

STOCHASTIC PARALLEL TRANSPORT ON THE WASSERSTEIN SPACE AND EQUIVARIANT DIFFUSIONS ON THE GROUP OF DIFFEOMORPHISMS OVER A CLOSED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

AYMERIC MARTIN

ABSTRACT. In this work, we establish the existence of solutions to stochastic differential equations on the Wasserstein space over a closed Riemannian manifold, under suitable regularity assumptions on the driving vector fields. Interpreting the diffeomorphism group \mathcal{D} as a Riemannian submersion onto the smooth Wasserstein space \mathcal{P}_∞ , we further prove the existence and uniqueness of the stochastic parallel parallel transport along diffusions on \mathcal{P}_∞ . Finally, we show that equivariant diffusions on \mathcal{D} endowed with a principal bundle structure over \mathcal{P}_∞ admit a unique factorization into a horizontal diffusion and a vertical component expressed as a right exponential of a process taking values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the group G of volume preserving diffeomorphisms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Finite-dimensional case	4
2.1. Stochastic differential equations on Riemannian manifolds	4
2.2. Stochastic parallel transport and Riemannian submersion	5
2.3. Equivariant diffusions on principal bundles	9
2.4. Principal bundle with a Riemannian submersion structure	13
3. Infinite-dimensional geometric setting	16
3.1. The Wasserstein space	17
3.2. The group of diffeomorphisms	21
3.3. \mathcal{D} as a Riemannian submersion onto \mathcal{P}_∞	24
4. Infinite-dimensional stochastic calculus	29
4.1. Stochastic differential equations on \mathcal{D}	29
4.2. Stochastic differential equations on \mathcal{P}	31
4.3. Preliminaries	32
4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.5	33
5. Stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞	37
5.1. Definitions and properties	37
5.2. Existence and uniqueness of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞	39
6. Decomposition of equivariant diffusions on \mathcal{D}	43
Appendix A. Technical results	48
A.1. Hodge decomposition	48
A.2. Smoothness of the evaluation map	48
A.3. Lemmas related to Section 4	49
A.4. Lemmas related to Section 5	51
References	51

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, optimal transport has enjoyed a significant resurgence in popularity, mainly due to the diversity of application fields it covers such as data sciences or image processing. Among the main actors, we can cite Brenier in [Bre91] with the proof of the fact that the optimal transport map solution to the Monge-Kantorovich problem with quadratic distance in the euclidean case is given by the gradient of a convex function, solution to a Monge-Ampère equation. Years later, this result is extended by McCann to the case of compact Riemannian manifolds in [McC01] with the optimal transport map given by the Riemannian exponential of a gradient of function. In [BB00], Benamou and Brenier introduce a variational formulation of the Wasserstein distance, namely,

$$W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_M |V_t|^2 d\mu_t : \partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (V_t \mu_t) = 0 \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken in the set of the measures $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ solution of the above equation in the sense of distribution. In his seminal paper [Ott00], Otto introduces a Riemannian structure on the Wasserstein space which will be formalized in the more general context of metric spaces by Ambrosio, Gigli and Savaré in [AGS08]. In [Lot07], Lott introduces a lot of geometric material, including Levi-Civita connection, Riemann curvature tensor and the parallel transport equation. The reader is referred to the reference book [Vil08] by Villani for results about optimal transport and Otto's calculus.

The study of stochastic differential equations on Wasserstein space can be motivated by mean field games theory. Indeed, in the reference book [CD18] by Carmona and Delarue, we can see that such SDEs on Wasserstein space can be seen as a limit of an interacting particles system with common noise, also known as non linear stochastic Fokker-Planck equation. Still in [CD18], it is shown that a solution is given by taking the data of a well chosen conditionnal McKean-Vlasov equation. In the article [GGLS23], Gassiat, Lions and Souganidis suggest the idea of looking at such stochastic partial differential equations with coefficients depending in a local way on the density $(\rho_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of the solution. In [DFdL23] the authors prove the existence of solutions to SDEs on the Wasserstein space over a closed Riemannian manifold driven by constant vector fields, while, in [Wan21], the existence of solutions to a general class of conditional McKean-Vlasov SDEs is done on the Wasserstein space over the Euclidean space.

The origin of the manifold structure on the group of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{D} over a compact manifold can be traced back in the late '60s. In [Omo70], Omori presents the topology of the latter as an Inverse Limit Hilbert Lie group topology, i.e

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_s \mathcal{D}^s$$

where \mathcal{D}^s is the set of invertible maps in the sobolev space $H^s(M, M)$ with inverse in $H^s(M, M)$. Notice that even if \mathcal{D} is a Lie group, this is not the case of \mathcal{D}^s since the left multiplication is not smooth, but this point of view allows us to work on Hilbert manifolds. In [EM70], Ebin and Marsden use this formalism to find a solution to the classical Euler equations for a perfect fluid and prove that \mathcal{D} is diffeomorphic (for the above topology) to $G \times \mathcal{P}_\infty$, where G is the subgroup of volume preserving diffeomorphisms.

Even if the Wasserstein space has a quasi-Riemannian structure, the lack of local coordinates is a huge problem to construct stochastic parallel transport. Indeed, on a Riemannian manifold, it is sufficient to place oneself in local coordinates and to solve a stochastic differential equation locally, see [Itô75], [IW81] for instance. The question of stochastic parallel transport on the Wasserstein space has already been addressed in [DFdL23] by Ding, Fang and Li. In the latter, the stochastic parallel transport is formulated by means of a covariant SDE and existence and uniqueness are proved in the case of the smooth Wasserstein space over the torus along a certain type of diffusion. In order to prove the existence of stochastic parallel transport along a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_∞ over a closed manifold, one could consider the approach used to prove the existence of parallel transport along regular curves in the reference work [Gig11] by Gigli. However, this method does not fit well with the stochastic framework. The idea presented in the present article is to see the group of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{D} as a Riemannian submersion over \mathcal{P}_∞ . The well-posedness of the stochastic parallel transport problem is a further step towards a better understanding of Wasserstein's stochastic differential structure, in particular, it might be possible to study some processes coupling to obtain stability results about diffusions.

The fact that \mathcal{D} can be seen as a principal bundle above \mathcal{P}_∞ endowed with a connection can be used to study a specific type of diffusions on \mathcal{D} , namely equivariant diffusions (see Subsection 2.3 and Section 6). We prove that we can write any equivariant diffusions $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in the form

$$\Phi_t = h_t \cdot g_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

with $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a horizontal equivariant diffusion and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a right exponential on the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms G . Equivariant diffusions in the finite dimensional case have been studied by Elworthy, Le Jan and Li in [KDE04] and [ELL08]. Note that the idea of looking at such diffusions is not new, for example, Itô investigated the case of the orthonormal frame bundle over a Riemannian manifold in [Itô63]. The method used in the present paper is essentially the same as in the finite dimensional case, even if Elworthy, Le Jan and Li worked with the generators of the diffusions, whereas we tend to work directly on the SDEs. The advantage of our case lies in the fact that \mathcal{D} is a Lie Group and G is a subgroup of \mathcal{D} . However, we write the proof and the results using only the fact that \mathcal{D} is a principal bundle over \mathcal{P}_∞ to stay as general as possible. Lastly, we use the structure of Riemannian submersion to study Itô's stochastic differential equation driven by vertical vector fields on \mathcal{D} .

The article is organized as follows: Section 2 is dedicated to the presentation of the arguments that will be used later in Sections 5 and 6 in the simpler case of finite-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. In Section 3 we present the geometry of the Wasserstein space, the one of the diffeomorphisms group as well as the Riemannian

submersion structure that establish a link between these two spaces. Section 4 is about stochastic calculus on the two infinite-dimensional manifolds presented above, in particular, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Informal version of Theorem 4.5). *Let $\bar{Z}_0, \bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_N$ be regular vector fields on \mathcal{P} . Then, the SDE*

$$\bar{d}^{\bar{V}} \mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu \in \mathcal{P}$$

admits a solution on \mathcal{P} given by $\mu_t = (X_t)_\# \mu$ where $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the solution to a conditional McKean-Vlasov equation. Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ lies in \mathcal{P}_∞ .

This theorem is an extension of [DFdL23, Proposition 3.10] to the setting where the diffusion is not driven by constant vector fields, as well as a generalization of [Wan21, Theorem 2.1] to the context of closed manifolds. In Section 5 we prove the main theorem of the present paper, namely:

Theorem 1.2 (Informal version of Theorem 5.4). *Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_∞ and $V \in \bar{T}_{\mu_0} \mathcal{P}$. Then, there exists a unique stochastic parallel transport (see Definition 4.7) along $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$.*

This theorem extends the main result of [DFdL23] to the setting where the diffusion is not driven by constant vector fields and the underlying manifold is an arbitrary closed manifold. Finally, in Section 6, we study some decompositions of equivariant diffusions on \mathcal{D} .

Notations. Throughout the paper we consider $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ a filtered probability space which supports a N -dimensional Brownian motion $W = (W^1, W^2, \dots, W^N)$ in the canonical basis. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_k$ be some sets. The k -th symmetric product is defined as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad SP^k \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} \mathcal{E}_j \right) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma(j)} \right).$$

Let $(M, \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle)$ be a smooth Riemannian manifold and $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to

$$\odot dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \odot dW_t^i + A_0(X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x \in M,$$

where A_i is a smooth vector field for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Since Stratonovich's differentials preserves the chain rule, we can see Stratonovich's differential of M -valued semimartingales as elements of the tangent bundle. We will often write geometric expressions with Stratonovich's differential, for example, let Ξ be a linear connection or a $(1, 2)$ -tensor and $B \in \Gamma(M)$ a vector field, the following expression

$$\Xi(\odot dX_t, B(X_t))$$

has to be understood as

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \Xi(A_i(X_t), B(X_t)) \odot dW_t^i + \Xi(A_0, B(X_t)) dt.$$

For instance, let $C \in \Gamma(M)$, if Ξ is the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , we have:

$$(1.3) \quad \odot \langle B(X_t), C(X_t) \rangle_{X_t} = \langle \nabla_{\odot dX_t} B(X_t), C(X_t) \rangle_{X_t} + \langle B(X_t), \nabla_{\odot dX_t} C(X_t) \rangle_{X_t},$$

in the usual sense, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B(X_t), C(X_t) \rangle - \langle B(x), C(x) \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t (\langle \nabla_{A_i} B(X_s), C(X_s) \rangle + \langle B(X_s), \nabla_{A_i} C(X_s) \rangle) \odot dW_t^i \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (\langle \nabla_{A_0} B(X_s), C(X_s) \rangle + \langle B(X_s), \nabla_{A_0} C(X_s) \rangle) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This is due to the fact that Stratonovich's calculus behave as usual differential calculus. We will use this convention on usual Riemannian manifolds but also on \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{D} (see Section 5 and 6). We will also use the following notation to denote the quadratic variation:

$$\Xi(\odot dX_t, \odot dX_t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Xi(A_i(X_t), A_i(X_t)) dt.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, N)$ a smooth map between two manifolds. Let $x \in M$ and $V \in T_x M$, we adopt the notation $T_x f(V)$ to denote the differential of f in the direction V . This choice is made to avoid confusions with the Stratonovich's or Itô's differentials (respectively $\circ d$ and d^∇) or covariant differentials (respectively $\circ D_t$ and D_t^∇).

From Section 3, the reader will encounter both over-lined characters and bold characters. The former are objects of the Wasserstein space when the latter belong to the Diffeomorphisms group.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to his PhD advisors, Marc Arnaudon and Michel Bonnefont, for their valuable support and insightful suggestions, which have been of great help throughout this work.

2. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL CASE

2.1. Stochastic differential equations on Riemannian manifolds. In this subsection we recall some results about stochastic differential equations on Riemannian manifolds. The reader is referred to [Elw82], [Eme89], [Hsu02], [IW81] or [Kun97] for a complete presentation of the theory of stochastic differential equations on manifolds. Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N be smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M . We say that a continuous M -valued stochastic process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following Stratonovich's SDE

$$\circ dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x \in M,$$

if for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$,

$$f(X_t) = f(x) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N A_i f(X_s) \circ dW_s^i + \int_0^t A_0 f(X_s) ds,$$

or, in an usual Itô formulation,

$$f(X_t) = f(x) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N A_i f(X_s) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \left(A_0 f(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^2 f(X_s) \right) ds.$$

If one wants to study Itô's stochastic differential equations on M , a connection on TM is required. For instance, if M is a Riemannian manifold, one may consider the Levi-Civita connection ∇ induced by the metric. In this case, a continuous M -valued stochastic process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following Itô's SDE

$$d^\nabla X_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) dW_t^i + A_0(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x \in M,$$

if for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$,

$$f(X_t) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t A_i f(X_s) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \left(A_0 f(X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Hf}(A_i(X_s), A_i(X_s)) \right) ds,$$

where Hf denotes the Hessian associated to ∇ , namely:

$$\text{Hf}(A, B) = \mathcal{L}_A \mathcal{L}_B f - \nabla_A B f = \langle \nabla_A \nabla f, B \rangle_x, \quad \forall A, B \in \Gamma(M).$$

Proposition 2.1. *The Stratonovich's SDE*

$$\circ dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x \in M,$$

is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$d^\nabla X_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) dW_t^i + \left(A_0(X_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{A_i} A_i(X_t) \right) dt, \quad X_0 = x \in M.$$

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of the definition of the Hessian H . □

Theorem 2.2 (Stochastic Cauchy-Lipschitz). *Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N be smooth vector fields on a compact manifold M . Then, there exists a unique solution to the Itô's SDE defined for all $t \geq 0$:*

$$\circ dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x \in M.$$

Proof. This result is classic and a proof can be found in [Hsu02] or [Kun97] for example. □

2.2. Stochastic parallel transport and Riemannian submersion. In the case of a Riemannian submersion $p : N \rightarrow M$ between two Riemannian manifolds, one can establish a link between the Levi-Civita connection on M and the one on N for horizontal lift of vector fields on M , see Definition 2.2. As the Levi-Civita connection is closely related to the parallel transport, it is natural to expect an analogous relation between the two parallel transports. This link is presented in this section. None of these results are new and can be found in [CEA08, Chapter 3] or in [ON66] for example.

Definition-Proposition 2.1. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with ∇ the associated Levi-Civita connection and $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a smooth curve on M . There exists a unique solution to the following covariant equation:

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_t} A_t = 0, \quad A_0 \in T_{\gamma_0} M.$$

This unique solution is called the *parallel transport* along $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with initial condition $A_0 \in T_{\gamma_0} M$.

Remark 2.1. Since the covariant equation defining the parallel transport is linear, one may also see the parallel transport along a smooth curve $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as a flow of linear maps $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ such that, $\tau_{0,t} : T_{\gamma_0} M \rightarrow T_{\gamma_t} M$, for all $t \geq 0$, mapping each initial condition $A_0 \in T_{\gamma_0} M$ to the associated solution to (2.1). We will rather adopt this point of view throughout this paper.

Let N, M be smooth Riemannian manifolds and $p : N \rightarrow M$ be a Riemannian submersion with $\mathcal{V}_u P$ being $\ker T_u p$, namely the *vertical space* and $\mathcal{H}_u N = \mathcal{V}_u N^\perp$ the *horizontal space* for all $u \in N$.

Definition 2.2. The *horizontal lift* \mathfrak{h}_u at the point $u \in P$ is defined as the inverse of $T_u p|_{\mathcal{H}_u N}$. Let $\bar{A} \in \Gamma(M)$, the horizontal lift of \bar{A} is defined as $\mathfrak{h}(\bar{A})(u) = \mathfrak{h}_u(\bar{A}(p(u)))$.

Definition 2.3. Two vector fields A, \bar{A} respectively on N and M are said to be *p-related* if $Tp(A(u)) = \bar{A}(p(u))$ for all $u \in N$.

For $u \in N$, let $P_{\mathcal{V}_u}$ and $P_{\mathcal{H}_u}$ denote respectively the orthogonal projection on the vertical and the horizontal space. Moreover, let $\nabla, \bar{\nabla}$ denote respectively the Levi-Civita connection on N and M . Note that $A = \mathfrak{h}(\bar{A})$ if and only if A and \bar{A} are *p-related* and A is horizontal.

Remark 2.2. Notice that we have inverted the notations used in [CEA08]. The reason is that we want to apply this theory to the context of the diffeomorphism group seen as a Riemannian submersion over Wasserstein space, and overlined symbols are common in the literature of Wasserstein space.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A, B \in \Gamma(N)$ and $\bar{A}, \bar{B} \in \Gamma(M)$ be *p-related* vector fields. Then, $[\bar{A}, \bar{B}]$ and $[A, B]$ are *p-related*.

Proof. Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Observe that for all $u \in N$ we have

$$A(f \circ p)(u) = T_u p(A)f(p(u)) = (\bar{A}f)(p(u)).$$

Hence, iterating it with the function $\bar{A}f$ we get

$$B(\bar{A}f \circ p)(u) = T_u p(B)(\bar{A}f)(p(u)) = (\bar{B}\bar{A}f)(p(u)).$$

Thus, we obtain the result :

$$[A, B](f \circ p)(u) = T_u p([A, B])f(p(u)) = ([\bar{A}, \bar{B}]f)(p(u)).$$

□

Proposition 2.4. Let $\bar{A}, \bar{B} \in \Gamma(M)$ and A, B their horizontal lifts. Then, $\nabla_A B$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}} \bar{B}$ are *p-related*. Moreover, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_A B(u) = \mathfrak{h}_u(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}} \bar{B}(p(u))) + \frac{1}{2}[A, B]^\mathcal{V}(u),$$

where $[A, B]^\mathcal{V}(u) = P_{\mathcal{V}_u}([A, B](u))$ and $[A, B]^\mathcal{V}(u)$ depends only on the values of A, B at u .

Proof. Let $\bar{C} \in \Gamma(M)$ and C its horizontal lift. By using Koszul formula, Lemma (2.3) and the fact that p is a Riemannian submersion we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle \nabla_A B, C \rangle &= A \langle B, C \rangle + B \langle C, A \rangle - C \langle A, B \rangle + \langle [A, B], C \rangle - \langle [A, C], B \rangle - \langle [B, C], A \rangle \\ &= A \langle \bar{B}, \bar{C} \rangle \circ p + B \langle \bar{C}, \bar{A} \rangle \circ p - C \langle \bar{A}, \bar{B} \rangle \circ p \\ &\quad + \langle Tp([A, B]), \bar{C} \rangle \circ p - \langle Tp([A, C]), \bar{B} \rangle \circ p - \langle Tp([B, C]), \bar{A} \rangle \circ p \\ &= \bar{A} \langle \bar{B}, \bar{C} \rangle \circ p + \bar{B} \langle \bar{C}, \bar{A} \rangle \circ p - \bar{C} \langle \bar{A}, \bar{B} \rangle \circ p + \langle [\bar{A}, \bar{B}], \bar{C} \rangle \circ p - \langle [\bar{A}, \bar{C}], \bar{B} \rangle \circ p - \langle [\bar{B}, \bar{C}], \bar{A} \rangle \circ p \\ &= 2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}} \bar{B}, \bar{C} \rangle \circ p. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_A B(u) = \mathfrak{h}_u(\bar{\nabla}_A \bar{B}(p(u))) + P_{\mathcal{V}_u}(\nabla_A B(u)).$$

Let W be a vertical vector field on N , using once again Koszul formula we have

$$2\langle \nabla_A B, W \rangle = A\langle B, W \rangle + B\langle W, A \rangle - W\langle A, B \rangle + \langle [A, B], W \rangle - \langle [A, W], B \rangle - \langle [B, W], A \rangle.$$

The first two terms on the right hand-side are 0 by orthogonality. The third vanishes since $\langle A, B \rangle$ is constant in vertical directions. Since W is p -related with the null vector field on M , the last two terms vanish using Lemma 2.3. Thus we obtain

$$\langle \nabla_A B, W \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}[A, B], W \right\rangle.$$

To see that $[A, B]^\mathcal{V}|_u$ depends only on the values of A, B at u , notice that $[A, B]^\mathcal{V} = P_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_A B)$ which is tensorial in the first component. Using the antisymmetry of the Lie bracket we get the announced property. \square

We are now interested in the link between parallel transports on N and M . Let $U \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ be a horizontal vector field and $B \in \Gamma(N)$. We define the *O'Neill tensor* as in [O'N66] to be:

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_U(B) = P_{\mathcal{V}}((\nabla U) \cdot P_{\mathcal{H}}(B)).$$

One can easily check that its adjoint operator is given by:

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{A}_U^T(B) = P_{\mathcal{H}}((\nabla U)^T \cdot P_{\mathcal{V}}(B)).$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.4, if $B \in \mathcal{H}_u N$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_U(B) = \frac{1}{2}[U, B]^\mathcal{V} = -\frac{1}{2}[B, U]^\mathcal{V} = -\mathcal{A}_B(U).$$

Definition 2.4. Let $p : N \rightarrow M$ be a Riemannian submersion and $(\bar{\gamma}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a smooth curve on M such that $\bar{\gamma}_0 = x \in M$. Then, for $u \in p^{-1}(x)$, the *horizontal lift* of $\bar{\gamma}$ in u is the solution of the following ODE:

$$\dot{\gamma}_t = \mathfrak{h}_{\gamma_t}(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}_t), \quad \gamma_0 = u.$$

Let $x \in M$ and $u \in p^{-1}(x)$. Suppose that $(\bar{\gamma}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a smooth path on M with $\bar{\gamma}_0 = x$ and let $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be its horizontal lift starting from u . Let $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ be respectively parallel transports on N and M along $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\bar{\gamma}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (with the point of view of Remark 2.1). The following proposition introduces the (deterministic version of the) strategy for proving Theorem 5.4. The idea is that, when we consider a Riemannian submersion $p : N \rightarrow M$, we can construct the parallel transport on M by using only the parallel transport on N and Proposition 2.4. We will also see that the horizontal lift of the parallel transport is the parallel transport of the *right-exponential of the (inverse parallel transport of the) O'Neill tensor*.

Proposition 2.5. *The parallel transport on M writes*

$$\bar{\tau}_{0,t}(\bar{U}) = T_{\gamma_t} p(\tau_{0,t}^h(\mathfrak{h}_u(\bar{U}))), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is the so-called horizontal transport, namely the horizontal lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$,

$$\tau_{0,t}^h(U) = \mathfrak{h}_{\gamma_t(u)}(\bar{\tau}_{0,t}(T_u p(U))), \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{H}_u N,$$

which is also the unique solution to

$$(2.6) \quad D_t \tau_{0,t}^h(U) = -\mathcal{A}_{\dot{\gamma}_t}(\tau_{0,t}^h(U)), \quad \tau_{0,0}^h(U) = U, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{H}_u N.$$

Moreover, $\tau_{0,t}^h(U) = \tau_{0,t}(Q_t(U))$ where

$$(2.7) \quad Q_t(U) = \mathcal{E} \left(\int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1}(-\mathcal{A}_{\dot{\gamma}_s}(\tau_{0,s}(U))) ds \right)_t,$$

with \mathcal{E} the right-exponential map on $GL(T_u N)$.

Proof. Let $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ starting from u . By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$D_t \tau_{0,t}^h(U) = \frac{1}{2} [\dot{\gamma}_t, \tau_{0,t}^h(U)]^\gamma = -\mathcal{A}_{\dot{\gamma}_t}(\tau_{0,t}^h(U)), \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{H}_u N.$$

Let $L_t(\cdot)$ denote the linear map $\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} [\dot{\gamma}_t, \tau_{0,t}(\cdot)]^\gamma \right)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then, letting $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0} = (\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$, the above equation is equivalent to the following $GL(T_u N)$ -valued equation

$$\dot{Q}_t = L_t(Q_t), \quad Q_0 = id.$$

Indeed,

$$(2.8) \quad \dot{Q}_t = \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \tau_{0,t}^h = \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_t} \tau_{0,t}^h = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{0,t}^{-1} [\dot{\gamma}_t, \tau_{0,t}(\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \tau_{0,t}^h)]^\gamma = L_t(Q_t).$$

There exists a unique solution given by the associated exponential map on $GL(T_u N)$, namely, $Q_t = \mathcal{E} \left(\int_0^t L_s(\cdot) ds \right)_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, the solution to (2.6) is unique and is given by $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0} = (\tau_{0,t} Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$. \square

Remark 2.3. The first part of this theorem is trivial, it says that the projection of the horizontal lift of the parallel transport is the parallel transport. The important point in this result is the fact that $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is entirely characterized by equation (2.6). Thus, in order to obtain the parallel transport on M , one can solve (2.6) on N and project onto M in order to obtain the solution.

Let us apply this reasoning to the stochastic setting.

Definition-Proposition 2.5 ([Ito75]). Let M be a Riemannian manifold with ∇ the associated Levi-Civita connection, $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N \in \Gamma(M)$. Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the unique solution to:

$$\circ dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t) dt, \quad X_0 \in M.$$

There exists a unique solution to the following stochastic covariant equation

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla_{\circ dX_t} A_t = 0, \quad A_0 \in T_{X_0} M,$$

in the following sense:

$$\circ d\langle A_t, B(X_t) \rangle_{X_t} = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle A_t, \nabla_{A_i(X_t)} B(X_t) \rangle_{X_t} \circ dW_t^i + \langle A_t, \nabla_{A_0(X_t)} B(X_t) \rangle_{X_t} dt, \quad \forall B \in \Gamma(M),$$

consistently with (1.3). This unique solution is called the *stochastic parallel transport* along $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with initial condition $A_0 \in T_{X_0} M$.

