

Fast approximate ℓ -center clustering in high dimensional spaces

Mirosław Kowaluk¹, Andrzej Lingas², and Mia Persson³

¹ Institute of Informatics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland.

`kowaluk@mimuw.edu.pl`

² Department of Computer Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

`Andrzej.Lingas@cs.lth.se`

³ Department of Computer Science and Media Technology, Malmö University, Malmö, Sweden. `Mia.Persson@mau.se`

Abstract. We study the design of efficient approximation algorithms for the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces. Our main tool is randomized dimension reduction. First, we present a general method of reducing the dependency of the running time of a hypothetical algorithm for the ℓ -center problem in a high dimensional Euclidean space on the dimension size. Utilizing in part this method, we provide $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithms for the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in Euclidean and Hamming spaces that are substantially faster than the known 2-approximation ones when both ℓ and the dimension are super-logarithmic. Next, we apply the general method to the recent fast approximation algorithms with higher approximation guarantees for the ℓ -center clustering problem in a high dimensional Euclidean space. Finally, we provide a speed-up of the known $O(1)$ -approximation method for the generalization of the ℓ -center clustering problem to include z outliers (i.e., z input points can be ignored while computing the maximum distance of an input point to a center) in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces.

Keywords: ℓ_2 distance, Euclidean space, Hamming distance, Hamming space, clustering, approximation algorithm, time complexity

1 Introduction

Clustering is nowadays a standard tool in the data analysis in computational biology/medical sciences, computer vision, and machine learning. One of the most popular variants of clustering are the ℓ -center clustering problem and the related minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problem in metric spaces (among other things in Euclidean and Hamming spaces). Given a finite set P of points in a metric space, the first problem asks for finding a set of ℓ points in the metric space, called *centers*, such that the maximum distance of a point in P to its nearest center is minimized. The second problem asks for partitioning the input point

set P into ℓ clusters such that the maximum of cluster diameters is minimized. They are known to be NP-hard and even NP-hard to approximate within $2 - \epsilon$ for any constant $\epsilon > 0$ [7]. Gonzalez provided a simple 2-approximation method for ℓ -center clustering that yields also a 2-approximation for minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering [7]. In case of a d -dimensional space, his method takes $O(nd\ell)$ time, where n is the number of input points and d stands for the dimension size. For Euclidean spaces of bounded dimension, and more generally, for metric spaces of bounded doubling dimension, there exist faster 2-approximation algorithms for the ℓ -center problem with hidden exponential dependence on the dimension in their running time, see [5] and [8], respectively. There are also several newer works on speeding up ℓ -center approximation algorithms in Euclidean spaces by worsening the approximation guarantee (e.g., [4, 6, 11], and for a bi-criteria variant [3]). In particular, trade-offs between approximation guarantees of the form $O(\alpha)$ and the running times $\text{poly}(d \log n)(n + \ell^{1+1/\alpha^2} n^{O(1/\alpha^{2/3})})$ and $\text{poly}(d \log n)n\ell^{1/\alpha^2}$ have been obtained in [6]⁴ by refining an earlier result in [4]. In some of the aforementioned applications of both ℓ -clustering problems, massive datasets in a high dimensional metric space combined with a large value of the parameter ℓ may occur. In such situations, neither the $O(nd\ell)$ -time method nor the ones of substantially worse approximation guarantees or time complexity heavily dependent on d are sufficiently useful.

1.1 Our contributions

We focus on the design of efficient approximation algorithms for the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces. First, we present a general method of reducing the dependency of the running time of a hypothetical algorithm for the ℓ -center problem in a high dimensional Euclidean space on the dimension size. The algorithm is required to be *conservative*, i.e., to return always centers belonging to the input point set. The method relies on randomized dimension reduction and almost preserves the approximation guarantee of the algorithm. Utilizing in part this method, we provide $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithms for the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in Euclidean and Hamming spaces that are substantially faster than the known 2-approximation ones when both ℓ and the dimension are super-logarithmic. Next, we apply the general method to the recent fast approximation algorithms with higher approximation guarantees for the ℓ -center clustering problem in a high dimensional Euclidean space. Finally, we provide a speed-up of the known $O(1)$ -approximation method for the generalization of the ℓ -center clustering problem to include z outliers (i.e., z input points can be ignored while computing the maximum distance of an input point to a center) in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces [2]. The speed-up is also based on randomized dimension reduction and the resulting approximation guarantee is only slightly larger than the original one. See also Table 1 for a summary of our contributions.

⁴ In [6], the notation $\tilde{O}(\cdot)$ suppresses $\text{poly}(d \log n)$ factors.

1.2 Techniques

Our fast randomized algorithms for approximate ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces are based on a variant of randomized dimension reduction in Euclidean spaces given by Achlioptas in [1] and the observation that the Hamming distance between two 0-1 vectors is equal to their squared ℓ_2 distance. The main idea of a randomized dimension reduction is to provide a uniform random map from a d -dimensional metric space to its k -dimensional subspace that preserves distances up to $1 \pm \epsilon$ factor, where $k = O(\log n)$, with high probability. Johnson and Lindenstrauss were first to provide such maps from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^k for the ℓ_2 norm [12]. The advantage of Achlioptas' variant of JL dimension reduction is that such a random map can be generated by binary coins in this variant [1].

1.3 Paper organization

The next section contains basic definitions and facts on the randomized dimension reduction. Section 3 presents our general method for reducing the dependency on the dimension size. Section 4 provides our fast $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithms for the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces. Section 5 presents applications of our method from Section 3 to recent fast approximation algorithms for ℓ -center clustering in high dimensional Euclidean spaces. Section 6 provides a speed-up of the known greedy $O(1)$ -approximation method for the ℓ -center clustering problem with outliers in a high dimensional Euclidean space. We conclude with final remarks.

2 Preliminaries

For a positive integer r , $[r]$ stands for the set of positive integers not exceeding r . The cardinality of a finite set S is denoted by $|S|$.

The transpose of a matrix D is denoted by D^\top .

The *Hamming distance* between two points a, b (vectors) in $\{0, 1\}^d$ is the number of the coordinates in which the two points differ. Alternatively, it can be defined as the distance between a and b in the ℓ_1 metric over $\{0, 1\}^d$. It is denoted by $\text{ham}(a, b)$. The distance between two real vectors a, b in the ℓ_2 metric is denoted by $\|a - b\|_2$.

