$\pi_{\alpha,i}(s) = \langle \omega_{\alpha}, \psi_{i,s} \rangle_{p_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \ i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where the inner product is computed using g_s . By construction, $\pi_{\alpha,i}(0) = 0$ for all α, i .

Lemma 5. There exist neighbourhoods $U_{\alpha} \subset V_{\alpha}$ of p_{α} and a family of metrics g_s , $s \in D^{3n}$, such that $g_s = g$ on each U_{α} for all $s \in D^{3n}$, and such that the map

$$s \to (\pi_{\alpha,i}(s))_{\alpha,i},$$

is a local diffeomorphism near s = 0.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Lemma 4 in [14], which relies on [8, 7]. \Box

For simplicity, assume n=1 and drop index α ; the general case is no harder. Let (M,g_s) be as in Lemma 5. Let us apply the neck-stretching procedure of §3 inside U. This produces a family $(M,g_{T,s})$ which agrees with g_s on U (technically, on a slightly smaller neighbourhood). Let us next resolve the double point p using the complex structure J. Let C denote the exceptional (-2)-sphere, and let the resulting smooth family of 4-manifolds be denoted by $X_{T,s}$.

By Lemma 4, there exist SD harmonic forms $u_{i,s}$ on $X_{T,s}$ such that:

$$\int_C u_{i,s} = A \pi_i(s) e^{-2T} + O(e^{-cT}), \quad A > 0, \ c > 2.$$
(4.1)

For large T, the error term in (4.1) is dominated by the leading contribution; hence, the map

$$s \to \left(\int_C u_{1,s}, \int_C u_{2,s}, \int_C u_{3,s}\right)$$

is surjective onto a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 . This completes the proof.

5. Near-contact forms. In this section we consider contact forms with isolated, nondegenerate singularities on 3-manifolds. The goal is to show how Eliashberg's h-principle gives a complete classification of such forms up to deformation.

Let Y be a 3-manifold. A 1-form λ on Y is called a near-contact form if:

- (1) λ is transverse to the zero section of T_Y^* , so its zero set $\lambda^{-1}(0) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a discrete (for simplicity, finite) set of points;
- (2) there exists a volume form vol on Y such that, writing

$$\lambda \wedge d\lambda = f \text{ vol}.$$

the function f is positive on $Y - \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$, and each p_i is a nondegenerate local minimum of f. (This condition then holds for any volume form inducing the same orientation as $\lambda \wedge d\lambda$.)

Lemma 6. Suppose that p is a transverse zero of λ and that λ is contact in a small punctured neighbourhood of p; then $d\lambda$ also vanishes at p.

Proof. Choose a connection ∇ near p. Since λ is contact in a punctured neighbourhood of p, we have:

$$\nabla(\lambda \wedge d\lambda)\big|_p = 0.$$

Using

$$\nabla(\lambda \wedge d\lambda) = \nabla\lambda \wedge d\lambda + \lambda \wedge \nabla d\lambda,$$

we obtain:

$$\nabla \lambda|_p \wedge d\lambda|_p = 0.$$

The transverse vanishing of λ at p now implies $d\lambda|_p = 0$. This completes the proof. \square If p is a transverse zero of a 1-form λ and $d\lambda$ also vanishes at p, then the bilinear form:

$$A(\xi, \eta) = \nabla_{\xi} \lambda(\eta) \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in T_Y|_p,$$
 (5.1)

is symmetric and nondegenerate. Since $\lambda|_p = 0$, the form A does not depend on ∇ .

Lemma 7. If a near-contact 1-form λ vanishes at p, then the form A defined by (5.1) is not definite.

Proof. Choose a flat metric g near p, let ∇ be its flat connection, and let vol_g be its volume form. Let Y be the vector field dual to $d\lambda$ with respect to vol_g ; that is,

$$\iota_Y \operatorname{vol}_q = d\lambda.$$

Then:

$$L_Y \operatorname{vol}_g = (\operatorname{div} Y) \operatorname{vol}_g = 0.$$

Thus, the endomorphism field $\nabla Y \colon T_Y \to T_Y$ is traceless everywhere. In particular, the linear map:

$$B(\xi) = \nabla_{\xi} Y \in T_Y|_p$$
 for all $\xi \in T_Y|_p$,

satisfies $\operatorname{tr} B = 0$. We compute:

$$\lambda \wedge d\lambda = \lambda(Y) \text{ vol}_a$$
.

Since λ and Y both vanish at p, it follows that:

$$\nabla_{\xi} \nabla_{\eta} \lambda(Y) \big|_{p} = A(\xi, B\eta) + A(\eta, B\xi)$$
 for all $\xi, \eta \in T_{Y}|_{p}$.

Assume for contradiction that A is definite. Choose g so that g = A at p. Set:

$$H(\xi,\xi) = \nabla_{\xi}^2 \lambda(Y) \Big|_{\eta} = 2g(\xi, B\xi).$$

For any g-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, we obtain:

$$\operatorname{tr}_g H = \sum_{i=1}^3 H(e_i, e_i) = 2 \operatorname{tr} B = 0.$$

Hence, the function $f = \lambda(Y)$ cannot have a nondegenerate maximum or minimum at p. This contradiction completes the proof.

Define the index of a transverse zero p of λ as:

$$\operatorname{ind}_{p} \lambda = \operatorname{sgn} \det A.$$

For a nondegenerate zero p of a near-contact form λ , this index provides a topological obstruction to deforming one such form into another (through near-contact forms with a nondegenerate zero at p). Another obstruction is the orientation induced by $\lambda \wedge d\lambda$ on a punctured neighbourhood of p. We now show that there are no further obstructions, even for compactly supported deformations.

Lemma 8. Let λ and λ' be near-contact 1-forms defined on a neighbourhood of a point $p \in Y$. Assume that λ and λ' both vanish at p, that

$$\operatorname{ind}_{p}(\lambda) = \operatorname{ind}_{p}(\lambda'),$$
 (5.2)

and that $\lambda \wedge d\lambda$ and $\lambda' \wedge d\lambda'$ induce the same orientation on a punctured neighbourhood of p. Let W be any neighbourhood of p on which both forms are defined. Then there exist nested neighbourhoods

$$p \in U \subset V \subset W$$

and a family of near-contact forms λ_t , $t \in [0,1]$, such that:

- (1) $\lambda_0 = \lambda$ on W, $\lambda_t = \lambda$ on W V for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and $\lambda_1 = \lambda'$ on U;
- (2) for each $t \in [0,1]$, the form λ_t is near-contact on V, and p is the unique zero of λ_t in V.