Definition-Proposition 2.6. A TM -valued stochastic process $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along a semimartingale $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a semimartingale if $(\tau_{0,t}^{-1} U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semimartingale on $T_{U_0} M$, where $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ denotes the stochastic parallel transport along $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The Stratonovich covariant derivative of U_t is defined as the following formal element of $T_{U_t} M$:

$$(2.10) \quad \circ D_t U_t = \tau_{0,t} \circ d\tau_{0,t}^{-1} U_t.$$

Equivalently, $\circ D_t U_t$ can be defined as the unique formal element of $\bar{T}_{X_t} M$ such that:

$$(2.11) \quad \circ d\langle U_t, \tau_{0,t}(B) \rangle_{X_t} = \langle \circ D_t U_t, \tau_{0,t}(B) \rangle_{X_t},$$

for all $B \in T_{X_0} M$.

Proposition 2.6 (Theorem 2.4, [Kun81]). Let $B \in \Gamma(M)$ and $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semimartingale solution to

$$\circ dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t) dt, \quad X_0 \in M.$$

Then,

$$(2.12) \quad \circ d\tau_{0,t}^{-1} B(X_t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} (\nabla_{A_i(X_t)} B(X_t)) \circ dW_t^i + \tau_{0,t}^{-1} (\nabla_{A_0(X_t)} B(X_t)) dt.$$

From now on, we will write either $\circ D_t B(X_t)$ or $\nabla_{\circ d X_t} B(X_t)$ to denote the Stratonovich covariant derivative of B along $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, consistently with (1.3).

Let $\bar{A}_0, \bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_N \in \Gamma(M)$ and $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N \in \Gamma(N)$ their horizontal lifts. Consider a diffusion $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on M starting from $x \in M$ solution to

$$\circ d\bar{X}_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{A}_i(\bar{X}_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{A}_0(\bar{X}_t)dt, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x.$$

Let us define the *horizontal lift* of $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ starting from $u \in p^{-1}(x)$ similarly as in the deterministic case (see Definition 2.4) as the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ given by:

$$(2.13) \quad \circ dX_t = \mathfrak{h}_{X_t}(\circ d\bar{X}_t) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = u$$

Let $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ be the stochastic parallel transports respectively on M and N along $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (once again with the point of view of Remark 2.1 but with stochastic flows) and $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ the horizontal lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$. As in the deterministic case, the horizontal lift of the stochastic parallel transport is the stochastic parallel transport of the *right-exponential of the (inverse stochastic parallel transport of the) O'Neill tensor*.

Theorem 2.7. *The stochastic parallel transport on M writes*

$$\bar{\tau}_{0,t}(\bar{U}) = T_{X_t} p(\tau_{0,t}^h(\mathfrak{h}_u(\bar{U}))), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

with $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ the so-called *horizontal stochastic transport*, namely the horizontal lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$,

$$\tau_{0,t}^h(U) = \mathfrak{h}_{X_t(u)}(\bar{\tau}_{0,t}(T_u p(U))), \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{H}_u N,$$

which is also the unique solution to

$$(2.14) \quad \circ D_t \tau_{0,t}^h(U) = -\mathcal{A}_{\circ d X_t(u)}(\tau_{0,t}^h(U)), \quad \tau_{0,0}^h(U) = U, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{H}_u N.$$

Moreover, $\tau_{0,t}^h = \tau_{0,t}(Q_t)$ where

$$(2.15) \quad Q_t(U) = \mathcal{E} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} (\mathcal{A}_{A_i(X_s(u))}(\tau_{0,t}(U))) \circ dW_s^i + \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} (\mathcal{A}_{A_0(X_s(u))}(\tau_{0,t}(U))) ds \right)_t,$$

with \mathcal{E} the so-called *stochastic right-exponential map* on $GL(T_u N)$ (see [HDL86]).

Proof. Let $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ starting from u . By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\nabla_{\circ d X_t} \tau_{0,t}^h(U) = \frac{1}{2} [\circ d X_t, \tau_{0,t}^h(U)]^\mathcal{V}, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{H}_u N.$$

Let L_t^i denote the linear map $\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} [A_i(X_t), \tau_{0,t}(\cdot)]^\mathcal{V} \right)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we study the following equivalent $GL(T_u N)$ -valued stochastic differential equation

$$\circ dQ_t = - \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_{A_i(X_t(u))}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(\cdot)) \circ dW_t^i - \mathcal{A}_{A_0(X_t(u))}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(\cdot))dt.$$

There exists a unique solution, this is the so-called *stochastic exponential map* on $GL(T_u N)$,

$$Q_t = \mathcal{E} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_{A_i(X_s(u))}(\tau_{0,s}(\cdot)) \circ dW_s^i - \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_{A_0(X_s(u))}(\tau_{0,s}(\cdot))ds \right)_s.$$

Finally $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is the unique solution to (2.14) and is given by $\tau_{0,t}^h = \tau_{0,t} Q_t$ for all $t \geq 0$ and we get the stochastic parallel transport on M by taking the projection. \square

As already mentioned in Remark 2.3, the important point of this proposition lies in the fact that $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is characterized by Equation (2.14). We will use this reasoning in Section 5 to prove the existence and uniqueness of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞ .

Remark 2.4 (The stochastic horizontal transport is horizontal). Let $U_t = \tau_{0,t}^h(U)$ denote the solution to (2.6) with initial condition $U \in \mathcal{H}_u N$. By construction, the horizontal transport preserves horizontality. Nevertheless, this property can in fact be deduced directly from (2.6). Indeed, let $B \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}(N)$ be a smooth horizontal vector field and $(V_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semimartingale along $(X_t(u))_{t \geq 0}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{od}\langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, B(X_t(u)) \rangle &= \text{od}\langle V_t, B(X_t(u)) \rangle \\
&= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t), B(X_t(u)) \rangle + \langle V_t^{\mathcal{V}}, \nabla_{\text{od}X_t(u)} B(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&\quad + \langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, \nabla_{\text{od}X_t(u)} B(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t), B(X_t(u)) \rangle + \langle V_t, P_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_{\text{od}X_t(u)} B(X_t(u))) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&\quad + \langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, \nabla_{\text{od}X_t(u)} B(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t), B(X_t(u)) \rangle - \langle V_t, \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}(B(X_t(u))) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&\quad + \langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, \nabla_{\text{od}X_t(u)} B(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&= \left\langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(V_t), B(X_t(u)) \right\rangle_{X_t(u)} + \langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, \nabla_{\text{od}X_t(u)} B(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtained the following:

$$(2.16) \quad P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t^{\mathcal{H}}) = P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(V_t)$$

Now, let $C \in \Gamma(N)$ be a smooth vector field. Applying (2.16) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{od}\langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, C(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} &= \text{od}\langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, C^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t^{\mathcal{H}}), C(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} + \langle V_t, P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t C^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(u))) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(V_t), C(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&\quad + \langle V_t, P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t C(X_t(u))) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(C(X_t(u))) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}(C(X_t(u))), C(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\
&\quad + \langle V_t^{\mathcal{H}}, \circ D_t C(X_t(u)) \rangle_{X_t(u)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtained

$$(2.17) \quad \circ D_t V_t^{\mathcal{H}} = P_{\mathcal{H}}(\circ D_t V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(V_t) - \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}(V_t).$$

By using the fact that $V_t^{\mathcal{V}} = V_t - V_t^{\mathcal{H}}$ we also get

$$(2.18) \quad \circ D_t V_t^{\mathcal{V}} = P_{\mathcal{V}}(\circ D_t V_t) + \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(V_t) + \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}(V_t).$$

Thus, the horizontality of U_t follows from the fact that $U_0^{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ and the following consequence of (2.18):

$$\text{od}\|U_t^{\mathcal{V}}\|^2 = 2\langle \mathcal{A}_{\text{od}X_t(u)}^T(U_t), U_t^{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{X_t(u)} = 0.$$

2.3. Equivariant diffusions on principal bundles. In this subsection we leave the context of Riemannian submersions to study principal bundles. Note that these notions are not decorrelated, see Remark 2.6 and Subsection 2.4 below. For instance, we will see in Section 3 that the group of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{D} can be seen as a Riemannian submersion over \mathcal{P}_{∞} but also as a principal bundle over the latter.

Let G be a Lie group with identity element e . In this subsection we first introduce some definitions and basic results about G -principal bundles, and then we show that any equivariant diffusion on a principal bundle admits a decomposition as a product of a horizontal equivariant diffusion $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and a vertical process $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ given by a right exponential of a stochastic process in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G .

Let $p : P \rightarrow M$ be a G -principal bundle over a smooth manifold M . Let $g \in G$ and let us denote $R_g : P \rightarrow P$ the *right action* by g on P and also the *right multiplication* by g in G . The notation L_g will be used for the *left multiplication* by g in G . As before, we define $\mathcal{V}_u P = \ker T_u p$. A vector field A on P is said to be *G -right invariant* if for all $u \in P$ and for all $g \in G$ we have

$$A(u \cdot g) = TR_g(A(u)).$$

Let $u \in G$, we define the map $\iota_u : G \rightarrow P$ to be $\iota_u(g) = u \cdot g$. A vector field B on P is said to be a *vertical left invariant vector field* if there exists $\tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that for all $u \in P$

$$B(u) = T\iota_u \tilde{B}.$$

One can easily prove that a vertical left invariant vector field is vertical. The reader is referred to [Son15] for a detailed presentation of principal bundles.

Definition 2.7. Let $g \in G$, the *adjoint representation* $\text{Ad}(g) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the differential of the inner automorphism $h \in G \mapsto g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1}$ in $h = e$.

Definition 2.8. An *Ehresmann connection* on P is a subbundle $\mathcal{H}P$ of TP such that

- (1) For all $u \in P$, $\mathcal{H}_u P \oplus \mathcal{V}_u P = T_u P$.
- (2) For all $u \in P$, $T_u p$ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_u P$ and $T_p(u)M$, the inverse is the horizontal lift $\mathfrak{h}_u : T_p(u)M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_u P$.
- (3) For all $g \in G$ and $u \in P$, $\mathcal{H}P$ is G -invariant i.e

$$TR_g(\mathcal{H}_u P) = \mathcal{H}_{u \cdot g} P.$$

Remark 2.5. Note that points (2) and (3) in Definition 2.8 gives that

$$\mathfrak{h}_{u \cdot g}(v) = TR_g(\mathfrak{h}_u(v)), \quad \forall v \in T_p(u)M.$$

Moreover, one can check that $TR_g(\mathcal{V}_u P) = \mathcal{V}_{u \cdot g} P$. In particular, if a vector $A \in T_u P$ admits the following unique decomposition $A = A^{\mathcal{H}} + A^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}_u P \oplus \mathcal{V}_u P$, then, $TR_g(A)$ admits the following one

$$TR_g(A) = TR_g(A^{\mathcal{H}}) + TR_g(A^{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathcal{H}_{u \cdot g} P \oplus \mathcal{V}_{u \cdot g} P.$$

Remark 2.6. Suppose that P is endowed with a Riemannian metric which is right invariant for the action of G . Then, P admits an Ehresmann connection given by the horizontal space $\mathcal{H}P = \mathcal{V}P^\perp$. In this case, $p : P \rightarrow M$ defines a Riemannian submersion. Conversely, let $p : N \rightarrow M$ be a Riemannian submersion, if there exists a Lie group G acting freely and transitively on the fibers, N defines a principal bundle over M .

Suppose that P is provided with an Ehresmann connection $\mathcal{H}P$, and let $A \in \Gamma(P)$. Since for all $u \in P$, $T_u P = \mathcal{H}_u P \oplus \mathcal{V}_u P$, we have a unique decomposition $A = A^{\mathcal{H}} \oplus A^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}P \oplus \mathcal{V}P$. We say that $A^{\mathcal{H}}$ (resp. $A^{\mathcal{V}}$) is the horizontal (resp. vertical) part of A .

In this context, we have a one-to-one correspondence between vertical left invariant vector fields and elements of the lie algebra \mathfrak{g} being given by

$$A \in \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto T\iota_u(A) \in \mathcal{V}_u P.$$

The inverse is given by the so called connection form ϖ which is characterized by the following conditions.

- (1) For all $g \in G$, $u \in P$, $\varpi(T\iota_u(U)) = U$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- (2) The connection form ϖ vanishes on $\mathcal{H}P$.

Proposition 2.8. Let $A \in \Gamma(P)$. Then, for all $g \in G$, $\varpi(TR_g A) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\varpi(A)$.

Proof. Let $A = A^{\mathcal{H}} \oplus A^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}P \oplus \mathcal{V}P$ and $u \in P$. Since $\varpi(A^{\mathcal{H}}(u)) = 0$ we have:

$$\varpi(TR_g A(u)) = \varpi(TR_g A^{\mathcal{V}}(u)) = \varpi(T\iota_u TR_g \varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(u))) = \varpi(T\iota_u TL_g TL_{g^{-1}} TR_g \varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(u))).$$

Since $T\iota_u TL_g = T\iota_{u \cdot g}$ and $TL_{g^{-1}} TR_g = \text{Ad}(g)$, we obtain:

$$\varpi(T\iota_u TL_g TL_{g^{-1}} TR_g \varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(u))) = \varpi(T\iota_{u \cdot g} \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(u))) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(u)).$$

□

Remark 2.7. In the case where P is a Lie Group and G is a sub-Lie group of P one can check that $\iota_u = TL_u$ and the connection form is just given by $\varpi(u) = TL_{u^{-1}} \circ P_{\mathfrak{g}_u}$.

Definition 2.9. A map $U : P \rightarrow P$ is said to be *equivariant* if

$$U(u \cdot g) = U(u) \cdot g, \quad \forall u \in P, \forall g \in G.$$

A flow of maps $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $U_t : P \rightarrow P$ for all $t \geq 0$ is said to be equivariant if U_t is equivariant for all $t \geq 0$.

Definition 2.10. A smooth path $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on P is said to be *horizontal* (resp. *vertical*) if for all $t \geq 0$, $\dot{\gamma}_t \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_t} P$ (resp. $\dot{\gamma}_t \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_t} P$).

We define the *horizontal lift* of a path $(\tilde{\gamma}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on M as in Definition 2.4 with \mathfrak{h} the horizontal lift associated to the considered Ehresmann connection.

Example 2.1 (The horizontal lift is equivariant). Let $\bar{A} \in \Gamma(M)$ and $(\tilde{\gamma}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to

$$\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}_t(x) = \bar{A}(\tilde{\gamma}_t(x)), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_0(x) \in M.$$

Then, for all $u \in P_{\tilde{\gamma}_0(x)}$, the horizontal lift $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is, by definition, solution to

$$\dot{\gamma}_t(u) = A(\gamma_t(u)), \quad \gamma_0(u) = u,$$

where $A = \mathfrak{h}(\bar{A})$. In particular, this is the simplest example of equivariant diffusion that one could think of. To see that $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant, let $g \in G$. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_t(u) \cdot g = \frac{d}{dt} R_g(\gamma_t(u)) = TR_g(\dot{\gamma}_t(u)) = TR_g(A(\gamma_t(u))) = A(\gamma_t(u) \cdot g),$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that A is G -right invariant (see Remark 2.5). In particular, $(\gamma_t(u) \cdot g)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to

$$\dot{\gamma}_t(u \cdot g) = A(\gamma_t(u) \cdot g), \quad \gamma_0(u \cdot g) = u \cdot g,$$

and, by uniqueness of the solution, $\gamma_t(u \cdot g) = \gamma_t(u) \cdot g$.

Remark 2.8. Notice that a vertical path $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ starting in $\gamma_0 = u$ stays in the fiber $p^{-1}(p(u))$. In particular, since G acts freely and transitively on the fibers, $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ can always be written as $\gamma_t = \gamma_0 \cdot g_t$, and then it may be identified as the path $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in G . In the remainder of this subsection we will identify P_{γ_0} and G and consequently vertical paths starting at γ_0 with paths in G .

Let $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a smooth path on P , $(\bar{\gamma}_t)_{t \geq 0} = (p(\gamma_t))_{t \geq 0}$ the projected path and $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the horizontal lift of $\bar{\gamma}$ defined as in Definition 2.4 with \mathfrak{h} the horizontal lift associated to the Ehresmann connection. Since G acts freely and transitively on the fibers of P , it is clear that $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ decomposes itself in a unique way as a product

$$(2.19) \quad \gamma_t = h_t \cdot g_t,$$

where $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a path on G with $g_0 = e$. In the case of equivariant flow of maps, this decomposition has more properties.

Proposition 2.9. *Let A be a smooth right invariant vector field on P with $A = A^{\mathcal{H}} + A^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}P \oplus \mathcal{V}P$. Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to*

$$(2.20) \quad \dot{U}_t(u) = A(U_t(u)), \quad U_0(u) \in P,$$

with U_0 an equivariant map and let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} = (p(U_t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following autonomous equation

$$(2.21) \quad \dot{X}_t(x) = \bar{A}^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(x)), \quad X_0(x) = p(U_0(u)), \quad u \in p^{-1}(x),$$

where $\bar{A}^{\mathcal{H}} = Tp(A^{\mathcal{H}})$. Moreover, the flow $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant and the decomposition (2.19) takes the form $U_t = h_t \cdot g_t$ where $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the horizontal lift of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a G -valued path such that

$$(2.22) \quad \dot{h}_t(u) = \mathfrak{h}_{h_t(u)}(\dot{X}_t(p(u))) = A^H(h_t(u)), \quad h_0(u) = U_0(u),$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \dot{g}_t(u) = TR_{g_t(u)} \varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t(u))), \quad g_0(u) = e.$$

In particular, $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a horizontal equivariant autonomous flow and $g_t(u \cdot g) = g^{-1} \cdot g_t(u) \cdot g$ and is a right exponential of a path in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , namely:

$$(2.24) \quad g_t(u) = \mathcal{E}^R \left(\int_0^t \varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(h_s(u))) ds \right)_t.$$

Proof. The fact that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to (2.21) is due to the fact that

$$\frac{d}{dt} p(U_t(u)) = T_{U_t(u)} p(A(U_t(u)) + A^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t(u))) = \bar{A}^{\mathcal{H}}(p(U_t(u))),$$

where the first equality is an application of the chain rule and the second is due to the fact that $\ker Tp = \mathcal{V}P$. The fact that Equation (2.21) is autonomous is due to the fact that $A^{\mathcal{H}}$ is G -right invariant since A is G -right invariant.

Let $u \in P$, $g \in G$. We have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (U_t(u) \cdot g) = TR_g(\dot{U}_t(u)) = TR_g(A(U_t(u))) = A(U_t(u) \cdot g).$$

In particular, $(U_t(u) \cdot g)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to

$$\dot{U}_t(u \cdot g) = A(U_t(u \cdot g)), \quad U_0(u \cdot g) \in P,$$

by uniqueness of the solution to (2.20), we obtain that $U_t(u) \cdot g = U_t(u \cdot g)$ which is the equivariance property.

Let $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the unique solution to

$$\dot{h}_t(u) = \mathfrak{h}_{h_t(u)}(\dot{X}_t(p(u))), \quad h_0(u) = U_0(u).$$

As noticed before, the projected flow $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is autonomous, consequently, $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a horizontal equivariant autonomous flow as a horizontal lift of an autonomous flow (see Example 2.1). Set g_t such that $U_t = h_t \cdot g_t$ (it exists and is unique since G acts freely and transitively on the fibers of P). Since $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant, we have $U_t(u \cdot g) = U_t(u) \cdot g$ and, by construction, it is clear that $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant. In particular:

$$U_t(u \cdot g) = h_t(u \cdot g) \cdot g_t(u \cdot g) = h_t(u) \cdot g \cdot g_t(u \cdot g) \quad \text{and} \quad U_t(u \cdot g) = U_t(u) \cdot g = h_t(u) \cdot g_t(u) \cdot g.$$

Then, since G acts freely on the fibers of P we get $g_t(u \cdot g) = g^{-1} \cdot g_t(u) \cdot g$. To get the equation of $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$, let $A = A^{\mathcal{H}} \oplus A^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}P \oplus \mathcal{V}P$ be the direct sum decomposition. By uniqueness of this decomposition we have

$$(2.25) \quad \dot{U}_t = A^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t) + A^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) = TR_{g_t}(\dot{h}_t) + T\iota_{h_t}(\dot{g}_t).$$

By identifying the horizontal and vertical parts, it is clear that $TR_{g_t}(\dot{h}_t) = A^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t)$ and $T\iota_{h_t}(\dot{g}_t) = A^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t)$. Moreover, we have

$$A^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) = T\iota_{h_t \cdot g_t}(\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t \cdot g_t))) = T\iota_{h_t}TL_{g_t}Ad(g_t^{-1})(\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t))) = T\iota_{h_t}(TR_{g_t}\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t))).$$

By identification we obtain

$$\dot{g}_t = TR_{g_t}\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t)).$$

Formula (2.24) is a direct consequence of Equation (2.23). □

Let us introduce the notion of equivariant diffusions, the stochastic analogous of equivariant flows defined in Definition 2.9.

Definition 2.11. A solution to a Stratonovich's SDE of the following form:

$$\circ dU_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(U_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(U_t)dt, \quad U_0(u) \in P,$$

is said to be an *equivariant diffusion* if for all $g \in G$, $U_t(u \cdot g) = U_t(u) \cdot g$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The following theorem is the stochastic analogous of Proposition 2.9. This result is proved in [ELL08], [KDE04] by considering the associated infinitesimal generators.

Proposition 2.10. Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N be smooth right invariant vector fields on P with $A_i = A_i^{\mathcal{H}} + A_i^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{H}P \oplus \mathcal{V}P$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the diffusion solution to

$$(2.26) \quad \circ dU_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(U_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(U_t)dt, \quad U_0(u) \in P,$$

with U_0 an equivariant map and let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} = (p(U_t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a diffusion solution to the following Stratonovich's SDE

$$(2.27) \quad \circ dX_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{A}_i^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(x)) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{A}_0^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(x))dt, \quad X_0(x) = p(U_0(u)), \quad u \in p^{-1}(x),$$

where $\bar{A}_i^{\mathcal{H}} = Tp(A_i^{\mathcal{H}})$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Moreover, the stochastic flow $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant and the decomposition (2.19) takes the form $U_t = h_t \cdot g_t$ where $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the horizontal lift of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a G -valued path such that for all $u \in P$:

$$(2.28) \quad \circ dh_t(u) = \mathfrak{h}_{h_t(u)}(\circ dX_t(p(u))) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^{\mathcal{H}}(h_t(u)) \circ dW_t^i + A_0^{\mathcal{H}}(h_t(u))dt, \quad h_0(u) = U_0(u)$$

and

$$(2.29) \quad \circ dg_t(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t(u)} \varpi(A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t(u))) \circ dW_t^i + TR_{g_t(u)} \varpi(A_0^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t(u))) dt, \quad g_0(u) = e.$$

In particular, $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a horizontal equivariant diffusion and $g_t(u \cdot g) = g^{-1} \cdot g_t(u) \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$ and is a right stochastic exponential of a stochastic process in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , namely:

$$(2.30) \quad g_t(u) = \mathcal{E}^R \left(\int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N \varpi(A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(h_s(u))) \circ dW_s^i + \varpi(A_0^{\mathcal{V}}(h_s(u))) ds \right)_t.$$

Proof. The proof can be performed exactly in the same way as the proof of Proposition 2.9 by replacing time derivative by Stratonovich's derivative. See also [ELL08], [KDE04] for another proof. \square

Remark 2.9. As seen in Example 2.1, the horizontal lift of a diffusion on M is an equivariant diffusion. In particular, the vertical part in the decomposition (2.19) is given by $g_t = e$.

2.4. Principal bundle with a Riemannian submersion structure. As mentioned in Remark 2.6, a G -principal bundle $p : N \rightarrow M$ endowed with a Riemannian metric which is right invariant for the action of G on N has a canonical Ehresmann connection given by the horizontal space $\mathcal{H}N = \mathcal{V}N^\perp$. This is not uncommon for these two structures to coexist, the (infinite-dimensional) example of the group of diffeomorphisms will be studied in Section 3. We still denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on N and by $\bar{\nabla}$ the one on M . In this case, the results presented in Subsections 2.2 and 2.3 obviously apply, but we also obtain specific results to this context.

This subsection is divided into two paragraphs: We show in the first one that the horizontal stochastic transport is right invariant (see Proposition 2.13). We will see that this property may be interpreted as an equivariance property on a well chosen principal bundle, see Remark 2.10. In Proposition 2.14, we will show that this lift extends to an equivariant one on the $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ -principal bundle TN . The second paragraph is the continuation of Subsection 2.3. More precisely, we study decomposition (2.19) of Itô's equivariant diffusions driven by respectively horizontal (Proposition 2.15) and vertical (Proposition 2.16) vector fields.

Right invariance of the horizontal stochastic transport.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $A, B \in \Gamma(N)$, then*

$$(2.31) \quad TR_g(\nabla_B A) = \nabla_{TR_g(B)} TR_g(A), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

In particular, if $A, B \in \Gamma(N)$ are G -right invariant vector fields, $\nabla_A B$ is a G -right invariant vector field.

