

# A CONFORMAL POSITIVE MASS THEOREM WITH NONCOMPACT BOUNDARY

ALEX FREIRE AND MOHAMMAD TARIQUEL ISLAM

**ABSTRACT.** We obtain an integral inequality for asymptotically linear harmonic functions on asymptotically flat 3-manifolds with noncompact boundary, which implies positivity of a convex combination of ADM masses of two conformally related metrics under a positivity condition on a corresponding convex combination of their scalar curvatures. This generalizes a result of Batista and Lopes de Lima, under conditions that do not assume positivity of scalar curvature.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Our starting point is a result of W. Simon (1999, [9]) concerning two conformally related, asymptotically flat 3-manifolds with finite ADM mass,  $(M, g), (M, g')$ ,  $g' = f^4 g$  where  $f > 0$  is smooth on  $M$  and  $f$  tends to one at infinity. Using a harmonic spinor argument, W. Simon proves that the ADM masses satisfy  $m(g) + m(g') \geq 0$ , provided the condition  $R + f^4 R' \geq 0$  holds pointwise on  $M$ . Additionally,  $m(g) + m(g') = 0$  implies  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $g = g'$  is the euclidean metric. The motivation for this result was an earlier harmonic spinors argument by ul-Alam (1993, [8]) in the context of a uniqueness result for certain solutions of Einstein's equation coupled with scalar and electric fields, where a Lichnerowicz formula is used without assuming positive scalar curvature of the underlying Riemannian metric. (In particular, ul-Alam obtains the existence of asymptotically constant harmonic spinors under conditions different from non-negativity of scalar curvature.)

Almost twenty years later, L-F Tam and Q. Wang (2017, [10]), in the process of extending W. Simon's result to initial data sets  $(M^3, g, k)$  and to asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, observed that a more general result is a direct consequence of the classical positive mass theorem itself. Namely, for two asymptotically flat, conformally related metrics  $g$  and  $g' = f^4 g$ , under the assumption:

$$(1 - \lambda)R + \lambda f^4 R' \geq 0 \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1],$$

one has for the ADM masses:

$$(1 - \lambda)m(g) + \lambda m(g') \geq 0;$$

equality implies  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $f \equiv 1$  and  $g = g'$  is the euclidean metric.

Recall a smooth connected 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is *asymptotically flat* if there exists a compact set  $K \subset M$  such that  $M \setminus K = \sqcup_{k=1}^N M_{end}^k$ , where the ends  $M_{end}^k$  are pairwise disjoint and diffeomorphic to the complement of a ball  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1$ , and in each end the diffeomorphism defines a coordinate system

satisfying:

$$|\partial^l(g_{ij} - \delta_{ij})(x)| = O(|x|^{-q-l}), \text{ for some } \frac{1}{2} < q < 1 \text{ and } l = 0, 1, 2.$$

If we assume the scalar curvature  $R_g \in L^1(M, g)$ , the ADM mass of each end is well-defined and given by (with  $n^0$  the euclidean exterior unit normal to  $S_\rho$ ):

$$m(g) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S_\rho} \mu_g[n^0] d\sigma_\rho^0, \quad \mu_g = \sum_{i,j} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) dx^j \in \Omega^1(M_{end})$$

To each end of  $M$  corresponds an *exterior region*  $M_{ext} \supset M_{end}$ , diffeomorphic to the complement of finitely many balls in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with disjoint closure, with boundary consisting of minimal 2-spheres.

In Bray et al. (2022, [4]), the following is proved: let  $(M_{ext}, g)$  be an exterior region of a complete asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifold with ADM mass  $m$ . If  $u$  is a harmonic function on  $(M_{ext}, g)$  with Neumann boundary conditions on  $\partial M_{ext}$ , asymptotic at infinity to one of the coordinate functions in the end considered, we have:

$$(1) \quad m \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{ext}} \left( \frac{|\nabla_g^2 u|_g^2}{|\nabla_g u|_g} + R_g |\nabla_g u|_g \right) dV_g.$$

It is noteworthy that the existence of such harmonic functions, with Neumann boundary conditions and asymptotic to a given linear function of the asymptotically flat coordinates, can be proven independently of sign conditions on the scalar curvature. In particular, this inequality proves a strong version of the Positive Mass theorem, and the rigidity statement follows from a short argument (see [4]).