Proof. To begin with, identify the neighbourhood W of p with the unit ball $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and let p correspond to the origin. Choose a flat metric g in \mathbb{R}^3 , let ∇ be its flat connection, let vol_g be its volume form, and let $r \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to R$ be the distance from the origin.

Using (5.2), we may assume that:

$$\nabla \lambda|_p = \nabla \lambda'|_p$$
.

Then:

$$\nabla^2 \left(\left[(1-t)\lambda + t\lambda' \right] \wedge d \left[(1-t)\lambda + t\lambda' \right] \right) \big|_{p} = (1-t) \left. \nabla^2 (\lambda \wedge d\lambda) \right|_{p} + t \left. \nabla^2 (\lambda' \wedge d\lambda') \right|_{p}.$$

Let f and f' be defined as:

$$\lambda \wedge d\lambda = f \operatorname{vol}_g, \quad \lambda' \wedge d\lambda' = f' \operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Then:

$$(1-t)\nabla^2(\lambda \wedge d\lambda)\big|_p + t\nabla^2(\lambda' \wedge d\lambda')\big|_p = \left[(1-t)\nabla^2 f + t\nabla^2 f'\right] \operatorname{vol}_g\big|_p.$$

Since $\lambda \wedge d\lambda$ and $\lambda' \wedge d\lambda'$ induce the same orientation near p, let us choose that local orientation so that both f and f' are nonnegative. Each has a nondegenerate minimum at p, hence their convex combination does also. Thus, there exists c > 0 such that for all t:

$$(1-t)f + tf' \geqslant cr^2 \quad \text{near } p. \tag{5.3}$$

Choose $0 < \varepsilon, R < 1$. Let B_R and $B_{\varepsilon R}$ be the balls of radii R and εR . Let $\rho = \rho(r)$ be a nonincreasing cut-off function such that:

$$\rho|_{B_{\varepsilon R}} = 1, \qquad \rho|_{B_1 - B_R} = 0.$$

Define:

$$\lambda_t = \lambda + t \, \rho \, (\lambda' - \lambda).$$

For $W = B_1$, $V = B_R$, and $U = B_{\varepsilon R}$, property (1) of the lemma is immediate. Let us show ε and R can be chosen so that (2) holds. We compute:

$$\lambda_{t} \wedge d\lambda_{t} = \lambda \wedge d\lambda + t\rho(\lambda' - \lambda) \wedge d\lambda + t\lambda \wedge d(\rho(\lambda' - \lambda)) + t^{2}\rho(\lambda' - \lambda) \wedge d(\rho(\lambda' - \lambda)) =$$

$$= (1 - t\rho) \lambda \wedge d\lambda + t\rho\lambda' \wedge d\lambda' - t\rho(\lambda' - \lambda) \wedge d(\lambda' - \lambda)$$

$$- t d\rho \wedge \lambda \wedge (\lambda' - \lambda) + t^{2}\rho^{2}(\lambda' - \lambda) \wedge d(\lambda' - \lambda) =$$

$$= \left[(1 - \rho t)f + \rho tf' \right] \operatorname{vol}_{g} - t d\rho \wedge \lambda \wedge (\lambda' - \lambda) - t\rho(1 - t\rho) (\lambda' - \lambda) \wedge d(\lambda' - \lambda).$$

Using $0 \le \rho \le 1$ and (5.3), choose R > 0 small enough so that:

$$(1 - \rho t)f + \rho t f' \geqslant cr^2$$
 on B_R . (5.4)

For a domain A, write $\|\cdot\|_{k,A}$ for the C^k -norm on A.

Using:

$$\lambda|_p = \lambda'|_p = 0$$
, $\nabla(\lambda' - \lambda)|_p = 0$, $d\lambda|_p = d\lambda'|_p = 0$,

there exists C > 0 such that for all r,

$$\|\lambda\|_{0,B_r} \leqslant Cr$$
, $\|\lambda'\|_{0,B_r} \leqslant Cr$, $\|\lambda' - \lambda\|_{0,B_r} \leqslant Cr^2$, $\|d\lambda\|_{0,B_r} \leqslant Cr$, $\|d\lambda'\|_{0,B_r} \leqslant Cr$. (5.5)

Let f_t be defined as:

$$\lambda_t \wedge d\lambda_t = f_t \operatorname{vol}_a$$
.

On B_R :

$$\begin{split} f_{t} \geqslant \left[(1 - \rho t)f + \rho t f' \right] - t \|d\rho\|_{0,B_{r}} \|\lambda\|_{0,B_{r}} \|\lambda' - \lambda\|_{0,B_{r}} - t \rho (1 - t \rho) \|\lambda' - \lambda\|_{0,B_{r}} \|d(\lambda' - \lambda)\|_{0,B_{r}} \geqslant \\ & \geqslant c r^{2} - \|d\rho\|_{0,B_{r}} \|\lambda\|_{0,B_{r}} \|\lambda' - \lambda\|_{0,B_{r}} - \|\lambda' - \lambda\|_{0,B_{r}} \|d(\lambda' - \lambda)\|_{0,B_{r}} \geqslant \\ & \geqslant c r^{2} - C^{2} r^{3} \|d\rho\|_{0,B_{r}} - 2C^{2} r^{3}. \end{split}$$

Fix R. For each $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, depending on δ but not on R, and a nonincreasing $\rho = \rho(r)$ such that:

$$\rho = 1 \text{ on } r \leqslant \varepsilon R, \qquad \rho = 0 \text{ on } r \geqslant R, \qquad \partial_r \rho \geqslant -\delta/r.$$

In the logarithmic coordinate $t = \ln r$, this is immediate: we want $\rho = 1$ for $t \leq \ln R - \ln(1/\varepsilon)$ and $\rho = 0$ for $t \geq \ln R$, with $\partial_t \rho \geq -\delta$.

With such a choice:

$$f_t \geqslant cr^2 - (c/2)r^2 - 2C^2r^3$$
 on B_R .

