

On the sparsity of integers a in solutions to $a!b! = c!$

Joshua Cooper, Joseph Preuss

December 4, 2025

Abstract

We consider the Diophantine equation

$$a!b! = c!$$

due to Erdős, where we assume $a \leq b$. It is widely believed that there are only finitely many nontrivial solutions, and considerable work has been dedicated to showing this. In one direction, Luca ([6]) showed that the set of c 's which can appear in solutions has density zero. Here we show that the set of a 's appearing in solutions is also sparse. In particular, a cannot be one less than a large fraction of primes, and, under the assumption that $\sqrt[k]{a!} \pmod{1}$ is equidistributed in an appropriate sense, we show that the set of such a 's has asymptotic density zero.

1 Introduction

Erdős asks the following problem in [5]: for which integers a_1, \dots, a_t with $t \geq 2$ and $a_i \geq 2$ for each i does there exist an integer c so that $\prod_{i=1}^t a_i! = c!$? This question, which is open even for $t = 2$, has been the inspiration for dozens of papers in elementary number theory since at least the 1970s. It is widely believed that there are only finitely many “nontrivial” solutions, i.e., those for which $c - \max_i a_i > 1$. In 1991, Erdős [4] showed that for sufficiently large c , $k \leq 5 \log \log c$; the constant was subsequently lowered, most recently by [2], to $(1 + o(1))/\log 2 \approx 1.44$. In 2007, Luca [6] showed that there are only finitely many nontrivial solutions if the notorious *abc* Conjecture holds, and Nair-Shorey [7] refined this to a complete list of solutions conditional on an explicit form of the *abc* Conjecture due to Baker. In the same paper, Luca showed that the set of c 's that arise in solutions is of asymptotic density zero. Here, we focus on the $t = 2$ case, i.e., $a!b! = c!$, showing that the possible values of a are also rather sparse.

The following definition will play a key role throughout.

Definition 1. A solution to $a!b! = c!$ with $a < b$ in the integers is said to be “class k ” if $c - b = k$.

Note that class 0 solutions must have $a = 0$ or $a = 1$ and $b = c$; it is easy to see that the solution is class 1 iff $b = a! - 1$ and $c = a!$. Thus, a solution to $a!b! = c!$ is said to be “trivial” if $k \leq 1$. It is conjectured that the only non-trivial solution is the triple $(6, 7, 10)$. Already the conjecture that there are no class 2 solutions is open (see [1]). In the definition above, and throughout the sequel, we assume that $a < b$. It is straightforward to see that $a \neq b$ for nontrivial solutions. Indeed, if $a = b$, then $c! = a!^2$. However, writing $\nu_p(n!)$ for the p -adic order of n , this implies that $\nu_p(c!) = 2\nu_p(a!)$ for every prime p , contradicting that $\nu_p(c!) = 1$ for every prime in $(c/2, c]$, which exists by Bertrand's Postulate.

In Section 2, we show that (Corollary 2.6) for any fixed $k > 1$, there exists $1/k$ natural density fraction of primes p such that if $a = p - 1$, there are no solutions to $a!b! = c!$. The proof makes use of some interesting elementary number theory: old results of Westland-Flüge on irreducible polynomials which are sparse in the falling-factorial basis, Wilson's Theorem, and Dirichlet's Theorem. Then, in Section 3, by analyzing the asymptotics of falling factorials, we show that c falls into a small interval defined by a and k , implying that if $\sqrt[k]{a!} \pmod{1}$ is sufficiently equidistributed as $a, k \rightarrow \infty$ – a much stronger version of which we conjecture is true – then the set of a 's that appear in a solution is also of asymptotic density zero. Below we write $x^{\underline{k}}$ for the k -th falling factorial of x , i.e., $x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1)$.

Here we collect a few useful bounds on possible solutions, especially, that $k < a < b < c$.

