

The Iris Illusion in the Tropical Sky Seen Through Two Decades of Aura MLS Ice Water Contents

Yiguo Zhang

Woodside Priory School

Email: yiguoseondpersonal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

I analyzed ice water content (IWC) data from the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) and sea surface temperature (SST) data from NOAA's Optimum Interpolation SST (OISST) product from 2004 to 2024. Using these data, I derived monthly infrared (IR) leakage over the tropics and computed derivatives of both the IR leakage and tropical SST time series from 2005 to 2023. These two derivatives yield a Pearson correlation of -0.49 , indicating that IR leakage decreases when SST increases. This behavior contradicts the trend predicted by the Iris hypothesis, suggesting that tropical cirrus clouds strengthen, rather than weaken, as the ocean warms.

Key terms: Iris hypothesis, Sea Surface Temperature (SST), Ice Water Content (IWC), Aura MLS

I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change has been a buzzword for years, but it is well deserved. It is one of the most pressing problems we face as a species. Major assessments now conclude that global surface temperature has already warmed by about 1.1 to 1.2 °C above late nineteenth-century levels and that this warming is certainly driven by human activities, especially greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuels and land use change (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; National Aeronautics and Space Administration, "Evidence"). Large reviews of the scientific literature also find >99% agreement among publishing climate scientists that the recent warming is human-caused (Lynas et al.).

Because clouds strongly control both incoming solar radiation and outgoing infrared radiation, cloud feedback remains one of the largest sources of uncertainty in projections of future climate change (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). One influential idea in this debate is the "infrared iris" hypothesis. In their 2001 paper "Does the Earth Have an Adaptive Infrared Iris," Lindzen, Chou, and Hou proposed that as tropical sea surface temperatures (SSTs) warm, the area of anvil cirrus clouds around deep convection shrinks and thins, and the upper troposphere dries (Lindzen et al.). This reduction in cirrus would, according to them, allow more infrared (IR) radiation to escape into space, acting as a strong negative cloud radiative feedback that would partly offset the warming caused by greenhouse gases. Put simply, as tropical SST increases, cirrus clouds should decrease, leading to more IR leakage into space. From a mathematical perspective, this implies a positive correlation between the time derivative of IR leakage and the time derivative of SST.

Subsequent studies have tested the iris idea using different satellite datasets and methods. For example, Del Genio and Kovari used Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) and other satellite observations to examine convective cloud properties under varying environmental conditions and found

that observed clouds have higher albedos and somewhat larger longwave fluxes than those assumed in Lindzen's original formulation, implying that decreases in these clouds would lead to a relatively weak positive feedback rather than the strong negative feedback envisioned by the iris mechanism (Del Genio and Kovari). Other work has similarly suggested that, on balance, cloud changes likely amplify human-induced warming instead of canceling it (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). However, to my knowledge, none of these tests have used the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (Aura MLS) ice water content (IWC) data set to evaluate how tropical cirrus responds to warming.

In this project, I use nearly two decades of Aura MLS IWC data given by Professor Dr. Jonathan Jiang, together with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST) data I found online, to reevaluate the iris hypothesis. I first convert IWC profiles between 76 and 238 hPa into ice water path and then into an effective cloud optical thickness using a published mathematical framework between IWP and optical depth (Heymsfield et al.). Applying the Beer-Lambert law yields an estimate of the fraction of escaping IR that leaks through the cirrus layer, which I treat as "IR leakage." I then compute monthly averages over tropical oceans and differentiate both the IR leakage and SST time series, finally correlating their derivatives to test the core prediction that warming SST should be associated with increasing IR leakage.

Since this is my first independent climate data analysis project, I relied on several educational resources to build the background needed for the work. I used WorldAtlas to review the geometry of circles of latitude and longitude and to understand how different latitude bands relate to the tropics and extratropics (Sen Nag). To interpret the Hovmöller diagrams I generated for IWC as functions of latitude, longitude, pressure, and time, I drew on explanations from NOAA's Climate.gov, which describes Hovmöller diagrams as one of a climate scientist's most useful tools for visualizing evolving fields in space and time ("Hovmöller Diagram"). For the statistical and coding components, I followed standard definitions of the Pearson correlation coefficient from Kent State University Libraries' SPSS tutorial and consulted a Python community discussion on how to implement numerical derivatives of a time series in pandas (Kent State University Libraries; "Derivative of a Dataset Using Python or Pandas"). Together, these resources gave me the background needed to carry out this research.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Data Exploration and Quality Assessment

I developed Python scripts to visualize the spatial and temporal structure of the IWC fields, so I could better understand their format and characteristics for further analysis. The IWC data used in this study cover pressure levels between 76 and 238 hPa. For example, here are examples of Hovmoller diagrams for longitude, latitude, and pressure vs time I generated.