It is natural to seek a similar inequality for a convex combination of the ADM masses of conformally related metrics, which would imply the Tam-Wang result alluded to above; we do this in section 2 of this paper. (One issue to keep in mind is that the minimality of  $\partial M_{ext}$  with respect to the metric  $g$  is used in the proof.)

The third strand of ideas motivating this work are positive-mass results (and future-timelike energy-momentum vector, for the case of initial data sets) for asymptotically flat manifolds with a noncompact boundary, ([1], [3].) We recall the basic definition (we assume for simplicity  $M$  has only one end, and  $\Sigma$  is connected):

**Definition.** A three-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with non-compact boundary  $\Sigma$  is an *asymptotically flat half-space* if there exists a compact set  $K \subset M$  ( $M_{end} = M \setminus K$  and a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : M_{end} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^3 \setminus B_1^+(0)$  such that in the asymptotic coordinate system  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  (with  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  given by  $y_3 \geq 0$ ) defined by  $\Phi$ , the metric  $g$  satisfies:

$$|\partial^l(g_{ij} - \delta_{ij})(y)| = O(|y|^{-q-l}), \text{ for some } \frac{1}{2} < q < 1 \text{ and } l = 0, 1, 2.$$

We also assume the scalar curvature  $R_g$  and the mean curvature  $H_g$  of the embedding  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  are in  $L^1(M, g)$ .

In this situation, it is proved in [6] (Lemma 2.3), assuming also  $R_g \geq 0$  and  $H_g \geq 0$ , that there exists a 3-manifold with boundary  $M_{ext}$ , diffeomorphic to the complement of finitely many closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  and containing an open subset isometric to  $M_{end}$ , with boundary of the form:

$$\partial M_{ext} = \Sigma_{ext} \sqcup \mathcal{S}^{cl} \sqcup \mathcal{D}^{fb}.$$

Here  $\Sigma_{ext} = \Sigma \cap M_{ext}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^{cl}$  is a union of disjoint minimal 2-spheres in the interior of  $M_{ext}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{fb}$  is a disjoint union of free-boundary minimal 2-disks (i.e. intersecting  $\Sigma$  orthogonally.)

An asymptotic invariant of ADM mass type for asymptotically flat half-spaces was defined in [1]:

$$(2) \quad m_\Sigma(g) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16\pi} \left( \int_{S_\rho^+} \mu_g[\mu^0] d\sigma_\rho^0 + \int_{\partial S_\rho^+} \langle \partial_{y_3}, \theta^0 \rangle_g ds_\rho^0 \right),$$

where  $\mu^0$  is the euclidean outer unit normal to the  $y$ -coordinate hemisphere  $S_\rho^+$  and  $\theta^0$  the outer unit co-normal to the circle  $\partial S_\rho^+$  in  $\Sigma_{ext}$ .

For an asymptotically flat half-space, it is proved in [1] (Prop 3.8) that solutions to the following boundary/asymptotic value problem exist:

$$(3) \quad \Delta_g w = 0 \text{ on } M_{ext}; \quad \partial_n w = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{S}^{cl} \sqcup \mathcal{D}^{fb},$$

$$w = 0 \text{ and } |\nabla w|_g \neq 0 \text{ on } \Sigma_{ext}; \quad w - y_3 = O(|y|^{-q+1+\epsilon}) \text{ as } |y| \rightarrow \infty.$$

(This result does not require a sign condition on the scalar curvature.) Here  $n$  is the outward unit normal to  $\Sigma_{ext}$ , extended to  $\mathcal{S}^{cl} \sqcup \mathcal{D}^{fb}$  in the natural way (pointing out of  $M_{ext}$ ). For such harmonic functions  $w$ , the following inequality is proved in [3]:

$$(4) \quad m_\Sigma(g) \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{ext}} \left( \frac{|\nabla_g^2 w|_g^2}{|\nabla_g w|_g} + R_g |\nabla_g w|_g \right) dV_g + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{ext}} H_g |\nabla_g w|_g dA_g.$$