An event is said to hold *with high probability* (w.h.p. for short) in terms of a parameter N related to the input size if it holds with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{N^\alpha}$, where α is any constant not less than 1.

The following fact and corollaries enable an efficient randomized dimension reduction in high dimensional Euclidean and Hamming spaces.

| problem | metric | approx. | time complexity | reference |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|------------|
| ℓ -center/min-diam. | arbitrary | 2 | $O(nd\ell)$ | [7] |
| as above | Euclid., Ham. | $2 + \epsilon$ | $O(n \log n(d + \ell)/\epsilon^2)$ | this paper |
| ℓ -center | Euclidean | $O(\alpha)$ | $poly(d \log n)(n + \ell^{1+1/\alpha^2} n^{O(1/\alpha^{2/3})})$ | [6]+[4] |
| as above | Euclidean | $O(\alpha)$ | $\tilde{O}(nd/\epsilon^2 + \ell^{1/\alpha^2} n^{O(1/\alpha^{2/3})})$ | this paper |
| ℓ -center | Euclidean | $O(\alpha)$ | $poly(d \log n) n \ell^{1/\alpha^2}$ | [6]+[4] |
| as above | Euclidean | $O(\alpha)$ | $\tilde{O}(nd/\epsilon^2 + n \ell^{1/\alpha^2})$ | this paper |
| ℓ -center + outliers | arbitrary | 3 | $poly(n, d, \ell)$ | [2] |
| as above | Euclid., Ham. | $3 + \epsilon$ | $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$ | this paper |

Table 1. A summary of speed-ups of approximation algorithms for ℓ -center problems obtained by randomized dimension reduction presented in this paper. Importantly, the approximation guarantees for our algorithms hold w.h.p. The approximation guarantee of 3 for the ℓ -center problem with outliers in an arbitrary metric space in [2] as well as our guarantee of $3 + \epsilon$ in an Euclidean or Hamilton space are derived with respect to an optimal conservative solution, where the centers belong to input points. The authors of [2] claim that they can remove this assumption in case of an Euclidean space.

Fact 1 (*Achlioptas [1]*) Let P be an arbitrary set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , represented as an $n \times d$ matrix A . Given $\epsilon, \beta > 0$, let $k_0 = \frac{4+2\beta}{\epsilon^2/2-\epsilon^3/3} \log n$. For an integer $k \geq k_0$, let R be a $d \times k$ random matrix (R_{ij}) , where $R_{ij} = 1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $R_{ij} = -1$ otherwise. Let $E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} AR$ and let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ map the i -th row of A on the i -th row of E . With probability at least $1 - n^{-\beta}$, for all $u, v \in P$,

$$(1 - \epsilon)(\|u - v\|_2)^2 \leq (\|f(u) - f(v)\|_2)^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)(\|u - v\|_2)^2.$$

Since $1 - \epsilon \leq \sqrt{1 - \epsilon}$ and $\sqrt{1 + \epsilon} \leq 1 + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, we immediately obtain the following corollary from Fact 1.

Corollary 1. Assume the notation from Fact 1. Suppose that $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, w.h.p. for all $u, v \in P$,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|u - v\|_2 \leq \|f(u) - f(v)\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|u - v\|_2.$$

Observe that if $u, v \in \{0, 1\}^d$ then $\text{ham}(u, v) = (\|u - v\|_2)^2$. Hence, we obtain also the following corollary from Fact 1.

Corollary 2. Assume the notation from Fact 1. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ and $P \subset \{0, 1\}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, w.h.p. for all $u, v \in P$,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\text{ham}(u, v) \leq (\|f(u) - f(v)\|_2)^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\text{ham}(u, v).$$

3 Approximate ℓ -center clustering in high dimensional spaces

The ℓ -center clustering problem in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d is as follows: given a set P of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , find a set T of ℓ points in \mathbb{R}^d that minimize $\max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \|v - u\|_2$.

The minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problem in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d is as follows: given a set P of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , find a partition of P into ℓ subsets P_1, P_2, \dots, P_ℓ that minimize $\max_{i \in [\ell]} \max_{v, u \in P_i} \|v - u\|_2$.

The ℓ -center clustering problem could be also termed as the minimum-radius ℓ -clustering problem. Both problems are known to be NP-hard to approximate within $2 - \epsilon$ in metric spaces [7, 10].

Gonzalez' simple 2-approximation method for ℓ -center clustering yields also a 2-approximation for minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering [7]. It picks an arbitrary input point as the first center and repeatedly extends the current center set by an input point that maximizes the distance to the current center set, until ℓ centers are found. In case of d -dimensional Euclidean or Hamming space, his method takes $O(nd\ell)$ time, where n is the number of input points.

By forming for each of the ℓ centers, the cluster consisting of all input points for which this center is the closest one (with ties solved arbitrarily), one obtains an ℓ -clustering with the maximum cluster diameter within two of the minimum [7].

We shall call an approximation algorithm for the ℓ -center clustering problem *conservative* if it always returns centers belonging to the input point set.

In this section, we present a general randomized method of decreasing the dependency of the running time of a hypothetical conservative approximation algorithm for the ℓ -center clustering problem in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d on d , by using the randomized dimension reduction given in Fact 1.

procedure *DIMREDCENTER*(ℓ, P, ϵ, SR)

Input: A positive integer ℓ , a set P of points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $n > \ell$, a real $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and a conservative approximation subroutine SR for the m -center clustering problem in \mathbb{R}^q , where $m \leq \ell$ and $q \leq d$.

Output: A set T of ℓ centers of P .

1. Set n to the number of input points and k to $O(\log n/\epsilon^2)$.
2. Generate a random $k \times d$ matrix R with entries in $\{-1, 1\}$, defining the function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $f(x) = Rx^\top$ (see Fact 1).
3. Compute the values of the function f for each point $p_i \in P$, i.e., for $i = 1, \dots, n$, compute Rp_i^\top . Also, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, if the value of f^{-1} is not yet defined on $f(p_i)$ then set it to p_i .
4. Set $\ell' = \min\{\ell, |f(P)|\}$ and compute a set T' of ℓ' centers of $f(P) = \{f(p_1), \dots, f(p_n)\}$ by running the subroutine SR for the ℓ' -center clustering problem on $f(P)$.
5. Set T to $\{f^{-1}(u') | u' \in T'\}$, if $\ell' < \ell$ then extend T by $\ell - \ell'$ arbitrary points in $P \setminus T$, and return it.

