

LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES ON INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL REDUCED HEISENBERG GROUPS

MARIA GORDINA[†] AND LIANGBING LUO

ABSTRACT. We construct a family of infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups which can be viewed as infinite-dimensional homogeneous spaces. Such a space is an analogue of finite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups in infinite dimensions. We study properties of the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure on this space, including hypoelliptic logarithmic Sobolev inequalities there.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities under quasi-homeomorphisms	3
3. Infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups	5
3.1. Abstract Wiener spaces	5
3.2. Reduced Heisenberg groups	6
3.3. Examples	9
4. Properties of infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups	10
4.1. Finite-dimensional projection groups	10
4.2. Left-invariant vector fields	11
4.3. Distance on the Cameron-Martin subgroup	12
4.4. Sub-Laplacian and horizontal gradient	13
4.5. Hypoelliptic Brownian motion and hypoelliptic heat kernel measure	15
4.6. Dirichlet forms	17
5. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups	20
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

The logarithmic Sobolev inequality has been first introduced and studied by L. Gross in [21] on a Euclidean space with respect to the Gaussian measure. This inequality is fundamental to analysis on infinite-dimensional

[†] Research was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-2246549.

spaces, as the logarithmic Sobolev constant (LSI constant) has been proven to be dimension-free thus allowing it to be used in infinite dimensions. Ever since then, it found many applications in both finite and infinite dimensional settings.

In the setting of finite-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, the work by Bakry-Émery-Ledoux et al shows that the logarithmic Sobolev constant for the heat kernel measure only depends on the Ricci lower bound while it is independent of the dimension. More generally, one can prove such inequalities for certain class of uniformly elliptic operators. However, in infinite-dimensional settings such an approach is not easily available as many of these techniques are inherently finite-dimensional. While some functional inequalities can be proven on direct and inductive limits spaces as in [10], giving a unified approach to proving logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on non-linear infinite-dimensional spaces seems to be elusive. In addition to lacking PDEs' techniques, one has to choose a reference measure in the absence of the Lebesgue measure, and address subtle topological issues. There have been a number of results on some such spaces including path spaces, e. g. [6, 9, 13, 18, 21–23, 26, 29, 31].

In the present paper, we construct a family of infinite-dimensional *reduced* Heisenberg groups modelled on an abstract Wiener space. This group can be seen as an infinite-dimensional analogue of the classical finite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg considered in [30, Section 3]. The construction of such a group is closely related to the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg group first introduced in [9]. As there is no natural Haar measure in such a setting, our analysis is done with respect to the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure.

More precisely, the Lie algebra of the infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group satisfies an a Lie bracket-generating (Hörmander's) condition. This induces a *sub-Laplacian* on such a space, which can be seen as an analogue of the sub-Laplacian operator in finite-dimensional sub-Riemannian geometry. While hypoellipticity in infinite dimensions cannot be defined using the original Hörmander's approach in [25], this is another infinite-dimensional setting where the corresponding differential operator should be viewed as hypoelliptic. Our main goal is to study the logarithmic Sobolev inequality with respect to the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure corresponding to this operator.

In this paper, our approach to study logarithmic Sobolev inequalities is quite different from those in the existing mathematical literature on infinite-dimensional spaces, such as finite-dimensional approximations and tensorization in [9, 18, 29]. Our method relies on a group action on the underlying space inducing a map between Dirichlet spaces. This is the first time that such a method has been applied in infinite-dimensional settings to study the logarithmic Sobolev inequality.

The motivation for our approach comes from L. Gross' work in [23] for finite-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, where (elliptic) logarithmic

Sobolev inequalities on quotient spaces have been studied. Later, this approach in the finite-dimensional setting has been explored more for the heat kernel analysis on homogeneous spaces. For example, [12] used it to prove that the Taylor map on complex manifolds is unitary, and [19] first applied it to the sub-Riemannian setting to show logarithmic Sobolev constants are dimension-independent on homogeneous spaces. While the Taylor map on infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups have been studied in [11, 16], logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for hypoelliptic heat kernel measures have not been considered there. We start by showing that logarithmic Sobolev inequalities are invariant under quasi-homeomorphisms between quasi-regular Dirichlet spaces. We hope that such a method can be applied to more infinite-dimensional settings or to prove other properties such as hypercontractivity and different functional inequalities, but in the paper we focus on the study of a logarithmic Sobolev inequality on one concrete class of infinite-dimensional spaces here.

The key idea is that the group action we consider preserves cylinder function spaces, sub-Laplacians and horizontal gradients, and thus give us an example of such a quasi-homeomorphism. The hypoelliptic heat kernel measure on the quotient space is the pushforward under the quotient map of the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure on the Heisenberg group. Finally, we show that the LSI constant does not increase under such a map.

Our paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2 we prove a general result on logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on quasi-homeomorphic quasi-regular Dirichlet forms. In Section 3 we construct the infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group. Next in Section 4, we explore its basic properties, including those of the corresponding sub-Laplacian, heat kernel measure, Dirichlet form. Finally, in Section 5, we deduce a logarithmic Sobolev inequality with respect to the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure and we discuss the LSI constant.

2. LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES UNDER QUASI-HOMEOMORPHISMS

We start by formulating a general result about logarithmic Sobolev inequalities under quasi-homeomorphisms between quasi-regular Dirichlet spaces. For simplicity, we only formulate a theorem and give a proof in such a setting here. For more details related to quasi-regular Dirichlet spaces, we refer to [7, 14].

Let $(E, \mu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ be a quasi-regular Dirichlet space, where E is a Hausdorff topological space with a countable base, μ is a σ -finite positive Borel measure on E and \mathcal{E} a quasi-regular Dirichlet form with its domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq L^2(E, d\mu)$.

Notation 2.1. *The \mathcal{E} -norm is given by*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 := \|f\|_{L^2(E, d\mu)}^2 + \mathcal{E}(f, f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$. Given a closed subset $F \subseteq E$, we denote

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})_F = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) : f = 0 \mu - a.e. \text{ on } F^c\}.$$

By the definition of quasi-regular Dirichlet forms, there exists an \mathcal{E} -nest, that is, there exists an increasing sequence $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of closed subsets of E such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})_{F_k}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ -norm.

Definition 2.2. A quasi-regular Dirichlet space $(E_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1))$ is *quasi-homeomorphic* to another quasi-regular Dirichlet space $(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2))$ if there exists a map $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

- (1) the pushforward of μ_1 to E_2 is μ_2 , that is, $\mu_2 = \varphi_\# \mu_1$,
- (2) for each k , the restriction of map φ to F_1^k is a homeomorphism from F_1^k onto F_2^k ,
- (3) for any $f, h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2)$, we have $f \circ \varphi, h \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(f, h) = \mathcal{E}_1(f \circ \varphi, h \circ \varphi)$.

Such a map φ is said to be a *quasi-homeomorphism* from $(E_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1))$ to $(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2))$.