Our main observation, proved in section 3, is that this estimate extends to convex combination of masses of conformally related metrics on AF half-spaces.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an asymptotically flat 3-dimensional half-space. Suppose  $g' = f^4 g$  is a conformally related metric on  $M$ , where  $f > 0$  and  $f \rightarrow 1$  at infinity, so that  $g'$  is also asymptotically flat. Assume also  $\partial_n f = 0$  on  $\partial M_{ext}$ . Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . The metric  $g_\lambda = f^{4\lambda} g$  is also asymptotically flat. Let  $w$  be  $g_\lambda$ -harmonic ( $\Delta_\lambda w = 0$ ) and satisfy the boundary/asymptotic conditions (3). Then the following inequality holds:

$$(1 - \lambda)m_\Sigma(g) + \lambda m_\Sigma(g') \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{ext}} \left( \frac{|\nabla_\lambda^2 w|_\lambda^2}{|\nabla_\lambda w|_\lambda} + ((1 - \lambda)R_g + \lambda f^4 R') |\nabla_\lambda w|_\lambda \right) dV_\lambda \\ + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{ext}} ((1 - \lambda)H_g + \lambda f^2 H') |\nabla_\lambda w|_\lambda dA_\lambda.$$

(Subscripts  $\lambda$  denote quantities computed in the metric  $g_\lambda$ .)

**Corollary 2.** If  $(1 - \lambda)R_g + f^4 \lambda R' \geq 0$  on  $M_{ext}$  and  $(1 - \lambda)H_g + \lambda f^2 H' \geq 0$  on  $\Sigma_{ext}$ , we have  $(1 - \lambda)m_\Sigma(g) + \lambda m_\Sigma(g') \geq 0$ ; equality implies  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $f \equiv 1$  and  $g = g'$  is euclidean.

## 2. SIMON'S CONFORMAL POSITIVE MASS THEOREM VIA HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

In this section we describe how the inequality in [4] (Theorem 1.2) may be extended to a one-parameter conformal class of asymptotically flat metrics, following an observation in [10]. We begin by recalling the definition of the *exterior region*  $M_{ext}$  associated to an end of an asymptotically flat (AF) 3-manifold  $(M^3, g)$ .

According to [5] (Lemma 4.1), given an AF  $(M^3, g)$ , associated to each end  $M_{end}$  of  $M$  there exists an ‘exterior region’  $(M_{ext}, \bar{g})$ : a complete, connected, asymptotically flat 3-manifold, with compact boundary  $\partial M_{ext}$  consisting of finitely many embedded  $g$ -minimal ( $H_g = 0$ ) 2-spheres, and containing no other minimal surfaces, embedded or immersed.  $M_{ext}$  is diffeomorphic to the complement in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of finitely many open balls with disjoint closures. In addition,  $(M_{ext}, \bar{g})$  contains an open subset isometric to  $(M_{end}, g)$ , and in particular has ADM mass  $m(g)$ . For this reason, we’ll identify  $\bar{g}$  and  $g$  in the notation from this point on, and regard  $M_{end}$  as an open subset of  $M_{ext}$ , though strictly speaking it isn’t. As the authors of [5] observe, the existence of  $M_{ext}$  is independent of any curvature hypotheses for  $(M, g)$ .

We consider a one-parameter family of metrics on  $M_{ext}$  conformal to  $g$ ,  $g_\lambda = f^{4\lambda}g$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . The hypotheses on  $f$  are such that all  $g_\lambda$  are AF, namely, as  $r = |x| \rightarrow \infty$  on  $M_{end}$  (with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1$  the AF coordinates):

$$(5) \quad |f - 1| + r|\nabla^g f|_g = O(r^{-q}), \frac{1}{2} < q < 1; \Delta_g f \in L^1(M, g), \partial_n f = 0 \text{ on } \partial M_{ext}.$$

We need to make sure  $\partial M_{ext}$  is minimal with respect to any metric in this conformal family. Recall the transformation formula (where  $H_\lambda$  is the mean curvature of  $\partial M_{ext}$  with respect to the metric  $g_\lambda$ ):

$$f^{2\lambda} H_\lambda = H_g + 4\lambda \frac{\partial_n f}{f},$$

where  $n$  is the unit outward normal to  $\partial M_{ext}$  (with respect to  $g$ , or equivalently to any  $g_\lambda$ ). Thus the condition  $\partial_n f = 0$  guarantees  $H_\lambda = 0$ . This is the only hypothesis on  $\partial M_{ext}$  needed for Thm 1.2 in [4].