By Corollary 1, we immediately obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Assume the notation from Fact 1. Let T be a set of ℓ centers of the input point set P . For any $\epsilon > 0$, the following inequalities hold w.h.p.:*

$$(1 - \epsilon) \max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \|v - u\|_2 \leq \max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2,$$

$$\max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \|v - u\|_2.$$

Lemma 2. *Assume the notation from Fact 1. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, w.h.p. for all $v, u \in P$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2 \leq \|v - u\|_2,$$

$$\|v - u\|_2 \leq (1 + 2\epsilon) \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2.$$

Proof. By the right-hand inequality in Corollary 1, we obtain for any $v, u \in P$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, $\frac{\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2}{1 + \epsilon} \leq \|v - u\|_2$. It follows that $\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2 \leq \|v - u\|_2$. Consequently, we obtain the first inequality in this lemma.

Similarly, by the left-hand inequality in Corollary 1, we infer that for any $v, u \in P$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, $\|v - u\|_2 \leq \frac{\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2}{1 - \epsilon}$. This yields $\|v - u\|_2 \leq \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2 + \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \|f(v) - f(u)\|_2$. Since $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the second inequality in this lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2 immediately yields the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Assume the notation from Fact 1. Let $f(P) = \{f(p_1), \dots, f(p_n)\}$, $\ell' = \min\{\ell, |f(P)|\}$, and let T' be a set of ℓ' centers for the point set $f(P)$ in \mathbb{R}^k . For $v' \in f(P)$, let $f^{-1}(v') = p_q$, where $q = \min_i f(p_i) = v'$. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the following inequalities hold w.h.p.:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \max_{v' \in f(P)} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v' - u'\|_2 \leq \max_{v \in P} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v - f^{-1}(u')\|_2,$$

$$\max_{v \in P} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v - f^{-1}(u')\|_2 \leq (1 + 2\epsilon) \max_{v' \in f(P)} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v' - u'\|_2.$$

Lemma 4. Assume the notation from Fact 1. If $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and the conservative subroutine SR is α -approximate then w.h.p. $DIMREDCENTER(\ell, P, \epsilon, SR)$ returns a $(1 + \epsilon)(1 + 2\epsilon)\alpha$ -approximate conservative solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for P in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. Let T_1 be an optimal ℓ -center solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Similarly, let T_2 be an optimal ℓ' -center solution to the ℓ' -center clustering problem for $f(P) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Next, let $r_1 = \max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T_1} \|v - u\|_2$ and $r_2 = \max_{v' \in f(P)} \min_{u' \in T_2} \|v' - u'\|_2$. By Lemma 1, we have $(1 - \epsilon)r_1 \leq r_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)r_1$. The procedure first computes an α -approximate ℓ' -center solution T' to the ℓ' -center clustering problem for $f(P)$ in \mathbb{R}^k . It follows that $\max_{v' \in f(P)} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v' - u'\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\alpha r_1$. The procedure returns $T = \{f^{-1}(u') | u' \in T'\}$ extended by $\ell - \ell'$ arbitrary points in $P \setminus T$ as an approximate ℓ -center solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for the input point set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The second inequality in Lemma 3 implies $\max_{v \in P} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v - f^{-1}(u')\|_2 \leq (1 + 2\epsilon) \max_{v' \in f(P)} \min_{u' \in T'} \|v' - u'\|_2$. We conclude that T yields a $(1 + 2\epsilon)(1 + \epsilon)\alpha$ approximation to the ℓ -center clustering problem for P . \square

Lemma 5. All steps of $DIMREDCENTER(\ell, P, \epsilon, SR)$ but for Step 4 can be implemented in $O((nd \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time.

Proof. Step 1 can be done in $O(nd)$ time. Step 2 takes $O(dk) = O((d \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. The preprocessing in Step 3 requires $O(ndk)$, i.e., $O((nd \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Finally, Step 5 takes $O(nd)$ time. \square

4 Fast $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation for ℓ -center clustering

In this section, we provide a faster randomized $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation methods for the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d and the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$. They are faster than the known methods with approximation guarantee close to 2 when $d = \omega(\log n)$ and $\ell = \omega(\log n)$. Our method in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d is obtained by plugging Gonzalez' method as the subroutine in the procedure $DIMREDCENTER$.

Theorem 1. *Let P be a set of n points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, ℓ an integer smaller than n , and let $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. The ℓ -center clustering problem for P admits a conservative randomized approximation algorithm that w.h.p. provides a $(2 + \epsilon)$ approximation of an optimal ℓ -center clustering of P and runs in time $O(n \log n(d + \ell)/\epsilon^2)$. Its slight modification yields a $(2 + \epsilon)$ approximation to an ℓ -clustering of P with minimum cluster diameter w.h.p. and runs in the same asymptotic time.*

Proof. To prove the first part, we run $\text{DIMREDCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/8, GO)$, where GO stands for the conservative Gonzalez' 2-approximation algorithm for the ℓ -center clustering problem. By Lemma 4, it yields a $(1 + \epsilon/8)(1 + 2\epsilon/8)2 \leq (2 + \epsilon)$ approximation of an optimal solution. Since Step 4 takes $O(n\ell k) = O((n\ell \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time, the whole call of the procedure can be implemented in time $O(n \log n(d + \ell)/\epsilon^2)$ by Lemma 5.