Fig. 1: latitude vs time IWC(mg/m³), 2024

Fig. 2: Pressure vs time IWC(mg/m³), 2005




Fig. 3: Longitude vs time IWC(mg/m³), 2005






Fig. 4: Pressure vs time IWC(mg/m³), 2024



In 2024, around the end of February, there is a significant spike in global IWC that doesn't match the trend of IWC before or after. The change is extremely sudden and quickly reversed. Later in the year, stripe-like patterns appear in the IWC Hovmoller diagram (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 4). This abnormal behavior is consistent with instrumental or retrieval issues instead of a real geophysical characteristic.

Next, I constructed zonal-mean IWC time series from 2004 to 2024 for three latitude bands: the tropics (23.5° S to 23.5° N), the Southern Hemisphere midlatitudes (66.5° S to 23.5° S), and the Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes (23.5° N to 66.5° N).

Fig. 5: Monthly average integrated IWC (mg/m³) of the tropics, 2004-2024




Fig. 6: Monthly average integrated IWC (mg/m^3) of the Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, 2004-2024





Fig. 7: Monthly average integrated IWC (mg/m^3) of the Southern Hemisphere midlatitudes, 2004-2024



Once again, the same abnormal spike appears in 2024. One interesting thing to note is that where there is a crest in the IWC time series in the northern hemisphere, there is a trough in the southern hemisphere graph. This is due to the opposite seasonal cycles between the hemispheres. Winter is relatively dry, and summer is more humid. When the Northern Hemisphere is in summer, the Southern Hemisphere is in winter, giving rise to a roughly sine–cosine-like relationship between the hemispheres. The Northern Hemisphere also shows higher IWC on average than the Southern Hemisphere, consistent with the documented reduction in apparent solar radiation at the Earth’s surface over recent decades (Iowa State University “Global Dimming”).

Because the IWC time series is crucial for later correlation analysis, I experimented with an error-correction method on these time series graphs. The procedure is as follows. First, for each day, I summed the IWC into a single scalar representing the global IWC magnitude (producing a time series of length n). I then computed the day-to-day differences in this time series (length $n - 1$). I defined a “base value” as the monthly rolling median of the daily jumps. A threshold was then set as a “spike factor” multiplied by this base value. Any daily jump above or below this threshold will be considered an instrumental issue, while changes within the threshold range would be considered a normal fluctuation.

Fig. 8: Error-corrected IWC (mg/m^3) time series from 2004-2024 with spike factor 0.35





Fig. 9: Error-corrected IWC (mg/m^3) time series from 2004-2024 with spike factor 4.5 compared with the original



In Fig. 8, the 2024 anomaly is almost completely removed, while in Fig. 9, the spike is reduced but still visible. A smaller spike factor in Fig. 8 allows fewer fluctuations to pass as “real” and therefore removes more suspected instrumental issues. However, there are many discontinuities in Fig. 8 because more normal fluctuations are accidentally filtered out. Ultimately, I chose not to adopt the error-corrected IWC time series and worked with the original because I needed the data to be as continuous as possible for calculating derivatives.

B. Math

The following equations are the core formulas to convert from IWC to IR leakage percentage. The extinction coefficient describes how much a particle removes radiation from a beam relative to its geometric cross-section, including both scattering and absorption. I chose the value to be 2.

$$Q_{\text{ext}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{ext}}}{\pi r^2}$$

IWP is defined as the vertical integral of IWC from 76 to 238 hPa.

$$\text{IWP} = \int_{h_{\text{base}}}^{h_{\text{top}}} \text{IWC} dh$$

The cloud optical thickness τ is given by a mass extinction coefficient multiplied by IWP, divided by the product of ice density ρ_e and effective radius R_e .

$$\tau = \frac{3Q_{\text{ext}}}{4\rho_e R_e} \text{IWP}$$

Using τ , the Beer–Lambert law gives the transmittance fraction of the IR leakage.

$$T = e^{-\tau}$$


Multiplying the transmittance fraction by 100 gives the IR leakage percentage, which I interpreted as leakage of IR radiation into space.