Thus, for R > 0 small enough, $f_t \geqslant \frac{c}{3}r^2$ on B_R . This completes the proof.

Taubes [20] constructs a near-contact form on \mathbb{R}^3 with a zero at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that every sufficiently small punctured neighbourhood of 0 contains an overtwisted disc. For completeness, we give a proof of this result by constructing a sequence of such forms.

Lemma 9. There exists a near-contact form λ defined in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that λ has a zero at 0, and such that for each small enough neighbourhood $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, the punctured set $U - \{0\}$ contains an overtwisted disc.

Proof. Choose a flat metric g in \mathbb{R}^3 , let ∇ be its flat connection, and let vol_g be its volume form.

Fix any near-contact form λ defined in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that λ has a zero at 0 and no other zeros. Assume that the orientations induced by vol_q and $\lambda \wedge d\lambda$ agree.

Consider the sphere $S_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of radius ε . We shall show that there exists a homogeneous cubic polynomial C such that, for each small enough ε , the 1-form

$$\lambda + dC$$
,

when restricted to S_{ε} , contains an overtwisted disc. Since C is homogeneous cubic and

$$(\lambda + dC) \wedge d(\lambda + dC) = \lambda \wedge d\lambda + dC \wedge d\lambda,$$

the form $\lambda + dC$ remains near-contact in a neighbourhood of the origin.

To proceed, write λ as:

$$\lambda = da + \mu$$
.

where $a: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

with A defined as in (5.1). By changing the sign of λ if needed, we may assume that in some orthonormal frame the quadratic form a is given by

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2).$$

Define the dilation $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ as:

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Consider the unit sphere $S_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and let V be a small neighbourhood of S_1 . Since both μ and $\nabla \mu$ vanish at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, there exists a constant D > 0, depending only on μ , such that for each ε ,

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}^*\mu\|_{1,V} \leqslant D\varepsilon^3. \tag{5.6}$$

We compute:

$$\varphi_\varepsilon^*(\lambda+dC)=\varepsilon^2\left(da+\frac{\varphi_\varepsilon^*\mu}{\varepsilon^2}+\varepsilon\,dC\right).$$

In particular, the $\varepsilon^{-2}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^*(\lambda+dC)$ is an ε -small C^1 -perturbation of da. We now choose this perturbation in a controlled way.

Restrict a to the unit sphere S_1 . The critical set of $a|_{S_1}$ is as follows:

- (1) The two points $x_3 = \pm 1$, which are nondegenerate critical points.
- (2) The circle $x_3 = 0$, which consists entirely of critical points. This circle is Morse-Bott for $a|_{S_1}$. Indeed:

$$\nabla_{x_3} da = -dx_3.$$

Choose C so that the 2-form

$$dC \wedge \nabla_{x_3} da = -dC \wedge dx_3,$$

when restricted to the unit sphere S_1 , does not vanish at the points of the big circle $x_3 = 0$. It suffices to take $C = C(x_1, x_2)$, independent of x_3 , and arranged so that $dC \neq 0$ unless $x_1 = x_2 = 0$.

Since

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}^*(\mu + dC) \wedge \nabla_{x_3} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^* da = \varepsilon^2 \varphi_{\varepsilon}^* \mu \wedge \nabla_{x_3} da + \varepsilon^5 dC \wedge \nabla_{x_3} da,$$

it follows from (5.6) that, after multiplying C by a sufficiently large constant if needed, the 2-form

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}^*(\mu + dC) \wedge \nabla_{x_3} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^* da,$$

when restricted to S_1 , does not vanish at any point of the circle $x_3 = 0$.

With this choice of C, and for ε small enough, the 1-form

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^*(\lambda + dC) = da + \frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^* \mu}{\varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon dC,$$

when restricted to S_1 , has exactly two transverse zeros p_+ and p_- . These are small perturbations of the points $x_3 = 1$ and $x_3 = -1$, respectively.

Set:

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = da + \frac{\varphi_{\varepsilon}^* \mu}{\varepsilon^2} + \varepsilon \, dC.$$

We will show that for each sufficiently small but nonzero ε , the restriction $\lambda_{\varepsilon}|_{S_1}$ admits an overtwisted disc. Consider the Euler field:

$$E = x_1 \partial_{x_1} + x_2 \partial_{x_2} + x_3 \partial_{x_3},$$

and define ω by $\iota_E \operatorname{vol}_g = \omega$. Its restriction to S_1 is nondegenerate. Restrict ω and λ_{ε} to S_1 , and let X_{ε} be the ω -dual of $\lambda_{\varepsilon}|_{S_1}$; that is, $\iota_X \omega = \lambda_{\varepsilon}|_{S_1}$. Since λ_{ε} is C^1 -close to da, the vector field X_{ε} is C^1 -close to X_0 .

 X_{ε} has exactly two zeros p_{+} and p_{-} on S_{1} , both transverse. Because a has nondegenerate minima at $x_{3} = \pm 1$, the linearization of X_{0} at these points has a pair of imaginary eigenvalues; therefore,

the linearization of X_{ε} at p_{\pm} cannot have purely real eigenvalues, so neither p_{+} nor p_{-} is a saddle. We will show that:

$$\operatorname{div} X_{\varepsilon}(p_{+}) < 0, \quad \operatorname{div} X_{\varepsilon}(p_{-}) < 0 \quad \text{for all small enough but nonzero } \varepsilon.$$
 (5.7)

Let f_{ε} be defined by:

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} \wedge d\lambda_{\varepsilon} = f_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{vol}_{g}.$$

We compute:

$$f_{\varepsilon}\omega = f_{\varepsilon}\iota_{E}\operatorname{vol}_{g} = \iota_{E}(\lambda_{\varepsilon} \wedge d\lambda_{\varepsilon}) = \lambda_{\varepsilon}(E)d\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda_{\varepsilon} \wedge \iota_{E}d\lambda_{\varepsilon}.$$

Restricting to S_1 :

$$f_{\varepsilon}\omega = \lambda_{\varepsilon}(E) (\operatorname{div} X) \omega - \lambda_{\varepsilon} \wedge \iota_{E} d\lambda_{\varepsilon}.$$

Restricting to p_+ and p_- (where $\lambda_{\varepsilon} = 0$):

$$f_{\varepsilon}(p_+) = \lambda_{\varepsilon}(E)(p_+) \operatorname{div} X_{\varepsilon}(p_+), \qquad f_{\varepsilon}(p_-) = \lambda_{\varepsilon}(E)(p_-) \operatorname{div} X_{\varepsilon}(p_-).$$

Since $f_{\varepsilon} > 0$ everywhere on S_1 and

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon}(E)(p_{+}) \to -1, \quad \lambda_{\varepsilon}(E)(p_{-}) \to -1 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

we obtain (5.7). Consequently, both p_+ and p_- are sinks of X_{ε} , and X_{ε} has no other zeros on S_1 . Hence, X_{ε} must admit a limiting cycle. This completes the proof. \square Recall the following theorem of Eliashberg (Theorem 3.1.1 in [3]).