To prove the second part, we extend $\text{DIMREDCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/8, GO)$ slightly. Consider the set T' of ℓ' centers of $f(P)$ constructed by Gonzalez' algorithm in Step 4 of $\text{DIMREDCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/8, GO)$. In Step 5, additionally the ℓ' clusters $P_1, \dots, P_{\ell'}$ are formed by assigning each point $v \in P$ to the center in T' that is closest to $f(v)$. This extension takes $O(n \log n(d + \ell)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Let s be a point in $f(P) \setminus T'$ that maximizes the distance from T' . Let us denote this distance by r' . Note that for each point in $f(P)$ its distance to the closest center in T' does not exceed r' and importantly any two points in $T' \cup \{s\}$ are at least r' apart by the furthest-point traversal done by Gonzalez' algorithm. It follows from Lemma 1 that any two points in the set $f^{-1}(T') \cup \{f^{-1}(s)\}$ are at least $(1 - \epsilon/8)r'$ apart. Assume that $\ell' = \ell$. Then the latter set has $\ell + 1$ elements, at least two of them have to belong to the same cluster in any ℓ -clustering of P . Consequently, the maximum diameter of a cluster in any ℓ -clustering of P is at least $\frac{r'}{1 + \epsilon/8}$. On the other hand, the diameter of any cluster P_i is at most $2\frac{r'}{1 - \epsilon/8}$ by Lemma 1. Now it is sufficient to observe that $\frac{2(1 + \epsilon/8)}{1 - \epsilon/8} \leq 2 + \epsilon$.

To complete the proof observe that we may assume w.l.o.g. $\ell = \ell'$ w.h.p. Simply, we may assume w.l.o.g. that there are at least $\ell + 1$ non-overlapping points in P since otherwise the problem admits a trivial solution. Let t be a minimum distance between a pair of $\ell + 1$ non-overlapping points. W.h.p. each pair of f -images of these $\ell + 1$ points is apart at least by $(1 - \epsilon)t$ by Corollary 1. We conclude that $|f(P)| > \ell$ w.h.p. \square

Let us recall the definitions of the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems in a Hamming space.

The ℓ -center clustering problem in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$ is as follows: given a set P of n points in $\{0, 1\}^d$, find a set T of ℓ points in \mathbb{R}^d that minimize $\max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \text{ham}(v, u)$.

The minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problem in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$ is as follows: given a set P of n points in $\{0, 1\}^d$, find a partition of P into ℓ subsets P_1, P_2, \dots, P_ℓ that minimize $\max_{i \in [\ell]} \max_{v, u \in P_i} \text{ham}(v, u)$.

To derive a result analogous to Theorem 1 for Hamming spaces we cannot directly apply the general method for Euclidean spaces from Section 3 and instead use the following procedure.

procedure *DIMREDHAMCENTER*(ℓ, P, ϵ)

Input: A positive integer ℓ , a set P of points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{0, 1\}^d$, $n > \ell$, and a real $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Output: A set $T \subset P$ of ℓ centers of P .

1. Set n to the number of input points and k to $O(\log n/\epsilon^2)$.
2. Generate a random $k \times d$ matrix R with entries in $\{-1, 1\}$, defining the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $f(x) = Rx^\top$ (see Fact 1).
3. Compute the values of the function f on each point $p_i \in P$, i.e., for $i \in [n]$, compute Rp_i^\top .
4. Set T to $\{p_1\}$, and for $j \in [n] \setminus \{1\}$, set W_{1j} to $(\|f(p_1) - f(p_j)\|_2)^2$ (see Corollary 2).
5. $\ell - 2$ times iterate the following three steps:
 - (a) Find $p_m \in P \setminus T$ that maximizes $\min_{p_q \in T} W_{qm}$ and extend T to $T \cup \{p_m\}$.
 - (b) For each $p_j \in P \setminus T$, set W_{mj} to $(\|f(p_m) - f(p_j)\|_2)^2$.
 - (c) For each $p_j \in P \setminus T$, update $\min_{p_i \in T} W_{ij}$.
6. Find $p_m \in P \setminus T$ that maximizes $\min_{p_q \in T} W_{qm}$ and extend T to $T \cup \{p_m\}$.
7. Return T .

By the specification of W_{ij} in *DIMREDHAMCENTER*(ℓ, P, ϵ) and Corollary 2, we obtain immediately the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Assume the notation from DIMREDHAMCENTER(ℓ, P, ϵ). For $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [n]$, the following inequalities hold w.h.p.:*

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ij} &\leq (1 + \epsilon)\text{ham}(p_i, o_j), \\ (1 - \epsilon)\text{ham}(p_i, p_j) &\leq W_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7. *DIMREDHAMCENTER(ℓ, P, ϵ) runs in time $O(n \log n(d + \ell)/\epsilon^2)$.*

Proof. Step 1 can be done $O(nd)$ time. Step 2 takes $O(dk) = O((d \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. The preprocessing in Step 3 requires $O(ndk)$, i.e., $O((nd \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Step 4 can be done in $O((n \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Steps 5(a) and 5(c) take $O(n)$ time while Step 5(b) as Step 4 can be done in $O((n \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Consequently, the whole Step 5 requires $O((n \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Finally, Step 6 takes $O((n \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time similarly as Steps 4 and 5(b). It remains to observe that the overall running time of *DIMREDHAMCENTER*(ℓ, P, ϵ) is dominated by those of Step 3 and Step 5. \square

Theorem 2. Let P be a set of n points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{0, 1\}^d$, ℓ an integer smaller than n , and let $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. $\text{DIMREDHAMCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/5)$ provides w.h.p. a $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation of an optimal ℓ -center clustering of P in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$ in time $O(n \log n(d + \ell)/\epsilon^2)$. Its slight modification yields a $(2 + \epsilon)$ approximation to an ℓ -clustering of P with minimum cluster diameter w.h.p.

Proof. Let T be the set of ℓ centers output by $\text{DIMREDHAMCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/5)$. Next, let $r = \max_{v \in P} \min_{u \in T} \text{ham}(v, u)$, $r_w = \max_{p_i \in P} \min_{p_j \in T} W_{ij}$, and let p_q be a point for which the latter maximum is achieved. It follows from Lemma 6 that $r_w \geq r(1 - \epsilon)$ w.h.p. By the specification of the procedure DIMREDHAMCENTER and the definition of p_q , the set $T \cup \{p_q\}$ consists of $\ell + 1$ points such that for any pair p_v, p_u of points in this set $W_{vu} \geq r_w$ holds. Consequently, w.h.p. these $\ell + 1$ points are at the Hamming distance at least $r_w/(1 + \epsilon/5)$ apart by Lemma 6. Let T^* be an optimal set of ℓ centers of P . Two of these $\ell + 1$ points in $T \cup \{p_q\}$ must have the same nearest center in T^* . It follows that the Hamming distance of at least one of these two points to its nearest center in T^* is at least $\frac{r_w}{2(1 + \epsilon/5)}$ w.h.p. Since $r_w \geq r(1 - \epsilon/5)$ w.h.p. by Lemma 6, we infer that $\max_{p_i \in P} \min_{p_j \in T^*} \text{ham}(p_i, p_j)$ is at least $r \frac{1 - \epsilon/5}{2(1 + \epsilon/5)}$ w.h.p. It remains to note that $2 \frac{1 + \epsilon/5}{1 - \epsilon/5} \leq 2 + \epsilon$ by $\epsilon \leq 1/2$. This combined with Lemma 7 completes the proof of the first part.