$$\text{Leakage \%} = 100 \times T$$

C. From Raw IWC to Correlation Graph


I implemented the above steps in Python. First, I computed the Monthly ocean-only IWP. One challenge I faced was that it was very difficult to extract ocean-only IWC data. My previous attempts simply attached a superimposed gray land sticker covering the land data, so viewers are barred from viewing, but since they are not removed, they impact calculations in later stages(if this problem were not resolved, the final time series would be global IR leakage, instead of ocean only). To solve this, I used the OISST files, which are defined only over the ocean. I used the OISST grid as a mask to identify the coordinates of ocean points, and then applied this mask to the IWC fields. This allowed me to compute a truly ocean-only IWP.

Fig. 10: Monthly ocean-only IWP (g/m²), February 2024




I then calculated cloud optical thickness and applied the Beer–Lambert law to obtain the IR leakage fraction for each month.

Fig. 11: IR leakage fraction map for January 2005



Condensing each monthly IR leakage map into a single tropical mean value, I produced the IR leakage time series for the tropics from 2005 to 2023.

Fig. 12: Tropical IR leakage time series, 2005-2023




The tropical IR leakage shows a decreasing trend over 2005-2023, implying that the column ice water path is increasing on average.

D. SST Time Series

To evaluate the Iris hypothesis, I also needed SST. I visited the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) website and downloaded daily mean data from 2005-2023. I averaged the daily maps into monthly tropical means to obtain a time series.

Fig. 13: Monthly tropical SST($^{\circ}\text{C}$), 2005-2023



E. Correlation Analysis

The Iris hypothesis makes a direct prediction about how changes in SST relate to changes in outgoing IR radiation. To test this, I computed the derivatives of the monthly tropical SST and IR leakage time series.

Fig. 14: Derivative of Monthly Tropical SST($^{\circ}\text{C}$) time Series 2005-2023





Fig. 15: Derivative of tropical IR leakage time series 2005-2023



I then calculated a rolling correlation and a Pearson correlation coefficient between $dSST/dt$ and $d(\text{Leakage})/dt$.

Fig. 16: Rolling Correlation of $dSST/dt$ vs $d\text{Leakage}/dt$





Fig. 17: Scatter Plot of $dSST/dt$ vs $d\text{Leakage}/dt$, ($r=-0.49$)



The rolling correlation remains consistently negative, and the overall Pearson correlation is -0.49 . This indicates that during this period, as SST increases, IR leakage decreases. This is the opposite of what the IRIS hypothesis predicts.


F. The Other Side of the Coin: Reflection (Albedo) Effects

What about reflection? Cirrus clouds not only affect IR leakage but also reflection from sunlight. To quantify cirrus cloud influence on these reflections, I generated plots for the percentage of reflection (albedo) for UV, Visible, and NIR. The math is analogous except omitting the Beer-Lambert Law with a scattering-based formula, which is more appropriate for these higher frequency waves, as they are more likely to be scattered by ice particles.

$$A = \frac{\tau}{\tau + \alpha}$$

I constructed tropical time series for UV, visible, and NIR reflection in the same way as for IR leakage.

Fig. 18: Tropical cirrus reflection (%) time series for UV, visible, and NIR, 2005-2023



Predictably, all three reflection time series show increasing trends from 2005 to 2023, but their combined changes are smaller than the change in IR leakage.

Table 1: Change in tropical cirrus reflection and IR leakage between 2005 and 2023

+0.022%	UV
+0.013%	Visible
+0.007%	NIR
-0.087%	IR Leakage

The IRIS hypothesis did take reflection into account, but the paper mentions how it is not enough to offset the infrared radiation leakage, and that is true; by looking at these increases in the percent of reflections, it is not as large as the decrease in IR leakage.

The original paper by Lindzen, Chou & Hou in 2001 noted that a decrease in higher energy wave reflection from shrinking cirrus would not be sufficient to offset changes in IR leakage. In my results, however, IR leakage decreases, and the UV, visible, and NIR reflection all increase slightly, and the increases are still smaller than the magnitude of the leakage trend. The sign of the response was incorrectly predicted by the Iris hypothesis, but the conclusion that shortwave reflection has only a minor effect compared with the change in longwave leakage still holds.