Notation 2.3. We say that a quasi-regular Dirichlet space $(E, \mu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ satisfies a logarithmic Sobolev inequality with the constant $C(E, \mu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ if

$$(2.1) \quad \int_E f^2 \log f^2 d\mu - \left(\int_E f^2 d\mu \right) \log \left(\int_E f^2 d\mu \right) \leq C(E, \mu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})) \mathcal{E}(f, f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$. In such a case we also say that $LSI_C(E, \mu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ holds.

Theorem 2.4. Given two quasi-regular Dirichlet spaces $(E_i, \mu_i, \mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_i))$, suppose $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a quasi-homeomorphism between these two Dirichlet spaces. If $LSI_C(E_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1))$ holds on E_1 , then $LSI_C(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2))$ holds on E_2 . Moreover, the constant $C(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2))$ can be chosen to be

$$(2.2) \quad C(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2)) = C(E_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1)).$$

Proof. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2)$, we have $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1)$. Using the change of variables $\varphi(x_1) \mapsto x_2 \in E_2$ in

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_1} (f \circ \varphi)^2 \log(f \circ \varphi)^2 d\mu_1(x_1) \\ & - \left(\int_{E_1} (f \circ \varphi)^2 d\mu_1(x_1) \right) \log \left(\int_{E_1} (f \circ \varphi)^2 d\mu_1(x_1) \right) \\ & \leq C(E_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1)) \mathcal{E}_1(f \circ \varphi, f \circ \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_2} f^2 \log f^2 d\mu_2(x_2) - \left(\int_{E_2} f^2 d\mu_2(x_2) \right) \log \left(\int_{E_2} f^2 d\mu_2(x_2) \right) \\ & \leq C(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2)) \mathcal{E}_2(f, f), \end{aligned}$$

and we can choose $C(E_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_2)) = C(E_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_1))$. \square

Remark 2.5. *We see that an LSI constant can be chosen to be the same for quasi-homeomorphic Dirichlet spaces. This gives a way to control the LSI constant under quasi-homeomorphisms.*

Remark 2.6. *In Section 3 and 4, we show that the group action of the normal subgroup Γ on an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg group G induces a quasi-homeomorphisms between the Dirichlet spaces on G and the corresponding reduced Heisenberg group.*

3. INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL REDUCED HEISENBERG GROUPS

We start by constructing a family of infinite-dimensional *reduced* Heisenberg groups, which are infinite-dimensional Lie groups modelled on an abstract Wiener space. Our construction is closely related to the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg group first introduced in [9]. In this section, we first describe our construction and then provide some examples.

3.1. Abstract Wiener spaces. The concept of an abstract Wiener space goes back to L. Gross in [20] which allowed us to understand the structure of Gaussian measures on infinite-dimensional spaces. To keep the exposition self-contained, we start by summarizing well-known properties of Gaussian measures and abstract Wiener spaces needed later. These results as well as more details on abstract Wiener spaces may be found in [5, 27].

Suppose that W is a real separable Banach space and \mathcal{B}_W is the Borel σ -algebra on W .

Definition 3.1. A measure μ on (W, \mathcal{B}_W) is called a (mean zero, non-degenerate) *Gaussian measure* provided that its characteristic functional is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{\mu}(u) := \int_W e^{iu(x)} d\mu(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}q(u,u)}, \quad \text{for all } u \in W^*,$$

for $q = q_\mu : W^* \times W^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a symmetric, positive definite quadratic form. That is, q is a real inner product on W^* .

A proof of the following standard theorem may be found for example in [9, Appendix A] and [3, Lemma 3.2].

Theorem 3.2. *Let μ be a Gaussian measure on a real separable Banach space W . For $p \in [1, \infty)$, let*

$$(3.2) \quad C_p := \int_W \|w\|_W^p d\mu(w).$$

For $w \in W$, let

$$\|w\|_H := \sup_{u \in W^* \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|u(w)|}{\sqrt{q(u, u)}}$$

and define the Cameron-Martin subspace $H \subset W$ by

$$H := \{h \in W : \|h\|_H < \infty\}.$$

Then

- (1) For all $p \in [1, \infty)$, $C_p < \infty$.
- (2) H is a dense subspace of W .
- (3) There exists a unique inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ on H such that $\|h\|_H^2 = \langle h, h \rangle_H$ for all $h \in H$, and H is a separable Hilbert space with respect to this inner product.
- (4) For any $h \in H$, $\|h\|_W \leq \sqrt{C_2} \|h\|_H$.
- (5) If $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis for H , then for any $u, v \in H^*$

$$q(u, v) = \langle u, v \rangle_{H^*} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u(e_j) v(e_j).$$

- (6) If $u, v \in W^*$, then

$$\int_W u(w) v(w) d\mu(w) = q(u, v).$$

3.2. Reduced Heisenberg groups. We construct a family of infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups and their Cameron-Martin subgroups.

We first revisit the definition of the infinite-dimensional Heisenberg-like groups. Here we only consider the case when the center is one-dimensional. For more details and explanation, we refer to [3, 8, 9, 16–18] etc.

Suppose $\omega : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear (symplectic) form on W and $\text{Span}\{\omega(u, v) : u, v \in H\} = \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.3. An *infinite-dimensional Heisenberg-like group* G is the set $W \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the group law given by

$$(3.3) \quad (w_1, c_1) \cdot (w_2, c_2) = \left(w_1 + w_2, c_1 + c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega(w_1, w_2) \right).$$

for any $g_i = (w_i, c_i) \in W \times \mathbb{R}$. The *Cameron-Martin subgroup* G_{CM} is the subset $H \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the same group law as (3.3) restricted to $H \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.4. The group G is modelled on a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$|(w, c)|_G := \sqrt{\|w\|_W^2 + |c|^2}.$$

The Cameron-Martin subgroup G_{CM} is modelled on a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle (A, a), (A', a') \rangle_{G_{CM}} := \langle A, A' \rangle_H + aa'$$

and the associated Hilbertian norm is given by

$$|(A, a)|_{G_{CM}} := \sqrt{\|A\|_H^2 + |a|^2}.$$

In this case, both G and G_{CM} are infinite-dimensional Lie groups, with the same identity element being $e = (0, 0)$.

Next we construct infinite-dimensional *reduced* Heisenberg groups and corresponding Cameron-Martin subgroups. Consider the central subgroup Γ of G given by

$$\Gamma := \{(\mathbf{0}, 2k\pi) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Definition 3.5. We define the *infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group* \tilde{G} as the quotient space $G \setminus \Gamma$. We define the *Cameron-Martin subgroup* \tilde{G}_{CM} of \tilde{G} as the quotient space $G_{CM} \setminus \Gamma$.

Remark 3.6. Note that both \tilde{G} and \tilde{G}_{CM} are indeed topological groups, as Γ is a normal subgroup of both G and G_{CM} .

For $g_i = (w_i, c_i)$, the group operation is still given by

$$(w_1, c_1) \cdot (w_2, c_2) = \left(w_1 + w_2, c_1 + c_2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega(w_1, w_2) \right).$$

where $c_1 + c_2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega(w_1, w_2)$ is taken modulo 2π .

