

UNIPOTENT QUANTUM COORDINATE RING AND MINUSCULE PREFUNDAMENTAL REPRESENTATIONS: TWISTED CASE

IL-SEUNG JANG

ABSTRACT. We study the prefundamental modules $L_{s,a}^{\pm}$ over the Borel subalgebras of the twisted quantum loop algebras, which are introduced by Wang. A character formula for $L_{s,a}^{\pm}$ is obtained from that for the prefundamental modules over the untwisted quantum loop algebras by applying a character folding map. This allows us to realize minuscule prefundamental modules $L_{s,a}^{\pm}$ for types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ in terms of the unipotent quantum coordinate ring associated with the fundamental s -th coweight, where $s = 1$ for type $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $s = n$ for type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. This result is a continuation of the realization of (co)minuscule prefundamental modules established by earlier works [22, 23].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Affine root systems and Weyl groups	4
3. Quantum groups and dual canonical basis	6
4. Representation theory of Borel algebras	12
5. Character formula of prefundamental modules	16
6. Minuscule prefundamental modules	21
Appendix A. Dynkin diagrams of affine types with Kac labels	26
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with an index set I_{fin} of simple roots. Let $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma})$) be the (resp. twisted) quantum loop algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} , where σ is an automorphism of Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . Then the Borel subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^{\sigma})$) is the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma})$) generated by the Chevalley generators e_i and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$, where $I = I_{\text{fin}} \sqcup \{0\}$ (resp. $I = I_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma} \sqcup \{0\}$). Here I_{fin}^{σ} is the set of orbits of σ and we denote by \bar{i} the orbit of $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 17B67, 17B10, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. quantum affine algebras, unipotent quantum coordinate rings, prefundamental modules.

I-S Jang is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00277388).

In [19], Hernandez and Jimbo introduced the prefundamental modules over $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$, denoted by $L_{s,a}^\pm$ ($s \in I_{\text{fin}}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}(q)^\times$), in a category \mathcal{O} of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules containing the category of finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (of type 1), motivated by the existence of a limit of normalized q -characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules [17, 37]. Note that $L_{s,a}^\pm$ are infinite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules with the highest ℓ -weight $(\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}}$ given by

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - az)^{\pm 1} & \text{if } i = s, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It turns out that the category \mathcal{O} and the prefundamental modules $L_{s,a}^\pm$ play a crucial role in generalizing the Baxter’s relation [13] and studying the Bethe Ansatz equations [12], and the $Q\tilde{Q}$ -systems [14].

Since it follows from [18] that there exists a limit of normalized (twisted) q -characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules over $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$, one may expect analogues of $L_{s,a}^\pm$ over $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ following [19]. In [44], Wang introduced such $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ -modules, namely the prefundamental modules $L_{s,a}^\pm$ over $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ in a category \mathcal{O}^σ of $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ -modules, to prove the $Q\tilde{Q}$ -system for twisted quantum affine algebras conjectured by Frenkel and Hernandez [14].

In this paper, we realize the prefundamental modules $L_{s,a}^\pm$ over $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ for types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ in terms of the unipotent quantum coordinate ring associated to the fundamental s -th coweight, where $s = 1$ for type $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $s = n$ for type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, as a continuation of the realization of (co)minusculer prefundamental modules established by earlier works [22, 23].

Let us explain the motivation of this work as follows. Let λ_s be a weight in the dual Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}^* corresponding to the s -th fundamental (co)weight of the underlying simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} corresponding to I_{fin} or I_{fin}^σ (see (2.1)). Take $w_s \in W$ such that $t_{-\lambda_s} = w_s \tau_s \in \widehat{W}$ for an affine Dynkin diagram automorphism τ_s , where W (resp. \widehat{W}) is the (resp. extended) affine Weyl group and $t_{-\lambda_s}$ is the translation by $-\lambda_s$. Let $U_q^-(w_s)$ denote the unipotent quantum coordinate ring generated by the root vectors associated to a reduced expression of w_s .

For untwisted types, the (ordinary) character of $L_{s,a}^\pm$ is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm) = \prod_{\beta \in \check{\Delta}^+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{[\beta]_s}$$

where $\check{\Delta}^+$ is the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ and $[\beta]_s$ is the coefficient of the simple root α_s in β . This character formula was conjectured in [35] and proved in [31, 38]. In [23], we verify

$$\text{ch}(U_q^-(w_s)) = \prod_{\beta \in \check{\Delta}^+} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{[\beta]_s},$$

which was one of motivations to realize $L_{s,a}^\pm$ in terms of $U_q^-(w_s)$.

On the other hand, in [18], Hernandez established an isomorphism of Grothendieck rings of finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ - and $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$ -modules (of type 1) given by a (folding) map $\pi : e^{-\alpha_i} \mapsto e^{-\alpha_{\bar{i}}}$. In particular, the characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules over $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g})$ corresponds to those over $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$ under the isomorphism. From the viewpoint of [19], it

may be natural to ask whether this isomorphism can be extended to the categories \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}^σ sending the characters of prefundamental modules over $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ to those over $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$. This is done by Wang [44], where we also denote by π the isomorphism of Grothendieck rings of the categories \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}^σ .

By applying the map π to (1.1), we prove

$$(1.2) \quad \text{ch}(L_{\bar{s},a}^\pm) = \prod_{\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_{\bar{s}}})}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{\xi_s(\beta) [\beta]_s},$$

where $\xi_s(\beta)$ is given in (5.1), and $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_{\bar{s}}})$ is the set of positive roots associated to $t_{-\lambda_{\bar{s}}}$. Here $\overline{(\cdot)}$ denotes a projection from \mathfrak{h}^* onto \mathfrak{h}^* . Interestingly, it follows from the well-known properties of $t_{-\lambda_{\bar{s}}}$ that we also have

$$(1.3) \quad \text{ch}(U_q^-(w_{\bar{s}})) = \prod_{\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_{\bar{s}}})}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{\xi_s(\beta) [\beta]_s}.$$

By (1.2) and (1.3), this leads us to realize $L_{s,a}^\pm$ in terms of $U_q^-(w_s)$ following [22, 23]. Now the main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 6.5, Theorem 6.7). *For types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, there exist $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ -module structures on $U_q^-(w_s)$ isomorphic to $L_{s,\pm a\eta_s}^\pm$ for some $\eta_s \in \mathbb{C}(q)^\times$, where $s = 1$ for type $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, and $s = n$ for type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$.*

By combining Theorem 1.1 with [22, 23], we summarize

- (a) for all affine types, $U_q^-(w_s)$ has a natural module structure over the Borel subalgebra in terms of the q -derivations e'_i ([23, Lemma 4.9]),
- (b) for all affine types, we have $\text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm) = \text{ch}(U_q^-(w_s))$ (Theorem 5.4),
- (c) for any (co)minuscule node s , we have $U_q^-(w_s) \cong L_{s,a\eta_s^\pm}^\pm$ as a module over the Borel subalgebra, where the 0-action of $U_q^-(w_s)$ is replaced by the left or right multiplication of the maximal root vector as in (4.3) and (6.5).