The following observation is a special case of one in [10]

**Lemma 3.** With  $f > 0$  on  $M_{ext}$  as above and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , let  $g' = f^4 g$ ,  $g_\lambda = f^{4\lambda} g$  (all AF metrics on  $M_{ext}$ ). Then we have for the ADM masses:

$$m(g_\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)m(g) + \lambda m(g').$$

*Proof.* Recall the expression for the change in ADM mass under conformal change of metric (see e.g. [7], p. 73):

$$m(g') = m(g) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho} \partial_r f d\sigma_\rho^0,$$

in particular:

$$m(g_\lambda) = m(g) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho} \partial_r (f^\lambda) d\sigma_\rho^0 = m(g) - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho} \partial_r f d\sigma_\rho^0,$$

since  $f|_{S_\rho} \rightarrow 1$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus (using the above for  $\lambda = 1$ ):

$$(1 - \lambda)m(g) + \lambda m(g') = m(g) + \lambda(m(g') - m(g)) = m(g) - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho} \partial_r f d\sigma_\rho^0 = m(g_\lambda)$$

□

Briefly: the convex combination of ADM masses of two metrics in this family equals the ADM mass of a metric in the family.

To compute the scalar curvature  $R_\lambda$  of  $g_\lambda$ , recall the standard transformation formula:

$$f^{4\lambda} R_\lambda = R_g - 8 \frac{\Delta_g(f^\lambda)}{f^\lambda},$$

in particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ :

$$-8 \frac{\Delta_g f}{f} = f^4 R' - R_g.$$

A simple calculation yields:

$$\frac{\Delta_g(f^\lambda)}{f^\lambda} = \lambda f^{-1} \Delta_g f - \lambda(1 - \lambda) f^{-2} |\nabla^g f|_g^2.$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad R_\lambda &= f^{-4\lambda} [R_g - 8\lambda f^{-1} \Delta_g f + 8\lambda(1 - \lambda) f^{-2} |\nabla^g f|_g^2] \\ &= f^{-4\lambda} [(1 - \lambda) R_g + \lambda f^4 R' + 8\lambda(1 - \lambda) f^{-2} |\nabla^g f|_g^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_{ext}(g)$  be the exterior region for the metric  $g$  (as described at the start of this section). By the argument in [2] (Theorem 3.1), given  $x = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$  AF coordinates on  $M_{ext}$ , for any  $\alpha \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  there exists  $u_\lambda$  on  $M_{ext}$ ,  $g_\lambda$ -harmonic ( $\Delta_\lambda u_\lambda = 0$ ) with Neumann boundary conditions  $\partial_n u_\lambda = 0$  on  $\partial M_{ext}$ , with the asymptotics on  $M_{ext}$ :

$$|u_\lambda(x) - \sum_i \alpha_i x^i| = o(|x|^{1-q}), \quad |\partial_i u_\lambda - \alpha_i| = o(|x|^{-q}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since, under the assumption  $\partial_n f = 0$  on  $\partial M_{ext}$ , we have that  $\partial M_{ext}$  is  $g_\lambda$ -minimal, we may apply the inequality (1) to  $g_\lambda$  (and Lemma 3) to conclude:

$$(7) \quad (1 - \lambda)m(g) + \lambda m(g') = m(g_\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{ext}} \left( \frac{|\nabla_\lambda^2 u_\lambda|_\lambda^2}{|\nabla^\lambda u_\lambda|_\lambda} + R_\lambda |\nabla^\lambda u_\lambda|_\lambda \right) dV_\lambda,$$

where  $R_\lambda$  is given by (6).

A short argument then leads to a proof of the main result in [9]:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(M^3, g)$  be asymptotically flat. Let  $f > 0$  on  $M_{ext}(g)$  satisfy (5), so that  $g' = f^4 g$  is also AF. Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Assume  $(1 - \lambda)R_g + \lambda f^4 R' \geq 0$  on  $M_{ext}(g)$ . Then: (i)  $(1 - \lambda)m(g) + \lambda m(g') \geq 0$ . (ii) If  $(1 - \lambda)m(g) + \lambda m(g') = 0$ , then  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $M^3 = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $g' = g$  is euclidean.