Theorem ([3]). Let M be a compact 3-manifold and let $A \subset M$ be a closed subset such that M-A is connected. Let K be a compact space and $L \subset K$ a closed subspace. Let $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in K}$ be a family of 2-plane distributions which are contact for all $t \in L$ and are contact near A for all $t \in K$. Assume there exists an embedded disc $\Delta \subset M-A$ such that ξ_t is contact near Δ and (Δ, ξ_t) is an overtwisted disc for all $t \in K$. Then there exists a family of contact structures $\{\xi_t'\}_{t\in K}$ on M such that ξ_t' agrees with ξ_t near A for all $t \in K$, and agrees with ξ_t everywhere for all $t \in L$.

Proposition 2. Let Y be a closed 3-manifold, and let λ_0 and λ_1 be near-contact forms on Y with the same zero set:

$$\lambda_0^{-1}(0) = \lambda_1^{-1}(0) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}, \quad k > 0.$$

Suppose λ_0 and λ_1 are homotopic as nonzero 1-forms on $Y - \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$, and that $\lambda_0 \wedge d\lambda_0$ and $\lambda_1 \wedge d\lambda_1$ induce the same orientation on Y. Then there exists a near-contact homotopy λ_t , $t \in [0,1]$, between λ_0 and λ_1 on the whole of Y.

Proof. Since λ_0 and λ_1 are homotopic as nonvanishing 1-forms on $Y - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$, we have for each zero p_i :

$$\operatorname{ind}_{p_i}(\lambda_0) = \operatorname{ind}_{p_i}(\lambda_1).$$

Using Lemmas 9 and 8, we may assume that there exist neighbourhoods $U_i \ni p_i$ such that $\lambda_0|_{U_i} = \lambda_1|_{U_i}$, and that (U_i, λ_0) contains an overtwisted disc. Choose closed neighbourhoods $A_i \subset U_i$ of p_i such that $U_i - A_i$ still contains an overtwisted disc. Set $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_k$; shrinking the A_i if necessary, we may assume Y - A is connected. We can now construct a family of 1-forms α_t , $t \in [0,1]$, such that:

- (1) $\alpha_0 = \lambda_0$, $\alpha_1 = \lambda_1$, and $\alpha_t = \lambda_0 = \lambda_1$ on each U_i ;
- (2) for all t, the form α_t is nowhere zero on $Y \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$.

The lemma now follows from Eliashberg's theorem.

6. Near-symplectic forms. In this section we review the notion of a near-symplectic form; see [2, 20, 12, 10, 5] for background. Our goal is to prove Proposition 3.

Let X be a 4-manifold. A 2-form ω on X is called a near-symplectic form if:

- (1) $d\omega = 0$;
- (2) ω is symplectic away from its zero set, i.e., for each $x \in X$ with $\omega_x \neq 0$, $\omega_x \wedge \omega_x \neq 0$;
- (3) the zero set $Z(\omega) = \{x \in X \mid \omega_x = 0\}$ is a smooth 1-dimensional submanifold (a union of embedded circles and arcs), and for each $x \in Z$ and $\xi \in T_X|_x$,

$$(\nabla_{\xi}\omega)_x \wedge (\nabla_{\xi}\omega)_x \neq 0.$$

If X has boundary, Z is additionally required to be transverse to ∂X .

This definition is equivalent to the following. Let ω be a closed 2-form with zero set $Z = \omega^{-1}(0)$. Then ω is near-symplectic iff Z is a smooth 1-manifold and there exists a volume form vol on X such that:

$$\omega \wedge \omega = f \text{ vol},$$

where f > 0 on X - Z and Z is a Morse-Bott critical submanifold of f; that is, df = 0 along Z and the Hessian of f is nondegenerate on the normal bundle of Z.

Endow X with a Riemannian metric and let ω be a closed SD form. Viewing ω as a section of $\Lambda_+^2 T_X^*$, assume it is transverse to the zero section; that is, for each $x \in Z = \omega^{-1}(0)$, $\nabla \omega_x$ has rank 3. Then Z is a smooth 1-manifold, and ω is necessarily near-symplectic: for each $x \in Z$ and $\xi \in T_X|_x$, the SD form $\nabla_{\xi} \omega_x$ is nonzero; hence, its wedge square is also nonzero.

Auroux, Donaldson, and Katzarkov proved a converse (Proposition 1 in [2]):

Theorem ([2]). Suppose ω is a near-symplectic form on X. Then there is a Riemannian metric q on X such that ω is self-dual with respect to q.

A smooth hypersurface $\Sigma \subset X$ is called ω -convex if there exists a vector field V defined near Σ such that $L_V\omega = \omega$, V is transverse to Σ , and V points outward along Σ from the compact region it bounds. Such a vector field V is called an ω -Liouville vector field. An ω -convex surface Σ is necessarily transverse to Z, and the primitive $\lambda = \iota_V\omega$ restricts to a near-contact form on Σ . The same applies to ω -concave surfaces, obtained by replacing $L_V\omega = \omega$ with $L_V\omega = -\omega$.

Lemma 10 (Near-symplectic cobordism). Let λ_t , $t \in [0,1]$, be a smooth family of near-contact forms on a closed 3-manifold Y. Then there exists a near-symplectic form ω on $W = [0,1] \times Y$ and ω -Liouville vector fields V_0 and V_1 , defined near $\{0\} \times Y$ and $\{1\} \times Y$, respectively, such that V_0 is transverse to $\{0\} \times Y$ and points into W, V_1 is transverse to $\{1\} \times Y$ and points out of W, and

$$\iota_{V_0}\omega\big|_{\{0\}\times Y}=c_1\lambda_0,\qquad \iota_{V_1}\omega\big|_{\{1\}\times Y}=c_2\lambda_1,$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. In particular, $\{0\} \times Y$ is ω -concave and $\{1\} \times Y$ is ω -convex.