Proposition 1.1. *If $a!b! = c!$ is a solution of class k , i.e., $c - b = k$, then $k < a < k + 2\lceil \log_2 c \rceil$.*

Proof. Write $s_q(n)$ for the sum of the base- q digits of n . Clearly, $\nu_p(a!) + \nu_p(b!) = \nu_p(c!)$. Applying Legendre's Formula, we obtain

$$\frac{a - s_p(a)}{p - 1} + \frac{b - s_p(b)}{p - 1} = \frac{c - s_p(c)}{p - 1},$$

from which it follows that $a - (c - b) = s_p(a) + s_p(b) - s_p(c)$ for every prime p . Since $a < b < c$, in particular

$$|s_2(a) + s_2(b) - s_2(c)| < 2\lceil \log_2 c \rceil.$$

Thus, $|a - k| < 2\lceil \log_2 c \rceil$. Now, we argue that $a > k$. First,

$$\begin{aligned} a! &= \frac{c!}{b!} = c(c-1) \cdots (c-k+1) \\ &> (c-k+1)^k = (b+1)^k \geq (a+2)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then taking the log of both sides above and applying Stirling's approximation (that $\log a! < a \log a$) gives

$$a \log a > \log a! > k \log(a+2)$$

so that $k < a \log a / \log(a+2) < a$. □

2 Solutions Modulo a Prime

In this section, we consider the equation $a!b! = c!$ for fixed k modulo various primes, showing that there are many values of a for which there can be no solution. We include the following standard lemma for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *For a prime $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, -3 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p .*

Proof. By multiplicativity of the Legendre symbol and Euler's criterion,

$$\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \left(\frac{3}{p}\right).$$

Then, by Quadratic Reciprocity,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) &= (-1)^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{(p-1)(3-1)/4} \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1 \end{aligned}$$

since $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and 2 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo 3. □

The preceding lemma can then be used to rule out many values of a as possible participants in a solution to $a!b! = c!$ with $k = 2$, namely, a cannot be one less than a prime which is $5 \pmod{6}$.

Proposition 2.2. *There are no class 2 solutions to $a!b! = c!$ in positive integers if $a+1$ is a prime congruent to $5 \pmod{6}$.*

Proof. Note that $c - b = 2$ and $a!b! = c!$ implies $a! = c(c-1)$. Suppose $a! = c(c-1)$ where $a+1 = p$ is a prime congruent to $5 \pmod{6}$. Then

$$c^2 - c - a! = 0$$

so we have $c = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-4(-a!)}}{2}$. Thus, $1 + 4a! = 1 + 4(p-1)!$ is a perfect square. By Wilson's Theorem, $1 + 4(p-1)! \equiv 1 + 4(-1) = -3 \pmod{p}$, so -3 is a quadratic residue modulo p . However, this contradicts Lemma 2.1. □

Next, we consider the case of class 4: again, half of the primes can be ruled out as possible values of $a + 1$.

Proposition 2.3. *There are no class 4 solutions to $a!b! = c!$ in positive integers if $a + 1$ is a prime congruent to 2 or 3 (mod 5).*

Proof. Suppose $a! = c(c-1)(c-2)(c-3)$, where $a = p-1$ for some prime p . Note that $b > 0$ implies $c = b + 4 > 4$, so $c!/b! \geq 24 > 3!$, so we may assume $a > 3$ and so $p > 4$; furthermore, if $b > 0$ then $4! = c(c-1)(c-2)(c-3)$ only has the solution $c = 4$, but then $b = c - 4 = 0$, a contradiction, so $p \neq 5$. Let $r \equiv c/2 \pmod{p}$, and apply Wilson's Theorem to conclude that

$$2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Now,

$$2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3) = (4r^2 - 6r + 1)^2 - 1,$$

so

$$(4r^2 - 6r + 1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

i.e., $p | 4r^2 - 6r + 1$. However, $(2r - 3/2)^2 - 5/4 = 4r^2 - 6r + 1$, so $5/4$ is a quadratic residue mod p , which happens iff 5 is a quadratic residue mod p . It is known this occurs only for primes $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$. \square