*Proof.* (i) Follows directly from (7); note  $R_\lambda \geq 0$ , from expression (6) above.

(ii) Suppose  $(1 - \lambda)m + \lambda m(g') = 0$ . Then  $m(g_\lambda) = 0$ , so by uniqueness in the positive mass theorem we have  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $g_\lambda$  is euclidean. From expression (6) and the assumption  $(1 - \lambda)R_g + \lambda f^4 R' \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\nabla_g f \equiv 0$  on  $M$ , and since  $f \rightarrow 1$  at infinity this implies  $f \equiv 1$ . So  $g = g' = g_\lambda$ , and  $g$  is euclidean.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND COROLLARY 2.

*Proof.* First observe that, on the boundary  $\partial S_\rho^+$  of the coordinate hemisphere, we have:

$$\langle \partial_{y_3}, \theta^0 \rangle_{g_\lambda} = f^{4\lambda} \langle \partial_{y_3}, \theta^0 \rangle_g \rightarrow \langle \partial_{y_3}, \theta^0 \rangle_g,$$

as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the proof of Lemma 3 still applies in the half-space case: there is

no contribution from  $\partial S_\rho^+$  in the equality:

$$m_\Sigma(g_\lambda) = m_\Sigma(g) - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \lim_{\rho} \int_{S_\rho^+} \partial_r f d\sigma_\rho^0.$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)m_\Sigma(g) + \lambda m_\Sigma(g') &= m_\Sigma(g) + \lambda(m_\Sigma(g') - m_\Sigma(g)) \\ &= m_\Sigma(g) - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \lim_{\rho} \int_{S_\rho^+} \partial_r f d\sigma_\rho^0 = m_\Sigma(g_\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

exactly as in Lemma 3.

From the mean curvature transformation formula:

$$(8) \quad H_\lambda = f^{-2\lambda} H_g + 4\lambda f^{-2\lambda-1} \partial_n f,$$

for  $\lambda = 1$  we get

$$H' = f^{-2} H_g + 4f^{-3} \partial_n f,$$

or

$$(9) \quad \partial_n f = \frac{1}{4} (f^3 H' - f H_g).$$

Now replacing  $\partial_n f$  in equation (8) by (9) we find:

$$(10) \quad H_\lambda = f^{-2\lambda} [(1 - \lambda) H_g + \lambda f^2 H'].$$

Also the scalar curvature transformation formula is

$$(11) \quad R_\lambda = f^{-4\lambda} \left[ (1 - \lambda) R_g + \lambda f^4 R' + 8\lambda(1 - \lambda) f^{-2} |\nabla^g f|_g^2 \right].$$

Thus for  $m_\Sigma(g_\lambda)$  the inequality in [3] (Theorem 1.6) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)m_\Sigma(g) + \lambda m_\Sigma(g') = m_\Sigma(g_\lambda) &\geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{\text{ext}}} \left( \frac{|\nabla_\lambda^2 u|_\lambda^2}{|\nabla^\lambda u|_\lambda} + R_\lambda |\nabla^\lambda u|_\lambda \right) dV_\lambda + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{ext}}} H_\lambda |\nabla^\lambda u|_\lambda dA_\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_{\text{ext}}} \left( \frac{|\nabla_\lambda^2 u|_\lambda^2}{|\nabla^\lambda u|_\lambda} + \left[ f^{-4\lambda} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) R_g + \lambda f^4 R' + 8\lambda(1 - \lambda) f^{-2} |\nabla^g f|_g^2 \right\} \right] |\nabla^\lambda u|_\lambda \right) dV_\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{ext}}} f^{-2\lambda} [(1 - \lambda) H_g + \lambda f^2 H'] |\nabla^\lambda u|_\lambda dA_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Now the inequality in Theorem 1 follows from noting that all the terms on the right hand side of the equality are non-negative. This inequality immediately implies the first statement on Corollary 2.  $\square$

Turning to the proof of the rigidity statement:

*Proof.* If  $(1 - \lambda)m_\Sigma(g) + \lambda m_\Sigma(g') = 0$ , it follows from the inequality in the above proof that:

$$(1 - \lambda) R_g + \lambda f^4 R' = 0, \quad (1 - \lambda) H_g + \lambda f^2 H' = 0 \text{ and } \nabla_\lambda^2 u \equiv 0,$$

as well as, using (11):

$$\nabla^g f \equiv 0 \text{ and } R_\lambda \equiv 0.$$

Since  $f \rightarrow 1$ ,  $f \equiv 1$ . Thus  $g = g' = g_\lambda$ . In addition, for the second fundamental form  $A$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ :

$$A = \frac{\nabla_{\Sigma(\lambda)}^2 u}{|\nabla_\lambda u|} \equiv 0,$$

where  $\nabla_{\Sigma(\lambda)}^2 u$  denotes the Hessian  $\nabla_\lambda^2 u$  of  $u$  restricted to  $T\Sigma \otimes T\Sigma$ . Thus  $\Sigma_{\text{ext}}$  is totally geodesic.

At this point one can simply use the proof of the rigidity part of Theorem 1.4 in [3] to conclude. For the reader's convenience, we outline the argument. Reflect  $(M_{\text{ext}}, g_\lambda)$  across the totally geodesic boundary  $\Sigma_{\text{ext}}$  to obtain its double, a smooth 3-manifold  $(\tilde{M}_{\text{ext}}, \tilde{g}_\lambda)$  which is also asymptotically flat, with boundary consisting of finitely many minimal two-spheres. It follows from the asymptotics of  $(\tilde{M}_{\text{ext}}, \tilde{g}_\lambda)$  that:

$$m_{ADM}(\tilde{M}_{\text{ext}}, \tilde{g}_\lambda) = 2m_\Sigma(g_\lambda) = 0.$$

Now the argument in the last paragraph of Section 6.2 in [4] (based on uniqueness in the Positive Mass Theorem) implies there are, in fact, no minimal two-spheres, and hence  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_\lambda) = (\mathbb{R}^3, \delta)$ , which implies  $(M, g_\lambda) = (\mathbb{R}_+^3, \delta)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. APPENDIX: APPLICATION TO A THEOREM OF UL-ALAM

In [8], ul-Alam considers a static Lorentzian metric on  $M_{\text{ext}} \times \mathbb{R}$ , of the form  $-V^2 dt^2 + g$ . Here  $(M_{\text{ext}}, g)$  is asymptotically flat with minimal boundary, diffeomorphic to the complement of a closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $V$  (the 'static potential') is a positive function on  $M_{\text{ext}}$ . The author considers solutions of the Einstein equations for  $g$ , coupled with a scalar field  $\phi$  and an electric potential  $U$  (functions on  $M_{\text{ext}}$ ). A solution of the system has scalar curvature and static potential satisfying:

$$(12) \quad R_g = 2V^{-2}e^{-2\phi}|\nabla U|^2 + 2|\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \Delta_g V = V^{-1}e^{-2\phi}|\nabla U|^2$$

The scalar field  $\phi$  and electric potential  $U$  satisfy:

$$(13) \quad \Delta_g U = V^{-1}\langle \nabla V, \nabla U \rangle_g + 2\langle \nabla\phi, \nabla U \rangle_g, \quad \Delta_g \phi = -V^{-1}\langle \nabla V, \nabla\phi \rangle_g + V^{-2}e^{-2\phi}|\nabla U|_g^2$$

The following asymptotics are assumed as  $r = |x| \rightarrow \infty$  in AF coordinates:

$$(14) \quad g = (1 + \frac{2M}{r})\delta + O_2(r^{-2}), \quad V = 1 - \frac{M}{r} + O_2(r^{-2}),$$

$$e^\phi = 1 - \frac{Q^2}{2Mr} + O_2(r^{-2}), \quad U = \frac{Q}{r} + O_2(r^{-2}).$$

Here  $M > 0$  and  $Q$  are constants, with  $Q^2 < 2M^2$ . In particular,  $m_{ADM}(g) = M$ . At  $\partial M_{\text{ext}}$  (corresponding to  $r = 2M$ ), the following boundary conditions are assumed:

$$\langle \nabla V, \nabla\phi \rangle_g = 0, \quad \langle \nabla V, \nabla U \rangle_g = 0, \quad |\nabla V|_g^2 = \text{const.} \quad V = 0.$$

The main result of [8] is that the unique solution to the system (including the asymptotics and the boundary conditions) is the one found by Gibbons:

$$g = V^{-2}dr^2 + (1 - \frac{Q^2}{Mr})r^2 d\omega^2, \quad V^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}, \quad e^{2\phi} = 1 - \frac{Q^2}{Mr}, \quad U = \frac{Q}{r}.$$

The strategy of proof is to use conformal deformations of  $g$  and appeal to an extension of the harmonic spinor proof of the Positive Mass Theorem (in the sense that nonnegative scalar curvature is not assumed; a proof of existence of harmonic spinors without this assumption is given in [8].) Here we describe how the argument can be seen as an application of Theorem 4 in section 2.