Proof. One can check this result by using Koszul's formula and the fact that R_g is an isometry from N to N . \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an equivariant diffusion driven by G -right invariant vector fields on N and let $\tau_{0,t}(u)$ denote the stochastic parallel transport along $(X_t(u))_{t \geq 0}$ for all $u \in N$. Let $u \in N$ and $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a vector field along $(X_t(u))_{t \geq 0}$. Then:*

(1) *The stochastic parallel transport along X_t is G -right invariant, namely,*

$$(2.32) \quad TR_g(\tau_{0,t}(u)(V)) = \tau_{0,t}(u \cdot g)(TR_g(V)), \quad \forall V \in T_{X_0(u)}N.$$

(2) *The following identity holds:*

$$(2.33) \quad \circ D_t TR_g(A_t) = TR_g(\circ D_t A_t), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Proof. (1) Let $V \in T_{U_0}N$, $B \in \Gamma(N)$. By G -right invariance of the metric, Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.12 and equivariance of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \circ d \langle TR_g(\tau_{0,t}(u)(V)), B(X_t(u \cdot g)) \rangle_{X_t(u \cdot g)} &= \circ d \langle \tau_{0,t}(u)(V), TR_g^{-1}(B(X_t(u \cdot g))) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\ &= \langle \tau_{0,t}(u)(V), \nabla_{\circ d X_t(u)} TR_g^{-1}(B(X_t(u \cdot g))) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\ &= \langle TR_g(\tau_{0,t}(u)(V)), TR_g(\nabla_{\circ d X_t(u)} TR_g^{-1}(B(X_t(u \cdot g)))) \rangle_{X_t(u \cdot g)} \\ &= \langle TR_g(\tau_{0,t}(u)(V)), \nabla_{\circ d X_t(u \cdot g)}(B(X_t(u \cdot g))) \rangle_{X_t(u \cdot g)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $B \in \Gamma(N)$. By G -right invariance of the metric and $\tau_{0,t}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \circ d\langle TR_g(A_t), \tau_{0,t}(u \cdot g)(B) \rangle_{X_t(u \cdot g)} &= \circ d\langle A_t, \tau_{0,t}(u)(B) \rangle_{X_t(u)} \\ &= \langle \circ D_t A_t, \tau_{0,t}(u)(B) \rangle_{X_t(u)} = \langle \circ TR_g(D_t A_t), TR_g(\tau_{0,t}(u)(B)) \rangle_{X_t(u \cdot g)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next proposition is about the right invariance property of the horizontal stochastic transport. Note that this proposition is trivial since, by Theorem 2.7, we know that $\tau_{0,t}^h = \mathfrak{h}(\tau_{0,t})$. Here, our goal is to recover this right invariance property directly from (2.36). The same arguments will be used in the proof of Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\bar{A}_0, \bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_N \in \Gamma(M)$, and $(\bar{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic process on M solution to*

$$(2.34) \quad \circ d\bar{X}_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{A}_i(\bar{X}_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{A}_0(\bar{X}_t)dt, \quad \bar{X}_0 = x$$

and consider its horizontal lift:

$$(2.35) \quad \circ dX_t(u) = \mathfrak{h}_{X_t(u)}(\circ d_t \bar{X}_t(u)) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(X_t(u)) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(X_t(u))dt, \quad X_0(u) = u,$$

with $A_i = \mathfrak{h}(\bar{A}_i)$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Then, for $U \in \mathcal{H}_u N$, the process $(\tau_{0,t}^h(u, U))_{t \geq 0}$ solution to

$$(2.36) \quad \circ D_t \tau_{0,t}^h(u, U) = \frac{1}{2} [\circ dX_t(u), \tau_{0,t}^h(u, U)]^\mathcal{V}, \quad \tau_{0,0}^h(u, U) = U$$

is G -right invariant on N , namely,

$$(2.37) \quad TR_g(\tau_{0,t}^h(u, U)) = \tau_{0,t}^h(u \cdot g, TR_g(U)), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Proof. Let $u \in N$, $U \in T_u N$ and $U_t = \tau_{0,t}^h(u, U)$. By applying (2.33), for any vector field $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along the equivariant diffusion $(X_t(u))_{t \geq 0}$ (see Remark 2.9) we have:

$$(2.38) \quad \circ D_t TR_g(A_t) = TR_g(\circ D_t A_t) = \frac{1}{2} TR_g([\circ dX_t(u \cdot g), TR_g(A_t)]^\mathcal{V}).$$

By Lemma 2.11, the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2} [\circ dX_t(u), U_t]^\mathcal{V} = -P_{\mathcal{H}_{X_t(u)}}(\nabla_{U_t} \circ dX_t(u)),$$

and since TR_g commutes with the vertical projection, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2} TR_g([\circ dX_t(u), A_t]^\mathcal{V}) = \frac{1}{2} [TR_g(\circ dX_t(u)), TR_g(A_t)]^\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2} [\circ dX_t(u \cdot g), TR_g(A_t)]^\mathcal{V}.$$

By uniqueness of the solution to the horizontal transport equation (see Theorem 2.7), we obtain that

$$\tau_{0,t}^h(u \cdot g, TR_g(U)) = TR_g(\tau_{0,t}^h(u, U)).$$

□

Remark 2.10 (Equivariance of the horizontal stochastic parallel transport). The map $Tp|_{\mathcal{H}N} : \mathcal{H}N \rightarrow TM$ can be seen as a G -principal bundle where the action of G on $\mathcal{H}N$ is given by the differential of the right multiplication TR_g for $g \in G$. Indeed, it can be easily seen that this action is free and transitive on the fibers $T_u p|_{\mathcal{H}N}^{-1}(A)$ where $A \in T_{p(u)}M$. In particular, the result of Proposition 2.13 can be interpreted as follows: the process $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant on the principal bundle $\mathcal{H}N$.

Note that there exists a natural group acting on the whole tangent space TN , this is the semidirect product group $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$. The action of $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ on TN is given by the differential of the action of G on N , namely:

$$(2.39) \quad (u, A) \cdot (g, Y) = (u \cdot g, TR_g(A) + TR_g T\iota_u(Y)),$$

for $u \in N$, $A \in T_u N$, $g \in G$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. This action provides the tangent bundle TN with a principal bundle structure above TM . One can construct an equivariant process on this principal bundle which coincides with $\tau_{0,t}^h$ on $\mathcal{H}P$, this is the subject of the next proposition.

Proposition 2.14 (Equivariant lift of the stochastic parallel transport). *Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of a M -valued diffusion $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$. For all $u \in P_{X_0}$, we define $\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, \bullet)$ to be the stochastic flow of linear maps $\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, \bullet) : T_{U_0(u)}P \rightarrow T_{U_t(u)}P$ such that*

$$(2.40) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A^{\mathcal{H}}) = \tau_{0,t}^h(u, A^{\mathcal{H}}) \text{ and } \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A^{\mathcal{V}}) = T\iota_{U_t(u)}(\varpi(A^{\mathcal{V}})), \quad \forall A^{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{H}_u P, \forall A^{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{V}_u P, \tilde{\tau}_{0,0}(u, \cdot) = \text{id}_{T_{U_0(u)}P}.$$

This process is equivariant on TP seen as a $G \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$ principal bundle, namely,

$$(2.41) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A) \cdot (g, Y) = \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}((u, A) \cdot (g, Y)), \quad \forall A \in T_{U_0(u)}P, \forall (g, Y) \in G \rtimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

Moreover, $(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$, i.e. for any $\bar{A} \in T_{X_0}M$:

$$(2.42) \quad Tp(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A)) = \bar{\tau}_{0,t}(\bar{A}), \quad \forall A \in Tp^{-1}(\bar{A})$$

Proof. Let $u \in P_{X_0}$, $A \in T_u P$ and $(g, Y) \in G \times \mathfrak{g}$. We have to show that

$$(2.43) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A) + TR_g(T\iota_u(Y))) = TR_g(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A)) + TR_g T\iota_{U_t(u)}(Y).$$

By linearity of $\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, \bullet)$ we have:

$$(2.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A) + TR_g(T\iota_u(Y))) &= \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A)) + \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(T\iota_u(Y))) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A)) + T\iota_{U_t(u \cdot g)}(\varpi(TR_g(T\iota_u(Y)))) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A)) + T\iota_{U_t(u \cdot g)}(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.45) \quad \begin{aligned} &= \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A)) + T\iota_{U_t(u)}TL_g(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})(Y)) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u \cdot g, TR_g(A)) + TR_g T\iota_{U_t(u)}(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where (2.44) comes from Proposition 2.8 and (2.45) comes from the fact that $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant. Let $A^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $A^{\mathcal{V}}$ be respectively the horizontal and the vertical part of A . The fact that $(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a lift of $(\bar{\tau}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ comes from:

$$(2.46) \quad Tp(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A)) = Tp(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A^{\mathcal{H}})) + Tp(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A^{\mathcal{V}}))$$

$$(2.47) \quad = Tp(\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A^{\mathcal{H}}))$$

$$(2.48) \quad = Tp(\tau_{0,t}^h(u, \mathfrak{h}_{U_0(u)}(\bar{A}))) = \bar{\tau}_{0,t}(\bar{A}),$$

where (2.46) is due to the linearity of $\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}$, (2.47) is due to the fact that $\tilde{\tau}_{0,t}(u, A^{\mathcal{V}})$ is a vertical vector and (2.48) comes by definition of $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$. \square

Decompositions of Itô's equivariant diffusions.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on N such that*

$$(2.49) \quad d^\nabla U_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t) dW_t^i + A_0^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t) dt, \quad U_0(u) \in N,$$

where $A_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ is horizontal and right invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ with U_0 an equivariant map and let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} = (p(U_t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a diffusion solution to the following Itô's SDE

$$(2.50) \quad d^\nabla X_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{A}_i^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(x)) dW_t^i + \bar{A}_0^{\mathcal{H}}(X_t(x)) dt, \quad X_0(x) = p(U_0(u)), u \in p^{-1}(x),$$

where $\bar{A}_i^{\mathcal{H}} = Tp(A_i^{\mathcal{H}})$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Moreover, the stochastic flow $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant horizontal diffusion. In particular, decomposition (2.19) writes $U_t = h_t$.

Proof. By applying Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\circ dU_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t) \circ dW_t^i + A_0^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{H}}} A_i^{\mathcal{H}}(U_t) dt.$$

Since $A_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ is G -right invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, this is also the case for $\nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{H}}} A_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ by Lemma 2.11. Thus, we can apply Proposition 2.10. By using the fact that $\varpi(\mathcal{H}N) = \{0\}$ and the fact that $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{H}}} A_i^{\mathcal{H}}) =$

$\frac{1}{2}[A_i^{\mathcal{H}}, A_i^{\mathcal{H}}] = 0$ (see Proposition 2.4) we obtain that the vertical process $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in the decomposition (2.19) is $g_t = e$ for all $t \geq 0$. We obtained the result. \square

As seen in Proposition 2.15, the decomposition 2.19 in the case of Itô's horizontal diffusions is trivial. This is not the case for Itô's vertical diffusions and this is the subject of the next proposition.

We denote by $\nabla^{P_x}(u) = P_{\mathcal{H}_u}(\nabla(u))$ the induced Levi-Civita connection at the point u of the fiber P_x . We also define the second fundamental form as:

$$\mathbb{I}^{P_x}(A_1, A_2)(u) = P_{\mathcal{H}_u P}(\nabla_{A_1} A_2(u)), \quad \forall u \in P_x, A_1, A_2 \in \Gamma(P_x).$$

Note that the second fundamental form is actually a tensor, see for example [Lee97].

Proposition 2.16. *Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on N such that*

$$(2.51) \quad d^\nabla U_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) dW_t^i + A_0^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) dt, \quad U_0(u) \in P,$$

where $A_i^{\mathcal{V}}$ is vertical and G -right invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ with U_0 an equivariant map. Then, $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant diffusion. Moreover, the decomposition (2.19) is given by a finite variation process $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ solution to:

$$(2.52) \quad dh_t = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}^{P_{p(h_t)}}(A_i^{\mathcal{V}}, A_i^{\mathcal{V}})(h_t) dt, \quad h_0(u) = U_0(u) \in N,$$

and a G -valued process $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ solution to:

$$(2.53) \quad \odot dg_t = \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t}(\varpi(A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t))) \odot dW_t^i + TR_{g_t}(\varpi(A_0^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t))) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \left(\varpi \left(\nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{V}}}^{N_{p(h_t)}} A_i(h_t) \right) \right) dt, \quad g_0(u) = e.$$

Proof. By using Itô-Stratonovich equivalence on N (see Proposition 2.1), $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following Itô's SDE:

$$\odot dU_t = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) \odot dW_t^i + A_0^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{V}}} A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(U_t) dt.$$

Since $A_i^{\mathcal{V}}$ is right invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, it is also the case for $\nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{V}}} A_i^{\mathcal{V}}$ by Lemma 2.11. In particular, we can apply Proposition 2.10 and we deduce directly the equation for $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ since $A_i^{\mathcal{V}}$ is vertical for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. For $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ we obtain the following Stratonovich's SDE:

$$\odot dg_t = \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t}(\varpi(A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t))) dW_t^i + TR_{g_t}(\varpi(A_0^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t))) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \left(\varpi \left(\nabla_{A_i^{\mathcal{V}}}^{N_{p(h_t)}} A_i^{\mathcal{V}}(h_t) \right) \right) dt.$$

The proof is done. \square

Remark 2.11. Proposition 2.16 tells us that an Itô's diffusion on N driven by vertical vector fields is vertical if the second fundamental form vanishes on each fiber N_x . Note that, for A_1, A_2 vertical vector fields and $u \in N_x$:

$$\nabla_{A_1} A_2(u) = \nabla_{A_1}^{N_x} A_2(u) + \mathbb{I}^{N_x}(A_1, A_2)(u).$$

In particular, the second fundamental form vanishes if and only if $\nabla^{N_x} = \nabla|_{N_x}$. This is the case if and only if N_x is a totally geodesic submanifold of N .

3. INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL GEOMETRIC SETTING

Let M be a connected closed Riemannian manifold with dimension m , $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ its tangent bundle with a Riemannian metric denoted by $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$. Let d_M denotes the Riemannian distance and vol the renormalized volume measure. This section is devoted to the study of the infinite-dimensional manifolds that will play a central role in this work. In Subsection 3.1, we recall some geometric tools on the Wasserstein space \mathcal{P} . Subsection 3.2 is concerned with the geometric structure of the diffeomorphism group \mathcal{D} . Finally, in Subsection 3.3, we show that \mathcal{D} can be viewed as a Riemannian submersion onto \mathcal{P}_∞ , and we derive a few simple consequences of this observation.

3.1. The Wasserstein space. In this subsection we present the geometric structure on \mathcal{P} introduced by Otto in [Ott00]; see [Lot07] and [Gig11] for further details. Let \mathcal{P} denote the *Wasserstein space* over M i.e. the space of probability measures endowed with the Wasserstein distance W_2 :

$$(3.1) \quad W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \inf \left\{ \int_{M \times M} d_M^2(x, y) d\pi(x, y) : \pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mu, \nu) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mu, \nu)$ denotes the set of *transport plans* between μ and ν . Let $\mathcal{P}_\infty \subset \mathcal{P}$ denote the *smooth Wasserstein space* over M , namely:

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P} : \frac{d\mu}{\text{dvol}} = \rho \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \rho > 0 \right\}$$

equipped with the same distance. Additionally, let us mention the following fact which is proved using topological arguments in probability spaces (see for example [Vil08, Remark 6.19]).

Proposition 3.1. *If M is a compact metric space, the associated Wasserstein space is compact too.*

The *tangent space* of \mathcal{P} in μ is defined to be:

$$\bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P} = \overline{\{\nabla \phi : \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\}}^{L^2(\mu)},$$

and the *tangent bundle* is $\bar{T}\mathcal{P} = \coprod_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$.

The *Otto's metric* on \mathcal{P} is defined to be

$$\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle_\mu = \int_M \langle Z_1(x), Z_2(x) \rangle d\mu,$$

for all $Z_1, Z_2 \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$. We will often consider the following dense subspace

$$T_\mu \mathcal{P} = \{\nabla \phi : \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\}.$$

In the case where $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, $T_\mu \mathcal{P}$ can be seen as the tangent space of \mathcal{P}_∞ in μ as in [Lot07].

Definition 3.1. A *vector field* on \mathcal{P} is a map $\bar{Z} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \bar{T}\mathcal{P}$ such that $\bar{Z}(\mu) \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$.

Example 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. Let V_f denotes the vector field such that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $V_f(\mu) = \nabla f$ seen as an element of $\bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$. Such vector fields are often called constant vector fields even if, as we will see later, their covariant derivatives do not vanish in general.

Lie derivative. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, we define the *Lie derivative* of a functional F in the direction $V_\phi \in T_\mu \mathcal{P}$ to be

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_Z F(\mu) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(\mu_t),$$

where $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0} = (U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with

$$(3.2) \quad \partial_t U_t(x) = \nabla \phi(U_t(x)), \quad U_0(x) = x.$$

In particular, $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mu_t = V_\phi, \quad \mu_0 = \mu,$$

in the sense of distribution, i.e.

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \int_M f(x) d\mu_t(x) = \int_M \langle \nabla f(x), \nabla \phi(x) \rangle d\mu(x), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M).$$

A functional F is said to be *differentiable* in μ if the map

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu \mathcal{P} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ V_\phi &\longmapsto \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_\phi} F(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

is a bounded linear functional. In this case, this map extends by density to a unique bounded linear functional:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ Z &\longmapsto \bar{\mathcal{L}}_Z F(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

The *gradient* of a differentiable functional in $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ is the unique vector $\bar{\nabla}F(\mu)$ (the existence and uniqueness being guaranteed by Riesz representation theorem) such that for all $\bar{Z} \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$:

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}}F(\mu) = \langle \bar{\nabla}F(\mu), \bar{Z} \rangle_\mu.$$

We say that $F \in \mathcal{C}_w^1(\mathcal{P})$ (weakly- \mathcal{C}^1) whenever F is differentiable, the map

$$\mu \in \mathcal{P} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}}F(\mu),$$

is continuous for all $\bar{Z} = \nabla\phi$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty,0}(M \times \mathcal{P})$ and $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} |\bar{\nabla}F(\mu)|_\mu < \infty$ (consistently with the compactness of \mathcal{P}). These notions extend immediately to the case $F : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow E$ with E a real vector space.

A map $F \in \mathcal{C}^0(M \times \mathcal{P})$ is said to belong to $\mathcal{C}_w^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P})$ if:

- (1) The map F belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,0}(M \times \mathcal{P})$ and for all $x \in M$, $F(x, \cdot)$ is of class \mathcal{C}_w^1 .
- (2) For all $x \in M$, $T_x^k F(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k(T_x^* M)$ is of class \mathcal{C}_w^1 for any $k \geq 1$.
- (3) For all $\bar{Z} = \nabla\phi$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty,0}(M \times \mathcal{P})$, the map $(x, \mu) \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}}F(x, \mu)$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,0}(M \times \mathcal{P})$ and

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}}T^k F(x, \mu) = T^k \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}}F(x, \mu), \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Observables. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, and let $F_f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the so called *potential energy functional*, namely

$$(3.4) \quad F_f(\mu) = \int_M f(x) d\mu(x).$$

One can easily check that $F_f \in \mathcal{C}_w^1(\mathcal{P})$. In this article, we will consider only such functionals, but we present two more functionals which are intensively studied. The first one is the H -functional of Boltzmann:

$$(3.5) \quad H(\mu) = \begin{cases} \int_M \rho \log(\rho) d\text{vol} & \text{if } d\mu = \rho d\text{vol} \\ +\infty & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and the second one is the interaction energy functional:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{W}(\mu) = \int_{M \times M} E(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y),$$

where E is $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M \times M)$. Note that the latter is also of class \mathcal{C}_w^1 since

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_\phi} \mathcal{W}(\mu) = \int_M \langle \nabla e(x, \mu), \nabla \phi(x) \rangle d\mu(x), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M),$$

with

$$e(x, \mu) = \int_M E(x, y) + E(y, x) d\mu(y).$$

One of the conclusion of the Otto's formalism is the fact that, by considering the density $\rho_t = d\mu_t/d\text{vol}$ of the measure μ_t evolving according to the H -gradient flow on the Wasserstein space, namely

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mu_t = \bar{\nabla} H(\mu_t), \quad \mu_0 = \mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty,$$

one recovers the heat equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_t = -\Delta \rho_t.$$

This result follows directly from the identity $\bar{\nabla} H(\mu_t) = V_{\log \rho_t}$. Otto extended this result in [Ott00] by solving the porous medium equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_t = -\Delta \rho_t^m$$

with gradient flows on Wasserstein. For more details about gradient flows in the Wasserstein space, the reader is referred to [Vil08], [AGS08] and references therein.

Example 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, we have for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$:

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_\phi} F_f(\mu) = \int_M \langle \nabla f, \nabla \phi \rangle d\mu.$$

In particular,

$$\bar{\nabla} F_f = V_f.$$

We define the set of \mathcal{C}_w^1 vector fields on \mathcal{P} to be:

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P}) = \{ \nabla \phi(\cdot) : \phi \in \mathcal{C}_w^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P}) \}.$$

Throughout this article we will consider such vector fields rather than general vector fields. This choice makes simpler the introduction of essential geometric objects such as Levi-Civita connection or Lie bracket. We will also consider \mathcal{C}^1 vector fields on \mathcal{P}_∞ , namely,

$$(3.8) \quad \Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P}_\infty) = \{ \nabla \phi(\cdot) : \phi \in \mathcal{C}_w^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P}_\infty) \}.$$

Levi-Civita connection. Let $\bar{Z} = \nabla \phi(\cdot) \in \Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P})$ and $v \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$. The *Levi-Civita connection* $\bar{\nabla}$ on \mathcal{P} is defined as in [Lot07] in the following way:

$$(3.9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_v \bar{Z}(\mu) = \Pi_\mu (\nabla_v \nabla \phi(\mu)) + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_v \phi(\mu),$$

where Π_μ is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(M, TM, \mu)$ to $\bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$. The Levi-Civita connection is obviously tensor in the first component and it defines a metric connection, i.e. for $\bar{Z}_1 = \nabla \phi_1(\cdot), \bar{Z}_2 = \nabla \phi_2(\cdot) \in \Gamma_w^1(P)$:

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_v \langle \bar{Z}_1(\mu), \bar{Z}_2(\mu) \rangle_\mu = \langle \bar{\nabla}_v \bar{Z}_1(\mu), \bar{Z}_2(\mu) \rangle_\mu + \langle \bar{Z}_1(\mu), \bar{\nabla}_v \bar{Z}_2(\mu) \rangle_\mu.$$

Indeed, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, $\dot{U}_t = \nabla f(U_t)$, $U_0 = \text{id}$ and $\mu_t = (U_t)_\# \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1(\mu_t)(x), \nabla \phi_2(\mu_t)(x) \rangle d\mu_t(x) &= \int_M \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \nabla \phi_1(\mu_t)(U_t(x)), \nabla \phi_2(\mu_t)(U_t(x)) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla_{\nabla f} \nabla \phi_1(\mu)(x) + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_f} \phi_1(\mu)(x), \nabla \phi_2(\mu)(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1(\mu)(x), \nabla_{\nabla f} \nabla \phi_2(\mu)(x) + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_f} \phi_2(\mu)(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_M \langle \Pi_\mu (\nabla_{\nabla f} \nabla \phi_1(\mu))(x) + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_f} \phi_1(\mu)(x), \nabla \phi_2(\mu)(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1(\mu)(x), \Pi_\mu (\nabla_{\nabla f} \nabla \phi_2(\mu))(x) + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{V_f} \phi_2(\mu)(x) \rangle d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since this property is true for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, we can extend it to general vectors $v \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$ by density.

Definition 3.2. A vector field \bar{Z} is said to belong to $\Gamma_w^2(\mathcal{P})$ if, for every $\bar{Z}_1 \in \Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P})$, the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1} \bar{Z}$ exists and lies in $\Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P})$. Higher regularity classes $\Gamma_w^k(\mathcal{P})$ are defined recursively according to the same principle.

Remark 3.1 (Hodge decomposition). Note that for $\mu = \rho \text{vol} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, by Hodge decomposition (see Appendix A.1), any smooth vector field A on M can be written in a unique way as $A = \nabla \phi(\mu) + Y(\mu)$, where $\phi(\mu) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ and $Y(\mu)$ is a free μ -divergence smooth vector field for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. In particular, for $\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2 \in \Gamma_w^1(P)$, the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1} \bar{Z}_2(\mu)$ is in $T_\mu \mathcal{P}$. This decomposition also holds for L^2 vector fields, see for example [Sch95].

Lie Bracket. Let $\bar{Z}_1 = \nabla \phi_1(\cdot), \bar{Z}_2 = \nabla \phi_2(\cdot) \in \Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P})$. We then have

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_2} F_f(\mu) = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1} \langle \bar{\nabla} F_f(\mu), \bar{Z}_2 \rangle_\mu.$$

By setting $\dot{U}_t = \nabla \phi_1(\mu)(U_t)$ with $U_0 = \text{id}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1} \langle \bar{\nabla} F_f(\mu), \bar{Z}_2 \rangle_\mu &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_M \langle \nabla f(x), \nabla \phi_2(\mu_t)(x) \rangle d\mu_t(x) \\ &= \int_M \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \nabla f(U_t(x)), \nabla \phi_2(\mu_t)(U_t(x)) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla f(x), \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1} \phi_2(\mu)(x) \rangle + \langle \nabla f(x), \nabla_{\nabla \phi_1(\mu)(x)} \nabla \phi_2(\mu)(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \int_M Hf(\nabla \phi_1(\mu), \nabla \phi_2(\mu)) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where Hf is the Riemannian Hessian of f . Thus, using the fact that Hf is symmetric, we obtain

$$[\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2]F_f(\mu) = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_2} - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_2}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1})F_f(\mu) = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1}\bar{Z}_2(\mu) - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_2}\bar{Z}_1(\mu), \bar{\nabla}F_f(\mu) \rangle_\mu.$$

In particular, $\bar{\nabla}$ is torsion-free. We then obtain the Koszul formula for the Levi-Civita connection on \mathcal{P} :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1}\bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_3 \rangle &= \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1}\langle \bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_3 \rangle + \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_2}\langle \bar{Z}_3, \bar{Z}_1 \rangle - \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_3}\langle \bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \bar{Z}_3, [\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2] \rangle - \langle \bar{Z}_2, [\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_3] \rangle - \langle \bar{Z}_1, [\bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_3] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hessian. Let $F \in \mathcal{C}_w^2(\mathcal{P})$ and let $\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2 \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{P})$, the quantity

$$\bar{H}F(\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2) = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_1}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_2}F - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1}\bar{Z}_2F$$

exists. We call this quantity the *Hessian* of F . Note that, as in the finite-dimensional case, we have the following identity:

$$\bar{H}F(\mu)(\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2) = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1(\mu)}\bar{\nabla}F(\mu), \bar{Z}_2(\mu) \rangle_\mu.$$

Moreover, since

$$\bar{H}F(\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2) - \bar{H}F(\bar{Z}_2, \bar{Z}_1) = [\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2] - [\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2] = 0,$$

$\bar{H}F$ is clearly symmetric. Furthermore, since $\bar{H}F$ is tensorial in the first component and symmetric, we deduce that it is tensorial in both components. In particular, since $\bar{\nabla}F_f = V_f$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, it is easy to see that

(3.10)

$$\bar{H}F_f(\mu)(\bar{Z}_1(\mu), \bar{Z}_2(\mu)) = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_1(\mu)}V_f, \bar{Z}_2(\mu) \rangle_\mu = \int_M \langle \nabla_{Z_1}\nabla f, Z_2 \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_M Hf(Z_1(\mu)(x), Z_2(\mu)(x))d\mu(x).$$

Optimal transport maps. The Monge problem concerns the existence an optimal transport map between two probability measure $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$. On a compact manifold, McCann showed in [McC01] that such a map exists and is unique provided that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the volume measure. In this case, the transport map is given by $\exp(\nabla\phi)$ for a suitable function ϕ . When both μ and ν are absolutely continuous with respect to the volume measure, the function ϕ is the unique solution to the so called Monge-Ampère equation. Moreover, the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto (\exp(t\nabla\phi))_\# \mu$ defines the unique minimizing geodesic joining μ and ν . Since both M and \mathcal{P} are compact spaces, we get easily the following result:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_w^1(\mathcal{P})$. Then, the functional Φ is Lipschitz:*

$$|\Phi(\mu) - \Phi(\nu)| \leq |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|_\infty W_2(\mu, \nu), \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P},$$

where $|\bar{\nabla}\Phi|_\infty = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi(\mu)|_\mu$.