For general k , we can show that at least a $1/k$ fraction of the primes cannot be $a + 1$ if $F_k := x^k + 1$ is irreducible.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose $F_k(x) = x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1) + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Let P_0 be the set of primes so that, if $a = p - 1$ for some $p \in P_0$, then $a!b! = c!$ has no class k solutions in positive integers. Then the natural density of P_0 in the primes is at least $1/k$.*

Proof. Suppose $a!b! = c!$ is class k and $a = p - 1$ for some prime p . Then $c = b + k$ and $a! = c!/b! = F_k(c) - 1$. Then, reducing this equation modulo p yields $c^k \equiv a! \pmod{p}$, and by Wilson's Theorem, this is equivalent to $F_k(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. However, by Theorem 1 and 2 of [8], the set P_0 of primes p for which $F_k(x)$ does not have solution modulo p has positive density, and the density is at least $1/k$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *For $k \geq 2$, $F_k(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Z} iff $k = 4$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of a result by Westlund and Flüge, answering a question of Schur, as discussed in [3]. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Fix $k > 1$. For at least a $1/k$ natural density subset of primes p , the equation*

$$(p-1)!b! = (b+k)!$$

has no solutions for integers b .

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, F_k is irreducible if $k \neq 4$, so Theorem 2.4 implies that $a!b! = c!$ has no solutions in the integers when $c = b + k$ and $a = p - 1$, for an at least $1/k$ density subset of the primes. Proposition 2.3 yields the remaining case, by Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. \square

From considerable numerical evidence, it appears to be the case that $x^k - a!$ is irreducible when $a \geq k + 3$ and $k \geq 1$, except for $(k, a) = (3, 6)$ and $(4, 7)$ (corresponding to the sporadic nontrivial solution $(6, 7, 10)$) and also if there exists a t so that $a = t! - 1$ and $k = t! - t$. (It is not hard to argue that we may assume $a > k + 2$.) This last class of exceptions arises because $P_{t!-t, t!-1}(t!) = 0$, and corresponds to class 1 solutions. If it is indeed the case that this polynomial is irreducible, then [8] implies there are primes p for which $x^k - a!$ has no root modulo p , so $a!b! = c!$ with $c = b + k$ also has no nontrivial solutions in integers a and b .

Conjecture 1. *For integers $a \geq k + 3$ and $k \geq 1$, if $x^k - a!$ is reducible, then $(k, a) = (3, 6)$, $(k, a) = (4, 7)$, or there exists an integer t so that $a = t! - 1$ and $k = t! - t$.*

It is worth noting that even when p is not one of the primes ruled out by Corollary 2.6, the set of solutions to $(p-1)!b! = (b+k)!$, i.e., $a!b! = c!$ with $c = b+k$ and $a = p+1$, is still rather sparse.

Proposition 2.7. *For p prime, the equation*

$$(p-1)!b! = (b+k)!$$

has at most $nk/p + 1$ solutions in the integers with $b \leq n$.

Proof. Suppose $(p-1)!b! = (b+k)!$. Then, $(p-1)! = F_k(b) - 1$. Taking this equation modulo p and applying Wilson's Theorem gives

$$-1 \equiv F_k(b) - 1 \pmod{p},$$

i.e., $F_k(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. However, this equation has at most k solutions $A_k \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. If $b \pmod{p} \notin A_k$, then $(p-1)!b! \neq (b+k)!$. Since the set of $b \in [1, n]$ so that $b \pmod{p} \in A_k$ has cardinality $\lceil nk/p \rceil \leq nk/p + 1$, the conclusion follows. \square

3 Equidistribution and Falling Factorials

In this section, we prove our main result: that if the quantities $\sqrt[k]{a!}$ are equidistributed $\pmod{1}$ in a very weak sense, then the fraction of integers a for which there exist integers $b, c \geq 2$ with $a!b! = c!$ is 0. First, we present a technical lemma which will be useful in some subsequent bounds.