The center of the reduced Heisenberg group is then compact and can be identified with the one-dimensional torus $[0, 2\pi) \cong \mathbb{T}^1$. In this way, we can have the identification $\tilde{G} \cong W \times \mathbb{T}^1$ and $\tilde{G}_{CM} \cong H \times \mathbb{T}^1$. If we use the angle coordinate on \mathbb{T}^1 , an equivalent definition of the infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group \tilde{G} can be given as the topological space $W \times \mathbb{T}^1$ equipped with the group law given by

$$(3.4) \quad (w_1, e^{c_1 i}) \cdot (w_2, e^{c_2 i}) = \left(w_1 + w_2, e^{(c_1 + c_2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega(w_1, w_2))i} \right).$$

for any $(w_1, e^{c_1 i}), (w_2, e^{c_2 i}) \in \tilde{G}$ with $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly the *Cameron-Martin subgroup* \tilde{G}_{CM} is the subset $H \times \mathbb{T}^1$ equipped with the same group law as (3.4) restricted to $H \times \mathbb{T}^1$.

Remark 3.7. We see that \tilde{G} is a smooth Banach manifold while \tilde{G}_{CM} is a smooth Hilbert manifold.

Next, we discuss the correspondence between these infinite-dimensional Lie groups and Lie algebras. Note that the tangent space $T_{\tilde{e}}\tilde{G}$ at $\tilde{e} \in \tilde{G}$ can be identified with the space $W \times \mathbb{R}$. It is a Lie algebra (denoted by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong T_{\tilde{e}}\tilde{G}$) corresponding to G when equipped with the Lie bracket given by

$$(3.5) \quad [(\mathbf{a}_1, c_1), (\mathbf{a}_2, c_2)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = (0, \omega(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)).$$

Also, the tangent space $T_{\tilde{e}}\tilde{G}_{CM}$ at $\tilde{e} \in \tilde{G}_{CM}$ can be identified with the space $H \times \mathbb{R}$. It is a Lie algebra (denoted by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}$) corresponding to \tilde{G}_{CM} when

equipped with the same Lie bracket as (3.5) restricted to $H \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, note that the surjectivity of ω implies

$$[W \times \{0\}, W \times \{0\}]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

As $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong W \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM} \cong H \times \mathbb{R}$, we see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$|(w, c)|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} := \sqrt{\|w\|_W^2 + |c|^2}.$$

Analogously, we see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}$ is both a Banach space with the norm

$$|(A, a)|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}} := \sqrt{\|A\|_H^2 + |a|^2}$$

and a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle (A, a), (A', a') \rangle_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}} := \langle A, A' \rangle_H + aa'.$$

Remark 3.8. *In the Banach space topology induced by $|\cdot|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$, the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}$ is dense in the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Furthermore, in the canonical manifold topology (which is equivalent to the product topology on $\tilde{G} \cong W \times \mathbb{T}^1$), the Cameron-Martin subgroup \tilde{G}_{CM} is dense in \tilde{G} .*

Now we can introduce the associated natural quotient maps.

Notation 3.9. *We denote by $\varphi : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ and $\varphi_{CM} : G_{CM} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{CM}$ the quotient maps.*

Both quotient maps φ and φ_{CM} are also group homomorphisms. Under identification $\tilde{G} \cong W \times \mathbb{T}^1$ and $\tilde{G}_{CM} \cong H \times \mathbb{T}^1$, explicit formulae for φ and φ_{CM} are given by

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi(w, c) = (w, e^{ci})$$

for any $(w, c) \in G$ and

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_{CM}(w, c) = (w, e^{ci})$$

for any $(w, c) \in G_{CM}$.

Remark 3.10. *Both quotient maps $\varphi : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ and $\varphi_{CM} : G_{CM} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{CM}$ are smooth.*

Now we study differentials of these quotient maps. Recall that the tangent spaces $T_e G$ and $T_{\tilde{e}} \tilde{G}$ identified with the space $W \times \mathbb{R}$ while the tangent spaces $T_e G$ and $T_{\tilde{e}} \tilde{G}_{CM}$ can be identified with the space $H \times \mathbb{R}$.

At the identity e , the differential $d\varphi_e : T_e G \cong W \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_{\tilde{e}} \tilde{G} \cong W \times \mathbb{R}$ of φ is indeed the identity map, that is, $d\varphi_e = Id$ on $W \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, at the identity e , $d\varphi_e|_H : H \rightarrow H$ is an isometry on H .

Similarly, at the identity \tilde{e} , the differential $d(\varphi_{CM})_{\tilde{e}} : T_{\tilde{e}} \tilde{G}_{CM} \cong H \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_{\tilde{e}} \tilde{G}_{CM} \cong H \times \mathbb{R}$ of φ_{CM} is the identity map, that is, $d\varphi_{\tilde{e}} = Id$ on $H \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, at the identity \tilde{e} , $d(\varphi_{CM})_{\tilde{e}}|_H : H \rightarrow H$ is an isometry on H as well.

Remark 3.11. *In our setting, G and \tilde{G} , as well as G_{CM} and \tilde{G}_{CM} , correspond to the same Lie algebra. Note that in general we do not have Lie's third theorem in infinite dimensions, therefore we cannot use topological constraints such as being simply connected to have a unique Lie group for a given Lie algebra.*

Recall that the tangent space $T_e G \cong W \times \mathbb{R}$ is a Lie algebra, denoted by \mathfrak{g} , corresponding to G equipped with the Lie bracket given by

$$(3.8) \quad [(X_1, V_1), (X_2, V_2)]_{\mathfrak{g}} := (0, \omega(X_1, X_2))$$

for any $(X_j, V_j) \in \mathfrak{g} \cong W \times \mathbb{R}$. Also, the tangent space is $T_e G_{CM}$ a Lie algebra, denoted by \mathfrak{g}_{CM} , corresponding to G_{CM} can be identified with the space $H \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the same Lie bracket as (3.8) restricted to $H \times \mathbb{R}$. As we can see, both $d\varphi_e : \mathfrak{g} \cong T_e G \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong T_e \tilde{G}$ and $d(\varphi_{CM})_e : \mathfrak{g}_{CM} \cong T_e G_{CM} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM} \cong T_e \tilde{G}_{CM}$ are Lie algebra isomorphisms, which implies our statement.

We give an explicit formula for the exponential map on \tilde{G} .

Lemma 3.12. *The exponential map $\exp_{\tilde{G}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \tilde{G}$ is given by*

$$(3.9) \quad \exp_{\tilde{G}}(A, a) = (A, e^{ai})$$

for any $(A, a) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, which is a local diffeomorphism.

Proof. From [9, Lemma 3.8], the exponential map $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow G$ is given by

$$(3.10) \quad \exp_G(A, a) = (A, a)$$

for any $(A, a) \in \mathfrak{g}$, which is a global diffeomorphism. Now, for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we know that $\varphi(\exp_G(X)) = \exp_{\tilde{G}}(d\varphi_e(X))$, as φ is a Lie group homomorphism. Since $d\varphi_e = Id$ on $W \times \mathbb{R}$, so is $(d\varphi_e)^{-1}$. In this way, for any $(A, a) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_{\tilde{G}}(A, a) &= \varphi(\exp_G((d\varphi_e)^{-1}(A, a))) \\ &= \varphi(\exp_G((A, a))) \\ &= \varphi(A, a) = (A, e^{ai}) \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from (3.10). Such an explicit formula shows that the exponential map is a local diffeomorphism. \square

3.3. Examples. We list some basic examples for the construction of these infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups.