Proof. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $(\mu_t)_t$ be the unique minimizing geodesic from μ to ν . By the Benamou-Brenier formulation of the Wasserstein distance we have the equality

$$W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \int_0^1 |\dot{\mu}_t|^2 dt.$$

Then, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\Phi(\mu) - \Phi(\nu)| = \left| \int_0^1 \langle \bar{\nabla}\Phi(\mu_t), \dot{\mu}_t \rangle_{\mu_t} dt \right| \leq |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|_\infty \int_0^1 |\dot{\mu}_t|_{\mu_t} dt \leq |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|_\infty \left(\int_0^1 |\dot{\mu}_t|_{\mu_t}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|_\infty W_2(\mu, \nu).$$

Since \mathcal{P}_∞ is dense in \mathcal{P} , we obtain the result on \mathcal{P} . \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_w^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P}, TM)$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $A(\cdot, \mu)$ is a vector field. Then A is globally Lipschitz in μ , i.e. there exist a constant $L \geq 0$, independent of $x \in M$ such that:*

$$|A(x, \mu) - A(x, \nu)|_x \leq L W_2(\mu, \nu), \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Proof. Let $(\mu_t)_t$ be the minimizing geodesic from μ to ν . Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be an orthonormal basis of $T_x M$, we denote A^i the i -th coordinate in this basis. Then we have by Proposition 3.2:

$$|A^i(x, \mu) - A^i(x, \nu)|_x \leq |\bar{\nabla}A^i(x, \cdot)|_\infty W_2(\mu, \nu).$$

Then,

$$|A(x, \mu) - A(x, \nu)|_x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |A^i(x, \mu) - A^i(x, \nu)|_x^2 \leq W_2^2(\mu, \nu) \sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{\nabla} A^i(x, \cdot)|_\infty^2.$$

We conclude by taking the supremum over all $x \in M$ in the right-hand side term. \square

Remark 3.2 (On the smoothness of geodesics). It is important to be careful when handling geodesics on \mathcal{P} . Indeed, if we take $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, we are not guaranteed that the minimizing geodesic $(\mu_t)_t$ joining μ to ν stays in \mathcal{P}_∞ . A necessary condition for the smoothness of optimal transport map is the so called MTW (standing for Ma-Trudinger-Wang) condition introduced in [MW05]. The reader is referred for instance to [Fig10], [KM08], [Loe06], [LV10] for sufficient conditions to the smoothness of the optimal transport map.

Ordinary differential equations. The notion of ordinary differential equation is well understood on \mathcal{P} , indeed, we have the following result:

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\bar{Z} = \nabla\phi(\cdot) \in \Gamma_w^1(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, the ordinary differential equation*

$$(3.11) \quad \dot{M}_t(\mu) = \bar{Z}(M_t(\mu)), \quad M_0(\mu) = \mu$$

admits a solution given by $M_t(\mu) = (U_t)_\# \mu$, where $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the solution flow to:

$$\dot{U}_t(x) = \nabla\phi(M_t(\mu), U_t(x)), \quad U_0(x) = x, \text{ and } M_t(\mu) = (U_t)_\# \mu.$$

Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, then $(M_t(\mu))_{t \geq 0}$ lies in \mathcal{P}_∞ .

Proof. See for example Theorem 2.2 in [DF21] or adapt the proof of Theorem 4.5 to the easier framework of ODEs. \square

3.2. The group of diffeomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{D} = \text{Diff}(M)$ denote the group of (orientation-preserving) \mathcal{C}^∞ -diffeomorphisms. It is well known that \mathcal{D} has a structure of "infinite-dimension Lie group", see for example [ABP19], [Ebi68], [EM70] and references therein.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, we define the *right multiplication* $R_\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ (resp. *left multiplication* $L_\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$) to be $R_\varphi(\psi) = \psi \cdot \varphi$ (resp. $L_\varphi(\psi) = \varphi \cdot \psi$) for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, where the notation $\varphi \cdot \psi$ stands for the composition $\varphi \circ \psi$.

In the following we will consider the inverse limit topology on \mathcal{D} obtained by considering \mathcal{D} as $\bigcap_{s > m/2} \mathcal{D}^s$ where \mathcal{D}^s is the Hilbert manifold of invertible functions in the Sobolev space $H^s(M, M)$ with inverse in $H^s(M, M)$. The group of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{D} is seen as an Inverse Limit Hilbert (ILH) Lie group, see [EM70] for a detailed presentation of this structure.

Definition 3.3. Let N be a (finite-dimensional or Hilbert) manifold, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A map $\Psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow N$ is said to be of class $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}, N)$ if for all $s > m/2$ there exists an integer $j(s) \geq s$ and a \mathcal{C}^k extension $\Psi^s : \mathcal{D}^{j(s)} \rightarrow N$ of Ψ .

We start this section by establishing the surjection property of the projection

$$p : (\mathcal{D}, d) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_\infty, W_2) \\ \varphi \longmapsto (\varphi)_\# \text{vol},$$

namely the map which sends a diffeomorphism to the pushforward of the volume measure by this diffeomorphism. In [Mos65], J. Moser proves the so-called Moser's trick. The following result is a direct corollary of the latter.

Proposition 3.5. *Let α, β be two volume forms (i.e. positive top degree differential forms) on a compact manifold M . Then, there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ such that*

$$(\varphi)_\# \alpha = \beta.$$

Since the product of a nowhere vanishing function with a volume form is still a volume form and vol is a volume form, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 3.6. *The projection $p : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty$ is surjective and continuous.*

Proof. The first point is just a consequence of the Moser's trick. To prove the second point, let $s > m/2$, and let $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of elements of \mathcal{D}^s converging toward $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s$. Then, we have:

$$(3.12) \quad W_2^2(p(\varphi_n), p(\varphi)) = W_2^2((\varphi_n)_\# \text{vol}, (\varphi)_\# \text{vol}) \leq \int_M d_M^2(\varphi_n(x), \varphi(x)) d\text{vol}(x) \leq \sup_{x \in M} d_M^2(\varphi_n(x), \varphi(x)),$$

and, since $(\varphi_n)_n$ converges toward φ in H^s , by Sobolev inclusion, $(\varphi_n)_n$ converges toward φ in \mathcal{C}^0 . Consequently, the right hand-side of (3.12) goes to 0 when $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Since this result is true for all $s > m/2$, the projection $p : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is continuous. \square

Throughout the rest of the article we will let \mathcal{D}_μ denotes the *fiber* above μ , i.e $\mathcal{D}_\mu = p^{-1}(\mu)$.

Riemannian structure on \mathcal{D} . The *tangent space* of \mathcal{D} in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ is defined to be:

$$T_\varphi \mathcal{D} := \{A \circ \varphi : A \in \Gamma(M)\},$$

and the tangent space of \mathcal{D}^s in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s$ is defined to be:

$$T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s := \{A \circ \varphi : A \in H^s(M, TM), \pi(A) = \text{id}\},$$

In particular, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $T_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \cap_{s > m/2} T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s$. Moreover, $T_{\text{id}} \mathcal{D} = \Gamma(M)$ and $T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \cong T_{\text{id}} \mathcal{D}$, the isomorphism being given by $TR_\varphi : A \mapsto A \circ \varphi$ or TL_φ . Let $T\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}} T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ denote the tangent bundle of \mathcal{D} . We also introduce the following extended tangent space:

$$\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} := \overline{\{A \circ \varphi : A \in \Gamma(M)\}}^{L^2(p(\varphi))}.$$

Definition 3.4. A *vector field* on \mathcal{D} is a map $\mathbf{A} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathbf{A}(\varphi) = A(\varphi) \circ \varphi$ with $A(\varphi) \in \Gamma(M)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

We can build *right invariant vector fields* on \mathcal{D} similarly as on Lie groups by setting $\check{\mathbf{A}}(\varphi) = A \circ \varphi$ for $A \in T_{\text{id}} \mathcal{D}$. As in the Wasserstein case, we will consider the class $\Gamma^k(\mathcal{D})$ of vector fields on \mathcal{D} given by

$$(3.13) \quad \Gamma^k(\mathcal{D}) = \{A \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty, k}(M \times \mathcal{D}, T\mathcal{D})\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We consider the *Riemannian metric* on \mathcal{D} induced by the metric on M namely

$$(3.14) \quad \langle A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi = \int_M \langle A(\varphi(x)), B(\varphi(x)) \rangle d\text{vol}(x).$$

Remark 3.3 (On the different structures on \mathcal{D}). Note that the topology induced by the Riemannian metric on \mathcal{D} is strictly weaker than the ILH Lie group topology mentioned in the introduction of this subsection. On the one hand, the ILH Lie group structure is useful to prove results of existence and uniqueness. In particular, this point of view is especially powerful since it allows us to check that solutions to both ordinary differential equations (Proposition 3.8) and to stochastic differential equations (Proposition 4.2) lie in \mathcal{D} . We will use this structure mainly in Sections 3 and 4. On the other hand, the Riemannian structure, although weaker, is useful since it allows us to consider \mathcal{D} as a (quasi) Riemannian manifold and as a Riemannian submersion onto \mathcal{P}_∞ , see Subsection 3.3. This structure will be central in Sections 5 and 6.

Structure of principal bundle. Let us define

$$G := \{g \in \mathcal{D} : p(g) = \text{vol}\} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{vol}}.$$

The group \mathcal{D} has a structure of G -principal bundle over \mathcal{P}_∞ . Indeed, since for all $g \in G$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $p(\varphi \circ g) = p(\varphi)$ it is clear that the right action of G preserves the fiber. The fact that G acts freely on the fibers is clear. To see that G acts transitively, let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $p(\varphi_1) = p(\varphi_2) = \mu$. The diffeomorphism given by $\varphi_1^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2$ is in G since

$$p(\varphi_1^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2) = (\varphi_1^{-1})_\# \mu = \text{vol}$$

and we clearly have $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1 \cdot (\varphi_1^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2)$.

With this principal bundle structure, we may define the counterpart of Definition 2.9 in the setting of diffeomorphisms.

Definition 3.5. A map $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is said to be *equivariant* if

$$\Phi(\varphi \cdot g) = \Phi(\varphi) \cdot g, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, \forall g \in G.$$

A flow of maps $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\Phi_t : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ for all $t \geq 0$ is said to be equivariant if Φ_t is equivariant for all $t \geq 0$.

Remark 3.4. Note that the Riemannian metric (3.14) is G -right invariant, namely, for all $g \in G$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$(3.15) \quad \langle TR_g(A \circ \varphi), TR_g(B \circ \varphi) \rangle_{\varphi \cdot g} = \langle A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi, \quad \forall A, B \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}.$$

Indeed, let $A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle TR_g(A \circ \varphi), TR_g(B \circ \varphi) \rangle_{\varphi \cdot g} &= \int_M \langle A(\varphi(g(x))), B(\varphi(g(x))) \rangle d\text{vol}(x) \\
(3.16) \qquad &= \int_M \langle A(\varphi(x)), B(\varphi(x)) \rangle d\text{vol}(x) \\
&= \langle A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi \rangle_{\varphi},
\end{aligned}$$

where (3.16) is due to the fact that $(g)_\# \text{vol} = \text{vol}$.

Lie derivative. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{D})$ be a functional on \mathcal{D} , $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $A \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. We say that a path $(\varphi_t)_t$ on \mathcal{D} with $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ satisfies $\partial_t|_{t=0} \varphi_t = A \circ \varphi$ if for all $x \in M$, $\partial_t|_{t=0} \varphi_t(x) = A(\varphi(x))$. Then, we can define the *Lie derivative* of \mathbf{F} to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{A \circ \varphi}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathbf{F}(\varphi_t).$$

By density, as in the Wasserstein case, we can extend the Lie derivative to directions in the closure $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. The *gradient* of a differentiable functional in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ is the unique vector $\nabla \mathbf{F}(\varphi)$ (the existence and uniqueness being guaranteed by Riesz's representation theorem) such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{A \circ \varphi}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \langle \nabla \mathbf{F}(\varphi), A \circ \varphi \rangle_{\varphi}, \quad \forall A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}.$$

The notions of Lie derivatives and gradients of functions $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{D}, E)$ with E a vector space are defined in the same way.

Example 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M \times M)$. Let \mathbf{F}_f be the functional on \mathcal{D} defined by

$$\mathbf{F}_f(\varphi) = \int_M f(\varphi(x), x) d\text{vol}(x).$$

Let $A \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{A \circ \varphi}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{F}_f(\varphi) = \int_M \langle \nabla_1 f(\varphi(x), x), A(\varphi(x)) \rangle d\text{vol}(x).$$

In particular, we have:

$$\nabla \mathbf{F}_f(\varphi) = \nabla_1 f(\varphi(\cdot), \cdot).$$

We also define the *Lie bracket* between two vector fields $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \Gamma^k(\mathcal{D})$ to be the unique vector field satisfying:

$$[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] \mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{F}(\varphi) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{F}(\varphi).$$

Note that $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] \in \Gamma^{k-1}(\mathcal{D})$ since it lies in $\Gamma^{k-1}(\mathcal{D}^s)$ for all $s > m/2$.

Levi-Civita connection. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ on \mathcal{D} is defined to be the unique linear connection on $T\mathcal{D}$ such that it is torsion free, namely

$$(3.17) \qquad \nabla_{\mathbf{A}_1} \mathbf{A}_2 - \nabla_{\mathbf{A}_2} \mathbf{A}_1 = [\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2]$$

and is compatible with the Riemannian metric, i.e.

$$(3.18) \qquad \mathcal{L}_{C \circ \varphi}^{\mathcal{D}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1(\varphi), \mathbf{A}_2(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} = \langle \nabla_{C \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}_1(\varphi), \mathbf{A}_2(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi} + \langle \mathbf{A}_1(\varphi), \nabla_{C \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}_2(\varphi) \rangle_{\varphi}.$$

The Levi-Civita connection is defined in a unique way by the Koszul formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \qquad 2\langle \nabla_{\mathbf{A}_1} \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_1}^{\mathcal{D}} \langle \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_2}^{\mathcal{D}} \langle \mathbf{A}_3, \mathbf{A}_1 \rangle - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_3}^{\mathcal{D}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \mathbf{A}_3, [\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2] \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_2, [\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_3] \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}_1, [\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3] \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Let \check{A} be a right invariant vector field on \mathcal{D} , i.e. a vector field defined as $\check{A}(\varphi) = A \circ \varphi$ with $A \in \Gamma(M)$. One can easily check by applying (3.18) that

$$(3.20) \qquad \nabla_{C \circ \varphi} \check{A}(\varphi) = (\nabla_C A) \circ \varphi.$$

Let $\mathbf{A}(\varphi) = \nabla \phi(\varphi) \circ \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. One can, by applying once again (3.18), show that

$$(3.21) \qquad \nabla_{C \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}(\varphi) = (\nabla_C \nabla \phi(\varphi)) \circ \varphi + (\nabla \mathcal{L}_{C \circ \varphi}^{\mathcal{D}} \phi(\varphi)) \circ \varphi.$$

Note that the Levi-Civita connection easily extend to a connection on $\bar{T}\mathcal{D} \times \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$.

Parallel transport. The *parallel transport* on \mathcal{D} is quite easy to define (unlike that on \mathcal{P}_∞). Indeed, for $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a smooth path on \mathcal{D} where $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $A \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, we define the map

$$\tau_{0,t} : A \circ \varphi \mapsto \parallel_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi),$$

where for all $x \in M$, $\parallel_{0,t}(x)$ is the parallel transport along $(\varphi_s(x))_{0 \leq s \leq t}$. One can check that it is an isometry between $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $T_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{D}$ and easily extend it to an isometry between $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{T}_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a smooth curve on \mathcal{D} and $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ the parallel transport along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$. A vector field $(\mathbf{A}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be derivable if $t \mapsto \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_t$ is derivable on $\bar{T}_{\varphi_0} \mathcal{D}$. The covariant derivative of $(\mathbf{A}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is defined to be

$$(3.22) \quad D_t \mathbf{A}_t = \tau_{0,t} \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{0,t}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_t).$$

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ and $C \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, the following holds:

$$\nabla_{C \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}(\varphi) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\varphi_t),$$

with $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ the parallel transport along a smooth path $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\partial_t|_{t=0} \varphi_t = C \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi_0 = \varphi$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A}(\varphi) = A(\varphi) \circ \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as in the statement of the proposition and $x \in M$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\varphi_t))(x) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\parallel_{0,t}^{-1} A(\varphi_t)(\varphi_t(x))) \\ &= \parallel_{0,t}^{-1} ((\partial_t A(\varphi_t))(\varphi_t(x)) + \parallel_{0,t}^{-1} D_t A(\varphi_t)(\varphi_t(x)))|_{t=0} \\ &= ((\mathcal{L}_{C \circ \varphi}^\mathcal{D} A(\varphi))(\varphi(x))) + ((\nabla_C A)(\varphi(x))) \\ &= (\nabla_{C \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}(\varphi))(x). \end{aligned}$$

The desired identity now follows. \square

In the remainder of the article, we will write either $D_t \mathbf{A}$ or $\nabla_{\dot{\varphi}_t} \mathbf{A}$ to denote the covariant derivative of \mathbf{A} along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Hessian. Let \mathbf{F} be a function such that for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ the quantity

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathcal{L}_\mathbf{A}^\mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}_\mathbf{B}^\mathcal{D} \mathbf{F} - \nabla_\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}$$

exists. We call this quantity the *Hessian* of \mathbf{F} and it is easy to see that, as in the finite dimensional case, we have the following identity:

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{F}(\varphi)(\mathbf{A}(\varphi), \mathbf{B}(\varphi)) = \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{A}(\varphi)} \nabla \mathbf{F}(\varphi), \mathbf{B}(\varphi) \rangle_\varphi.$$

The operator \mathbf{H} is a symmetric tensor since, by (3.17), $\nabla_\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} - \nabla_\mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}]$.

Ordinary differential equations.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, the ordinary differential equation

$$(3.23) \quad \dot{\Phi}_t(\varphi) = \mathbf{A}(\Phi_t(\varphi)), \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) = \varphi$$

admits a unique solution.

Proof. This result is well known, it is sufficient to apply Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem on each \mathcal{D}^s for $s > m/2$. \square

3.3. \mathcal{D} as a Riemannian submersion onto \mathcal{P}_∞ . This subsection is devoted to the presentation of the Riemannian submersion structure of \mathcal{D} onto \mathcal{P}_∞ . The tools introduced here will be used intensively in Sections 5 and 6.

Submersion. The projection $p : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty$ is a *submersion* in the following sense: for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, the map $Tp : T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_{p(\mu)} \mathcal{P}$ is surjective. Indeed, let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $V_\phi \in T_\mu \mathcal{P}$, it is clear that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$, $\nabla \phi \circ \varphi$ is in $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. Let $\dot{\varphi}_t = \nabla \phi \circ \varphi_t$ with $\varphi_0 = \varphi$, we get (in the sense of distribution):

$$T_\varphi p(\nabla \phi \circ \varphi) = \partial_t|_{t=0} p(\varphi_t) = V_\phi$$

since for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$:

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left(\int_M f(\varphi_t(x)) d\text{vol}(x) \right) = \int_M \langle \nabla f(\varphi(x)), \nabla \phi(\varphi(x)) \rangle d\text{vol}(x) = \int_M \langle \nabla f, \nabla \phi \rangle d\mu = \langle V_f, V_\phi \rangle_\mu.$$

Extension of Tp . Since the linear projection $Tp : T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_{p(\varphi)} \mathcal{P}$ is continuous, we can extend it by density to $Tp : \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \bar{T}_{p(\varphi)} \mathcal{P}$. Then, it is once again a surjection, since for $Z \in T_\mu \mathcal{P}$, we can find a sequence $\nabla \phi_n \rightarrow Z$ in $L^2(\mu)$ where for all $n \geq 0$, $\phi_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. Then, letting $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$, it is clear that $\nabla \phi_n \circ \varphi \rightarrow Z \circ \varphi$ in $L^2(\text{vol})$. Consequently we have

$$T_\varphi p(Z \circ \varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_\varphi p(\nabla \phi_n \circ \varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{\phi_n} = Z$$

Horizontal and vertical bundles. Let us define the *vertical tangent space* in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ to be

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \ker T_\varphi p.$$

Since p is a submersion, the fiber $\mathcal{D}_{p(\varphi)}$ can be seen as a submanifold of \mathcal{D} where $\mathcal{V}_\varphi T\mathcal{D}$ is the tangent space at φ . Given that \mathcal{D} is equipped with a Riemannian metric we can define the *horizontal tangent space* in φ to be

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D}^\perp \cap T_\varphi \mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ is seen as a subset of the Hilbert space $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. This construction defines a right inverse to $T_\varphi p : \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_{p(\varphi)} \mathcal{P}$, called the horizontal lift, we denote it by \mathfrak{h}_φ . In particular, $\mathfrak{h}_\varphi(V_\phi) = \nabla \phi \circ \varphi$. To see that p is a Riemannian submersion, just notice that

$$|V_\phi|_\mu^2 = \int_M |\nabla \phi(x)|^2 d\mu(x) = \int_M |\nabla \phi(\varphi(x))|^2 d\text{vol} = |\mathfrak{h}_\varphi(V_\phi)|_\varphi^2.$$

Note that the vertical component of the tangent space $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ is

$$\mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \{Y \circ \varphi : Y \in \Gamma(M), \text{div}_{p(\varphi)}(Y) = 0\}$$

while the horizontal one is

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \{\nabla \phi \circ \varphi : \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\}.$$

Notation. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The *horizontal* (resp. *vertical*) *vector fields of class \mathcal{C}^k* on \mathcal{D} will be denoted by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}^k(\mathcal{D})$ (resp. $\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}^k(\mathcal{D})$).

Extensions of horizontal and vertical bundles. By taking the closure, we obtain that $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ (here \oplus denotes the orthogonal sum) where

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \overline{\{Y \circ \varphi : Y \in \Gamma(M), \text{div}_{p(\varphi)}(Y) = 0\}}^{L^2(p(\varphi))}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D} = \overline{\{\nabla \phi \circ \varphi : \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\}}^{L^2(p(\varphi))}.$$

Moreover, we can extend the horizontal lift map to a linear continuous map $\mathfrak{h}_\varphi : \bar{T}_{p(\varphi)} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 3.9. *The horizontal and vertical bundles enjoy the following properties:*

- (1) *The map TR_ψ is an isomorphism between $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\varphi \cdot \psi} \mathcal{D}$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$.*
- (2) *The map TR_g is an isomorphism between $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\varphi \cdot g} \mathcal{D}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in G$.*
- (3) *The map TR_g is an isometric isomorphism between $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{T}_{\varphi \cdot g} \mathcal{D}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in G$.*
- (4) *The map TL_ψ is an isomorphism between $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\psi \cdot \varphi} \mathcal{D}$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Z \circ \varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. We have $TR_\psi(Z \circ \varphi) = Z \circ (\varphi \cdot \psi) \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\varphi \cdot \psi} \mathcal{D}$. The inverse map is given by $TR_{\psi^{-1}}$.

(2) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $g \in G$, $Y \circ \varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. We have $TR_g(Z \circ \varphi) = Z \circ (\varphi \cdot g) \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\varphi \cdot g} \mathcal{D}$. The inverse map is given by $TR_{g^{-1}}$.

(3) This is a direct consequence of the fact that the Riemannian metric is G -right invariant, see Remark 3.4.