Proof. To begin with, assume that all λ_t vanish at the same finite set of points $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in Y$, and that for each p_i the linearization $(\nabla \lambda_t)_{p_i}$ is independent of t. We also assume the family is constant near the endpoints: there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda_t = \lambda_0$ for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ and $\lambda_t = \lambda_1$ for $t \in [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$.

We use the same parameter $t \in [0,1]$ as the coordinate on the first factor of $W = [0,1] \times Y$. For each t, regard λ_t as a 1-form on the slice $\{t\} \times Y$. These assemble to a smooth 1-form λ on W, with no dt-component:

$$\lambda(\partial_t) = 0, \quad \lambda|_{\{t\} \times Y} = \lambda_t.$$

We seek a function h = h(t) such that the 2-form

$$d(h\lambda)$$

is near-symplectic on W. Using the decomposition

$$d = dt \wedge \partial_t + d_Y,$$

we compute:

$$d(h\lambda) = dh \wedge \lambda + h d\lambda = \dot{h} dt \wedge \lambda_t + h dt \wedge \dot{\lambda}_t + h d_Y \lambda_t,$$

where $\dot{\lambda}_t = \partial_t \lambda_t$. Thus:

$$d(h\lambda) \wedge d(h\lambda) = 2h dt \wedge \left(\dot{h} \lambda_t \wedge d_Y \lambda_t + h \dot{\lambda}_t \wedge d_Y \lambda_t\right).$$

Choose a volume form vol on Y and a compatible connection ∇ . Define smooth families of functions $f_t, g_t \colon Y \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$\lambda_t \wedge d_Y \lambda_t = f_t \text{ vol}, \quad \dot{\lambda}_t \wedge d_Y \lambda_t = g_t \text{ vol}.$$

Setting $h(t) = e^{Ct}$ with C > 0, we find:

$$d(h\lambda) \wedge d(h\lambda) = 2e^{2Ct} dt \wedge (Cf_t + g_t) \text{ vol.}$$

Let $p_i \in Y$ be a zero of λ_t . By Lemma 6, $(d_Y \lambda_t)_{p_i} = 0$. Since $(\nabla \lambda_t)_{p_i}$ is independent of t, it follows that $(\nabla \dot{\lambda}_t)_{p_i} = 0$. Thus, for each zero p_i and each t,

$$g_t(p_i) = 0$$
, $(dg_t)_{p_i} = 0$, $(\nabla^2 g_t)_{p_i} = 0$.

On the other hand, the near-contact condition on λ_t gives $f_t(p_i) = 0$, $(df_t)_{p_i} = 0$, and the Hessian $(\nabla^2 f_t)_{p_i}$ is positive-definite. Hence, $Cf_t + g_t$ has a nondegenerate minimum at each zero p_i . It follows that there exist neighbourhoods $U_i \ni p_i$ such that for each C > 1 and each $t \in [0,1]$, the function $Cf_t + g_t$ is positive on $U_i - \{p_i\}$. Set $U = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$. Since g_t is uniformly bounded and Y - U is compact, it follows that for all large enough C > 0, the function $Cf_t + g_t$ is positive on $Y - \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Define a function $f: W \to \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$f(t,y) = e^{2Ct} \left(Cf_t(y) + g_t(y) \right).$$

Then f > 0 away from the arcs $[0,1] \times \{p_i\}$, and each such arc is a Morse-Bott critical submanifold of f. Hence, $d(e^{Ct}\lambda)$ is near-symplectic on W.

Near t = 1 the family λ_t is constant, so $d(e^{Ct}\lambda_t)$ coincides with $d(e^{Ct}\lambda_1)$ on a collar of $\{1\} \times Y$. Moreover,

$$L_{\partial_t} d(e^{Ct}\lambda_t) = C d(e^{Ct}\lambda_t),$$

so $C^{-1}\partial_t$ is a Liouville vector field for $d(e^{Ct}\lambda_t)$ near ∂W . This completes the proof.

Taubes [19] and Perutz [12] show that a near-symplectic form ω canonically orients its zero set $Z = \omega^{-1}(0)$. For completeness, we recall their construction.

Let ω be a near-symplectic form on X, and let $Z = \omega^{-1}(0)$ be its 1-dimensional zero set. For each $x \in Z$, the range of $\nabla \omega_x$ is a 3-plane $P_x \subset \Lambda^2 T_X^*|_x$, on which the wedge pairing is positive-definite. Let $N_x \subset T_X|_x$ be a complementary subspace to $T_Z|_x$. Then $\nabla \omega_x : N_x \to P_x$ is an isomorphism. Let $\partial_Z \in T_Z|_x$ be a tangent vector. Define the bilinear form:

$$A(\xi, \eta) = \left(\iota_{\partial_Z}(\nabla_{\xi}\omega)\right)_x(\eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in N_x. \tag{6.1}$$

Since P_x is positive-definite, A is nondegenerate, and thus the sign of $\det A$ is defined. The canonical orientation on Z is defined by requiring that ∂_Z be chosen so that $\det A > 0$.

 $d\omega = 0$ and $(\nabla_{\partial_z}\omega)_x = 0$ imply that A is symmetric.

Recall an additional property of A, found in [19, 12]. Orient X so that $\omega \wedge \omega \geqslant 0$. Let $x \in Z$ and let P_x be the range of $\nabla \omega_x$. The maximal positive-definite 3-plane P_x determines a conformal class of metrics g_x at $T_X|_x$ such that $P_x = \Lambda_+^2 T_X^*|_x$. Choose $N_x \subset T_X|_x$ to be the g_x -orthogonal complement of $T_Z|_x$. With ∂_Z and A as above, the form A is g_x -traceless: for each g_x -orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, e_3 of N_x ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} A(e_i, e_i) = 0.$$

Let us now specialize to \mathbb{R}^4 and consider near-symplectic forms whose coefficients are homogeneous of degree 1. Let ω be such a form. Since ω is homogeneous of degree 1 and near-symplectic, its zero set $Z = \omega^{-1}(0)$ is a line in \mathbb{R}^4 . Let us classify these forms up to deformation; this is a reformulation of [19, 12].