Lemma 3.1. $(1-x)^{-1/x} \geq e(1 + \frac{x}{2})$ if $x \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = (1-x)^{-1/x}$, $g(x) = e(1 + \frac{x}{2})$, and $h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$. Note that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = e = g(0)$ by L'Hôpital's Rule, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) \rightarrow \infty > g(1) = \frac{3}{2}e$. Thus, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h(x) = 0$ and $h(x)$ is positive as $x \rightarrow 1^+$. To show that $h(x)$ is positive within $x \in (0, 1)$, we take the derivative of f and use the Taylor series substitutions $\log(1-x) = -\sum_{j \geq 1} x^j/j$ and $(1-x)^{-1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j$:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}(1-x)^{-1/x} = \frac{d}{dx}e^{-\log(1-x)/x} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{x^2} \log(1-x) + \frac{1}{x(1-x)} \right) e^{-\log(1-x)/x} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} -\frac{x^{j-1}}{j+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^{j-1} \right) e^{-\log(1-x)/x} \\ &= e^{-\log(1-x)/x} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{j-1}j}{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$f''(x) = e^{-\log(1-x)/x} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{j-1}j}{j+1} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^{j-2} \frac{j(j-1)}{j+1} \right) > 0$$

So $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f'(x) = \frac{e}{2} = g'(0)$. Since $g''(x) = 0$ and $f''(x) > 0$, we conclude $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1]$, thus $f(x) \geq g(x)$. \square

Next, we provide careful asymptotics of the quantity $\sqrt[k]{r^k}$ for r large and k fixed.

Lemma 3.2. *For an integer $k \geq 2$ and any real $r \geq k$,*

$$0 \leq \left(r - \frac{k-1}{2} \right) - \sqrt[k]{r^k} \leq \frac{k^2}{r-k}$$

Proof. First, note that by the AM-GM inequality,

$$\sqrt[k]{r^k} = (r(r-1) \cdot (r-k+1))^{1/k} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (r-j)}{k} = r - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j = r - \frac{k-1}{2}.$$

Note that, for a positive integer s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s-1}^s \log x \, dx + \frac{1}{2} (\log s - \log(s-1)) &= s \log s - (s-1) \log(s-1) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} (\log s - \log(s-1)) \\ &= \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) (\log s - \log(s-1)) + \log s - 1 \\ &= \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left(\frac{s}{s-1}\right) + \log s - 1 \\ &= \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{s-1}\right) + \log s - 1 \\ &\leq \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2(s-1)^2} + \frac{1}{3(s-1)^3}\right) + \log s - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{12(s-1)^2} + \frac{1}{6(s-1)^3} + \log s. \end{aligned}$$

Summing from $s = r - k + 1$ to $s = r$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r-k}^r \log x \, dx &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left[\log(r-j) - \frac{1}{2} (\log(r-j) - \log(r-j-1)) + \frac{1}{12(r-j-1)^2} + \frac{1}{6(r-j-1)^3} \right] \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log(r-j) \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\log r - \log(r-k)) + \frac{k}{12(r-k)^2} + \frac{k}{6(r-k)^3} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \sqrt[k]{r^k} &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \log(r-j) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} [x \log x - x]_{r-k}^r + \frac{1}{2k} [\log(r) - \log(r-k)] - \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{1}{(r-k)^2} - \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{(r-k)^3} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \left\{ [r \log r - r - ((r-k) \log(r-k) - (r-k))] + \frac{1}{2} [\log r - \log(r-k)] \right\} - \frac{1}{4(r-k)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left\{ r \log r - (r-k) \log(r-k) + \frac{1}{2} [\log r - \log(r-k)] \right\} - 1 - \frac{1}{4(r-k)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left\{ r (\log r - \log(r-k)) + k \log(r-k) + \frac{1}{2} [\log r - \log(r-k)] \right\} - 1 - \frac{1}{4(r-k)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt[k]{r^k} &\geq \left(\frac{r-k}{r}\right)^{-r/k} \frac{r-k}{e} e^{-\frac{1}{4(r-k)^2}} \cdot \left(\frac{r}{r-k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{k}{r}\right)^{-r/k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{r}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2k}} \frac{r-k}{e} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4(r-k)^2}} \\ &\geq e \left(1 + \frac{k}{2r}\right) \left(e^{-k/r}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2k}} \frac{r-k}{e} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4(r-k)^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that $(1 - \delta)^{-1/\delta} \geq e(1 + \delta/2)$ by Lemma 3.1, taking $\delta = k/r$. Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt[k]{r^k} &\geq e^{\frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{4(r-k)^2}} \left(r - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{k^2}{2r} \right) \\
&\geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2r} - \frac{1}{4(r-k)^2} \right) \left(r - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{k^2}{2r} \right) \\
&= r - \frac{k-1}{2} + \frac{rk(4k^2-1) + 2k^3 - 2(2k^2+k+1)r^2}{8r^2(r-k)} \\
&\geq r - \frac{k-1}{2} - \frac{2k^2+k+1}{4(r-k)} \\
&\geq r - \frac{k-1}{2} - \frac{k^2}{(r-k)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last bound following by invoking the assumption that $k \geq 2$. \square