Let $Y \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $\dot{\varphi}_t = \check{Y}(\varphi_t)$, where \check{Y} is the right invariant vector field associated to Y . Since $\operatorname{div}_{p(\varphi)}(Y) = 0$ and the flow associated to a divergence free vector field is volume preserving, we have

$$Tp(TL_\psi(Y \circ \varphi)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} p(\psi \cdot \varphi_t) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} p(\psi) = 0.$$

Consequently, $TL_\psi(Y \circ \varphi) \in \mathcal{V}_{\psi \cdot \varphi} \mathcal{D}$. The inverse map is given by $TL_{\psi^{-1}}$. \square

Definition 3.7. The *vertical projection* is defined to be the orthogonal projection on the vertical space $P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi} : \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. In the same way, the *horizontal projection* is defined to be the orthogonal projection on the horizontal space $P_{\mathcal{H}_\varphi} : \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Remark 3.5. Note that, by Hodge decomposition (see Appendix A.1), given $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, any smooth vector field $A \in \Gamma(M)$ decomposes itself in a unique way as

$$A = \nabla \phi(\mu) + Y(\mu),$$

where $\phi(\mu)$ is a smooth function and $Y(\mu)$ is a μ -divergence free smooth vector field. In particular, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, one can decompose A such that:

$$A \circ \varphi = \nabla \phi(p(\varphi)) \circ \varphi + Y(p(\varphi)) \circ \varphi,$$

which is the decomposition in $\mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. In particular, the horizontal and vertical projections preserve the regularity, i.e.

$$P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(T_\varphi \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \text{ and } P_{\mathcal{H}_\varphi}(T_\varphi \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D}.$$

Stronger notions of regularity on \mathcal{P} . Let us introduce additional notions of regularity on the Wasserstein space:

- (1) A functional $F : \mathcal{P}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{P}_\infty)$ if $F \circ p \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{D})$.
- (2) A functional $F \in \mathcal{C}_w^1(\mathcal{P})$ is said to be $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{P})$ if $F|_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{P}_\infty)$.
- (3) Let $k = 0, 1, 2$. A vector field $\bar{Z} = \nabla \phi(\cdot) \in \Gamma_w^k(\mathcal{P})$ is said to belong to $\Gamma^k(\mathcal{P})$ if $\mathbf{Z} = \mathfrak{h}(\bar{Z}) \in \Gamma^k(\mathcal{D})$.

Remark 3.6. One can check that both the potential energy functional (3.4) and the interaction energy functional (3.6) are of class $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{P})$.

Example 3.4. A representative example of a subspace of $\Gamma^\infty(\mathcal{P})$ is the following:

$$(3.26) \quad \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l F_i V_{\phi_i}, \quad F_i \in \mathcal{A}, \phi_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M), l \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\},$$

where \mathcal{A} is the algebra of polynomial functions on \mathcal{P} , namely,

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{A} := \left\{ F = \prod_{i=1}^k F_{f_i} : f_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M), k \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}.$$

Remark 3.7. It should be emphasized that the present definitions of \mathcal{C}^k functions and vector fields are weaker than the standard ones on a Riemannian manifold. This difference arises from the fact that equipping the tangent bundle $\bar{T}\mathcal{P}$ with a topology suitably compatible with the Riemannian metric $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ is a delicate problem.

Normal tensor. We introduce the *normal tensor* (almost) in the same way as in [Gig11, Section 5]. It plays a role analogous to the opposite of the O'Neill tensor appearing in Proposition 2.4 in the context of the diffeomorphisms group. In particular, it will be the main tool for the proof of the existence of the stochastic parallel transport.

Definition 3.8. Let $A \circ \varphi, U \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. We define the *normal operator* $\mathcal{N}_\varphi : T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \times T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ to be

$$\mathcal{N}_\varphi(U \circ \varphi, A \circ \varphi) = P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi} \left(((\nabla U)^T \cdot A) \circ \varphi \right),$$

where $(\nabla U)^T$ is the adjoint of the linear operator $\nabla U : A \mapsto (\nabla U) \cdot A = \nabla_A U$ for the Riemannian metric on M .

The reader is referred to (1.1) for the definition of the symmetric product SP^k .

Proposition 3.10. *The operator \mathcal{N}_φ extends in a unique way to an antisymmetric bilinear operator:*

$$\mathcal{N}_\varphi : \text{SP}^2(T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \times \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. The bilinearity is clear by definition. Let $A \circ \varphi, U \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. For all $Z \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ we have the following equality:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z \circ \varphi}^\mathcal{D} \langle A \circ \varphi, U \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi = \langle \nabla_{Z \circ \varphi} A \circ \varphi, U \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi + \langle A \circ \varphi, \nabla_{Z \circ \varphi} U \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi.$$

It follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla_{Z \circ \varphi} A \circ \varphi, U \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi + \langle A \circ \varphi, \nabla_{Z \circ \varphi} U \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla_{Z \circ \varphi(x)} A \circ \varphi(x), U \circ \varphi(x) \rangle_{\varphi(x)} + \langle A \circ \varphi(x), \nabla_{Z \circ \varphi(x)} U \circ \varphi(x) \rangle_{\varphi(x)} d\text{vol}(x) \\ &= \int_M \langle Z \circ \varphi(x), ((\nabla A)^T \cdot U + (\nabla U)^T \cdot A) \circ \varphi(x) \rangle_{\varphi(x)} d\text{vol}(x) \\ &= \int_M \langle Z \circ \varphi(x), \nabla \langle A, U \rangle \circ \varphi(x) \rangle_{\varphi(x)} d\text{vol}(x), \end{aligned}$$

which lead us to the following equality:

$$\nabla \langle A \circ \varphi, U \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi = (\nabla \langle A, U \rangle) \circ \varphi = ((\nabla A)^T \cdot U + (\nabla U)^T \cdot A) \circ \varphi.$$

Since the vertical projection of the left hand term vanishes, we obtain the antisymmetry property:

$$\mathcal{N}_\varphi(U, A) = -\mathcal{N}_\varphi(A, U).$$

Since $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\varphi(A \circ \varphi, \cdot)$ is a continuous linear application for all $A \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, we can extend \mathcal{N}_φ in a unique way to a bilinear operator $\mathcal{N}_\varphi : T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \times \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. We naturally extend \mathcal{N}_φ by antisymmetry to $\text{SP}^2(T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \times \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D})$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\mathbf{Z} \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ be a horizontal vector field and $A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. Then, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, we have*

$$(3.28) \quad P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(\nabla_{A \circ \varphi} \mathbf{Z}(\varphi)) = \mathcal{N}_\varphi(\mathbf{Z}(\varphi), A \circ \varphi).$$

As a consequence, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SP}^2(\Gamma^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{D}) \times \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})) &\longrightarrow \Gamma^0_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}) \\ (\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{A}) &\longmapsto P_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

is a tensor. In particular, for $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2$ horizontal \mathcal{C}^1 vector fields on \mathcal{D} , we have

$$(3.29) \quad P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_1} \mathbf{Z}_2(\varphi)) = \mathcal{N}_\varphi(\mathbf{Z}_1(\varphi), \mathbf{Z}_2(\varphi)) = -\mathcal{N}_\varphi(\mathbf{Z}_2(\varphi), \mathbf{Z}_1(\varphi)) = -P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_2} \mathbf{Z}_1(\varphi)).$$

Proof. Let \mathbf{Z} be the horizontal vector field defined as $\mathbf{Z}(\varphi) = \nabla \phi(\varphi) \circ \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. We have

$$\nabla_{A \circ \varphi} \mathbf{Z}(\varphi) = \nabla_{A \circ \varphi} \nabla \phi(\varphi) \circ \varphi + (\nabla \mathcal{L}_{A \circ \varphi}^\mathcal{D} \phi(\varphi)) \circ \varphi.$$

Since the second term on the right hand side is horizontal, we get

$$P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(\nabla_{A \circ \varphi} \mathbf{Z})(\varphi) = P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(\nabla_{A \circ \varphi} \nabla \phi(\varphi) \circ \varphi) = P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}((\nabla \nabla \phi(\varphi)) \cdot A) \circ \varphi.$$

We conclude by using the fact that the Hessian on M is symmetric. Note that (3.29) is a direct consequence of (3.28) and of the antisymmetry of the normal tensor. \square

The following result will be the cornerstone of the proof of the existence of the stochastic parallel transport. This is the infinite-dimensional analogous of Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mu := p(\varphi)$. Let $\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_1 \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{P}_\infty(M))$, and let $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2$ denote their horizontal lift. The following identity holds:*

$$(3.30) \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_2(\varphi)} \mathbf{Z}_1(\varphi) = \mathfrak{h}_\varphi(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_2(\mu)} \bar{Z}_1(\mu)) + \mathcal{N}_\varphi(\mathbf{Z}_1(\varphi), \mathbf{Z}_2(\varphi)).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to that of the finite-dimensional case (see Proposition 2.4). More precisely, we apply Koszul's formula (3.19) to obtain the infinite-dimensional counterpart of (2.3) and the conclusion follows by Proposition 3.11. \square

Second fundamental form on G . As mentioned before, G can be seen as a Riemannian submanifold of \mathcal{D} , we denote by \mathcal{L}^G the Lie derivative on G . Let $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2$ be vertical vector fields on \mathcal{D} . Then, using orthogonal decomposition, we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Y}_2(g) = P_{\mathcal{H}_g}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Y}_2(g)) + P_{\mathcal{V}_g}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Y}_2(g)), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

We define the map $(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2) \in (\Gamma^1(G))^2 \mapsto \mathbb{I}^G(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2) = P_{\mathcal{H}_g}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Y}_2) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathcal{D})$ to be the *second fundamental form on G* (as a submanifold of \mathcal{D}). Notice that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, the set $\varphi \cdot G$ is the fiber above $p(\varphi)$. We define in a similar way the second fundamental form on any fiber, namely $\mathbb{I}^{\varphi \cdot G}(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2)$.

We also define the induced *Levi-Civita connection* on G as $\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1}^G \mathbf{Y}_2 = P_{\mathcal{H}_g}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Y}_2(g))$. It can be easily checked that this connection is actually the Levi-Civita connection on G for the Riemannian metric on \mathcal{D} restricted to G . In particular ∇^G is torsion free, compatible with the metric and the Koszul's formula holds. The *Hessian* of $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)$ in $\varphi \in G$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{H}^G \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Y}_1(\varphi), \mathbf{Y}_2(\varphi)) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}_1}^G \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}_2}^G \mathbf{F}(\varphi) - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1}^G \mathbf{Y}_2 \mathbf{F}(\varphi),$$

for $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2$ vector fields on G .

Proposition 3.13. *The second fundamental form $\mathbb{I}^{\varphi \cdot G}$ is a symmetric tensor on G for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. We show the result for $\varphi = \text{id}$, the proof is the same for any fiber $\varphi \cdot G$. Let $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2$ be vertical vector fields on \mathcal{D} . The fact that $\mathbb{I}^G(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2) = \mathbb{I}^G(\mathbf{Y}_2, \mathbf{Y}_1)$ is equivalent to the fact that $[\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2]$ is vertical. Let $g \in G$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2](g), \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g &= \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Y}_2(g), \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g - \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_2} \mathbf{Y}_1(g), \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}_1(g)}^D \langle \mathbf{Y}_2(g), \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}_2(g)}^D \langle \mathbf{Y}_1(g), \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \langle \mathbf{Y}_2(g), \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g + \langle \mathbf{Y}_1(g), \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_2} \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms in the last equality are 0 since $\mathbf{Y}_i(g) \perp \nabla f \circ g$ for $i = 1, 2$. Using the fact that

$$\langle \mathbf{Y}_2(g), \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g = \langle \mathbf{Y}_1(g), \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_2} \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g = \mathbf{H}F_f(g)(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2)$$

and the fact that $\mathbf{H}F_f(g)$ is a symmetric tensor we get

$$\langle [\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2](g), \nabla f \circ g \rangle_g = 0,$$

and thus, $[\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2]$ is vertical. Since the second fundamental form is tensorial in the first coordinate and symmetric, it is tensorial in both components. \square

G -Right invariant vector fields. A vector field \mathbf{A} on \mathcal{D} is said to be *G -right invariant* if for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $g \in G$,

$$(3.31) \quad TR_g(\mathbf{A}(\varphi)) = \mathbf{A}(\varphi \cdot g).$$

In this case, we can always write $\mathbf{A}(\varphi)$ as $A(p(\varphi)) \circ \varphi$, where $A(\mu) \in \Gamma(M)$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$.

Remark 3.8. Let $\bar{Z} \in \Gamma^k(\mathcal{P}_\infty)$. Then, by definition, $\mathbf{Z} = \mathfrak{h}(\bar{Z}) \in \Gamma^k(\mathcal{D})$ and is a G -right invariant vector field on \mathcal{D} .

Proposition 3.14. (1) *Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be G -right invariant vector fields on \mathcal{D} . Then, $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}$ is a G -right invariant vector field.*

(2) *A vector field \mathbf{A} on \mathcal{D} is G -right invariant vector field if and only if $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{A})$ and $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{A})$ are G -right invariant vector fields.*

(3) *Let \mathbf{A} be a right invariant vector field for the action of G . Then we have*

$$\nabla_{Y \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}(\varphi) = (\nabla_Y A(p(\varphi))) \circ \varphi, \quad \forall Y \circ \varphi \in V_\varphi.$$

(4) *Assume that $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2$ are right invariant vertical vector fields for the action of G on \mathcal{D} , then, $\mathbb{I}^G(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2)$ is a right invariant vector field.*

Proof. (1) This result can be proved by using the same arguments as in Lemma 2.11, namely, by using Koszul's formula and the fact R_g is an isometry from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{D} .

(2) This is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.9 together with the uniqueness of the decomposition in $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{V}\mathcal{D}$.

- (3) Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be such that $\partial_t|_{t=0}\varphi_t = Y \circ \varphi$. Since $\operatorname{div}_{p(\varphi)}(Y) = 0$, we have $p(\varphi_t) = p(\varphi)$. Then, by Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\nabla_{Y \circ \varphi} \mathbf{A}(\varphi)(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \tau_{t,0} A(p(\varphi))(\varphi_t)(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \parallel_{t,0} A(p(\varphi))(\varphi_t(x)) = (\nabla_Y A(p(\varphi))) \circ \varphi(x).$$

- (4) This is a direct consequence of the first two points together. \square

4. INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

4.1. Stochastic differential equations on \mathcal{D} . Let $\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_N$ be vector fields on \mathcal{D} . A \mathcal{D}^s -valued stochastic process $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution to the SDE

$$(4.1) \quad d^\nabla \varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\varphi_t) dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

if for all $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}^s)$, $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{F}(\varphi_t) - \mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_i}^\mathcal{D} \mathbf{F}(\varphi_s) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_0}^\mathcal{D} \mathbf{F}(\varphi_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \mathbf{H}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_i) ds.$$

In particular, if $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to (4.1) in \mathcal{D}^s for all $s > m/2$, then we say that $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution in \mathcal{D} . In the same way, we say that $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution to the Stratonovich's SDE in \mathcal{D}^s

$$(4.2) \quad \circ d\varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\varphi_t) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \varphi$$

if for all $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}^s)$, $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{F}(\varphi_t) - \mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_i}^\mathcal{D} \mathbf{F}(\varphi_s) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_0}^\mathcal{D} \mathbf{F}(\varphi_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_i}^\mathcal{D} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}_i}^\mathcal{D} \mathbf{F}(\varphi_s) ds.$$

A process $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a solution in \mathcal{D} of (4.1) if it is a solution in all \mathcal{D}^s for $s > m/2$.

Remark 4.1. Note that this notion of solution is meaningful since, by Proposition A.3, the evaluation map $E_{x_0} : \varphi \mapsto \varphi(x_0)$ is smooth for all $x_0 \in M$. As a consequence, if $\mathbf{A}_i(\psi) = A_i \circ \psi$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, then:

$$\circ d\varphi_t(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(\varphi_t(x_0)) \circ dW_t^i + A_0(\varphi_t(x_0)) dt.$$

This is in fact the approach used by Elworthy to show that a stochastic differential system on a compact manifold with smooth driving vector fields admits a unique solution flow, and that this flow is indeed a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms, see [Elw82, Chapter VIII, Corollary 1C.2].

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_N \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$. The Stratonovich's SDE

$$\circ d\varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\varphi_t) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \varphi$$

is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$d^\nabla \varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\varphi_t) dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\varphi_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\mathbf{A}_i} \mathbf{A}_i(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \varphi.$$

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of the definition of the Hessian \mathbf{H} . \square

Definition 4.1. A continuous \mathcal{D} -valued process $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a *semimartingale* if for all $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D})$, the process $(\mathbf{F}(\varphi_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a real semimartingale.

Theorem 4.2 (Elworthy, [Elw82]). Let $\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_N \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$. The Itô's SDE

$$d^\nabla \Phi_t(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\Phi_t(\varphi)) dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\Phi_t(\varphi)) dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) = \varphi,$$

admits a unique solution in \mathcal{D} .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the result on \mathcal{D}^s for all $s > m/2$ since $\mathcal{D} = \cap_{s > m/2} \mathcal{D}^s$. This result can be found in [Elw82, Chapter VII, Theorem 2E and Corollary 6.1]. More precisely, the existence and uniqueness is given by the first result and the completeness of the solution is given by the second one, since, as detailed in [Elw82, Chapter VIII, (C)], \mathcal{D}^s admits a uniform cover for all $s > m/2$. \square

Remark 4.2 (SDEs on G). The notion of SDE on G can be formalized exactly in the same way as on \mathcal{D} by replacing $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{D}}$ by \mathcal{L}^G , ∇ by ∇^G and \mathbf{H} by \mathbf{H}^G . In particular, the following G -valued Stratonovich's SDE

$$\circ d g_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Y}_i(g_t) \circ d W_t^i + \mathbf{Y}_0(g_t) dt, \quad g_0 = g$$

is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$d^{\nabla^G} g_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Y}_i(g_t) d W_t^i + \mathbf{Y}_0(g_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^G \mathbf{Y}_i(g_t) dt, \quad g_0 = g.$$

Definition 4.2. Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semimartingale on \mathcal{D} where $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $A \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. The stochastic parallel transport along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is defined to be:

$$\tau_{0,t} : A \circ \varphi \mapsto \parallel_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi),$$

where for all $x \in M$, $\parallel_{0,t}(x)$ is the stochastic parallel transport along $(\varphi_s(x))_{0 \leq s \leq t}$.

As in the deterministic case, one can check that $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ is an isometry between $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $T_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{D}$ and easily extend it to an isometry between $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{T}_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{D}$.

Definition-Proposition 4.3. A $\bar{T} \mathcal{D}$ -valued stochastic process $(\mathbf{U}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along a semimartingale $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a *semimartingale* if $(\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{U}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semimartingale on $\bar{T}_{\varphi_0} \mathcal{D}$ where $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ denote the stochastic parallel transport along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The *Stratonovich covariant derivative* $\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathbf{U}_t$ is defined (formally) as the following element of $\bar{T}_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{D}$:

$$(4.3) \quad \circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathbf{U}_t = \tau_{0,t} \circ d \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{U}_t.$$

Equivalently, $\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathbf{U}_t$ may be defined as the unique formal element of $\bar{T}_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{D}$ such that:

$$(4.4) \quad \circ d \langle \mathbf{U}_t, \tau_{0,t}(B \circ \varphi) \rangle_{\varphi_t} = \langle \circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathbf{U}_t, \tau_{0,t}(B \circ \varphi) \rangle_{\varphi_t}$$

for all $B \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_{\varphi_0} \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The fact that these two definitions are equivalent is a straightforward consequence of the definition of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{D} . \square

Proposition 4.3. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$ and $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to (4.2). Then, the $\bar{T}_{\varphi_0} \mathcal{D}$ -valued process $(\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\varphi_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following SDE:

$$\circ d \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\varphi_t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} ((\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{A})(\varphi_t)) \circ d W_t^i + \tau_{0,t}^{-1} ((\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_0} \mathbf{A})(\varphi_t)) dt.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the one of Proposition 3.7, with the differential calculus replaced by its Stratonovich counterpart. \square

From now on, we will write either $\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathbf{A}(\varphi_t)$ or $\nabla_{\circ d \varphi_t} \mathbf{A}(\varphi_t)$ to denote the Stratonovich covariant derivative of \mathbf{A} along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$, consistently with (1.3).

Definition 4.4. A solution to a Stratonovich's SDE of the following form:

$$\circ d \Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\Phi_t) \circ d W_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\Phi_t) dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D},$$

is said to be an *equivariant diffusion* if for all $g \in G$, $\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g) = \Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g$ for all $t \geq 0$.

4.2. Stochastic differential equations on \mathcal{P} . We say that a stochastic process $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution to the following Itô's SDE on \mathcal{P}

$$(4.5) \quad \bar{d}\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu$$

if for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, $(\mu_t)_t$ satisfies

$$F_f(\mu_t) - F_f(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_i} F_f(\mu_s) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_0} F_f(\mu_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \bar{H} F_f(\mu_s) (\bar{Z}_i, \bar{Z}_i) ds.$$

In the same way, we say that $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution to the Stratonovich's SDE on \mathcal{P}

$$(4.6) \quad \circ \bar{d}\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu$$

if for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$F_f(\mu_t) - F_f(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_i} F_f(\mu_s) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_0} F_f(\mu_s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_i}^2 F_f(\mu_s) ds.$$

Proposition 4.4. Let $\bar{Z}_0, \bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_N \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{P})$. The Stratonovich's SDE

$$\circ \bar{d}\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu$$

is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$\bar{d}\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_i} \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu.$$

Proof. This is, as in the diffeomorphisms case, a direct consequence of the definition of the Hessian \bar{H} . \square

Definition 4.5. A continuous \mathcal{P} -valued process $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a *semimartingale* if for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, the process $(F_f(\mu_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a real semimartingale.

In particular, solutions to SDEs on Wasserstein space are semimartingale on \mathcal{P} . We now state the main theorem of this section, whose proof will be given in Subsection 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\bar{Z}_0, \bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_N \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{P})$ defined by $\bar{Z}_i = \nabla \phi_i(\cdot)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. The SDE

$$(4.7) \quad \bar{d}M_t(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(M_t(\mu)) dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(M_t(\mu)) dt, \quad M_0(\mu) = \mu,$$

admits a solution $(M_t(\mu))_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, for all $t \geq 0$, $M_t(\mu) \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$.

Remark 4.3 (SPDE aspect of \mathcal{P}_∞ -valued SDEs). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0} = (M_t(\mu))_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to

$$\circ \bar{d}\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu.$$

Then, the family of density $(\rho_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ relative to vol is solution to the following SPDE :

$$d\rho_t = - \sum_{i=1}^N \text{div}(\rho_t \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t)) dW_t^i - \text{div}(\rho_t \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{div}(\text{div}(\rho_t \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t)) \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t)) dt,$$

for a proof of a similar result see [DFdL23, Proposition 3.3].

Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a solution to

$$(4.8) \quad \circ \bar{d}\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty,$$

with $\bar{Z}_i \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{P})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. We emphasize that, from this point on and throughout the remainder of the subsection, the initial condition will always be assumed to lie in \mathcal{P}_∞ .

Definition 4.6. Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to (4.8). We define the *horizontal lift* of $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$ to be the unique solution to the following \mathcal{D} -valued SDE:

$$(4.9) \quad \circ d\Phi_t(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\Phi_t(\varphi)) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\Phi_t(\varphi))dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) = \varphi,$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_i(\psi) = \mathfrak{h}_\psi(\bar{Z}_i(\mu))$ is the horizontal lift of \bar{Z}_i for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Proposition 4.6. *The horizontal lift of $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant diffusion.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{Z}_i is G -right invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, we have:

$$\circ d(\Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g) = TR_g(\circ d\Phi_t(\varphi)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g)dt.$$

The conclusion follows by uniqueness of the solution to (4.9). \square

Definition-Proposition 4.7. Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_∞ solution (4.8) and let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$. A $\bar{T}\mathcal{P}$ -valued stochastic process $(\bar{U}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a *semimartingale* if for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$, $(\mathfrak{h}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\bar{U}_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a semimartingale on $T\mathcal{D}$. The *Stratonovich covariant derivative* $\circ \bar{D}_t \bar{U}_t$ is defined (formally) as the following element of $\bar{T}_{\mu_t} \mathcal{P}_\infty$:

$$(4.10) \quad \circ \bar{D}_t \bar{U}_t = T_{\Phi_t(\varphi)} p \left(\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathfrak{h}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\bar{U}_t) \right),$$

and this definition is independent of the choice of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathfrak{h}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\bar{U}_t)$ is G -right invariant. This is a direct consequence of Lemma A.10 together with the equivariance of $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and the right invariance of $\mathfrak{h}_{\Phi_t(\cdot)}(\bar{U}_t)$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\bar{A} \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{P})$ and $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to (4.8). Then,*

$$(4.11) \quad \circ \bar{D}_t \bar{A}(\mu_t) = \sum_{i=1}^N (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_i} \bar{A})(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Z}_0} \bar{A})(\mu_t)dt.$$

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 4.3 together with Proposition 3.12. \square

As in the diffeomorphism setting, we will either write $\bar{\nabla}_{\circ \bar{d}\mu_t} \bar{A}(\mu_t)$ (see once again (1.3)) or $\circ \bar{D}_t \bar{A}(\mu_t)$ to denote the Stratonovich covariant derivative of $\bar{A}(\mu_t)$. Note that (4.10) is the stochastic analogous of the projection of the deterministic identity in Proposition 3.12.

4.3. Preliminaries. To prove Theorem 4.5, we use the isometric embedding theorem of Nash in order to reduce ourselves to the case of a Riemannian submanifold of the Euclidean space.

Theorem 4.8 (Isometric embedding, Nash [Nas56]). *Let M be a smooth Riemannian manifold. Then, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and an isometric embedding $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. For $x \in M$ and $u, v \in T_x M$ we have:*

$$\langle u, v \rangle_x = \langle D_x f(u), D_x f(v) \rangle^{\mathbb{R}^d},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ denotes the usual scalar product on \mathbb{R}^d .

In the following, we consider M to be a closed Riemannian sub-manifold \mathbb{R}^d with dimension $\dim(M) = m$ for the sake of simplicity. Let $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d . This subsection is mainly devoted to the proof of the fact that we can extend the vector fields $Z_i(\mu)(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^d in a way that preserves the regularity in the parameter μ .