To prove the second part, we can slightly modify $\text{DIMREDHAMCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/5)$ so it returns a partition of P into clusters P_i , $i \in [\ell]$, each consisting of all points in P for which the i -th center is closest in terms of the approximate W_{ij} distances. To implement the modification, we let to update in Step 5(c) not only $\min_{p_i \in T} W_{ij}$ but also the current center p_i minimizing $\min_{p_i \in T} W_{ij}$. This slight modification does not alter the asymptotic complexity of $\text{DIMREDHAMCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/5)$.

The proof of the $2 + \epsilon$ approximation guarantee in the second part follows by similar arguments as those in the first part. Consider the $\ell + 1$ points defined in the proof of the first part. Recall that they are at least $r_w/(1 + \epsilon/5)$ apart w.h.p. Two of the $\ell + 1$ points have also to belong to the same cluster in an ℓ -clustering that minimizes the diameter. It follows that the minimum diameter is at least $r_w/(1 + \epsilon/5)$ w.h.p. while the diameter of the clusters in the ℓ -center clustering returned by $\text{DIMREDHAMCENTER}(\ell, P, \epsilon/5)$ is at most $2r_w/(1 - \epsilon/5)$ w.h.p. by Lemma 6. Consequently, it is larger by at most $\frac{2r_w/(1 - \epsilon/5)}{r_w/(1 + \epsilon/5)} \leq 2 + \epsilon$ than the optimum w.h.p. \square

5 Faster $O(\alpha)$ -approximations for ℓ -center clustering

In this section, we shall plug recent fast approximation methods for the ℓ -center clustering problem in Euclidean spaces in the procedure DIMREDCENTER in order to decrease the heavy dependence of their running times on the dimension by minimally worsening their approximation guarantees.

The following fact is a recent refinement of an earlier result in [4].

Fact 2 [6]+[4] For $\alpha \geq 1$, the ℓ -center clustering problem for a set P of n points in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d admits $O(\alpha)$ -approximation in time $\text{poly}(d \log n)(n + \ell^{1+1/\alpha^2} n^{O(1/\alpha^{2/3})})$, or alternatively, in time $\text{poly}(d \log n) n \ell^{1/\alpha^2}$.

Importantly, we may assume w.l.o.g. that the $O(\alpha)$ -approximation method in Fact 2 is conservative. Simply, otherwise we can replace the current centers with closest input points which at most doubles the distance of an input point to the closest center.

By setting the subroutine SR in $DIMREDCENTER(\ell, P, \epsilon, SR)$ to the method of Fact 2 and using Lemmata 4 and 5 with $\epsilon = \Omega(1)$, we can reduce the dependence of the running time on d substantially.

Theorem 3. For $\alpha \geq 1$, the ℓ -center clustering problem for a set P of n points in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d admits w.h.p. $O(\alpha)$ -approximation in time $\tilde{O}(nd/\epsilon^2 + \ell^{1+1/\alpha^2} n^{O(1/\alpha^{2/3})})$, or alternatively, in time $\tilde{O}(nd/\epsilon^2 + n \ell^{1/\alpha^2})$.

6 Fast $O(1)$ -approximation for ℓ -center clustering with outliers

In the variants of the ℓ -center clustering and minimum-diameter ℓ -clustering problems with outliers, a given number z of input points can be discarded as outliers when trying to minimize the maximum distance to the nearest center or the maximum cluster diameter [2, 9].

Charikar *et al.* were the first to provide a polynomial-time $O(1)$ -approximation to the ℓ -center clustering problem with outliers [2]. They used a greedy method.

Fact 3 [2] Given a set P of n points from an arbitrary metric, an integer $\ell \leq n$, and an integer z , there is a polynomial-time 3-approximation algorithm for the ℓ -center clustering problem with z outliers in the metric.

In the proof of Fact 3, the authors assume that the considered optimal solution is conservative (i.e., the centers are input points) but they claim that one can remove this assumption in case of an Euclidean space keeping the approximation guarantee.

The general method of dimension reduction given in Section 3 cannot be applied directly to the generalization of the ℓ -center clustering problem to include outliers since several potential outliers may be mapped into a single point by the dimension reduction map. For this reason, we just modify the original greedy method from [2] using the approximate interdistances based on the reduction instead of the real ones. In this way, we can significantly speed-up the greedy method at the cost of slightly increasing the approximation guarantee. In particular, we can replace an $O(n^2 d)$ component of the time complexity of the method by $\tilde{O}(n^2)$.

procedure *DIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, ϵ, z)

Input: A positive integers ℓ, z , a set P of points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $n > \ell, z$, a real $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Output: A set T of ℓ centers for a subset of P of cardinality $n - z$.

1. Set n to the number of input points and k to $O(\log n / \epsilon^2)$.
2. Generate a random $k \times d$ matrix R with entries in $\{-1, 1\}$, defining the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $f(x) = Rx^\top$ (see Fact 1).
3. Compute the values of the function f for each point $p_i \in P$, i.e., for $i = 1, \dots, n$, compute Rp_i^\top .
4. For $i, j \in [n]$, compute $W'_{ij} = \|f(p_i) - f(p_j)\|_2$ and set W' to the matrix (W'_{ij}) .
5. (a) Compute and sort the set $B = \{W'_{ij} | i, j \in [n]\} \cup \{W'_{ij}(1 + 2\epsilon) | i, j \in [n]\}$.
 (b) By binary search find the smallest r in the sorted B such that $GREEDY(\ell, W', \epsilon, z, r)$ returns YES.
6. Set T to the set of centers returned by the successful call of *GREEDY* with the smallest r .

procedure *GREEDY*(ℓ, W', ϵ, z, r)

Input: The input parameters ℓ, P, ϵ, z , $W' = (W'_{ij})$ are specified as in *DIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, ϵ, z), r is a positive real number.