Example 3.13 (Finite-dimensional groups). *Let $W = H \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Suppose ω is a symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then $G = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the group operation defined by (3.3) is a non-isotropic Heisenberg group (see [18] for more details) and $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{T}^1$ equipped with a group operation as defined by (3.4) is a finite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group.*

Example 3.14 (Infinite-dimensional groups of a symplectic vector space). Let $(K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space and Q be a strictly positive trace class operator on K . For $h, k \in K$, let $\langle h, k \rangle_Q := \langle h, Qk \rangle$ and $\|h\|_Q := \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_Q}$, and let $(K_Q, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q)$ denote the Hilbert space completion of $(K, \|\cdot\|_Q)$. Then $W = (K_Q)_{\text{Re}}$ and $H = K_{\text{Re}}$ determines an abstract Wiener space (see, for example, of [27, Exercise 17 on p.59]). Let

$$\omega(w, z) := \text{Im} \langle w, z \rangle_Q,$$

Then $G = (K_Q)_{\text{Re}} \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with a group operation as defined by (3.3) is an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg-like group and $\tilde{G} = (K_Q)_{\text{Re}} \times \mathbb{T}^1$ equipped with a group operation as defined by (3.4) is an infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group.

4. PROPERTIES OF INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL REDUCED HEISENBERG GROUPS

In this section we study geometric and analytic properties of infinite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg groups, which are crucial to the logarithmic Sobolev inequalities we prove later.

4.1. Finite-dimensional projection groups. Given an abstract Wiener space (W, H, μ) , let $i : H \rightarrow W$ be the inclusion map, and $i^* : W^* \rightarrow H^*$ be its transpose so that $i^* \ell := \ell \circ i$ for all $\ell \in W^*$. We take

$$H_* := \{h \in H : \langle \cdot, h \rangle_H \in \text{Range}(i^*) \subset H^*\},$$

which is a dense subspace of H .

Suppose that $P : H \rightarrow H$ is a finite rank orthogonal projection such that $PH \subset H_*$. We may extend P to a (unique) continuous operator from $W \rightarrow H$ and we still denote the extension by P . We refer to [9, Section 3.4] for more details of the construction of P .

Let $\text{Proj}(W)$ denote the collection of finite rank projections on W such that

- (1) $PW \subset H_*$,
- (2) $P|_H : H \rightarrow H$ is an orthogonal projection, and
- (3) PW is sufficiently large to satisfy Hörmander's condition (that is, $\{\omega(A, B) : A, B \in PW\} = \mathbb{R}$).

Notation 4.1. For each $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$, we take $\Gamma_P = \{(\mathbf{0}, 2k\pi) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq G_P$. We define $G_P := PW \times \mathbb{R} \subset H_* \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{G}_P := G_P \setminus \Gamma_P$.

Note that for each $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$, G_P is a subgroup of G . By the second isomorphism theorem, $\tilde{G}_P = G_P \setminus \Gamma_P = G_P \setminus (\Gamma \cap G_P)$ is a subgroup of $\tilde{G} = G \setminus \Gamma$. Here \tilde{G}_P can be identified as $\tilde{G}_P \cong PW \times \mathbb{T}^1$.

Notation 4.2. For each $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$, we let $\mathfrak{g}_P = \text{Lie}(G_P) = PW \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_P = \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_P) = PW \times \mathbb{R}$.

As we can see, when restricted to the finite-dimensional setting, G_P and \tilde{G}_P have the same Lie algebra.

Notation 4.3. For each $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$, we denote the corresponding projections by $\pi_P : G \rightarrow G_P$

$$\pi_P(w, x) := (Pw, x).$$

for any $w \in W$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$, and $\tilde{\pi}_P : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_P$

$$\tilde{\pi}_P(w, s) := (Pw, s)$$

for any $w \in W$ and any $s \in \mathbb{T}^1$.

From [18, p.22-23], G_P is (isomorphic to) a non-isotropic Heisenberg group. Then we see that \tilde{G}_P is a finite-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group and we also have the finite-dimensional quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_P : G_P &\longrightarrow \tilde{G}_P \\ (\mathbf{v}, c) &\mapsto (\mathbf{v}, e^{2\pi i c}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathbf{v} \in PW$ and any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Direct computation shows that the following diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\pi_P} & G_P \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_P \\ \tilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_P} & \tilde{G}_P \end{array}$$

commutes.

4.2. Left-invariant vector fields. We discuss the connection between left-invariant vector fields on G and \tilde{G} .

For any $g \in G$ we denote by $L_g : G \rightarrow G$ the left multiplication by g . For any $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ we denote by $L_{\tilde{g}} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ the left multiplication by \tilde{g} .

Notation 4.4. We denote by \tilde{X} the left-invariant vector field $\tilde{X}(g) := dL_g X$ induced by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ on G . That is, for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and any $g \in G$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad (\tilde{X}f)(g) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g \exp_G(tX)).$$

Notation 4.5. We denote by \tilde{X} the left-invariant vector field $\tilde{X}(\tilde{g}) := dL_{\tilde{g}} X$ induced by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ on \tilde{G} . That is, for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and any $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad (\tilde{X}f)(\tilde{g}) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(\tilde{g} \exp_{\tilde{G}}(tX)).$$

Note that we abuse the notation here for the notation of left-invariant vector fields on both G and \tilde{G} .

Lemma 4.6. *For any $X \in W \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathfrak{g} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\tilde{G})$, we have that for any $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$*

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{X}(\tilde{g}) = \left(d\varphi_g(\tilde{X}) \right) (\tilde{g})$$

with $\varphi(g) = \tilde{g}$ for some $g \in G$. In this way, For any $X \in W \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathfrak{g} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\tilde{G})$

$$(4.5) \quad (\tilde{X}f) \circ \varphi = \tilde{X}(f \circ \varphi)$$

Proof. For any $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and any $\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}' \in \tilde{G}$ where $\tilde{g} = \varphi(g)$ and $\tilde{g}' = \varphi(g')$ for some $g, g' \in G$, we have $L_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{g}' = L_{\tilde{g}}\varphi(g') = \varphi(g)\varphi(g') = \varphi(gg') = \varphi(L_g g')$, as φ is a group homomorphism. This means $L_{\tilde{g}} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ L_g$ for any $\tilde{g} = \varphi(g)$. Differentiating at the identity e , we have $dL_{\tilde{g}} \circ d\varphi_e = d\varphi_g \circ dL_g$. For any $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}(\tilde{g}) &= (dL_{\tilde{g}}(X))(\tilde{g}) \\ &= ((d\varphi_g \circ dL_g \circ (d\varphi_e)^{-1})(X))(\tilde{g}) \\ &= ((d\varphi_g \circ dL_g)(X))(\tilde{g}) \\ &= (d\varphi_g(\tilde{X}))(\tilde{g}). \end{aligned}$$

The third equality is by that $(d\varphi_e)^{-1}$ is an identity map on $W \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, (4.4) and (4.5) hold. \square

4.3. Distance on the Cameron-Martin subgroup. The sub-Riemannian distance on \tilde{G}_{CM} can be defined similarly to how it is done on G_{CM} .