Proposition 4.9 (Uniform extension lemma for vector fields). *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty, k}(M \times \mathcal{P}, TM)$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $A(\cdot, \mu) \in \Gamma(M)$. Then, there exists an extension $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty, k}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with compact support.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let M_ε be a tubular neighborhood of M such that P_ε is smooth (see Proposition A.5). Moreover, let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a bump function such that $\chi(0) = 1$ with support $[-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2]$. Then, the vector field defined as $\tilde{A}(x, \mu) = \chi(d(x, M))A(P_\varepsilon(x), \mu)$ when $x \in M_\varepsilon$ and 0 elsewhere satisfies the conditions of the proposition. \square

In the following, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \tilde{Z}_i denotes a M_ε -supported $\mathcal{C}^{\infty, 1}$ extension of Z_i for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ with M_ε a neighborhood of M as in Proposition A.5. We emphasize the fact that even if we extend the vector fields on \mathbb{R}^d , μ is still in $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{P}(M)$.

Proposition 4.10. *For $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ there exists $K_i > 0$ such that for all $(x, \mu), (y, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}$:*

$$|\tilde{Z}_i(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}_i(y, \nu)|^2 \leq K_i(|x - y|^2 + W_2^2(\mu, \nu)).$$

Proof. Using the fact that for all $i = 0, \dots, N$, $\tilde{Z}_i \in C^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P})$ and the same arguments as in Corollary 3.3 we get that $\tilde{Z}_i(x, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz for all $x \in \bar{M}$ with Lipschitz constant L_i independent of x .

$$|\tilde{Z}_i(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}_i(y, \nu)| \leq |\tilde{Z}_i(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}_i(y, \mu)| + |\tilde{Z}_i(y, \mu) - \tilde{Z}_i(y, \nu)| \leq C_i(|x - y| + W_2(\mu, \nu)),$$

where $C_i = \max \left(\sup \{ |D\tilde{Z}_i(z, m)| : z \in \bar{M}_\varepsilon, m \in \mathcal{P} \}, L_i \right)$. Note that this quantity is well defined by compactness of both \bar{M}_ε and \mathcal{P} and by continuity of $D\tilde{Z}_i$.

By using the basic inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$ we get

$$C_i^2(|x - y| + W_2(\mu, \nu))^2 \leq 2C_i^2(|x - y|^2 + W_2^2(\mu, \nu)).$$

□

For a Riemannian submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^d , let \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} be smooth extensions of vector fields $A, B \in \Gamma(M)$. The *second fundamental form* in \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} is

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbb{I}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = P_{TM^\perp} \left(\nabla_{\tilde{A}}^{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{B} \right).$$

This operator is in particular a symmetric tensor and its value on $\Gamma(M)$ does not depend on the extensions chosen. We define \tilde{Z}'_0 to be:

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{Z}'_0(\mu) = \tilde{Z}_0(\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}(\tilde{Z}_i(\mu), \tilde{Z}_i(\mu)).$$

In particular, $\mathbb{I}(\tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_i)$ is of class $C^{\infty,1}$ by definition and Proposition 4.9. Until the end of the section we will set $K_0, K > 0$ such that:

$$(4.14) \quad |\tilde{Z}'_0(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}'_0(y, \nu)|^2 \leq K_0(|x - y|^2 + W_2^2(\mu, \nu)),$$

$$(4.15) \quad 2 \left| \langle \tilde{Z}'_0(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}'_0(y, \nu), x - y \rangle \right| + \sum_{i=1}^N |\tilde{Z}_i(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}_i(y, \nu)|^2 \leq K(|x - y|^2 + W_2^2(\mu, \nu)).$$

Remark 4.4. To get the second inequality, notice that

$$2 \left| \langle \tilde{Z}'_0(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}'_0(y, \nu), x - y \rangle \right| \leq |\tilde{Z}'_0(x, \mu) - \tilde{Z}'_0(y, \nu)|^2 + |x - y|^2$$

and we conclude by applying (4.14) and Proposition 4.10.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.5. To get a solution to (4.7), it is known (see for example [CD18], [Wan21]) that it is enough to solve a conditionnal McKean-Vlasov SDE on M . In our case, this is the following:

$$(4.16) \quad d^\nabla X_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i(X_t(x), M_t(\mu)) dW_t^i + Z_0(X_t(x), M_t(\mu)) dt, \quad X_0(x) = x, \quad M_t(\mu) = (X_t)_\# \mu.$$

We present the definition of the solutions of such conditional McKean-Vlasov SDEs as in [Wan21].

Definition 4.8. A family of adapted processes $\{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times M \rightarrow X_t(x) \in M\}$ is called a solution of (4.16) if the following conditions hold a.s:

- (1) X is continuous in t and measurable in $x \in M$.
- (2) The map $t \mapsto M_t(\mu) = (X_t)_\# \mu$ is continuous.
- (3) For all $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t(x)) - f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t Z_0(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \text{Hf}(Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.5 (Itô-Stratonovich conversion for conditional McKean-Vlasov SDEs). The Stratonovich's equivalent of Equation (4.16) can be obtained as follows. Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to (4.16), then, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t(x)) - f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \text{Hf}(Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N [Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)), W_s^i]_t. \end{aligned}$$

We have

(4.17)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i(\cdot, M_t(\mu)) f(X_t(x)) &= \langle Z_i(X_t(x), M_t(\mu)), \nabla f(X_t(x)) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^t \odot \langle Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), \nabla f(X_s(x)) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \nabla_{\odot X_s(x)} Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), \nabla f(X_s(x)) \rangle + \langle Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), \nabla_{\odot X_s(x)} \nabla f(X_s(x)) \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\odot M_s(\mu)} \phi_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), \nabla f(X_s(x)) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Since the sum of the first two terms in the last equality of (4.17) is $\int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\odot X_s(x)} \mathcal{L}_{Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))} f(X_s(x)) ds$, we obtain that

$$[Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)), W_s^i]_t = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))}^2 f(X_s(x)) + \mathcal{L}_{\nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{Z_i(M_t(\mu))} \phi_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))} f(X_s(x)) ds.$$

Thus, by using the definition of Hf , Equation (4.16) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \odot dX_t(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i(X_t(x), M_t(\mu)) \odot dW_t^i + \left(Z_0(X_t(x), M_t(\mu)) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu))} Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)) \right) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{Z_i(M_t(\mu))} \phi_i(X_t(x), M_t(\mu)) dt, \quad X_0(x) = x, \quad M_t(\mu) = (X_t)_\# \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.11. *The conditional McKean-Vlasov equation*

$$(4.19) \quad d^\nabla X_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i(M_t(\mu), X_t(x)) dW_t^i + Z_0(M_t(\mu), X_t(x)) dt, \quad X_0(x) = x, \quad M_t(\mu) = (X_t)_\# \mu,$$

admits a unique solution for initial conditions $x \in M$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, where μ can be a random variable on \mathcal{P} . Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, the solution flow $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

The proof will be done below. Throughout the proof we will use the following results.

Proposition 4.12. *Let M be a compact Riemannian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^d and let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N be random time-dependent vector fields being almost surely $\mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, M)$ on M , such that $A_i(t, \cdot)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for all $t \geq 0$ and all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Let $\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_N$ be $\mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ extensions on \mathbb{R}^d . There exists a unique solution to*

$$(4.20) \quad dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{A}_i(t, X_t(x)) dW_t^i + \left(\tilde{A}_0(t, X_t(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}(\tilde{A}_i(t, X_t(x)), \tilde{A}_i(t, X_t(x))) \right) dt, \quad X_0(x) = x \in M.$$

Moreover, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ remains in M and is the unique solution to

$$(4.21) \quad d^\nabla X_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(t, X_t(x)) dW_t^i + A_0(t, X_t(x)) dt.$$

Proof. For the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (4.20), the reader is referred to [Kun97, Section 3.4]. The fact that $X_t(x)$ stays in M for all $x \in M$ can be found, for example, in [Hsu02, Section 1.2]. The fact that the solution of (4.20) is actually the solution to (4.21) is clear since the second fundamental form term is exactly what we need to remove in order to obtain the Hessian on M . \square

Proposition 4.13. *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms. For all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, the stochastic process defined by $(X_t)_\# \mu$ lies in \mathcal{P}_∞ .*

Proof. Note that, since for all $t \geq 0$, X_t is a diffeomorphism, we have for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$:

$$\int_M f(x) d\mu_t(x) = \int_M f(X_t(x)) d\mu(x) = \int_M f(X_t(x)) \rho(x) d\text{vol} = \int_M f(x) \rho(X_t^{-1}(x)) |\text{Det}(T_x X_t^{-1})| d\text{vol}(x).$$

Therefore, the assertion holds. \square

We now prove Theorem 4.11 by adapting the proof of [Wan21, Theorem 2.1].

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Existence: Let $X^0(x) = x$ and $\mu^0 = \mu$. We define a sequence $(X_t^n(x), M_t^n(\mu))$ by induction. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(M_t^n(\mu))_{t \geq 0}$ is continuous in the time variable, we consider the following stochastic differential system (SDS) in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$dX_t^{n+1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{Z}_i(X_t^{n+1}(x), M_t^n(\mu)) dW_t^i + \tilde{Z}'_0(X_t^{n+1}(x), M_t^n(\mu)) dt, \quad X_0^{n+1}(x) = x, M_t^n(\mu) = (X_t^n)_\# \mu,$$

with \tilde{Z}'_0 defined by (4.13). By Proposition 4.12 there exists a unique solution $(X_t^{n+1})_{t \geq 0}$ to this SDS. Moreover, for an initial condition $x \in M$, the solution remains in M and is solution to the following M -valued SDE:

$$(4.22) \quad d^\nabla X_t^{n+1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i(X_s^{n+1}(x), M_s^n(\mu)) dW_t^i + Z_0(X_s^{n+1}(x), M_s^n(\mu)) ds.$$

In particular, we have:

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} f(X_t^{n+1}(x)) - f(x) &= \int_0^t Z_i(\cdot, M_s^n(\mu)) f(X_s^{n+1}(x)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t Z_0(\cdot, M_s^n(\mu)) f(X_s^{n+1}(x)) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \text{H}f(Z_i(X_s^{n+1}(x), M_s^n(\mu)), Z_i(X_s^{n+1}(x), M_s^n(\mu))) ds, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M). \end{aligned}$$

On \mathbb{R}^d , it takes the following form:

$$(4.24) \quad X_t^{n+1}(x) = x + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^{n+1}(x), M_s^n(\mu)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \tilde{Z}'_0(X_s^{n+1}(x), M_s^n(\mu)) ds.$$

Now, if we let $M_t^{n+1}(\mu)$ denotes $(X_t^{n+1})_\# \mu$, using Lemma A.4 we get

$$\mathbb{E} [W_2^2(M_s^{n+1}(\mu), M_t^{n+1}(\mu))] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_M |X_s^{n+1}(x) - X_t^{n+1}(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right].$$

Thus, when $s \rightarrow t$, the right-hand side goes to 0 because of the (a.s.) time continuity of $(X_t^{n+1})_t$. The proof of the following lemma can be found in Appendix A.3.

Lemma 4.14. *There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{n, l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} d_M^2(X_t^n(x), X_t^l(x)) \right]^{1/2} = 0.$$

Moreover, t_0 depends only on the vector fields \tilde{Z}_i and may be chosen independently of the initial condition (x, μ) .

End of the proof of Theorem 4.11. Using the fact that $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0, t_0], M))$ is a complete space, there exists a unique limit

$$(X_t)_{t \in [0, t_0]} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_t^n)_{t \in [0, t_0]}$$

which is measurable in x . Let $(M_t(\mu))_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} = ((X_t)_\# \mu)_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$, this process inherits the time continuity of $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$ by lemma A.4. Moreover, it is the limit of the sequence $(M_t^n(\mu))_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$ since

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} W_2^2(M_t^n(\mu), M_t(\mu)) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} d_M^2(X_t^n(x), X_t(x)) \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

By taking the limit in (4.23), we get that for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t(x)) - f(x) &= \int_0^t Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t Z_0(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \mathbb{H}f(Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, to extend $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$ to the interval $[0, 2t_0]$ we can choose initial conditions $\bar{x} = X_{t_0}(x)$ and $\bar{\mu} = M_{t_0}(\mu)$. Since $(W_t^i - W_{t_0}^i)_{t \geq t_0}$ is again a Brownian motion, and t_0 is independent of the initial conditions, we can construct as above a solution $(X_t(\bar{x}), M_t(\bar{\mu}))$ for $t_0 \leq t \leq 2t_0$ and it is easy to see that the process defined by

$$X_t(x) := X_t(\bar{x}), \quad M_t(\mu) := M_t(\bar{\mu}), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, 2t_0]$$

solves the conditionnal McKean-Vlasov SDE (4.19). We can iterate this argument to get the solution on any interval $[0, T]$.

Uniqueness : Let X, Y be two solutions of the conditional McKean-Vlasov SDE (4.19). Then, X and Y are also solutions to the extended McKean-Vlasov SDE on \mathbb{R}^d . Using Itô's formula on the quantity $|X_t(x) - Y_t(x)|^2$, taking the expectation, using Lipschitz hypothesis and Grönwall's lemma we get the uniqueness by standard arguments of stochastic calculus, see for example [Wan21, Theorem 2.1].

Diffeomorphism property. Now, let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. For all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ we denote by $\mathbf{Z}_i(\psi)$ the horizontal lift $\mathfrak{h}_\psi(\bar{Z}_i)$. We consider the following SDE on \mathcal{D} :

$$(4.25) \quad \odot d\varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t) \odot dW_t^i + \mathbf{Z}'_0(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \text{id}.$$

where $\mathbf{Z}'_0 = \mathbf{Z}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i$. Note that $\mathbf{Z}_i \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. In particular, by using the Itô-Stratonovich conversion (Proposition 4.1), the above Stratonovich's SDE is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$d^\nabla \varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t) dW_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \text{id}.$$

By Theorem 4.2, we obtain that there exists a unique solution to this SDE on \mathcal{D} . Let $E_{x_0} : \psi \mapsto \psi(x_0)$ denote the evaluation map for $x_0 \in M$. This map is smooth (see Proposition A.3) and one can easily check that $T_\varphi E_{x_0}(\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi)) = Z_i(\varphi) \circ \varphi(x_0)$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$. By applying the chain rule, we obtain that

$$(4.26) \quad \odot d\varphi_t(x_0) = \odot dE_{x_0}(\varphi_t) = T_{\varphi_t} E_{x_0}(\odot d\varphi_t) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i(p(\varphi_t)) \circ \varphi_t(x_0) \odot dW_t^i + Z'_0(p(\varphi_t)) \circ \varphi_t(x_0) dt.$$

Thus, $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the solution flow to (4.16). By using the uniqueness of the solution, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms. \square

Now that the proof of Theorem 4.11 is complete, we can prove Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. We have to show that the \mathcal{P} -valued process $(M_t(\mu))_{t \geq 0}$ obtained in 4.11 is solution to:

$$(4.27) \quad \bar{d}^\nabla M_t(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(M_t(\mu)) dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(M_t(\mu)) dt, \quad M_0(\mu) = \mu.$$

It is the case since, by Theorem 4.11,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_f(M_t(\mu)) &= \int_M f(X_t(x)) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_M f(x) d\mu(x) + \int_M \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) dW_s^i d\mu(x) + \int_M \int_0^t Z_0(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) ds d\mu(x) \\
&\quad + \int_M \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t Hf(Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))) ds d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_M f(x) d\mu(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_M Z_i(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) d\mu(x) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \int_M Z_0(\cdot, M_s(\mu)) f(X_s(x)) d\mu(x) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_M Hf(Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu)), Z_i(X_s(x), M_s(\mu))) d\mu(x) ds \\
&= F_f(\mu) + \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_i} F_f(M_s(\mu)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{Z}_0} F_f(M_s(\mu)) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \bar{H} F_f(\bar{Z}_i(M_s(\mu)), \bar{Z}_i(M_s(\mu))) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from (3.10) and the fact that $M_s(\mu) = (X_s)_\# \mu$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$, the fact that $(M_t(\mu))_{t \geq 0}$ lies in \mathcal{P}_∞ follows from Proposition 4.13. \square

Remark 4.6. As noticed in the proof of Theorem 4.11, it appears that the unique solution to the horizontal lift equation is the flow $(X_t \circ \varphi)_{t \geq 0}$ where $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following conditional McKean-Vlasov equation:

$$(4.28) \quad \circ dX_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i(X_t(x), \mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + Z_0(X_t(x), \mu_t) dt, \quad X_0(x) = x, \quad \mu_t = (X_t)_\# \mu.$$

5. STOCHASTIC PARALLEL TRANSPORT ON \mathcal{P}_∞

This section is devoted to the proof of the existence and uniqueness of the stochastic parallel transport along diffusions on \mathcal{P}_∞ . Since the main idea of the proof is to adapt Theorem 2.7 in our infinite-dimensional setting. The reader is referred to Subsections 2.2 and 2.4 for an idea of the proof in the finite-dimensional case.

We want to construct a process $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ which plays the same role as $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ in Subsection 2.2. The starting point of the proof lies in the fact that, in the finite dimensional case, $(\tau_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is characterized by Equation (2.14), as mentioned in Remark 2.3.

We begin by providing a precise definition of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞ together with some preliminary material that will be used to establish existence and uniqueness for the infinite-dimensional analogue of (2.14). We then derive the equation satisfied by $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ from Proposition 3.12. Proceeding as in (2.8) we obtain a Hilbert space-valued Stratonovich SDE which is solved in Proposition 5.2. In the same proposition, we also show that if the initial condition is horizontal, the solution remains horizontal. This is a crucial point since Proposition 3.12 would otherwise fail to hold.

Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a solution to a \mathcal{P}_∞ -valued SDE. In Theorem 5.3 we consider the horizontal lift $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_{t \geq 0}$ of the process $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathcal{D} with initial condition $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$ (see Definition 4.6) and we show that the associated horizontal transport, $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$, is G -right invariant. In Theorem 5.4, we show that the process given by $Tp(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h)$ is the stochastic parallel transport along $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Finally, we construct a lift of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞ which is equivariant on the $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ -principal bundle $T\mathcal{D}$ in Proposition 5.5.

5.1. Definitions and properties. In this subsection we introduce the definition of a stochastic parallel transport and we discuss some easy properties such as the uniqueness and the isometry property which are direct consequences of the definition. Then, we introduce two operators, the linear counterpart of the normal tensor and the Riemann curvature operator on \mathcal{D} and present some of their properties. The reader is referred to Subsections 4.1 and 4.2 for definitions and properties about semimartingales on $\bar{T}\mathcal{D}$ or $\bar{T}\mathcal{P}_\infty$.

Definition 5.1. Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_∞ solution to

$$\circ d\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t) dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu.$$

A $\bar{T}\mathcal{P}$ -valued semimartingale $(\bar{A}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be a *stochastic parallel transport* if

$$(5.1) \quad \circ \bar{D}_t \bar{A}_t = 0.$$

Remark 5.1. The notion of stochastic parallel transport employed in this work corresponds to the strong stochastic parallel transport introduced in [DFdL23].

Remark 5.2 (Uniqueness and isometry property of the stochastic parallel transport). Note that a stochastic parallel transport along a diffusion $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an isometry and is necessarily unique. Indeed, the property of isometry is trivial since, for two stochastic parallel transports $(\bar{A}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $(\bar{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with initial conditions $\bar{A}_0 = A$ and $\bar{B}_0 = B$, the following holds:

$$\text{od}\langle \bar{A}_t, \bar{B}_t \rangle_{\mu_t} = \langle \circ \bar{D}_t \bar{A}_t, \bar{B}_t \rangle_{\mu_t} + \langle \bar{A}_t, \circ \bar{D}_t \bar{B}_t \rangle_{\mu_t} = 0.$$

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that there exists two parallel transports \bar{A}_t and \bar{A}'_t with $\bar{A}_0 = \bar{A}'_0 = A$. By linearity of the covariant SDE (5.1), $\bar{A}_t - \bar{A}'_t$ is also a parallel transport. Thus, its norm is constant and we then have

$$\|\bar{A}_t - \bar{A}'_t\|_{\mu_t} = \|A - A\|_{\mu} = 0.$$

Let us recall the definition of the normal tensor:

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{N}_\varphi(U \circ \varphi, A \circ \varphi) = P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}((\nabla U)^T \cdot A) \circ \varphi,$$

where $U \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ and $A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. For more properties of this tensor, the reader is referred to Subsection 3.3.

Remark 5.3. Let $U \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, we also have

$$\mathcal{N}_\varphi(U \circ \varphi, A \circ \varphi) = P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(((\nabla U) \cdot A) \circ \varphi).$$

Indeed, this is just a consequence of the fact that the Hessian of a function is symmetric. This fact will be used many times throughout this section.

In the following definition we introduce the linear counterpart of the normal tensor together with its adjoint, following [Gig11, Definition 5.11].

Definition 5.2. Let $U \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. We define the linear operator $\mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}$ to be

$$\mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}(A \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{N}_\varphi(U \circ \varphi, A \circ \varphi), \quad \forall A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}.$$

We also define $\mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}^*$ to be the adjoint of $\mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}$ for the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$.

Remark 5.4. Let $U \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, $A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. The following identity holds:

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}^*(A \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}^*(P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(A \circ \varphi)).$$

Indeed, let $B \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}^*(A \circ \varphi), B \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi &= \langle A \circ \varphi, \mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}(B \circ \varphi) \rangle_\varphi \\ &= \langle P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(A \circ \varphi), \mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}(B \circ \varphi) \rangle_\varphi \\ &= \langle \mathcal{O}_{U \circ \varphi}^*(P_{\mathcal{V}_\varphi}(A \circ \varphi)), B \circ \varphi \rangle_\varphi \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.3. The *Riemann curvature operator* on \mathcal{D} evaluated in $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} : (T_\varphi \mathcal{D})^3 &\longrightarrow T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \\ (A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi, C \circ \varphi) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}(A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi)C \circ \varphi = (R(A, B)C) \circ \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where R is the Riemann curvature tensor on M .

Proposition 5.1. The *Riemann curvature operator* \mathbf{R} can be extended in a unique way to a multilinear operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} : \text{SP}^3(\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \times (T_\varphi \mathcal{D})^2) &\longrightarrow \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D} \\ (A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi, C \circ \varphi) &\longmapsto \mathbf{R}(A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi)C \circ \varphi = (R(A, B)C) \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, \mathbf{R} admit the same symmetries than R .

Proof. The fact that \mathbf{R} is multilinear and has the same symmetries as R are direct consequences of the definition. Let $B \circ \varphi, C \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, the map $\mathbf{R}(\cdot, B \circ \varphi)C \circ \varphi : T_\varphi \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ is continuous since for all $A \circ \varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$|\mathbf{R}(A \circ \varphi, B \circ \varphi)C \circ \varphi|_\varphi^2 = \int_M |(R(A, B)C) \circ \varphi(x)|_x^2 \text{dvol}(x) \leq \sup_{x \in M} |R_x(\cdot, B(x))C(x)|_{\text{op}}^2 |A \circ \varphi|_\varphi^2.$$

We conclude by using the fact that $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. \square

Remark 5.5 (Comparison with the usual definition of the Riemann curvature operator.). On a Riemannian manifold, the Riemann curvature operator is defined by a formula involving covariant derivatives of vectors fields. It can then be shown that this operator is indeed a tensor and thus admits a pointwise definition. Actually, one can check that \mathbf{R} writes

$$\mathbf{R}(A(\varphi), B(\varphi))C(\varphi) = \nabla_A \nabla_B C(\varphi) - \nabla_B \nabla_A C(\varphi) - \nabla_{[A, B]} C(\varphi),$$

for $A, B, C \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$. Hence, because of the symmetries, \mathbf{R} is tensorial. In particular, we recover the usual definition of the curvature operator on a Riemannian manifold.