Lemma 11. Let \mathbb{R}^4 be oriented and let $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a line. Consider the space of near-symplectic forms ω on \mathbb{R}^4 with homogeneous coefficients of degree 1, such that $\omega \wedge \omega \geqslant 0$ and whose zero set is exactly ℓ . Then this space has precisely two connected components, distinguished by the orientation they induce on ℓ .

Proof. To begin with, choose a linear metric g on \mathbb{R}^4 such that the range of $\nabla \omega_0$ consists of g-self-dual forms. Since ω has homogeneous linear coefficients, it follows that $\omega_x = (\nabla_x \omega)_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$; in particular, ω is g-self-dual. The space of linear metrics is convex, so it suffices to classify homogeneous linear self-dual forms ω on \mathbb{R}^4 whose zero set is ℓ . We show that this space has two connected components.

Let $N \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be the g-orthogonal complement of ℓ at the origin. Fix $\partial_{\ell} \in \ell$, and let ∇ be the flat connection associated to g. As in (6.1), define a bilinear form:

$$A(\xi, \eta) = (\iota_{\partial_{\ell}}(\nabla_{\xi}\omega))_{0}(\eta), \qquad \xi, \eta \in N.$$

Then A is nondegenerate, symmetric, and traceless. For a homogeneous linear self-dual form ω vanishing exactly on ℓ , the form A determines ω uniquely. Conversely, any nondegenerate symmetric traceless form A on N arises from such an ω .

The space of nondegenerate symmetric traceless bilinear forms on N has two connected components, distinguished by the sign of det A. This completes the proof.

Let us now specialize to the case when X is a ball in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Proposition 3. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be the closed unit ball with boundary $\Sigma = \partial B$, and let ω and ω' be near-symplectic forms defined in a neighbourhood of B such that $\omega \wedge \omega$ and $\omega' \wedge \omega'$ induce the same orientation on B. Suppose that Σ is both ω -convex and ω' -convex, and let λ and λ' be the induced near-contact forms on Σ . Let

$$Z = \omega^{-1}(0), \quad Z' = {\omega'}^{-1}(0).$$

Assume that Z and Z' are unions of arcs (i.e. have no circular components) and that Z and Z' have the same number of connected components. Then λ and λ' are homotopic through near-contact forms on Σ .

Moreover, suppose that the antipodal \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on B preserves ω and ω' , and that there exist \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant ω - and ω' -Liouville vector fields near Σ making Σ ω - and ω' -convex. Then the above homotopy may be chosen \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant, so the induced near-contact forms on the quotient $\Sigma/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{RP}^3$ are homotopic.

Proof. For simplicity, we treat only the non-equivariant statement; the antipodal case is identical, with all constructions carried out \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariantly.

Let L be a closed manifold and define its cone:

$$C(L) = ([0,1] \times L) / \sim, \quad (t_1, x_1) \sim (t_2, x_2) \iff x_1 = x_2, \ t_1 = t_2 = 1.$$

Let p_* be the apex and $L_0 = \{0\} \times L \subset C(L)$.

A link in C(L) is a finite union of disjoint embedded arcs $\gamma_i \subset C(L) - \{p_*\}$ with endpoints in L_0 , each transverse to L_0 ; an oriented link is such a link with an orientation on each component. Two oriented links are isotopic with free endpoints in L_0 if they are connected by a smooth 1-parameter family of oriented links as above. It is standard that if dim $L \geq 3$, any two oriented links in C(L) are isotopic with free endpoints in L_0 ; this depends only on dimension of L, not on $\pi_1(L)$.

Now strengthen this as follows. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_k \subset L_0$ be pairwise disjoint closed 3-discs. Each cone $C(D_i)$ embeds in C(L), as does the wedge

$$C(D_1) \vee \cdots \vee C(D_k) \subset C(L)$$
,

which is a strong deformation retract of C(L). Let $Z = \gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_k \subset C(L)$ be a link. We may isotope Z so that there are disjoint $D_i \subset L_0$ such that $\gamma_i \subset C(D_i)$. Then C(L) - Z deformation retracts onto the wedge

$$(C(D_1) - \gamma_1) \lor \cdots \lor (C(D_k) - \gamma_k).$$

Each $C(D_i)$ is a pointed 4-ball; therefore, $C(D_i) - \gamma_i$ retracts onto a pointed 2-sphere. Hence, C(L) - Z deformation retracts (relative to p_*) onto a wedge of k 2-spheres. Again, only the dimension of L is used.

Let us apply this with $L = \Sigma = \partial B$, so that C(L) is B, and p_* is the origin of B. Let ω and ω' be near-symplectic forms on B with zero sets

$$Z = \omega^{-1}(0), \quad Z' = {\omega'}^{-1}(0).$$

Both Z and Z' are oriented links in B and have the same number of components. By the above link isotopy statement, there is an isotopy of Z' to Z. Thus, we may assume that Z' = Z and the canonical orientations on Z induced by ω and ω' coincide.

Set:

$$Z \cap \Sigma = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}, \quad \Sigma = \partial B.$$

Let V and V' be Liouville vector fields for ω and ω' , defined in tubular neighbourhoods of Σ and transverse to Σ . Set:

$$\lambda = \iota_V \omega, \quad \lambda' = \iota_{V'} \omega'.$$

Since $\omega \wedge \omega$ and $\omega' \wedge \omega'$ are cooriented in B, the 3-forms $\lambda \wedge d\lambda$ and $\lambda' \wedge d\lambda'$ are cooriented on Σ . We shall show that λ and λ' are homotopic as non-vanishing 1-forms on $\Sigma - \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$. By Lemma 2, this yields a homotopy through near-contact forms.

In fact, we construct a homotopy between ω and ω' through nondegenerate 2-forms on B-Z; this induces the desired homotopy between λ and λ' .