Notation 4.7 (Horizontal distances on G_{CM} and \tilde{G}_{CM}). *Below we define several distances on Cameron-Martin subgroups.*

- (1) For any $x = (A, a) \in \mathfrak{g}_{CM} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}$, let

$$|x|_{\mathfrak{g}_{CM}}^2 := \|A\|_H^2 + |a|^2$$

and

$$|x|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}}^2 := \|A\|_H^2 + |a|^2.$$

The length of a C^1 -path $\sigma : [a, b] \rightarrow G_{CM}$ is defined as

$$\ell(\sigma) := \int_a^b |dL_{(\sigma(s))^{-1}} \dot{\sigma}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{CM}} ds.$$

The length of a C^1 -path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{CM}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{\ell}(\gamma) := \int_a^b |dL_{(\gamma(s))^{-1}} \dot{\gamma}(s)|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}} ds.$$

- (2) A C^1 -path $\sigma : [a, b] \rightarrow G_{CM}$ is horizontal if $L_{(\sigma(t))^{-1}} \dot{\sigma}(t) \in H \times \{0\}$ for a.e. t . A C^1 -path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{CM}$ is horizontal if $dL_{(\gamma(s))^{-1}} \dot{\gamma}(t) \in H \times \{0\}$ for a.e. t . Let $C_{CM}^{1,h}$ and $\tilde{C}_{CM}^{1,h}$ denote the set of horizontal paths G_{CM} and \tilde{G}_{CM} respectively.

(3) The horizontal distance between $x, y \in G_{CM}$ is defined by

$$d(x, y) := \inf\{\ell(\sigma) : \sigma \in C_{CM}^{1,h} \text{ such that } \sigma(0) = x \text{ and } \sigma(1) = y\}.$$

The horizontal distance between $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{G}_{CM}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) := \inf\{\tilde{\ell}(\gamma) : \gamma \in \tilde{C}_{CM}^{1,h} \text{ such that } \gamma(0) = \tilde{x} \text{ and } \gamma(1) = \tilde{y}\}.$$

Remark 4.8. The topology induced by this distance d is equivalent to the topology induced by a homogeneous norm on G (see [16, Proposition 2.17, Proposition 2.18] for details).

Now we explore the connection between d and \tilde{d} .

Proposition 4.9. For any $\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}' \in \tilde{G}_{CM}$,

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{d}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}') \leq d(g, g')$$

where $\tilde{g} = \varphi(g)$ and $\tilde{g}' = \varphi(g')$ for some $g, g' \in G_{CM}$.

Proof. Given any $\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}' \in \tilde{G}_{CM}$, we take arbitrary $g, g' \in G_{CM}$ with $\tilde{g} = \varphi(g)$ and $\tilde{g}' = \varphi(g')$. For any $\sigma \in C_{CM}^{1,h}$ with $\sigma(0) = g$ and $\sigma(1) = g'$, we have $\varphi \circ \sigma \in \tilde{C}_{CM}^{1,h}$ with $(\varphi \circ \sigma)(0) = \tilde{g}$ and $(\varphi \circ \sigma)(1) = \tilde{g}'$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |dL_{((\varphi \circ \sigma)(s))^{-1}}(\varphi \circ \sigma)(s)|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}} &= |(d\varphi_e \circ dL_{(\sigma(t))^{-1}})\dot{\sigma}(s)|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{CM}} \\ &= |dL_{(\sigma(t))^{-1}}\dot{\sigma}(s)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{CM}}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality holds as $dL_{(\sigma(t))^{-1}}\dot{\sigma}(s) \in H$ and $d\varphi_e|_H$ is an isometry on H . In this way, we have $\tilde{l}(\varphi \circ \sigma) \leq l(\sigma)$ and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}') &\leq \inf\{\tilde{l}(\varphi \circ \sigma) : \sigma \in C_{CM}^{1,h} \text{ such that } \sigma(0) = g \text{ and } \sigma(1) = g'\} \\ &\leq \inf\{l(\sigma) : \sigma \in C_{CM}^{1,h} \text{ such that } \sigma(0) = g \text{ and } \sigma(1) = g'\} \\ &= d(g, g'). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.4. Sub-Laplacian and horizontal gradient. We start by defining the sub-Laplacian and the horizontal gradient on \tilde{G} , and then describe their relation to those on G .

Definition 4.10. A function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a (smooth) cylinder function if there exist some $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$ and some (smooth) function $F : G_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = F \circ \pi_P$. We denote by \mathcal{C} the space of smooth cylinder functions on G . A cylinder polynomial is a cylinder function, $f = F \circ \pi_P : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$ and F is a real polynomial function on G_P .

Definition 4.11. We say that $f : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a (smooth) cylinder function if there exists some $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$ and some (smooth) function $F : \tilde{G}_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = F \circ \tilde{\pi}_P$. We say that $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ if there exists some $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$ and some $F \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{G}_P)$ such that $f = F \circ \tilde{\pi}_P$.

Definition 4.12. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Given any smooth cylinder function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the *sub-Laplacian* L_H^G by

$$(4.7) \quad L_H^G f(g) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\widetilde{(e_j, 0)}^2 f \right](g).$$

for any $g \in G$. Given any smooth cylinder function $f : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the *sub-Laplacian* $L_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}}$ on \tilde{G} is

$$(L_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f)(\tilde{g}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\widetilde{(e_j, 0)}^2 f \right](\tilde{g})$$

for any $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$.

Notation 4.13. We denote

$$\mathcal{A} := \{f \in \mathcal{C} : f \text{ and } Xf \text{ are polynomially bounded for any left-invariant vector field } X \text{ on } G\}.$$

Definition 4.14. For any $u \in \mathcal{A}$, define the *horizontal gradient* $\text{grad}_H^G u : G \rightarrow H$ of u by

$$(4.8) \quad \langle \text{grad}_H^G u, h \rangle_H = \widetilde{(h, 0)} u$$

for any $h \in H$. For any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$, the *horizontal gradient* $\text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f : \tilde{G} \rightarrow H$ of f on \tilde{G} is

$$(4.9) \quad \langle \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f, h \rangle_H = \widetilde{(h, 0)} f$$

for any $h \in H$.

Based on the definition of the sub-Laplacian and the horizontal gradient on \tilde{G} , we obtain the following proposition by direct computation.