It is worth noting that in [38], Neguț constructs $L_{s,a}^\pm$ for all affine types by using quantum shuffle algebra, and in [42], Qunell realizes (the dual of) $L_{s,a}^\pm$ in type $A_n^{(1)}$ by a 2-categorical approach. It may be worthwhile to compare the results in the present paper with the recent works.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some properties of $t_{-\lambda_s}$, which play crucial roles throughout the paper. In Section 3, we introduce and study the (dual) canonical basis of the negative half of the quantum group associated to (affine) Kac–Moody algebras [26, 33]. In Section 4, we review representation theory of the Borel subalgebras following [19, 44]. In Section 5, we prove that the character of $U_q^-(w_s)$ coincides with that of $L_{r,a}^\pm$ (Theorem 5.4). In Section 6, we prove that for types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, there exists $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$ -module structures on $U_q^-(w_s)$ isomorphic to $L_{s,a}^\pm$ up to shift of spectral parameter a , where $s = 1$ for type $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, and $s = n$ for type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ (Theorem 6.5, Theorem 6.7).

Acknowledgement. This work is partly motivated from a joint work with Travis Scrimshaw related to Kirillov–Reshetikhin crystals. The author is very grateful to Euiyong Park for helpful discussions and encouraging him in the preparation of this paper.

2. AFFINE ROOT SYSTEMS AND WEYL GROUPS

2.1. Affine root systems. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the affine Kac–Moody algebra associated with the generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ of type $X_N^{(r)}$, where $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. We use the numbering of the Dynkin diagrams as in [25], except in the case of type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 1$), where we reverse the numbering of the simple roots (see Table 2). Then the diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i \in I}$ is chosen such that $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and DA is symmetric with $\min\{d_i \mid i \in I\} = 1$. Then we assume that the following data are given.

- P^\vee is the dual weight lattice and $\{\Lambda_i^\vee \in P^\vee \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of fundamental coweights,
- $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \in P^\vee \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of simple coroots,
- $P = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(P^\vee) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the weight lattice and $\{\Lambda_i \in P \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of fundamental weights,
- $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of simple roots.

Set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P^\vee$, and \mathfrak{h}^* is the dual space of \mathfrak{h} . Let P_+ be the set of dominant integral weights, and let $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$ be the set of real roots, where Δ_+ (resp. Δ_-) is the set of positive (resp. negative) real roots. We denote by Δ_l (resp. Δ_s) the set of long (resp. short) roots. Let $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be the root lattice. Then we set $Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_+\alpha_i$, and $Q_- = -Q_+$. For $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} k_i \alpha_i \in Q$, the number $\text{ht}(\beta) = \sum_{i \in I} k_i$ is called the height of β .

Take a symmetric bilinear \mathbb{C} -valued form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{h}^* such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2d_i$ for $i \in I$. Note that

$$a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad (i, j \in I),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the pairing between \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* , and $\langle \alpha_i, h_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and $\langle \alpha_i, \Lambda_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j \in I$. We denote by $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ the \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{h}^* induced from (\cdot, \cdot) .

Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n be the Kac labels, where $a_0 = 1$ for all cases. We denote by a_i^\vee ($i \in I$) the dual Kac label with respect to A^t , where $a_0^\vee = 1$ unless A is of type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, in which case $a_0^\vee = 2$ (see Table 2, cf. [25, TABLE Aff, pages 54–55]). Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be an imaginary null root and $K \in \mathfrak{h}$ a central element given by

$$\delta = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad K = t \sum_{i \in I} a_i^\vee h_i,$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ is chosen to be $\nu(K) = \delta$. Note that the factor t is due to our choice of D (see [23, Remark 3.2] for more detail). Put $\theta = \delta - a_0 \alpha_0$. It is known in [25, Proposition 6.4] that θ is the unique long root of maximal height for untwisted affine types and $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 1$), while it is the unique short root of maximal height for other twisted affine types.

Set $I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $\bar{\lambda}$ denote the orthogonal projection of λ onto $\mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) , where \mathfrak{h}^* is the Cartan subalgebra of the underlying finite-dimensional

simple Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g} corresponding to $\mathring{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I_0}$. For $\lambda^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$, the dual notion $\overline{\lambda^\vee}$ is also defined similarly.

2.2. Affine Weyl groups. Let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , which is defined by the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by the simple reflection s_i given by $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, h_i \rangle \alpha_i$ for $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $R(w) = \{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \mid i_j \in I \text{ and } w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}\}$ be the set of reduced expressions of w , where ℓ is the length of w , denoted by $\ell(w)$. Denote by \mathring{W} the subgroup of W generated by s_1, \dots, s_n .

For $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the endomorphism t_β of \mathfrak{h}^* is defined by

$$t_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda + \langle \lambda, K \rangle \beta - \left((\lambda, \beta) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta, \beta) \langle \lambda, K \rangle \right) \delta,$$

which is called the translation by β [25, (6.5.2)]. Note that the translations satisfy the additive property, that is, $t_\alpha t_\beta = t_{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and $t_{w(\beta)} = wt_\beta w^{-1}$ for $w \in \mathring{W}$.

If \mathfrak{g} is of type $X_N^{(r)}$ with $r > 1$ except for $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, then for $\beta \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$, we set $\gamma_\beta = r$ if $\beta \in \Delta_l$, and $\gamma_\beta = 1$ otherwise. If \mathfrak{g} is of type $X_n^{(1)}$ or $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, we set $r_\beta = 1$ for any $\beta \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$. We define

$$\mathbf{M} = \nu \left(\mathbb{Z}(\mathring{W} \cdot \theta^\vee) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{M}} = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid (\lambda, \beta) \in \gamma_\beta \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } \beta \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}} \right\}$$

to be the \mathbb{Z} -lattices of \mathfrak{h}^* . Let $T_{\mathbf{M}}$ (resp. $T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}$) be the group of translations of \mathbf{M} (resp. $T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}$). Then it is well-known that $W = \mathring{W} \rtimes T_{\mathbf{M}}$, and then we define $\widehat{W} = \mathring{W} \rtimes T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}$, called the extended affine Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , so that $W \subset \widehat{W}$. We remark that the \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ is defined such that \widehat{W} acts on Δ . The description of $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ and its a natural basis, denoted by $\{\lambda_i \mid i \in I_0\}$, are given as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{M}} &= \begin{cases} \nu \left(\mathring{\mathbf{P}}^\vee \right) & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{2n}^{(2)}, \\ \mathring{\mathbf{P}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \lambda_i &= \begin{cases} \nu \left(\overline{\Lambda_i^\vee} \right) & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{2n}^{(2)}, \\ \overline{\Lambda_i} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}$ (resp. $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}^\vee$) is the (resp. dual) weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} .