Output: YES if there is an ℓ -center clustering of a $(n - z)$ -point subset of P such that the maximum ℓ_2 distance of a point in the subset to its nearest center does not exceed $3(1 + \epsilon)r$ otherwise NO.

1. For $i \in [n]$, compute the set G_i of points $p_j \in P$ s.t. $W'_{ij} \leq r(1 + \epsilon)$ and the set E_i of points $p_j \in P$ s.t. $W'_{ij} \leq 3r(1 + \epsilon)$. Set S to $[n]$.
2. ℓ times iterate the following block:
 - (a) Select a set G_j of largest cardinality among (the not yet selected) sets $G_i, i \in S$. Select also E_j . Set S to $S \setminus \{j\}$.
 - (b) Mark the points in E_j and remove the newly marked points from all (the not yet selected) sets G_i, E_i , for $i \in S$.
3. If the total number of marked points is at least $n - z$, i.e., $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} E_i| \geq n - z$, then return YES along with $E_i, i \in [\ell]$ else output NO.

Lemma 8. *If there is a set T of ℓ centers in $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for at least $n - z$ points $p \in P$, $\min_{t \in T} \|t - p\|_2 \leq r$ then $GREEDY(\ell, P, W', \epsilon, z, r)$ returns YES w.h.p.*

Proof. We may assume w.l.o.g. that p_1, \dots, p_ℓ are the centers in the sets G_i , and their extensions E_i , $i \in [\ell]$, produced by $GREEDY(\ell, P, W', \epsilon, z, r)$. Let $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_\ell\}$ and for $i \in [\ell]$, let $T_i = \{p \in P \mid \|t_i - p\|_2 \leq r\}$. Following [2], to prove the lemma it is sufficient to show by induction on $i \in [\ell]$ that one can order the sets T_i so that $E_1 \cup E_2 \dots E_i$ cover at least as many points in P

as $T_1 \cup T_2 \dots T_i$ w.h.p. The proof is by assigning to each point in the latter set union a distinct point in the former set union.

By the inductive hypothesis, we may assume that the sets T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{i-1} and the assignment of a distinct point in $E_1 \cup E_2 \dots E_{i-1}$ to each point in $T_1 \cup T_2 \dots T_{i-1}$ have been determined. Suppose that the set G_i intersects some remaining set T_j . Then, E_i covers all points in T_j not covered by $E_1 \cup E_2 \dots E_{i-1}$ w.h.p. by Corollary 1. Therefore, we can assign to each point in T_j not covered by $E_1 \cup E_2 \dots E_{i-1}$ the point itself. Otherwise, by the greedy choice of G_i and Corollary 1, G_i and consequently also E_i contain at least as many points outside $E_1 \cup E_2 \dots E_{i-1}$ as T_j . Therefore, we can assign to each point in T_j not covered by $E_1 \cup E_2 \dots E_{i-1}$ a distinct point in E_i . Consequently, we can further rearrange the order of the remaining sets $T_q, i \leq q \leq \ell$, so T_j becomes T_i . Importantly, no point can be doubly assigned since in the i -th iteration of the *GREEDY* procedure, the updated sets E_i are disjoint from the sets $E_q, q < i$. \square

Lemma 9. *Assume the notation from Lemma 8. $GREEDY(\ell, P, W', \epsilon, z, r)$ can be implemented in $\tilde{O}(n^2 \ell)$ time.*

Proof. In Step 1, we compute representations of the sets G_i, E_i in the form of dictionaries keeping the indices of the points belonging to these sets. The dictionaries can be formed in $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time. A single iteration of the block in Step 2 takes $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time. Hence, the whole Step 2 takes $\tilde{O}(n^2 \ell)$ time. Finally, Step 3 can be done in $O(n)$ time. \square

Lemma 10. *$DIMREDCENOUT(\ell, P, \epsilon, z)$ can be implemented in time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$.*

Proof. Steps 1-3 are analogous to those in $DIMREDCENTER(\ell, P, \epsilon, SR)$ and hence they can be implemented in time $O((nd \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ by Lemma 5. Step 4 takes $O(n^2 k) = O((n^2 \log n)/\epsilon^2)$ time. Step 5(a) requires $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time. By Lemma 9, Step 5(b) can be done in $\tilde{O}(n^2 \ell)$. Finally, Step 6 can be completed in $O(nd)$ time. \square

Theorem 4. *Let P be a set of n points in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d , and let z be a nonnegative integer smaller than n . Suppose that there is a set $T \subset P$ of ℓ centers that is a solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for P with z outliers in the Euclidean space, where the maximum distance of a non-outlier to its closest center in T is at most r . Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. In time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$, one can construct a conservative solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for P with z outliers in the Euclidean space such that the maximum distance of a non-outlier to its closest center is at most $(3 + \epsilon)r$ w.h.p.*

Proof. Let us run $DIMREDCENOUT(\ell, P, \delta, z)$, where δ is a fraction of ϵ to be specified later. Note that $r = \|p_i - p_j\|_2$ for some $i, j \in [n]$. Recall also that both W'_{ij} and $W'_{ij}(1 + \delta)$ are considered in the binary search in Step 5 of $DIMREDCENOUT(\ell, P, \delta, z)$. If $W'_{ij} \leq r$ then $r \leq (1 + 2\delta)W'_{ij} \leq (1 + 2\delta)r$ by Lemma 2. Otherwise, we have $r \leq W'_{ij} \leq (1 + \delta)r$ by Corollary 1. We infer that in the binary search, a value r' between r and $(1 + 2\delta)r$ is considered. It follows

from Lemma 8, that $DIMREDCENOUT(\ell, P, \delta, z)$ produces a conservative solution where the maximum distance of non-outlier to its closest center is at most $(3 + \delta)(1 + 2\delta)r$. This is at most $(3 + \epsilon)r$ when δ is set to $\frac{\epsilon}{8}$.