Proposition 4.15. For any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \langle \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f, \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f \rangle_H \circ \varphi = \langle \text{grad}_H^G(f \circ \varphi), \text{grad}_H^G(f \circ \varphi) \rangle_H$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \left(L_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f \right) \circ \varphi = L_H^G(f \circ \varphi).$$

Proof. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis for H . By the definition of the horizontal gradient on G and \tilde{G} , we have

$$\langle \text{grad}_H^G u, \text{grad}_H^G u \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)}^2 u \right).$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\langle \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f, \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f \rangle_H = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)} f \right)^2$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$. In addition, for any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ and any left-invariant vector field X on G , we have $(X(f \circ \varphi))(g) = ((d\varphi_g X)f) \circ \varphi(g)$ for any $g \in G$ by (4.4), so $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$. In this way, by (4.4) and (4.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\langle \text{grad}_H^{\tilde{G}} f, \text{grad}_H^{\tilde{G}} f \rangle_H \circ \varphi \right) (g) &= \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)} f \right)^2 \right) \circ \varphi \right) (g) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(d\varphi_g \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)} f \right) \right)^2 (\varphi(g)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)} (f \circ \varphi) \right)^2 (g) \\ &= \left(\langle \text{grad}_H^G (f \circ \varphi), \text{grad}_H^G (f \circ \varphi) \rangle_H \right) (g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left((L_H^{\tilde{G}} f) \circ \varphi \right) (g) &= \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{(e_j, 0)}^2 f \right) \circ \varphi \right) (g) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(d\varphi_g \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)} \right) \right)^2 f \right] (\varphi(g)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{(e_j, 0)}^2 (f \circ \varphi) \right) (g) \\ &= (L_H^G f \circ \varphi) (g) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ and any $g \in G$. \square

Remark 4.16. By [3, Proposition 3.17], the sub-Laplacian in (4.7) is well-defined since it only depends on $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and it is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis. By (4.11), we see that the sub-Laplacian $L_H^{\tilde{G}}$ is a well-defined operator on \tilde{G} as well, which only depends on $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis.

4.5. Hypoelliptic Brownian motion and hypoelliptic heat kernel measure. We now define the hypoelliptic Brownian motion and the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure on \tilde{G} . Let $\{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion on W with variance determined by

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle B_s, h \rangle_H \langle B_t, k \rangle_H] = \langle h, k \rangle_H \min(s, t),$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$ and $h, k \in H_*$.

Definition 4.17. A *hypoelliptic Brownian motion* on G is the continuous G -valued process given by

$$g_t^G = \left(B_t, \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \omega(B_s, dB_s) \right).$$

A *hypoelliptic Brownian motion* on \tilde{G} is the continuous \tilde{G} -valued process given by

$$g_t^{\tilde{G}} = \left(B_t, e^{\frac{i}{2} \int_0^t \omega(B_s, dB_s)} \right).$$

Let g_t^G be the horizontal Brownian motion on G . We have

$$(4.12) \quad g_t^{\tilde{G}} = \varphi(g_t^G).$$

Definition 4.18. We call a family of measures $\{\mu_t^G\}_{t>0}$ on G defined by $\mu_t^G = \text{Law}(g_t^G)$ for any $t > 0$ the *hypoelliptic heat kernel measure* on G at time t . We call a family of measures $\{\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}\}_{t>0}$ on \tilde{G} defined by $\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} = \text{Law}(g_t^{\tilde{G}})$ for any $t > 0$ the *hypoelliptic heat kernel measure* on \tilde{G} at time t .

This definition and (4.12) directly imply the following characterization of the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure on \tilde{G} .

Theorem 4.19. *The measure $\{\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}\}_{t>0}$ is the pushforward measure $\{\varphi_{\#}\mu_t^G\}_{t>0}$ to \tilde{G} by φ .*

In this way, we can obtain some properties of $\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}$ inherited from G .

Proposition 4.20. *For all $t > 0$, $\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{G}_{CM}) = 0$.*

Proof. By [16, Proposition 2.30] for all $t > 0$ we have $\mu_t^G(G_{CM}) = 0$. By Theorem 4.19, we have

$$\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{G}_{CM}) = \mu_t^G(G_{CM}) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 4.21. *For any $f = F \circ \tilde{\pi}_P$ with some $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$ and some $F \in C^2(\tilde{G}_P)$ and any $t > 0$, the following heat equation holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\tilde{G}} f d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{G}} L_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}^{\tilde{G}} f d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tilde{G}} f d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} &= f(\tilde{e}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any $f = F \circ \tilde{\pi}_P$ with some $P \in \text{Proj}(W)$ and some $F \in C^2(\tilde{G}_P)$, we have $f \circ \varphi = F \circ \tilde{\pi}_P \circ \varphi = F \circ \varphi_P \circ \pi_P$ by the commutativity of the diagram (4.1), so we see that $F \circ \varphi_P \in C^2(G_P)$. From [3, Corollary 5.7], We know that μ_t^G satisfies the heat equation on G , that is, the following heat equation holds for such $f \circ \varphi = (F \circ \varphi_P) \circ \pi_P$ with $F \circ \varphi_P \in C^2(G_P)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_G (f \circ \varphi) d\mu_t^G &= \frac{1}{2} \int_G L_{\mathcal{H}}^G (f \circ \varphi) d\mu_t^G, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_G (f \circ \varphi) d\mu_t^G &= (f \circ \varphi)(e). \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable formula and Theorem 4.19, we can see that $\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}$ satisfies the above given heat equation on \tilde{G} . □

Moreover, by the change of variable formula, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})} = \|f \circ \varphi\|_{L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)}$$

for any $f \in L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$.

4.6. Dirichlet forms.

4.6.1. *Dirichlet form on G .* Recall some basics on Dirichlet forms on G .

Definition 4.22. For any $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$, we define a *pre-Dirichlet form* by

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{E}_G^0(u, v) := \int_G \langle \text{grad}_H^G u, \text{grad}_H^G v \rangle_H d\mu_t^G.$$

Then the *Dirichlet norm* $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_G}$ on \mathcal{A} is given by

$$(4.15) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_G}^2 := \|f\|_{L^2(G, \mu_t^G)}^2 + \mathcal{E}_G^0(f, f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark 4.23. When we restrict \mathcal{E}_G^0 to the collection of cylinder polynomials, our definition of \mathcal{E}_G^0 coincides with the one in [18, (6.11)].

Similarly to the proof of [18, Theorem 6.22], we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.24. The pre-Dirichlet form \mathcal{E}_G^0 is closable and its closure, \mathcal{E}_G , is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of [18, Theorem 6.22], the closability of \mathcal{E}_G^0 can be obtained. Here we only need to show that closure of \mathcal{E}_G^0 , \mathcal{E}_G , is Markovian on $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$. By [15, Theorem 3.1.1], it suffices to show \mathcal{E}_G is Markovian on $\mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(G)$, as \mathcal{E}_G is well-defined on $\mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(G)$ and $\mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(G)$ is dense in $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, by [15, Exercise 1.2.1] we can find an infinitely differentiable function $\phi_\varepsilon(t)$ such that $\phi_\varepsilon(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, $-\varepsilon \leq \phi_\varepsilon(t) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \phi_\varepsilon(t') - \phi_\varepsilon(t) \leq t' - t$ whenever $t < t'$. By the chain rule for the Fréchet derivative, we have $\langle \text{grad}_H^G(\phi_\varepsilon(u)), \text{grad}_H^G(\phi_\varepsilon(u)) \rangle_H = |\phi'_\varepsilon(u)|^2 \langle \text{grad}_H^G u, \text{grad}_H^G u \rangle_H$ for any $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_G(\phi_\varepsilon(u), \phi_\varepsilon(u)) &= \int_G |\phi'_\varepsilon(u)|^2 \langle \text{grad}_H^G u, \text{grad}_H^G u \rangle_H d\mu_t^G \\ &\leq \int_G \langle \text{grad}_H^G u, \text{grad}_H^G u \rangle_H d\mu_t^G, \end{aligned}$$

as $0 \leq |\phi'_\varepsilon| \leq 1$ (see [15, Exercise 1.1.2]). Therefore, \mathcal{E}_G , is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$. \square

Notation 4.25. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ the domain of \mathcal{E}_G .