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ be the \mathbb{R} -linear span of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, and let

$$C_{\mathrm{af}} = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha_i) \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in I_0, \text{ and } (\lambda, \theta) \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is called the affine Weyl chamber (also called the fundamental alcove). Let \mathcal{T} be the subgroup of \widehat{W} , which stabilizes C_{af} . Then it is well-known (e.g. see [4]) that the subgroup \mathcal{T} is isomorphic to $\widehat{W}/W \simeq T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}/T_{\mathbf{M}}$, and \widehat{W} is isomorphic to $W \rtimes \mathcal{T}$, where each element of \mathcal{T} is understood as an affine Dynkin diagram automorphism. Thus, for $\hat{w} \in \widehat{W}$, one can write

$$\hat{w} = w\tau,$$

where $w \in W$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. The length of $\hat{w} \in \widehat{W}$ is defined by $\ell(w)$, which is also denoted by $\ell(\hat{w})$. Note that $\mathcal{T} = \{\hat{w} \in \widehat{W} \mid \ell(\hat{w}) = 0\}$. For $\hat{w} \in \widehat{W}$, we define

$$\Delta_+(\hat{w}) = \Delta_+ \cap (\hat{w}\Delta_-) \subset \Delta_+.$$

Note that $\ell(\hat{w}) = |\Delta_+(\hat{w})|$ [4, 21]. For $i \in I \setminus \{0\}$, we collect some well-known properties of $t_{-\lambda_i}$ as follows, which are deduced from its definition (e.g. see [23, Section 3] for more details, and references therein).

Proposition 2.1. (1) *If A is not of type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, then we have*

$$\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_i}) = \left\{ \alpha + k\gamma_\alpha \delta \mid \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}_+, 0 \leq k < \frac{1}{\gamma_\alpha}(\lambda_i, \alpha) \right\},$$

where $\mathring{\Delta}_+$ is the set of positive roots for \mathfrak{g} .

(2) *If A is of type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_i}) = & \left\{ \alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}_+, 0 \leq k < (\lambda_i, \alpha) \right\} \\ & \bigcup \left\{ 2\alpha + (2k+1)\delta \mid \alpha \in (\mathring{\Delta}_+)_s, 0 \leq k < (\lambda_i, \alpha) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathring{\Delta}_+)_s$ is the set of positive short roots for \mathfrak{g} .

(3) *For $k \in I$, we have*

$$\ell(s_k t_{-\lambda_i}) = \begin{cases} \ell(t_{-\lambda_i}) + 1 & \text{if } k \neq i, \\ \ell(t_{-\lambda_i}) - 1 & \text{if } k = i, \end{cases} \quad \ell(t_{-\lambda_i} s_k) = \begin{cases} \ell(t_{-\lambda_i}) + 1 & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ \ell(t_{-\lambda_i}) - 1 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

(4) *If $t_{-\lambda_i} = w_i \tau_i$ for $w_i \in W$ and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}$, then w_i has a unique reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ such that $s_{i_1} = s_i$ and $s_{i_\ell} = s_{\tau(0)}$ up to 2-braid relations.*

3. QUANTUM GROUPS AND DUAL CANONICAL BASIS

3.1. Quantum groups. Fix an indeterminate q . We put $q_i = q^{d_i}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [m]_{q_i} &= \frac{q_i^m - q_i^{-m}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_+), \quad [m]_{q_i}! = [m]_{q_i} [m-1]_{q_i} \cdots [1]_{q_i} \quad (m \geq 1), \quad [0]_{q_i}! = 1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} &= \frac{[m]_{q_i} [m-1]_{q_i} \cdots [m-k+1]_{q_i}}{[k]_{q_i}} \quad (0 \leq k \leq m). \end{aligned}$$

If there is no confusion, then we often use the subscription i instead of q_i on the above notations for simplicity.

Set $\mathbf{k} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}((q^{1/n}))$ to be the base field. The quantum group corresponding to \mathfrak{g} , denoted by $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, is the \mathbf{k} -algebra generated by the symbols e_i, f_i ($i \in I$), and q^h ($h \in \mathbf{P}^\vee$) subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^0 &= 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'}, \\ q^h e_i q^{-h} &= q^{\langle \alpha_i, h \rangle} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle \alpha_i, h \rangle} f_i, \quad e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \\ \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} e_j^m &= 0, \quad (i \neq j), \\ \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i f_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} f_j^m &= 0, \quad (i \neq j), \end{aligned}$$

where $k_i = q^{d_i h_i}$. We set $e_i^{(m)} = e_i^m / [m]_i!$ and $f_i^{(m)} = f_i^m / [m]_i!$ for $i \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. An element $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is said to be homogeneous if there exists $\xi \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $q^h x q^{-h} = q^{\langle \xi, h \rangle} x$ for all $h \in \mathbf{P}^\vee$, where we denote ξ by $\text{wt}(x)$, called the weight of x .

Let $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h for $h \in \mathbf{P}^\vee$. Also, we denote by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i (resp. f_i) for $i \in I$. Then $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has the triangular decomposition as a \mathbf{k} -vector space,

$$(3.1) \quad U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$$

The negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has a root space decomposition, that is, $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbf{Q}_-} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\beta$, where $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\beta = \{x \mid k_i x k_i^{-1} = q^{(\beta, \alpha_i)} x \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n\}$. Similarly, the positive half $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ has also a root space decomposition.

Let us adopt a Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, where the comultiplication Δ and the antipode S are given by

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \quad \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + k_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i,$$

$$(3.3) \quad S(q^h) = q^{-h}, \quad S(e_i) = -k_i^{-1} e_i, \quad S(f_i) = -f_i k_i.$$

We remark that Δ is denoted by Δ_+ in [26], which coincides with the choice of Δ in [19].