$DIMREDCENOUT(\ell, P, \epsilon/8, z)$ can be implemented in time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$ by Lemma 10. \square

Since a solution to an instance of the ℓ -center clustering problem with outliers can be easily transformed to a conservative one by at most doubling the distances of non-outlier points to their closest centers, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let P be a set of n points in the Euclidean \mathbb{R}^d , and let z be a nonnegative integer smaller than n . For an arbitrary $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the ℓ -center clustering problem for P with z outliers in the Euclidean space admits w.h.p. a $(6 + \epsilon)$ -approximation in time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$.*

By slightly modifying the procedures $DIMREDCENOUT$ and $GREEDY$, we can obtain analogous theorem and corollary for ℓ -center clustering with outliers in Hamming spaces. Simply, in the modified procedures, we use as the approximate distance between points v and u the squared distance $\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2$ instead of $\|f(v) - f(u)\|_1$. The asymptotic running times of the modified procedures are the same as those of the original ones. The proof of the approximation guarantee is analogous to that in the Euclidean case. Instead of Corollary 1 we use Corollary 2 and instead of Lemma 2 its Hamming equivalent. See Appendix A for details.

Theorem 5. *Let P be a set of n points in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$, and let z be a nonnegative integer smaller than n . Suppose that there is a set $T \subset P$ of ℓ centers that is a solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for P with z outliers in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$, where the maximum Hamming distance of a non-outlier to its closest center in T is at most r . Let $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. In time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$, one can construct a conservative solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem for P with z outliers in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$ such that the maximum Hamming distance of a non-outlier to its closest center is at most $(3 + \epsilon)r$ w.h.p.*

Corollary 4. *Let P be a set of n points in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$, and let z be a nonnegative integer smaller than n . For an arbitrary $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the ℓ -center clustering problem for P with z outliers in the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^d$ admits w.h.p. a $(6 + \epsilon)$ -approximation in time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$.*

7 Final remarks

Relatively recently, Jiang *et al.* have shown in [11] that for some problems, including the ℓ -center clustering problem in Euclidean spaces, there is a randomized dimension reduction even to a sublogarithmic-size subspace. Such a reduction preserves the optimal value of the solution to the problem within a factor related to the size of the subspace. Following [11], their main result can be stated informally as follows.

Fact 4 [11] For every d, α, ℓ, n , where $\ell \leq n$, there is a random linear map $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^t$, where $t = O(\frac{\log n}{\alpha^2} + \log \ell)$, such that for every set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of n points, with high probability, g preserves the value of optimal solution to the ℓ -center clustering problem within $O(\alpha)$ factor.

We could replace the variant of JL randomized dimension reduction from [1] in the general method presented in Section 3 by the enhanced randomized dimension reduction from [11]. Roughly, this would lead to decreasing the asymptotic time complexity by $O(\alpha^2)$ at the cost of increasing the approximation guarantee by $O(\alpha)$. As the authors of [11] note, when one is interested in $O(1)$ -approximation and hence $\alpha = O(1)$, then $\frac{\log n}{\alpha^2} = \Omega(\log n)$ and their method does not yield any improvement of the asymptotic running time. On the other hand, the randomized dimension reduction from [11] can be advantageous when for instance algorithms of exponential dependence on the dimension size are applied in the target subspace.

References

1. D. Achlioptas. Database-friendly random projections: Johnson-Lindenstrauss with binary coins. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 66(4):671–687, 2003.
2. M. Charikar, S. Khuller, D. Mount, and G. Narasimhan. Algorithms for facility location problems with outliers. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2001)*, pages 642–651, Philadelphia, PA, 2001. ACM-SIAM.
3. M. Ebbens, N. Funk, J. Höckendorff, C. Sohler, and V. Weil. A subquadratic time approximation algorithm for individually fair k -center. CoRR abs/2412.04943, 2024.
4. D. Eppstein, S. Har-Peled, and A. Sidiropoulos. Approximate greedy clustering and distance selection for graph metrics. *J. Comput. Geom.*, 11 (1):629–652, 2020.
5. T. Feder and D. Greene. Optimal algorithms for approximate clustering. In *Proceedings of ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC 1988)*, pages 434–444, Chicago, IL, 1988. ACM.
6. A. Filtser, S. Jiang, Y. Li, A. Naredla, I. Psarros, Q. Yang, and Q. Zhang. Faster approximation algorithms for k -center via data reduction. DOI:10.48550/arXiv.2502.05888, 2025.
7. T. Gonzalez. Clustering to minimize the maximum intercluster distance. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 38:293–306, 1985.
8. S. Har-Peled and M. Mendel. Fast construction of nets in low-dimensional metrics and their applications. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 35(5):1148–1184, 2006.
9. D. Harris, T. Pensyl, A. Srinivasan, and K. Trinh. A lottery model for center-type problems with outliers. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization Problems (APPROX 2017)*, volume 81 of *LIPICs*, pages 10:1–10:19, Saarbrücken/Wadern, Germany, 2017. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing.
10. D. Hochbaum and D. Shmoys. A best possible heuristic for the k -center problem. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 10(2):180–184, 1985.

11. S. Jiang, R. Krauthgamer, and S. Sapir. Moderate dimension reduction for k -center clustering. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2024)*, volume 293 of *LIPICs*, pages 64:1–64:16, Saarbrücken/Wadern, Germany, 2024. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing.
12. W. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss. Extensions of Lipschitz mappings into a Hilbert space. In *Conference in Modern Analysis and Probability*, volume 26 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 189–206, Providence, RI, 1984. American Mathematical Society.

A $O(1)$ -approximation for ℓ -center clustering with outliers in Hamming spaces

Our Hamming versions of the procedures *DIMREDCENOUT* and *GREEDY* are as follows.

procedure *HAMDIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, ϵ, z)
Input: A positive integers ℓ, z , a set P of points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{0, 1\}^d$, where $n > \ell, z$, a real $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.
Output: A set T of ℓ centers for a subset of P of cardinality $n - z$.

1. Set n to the number of input points and k to $O(\log n / \epsilon^2)$.
2. Generate a random $k \times d$ matrix R with entries in $\{-1, 1\}$, defining the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $f(x) = Rx^\top$ (see Fact 1).
3. Compute the values of the function f for each point $p_i \in P$, i.e., for $i = 1, \dots, n$, compute Rp_i^\top .
4. For $i, j \in [n]$, compute $W''_{ij} = (\|f(p_i) - f(p_j)\|_2)^2$ and set W'' to the matrix (W''_{ij}) .
5. (a) Compute and sort the set $B' = \{W''_{ij} | i, j \in [n]\} \cup \{W''_{ij}(1 + 2\epsilon) | i, j \in [n]\}$.
 (b) By binary search find the smallest r in the sorted B' such that *HAMGREEDY*($\ell, W'', \epsilon, z, r$) returns *YES*.
6. Set T to the set of centers returned by the successful call of *HAMGREEDY* with the smallest r .

procedure *HAMGREEDY*($\ell, W'', \epsilon, z, r$)
Input: The input parameters ℓ, P, ϵ, z , $W'' = (W''_{ij})$ are specified as in *HAMDIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, ϵ, z), r is a positive real number.
Output: YES if there is an ℓ -center clustering of a $(n - z)$ -point subset of P such that the maximum Hamming distance of a point in the subset to its nearest center does not exceed $3(1 + \epsilon)r$ otherwise NO.