Remark 4.26. As the collection of cylinder polynomials is a subset of \mathcal{A} and it is also dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G) \subseteq L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$, we see that the closure of \mathcal{E}_G^0 that we obtained from [18, Theorem 6.22] is the same as \mathcal{E}_G here.

4.6.2. *Dirichlet form on \tilde{G} .* We construct a Dirichlet form with respect to the heat kernel measure $\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}$ on \tilde{G} and study its connection to \mathcal{E}_G on G .

Definition 4.27. We define a pre-Dirichlet form on \tilde{G} by

$$(4.16) \quad \mathcal{E}_G^0(f_1, f_2) := \int_{\tilde{G}} \left\langle \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f_1, \text{grad}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{G}} f_2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}}$$

for any $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$.

Notation 4.28. For any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$, we denote $\mathcal{E}_G^0(f, f)$ by $\mathcal{E}_G^0(f)$.

Lemma 4.29. For any $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$, we have $f_1 \circ \varphi, f_2 \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ and

$$(4.17) \quad \mathcal{E}_G^0(f_1, f_2) = \mathcal{E}_G(f_1 \circ \varphi, f_2 \circ \varphi).$$

Proof. For any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ and any left-invariant vector field X on G , we have $(X(f \circ \varphi))(g) = (((d\varphi_g X)f) \circ \varphi)(g)$ for any $g \in G$ by (4.4), so $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$. Then we can use the change of variable formula and (4.10) to obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_G^0(f) = \mathcal{E}_G(f \circ \varphi),$$

which implies (4.17) using polarization. \square

By (4.13) and (4.17), we have

$$(4.18) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_G^0} = \|f \circ \varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}_G}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$.

Theorem 4.30. The bilinear form \mathcal{E}_G^0 is closable on $L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$.

Proof. The closability of \mathcal{E}_G^0 comes from the closedness of \mathcal{E}_G . Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ such that $\|f_k\|_{L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $\mathcal{E}_G^0(f_k - f_l) \xrightarrow{k, l \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Then we have $f_k \circ \varphi, f_l \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ by Lemma 4.29 with

$$\|f_k \circ \varphi\|_{L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)} = \|f_k\|_{L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

by (4.13) and

$$\mathcal{E}_G(f_k \circ \varphi - f_l \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{E}_G^0(f_k - f_l) \xrightarrow{k, l \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

by (4.17). Using that \mathcal{E}_G is closed, we see that

$$\mathcal{E}_G^0(f_k) = \mathcal{E}_G(f_k \circ \varphi) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

and therefore \mathcal{E}_G^0 is closable on $L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$. \square

Notation 4.31. We denote the closure of \mathcal{E}_G^0 on $L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$ by $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}$ and its domain by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}) \subseteq L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$.

Proposition 4.32. The closed bilinear form $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$.

Proof. It suffices to show that \mathcal{E}_G^0 is Markovian. Then, by [15, Theorem 3.1.1], the closability of \mathcal{E}_G^0 implies that $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}$ is Markovian too. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, by [15, Exercise 1.2.1] we can find an infinitely differentiable function $\phi_\varepsilon(t)$ such that $\phi_\varepsilon(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, $-\varepsilon \leq \phi_\varepsilon(t) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \phi_\varepsilon(t') - \phi_\varepsilon(t) \leq t' - t$ whenever $t < t'$. For any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$, we have $\phi_\varepsilon(f) \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(f \circ \varphi) = \phi_\varepsilon(f) \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$. Then, the Markovian property of \mathcal{E}_G together with (4.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_G^0(\phi_\varepsilon(f)) &= \mathcal{E}_G(\phi_\varepsilon(f \circ \varphi)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}_G(f \circ \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

so \mathcal{E}_G^0 is Markovian and thus $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}$ is Markovian. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}$ is a Dirichlet form. \square

We give the connection between $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}$ and \mathcal{E}_G in the following theorem. The next theorem shows that the quotient map φ is a quasi-homeomorphism between two (quasi-regular) Dirichlet forms.

Theorem 4.33 (Dirichlet forms under the quotient map). *If $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})$, then $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$. In addition, we have*

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}(f_1, f_2) = \mathcal{E}_G(f_1 \circ \varphi, f_2 \circ \varphi)$$

for any $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})$.

Proof. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})$, there exists a sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$ such that $f_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f$ under $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}}$. Using (4.18) and the fact that \mathcal{E}_G is closed, we obtain the convergence of the sequence $\{f_k \circ \varphi\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ under $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_G}$. This means that there exists an $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ such that $\|f_k \circ \varphi\|_{\mathcal{E}_G} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{E}_G}$. More precisely, we have

$$\|f_k \circ \varphi\|_{L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)}, \quad \mathcal{E}_G(f_k \circ \varphi) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_G(\tilde{f}).$$

However, by (4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_k \circ \varphi\|_{L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)} &= \|f_k\|_{L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})} \\ &\xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{L^2(\tilde{G}, d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}})} = \|f \circ \varphi\|_{L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the limit in $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$, we see that $f \circ \varphi = \tilde{f}$ in $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ is a subspace of $L^2(G, d\mu_t^G)$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$, we obtain that $f \circ \varphi = \tilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$.

Now we prove (4.19). It suffices to prove it when $f_1 = f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})$, from which we can obtain (4.19) using polarization. Using the previous convergence of $f_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})$ under $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}}$ and $f_k \circ \varphi \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} f \circ \varphi$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ under $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_G}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_G(f \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{E}_G(\tilde{f}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_G(f_k \circ \varphi) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}(f_k) = \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}(f)$$

implying the result. \square

5. LOGARITHMIC SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES ON INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL REDUCED HEISENBERG GROUPS

In this section, we use the fact that Dirichlet spaces on G and \tilde{G} are quasi-homeomorphic under the quotient map to prove a hypoelliptic logarithmic Sobolev inequality on \tilde{G} . Moreover, we can control the LSI constant on the quotient space.

We first recall the hypoelliptic logarithmic Sobolev inequality on G .

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem 6.24 in [18]). *The logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds on G , that is, for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ and any $t > 0$*

(5.1)

$$\int_G f^2 \log f^2 d\mu_t^G - \left(\int_G f^2 d\mu_t^G \right) \log \left(\int_G f^2 d\mu_t^G \right) \leq C(\omega, t) \mathcal{E}_G(f, f),$$

where the LSI constant can be chosen to be $C(\omega, t) = Ct$ with some $C > 0$ independent of ω and thus LSI constant is independent of ω .

Remark 5.2. *The LSI constant $C(\omega, t)$ on G can be chosen to be the same as the one on the three-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg group as pointed out in [18, Theorem 2.8] or [28, Corollaire 1.2].*

Now we proceed to prove the hypoelliptic logarithmic Sobolev inequality on \tilde{G} .