Since integer solutions to $a!b! = c!$ correspond to roots of the polynomial $x^k - a!$ with $k = c - b$, we can use the preceding lemma to describe a short interval into which c must fall.

Theorem 3.3. *For $k \geq 2$, if $c > k$ is a root of $P(x) = x^k - a!$, then*

$$c \in \left[\sqrt[k]{a!} + \frac{k-1}{2}, \sqrt[k]{a!} + \frac{k-1}{2} + \frac{k^2}{c-k} \right]$$

Proof. If $P(c) = 0$, then, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\left(c - \frac{k-1}{2} - \frac{k^2}{c-k} \right)^k - a! \leq 0 = c^k - a! \leq \left(c - \frac{k-1}{2} \right)^k - a!$$

so

$$c \geq \sqrt[k]{a!} + \frac{k-1}{2}.$$

and

$$c \leq \sqrt[k]{a!} + \frac{k-1}{2} + \frac{k^2}{c-k}$$

\square

The preceding theorem not only narrowly locates c as a function of a and k , but also does so for $\sqrt[k]{a!} \pmod{1}$ relative to c because one endpoint is a half-integer and the other is nearly a half-integer. Thus, we obtain the following main result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $S' = \{ \sqrt[k]{a!} \pmod{1} : 2 \leq a \leq A \text{ \& } 2 \leq k \leq 5 \log \log A \}$. Suppose that when $I \subset [0, 1]$ is an interval, $|S' \cap I| = |S'|(|I| + f(|S'|))$ for some function f . Then the number of solutions to $a!b! = c!$ with $a < A$ and $k := c - b \geq 2$ is*

$$O(\sqrt{A}(\log \log(A))^3) + f(\sqrt{A})A \log \log A.$$

Furthermore, if $f(t) = o(1/\log \log t)$, then the number of $a < A$ for which there exists a solution to $a!b! = c!$ is $o(A)$.

Proof. By Erdős [4], for sufficiently large c , we have $k \leq 5 \log \log c$. Note that the interval

$$I_{c,k} := \left[c - \frac{k-1}{2} - \frac{k^2}{c-k}, c - \frac{k-1}{2} \right]$$

has length $k^2/(c-k)$. Furthermore, modulo 1, the interval $I'_k := I_k \pmod{1}$ is $[1 - k^2/(c-k), 1]$ if k is odd or $[1/2 - k^2/(c-k), 1/2]$ if k is even. These are two nested families of intervals, so in a collection $\{I_{c,k}\}_{(c,k) \in \mathcal{J}}$, the total length of each is at most $\max_{(c,k) \in \mathcal{J}} k^2/(c-k)$.