3.2. Unipotent quantum coordinate rings. For $i \in I$, let T_i be the \mathbf{k} -algebra automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in [34], which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(q^h) &= q^{s_i(h)}, \\ T_i(e_i) &= -f_i k_i, \quad T_i(e_j) = \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^{-r} e_i^{(s)} e_j e_i^{(r)} \quad (i \neq j), \\ T_i(f_i) &= -k_i^{-1} e_i, \quad T_i(f_j) = \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^r f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(s)} \quad (i \neq j), \end{aligned}$$

for $j \in I$ and $h \in \mathbf{P}^\vee$, where $s_i(h) = h - \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle h_i$. Note that $T_i = T_{i,1}''$ and $T_i^{-1} = T_{i,-1}'$ in [34]. It is known in [34] (cf. [43]) that $T_i^{-1} = *T_i*$, where $*$ is the \mathbf{k} -algebra anti-automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $(e_i)^* = e_i$, $(f_i)^* = f_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$), and $(q^h)^* = q^{-h}$ ($h \in \mathbf{P}^\vee$).

Let $w \in W$ be given. For $\tilde{w} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in R(w)$, we have $\Delta^+(w) = \{\beta_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq \ell\}$ (e.g. see [39, 40]), where $\beta_k = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. For $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell$, we define

$$(3.4) \quad F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) = F(c_1 \beta_1) \cdots F(c_\ell \beta_\ell),$$

where $F(c_k \beta_k) = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{k-1}}(f_{i_k}^{(c_k)})$ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Assume that $\Delta^+(w)$ is linearly ordered by $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_\ell$. The q -commutation relations of (3.4) are known as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad F(c_j \beta_j) F(c_i \beta_i) - q^{-(c_i \beta_i, c_j \beta_j)} F(c_i \beta_i) F(c_j \beta_j) = \sum_{\mathbf{c}'} f_{\mathbf{c}'} F(\mathbf{c}', \tilde{w})$$

for $i < j$ and $c_i, c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where the sum is over $\mathbf{c}' = (c'_k)$ such that $c_i \beta_i + c_j \beta_j = \sum_{i \leq k \leq j} c'_k \beta_k$ with $c'_i < c_i$, $c'_j < c_j$ and $f_{\mathbf{c}'} \in \mathbf{k}$ [32] (cf. [30]).

Definition 3.1. For $w \in W$, we denote by $U_q^-(w)$ the vector space over \mathbf{k} generated by $\{F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell\}$.

Note that $U_q^-(w)$ does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{w} \in R(w)$, and it is the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{F(\beta_k) \mid 1 \leq k \leq \ell\}$ by (3.5).

Let $F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w})$ be the dual of (3.4) with respect to the Kashiwara bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{K}}$ on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ [26, Section 3.4] (see also (3.7)). Since $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is a (twisted) self-dual bialgebra with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{K}}$, we regard $F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w})$ as an element of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) = \frac{1}{(F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}), F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}))_{\mathbf{K}}} F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ and let $U_q^-(w)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{up}}$ be the \mathcal{A} -lattice generated by $F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w})$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell$. Then the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra $U_q^-(w)$ is called the *unipotent quantum coordinate ring* associated to w , since $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} U_q^-(w)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{up}}$ is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of the unipotent subgroup $N(w)$ of the Kac–Moody group associated to w (see [16, 30] for more details).

3.3. Dual canonical basis. Let us recall the (dual) canonical basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (see [26, 28, 29] for more details). Given $0 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a unique \mathbf{k} -linear map $e'_i : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $e'_i(1) = 0$, $e'_i(f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$, and

$$(3.6) \quad e'_i(xy) = e'_i(x)y + q^{(\text{wt}(x), \alpha_i)} x e'_i(y),$$

for homogeneous $x, y \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Then there exists a unique non-degenerate symmetric \mathbf{k} -valued bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad (1, 1) = 1, \quad (f_i x, y) = (x, e'_i(y)),$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $x, y \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

For any homogeneous $x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $x = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k)} x_k$, where $e'_i(x_k) = 0$ for $k \geq 0$. Then we define $\tilde{f}_i x = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k+1)} x_k$. Let \mathcal{A}_0 denote the subring of \mathbf{k} consisting of rational functions regular at $q = 0$. Let

$$L(\infty) = \sum_{r \geq 0, 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n} \mathcal{A}_0 \tilde{f}_{i_1} \dots \tilde{f}_{i_r} 1,$$

$$B(\infty) = \{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \dots \tilde{f}_{i_r} 1 \pmod{qL(\infty)} \mid r \geq 0, 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n \} \setminus \{0\} \subset L(\infty)/qL(\infty).$$

The pair $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ is called the crystal base of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $f_i^{(k)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $- : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ be the automorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras given by $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$ and $\bar{f}_i = f_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then $(L(\infty), \overline{L(\infty)}, U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{A}})$ is balanced, that is, the map

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} E := L(\infty) \cap \overline{L(\infty)} \cap U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{A}} &\longrightarrow L(\infty)/qL(\infty) \\ x &\longmapsto x \pmod{qL(\infty)} \end{aligned}$$

is a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism. Let G denote the inverse of the map (3.8). Then

$$G(\infty) := \{ G(b) \mid b \in B(\infty) \}$$

is an \mathcal{A} -basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{A}}$, which is called the canonical basis or global crystal basis. Let

$$G^{\text{up}}(\infty) = \{ G^{\text{up}}(b) \mid b \in B(\infty) \}$$

be the dual basis of $G(\infty)$ with respect to the bilinear form (3.7), that is, $(G^{\text{up}}(b), G(b')) = \delta_{bb'}$ for $b, b' \in B(\infty)$. We call $G^{\text{up}}(\infty)$ the dual canonical basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{up}} := \{x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (x, U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{A}}) \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Given a dominant integral weight $\Lambda \in \mathbf{P}_+$, let $V(\Lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight module over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $B(\Lambda)$ and $G(\Lambda) = \{G_{\Lambda}(b) \mid b \in B(\Lambda)\}$ denote the crystal and canonical basis of $V(\Lambda)$, respectively. It is known in [27] that $L(\infty)^* = L(\infty)$ and $B(\infty)^* = B(\infty)$. We may regard $B(\Lambda) \subset B(\infty)$ by

$$(3.9) \quad B(\Lambda) = \{b \in B(\infty) \mid \varepsilon_i^*(b) \leq \langle \Lambda, h_i \rangle \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n\},$$

where $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = \max\{k \mid b^* = \tilde{f}_i^k(b_0^*) \text{ for some } b_0 \in B(\infty)\}$. We have $G_{\Lambda}(b) = \pi_{\Lambda}(G(b))$ for $b \in B(\Lambda)$, where $\pi_{\Lambda} : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow V(\Lambda)$ is the canonical projection.