Consider the bundle $\mathbb{N} \to B$ of nondegenerate 2-forms on B. It has two connected components, distinguished by the orientation induced on B. Let $\mathbb{N}^+ \subset \mathbb{N}$ be the connected component of those forms which induce the same orientation as ω . Since ω and ω' are nondegenerate and compatible with the orientation, they define sections $\omega, \omega' \colon B - Z \to \mathbb{N}^+|_{B-Z}$.

B-Z deformation retracts onto a wedge of pieces $C(D_i)-\gamma_i$, and each $C(D_i)-\gamma_i$ retracts onto a small 2-sphere S_i linking γ_i . Thus, it suffices to show that ω and ω' are homotopic as sections of $\mathbb{N}^+ \to S_i$ for each S_i .

Fix i. Let U_i be an arbitrary small neighbourhood of an interior point of γ_i . By a small C^1 perturbation, arrange that ω and ω' coincide with their linear parts in U_i . Deform S_i so that $S_i \subset U_i$. Since ω and ω' induce the same orientation on γ_i , it follows from Lemma 11 that there is a near-symplectic deformation of ω to ω' on $U_i - \gamma_i$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3 and Lemma 10 allow us to introduce the following near-symplectic surgery. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be the unit ball with boundary $\Sigma = \partial B$. Let ω, ω' be near-symplectic forms defined in a neighbourhood of B such that ω and ω' induce the same orientation on B. Suppose that Σ is both ω - and ω' -convex, and that both $Z = \omega^{-1}(0)$ and $Z' = \omega'^{-1}(0)$ are unions of arcs and have the same number of connected components. Then there exist a smaller ball $B_0 \subset B$ and a near-symplectic form $\hat{\omega}$ defined in a neighbourhood of B such that $\hat{\omega} = \omega$ near ∂B and such that $(B_0, \hat{\omega})$ is diffeomorphic to $(B, c\omega')$ for some constant c > 0.

Moreover, suppose that the antipodal \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on B preserves ω and ω' , and there exist \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant ω - and ω' -Liouville vector fields near Σ making Σ ω - and ω' -convex. Then $\hat{\omega}$ can be chosen \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant.

7. Proof of Proposition 1. It suffices to treat the case n=1; the general case is no harder. Let (M,g) be an oriented Riemannian 4-orbifold with a double point p. Choose a neighbourhood V of p equipped with an isometry $V \simeq (B/\{\pm 1\}, g_0)$, where $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is a ball centered at the origin and g_0 is the flat metric. Let ψ be a closed SD form on M. We may assume $\psi(p) \neq 0$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. By Darboux' theorem, (V, ψ) is diffeomorphic to the quotient $(B, \omega_0)/\{\pm 1\}$, where ω_0 is the standard symplectic form on B.

Proposition 1 follows from the following stronger statement.

Proposition 4. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be the unit ball centered at the origin, and let g_0 be the flat metric. Then there exists a near-symplectic form ω in a neighbourhood of B such that ω vanishes at $0 \in B^4$, ω is invariant under the antipodal map, ω coincides with the standard symplectic form in a neighbourhood of ∂B , and ω is g_0 -self-dual in a neighbourhood of the origin.

If this is the case, replace ψ on V by such an ω . Then the theorem of Auroux-Donaldson-Katzarkov applies to keep ψ self-dual for some metric that agrees with g_0 near p. The rest of this section is the proof of Proposition 4.

Lemma 12. Let $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3) : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined as:

$$f_1(x) = 2x_1x_3 - 2x_2x_4 - x_3x_4,$$

$$f_2(x) = -x_1(4x_2 + x_3), H(x_1, x_4) = 3x_1^2 - x_1x_4 - x_4^2.$$

$$f_3(x) = x_2^2 + x_3^2 - H(x_1, x_4),$$

Then for each $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$,

$$F^{-1}(0,0,-\varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_2 = x_3 = 0, \ H(x_1,x_4) = \varepsilon\}.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, dF has full rank on $F^{-1}(0,0,-\varepsilon)$, and for $\varepsilon = 0$, it has full rank on $F^{-1}(0,0,0) - \{0\}$.

Set:

$$\omega = f_1 \omega_1 + f_2 \omega_2 + f_3 \omega_3, \tag{7.1}$$

where

$$\omega_1 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + dx_3 \wedge dx_4, \quad \omega_2 = dx_1 \wedge dx_3 - dx_2 \wedge dx_4, \quad \omega_3 = dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + dx_2 \wedge dx_3.$$

Then ω is closed and self-dual with respect to the standard flat metric and orientation. In particular, ω is near-symplectic on $\mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\}$, and $\omega + \varepsilon \omega_3$ is near-symplectic on \mathbb{R}^4 for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. All statements follow by a direct computation.

Lemma 13. Let ω be as in (7.1). Then there exists a small, antipodal-invariant perturbation ω_{ε} of ω such that $\omega_{\varepsilon} = \omega$ outside a neighbourhood of the origin, ω_{ε} is near-symplectic on all of \mathbb{R}^4 , and its zero set $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(0)$ has exactly two non-compact connected components.

Proof. Let

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i \partial_{x_i}$$

be the Euler field. Let ω_3 be as in (7.1). Set:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \iota_E \omega, \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2} \iota_E \omega_3,$$

so that $d\lambda = \omega$ and $d\mu = \omega_3$.

Let $\rho: \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a radial cut-off function such that $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$, $\rho = 1$ on a ball U about the origin, and $\rho = 0$ outside a slightly larger ball. Set:

$$\omega_{\varepsilon} = d(\lambda + \varepsilon \rho \mu).$$

Since λ, μ, ρ are invariant under $x \mapsto -x$, so is ω_{ε} . On the region $\rho = 1$ (in particular, on U),

$$\omega_{\varepsilon} = \omega + \varepsilon \omega_3$$

which is near-symplectic for all $\varepsilon > 0$. On $\rho = 0$, $\omega_{\varepsilon} = \omega$, which is also near-symplectic. For all small ε , ω_{ε} remains near-symplectic on the support of $d\rho$. Hence, ω_{ε} is near-symplectic on all of \mathbb{R}^4 .