5.2. Existence and uniqueness of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞ . Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_∞ solution to

$$(5.4) \quad \circ d\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(\mu_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(\mu_t)dt, \quad \mu_0 = \mu,$$

where for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $\bar{Z}_i \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{P})$. Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$, namely the solution to

$$(5.5) \quad \circ d\Phi_t(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\Phi_t(\varphi)) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\Phi_t(\varphi))dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) = \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu,$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_i \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$ is the horizontal lift of \bar{Z}_i for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. We will also denote by $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the process $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_{t \geq 0}$

To prove the existence of the stochastic parallel transport on \mathcal{P}_∞ , we aim to replicate the argument of Subsection 2.2 in the context of our infinite dimensional Riemannian submersion $p : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty$. To this end, the infinite-dimensional equivalent of (2.14) is needed. The comparison between Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.12 leads us to the idea that the normal tensor \mathcal{N} plays the same role as the opposite of the O'Neill tensor. Consequently, the infinite dimensional counterpart of (2.14) is given by:

$$(5.6) \quad \circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi), \circ d\varphi_t), \quad \mathcal{T}_{0,0}^h(U \circ \varphi) = U \circ \varphi, \quad \forall U \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D}.$$

Let $(\tau_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ denote the parallel transport on \mathcal{D} along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and let $Q_t(U \circ \varphi) = \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi)$. Then, by using the same reasoning as in (2.8), to solve (5.6) we have to solve the following:

$$(5.7) \quad \circ dQ_t(U) = \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \circ d\varphi_t), \quad Q_0(U) = U$$

on the Hilbert space $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 5.2. *The $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ -valued SDE*

$$(5.8) \quad \circ dQ_t(U \circ \varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U \circ \varphi), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) \circ dW_t^i + \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U \circ \varphi), \mathbf{Z}_0(\varphi_t)) dt, \quad Q_0(U \circ \varphi) = U \circ \varphi$$

admits a unique solution. As a consequence, there exists a unique solution to

$$(5.9) \quad \circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi), \circ d\varphi_t), \quad \mathcal{T}_{0,0}^h(U \circ \varphi) = U \circ \varphi, \quad \forall U \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D},$$

given by $\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi) = \tau_{0,t} Q_t(U \circ \varphi)$. Moreover, if $U \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U \circ \varphi)$ remains horizontal for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $U = \tilde{U} \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. A $\bar{T}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$ -valued process $(Q_t(U))_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be solution to (5.8) if

$$Q_t(U) - U = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)) \circ dW_s^i + \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_0(\varphi_s)) ds.$$

Using the Stratonovich-Itô conversion for the stochastic integral, this is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_t(U) - U &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)) dW_s^i + \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), Z_0(\varphi_s)) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N [\tau_{0,\cdot}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\cdot}(\tau_{0,\cdot} Q_\cdot(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_\cdot)), W_\cdot^i]_t,
\end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the quadratic variation. By using the fact that for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0,s}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)) - \mathcal{N}_\varphi(U, \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi)) &= \int_0^t \circ d_s \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)) \\
&= \int_0^t \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \circ D_s \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)),
\end{aligned}$$

and Lemma A.8, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tau_{0,\cdot}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\cdot}(\tau_{0,\cdot} Q_\cdot(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_\cdot)), W_\cdot^i]_t &= \left[\int_0^\cdot \tau_{0,s}^{-1} \circ D_s \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)), W_\cdot^i \right]_t \\
&= \int_0^t \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)} \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) + P_{V_{\varphi_t}}(\mathbf{R}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t P_{H_{\varphi_s}} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)}^*(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s))) + 2\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_s}(\tau_{0,s} Q_s(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_s)) \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the Stratonovich's SDE (5.8) is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_t Q_t(U) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) dW_t^i + \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), Z_0(\varphi_t)) dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)} \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} P_{\mathcal{V}_{\varphi_t}}((\mathbf{R}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))) dt \\
(5.10) \quad &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_t}} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)}^*(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))) \right) dt + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t} Q_t(U), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to show that all these vector fields are Lipschitz. This comes from the fact that $\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi) = \nabla \phi_i(p(\varphi)) \circ \varphi$ where $\phi_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P})$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Indeed, let $A \circ \varphi \in \bar{H}_\varphi$, and let $\mathbf{A}_t = A_t \circ \varphi_t = \tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi)$ with $A_t \in \Gamma(M)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Using the fact that any projection is a contraction we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))|_\varphi &= |P_{\mathcal{V}_{\varphi_t}}(((\nabla \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))^T \cdot \mathbf{A}_t) \circ \varphi_t)|_\varphi \\
&\leq |((\nabla \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))^T \cdot \mathbf{A}_t) \circ \varphi_t|_{\varphi_t} \\
&\leq |\nabla \mathbf{Z}_i|_\infty \cdot |\tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi)|_{\varphi_t} \\
(5.11) \quad &\leq |\nabla \mathbf{Z}_i|_\infty \cdot |A \circ \varphi|_\varphi,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\nabla B|_\infty = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{x \in M} |\nabla_x B(\cdot, \mu)|_{\text{op}},$$

with $\nabla_x B(\cdot, \mu) : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M, v \mapsto \nabla_v B(x, \mu)$ for $B \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P}, TM)$. Moreover, we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)} \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t) = (\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)} \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) \circ \varphi_t + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \phi_i(p(\varphi_t)) \circ \varphi_t.$$

Since $\phi_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty,1}(M \times \mathcal{P})$ we have

$$\left| (\nabla (\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i))^T \right|_\infty < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla^2 \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \phi_i|_\infty < +\infty,$$

then

$$(5.12) \quad \left| \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi), \nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)} \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) \right|_\varphi \leq |\nabla ((\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i) + \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \phi_i)|_\infty \cdot |A \circ \varphi|_\varphi.$$

In the same way, for the fourth term of (5.10),

$$(5.13) \quad \left| \tau_{0,t}^{-1} P_{\mathcal{V}_{\varphi_t}} \left(\mathbf{R}(\tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)) \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t) \right) \right|_{\varphi} \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{x \in M} |R_x(\cdot, Z_i(\mu)) Z_i(\mu)|_{\text{op}} \cdot |A \circ \varphi|_{\varphi},$$

where R_x is the tensor R evaluated in $x \in M$. For the fifth term we have,

$$(5.14) \quad \left| \tau_{0,t}^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_t}} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t)}^* (\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))) \right) \right|_{\varphi} \leq |\nabla Z_i|_{\infty}^2 \cdot |A \circ \varphi|_{\varphi},$$

and finally for the sixth :

$$(5.15) \quad \left| \tau_{0,t}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t), \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\tau_{0,t}(A \circ \varphi), \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t))) \right|_{\varphi} \leq |\nabla Z_i|_{\infty}^2 \cdot |A \circ \varphi|_{\varphi}$$

Then, using stochastic Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem in Hilbert spaces, we get existence and uniqueness of the solution to both (5.8) and (5.9).

Let us show that for a horizontal initial condition, the horizontal transport is actually a horizontal process. Let $U \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}$ be a horizontal vector, let $\mathbf{U}_t = \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(U) = U_t \circ \varphi_t$ and $Y \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{Id}} \mathcal{D}$. We aim to show the following:

$$(5.16) \quad \langle \mathbf{U}_t, TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi_t} = 0.$$

Note that, by verticality of $TL_{\varphi}(Y)$, $\langle \mathbf{U}_0, TL_{\varphi}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi} = 0$. Moreover, one can show that $TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) = T\varphi_t(Y)$. we have:

$$\text{od} \langle \mathbf{U}_t, TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi_t} = \langle \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathbf{U}_t, \text{od}\varphi_t), TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi_t} + \langle \mathbf{U}_t, \text{od} \mathbf{D}_t TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi_t}.$$

On the one hand, it follows by symmetry of the Hessian:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathbf{U}_t, \text{od}\varphi_t), TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi_t} &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_M \langle (\nabla_{U_t} Z_i(\varphi_t))(\varphi_t(x)), T_x \varphi_t(Y(x)) \rangle_{\varphi_t(x)} \text{dvol} \circ dW_t^i \\ &\quad - \int_M \langle (\nabla_{U_t} Z_0(\varphi_t))(\varphi_t(x)), T_x \varphi_t(Y(x)) \rangle_{\varphi_t(x)} \text{dvol} \, dt \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_M \langle (\nabla_{T_x \varphi_t(Y(x))} Z_i(\varphi_t, \varphi_t(x))), U_t(\varphi_t(x)) \rangle_{\varphi_t(x)} \text{dvol} \circ dW_t^i \\ &\quad - \int_M \langle (\nabla_{T_x \varphi_t(Y(x))} Z_0(\varphi_t, \varphi_t(x))), U_t(\varphi_t(x)) \rangle_{\varphi_t(x)} \text{dvol} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the stochastic counterpart of the Schwarz's theorem (see e.g. [Elw88, §8A]) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{U}_t, \text{od} \mathbf{D}_t TL_{\varphi_t}(Y) \rangle_{\varphi_t} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_M \langle U_t(\varphi_t(x)), (\nabla_{T_x \varphi_t(Y(x))} Z_i(\varphi_t, \varphi_t(x))) \rangle_{\varphi_t(x)} \text{dvol} \circ dW_t^i \\ &\quad \int_M \langle U_t(\varphi_t(x)), (\nabla_{T_x \varphi_t(Y(x))} Z_0(\varphi_t, \varphi_t(x))) \rangle_{\varphi_t(x)} \text{dvol} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (5.16) follows. □

Remark 5.6. We were unable to establish an infinite-dimensional analogue of identities (2.17) and (2.18). Nevertheless, the diffeomorphism group enjoys structural properties sufficiently strong to guarantee that the horizontal transport associated with a horizontal initial condition remains horizontal along the evolution. To the best of our knowledge, deriving counterparts of (2.17) and (2.18) in this infinite-dimensional setting appears to be a nontrivial task.

The following proposition is the infinite-dimensional analogous of Proposition 2.13.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ be the unique solution to*

$$(5.17) \quad \text{od} \mathbf{D}_t \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{N}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi), \text{od}\Phi_t(\varphi)), \quad \mathcal{T}_{0,0}^h(A \circ \varphi) = A \circ \varphi, \quad \forall A \circ \varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D},$$

along $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_{t \geq 0}$ given by Proposition 5.2. Then, $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is G -right invariant, namely:

$$(5.18) \quad TR_g(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)) = \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi \cdot g, A \circ (\varphi \cdot g)), \quad \forall A \circ \varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}, \forall g \in G.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $A \circ \varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D}$. First, notice that by Lemma A.10 we have:

$$(5.19) \quad \circ \mathbf{D}_t TR_g(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)) = TR_g(\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)).$$

Then, since

$$\circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{N}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi), \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi)),$$

and since, for all horizontal vector field $(\mathbf{U}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ along $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_{t \geq 0}$ we have:

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} TR_g(\mathcal{N}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\mathbf{U}_t, \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi))) &= -TR_g\left(P_{\mathcal{V}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{U}_t} \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi))\right) \\ &= -P_{\mathcal{V}_{\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)}}(TR_g(\nabla_{\mathbf{U}_t} \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi))) \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} &= -P_{\mathcal{V}_{\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)}}(\nabla_{TR_g(\mathbf{U}_t)} TR_g(\circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi))) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)}(TR_g(\mathbf{U}_t), \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)) \end{aligned}$$

where (5.20) is due to the equivariance of $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and to the fact that $TR_g(P_{\mathcal{V}_\psi}) = P_{\mathcal{V}_{\psi \cdot g}}(TR_g)$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ (see Proposition 3.9) and (5.21) is due to Lemma A.9. Since $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi))_{t \geq 0}$ is horizontal by Proposition 5.2, we obtained that:

$$(5.22) \quad \circ \mathbf{D}_t TR_g(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)) = \mathcal{N}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(TR_g(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)), \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)).$$

The conclusion follows by uniqueness of the solution to (5.17) (see Proposition 5.2). \square

Now we can prove the main theorem of this section (the infinite-dimensional analogous of Theorem 2.7), namely, the existence and uniqueness of the stochastic parallel transport along a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_∞ .

Theorem 5.4. *Let $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solution to (5.4) and $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$, namely the unique solution to (5.5). Let $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, \cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to*

$$(5.23) \quad \circ \mathbf{D}_t \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, U) = \mathcal{N}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, U), \circ \mathbf{d}\Phi_t(\varphi)), \quad \mathcal{T}_{0,0}^h(U) = U, \quad U \in \bar{\mathcal{H}}_\varphi \mathcal{D},$$

along $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_{t \geq 0}$ given by Proposition 5.2. Then, the process $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$(5.24) \quad \mathcal{T}_{0,t}(v) = T_{\varphi_t} p(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, \mathbf{h}_\varphi(v))), \quad v \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$$

does not depend on the element of the fiber φ and is the parallel transport along $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Proof. Let $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_t$ be solution to (5.5) with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. To prove that the quantity defined by (5.24) is well defined, notice that, by Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi \cdot g, A \circ (\varphi \cdot g)) = TR_g(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)).$$

In particular, since $\mathbf{h}_{\varphi \cdot g}(v) = \mathbf{h}_\varphi(v) \circ g$, we have

$$T_{\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)} p(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi \cdot g, \mathbf{h}_{\varphi \cdot g}(v))) = T_{\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g)} p(TR_g(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, \mathbf{h}_\varphi(v)))) = T_{\Phi_t(\varphi)} p(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, \mathbf{h}_\varphi(v))).$$

In particular, the process $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}(v))_{t \geq 0}$ defined by (5.24) does not depend on the element of the fiber $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$ we chose. The stochastic parallel transport property of $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ follows directly from its construction. \square

Remark 5.7 (On the smoothness of the stochastic parallel transport). Even when the diffusion considered lies in \mathcal{P}_∞ and the initial vector belongs to $T_\mu \mathcal{P}$, our proof does not guarantee that the stochastic parallel transport remains in $T\mathcal{P}$, it only ensures that it lies in $\bar{T}\mathcal{P}$. This kind of property seems to be strongly dependent of the geometry of the underlying manifold and of the driving vector fields. For example, in the Euclidean setting, this kind of smooth parallel transport of constant vector fields is straightforward and has been carried out in the deterministic framework in [AG08, Example 5.17]. The stochastic counterpart can be treated in exactly the same manner.

Remark 5.8 (Parallel transport along flows of purely atomic measures). In [Gig11, Example 4.16], the author observes that the limit of a (deterministic) parallel transport may fail to be a parallel transport. This is essentially due to the fact that, for a purely atomic measure ν , the tangent space takes the form

$$(5.25) \quad \bar{T}_\nu \mathcal{P} = L^2(M, TM, \nu).$$

More precisely, let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a smooth path in \mathcal{D} , $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $(\mu^n)_n$ a sequence of purely atomic measures converging to μ . Let also $\mu_t := (\varphi_t)_\# \mu$ and $\mu_t^n := (\varphi_t)_\# \mu^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. For all $v \in \bar{T}_\mu \mathcal{P}$, we can always

find a sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $v_n \in \bar{T}_{\mu_n} \mathcal{P}$ for all $n \geq 0$ strongly converging (see [Gig11, Definition 1.8]) to v . Because of (5.25), it is easy to see that the parallel transport of v_n along $(\mu_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ is given by $\mathcal{T}_{0,t}(v_n) = \parallel_{0,t} v_n \circ \varphi_t^{-1}$, where $\parallel_{0,t}$ is the parallel transport along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Consequently, when letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$, it can be easily seen that $\parallel_{0,t}(v_n)$ strongly converges to $\parallel_{0,t}(v)$ but not to $\mathcal{T}_{0,t}(v)$. The same result is also true in the stochastic case.

Equivariant lift of the stochastic parallel transport. We finish this section by stating the infinite-dimensional equivalent of Proposition 2.14. The *Lie algebra* \mathfrak{g} of G is defined to be

$$(5.26) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{V}_{\text{id}} \mathcal{D}.$$

We will also consider the *extended Lie algebra*, namely, $\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\text{id}} \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 5.4. Let $g \in G$, the *adjoint action* of g is the map $\text{Ad}(g) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the differential of the inner automorphism $\varphi \mapsto g \cdot \varphi \cdot g^{-1}$ in $\varphi = \text{id}$. We also denote $\text{Ad}(g)$ the unique linear extension $\text{Ad}(g) : \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Let ϖ denote the connection form on \mathcal{D} , namely, $\varpi(A \circ \varphi) = TL_{\varphi^{-1}}(P_{\mathcal{V}_{\varphi}}(A \circ \varphi))$ for all $A \circ \varphi \in T_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}$. One can easily check that

$$(5.27) \quad \varpi(TR_g(A \circ \varphi)) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\varpi(A \circ \varphi).$$

As in the finite-dimensional case (see Remark 2.10), $Tp|_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \bar{T}\mathcal{P}_{\infty}$ can be seen as a G -principal bundle where the action of G on $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}$ is given by the differential of the right multiplication TR_g for $g \in G$. In particular, the result of Proposition 5.3 can be interpreted as follows: the process $(\mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant on the principal bundle $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}$. Note that we can also consider the group $G \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and its action on $\bar{T}\mathcal{D}$ (the infinite-dimensional equivalent of (2.39)) given by

$$(5.28) \quad (\varphi, A \circ \varphi) \cdot (g, \mathcal{Y}) = (\varphi \cdot g, A \circ (\varphi \cdot g) + TR_g TL_{\varphi}(\text{Ad}_g(\mathcal{Y}))),$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}$, $g \in G$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. This action provides the tangent bundle $\bar{T}\mathcal{D}$ with a natural principal bundle structure above $\bar{T}\mathcal{P}_{\infty}$. We can, as in Proposition 2.14, construct a lift of the horizontal transport which is equivariant on the principal bundle $(\bar{T}\mathcal{D}, G \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Proposition 5.5 (Equivariant lift of the stochastic parallel transport). *Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the horizontal lift of a \mathcal{P}_{∞} -valued diffusion $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$. For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu_0}$ we define $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(\varphi, \cdot)$ to be the stochastic flow of linear maps $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(\varphi, \cdot) : \bar{T}_{\Phi_0(\varphi)} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \bar{T}_{\Phi_t(\varphi)} \mathcal{D}$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(u, Z \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{T}_{0,t}^h(\varphi, Z \circ \varphi) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(\varphi, Y \circ \varphi) = TL_{\Phi_t(\varphi)}(\varpi(Y \circ \varphi)), & \forall Z \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}, \forall Y \circ \varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,0}(u, \cdot) = \text{id}_{\bar{T}_{\Phi_0(\varphi)} \mathcal{D}}. \end{cases}$$

This process is equivariant on $\bar{T}\mathcal{D}$ seen as a $G \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ principal bundle, namely,

$$(5.29) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(\varphi, A \circ \varphi) \cdot (g, \mathcal{Y}) = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}((\varphi, A \circ \varphi) \cdot (g, \mathcal{Y})), \quad \forall A \circ \varphi \in \bar{T}_{\varphi} \mathcal{D}, \forall (g, \mathcal{Y}) \in G \rtimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Moreover, $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a lift of $(\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t})_{t \geq 0}$, i.e. for any $Z \in \bar{T}_{\mu_0} \mathcal{P}$:

$$(5.30) \quad Tp(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(\varphi, A \circ \varphi)) = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{0,t}(Z), \quad \forall A \circ \varphi \in Tp^{-1}(Z).$$

Proof. The proof can be performed in the exact same way as in the finite-dimensional case, see the proof of Proposition 2.14. \square

6. DECOMPOSITION OF EQUIVARIANT DIFFUSIONS ON \mathcal{D}

In this section we consider equivariant diffusions on \mathcal{D} , namely diffusions $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the following property:

$$\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g) = \Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, \forall g \in G, \forall t \geq 0$$

where \cdot denotes the composition of diffeomorphisms. These diffusions are quite interesting since they project in a good way on \mathcal{P}_{∞} . For example, let us consider

$$\circ d\Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\Phi_t) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\Phi_t) dt, \quad \Phi_0 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$$

where $\mathbf{A}_i \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ is assumed to be right-invariant for the action of G such that $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{Z}_i + \mathbf{Y}_i \in H\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{V}\mathcal{D}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Hence, letting $(M_t)_{t \geq 0} = (p(\Phi_t))_{t \geq 0}$, one can check that:

$$\circ \bar{d}M_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(M_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(M_t)dt, \quad M_0 = id_{\mathcal{D}}$$

where $\bar{Z}_i = Tp(\mathbf{Z}_i)$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. In particular, the projected equation is driven by autonomous vector fields, thus, the projected process $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a diffusion. Conversely, a horizontal lift of a \mathcal{P}_{∞} -valued diffusion $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant diffusion on \mathcal{D} , see Proposition 4.6.

A diffusion $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be *horizontal* (resp. *vertical*) if $\circ d\Phi_t$ is horizontal (resp. vertical) for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, if $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is vertical, it lies in the same fiber as the initial condition. Note that the choice to write the considered SDE in Stratonovich's form is not insignificant since a Stratonovich's derivative of a process holds, at least formally, in the tangent space, which is not the case of the Itô's derivative because of the Hessian term.

Since \mathcal{D} has a structure of G -principal bundle over \mathcal{P}_{∞} , a path $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ writes in a unique way as

$$(6.1) \quad \varphi_t = h_t \cdot g_t,$$

where $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the horizontal lift of the projection $(p(\varphi_t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a path in G with $g_0 = id$.

We show that, in the case of an equivariant diffusion $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the decomposition (6.1) enjoys good properties. More precisely, the process $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant horizontal diffusion and the horizontal lift of a diffusion on \mathcal{P}_{∞} and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the right exponential of a stochastic process in the Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . We prove this result for $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ being solution to an ODE on \mathcal{D} , the proof being exactly the same when one comes to consider Stratonovich's SDEs. In the beginning of this section we will restrict ourselves to the case where \mathcal{D} is a principal bundle over \mathcal{P}_{∞} . In particular, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ we denote by $\iota_{\varphi} : G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ the left multiplication $\iota_{\varphi}(g) = \varphi \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$. There also exist a connection form (given by $\varpi(A \circ \varphi) = TL_{\varphi^{-1}}(P_{\mathcal{V}_{\varphi}}(A \circ \varphi))$ for $A \circ \varphi \in T_{\varphi}\mathcal{D}$). Recall that, in this case, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $g \in G$ and \mathbf{Y} right invariant vector fields we have

$$\varpi(TR_g(Y \circ \varphi)) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})(\varpi(Y \circ \varphi)),$$

where Ad is defined in Definition 5.4.

The choice to see \mathcal{D} merely as a principal bundle over \mathcal{P}_{∞} emphasize the fact that these results are not specific to the group of diffeomorphisms over \mathcal{P}_{∞} setting, but can be readily extended to any setting where a structure of principal bundle is involved.

At the end of the section, we will come back to the case of \mathcal{D} seen as a Riemannian submersion onto \mathcal{P}_{∞} to study a specific case of Itô's equivariant equations. As in the previous section, the finite-dimensional case is treated in Subsections 2.3 and 2.4.

The following proposition is the infinite-dimensional equivalent of Proposition 2.9.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma^1(\mathcal{D})$ with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Y} \in H\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{V}\mathcal{D}$ be a right invariant vector field for the action of G on \mathcal{D} . Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the flow of maps solution to*

$$(6.2) \quad \dot{\Phi}_t(\varphi) = \mathbf{A}(\Phi_t(\varphi)), \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D},$$

with Φ_0 an equivariant map and let $M_t = p(\Phi_t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then, $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following autonomous equation

$$(6.3) \quad \dot{M}_t(\mu) = \bar{Z}(M_t(\mu)), \quad M_0(\mu) = p(\Phi_0(\varphi)), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu},$$

with $\bar{Z} = Tp(\mathbf{Z})$. Moreover, the flow $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant and the decomposition (6.1) takes the form $\Phi_t = h_t \cdot g_t$, where $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the horizontal lift of $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is vertical such that

$$(6.4) \quad \dot{h}_t(\varphi) = \mathfrak{h}_{h_t(\varphi)}(\dot{M}_t(p(\varphi))) = \mathbf{Z}(h_t(\varphi)), \quad h_0(\varphi) = \Phi_0(\varphi)$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad \dot{g}_t(\varphi) = TR_{g_t(\varphi)}\varpi(\mathbf{Y}(h_t(\varphi))), \quad g_0(\varphi) = id.$$

In particular, $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a horizontal equivariant autonomous flow and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies $g_t(\varphi \cdot g) = g^{-1}g_t(\varphi) \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$ and is a right exponential of a path in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , namely:

$$(6.6) \quad g_t(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}^R \left(\int_0^t \varpi(\mathbf{Y}(h_s(\varphi)))ds \right)_t.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. Since \mathbf{A} is right invariant, this is also the case for \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{Y} . In particular, $\mathbf{Z}(\psi)$ writes as $\mathbf{Z}(p(\psi)) \circ \psi$. Then, since $\nabla \mathbf{F}_f(\varphi) = \nabla f \circ \varphi$, we obtain by orthogonality:

$$\begin{aligned} F_f(M_t(\mu)) - F_f(\mu) &= \int_0^t \langle \nabla \mathbf{F}_f(\Phi_t(\varphi)), \mathbf{A}(\Phi_t(\varphi)) \rangle_{\Phi_t(\varphi)} \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \nabla \mathbf{F}_f(\Phi_t(\varphi)), \mathbf{Z}(\Phi_t(\varphi)) \rangle_{\Phi_t(\varphi)} \\ &= \int_0^t \int_M \langle \nabla f \circ \Phi_s(\varphi), \mathbf{Z}(M_s(p(\varphi)) \circ \Phi_s(\varphi)) \rangle d\text{vol } ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_M \langle \nabla f(x), \mathbf{Z}(M_s(p(\varphi)))(x) \rangle dM_s(p(\varphi)) ds \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the following autonomous equation:

$$\dot{M}_t(p(\varphi)) = \bar{Z}(M_t(p(\varphi))), \quad M_0(p(\varphi)) = p(\varphi).$$

To see that $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant, note that, by G -right invariance of \mathbf{A} , we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g) = TR_g(\dot{\Phi}_t(\varphi)) = \mathbf{A}(\Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g).$$

By uniqueness of the solution to (6.2), we obtain that $\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g) = \Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g$.