Let $G^{\text{up}}(\Lambda) = \{G^{\text{up}}(b) \mid b \in B(\Lambda)\}$ be the dual basis of $G(\Lambda)$ with respect to the bilinear form on $V(\Lambda)$ in [28, (4.2.4), (4.2.5)]. Let

$$\iota_{\Lambda} : V(\Lambda)^{\vee} \longrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\vee}.$$

be the dual of π_{Λ} , where $V(\Lambda)^{\vee}$ and $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})^{\vee}$ are the duals of $V(\Lambda)$ and $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to [28, (4.2.4), (4.2.5)] and (3.7), respectively. Then we have

$$(3.10) \quad \iota_{\Lambda}(G_{\Lambda}^{\text{up}}(b)) = G^{\text{up}}(b),$$

for $b \in B(\Lambda)$. Here we identify $V(\Lambda)^{\vee}$ with $V(\Lambda)$, and $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})^{\vee}$ with $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 3.2. For $b \in B(\Lambda)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, it is known in [28, Lemma 5.1.1] that

$$\tilde{e}_i^m b = \mathbf{0} \iff e_i^m G_{\Lambda}^{\text{up}}(b) = 0 \iff (e'_i)^m G^{\text{up}}(b) = 0.$$

In particular, for $b \in B(\Lambda)$ with $\varepsilon_i(b) = 1$, we have

$$G_{\Lambda}^{\text{up}}(\tilde{e}_i b) = e_i G_{\Lambda}^{\text{up}}(b),$$

which is equivalent to $G^{\text{up}}(\tilde{e}_i b) = e'_i G^{\text{up}}(b)$ (cf. [30, Theorem 3.14]). Thus one may calculate the action of e'_i on some root vectors by means of crystal structure of $B(\infty)$ (see Example 3.6).

In the rest of this subsection, we fix $s \in I_0$ such that the fundamental \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight $\overline{\Lambda_s}$ is minuscule. We call such a $s \in I_0$ the *minuscule node*. The complete list of minuscule nodes for each type is given in Table 1 as shown below.

type	$A_n^{(1)}$	$A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$	$D_{n+1}^{(2)}$	$D_n^{(1)}$	$E_6^{(1)}$	$E_7^{(1)}$
s	$1, 2, \dots, n$	1	n	$1, n-1, n$	1, 5	6

TABLE 1. the minuscule nodes for type $X_N^{(r)}$

where there is no minuscule node in other types. For any minuscule node s , it follows from Proposition 2.1 that $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s}) \subset \mathring{\Delta}_+$ so that one may regard $U_q^-(w_s)$ as a subalgebra of $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$. In particular, $\theta \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})$, so $F^{\text{up}}(\theta) \in U_q^-(w_s)$. Let $\widetilde{w}_s = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\ell}) \in R(w_s)$ be given. For $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, we denote $\mathbf{1}_{\beta_k} \in B(\infty)$ by $F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k) \pmod{qL(\infty)} \in B(\infty)$.

Remark 3.3. The reduced expression \widetilde{w}_s can be found in [23, Remark 3.10], which is unique up to 2-braid relations by Proposition 2.1(4).

We now review some properties of dual PBW vectors associated to w_s for any minuscule node s as follows.¹

Lemma 3.4. [22, Lemma 3.6] *Let $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ be given.*

(1) *We have*

$$F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k) \in \iota_{\Lambda_r}(G^{\text{up}}(\Lambda_s))$$

In particular, $\mathbf{1}_{\beta_k} \in B(\Lambda_s)$ by regarding $B(\Lambda_s)$ as a subset of $B(\infty)$ (cf. (3.9), (3.10)).

(2) *For $i \in I_0$, we have*

$$e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k)) = \begin{cases} F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k - \alpha_i) & \text{if } (\alpha_i, \beta_k) = d_i + \delta_{i,s}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we understand $F^{\text{up}}(0) = 1$.

We now consider the following root vector with weight θ , which plays an important role in the present work.

$$\mathbf{x}_0 := F^{\text{up}}(\beta_\ell) = F^{\text{up}}(\theta).$$

Lemma 3.5. [22, Lemma 3.8] *Let $J_0 = \{i \in I_0 \mid (\alpha_i, \theta) = 0\}$ and $J_1 = I_0 \setminus J_0$.*

(1) *For $i \in I_0$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} e'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 & \text{if } i \in J_0, \\ (e'_i)^2(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 & \text{if } i \in J_1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *For $i \in J_1$, we have*

$$\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot e'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = q^{-d_0(2+a_{0i})} e'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Example 3.6. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $A_5^{(2)}$ with $n = 3$. In this case, $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of type C_3 , and $s = 1$ is the minuscule node. By Proposition 2.1, it is straightforward to check that

$$\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_1}) = \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \},$$


where $2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ is an unique long root in $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_1})$, and the other roots are short. Note that $\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. On the other hand, we obtain $\widetilde{w}_1 = (1, 2, 3, 2, 1)$ so that $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$, $\beta_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, $\beta_3 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, $\beta_4 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, and $\beta_5 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. By Lemma 3.4(2), we have

(\cdot, \cdot)	β_1	β_2	β_3	β_4	β_5
α_1	2	1	2	1	0
α_2	-1	1	0	-1	1
α_3	0	-2	0	2	0

$$1 \xleftarrow{1} F_{\beta_1}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{2} F_{\beta_2}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{3} F_{\beta_4}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{2} F_{\beta_5}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{1} F_{\beta_3}^{\text{up}}$$

¹The properties were verified in [22] for types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$, but the arguments there rely only on general properties of dual canonical basis. Hence the same statements hold for any minuscule node, and thus we omit the proofs.

where $(\alpha_i, \beta_k) = d_i + \delta_{i,s}$ is written in bold, and $X \xrightarrow{i} Y$ denotes $Y = e'_i(X)$. Thus we have $e'_2(x_0) = F_{\beta_4}^{\text{up}}$, $(e'_2)^2(x_0) = 0$ and $e'_i(x_0) = 0$ for $i \in J_0$, where $J_0 = \{1, 3\}$ and $J_1 = \{2\}$. Since $d_0 = 1$ and $a_{02} = -1$, we have $x_0 \cdot e'_2(x_0) = q^{-1}e'_2(x_0) \cdot x_0$. Note that it is known (e.g. see [20, Section 5.6]) that the description of the (level 0) \mathfrak{g} -crystal $B(\overline{\Lambda}_1)$ is given by



where $\boxed{a} \xleftarrow{i} \boxed{b}$ denotes $\boxed{a} = \tilde{e}_i \boxed{b}$.