1. For $i \in [n]$, compute the set G'_i of points $p_j \in P$ s.t. $W''_{ij} \leq r(1 + \epsilon)$ and the set E'_i of points $p_j \in P$ s.t. $W''_{ij} \leq 3r(1 + \epsilon)$. Set S to $[n]$.
2. ℓ times iterate the following block:
 - (a) Select a set G'_j of largest cardinality among (the not yet selected) sets $G'_i, i \in S$. Select also E'_j . Set S to $S \setminus \{j\}$.
 - (b) Mark the points in E'_j and remove the newly marked points from all (the not yet selected) sets G'_i, E'_i , for $i \in S$.
3. If the total number of marked points is at least $n - z$, i.e., $|\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} E'_i| \geq n - z$, then return YES along with $E'_i, i \in [\ell]$ else output NO.

Lemma 11. *If there is a set T of ℓ centers in $P \subset \{0, 1\}^d$ such that for at least $n - z$ points $p \in P$, $\min_{t \in T} (\|t - p\|_2)^2 \leq r$ then *HAMGREEDY*($\ell, P, W', \epsilon, z, r$) returns YES w.h.p.*

Proof. We may assume w.l.o.g. that p_1, \dots, p_ℓ are the centers in the sets G'_i , and their extensions E'_i , $i \in [\ell]$, produced by *HAMGREEDY*($\ell, P, W'', \epsilon, z, r$). Let $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_\ell\}$ and for $i \in [\ell]$, let $T_i = \{p \in P \mid (\|t_i - p\|_2)^2 \leq r\}$. As in the Euclidean case, to prove the lemma it is sufficient to show by induction on $i \in [\ell]$ that one can order

the sets T_i so that $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \dots E'_i$ cover at least as many points in P as $T_1 \cup T_2 \dots T_i$ w.h.p. The proof is by assigning to each point in the latter set union a distinct point in the former set union.

By the inductive hypothesis, we may assume that the sets T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{i-1} and the assignment of a distinct point in $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \dots E'_{i-1}$ to each point in $T_1 \cup T_2 \dots T_{i-1}$ have been determined. Suppose that the set G'_i intersects some remaining set T_j . Then, E'_i covers all points in T_j not covered by $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \dots E'_{i-1}$ w.h.p. by Corollary ???. Therefore, we can assign to each point in T_j not covered by $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \dots E'_{i-1}$ the point itself. Otherwise, by the greedy choice of G'_i and Corollary 2, G'_i and consequently also E'_i contain at least as many points outside $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \dots E'_{i-1}$ as T_j . Therefore, we can assign to each point in T_j not covered by $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \dots E'_{i-1}$ a distinct point in E'_i . Consequently, we can further rearrange the order of the remaining sets $T_q, i \leq q \leq \ell$ so T_j becomes T_i . Importantly, no point can be doubly assigned since in the i -th iteration of the *HAMGREEDY* procedure, the updated sets E'_i are disjoint from the sets $E'_q, q < i$. \square

Lemma 12. *Assume the notation from Lemma 11. *HAMGREEDY*($\ell, P, W'', \epsilon, z, r$) can be implemented in $\tilde{O}(n^2 \ell)$ time.*

Proof. is analogous to that of Lemma 9. \square

Lemma 13. **DIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, ϵ, z) can be implemented in time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$.*

Proof. is analogous to that of Lemma 10 \square

We need also a Hamming equivalent of Lemma 2.

Lemma 14. *Assume the notation from Fact 1. Suppose that $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $P \subset \{0, 1\}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for all $v, u \in P$, the following inequalities hold w.h.p.:*

$$(1 - \epsilon)(\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2 \leq \text{ham}(v, u),$$

$$\text{ham}(v, u) \leq (1 + 2\epsilon)(\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2.$$

Proof. By the right-hand inequality in Corollary 2, we obtain for any $v, u \in P$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, $\frac{(\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2}{1 + \epsilon} \leq \text{ham}(v, u)$. It follows that $\text{ham}(v, u) - \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}(\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2 \leq \text{ham}(v, u)$. Consequently, we obtain the first inequality in this lemma.

Similarly, by the left-hand inequality in Corollary 2, we infer that for any $v, u \in P$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, $\text{ham}(v, u) \leq \frac{(\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2}{1 - \epsilon}$. This yields $\text{ham}(v, u) \leq (\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}(\|f(v) - f(u)\|_2)^2$. Since $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the second inequality in this lemma follows. \square

Proof. of Theorem 5. Let us run *HAMDIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, δ, z), where δ is set to $\frac{\epsilon}{8}$. Note that $r = \text{ham}(p_i, p_j) = (\|p_i - p_j\|_2)^2$ for some $i, j \in [n]$. Recall also that both W''_{ij} and $W''_{ij}(1 + \delta)$ are considered in the binary search in Step 5 of *HAMDIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, δ, z). If $W''_{ij} \leq r$ then $r \leq (1 + 2\delta)W''_{ij} \leq (1 + 2\delta)r$ by Lemma 14. Otherwise, we have $r \leq W''_{ij} \leq (1 + \delta)r$ by Corollary 2. We infer that in the binary search, a value r' between r and $(1 + 2\delta)r$ is considered. It follows from Lemma 11, that *HAMDIMREDCENOUT*(ℓ, P, δ, z) produces a conservative solution where the maximum distance of non-outlier toots closest center is at most $(3 + \delta)(1 + 2\delta)r$. This is at most $(3 + \epsilon)r$ by $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{8}$.

HAMDIMREDCENOUT($\ell, P, \epsilon/8, z$) can be implemented in time $\tilde{O}(n^2(\epsilon^{-2} + \ell))$ by Lemma 13. \square