Theorem 5.3. *The logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds on \tilde{G} , that is, for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})$ and any $t > 0$*

(5.2)

$$\int_{\tilde{G}} f^2 \log f^2 d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} - \left(\int_{\tilde{G}} f^2 d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} \right) \log \left(\int_{\tilde{G}} f^2 d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} \right) \leq C(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})) \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}(f, f),$$

where the LSI constant can be chosen to be

$$(5.3) \quad C(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}})) = C(\omega, t) = Ct$$

with some $C > 0$ independent of ω and thus the LSI constant is independent of ω .

Proof. Note that this theorem follows from a general statement in Theorem 2.4. In addition, we provide a direct proof of this theorem as follows. By [2, p. 237] or by a standard limiting argument similarly to [24, Example 2.7], it suffices to show that the logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds for any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$. For any $f \in \mathcal{FC}_c^\infty(\tilde{G})$, Lemma 4.29 implies that $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_G)$. As in Theorem 5.1 (see [18, Theorem 6.24]), the logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds on G . Using the change of variable formula (see [4, Theorem 3.6.1]) for the quotient map $\varphi(g) \mapsto \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ in the following logarithmic Sobolev inequality for $f \circ \varphi$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G (f \circ \varphi)^2 \log(f \circ \varphi)^2 d\mu_t^G - \left(\int_G (f \circ \varphi)^2 d\mu_t^G \right) \log \left(\int_G (f \circ \varphi)^2 d\mu_t^G \right) \\ & \leq C(\omega, t) \mathcal{E}_G(f \circ \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\tilde{G}} f^2 \log f^2 d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} - \left(\int_{\tilde{G}} f^2 d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} \right) \log \left(\int_{\tilde{G}} f^2 d\mu_t^{\tilde{G}} \right) \leq C(\omega, t) \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{G}}(f, f).$$

Moreover, we can choose

$$C(\tilde{G}, \mu_t^{\tilde{G}}) = C(\omega, t) = Ct$$

as we can see in (5.4), which is independent of ω . \square

Remark 5.4. From the proof of Theorem 5.3, we observe that the LSI constant $C(\tilde{G}, \mu_t^{\tilde{G}})$ on \tilde{G} can be chosen to be the one on the three-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg group in [18, Theorem 2.8] or [28, Corollaire 1.2]. In this way, the LSI constant on \tilde{G} can at least be controlled by the LSI constant on G . Note that the optimal constant in the logarithmic Sobolev inequality with respect to the hypoelliptic heat kernel measure on the three-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg group is not known, even though a recent preprint [1] proved sharp defective logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on H -type groups.

REFERENCES

1. Gioacchino Antonelli, Mattia Calzi, and Maria Gordina, *Sharp defective log-Sobolev inequalities on H -type groups*, arxiv preprint, 2025.
2. Dominique Bakry, Ivan Gentil, and Michel Ledoux, *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 348, Springer, Cham, 2014. MR 3155209
3. Fabrice Baudoin, Maria Gordina, and Tai Melcher, *Quasi-invariance for heat kernel measures on sub-Riemannian infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), no. 8, 4313–4350. MR 3055697
4. V. I. Bogachev, *Measure theory. Vol. I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007. MR 2267655
5. Vladimir I. Bogachev, *Gaussian measures*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 62, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR MR1642391 (2000a:60004)
6. Eric A. Carlen and Daniel W. Stroock, *An application of the Bakry-Emery criterion to infinite-dimensional diffusions*, Séminaire de Probabilités, XX, 1984/85, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1204, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 341–348. MR 942029

7. Zhen Qing Chen, Zhi Ming Ma, and Michael Röckner, *Quasi-homeomorphisms of Dirichlet forms*, Nagoya Math. J. **136** (1994), 1–15. MR 1309378
8. Bruce K. Driver, Nathaniel Eldredge, and Tai Melcher, *Hypoelliptic heat kernels on infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 2, 989–1022. MR 3430356
9. Bruce K. Driver and Maria Gordina, *Heat kernel analysis on infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), no. 9, 2395–2461. MR MR2473262
10. ———, *Integrated Harnack inequalities on Lie groups*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 3, 501–550. MR MR2581356
11. ———, *Square integrable holomorphic functions on infinite-dimensional Heisenberg type groups*, Probability Theory and Related Fields **147** (2010), no. 3-4, 481–528.
12. Bruce K. Driver, Leonard Gross, and Laurent Saloff-Coste, *Growth of Taylor coefficients over complex homogeneous spaces*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **62** (2010), no. 3, 427–474. MR 2742018
13. Bruce K. Driver and Terry Lohrenz, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for pinned loop groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **140** (1996), no. 2, 381–448. MR 1409043
14. Masatoshi Fukushima, *On semi-martingale characterizations of functionals of symmetric Markov processes*, Electron. J. Probab. **4** (1999), no. 18, 32. MR 1741537
15. Masatoshi Fukushima, Yoichi Oshima, and Masayoshi Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, extended ed., De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011. MR 2778606
16. M. Gordina and T. Melcher, *A subelliptic Taylor isomorphism on infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups*, Probability Theory and Related Fields **155** (2013), 379–426.
17. Maria Gordina, *An application of a functional inequality to quasi-invariance in infinite dimensions*, pp. 251–266, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2017.
18. Maria Gordina and Liangbing Luo, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on non-isotropic Heisenberg groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **283** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 109500. MR 4410358
19. ———, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on homogeneous spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2024), no. 20, 13432–13460. MR 4811695
20. Leonard Gross, *Abstract Wiener spaces*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 1, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1967, pp. 31–42. MR 212152
21. ———, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), no. 4, 1061–1083. MR MR0420249 (54 #8263)
22. ———, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on loop groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **102** (1991), no. 2, 268–313. MR 1140628
23. ———, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on Lie groups*, Illinois J. Math. **36** (1992), no. 3, 447–490. MR 1161977 (93i:22012)
24. ———, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and contractivity properties of semigroups*, Dirichlet forms (Varenna, 1992), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1563, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 54–88. MR MR1292277 (95h:47061)
25. Lars Hörmander, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, Acta Math. **119** (1967), 147–171. MR 0222474 (36 #5526)
26. Elton P. Hsu, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on path spaces over Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **189** (1997), no. 1, 9–16. MR 1478528
27. Hui Hsiung Kuo, *Gaussian measures in Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 463. MR MR0461643 (57 #1628)
28. Hong-Quan Li, *Estimation optimale du gradient du semi-groupe de la chaleur sur le groupe de Heisenberg*, J. Funct. Anal. **236** (2006), no. 2, 369–394. MR MR2240167 (2007d:58045)
29. Tai Melcher, *Heat kernel analysis on semi-infinite Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 11, 3552–3592. MR 2572261

- 30. S. Thangavelu, *Spherical means on the Heisenberg group and a restriction theorem for the symplectic Fourier transform*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **7** (1991), no. 2, 135–155. MR 1133375
- 31. Bogusław Zegarliński, *On log-Sobolev inequalities for infinite lattice systems*, Lett. Math. Phys. **20** (1990), no. 3, 173–182. MR 1074698

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269, U.S.A.

Email address: maria.gordina@uconn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY, KINGSTON, ON, CANADA K7L 3N6

Email address: liangbing.luo@queensu.ca