Next, assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $D_3^{(2)}$ with $n = 2$. In this case, $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of type B_2 and $s = 2$ is the minuscule node. It is straightforward to check that

$$\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_2}) = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\},$$

where $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ is the long root in $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_2})$. Note that $\theta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. On the other hand, we have $\widetilde{w}_2 = (2, 1, 2)$ so that $\beta_1 = \alpha_2$, $\beta_2 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$, and $\beta_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. By Lemma 3.4(2), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} (\cdot, \cdot) & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ \hline \alpha_1 & -2 & 0 & \mathbf{2} \\ \alpha_2 & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{2} & 0 \end{array} \quad 1 \xleftarrow{2} F_{\beta_1}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{1} F_{\beta_3}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{2} F_{\beta_2}^{\text{up}}$$

Thus this yield that $e'_1(x_0) = F_{\beta_1}^{\text{up}}$, $(e'_1)^2(x_0) = 0$ and $e'_i(x_0) = 0$ for $i \in J_0$, where $J_0 = \{2\}$ and $J_1 = \{1\}$. Since $d_0 = 1$ and $a_{01} = -2$, we have $x_0 \cdot e'_1(x_0) = e'_1(x_0) \cdot x_0$. Note that it is known (e.g. see [20, Section 5.7]) that the description of the (level 0) \mathfrak{g} -crystal $B(\overline{\Lambda}_2)$ is given by




Finally, assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $D_4^{(2)}$ with $n = 3$. Then we have $\widetilde{w}_3 = (3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3)$ and

$$\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_3}) = \{\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\},$$

where $\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$, $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$ are long and the others are short. Note that $\theta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. By Lemma 3.4(2), we obtain

$$1 \xleftarrow{3} F_{\beta_1}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{2} F_{\beta_4}^{\text{up}} \begin{array}{l} \xleftarrow{1} F_{\beta_6}^{\text{up}} \\ \xleftarrow{3} F_{\beta_2}^{\text{up}} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \xleftarrow{3} F_{\beta_3}^{\text{up}} \\ \xleftarrow{1} F_{\beta_3}^{\text{up}} \end{array} \xleftarrow{2} F_{\beta_5}^{\text{up}} \xleftarrow{3} F_{\beta_1}^{\text{up}} F_{\beta_5}^{\text{up}}$$

where $\beta_1 = \alpha_3$, $\beta_2 = \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$, $\beta_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$, $\beta_4 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, $\beta_5 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ and $\beta_6 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. Note that the description of the (level 0) \mathfrak{g} -crystal $B(\overline{\Lambda}_3)$ is given by



4. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF BOREL ALGEBRAS

4.1. Quantum loop algebras and Borel subalgebras. Let $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in I_{\text{fin}}}$ be a Cartan matrix of finite type X_n with an index set $I_{\text{fin}} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}} = \mathfrak{g}(C)$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra corresponding to C . We denote by $U_q(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}})$ the quantum loop algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$, which is defined as a quotient of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by the relation $\sum_{i \in I} k_i^{a_i} = 1$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is an affine Kac-Moody algebra associated to a Cartan matrix A of type $X_n^{(1)}$ (as in Section 2.1) so that $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ and $I_0 = I_{\text{fin}}$, and $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ without the degree operator q^d . Here we often write $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ when we emphasize its type.

The quantum loop algebra $U_q(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}})$ has the Drinfeld–Jimbo presentation as in Section 3.1. It also has another presentation, due to Drinfeld [11] (see also [1]), with infinitely many generators $x_{i,m}^{\pm}$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I_{\text{fin}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\psi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm}$ ($i \in I_{\text{fin}}$ and $m \geq 0$), called the loop generators, and defining relations on them.

Let $\sigma : I_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow I_{\text{fin}}$ be a bijection such that $c_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} = c_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I_{\text{fin}}$. Such a map σ is called an automorphism of Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$. Let r be the order of σ . Under the Cartan–Killing classification of Dynkin diagrams of finite types, we have $r \in \{2, 3\}$, only when $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is of type A_n , D_n ($n \geq 3$), and E_6 .

Let I_{fin}^{σ} to be the set of orbits of σ , where we denote by $\bar{i} \in I_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}$ for $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}$ be a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}$ fixed by an automorphism of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}$ induced from σ (see [25, Section 8] for more details). Then it is known in [25] that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is an affine Kac–Moody algebra associated to a Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \hat{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}}$ of type $X_N^{(r)}$, where $\hat{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma} = I_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma} \sqcup \{0\}$ and $N = |I_{\text{fin}}|$. Recall that we use the numbering of Dynkin diagrams in Table 2.

As in untwisted types, the twisted quantum loop algebra $U_q(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}})$ is defined as a quotient of the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma})$ of the quantum group $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma})$ by the relation $\sum_{i \in \hat{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}} k_i^{a_i} = 1$, and it also has a Drinfeld presentation with infinitely many generators $x_{i,m}^{\pm}$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I_{\text{fin}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\psi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm}$ ($i \in I_{\text{fin}}$ and $m \geq 0$), and defining relations together with σ [7]. In

particular, for a primitive r -th of 1, say ω , the defining relations involve

$$(4.1) \quad x_{\sigma(i),m}^{\pm} = \omega^m x_{i,m}^{\pm}, \quad k_{\sigma(i)}^{\pm 1} = k_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \psi_{\sigma(i),\pm m}^{\pm} = \omega^m \psi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm}.$$

Remark 4.1. Put

$$\mathbf{d}_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or } X_N^{(r)} = A_{2n}^{(2)}, \\ \max \{1, a_i^{\vee} a_i^{-1}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One can check that $\sigma(i) = i$ if and only if $r | \mathbf{d}_i$. Note that $\mathbf{d}_i = 1$ if $\sigma(i) \neq i$. By (4.1), we have $x_{i,m}^{\pm} = 0$ and $\psi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm} = 0$ when $\sigma(i) = i$ and $\mathbf{d}_i \nmid m$ [9, Remark 4.4].

Since the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{A}))$ has a Hopf algebra structure, the (twisted) quantum loop algebras inherit a Hopf algebra structure from that of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{A}))$, where the comultiplication Δ and the antipode S are given as in (3.2) and (3.3), respectively. Also the (twisted) quantum loop algebra has a triangular decomposition as in (3.1) in terms of the loop generators [2, 10].

Definition 4.2. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^{\sigma})$) be the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma})$) generated by e_i and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$ (resp. $i \in \hat{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}$), which is called the *Borel subalgebra* of the (twisted) quantum loop algebra.

Note that $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^{\sigma})$) is a Hopf subalgebra of $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma})$). It is known (e.g. see [24, §4.21]) that the Borel subalgebra is isomorphic to the \mathbf{k} -algebra with generators e_i and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$ (resp. $i \in \hat{I}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}$) satisfying the relations in the Drinfeld-Jimbo presentation of $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma})$) except for the relations involving f_i 's. We further remark that it follows from [1, 8, 9] (cf. [19, 44]) that $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^{\sigma})$) contains the loop generators $x_{i,l}^+$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$, $x_{i,m}^-$, and $\psi_{i,l}^+$ for $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$, $l \geq 0$, and $m > 0$, but it is not generated by these generators, since e_0 can not be generated by them. Also it has a triangular decomposition induced from that of the corresponding quantum loop algebra.