III.CONCLUSION

Based on my data analysis of Aura MLS data, I arrived at the following three conclusions:

First, the Iris clouds are not limited to the ocean; they are also present above tropical land masses such as southern Africa, Indonesia, and South America, indicating that the behavior is not purely an oceanic phenomenon. If one wishes to integrate both land and ocean Iris cloud to observe an overarching correlation, the result may be different from what I have found in this paper. Second, tropical IR leakage decreases as tropical SST increases, yielding a Pearson correlation of -0.49 between the derivatives of IR leakage and SST. This relationship is opposite in sign to the key prediction of the Iris hypothesis, which

anticipates a positive correlation. Third, although cirrus reflection in UV, visible, and NIR radiations increases over 2005-2023, these increases are smaller in magnitude than the decrease in IR leakage. The combined effect of cirrus clouds does not support a strong negative feedback loop proposed by Lindzen and coauthors.

These results do not support the Iris hypothesis and instead suggest that, over the period studied, tropical cirrus clouds tend to strengthen rather than shrink as the ocean warms. Future research can test and refine this finding in several ways. The measurement errors in 2024 raise concerns for the accuracy and drift possibility of the instruments on Aura MLS. Additionally, this study relies on a single satellite instrument and has a relatively simple radiative framework that assumes a fixed extinction efficiency and effective radius. Future work could address these limitations by combining Aura MLS with other satellite products such as MODIS, CERES, CloudSat, and CALIPSO to have a combined and error-resistant result. More sophisticated statistical methods, such as lagged correlation, may be used to separate useful signals from background noise. Radiative transfer models and climate model simulations could also be used to see whether the observed relationship between SST, IWC, and IR leakage can be reproduced or explained. Together, these modifications would provide a more complete test for the Iris hypothesis.

IV. REFERENCES

- Del Genio, Anthony D., and William Kovari. "Climatic Properties of Tropical Precipitating Convection under Varying Environmental Conditions." *Journal of Climate*, vol. 15, no. 18, 15 Sept. 2002, pp. 2597–2615, journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/15/18/1520-0442_2002_015_2597_C_POTPC_2.0.CO_2.xml. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.
- "Derivative of a Dataset Using Python or Pandas." Stack Overflow, 9 June 2022, stackoverflow.com/questions/72511694/derivative-of-a-dataset-using-python-or-pandas. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.
- "Hovmöller Diagram: A Climate Scientist's Best Friend." Climate.gov, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, www.climate.gov/news-features/understanding-climate/hovm%C3%B6ller-diagram-climate-scientist%E2%80%99s-best-friend. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.
- Heymsfield, Andrew J., et al. "Ice Water Path–Optical Depth Relationships for Cirrus and Deep Stratiform Ice Cloud Layers." *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, vol. 42, no. 10, 1 Oct. 2003, pp. 1369–1390, journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/apme/42/10/1520-0450_2003_042_1369_IW_PDRF_2.0.CO_2.xml. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. "Summary for Policymakers." *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*. Edited by V. Masson-Delmotte et al., Cambridge University Press, 2021, www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM_final.pdf. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.
- Kent State University Libraries. "SPSS Tutorials: Pearson Correlation." Kent State University Libraries, libguides.library.kent.edu/SPSS/PearsonCorr. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.
- Lindzen, Richard S., Ming-Dah Chou, and Arthur Y. Hou. "Does the Earth Have an Adaptive Infrared Iris?" *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, vol. 82, no. 3, Mar. 2001, pp. 417–432, journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/82/3/1520-0477_2001_082_0417_DTEHAA_2.3.CO_2.xml. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.

Lynas, Mark, Benjamin Z. Houlton, and Simon Perry. “Greater than 99% Consensus on Human Caused Climate Change in the Peer-Reviewed Scientific Literature.” *Environmental Research Letters*, vol. 16, no. 11, 2021, article 114005, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ac2966.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration. “Evidence.” *Climate Change: Vital Signs of the Planet*, NASA, science.nasa.gov/climate-change/evidence/. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Physical Sciences Laboratory. “NOAA Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST) V2.1 High Resolution.” NOAA Physical Sciences Laboratory, downloads.psl.noaa.gov/Datasets/noaa.oisst.v2.highres/. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.

Sen Nag, Oishimaya. “Circles of Latitude and Longitude.” *WorldAtlas*, 19 Mar. 2021, www.worldatlas.com/geography/circles-of-latitude-and-longitude.html. Accessed 17 Aug. 2025.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Jiang for providing me with Aura MLS IWC data and his guidance throughout this project. I used Grammarly for phrasing improvements and grammar checks.