Two conformal factors are defined on  $M_{ext}$ :

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi/2}[(1 + Ve^\phi)^2 - 2U^2]^{1/2}, \quad \psi = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi/2}(e^\phi + V).$$

It is convenient to consider the functions on  $M_{ext}$ :

$$u = 1 + Ve^\phi + \sqrt{2}U, \quad v = 1 + Ve^\phi - \sqrt{2}U,$$

so we have:  $\chi = (1/2)e^{-\phi/2}\sqrt{uv}$ .

From the equations for  $V, \phi$  and  $U$  one obtains (Lemma 1 in [8]):

(15)

$$\Delta_g u - \langle \nabla u, X - \nabla \phi \rangle_g = 0, \quad \Delta_g v + \langle \nabla v, X - \nabla \phi \rangle_g = 0, \quad \text{where } X = \sqrt{2}V^{-1}e^{-2\phi}\nabla U.$$

It is proved in Lemmas 2 and 3 of [8] that  $u > 0, v > 0, e^\phi + V > 0$  on  $M_{ext}$ . Thus,  $\chi > 0, \psi > 0$  on  $M_{ext}$  can be used as conformal factors. Define:

$$\gamma = \chi^4 g, \quad \eta = \psi^4 g.$$

*Remark:* In [8], a reflection argument is used (across the minimal boundary of  $M_{ext}$ ), and the functions  $\chi, \psi$  are extended to the reflected 3-manifold  $M_{ext}^-$ , in such a way that  $\chi^4 g$  ‘compactifies’ to a metric  $\gamma$  on a 3-manifold without boundary  $(N, \gamma)$ , complete and AF at infinity on the original  $M_{ext}$ . The goal is to show  $(N, \gamma)$  is isometric to  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \delta)$ ; the positive mass theorem cannot be invoked directly, since  $R_\gamma \geq 0$  is not clear. Here we concentrate on  $M_{ext}$  to reduce this result to the uniqueness statement in Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.**<sup>1</sup>

$$(i)\psi^4 R_\eta = e^{2\phi} R_{e^{2\phi}g}, \quad (ii)\chi^4 R_\gamma = 2\mathcal{P} - e^{2\phi} R_{e^{2\phi}g},$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = |\frac{\nabla u}{u} - \frac{\nabla v}{v} - X|^2$ .

*Proof.* (i) From the expression for transformation of scalar curvature under conformal change, claim (i) is equivalent to:

$$\frac{\Delta_g \psi}{\psi} = \frac{\Delta_g(e^{\phi/2})}{e^{\phi/2}} = \frac{\Delta_g \phi}{2} + \frac{|\nabla \phi|_g^2}{4}.$$

By direct calculation from the definition of  $\psi$ :

$$2\Delta_g \psi = \Delta_g(e^{\phi/2}) + Ve^{\phi/2}[V^{-1}\Delta_g V - V^{-1}\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla V \rangle_g - \frac{\Delta_g \phi}{2} + \frac{|\nabla \phi|_g^2}{4}].$$

From the field equations (12),(13) for  $\phi$  and  $V$ , we have:

$$\Delta_g \phi = V^{-1}\Delta_g V - V^{-1}\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla V \rangle_g.$$

Thus:

$$2\Delta_g \psi = (e^{\phi/2} + Ve^{\phi/2})\left(\frac{\Delta_g}{2} + \frac{|\nabla \phi|_g^2}{4}\right) = 2\psi\left(\frac{\Delta_g \phi}{2} + \frac{|\nabla \phi|_g^2}{4}\right),$$

as we wished to show.