Remark 4.3. For each $\bar{i} \in I_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma}$, we always take the smallest representative $i \in I$ for \bar{i} , and identify it with its orbit. For example, if \mathbf{C} is of type E_6 , then $\bar{1} = \{1, 5\}$, $\bar{2} = \{2, 4\}$, $\bar{3} = \{3\}$, and $\bar{6} = \{6\}$ so that we may identify $I_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma} = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ (but we denote usually by 4 the orbit $\bar{6}$, that is, $I_{\text{fin}}^{\sigma} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$). Then we identify I_0 with I_{fin}^{σ} in this sense. Note that $I_0 \neq I_{\text{fin}}$ for twisted types, while $I_0 = I_{\text{fin}}$ for untwisted types.

4.2. Category \mathcal{O} and prefundamental modules. In this subsection, we briefly introduce the category \mathcal{O} and prefundamental modules following [19, 44]. Let us recall Remark 4.3.

Throughout this subsection, for twisted types, we often write $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. I) instead of $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^{\sigma})$ (resp. \hat{I}_0^{σ}) for simplicity, if there is no confusion.

Let \mathfrak{t} be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ generated by $k_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I_0$, and let $\mathfrak{t}^* = (\mathbf{k}^{\times})^{I_0}$ be the set of maps from I_0 to \mathbf{k}^{\times} , which is a group under pointwise multiplication. Let V be a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module. For $\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we define the weight space of V with weight ω by

$$(4.2) \quad V_{\omega} = \{v \in V \mid k_i v = \omega(i)v \ (i \in I_0)\}.$$

We say that V is \mathfrak{t} -diagonalizable if $V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*} V_\omega$.

A series $\Psi = (\Psi_{i,m})_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}, m \geq 0}$ of elements in \mathbf{k} such that $\Psi_{i,0} \neq 0$ for all $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$ is called an ℓ -weight. We often identify $\Psi = (\Psi_{i,m})_{m \geq 0}$ with $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}}$, a tuple of formal power series, where

$$\Psi_i(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \Psi_{i,m} z^m.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{t}_ℓ^* the set of ℓ -weights. Since $\Psi_i(z)$ is invertible, \mathfrak{t}_ℓ^* is a group under multiplication. Let $\varpi : \mathfrak{t}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the surjective morphism defined by $\varpi(\Psi)(i) = \Psi_{i,0}$ for $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$.

For $\Psi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, we define an ℓ -weight space of V with ℓ -weight Ψ by

$$V_\Psi = \{v \in V \mid \text{there exist } p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } (\psi_{i,m}^+ - \Psi_{i,m})^p v = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I_{\text{fin}} \text{ and } m \geq 0\}.$$

For $\Psi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, we say that V is of highest ℓ -weight Ψ if there exists a non-zero vector $v \in V$ such that

$$(i) \ V = U_q(\mathfrak{b})v, \quad (ii) \ e_i v = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I_{\text{fin}}, \quad (iii) \ \psi_{i,m}^+ v = \Psi_{i,m} v \text{ for } i \in I_{\text{fin}} \text{ and } m \geq 0.$$

A non-zero vector $v \in V$ is called a highest ℓ -weight vector of ℓ -weight Ψ if it satisfies the conditions (ii) and (iii), where Ψ is called the highest ℓ -weight of V . There exists a unique irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module of highest ℓ -weight Ψ , which we denote by $L(\Psi)$ [19, 44].

Remark 4.4. For twisted types, it follows from (4.1) and the relation on $\psi_{i,m}^+$'s that a highest ℓ -weight $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}}$ satisfies the relation

$$\Psi_{\sigma(i)}(z) = \Psi_i(\omega z)$$

for all $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$, where $\sigma : I_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow I_{\text{fin}}$ is an automorphism of Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$.

Definition 4.5. ([19, Definition 3.7], [44, Definition 4.8]) For $s \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, let $L_{s,a}^\pm$ be an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module of highest ℓ -weight $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}}$, where $\Psi_i(z)$ is defined as follows:

- for untwisted types,

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - az)^{\pm 1} & \text{if } i = s, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- for twisted types with $s \neq \sigma(s)$,

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - a\omega^k z)^{\pm 1} & \text{if } i = \sigma^k(s), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- for twisted types with $s = \sigma(s)$,

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - a^r z^r)^{\pm 1} & \text{if } i = s, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where r is the order of σ .

The $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module $L_{s,a}^-$ (resp. $L_{s,a}^+$) is called the negative (resp. positive) *prefundamental module* over $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$.

We define a map $q^{(\cdot)} : \mathring{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ given by $q^{\bar{\Lambda}_i}(j) = q^{(\bar{\Lambda}_i, \alpha_j)} = q_i^{\delta_{ij}}$ for $i, j \in I_0$. Note that we have $q^{\alpha_i}(j) = q_i^{a_{ij}}$ for $i, j \in I_0$. We define a partial order \leq on \mathfrak{t}^* by $\omega' \leq \omega$ if and only if $\omega'\omega^{-1}$ is a product of $q^{-\alpha_i}$'s. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, put $D(\lambda) = \{\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \omega \leq \lambda\}$.

Definition 4.6. [19, Definition 3.8] Let \mathcal{O} be the category of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules V such that

- (i) V is \mathfrak{t} -diagonalizable,
- (ii) $\dim V_\omega < \infty$ for all $\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*$,
- (iii) there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that the weights of V are in $\bigcup_{j=1}^t D(\lambda_j)$.

The category \mathcal{O} is closed under taking finite direct sums, quotients, and finite tensor products of objects in \mathcal{O} .

The simple objects in \mathcal{O} are characterized in terms of tuples $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}}$ of rational functions regular and non-zero at $z = 0$, called Drinfeld rational fractions [19], which can be regarded as a natural extension of Drinfeld polynomials [5, 6, 7], as follows:

Theorem 4.7. ([19, Theorem 3.11], [44, Theorem 4.6]) *For $\Psi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, $L(\Psi)$ is in the category \mathcal{O} if and only if $\Psi_i(z)$ is rational for all $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$.*

For $\Psi, \Psi' \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, it follows from the formulas of $\Delta(\Psi_{i,\pm k}^\pm)$ and $\Delta(x_{i,k}^\pm)$ [8] (see also [19, Theorem 2.6] and [44, Section 3.2]) that $L(\Psi\Psi')$ is a subquotient of $L(\Psi) \otimes L(\Psi')$. Since we have by [19, Corollary 4.8, Corollary 5.1] (for untwisted types) and [44, Lemma 4.13, Lemma 4.15] (for twisted types) that $L_{s,a}^\pm \in \mathcal{O}$ for all $s \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, we conclude that any irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module in \mathcal{O} is a subquotient of a finite tensor product of prefundamental modules and one-dimensional modules, where a one-dimensional module has a trivial action of e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n .