Let $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the unique solution to

$$\dot{h}_t(\varphi) = \mathfrak{h}_{h_t(\varphi)}(\dot{M}_t(p(\varphi))) = \mathbf{Z}(h_t(\varphi)), \quad h_0(\varphi) = \Phi_0(\varphi).$$

Since $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is autonomous, it is clear that $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant autonomous horizontal flow. Moreover, since Φ_t and h_t are on the same fibers and that G acts freely and transitively on the fibers, there exists a stochastic process $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that

$$\Phi_t = h_t \cdot g_t.$$

Observe that $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant as a horizontal lift of a flow on \mathcal{P}_∞ (see Proposition 4.6). Then, we have

$$\Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g) = h_t(\varphi \cdot g) \cdot g_t(\varphi \cdot g) \text{ and } \Phi_t(\varphi \cdot g) = \Phi_t(\varphi) \cdot g = h_t(\varphi) \cdot g_t(\varphi) \cdot g.$$

Hence, since G acts freely on the fibers of \mathcal{D} we get $g_t(\varphi \cdot g) = g^{-1} \cdot g_t(\varphi) \cdot g$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since \mathbf{A} is right invariant for the action of G , it is also the case for its horizontal and vertical parts. Taking the time derivative yields

$$\dot{\Phi}_t = TR_{g_t}(\dot{h}_t) + T\iota_{h_t}(\dot{g}_t).$$

Identifying the horizontal and vertical parts we obtain that $TR_{g_t}(\dot{h}_t) = \mathbf{Z}(\Phi_t)$ and

$$T\iota_{h_t}\dot{g}_t = \mathbf{Y}(\Phi_t) = T\iota_{\Phi_t}\varpi(\mathbf{Y}(\Phi_t)).$$

Using the properties of the connection form, this yields:

$$T\iota_{h_t}\dot{g}_t = T\iota_{h_t \cdot g_t}\varpi(TR_{g_t}(\mathbf{Y}(h_t))) = T\iota_{h_t}TL_{g_t}\text{Ad}(g_t^{-1})\varpi(\mathbf{Y}(h_t)) = T\iota_{h_t}TR_{g_t}\varpi(\mathbf{Y}(h_t)).$$

Formula (6.6) is a direct consequence of (6.5). □

Following the exact same arguments in the case of Stratonovich's SDEs we get the following proposition, which is the infinite-dimensional equivalent of Proposition 2.10.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_N \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$ be right invariant vector fields with $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{Z}_i + \mathbf{Y}_i \in \mathcal{H}\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{V}\mathcal{D}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the diffusion on \mathcal{D} solution to*

$$\circ d\Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{A}_i(\Phi_t) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{A}_0(\Phi_t)dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D}$$

with Φ_0 an equivariant map and let $M_t = p(\Phi_t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then, $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a diffusion solution to the following Stratonovich's SDE

$$(6.7) \quad \circ \bar{d}M_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(M_t) \circ dW_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(M_t)dt, \quad M_0(\mu) = p(\Phi_0(\varphi)), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu$$

Moreover, the stochastic flow $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant and the decomposition (6.1) takes the form $\Phi_t = h_t \cdot g_t$ where $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the horizontal lift of $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a vertical process such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$:

$$(6.8) \quad \circ d h_t(\varphi) = \mathfrak{h}_{h_t(\varphi)}(\circ d M_t(p(\varphi))) = \mathbf{Z}(h_t(\varphi)), \quad h_0(\varphi) = \Phi_0(\varphi),$$

and

$$(6.9) \quad \circ d g_t(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^N T R_{g_t(\varphi)} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t(\varphi))) \circ d W_t^i + T R_{g_t(\varphi)} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_0(h_t(\varphi))) dt, \quad g_0(\varphi) = \text{id}.$$

In particular, $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a horizontal equivariant diffusion and $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies $g_t(\varphi \cdot g) = g^{-1} g_t(\varphi) \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$ and is a right stochastic exponential of a diffusion in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , namely:

$$(6.10) \quad g_t(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}^R \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \varpi \mathbf{Y}_i(h_s(\varphi)) \circ d W_s^i + \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_0(h_s(\varphi))) ds \right)_t.$$

We now return to the case where \mathcal{D} is endowed with the Riemannian structure we already presented in Section 4. Each fiber is seen as a submanifold of \mathcal{D} fitted with the induced Riemannian structure. The following propositions are respectively the infinite-dimensional analogues of Proposition 2.15 and Proposition 2.16.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on \mathcal{D} such that*

$$(6.11) \quad d^\nabla \Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\Phi_t) d W_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\Phi_t) dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_i \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathcal{D})$ is a horizontal right invariant vector field for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ with Φ_0 an equivariant map and let $(M_t)_{t \geq 0} = (p(\Phi_t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then, $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a diffusion solution to the following Itô's SDE

$$(6.12) \quad \bar{d}^\nabla M_t(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{Z}_i(M_t(\mu)) d W_t^i + \bar{Z}_0(M_t(\mu)) dt, \quad M_0(\mu) = p(\Phi_0(\varphi)), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\mu,$$

where $\bar{Z}_i = T p(\mathbf{Z}_i)$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Moreover, the stochastic flow $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is equivariant and $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is horizontal. In particular, decomposition (2.19) writes $\Phi_t = h_t$.

Proof. By using the Itô-Stratonovich equivalence on \mathcal{D} (Proposition 4.1), we have:

$$(6.13) \quad \circ d \Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\Phi_t) \circ d W_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\Phi_t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i(\Phi_t) dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Since \mathbf{Z}_i is G -right invariant for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, it is also the case for $\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i$ by Proposition 3.14. Thus, we can apply Proposition 6.2. Since $\varpi(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}) = \{0\}$ and $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Z}_i} \mathbf{Z}_i) = \mathcal{N}_{\varphi}(\mathbf{Z}_i, \mathbf{Z}_i) = 0$ the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.11. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion on \mathcal{D} such that*

$$(6.14) \quad d^\nabla \Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Y}_i(\Phi_t) d W_t^i + \mathbf{Y}_0(\Phi_t) dt, \quad \Phi_0(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D},$$

where Φ_0 is an equivariant map and $\mathbf{Y}_i \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{V}}^2(\mathcal{D})$ is a vertical right invariant vector field for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Then, $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an equivariant diffusion. Moreover, the decomposition (6.1) is given by a finite variation process $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$ solution to:

$$(6.15) \quad d h_t = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}^{h_t \cdot G}(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i)(h_t) dt, \quad h_0(\varphi) = \Phi_0(\varphi)$$

and

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}^{\nabla^G} g_t &= \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) \circ dW_t^i + TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_0(h_t)) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(TR_{g_t} \left(\nabla_{\varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t))}^G \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) - \varpi \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^{G \cdot h_t} \mathbf{Y}_i(h_t) \right) \right) \right) dt, \quad g_0(\varphi) = \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the Itô-Stratonovich equivalence on \mathcal{D} (Proposition 4.1), it follows that

$$\circ d\Phi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Y}_i(\Phi_t) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{Y}_0(\Phi_t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i} \mathbf{Y}_i(\Phi_t) dt.$$

Since for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, \mathbf{Y}_i is right invariant, this is also the case for $\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i} \mathbf{Y}_i$ and $\mathbb{I}^G(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i)$ by Proposition 3.14. Thus, we can apply Proposition 6.2. Using the fact that

$$P_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi_t}}(\circ d\Phi_t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}^{h_t \cdot G}(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i)(\Phi_t) dt = TR_{g_t}(\circ d_t h_t),$$

we deduce the equation for $(h_t)_{t \geq 0}$. The equation of $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \circ dg_t &= \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) \circ dW_t^i + TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_0(h_t)) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \left(\varpi \left(P_{\mathcal{Y}_{g_t}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i} \mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) \circ dW_t^i + TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_0(h_t)) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \left(\varpi \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^{G \cdot h_t} \mathbf{Y}_i(h_t) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô-Stratonovich conversion on G (see Remark 4.2), we obtain that the above Stratonovich's SDE is equivalent to the following Itô's one:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}^{\nabla^G} g_t &= \sum_{i=1}^N TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) \circ dW_t^i + TR_{g_t} \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_0(h_t)) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\nabla_{TR \cdot \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t))}^G TR \cdot \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t))(g_t) - TR_{g_t} \left(\varpi \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^{G \cdot h_t} \mathbf{Y}_i(h_t) \right) \right) \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by applying Proposition [3.14, (1)] to ∇^G . □

Remark 6.1. Note that Proposition 6.4 is slightly different from Proposition 2.16 since $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is presented as a solution of a Itô's SDE rather than a Stratonovich's one. Actually, this is due to the fact that G is both the group acting on \mathcal{D} and the fiber \mathcal{D}_{vol} . Consequently, we can endow G with a canonical Riemannian structure, which is the one inherited of \mathcal{D} . In particular, the term

$$\left(\nabla_{\varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t))}^G \varpi(\mathbf{Y}_i(h_t)) - \varpi \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^{G \cdot h_t} \mathbf{Y}_i(h_t) \right) \right),$$

in (6.16) can be interpreted as a difference of volume between the fibers \mathcal{D}_{vol} and $\mathcal{D}_{p(h_t)}$.

Remark 6.2. As in the finite dimensional case, the process $(\Phi_t)_t$ is vertical if the second fundamental form vanishes. This is the case if and only if the fibers are totally geodesic (see Remark 2.11), which is not the case in general. It is due to the fact that the Riemannian exponential depends on the geometry of the variety induced by the metric and is not, in general, the flow of the considered vector field. In [EM70], the authors show that geodesic in fibers \mathcal{D}_μ are solutions to incompressible Euler equations. The questions of regularity and existence of solutions for all time $t \geq 0$ to these equations for general manifolds are still open.

Remark 6.3. Such decompositions could also be interesting in the context of stochastic partial differential equations since, as said in [EM70], the group G is the appropriate configuration space for the hydrodynamics of an incompressible fluid, while SDEs with values on \mathcal{D}_∞ give rise to mass-preserving SPDEs by considering the associated densities.

APPENDIX A. TECHNICAL RESULTS

A.1. Hodge decomposition.

Proposition A.1. *Let $(M, \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle)$ be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. Then, the exterior derivative d admits a unique adjoint d_μ^* in $L^2(M, T^*M, \mu)$. Moreover, any differential form $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ with $k \geq 1$ decomposes as*

$$(A.1) \quad \alpha = d\omega_1 + d_\mu^* \omega_2 + \omega_3,$$

where $\omega_1 \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ and $\omega_3 \in \Omega^k(M)$ is a harmonic form.

Proof. Let $\rho = d\mu/d\text{vol}$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\xi^{2+m} = \rho$. The volume measure of the Riemannian manifold $(M, \xi^2 \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle)$ is given by $\xi^m d\text{vol}$. Consequently, d_μ^* can be constructed in the usual way as the adjoint of d on the Riemannian manifold $(M, \xi^2 \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle)$. In particular, the Hodge decomposition on a Riemannian manifold (see [Pet16, Theorem 9.1.2]) gives us (A.1). \square

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ and $\sharp : \Lambda^k T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^k TM$ the musical isomorphism, we define the operator $\text{div}_\mu : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ for $k \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\sharp(d_\mu^* \alpha) = \text{div}_\mu(\sharp \alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$$

In particular, one can check that for $A \in \Gamma(M)$, div_μ satisfies:

$$\text{div}_\mu(A) = \text{div}(A) + \langle \nabla \log \rho, A \rangle.$$

Corollary A.2. *Let $A \in \Gamma(M)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$. Then, A decomposes in a unique way as*

$$(A.2) \quad A = \nabla \phi + Y,$$

where $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(M)$ with $\text{div}_\mu(Y) = 0$.

Proof. Let $A \in \Gamma(M)$, by applying (A.1) to $\sharp^{-1}(A)$ we obtain:

$$\sharp^{-1}(A) = df + d_\mu^* \omega_2 + \omega_3,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $\omega_2 \in \Omega^2(M)$ and $\omega_3 \in \Omega_1(M)$ is an harmonic form. Hence,

$$A = \nabla f + \text{div}_\mu(\sharp \omega_2) + \sharp \omega_3.$$

Since the space of harmonic forms is included in $\ker(d_\mu^*)$ (see [Pet16, Lemma 9.1.1]) and $(d_\mu^*)^2 = 0$, the vector field $Y = \text{div}_\mu(\sharp \omega_2) + \sharp \omega_3$ satisfies $\text{div}_\mu(Y) = 0$. \square

A.2. Smoothness of the evaluation map. We recall that we consider \mathcal{D} as the following Inverse Limit Hilbert Lie group:

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{s > m/2} \mathcal{D}^s,$$

and that, for all $s > m/2$, the tangent space is given by:

$$T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s = \{A \circ \varphi : A \in \Gamma(M), A \circ \varphi \in H^s(M, TM)\}.$$

In particular, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_\varphi : T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s &\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^s \\ A \circ \varphi &\longmapsto \exp_\varphi(A \circ \varphi) = \exp.(A(\cdot)) \circ \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

defines a chart on some neighbourhood of the zero vector in $T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s$. Let us define the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_{x_0} : \mathcal{D}^s &\longrightarrow M \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \varphi(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

for $s > m/2$.

We have the following property:

Proposition A.3. *Let $x_0 \in M$. The map $E_{x_0} : \mathcal{D}^s \rightarrow M$ is of class C^∞ for all $s > m/2$. In particular, $E_{x_0} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow M$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathcal{D}, M)$.*

Proof. Let $s > m/2$, $x_0 \in M$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s$. Let $(\mathcal{U}_0, \mathbf{exp}_\varphi^{-1})$ be a chart of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_0 = \mathbf{exp}_\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_0)$ and (\mathcal{U}_1, θ) be a chart of $x_0 \in M$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 = \theta(\mathcal{U}_1)$. The induced map

$$\tilde{E}_{x_0} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1,$$

defined to make the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_0 & \xrightarrow{E_{x_0}} & \mathcal{U}_1 \\ \mathbf{exp}_\varphi \uparrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_0 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{E}_{x_0}} & \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 \end{array}$$

writes

$$\tilde{E}_{x_0}(A \circ \varphi) = \theta \left(\mathbf{exp}_{\varphi(x_0)}(A(\varphi(x_0))) \right) = \theta \left(\mathbf{exp}_{\varphi(x_0)}(E'_{x_0}(A \circ \varphi)) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E'_{x_0} : T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s &\longrightarrow T_{\varphi(x_0)} M \\ A \circ \varphi &\longmapsto A(\varphi(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

The map E'_{x_0} is obviously linear and by Sobolev inclusion, we have:

$$|E'_{x_0}(A \circ \varphi)|_{\varphi(x_0)} = |A(\varphi(x_0))|_{\varphi(x_0)} \leq \sup_{x \in M} |A(\varphi(x))| \leq C|A \circ \varphi|_{H^s}.$$

Consequently, E'_{x_0} is smooth as a continuous linear map, thus, so is \tilde{E}_{x_0} as a composition of smooth maps. Hence, E_{x_0} is smooth. \square

A.3. Lemmas related to Section 4.

Lemma A.4. *Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a family of measurable maps from M to M . Then,*

$$(A.3) \quad W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu_s) \leq \int_M d_M(U_s(x), U_t(x))^2 d\mu(x),$$

where $\mu_t = (U_t)_\# \mu$.

Proposition A.5. *Let M be a compact submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^d . Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a tubular neighborhood $M_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : d(x, M) < \varepsilon\}$ such that the projection $P_\varepsilon : M_\varepsilon \rightarrow M$ which minimize the distance, i.e. $|P(x) - x| = \inf_{y \in M} |y - x|$ for all $x \in M_\varepsilon$, is smooth.*

Proof. This is a classical result in differential geometry, see for example [Lee97]. \square

Lemma A.6. *Let M be a closed Riemannian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^d . There exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$|x - y| \leq d_M(x, y) \leq \kappa |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in M.$$

Proof. The left inequality is clear. For the second one, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : d(x, M) < \varepsilon\}$ such that P_ε is smooth (see Proposition A.5). If $x, y \in M$ are such that $|x - y| \geq \varepsilon$, then the quantity

$$\kappa_1 := \sup \left\{ \frac{d_M(x, y)}{|x - y|} : |x - y| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

is finite by continuity and compactness. Suppose that $|x - y| < \varepsilon$. Let $\gamma_t(x, y) := (1 - t)x + ty$, it is clear that

$$d_M(x, y) \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{d}{dt} P_\varepsilon(\gamma_t(x, y)) \right| dt.$$

But,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} P_\varepsilon(\gamma_t(x, y)) \right| = |TP_{\varepsilon, \gamma_t(x, y)}(y - x)| \leq |TP_\varepsilon|_\infty |x - y|.$$

By denoting $\kappa_2 = |TP_\varepsilon|_\infty$ we obtain the inequality by choosing $\kappa = \max(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. \square

We include a proof of Lemma 4.14 in this appendix.

Lemma A.7 (= Lemma 4.14). *There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{n,l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} d_M^2(X_t^n(x), X_t^l(x)) \right]^{1/2} = 0.$$

Moreover, t_0 depends only on the vector fields \tilde{Z}_i and may be chosen independently of the initial condition (x, μ) .

Proof of Lemma 4.14. Let $n \geq 0$. Let X_t^n denote $X_t^n(x)$ and M_t^n denote $M_t^n(\mu)$. Using Itô's formula and inequalities (4.14), (4.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 &= 2\langle d(X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n), X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n \rangle + |d(X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n)|^2 \\ &\leq K(|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 + W_2^2(M_t^n, M_t^{n-1})) dt + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle \tilde{Z}_i(X_t^{n+1}, M_t^n) - \tilde{Z}_i(X_t^n, M_t^{n-1}), X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n \right\rangle dW_t^i. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (4.15) and the fact that $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \left| \int_0^t 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^{n+1}, M_s^n) - \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^n, M_s^{n-1}), X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n \right\rangle dW_s^i \right| \right] \\ &\leq c_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^{t_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\langle \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^{n+1}, M_s^n) - \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^n, M_s^{n-1}), X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n \right\rangle^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq c_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^{t_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^{n+1}, M_s^n) - \tilde{Z}_i(X_s^n, M_s^{n-1}) \right|^2 |X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 \int_0^{t_0} c_1 K (|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n|^2 + W_2^2(M_s^n, M_s^{n-1})) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ (A.4) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 \right] + \frac{c_1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_0} K (|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n|^2 + W_2^2(M_s^n, M_s^{n-1})) ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is the constant in the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality. Thus, using Lemmas A.4 and A.6 there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that:

$$W_2^2(M_s^n, M_s^{n-1}) \leq \int_M d_M^2(X_s^n(x), X_s^{n-1}(x)) d\mu(x) \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_s^n(x) - X_s^{n-1}(x)|^2 d\mu(x).$$

Hence, by implementing this inequality in (A.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 \right] &\leq c_2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_0} K \left(|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n|^2 + \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}|^2 d\mu \right) ds \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_0} c_2 K \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{r \in [0, s]} |X_r^{n+1} - X_r^n|^2 \right] ds + c_2 K \kappa \int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}|^2 d\mu \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2 = c_1 + 1$. By using Grönwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 \right] &\leq c_2 K \kappa e^{t_0 c_2 K} \int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}|^2 d\mu \right] ds \\ (A.5) \quad &\leq c(t_0) \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_t^n - X_t^{n-1}|^2 d\mu \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $c(t_0) = t_0 c_2 K \kappa e^{t_0 c_2 K}$. Notice that $c(t_0)$ depends only on t_0 because the constants in BDG inequality are independent of the martingales, is continuous in t_0 , is increasing and $c(0) = 0$. Note that

$$(A.6) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \mathbb{E} [|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 \right]$$

By implementing (A.6) in (A.5) and integrating with respect to μ , we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 d\mu \right] \leq c(t_0) \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_t^n - X_t^{n-1}|^2 d\mu \right].$$

Iterating this inequality n -times and implementing it in (A.5) leads us to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 \right] \leq c(t_0)^n \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_t^1 - x|^2 d\mu \right].$$

By choosing $t_0 > 0$ such that $c(t_0) < 1$ (we can always do it because of the properties of the function c mentioned above), we obtain that the series with general term

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 d\mu \right]^{1/2}$$

is convergent. The conclusion follows from Lemma A.6. \square

A.4. Lemmas related to Section 5. Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a diffusion solution to

$$(A.7) \quad \circ d\varphi_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_i(\varphi_t) \circ dW_t^i + \mathbf{Z}_0(\varphi_t) dt, \quad \varphi_0 = \varphi,$$

with $\mathbf{Z}_0, \mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_N \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$ horizontal vector fields. The two following lemmas are just the stochastic analogous of results that can be found in [Gig11, Section 5.3].

Lemma A.8. *Let $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semimartingale along $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma^2(\mathcal{D})$. Then, the following identity holds:*

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \circ D_t \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(U_t, \circ d\varphi_t) &= \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(U_t, \nabla_{\circ d\varphi_t} \circ d\varphi_t) + P_{\mathcal{V}_{\varphi_t}}(\mathbf{R}(U_t, \circ d\varphi_t) \circ d\varphi_t) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\nabla_{\circ d\varphi_t} U_t, \circ d\varphi_t) + P_{\mathcal{H}_{\varphi_t}}(\mathcal{O}_{\circ d\varphi_t}^*(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(U_t, \circ d\varphi_t))) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_t}(U_t, \circ d\varphi_t), \circ d\varphi_t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since we are computing quadratic variation, this result is a straightforward corollary of the deterministic version of this result, see [Gig11, Theorem 5.21]. \square

Lemma A.9. *Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, then*

$$(A.9) \quad TR_g(\nabla_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{A}) = \nabla_{TR_g(\mathbf{B})} TR_g(\mathbf{A}), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

In particular, if $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \Gamma(N)$ are G -right invariant vector fields, $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}$ is a G -right invariant vector field.

Lemma A.10. *Let $(\Phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an equivariant diffusion driven by G -right invariant vector fields on \mathcal{D} . Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(\mathbf{A}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semimartingale along $(\Phi_t(\varphi))_{t \geq 0}$. Then, we have the following identity:*

$$(A.10) \quad \circ D_t TR_g(\mathbf{A}_t) = TR_g(\circ D_t \mathbf{A}_t), \quad \forall g \in G,$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in Lemma 2.12. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABP19] J. Angst, I. Bailleul, and P. Perruchaud, *Kinetic brownian motion on the diffeomorphism group of a closed riemannian manifold*.
- [AG08] Luigi Ambrosio and Nicola Gigli, *Construction of the parallel transport in the Wasserstein space*, Methods and Applications of Analysis **15** (2008).
- [AGS08] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, 2. ed ed., Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, 2008, OCLC: 254181287.
- [BB00] Jean-David Benamou and Yann Brenier, *A computational fluid mechanics solution to the monge-kantorovich mass transfer problem*, Numerische Mathematik **84** (2000), no. 3, 375–393.
- [Bre91] Yann Brenier, *Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **44** (1991), 375–417.
- [CD18] René Carmona and François Delarue, *Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications ii mean field games with common noise and master equations*, Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling, Springer Nature, United States, 2018 (English (US)).
- [CEA08] Jeff Cheeger, David G Ebin, and American mathematical society, *Comparison theorems in riemannian geometry*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2008 (English (US)), Bibliogr. p. 149-156. Index.

- [DF21] Hao Ding and Shizan Fang, *Geometry on the wasserstein space over a compact riemannian manifold*, 2021.
- [DFdL23] Hao Ding, Shizan Fang, and Xiang dong Li, *Stochastic differential equations and stochastic parallel translations in the wasserstein space*, 2023.
- [Ebi68] David Ebin, *The manifold of riemannian metrics; in global analysis (proc. sympos. pure math. 15, berkeley, calif., 1968)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. (1968), 11–40.
- [ELL08] K.D. Elworthy, Y. LeJan, and Xue-Mei Li, *The geometry of filtering*, vol. 2010, 11 2008.
- [Elw82] K. D. Elworthy, *Stochastic differential equations on manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [Elw88] David Elworthy, *Geometric aspects of diffusions on manifolds*, École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XV–XVII, 1985–87 (Berlin, Heidelberg) (Paul-Louis Hennequin, ed.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1988, pp. 277–425.
- [EM70] David Ebin and Jerrold Marsden, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Annals of Mathematics **92** (1970).
- [Eme89] Michel Emery, *Stochastic calculus in manifolds*, Universitext, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [Fig10] Alessio Figalli, *Regularity of optimal transport maps [after Ma-Trudinger-Wang and Loeper]*, Séminaire Bourbaki : volume 2008/2009 exposés 997-1011 - Avec table par noms d’auteurs de 1848/49 à 2008/09, Astérisque, no. 332, Société mathématique de France, 2010, talk:1009, pp. 341–368 (en). MR 2648684
- [GGLS23] Paul Gassiat, Benjamin Gess, Pierre-Louis Lions, and Panagiotis Souganidis, *Long-time behavior of stochastic hamilton-jacobi equations*, Journal of Functional Analysis **286** (2023), 110269.
- [Gig11] N. Gigli, *Second order analysis on $(p_2(m), w_2)$* , Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [HDL86] M. Hakim-Dowek and D. Lépine, *L’exponentielle stochastique des groupes de lie*, Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg **20** (1986), 352–374 (fre).
- [Hsu02] E.P. Hsu, *Stochastic analysis on manifolds*, Contemporary Mathematics, American Mathematical Soc., 2002.
- [Ito63] Kioshy Ito, *The brownian motion and tensor fields on Riemannian manifolds*, 536–539.
- [Ito75] ———, *Stochastic parallel displacement*, Probabilistic methods in differential equations (1975), 1–7.
- [IW81] Nobuyuki Ikeda and Shinzo Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 24, North-Holland Publishing Co., 1981.
- [KDE04] Xue-Mei Li K. David Elworthy, Yves Le Jan, *Equivariant diffusions on principal bundles*.
- [KM08] Young-Heon Kim and Robert J. McCann, *Continuity, curvature, and the general covariance of optimal transportation*, J. Eur. Math. Soc (2008).
- [Kun81] Hiroshi Kunita, *Some extensions of Ito’s formula*, Séminaire de probabilités **15** (1981), 118–141 (en). MR 622557
- [Kun97] H. Kunita, *Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [Lee97] John M. Lee, *Riemannian manifolds, an introduction to curvature*, Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer New York, NY, 1997.
- [Loe06] Grégoire Loeper, *On the regularity of maps solutions of optimal transportation problems*, Acta Mathematica (2006).
- [Lot07] John Lott, *Some geometric calculations on wasserstein space*, 01 2007.
- [LV10] Grégoire Loeper and Cédric Villani, *Regularity of optimal transport in curved geometry: The nonfocal case*, Duke Math. J. (2010).
- [McC01] Robert McCann, *Polar factorization of maps on riemannian manifolds*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **11** (2001), 589–608.
- [Mos65] J. K. Moser, *On the volume elements on a manifold*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **120** (1965), 286–294.
- [MW05] Xi-Nan Ma and Xu-Jia Wang, *Regularity of potential functions of the optimal transportation problem*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **177** (2005), 151–183.
- [Nas56] John F. Nash, *The imbedding problem for riemannian manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics **63** (1956), 20–63.
- [Omo70] Hideki Omori, *On the group of diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold*, Proc. Symp. Pure Appl. Math., XV, Amer. Math. Soc, 1970, pp. 167–183.
- [O’N66] B. V. O’Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion.*, Michigan Mathematical Journal **13** (1966), 459–469.
- [Ott00] Felix Otto, *The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: The porous medium equation*, Comm Partial Differential Equations **26** (2000).
- [Pet16] Peter Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer charm, 2016.
- [Sch95] Günter Schwarz, *Hodge decomposition - a method for solving boundary value problems*, Lecture notes in mathematics, Springer New York, NY, 1995.
- [Son15] S.B. Sontz, *Principal bundles: The classical case*, Universitext, Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [Vil08] C. Villani, *Optimal transport: Old and new*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [Wan21] Feng-Yu Wang, *Image-dependent conditional McKean–Vlasov SDEs for measure-valued diffusion processes*, Journal of Evolution Equations **21** (2021).