We start by splitting $S := \{\sqrt[k]{a!} : 2 \leq a \leq A \text{ \& } 2 \leq k \leq 5 \log \log A\}$ in two. Let $1 \leq \gamma \leq A$, $S_1 = \{\sqrt[k]{a!} : 2 \leq a \leq \gamma \text{ \& } k \leq 5 \log \log \gamma\}$, and $S_2 = S \setminus S_1$. Also write $S' = \{s \pmod{1} : s \in S\}$ and $S'_j = \{s \pmod{1} : s \in S_j\}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Note that whenever we define $X' := \{x \pmod{1} : x \in X\}$ from a set X , we consider X' a multiset when computing cardinalities. Then let

$$\mathcal{I} := \bigcup_{k, c \geq 2} I_{c,k}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}' := \bigcup_{k, c \geq 2} I'_{c,k}$$

The number of elements of S that fall into \mathcal{I} is given by

$$|S \cap \mathcal{I}| \leq |S_1| + |S_2 \cap \mathcal{I}| \leq |S_1| + |S'_2 \cap \mathcal{I}'|$$

For the first summand, $|S_1| \leq 5\gamma \log \log \gamma$. For the second summand, note that $S'_2 \cap \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}'_1 \cup \mathcal{I}'_2$, where $\mathcal{I}'_j = I'_{c_j, k_j}$ with $j = 1, 2$ and (c_j, k_j) is chosen to be the pair maximizing $k_j^2/(c_j - k_j)$ for $k_j \equiv j \pmod{2}$ such that $S'_2 \cap I'_{c_j, k_j} \neq \emptyset$. If $\sqrt[k]{a!} \in S_2$, by Theorem 3.3, $c \geq \sqrt[k]{a!} \geq \gamma$. So

$$\frac{k^2}{c - k} \geq \frac{(5 \log \log \gamma)^2}{\gamma - 5 \log \log \gamma} \geq \frac{50(\log \log \gamma)^2}{\gamma}$$

for sufficiently large γ , and $\mathcal{I}'_j := \mathcal{I}'_j \pmod{1} \subseteq I'_{\gamma, 5 \log \log \gamma}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |S'_2 \cap \mathcal{I}'| &\leq \left(\frac{50(\log \log \gamma)^2}{\gamma} + f(\gamma) \right) \cdot |S_2| \\ &= \left(O\left(\frac{(\log \log \gamma)^2}{\gamma} \right) + f(\gamma) \right) \cdot A \log \log A \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together and taking $\gamma = \sqrt{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S \cap \mathcal{I}| &\leq |S_1| + |S_2 \cap \bigcup_{c, k} I_{c,k}| \\ &= O(\gamma \log \log \gamma) + \left(O\left(\frac{(\log \log \gamma)^2}{\gamma} \right) + f(\gamma) \right) \cdot A \log \log A \\ &= O(\sqrt{A}(\log \log(A))^3) + f(\sqrt{A})A \log \log A. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, we conclude with the following conjecture which implies the appropriate equidistribution needed to conclude that the set of a which participate in solutions to $a!b! = c!$ is indeed sparse.

Conjecture 2. *Let $S = \{\sqrt[k]{a!} \pmod{1} : 2 \leq a \leq A \text{ \& } 2 \leq k \leq 5 \log \log A\}$. Then, for any interval $I \subset [0, 1]$,*

$$|S \cap I| = |S||I| + O(|S|^{1-\epsilon})$$

for some positive $\epsilon > 0$ as $A \rightarrow \infty$.

4 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Michael Filaseta and Igor Shparlinski for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Daniel Berend and Jørgen E. Harmse. On polynomial-factorial Diophantine equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(4):1741–1779, 2006.
- [2] K. Dzh. Bhat and K. Ramachandra. A remark on factorials that are products of factorials. *Mat. Zametki*, 88(3):350–354, 2010.
- [3] H. L. Dorwart and Oystein Ore. Criteria for the irreducibility of polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 34(1):81–94, 1933.
- [4] Erdős. 6669 A consequence of a factorial equation. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 100(4):407–408, 1991.
- [5] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham. *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*, volume 28 of *Monographies de L’Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L’Enseignement Mathématique]*. Université de Genève, L’Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980.
- [6] Florian Luca. On factorials which are products of factorials. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 143(3):533–542, 2007.
- [7] Saranya G. Nair and T.N. Shorey. Lower bounds for the greatest prime factor of product of consecutive positive integers. *Journal of Number Theory*, 159:307–328, 2016.
- [8] Jean-Pierre Serre. On a theorem of Jordan. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 40(4):429–440, 2003.