 $\omega^{-1}(0)$ is a union of two lines through the origin, and the zero set of $\omega + \varepsilon \omega_3$ is a small smoothing of this cross into two branches of the hyperbola $H(x_1, x_4) = \varepsilon$. Hence, for all small $\varepsilon > 0$, $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(0) \cap U$ consists exactly of 2 arcs, each with two ends on ∂U . Let K be a closed neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^4 - U$, strictly larger than $\mathbb{R}^4 - U$. Then $\omega_{\varepsilon} - \omega$ has compact support in K and is C^1 -small. Hence, for all small $\varepsilon > 0$, $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(0) \cap (\mathbb{R}^4 - U)$ consists exactly of 4 rays. These rays attach to the two arcs to produce two connected components. This completes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 4. Consider \mathbb{R}^4 with the standard symplectic form $\omega_{\rm st}$ and the unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Let us construct the desired near-symplectic form by a sequence of modifications of $\omega_{\rm st}$. The first step uses the following result of Taubes. See [20].

Theorem ([20]). There exists a near-symplectic form ω on \mathbb{R}^4 such that its zero set $\omega^{-1}(0)$ is a circle near the origin and ω coincides with a standard symplectic form outside a neighbourhood of the origin.

Let us apply Taubes' construction away from the origin. Pick a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\}$ and a small neighbourhood $U \ni x$. Taubes' argument allows us to modify ω_{st} inside U to create a single zero circle in U. To keep antipodal invariance, perform the same modification near -x. Denote the resulting form by ω .

 $Z=\omega^{-1}(0)$ is a circle $C\subset U$ and its antipodal image. Pick a point $p\in C$. Perform a small C^1 -perturbation of ω near p so that ω coincides with its linear part there. This immediately yields a ball neighbourhood $D\ni p$ whose boundary $\Sigma=\partial D$ is ω -convex. To keep antipodal invariance, perform the same perturbation near -p.

Choose a ball neighbourhood D_0 of the origin $0 \in B$ with ω -convex boundary $\Sigma_0 = \partial D_0$. Pick a point $a \in \Sigma_0$ and a point $b \in \Sigma$ with $\omega_b \neq 0$. Join a to b by a path δ . To keep antipodal invariance, also introduce the antipodal points -a, -b and path $-\delta$.

Form the ω -convex connected sum of $D, D_0, (-D)$ along paths δ and $-\delta$. That is, choose an antipodal-invariant, ω -convex neighbourhood

$$X \supset D \cup D_0 \cup (-D)$$
.

 (X,ω) is a near-symplectic 4-ball with convex boundary embedded in (B,ω) , and the embedding is antipodal-invariant. $\omega^{-1}(0) \cap X$ consists of 2 arcs and no circular components.

Since X is a ball, it admits a near-symplectic form ω_{ε} as in Lemma 13. By construction, ∂X is ω_{ε} -convex. Both $\omega|_X$ and ω_{ε} are antipodal-invariant and may be assumed cooriented. Then, as explained at the end of § 6, one can modify ω only near $X \subset B$ so that

$$\omega = c\omega_{\varepsilon}$$
 on X

for some constant c > 0, and ω remains antipodal-invariant.

Finally, as in Lemma 13, deform $c\omega_{\varepsilon}$ to a homogeneous SD form (with respect to a flat metric) that vanishes at the fixed point of the antipodal map. The resulting form ω on B satisfies all properties required in Proposition 4, and the proof follows.

References.

- [1] M. Atiyah, V. Patodi, and I. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and riemannian geometry. I. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 77(1):43–69, 1975.
- [2] S. Auroux, D.; Donaldson and L. Katzarkov. Singular lefschetz pencils. Geom. Topol., 9:1043–1114, 2005.
- [3] Y. Eliashberg. Classification of overtwisted contact structures on 3-manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 98(3):623–637, 1989.
- [4] G. Folland. Harmonic analysis of the de Rham complex on the sphere. Crelle's Journal, 1989(398):130–143, 1989.
- [5] D. Gay and R. Kirby. Constructing symplectic forms on 4-manifolds which vanish on circles. *Geom. Topol.*, 8(2):743–777, 2004.
- [6] P. Griffiths, and J. Harris, 'Principles of algebraic geometry. Wiley classics library. Wiley, New York, NY, 1994.
- [7] K. Honda. Transversality theorems for harmonic forms. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 34(2), 2004.
- [8] C. LeBrun. Yamabe constants and the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations. Comm. Anal. Geom., 5(3):535–553, 1997.
- [9] C. LeBrun. Einstein metrics, four-manifolds, and differential topology. Surveys in Differential Geometry, 8(1):235–255, 2003.
- [10] Y. Lekili. Wrinkled fibrations on near-symplectic manifolds. Geom. Topol., 13(1):277–318, 2009.
- [11] L. Nicolaescu. On the Cappell–Lee–Miller gluing theorem. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 206(1):159–185, 2002.
- [12] T. Perutz. Zero-sets of near-sympletic forms. J. Symp. Geom., 4(3):237–257, 2006.
- [13] C. Scaduto. Metric stretching and the period map for smooth 4-manifolds, 2023. preprint.
- [14] V. Shevchishin and G. Smirnov. Anti-self-dual blowups. To appear in Math. Res. Lett.
- [15] Y.-T. Siu. A simple proof of the surjectivity of the period map of K3 surfaces. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 35(3):311–321, 1981.
- [16] C. Taubes. Self-dual Yang-Mills connections on non-self-dual 4-manifolds. J. Diff. Geom., 17(1), 1982.

- [17] C. Taubes. Self-dual connections on 4-manifolds with indefinite intersection matrix. J. Diff. Geom., 19(2), 1984.
- [18] C. Taubes. Gauge theory on asymptotically periodic 4-manifolds. J. Diff. Geom., 25(3), 1987.
- [19] C. Taubes. Seiberg-Witten invariants and pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for self-dual, harmonic 2–forms. *Geom. Topol.*, 3(1):167–210, 1999.
- [20] C. Taubes. A proof of a theorem of Luttinger and Simpson about the number of vanishing circles of a near-symplectic form on a 4-dimensional manifold. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(4):557–570, 2006.
- [21] A. Todorov. Applications of the Kahler-Einstein-Calabi-Yau metric to moduli of K3 surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 61:251–266, 1980.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury, ul. Słoneczna 54, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland

Email address: vsevolod@matman.uwm.edu.pl, shevchishin@gmail.com

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES INSTITUTE, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA *Email address*: gleb.smirnov@anu.edu.au