(ii) Let  $\chi = e^w$ , where  $w = -\log 2 + \frac{1}{2}(\log u + \log v - \phi)$ , so  $\chi^{-1}\Delta_g \chi = \Delta_g w + |\nabla w|_g^2$ . Claim (ii) is equivalent to:

$$R_g - 8\frac{\Delta_g \chi}{\chi} = 2\mathcal{P} - (R_g - 8\frac{\Delta_g(e^{\phi/2})}{e^{\phi/2}}),$$

<sup>1</sup>This is Lemma 4 in [8], but a detailed proof is not included in that paper.



or to (using the expression for  $R_g$  from (12)):

$$|X|_g^2 + 2|\nabla\phi|_g^2 = 4(\Delta_g w + |\nabla w|_g^2 + \frac{\Delta_g \phi}{2} + \frac{|\nabla\phi|_g^2}{4}) + \mathcal{P}.$$

This follows by direct calculation, using (15).  $\square$

Consider the function  $f = \frac{\chi}{\psi}$ , so that  $\gamma = f^4\eta$ . Combining conclusions (i) and (ii) of the lemma, we have:

$$\psi^4 R_\eta + \chi^4 R_\gamma = 2\mathcal{P} \geq 0, \text{ or } R_\eta + f^4 R_\gamma = 2\mathcal{P}\psi^{-4} \geq 0.$$

As observed in [8] (Lemma 8), the asymptotics in (14) imply for  $f$ :

$$f = 1 + O(r^{-2}), \quad \nabla f = O(r^{-3}) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

For the ADM masses of  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$ , it is easy to see we have:

$$m_{ADM}(\gamma) = m_{ADM}(\eta) = 0.$$

For  $\gamma$  this follows from the well-known expression:

$$m_{ADM}(\chi^4 g) = m_{ADM}(g) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S_\infty} \partial_r \chi d\sigma^0 = m_{ADM}(g) - M = 0,$$

from the asymptotics of  $\psi$  that follow from (14), namely:

$$\psi = 1 - \frac{M}{2r} + O_1(r^{-2}), \quad \partial_r \psi = \frac{M}{2r^2} + O(r^{-3}).$$

And then the conclusion for  $\eta$  follows from the same expression and the asymptotics of  $f$ , since  $\eta = f^{-4}\gamma$ .

Thus we are in the situation of uniqueness in Theorem 4, in the special case where  $m(g) = m(g') = 0$ . This leads to a short, spinor-free proof of the following result in [8], the main ingredient in the uniqueness theorem that is the subject of that paper.

**Theorem.** ([8])

We have  $\mathcal{P} \equiv 0$ ,  $f \equiv 1$ ,  $\gamma = \eta$ ,  $(N, \gamma) = (\mathbb{R}^3, \delta)$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from the uniqueness statement (ii) in Theorem 4 (with  $\lambda = 1/2$ ), which applies since  $R_\eta + f^4 R_\gamma = 2\mathcal{P}\psi^{-4} \geq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Almaraz, E. Barbosa, and L. Lopes de Lima, *A positive mass theorem for asymptotically flat manifolds with a non-compact boundary*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry **24** (2016), no. 4, 673–715.
- [2] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Communications on pure and applied mathematics **39** (1986), no. 5, 661–693.
- [3] R. M. Batista and L. Lopes de Lima, *A Harmonic Level Set Proof of a Positive Mass Theorem*, preprint, ArXiv: 2306.0909v1 (2023).
- [4] H. L. Bray, D. Kazaras, M. A. Khuri, and D. L. Stern, *Harmonic functions and the mass of 3-dimensional asymptotically flat riemannian manifolds*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis **32** (2022), no. 6, 184.
- [5] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.
- [6] T. Koerber, *The Riemannian Penrose Inequality for Asymptotically Flat manifolds with Non-compact Boundary*, J. Differential Geometry **124** (2023), 317–379.
- [7] D. Lee, *Geometric Relativity*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **201** (2019).
- [8] A. K. M. Masood-ul-Alam, *Uniqueness of a charged static dilaton black hole*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **10** (1993), 2649–2656.

- [9] W. Simon, *Conformal Positive Mass Theorems*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **50** (1999), 275-281.
- [10] L.-F. Tam and Q. Wang, *Some Conformal Positive Mass Theorems*, Differential Geometry and Its Applications **83** (2017), 103-113.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, USA

*Email address:* `afreire@utk.edu`

*Email address:* `mislam33@vols.utk.edu`