4.3. $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on $U_q^-(w_s)$. Let $\widetilde{w}_s = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell) \in R(w_s)$ be given. Set

$$\mathbf{x}_0 := F^{\text{up}}(\beta_\ell).$$

One can check that $\beta_\ell = k\delta + \theta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ [23, Remark 3.8]. In particular, if s is minuscule, then $k = 0$, that is, $\beta_\ell = \theta$. It follows from (3.5) that $U_q^-(w_s)$ is closed under the left (resp. right) multiplication by \mathbf{x}_0 . On the other hand, it is known (e.g. [23, Lemma 4.9] and reference therein) that $U_q^-(w_s)$ is closed under the action of e'_i for all $i \in I$.

Proposition 4.8. *For any $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on $U_q^-(w_s)$ by*

$$(4.3) \quad k_i(u) = \begin{cases} q^{(\alpha_i, \text{wt}(u))} u & \text{if } i \in I_0, \\ q^{-(\theta, \text{wt}(u))} u & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases} \quad e_i(u) = \begin{cases} e'_i(u) & \text{if } i \in I_0, \\ a\mathbf{x}_0 u & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases}$$

for a homogeneous element $u \in U_q^-(w_s)$. Moreover $U_q^-(w_s)$ belongs to \mathcal{O} as a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the q -boson algebra generated by e'_i and f_i for $i \in I$ with the defining relations [26, Section 3.3]. By [23, Lemma 2.6], the canonical projection $\iota : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow M$ is

an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, where M is given in [23, Section 2.2]. By [23, Proposition 4.12] and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\iota(e_0(u)) = \iota(ax_0u) = a\overline{x_0u} = -\frac{a}{(q_{\tau(0)} - q_{\tau(0)}^{-1})q_{\tau(0)}^2} \mathbf{x}_0\overline{u} = \mathbf{e}_0\iota(u),$$

where \mathbf{e}_0 is the 0-action on M as in [23, (4.12)] up to the scalar multiplication. This proves our assertion by [23, Theorem 4.14], where $M_s = \iota(U_q^-(w_s))$. \square

5. CHARACTER FORMULA OF PREFUNDAMENTAL MODULES

5.1. Character folding map. Let us review a (conjectural) relationship between prefundamental modules over untwisted and twisted quantum loop algebras in terms of character homomorphisms [44].

In the rest of this paper, we often use the superscript σ on the notations for \mathfrak{b}^σ to distinguish them from those for \mathfrak{b} and avoid confusion.

For a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module V in \mathcal{O} , it has a weight space decomposition with respect to $\{k_i \mid i \in I_0\}$

$$V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*} V_\omega,$$

where V_ω is given as in (4.2). For $\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we write $V_\beta = V_\omega$ for $\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}$ such that $q^\beta = \omega$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[[e^\beta]]_{\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}}$ be the ring of formal power series in variables e^β for $\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}$ with the multiplication $e^\beta \cdot e^\gamma = e^{\beta+\gamma}$.

Let $K(\mathcal{O})$ be the Grothendieck ring of the category \mathcal{O} (cf. [25, Section 9]). For $[V] \in K(\mathcal{O})$, we define

$$\text{ch}([V]) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}} (\dim V_\beta) e^\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[[e^{\pm \bar{\Lambda}_i}]]_{i \in I_0},$$

which is called the (ordinary) character of V . We write $\text{ch}(V) = \text{ch}([V])$ simply. Put $y_i = e^{\bar{\Lambda}_i}$ (resp. $z_i = e^{\bar{\Lambda}_i}$) for \mathfrak{b} (resp. \mathfrak{b}^σ) for $i \in I_0$. Consider the surjective map

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}[[y_i^{\pm 1}]]_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[z_i^{\pm 1}]]_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}^\sigma}$$

sending y_i to $z_{\bar{i}}$. Note that $\pi(e^{\alpha_i}) = e^{\alpha_{\bar{i}}}$ for $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$.

Let \mathcal{O}_1 be the full subcategory of \mathcal{O} consisting of V 's such that the ℓ -weights $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_0}$ of V satisfy the roots and poles of $\Psi_i(z)$ are in $q^{\mathbb{Z}}\omega^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for all $i \in I_0$. Similarly, we define the full subcategory \mathcal{O}_1^σ for the category \mathcal{O}^σ of $U_q(\mathfrak{b}^\sigma)$. Then it is known in [44] that there exists a ring homomorphism $\bar{\pi} : K(\mathcal{O}_1) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{O}_1^\sigma)$ such that the following diagram commutes (cf. [18, Corollary 4.16]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{O}_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & \mathbb{Z}[[y_i^{\pm 1}]]_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}} \\ \bar{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ K(\mathcal{O}_1^\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}^\sigma} & \mathbb{Z}[[z_i^{\pm 1}]]_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}^\sigma} \end{array}$$

Theorem 5.1. For $s \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have

$$\text{ch}^\sigma(L_{\bar{s},a}^\pm) = \pi(\text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm)),$$

where $[L_{\bar{s},a}^\pm] \in K(\mathcal{O}_1)$ so that $[L_{s,a}^\pm] \in K(\mathcal{O}_1^\sigma)$.

Proof. It follows from [44, Theorem 4.24]. \square

Remark 5.2. The above theorem is established in terms of the (twisted) q -character for \mathcal{O} , which can be viewed as an analogue of [18, Theorem 4.15] to the category \mathcal{O} (see [19, 44] for the notion of the (twisted) q -character for \mathcal{O}).

Corollary 5.3. For $s \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have $\text{ch}^\sigma(L_{\bar{s},a}^-) = \text{ch}^\sigma(L_{s,a}^+)$.

Proof. It is known in [19] that $\text{ch}(L_{s,a}^-) = \text{ch}(L_{s,a}^+)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and $L_{s,a}^\pm$ can be obtained from $L_{s,1}^\pm$ by the algebra automorphism $\tau_a : U_q(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ such that $\tau_a(x_{i,m}^\pm) = a^{\pm m} x_{i,m}^\pm$ and $\tau_a(\psi_i(z)) = \psi_i(az)$. This completes the proof by Theorem 5.1. \square

5.2. Character formula of prefundamental modules. Let us recall that r is the integer, which is the superscript of $X_N^{(r)}$. For $\beta \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})$, set $\gamma_\beta := \gamma_{\beta'}$ if $\beta = \bar{\beta}'$ for $\beta' \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})$, and

$$(5.1) \quad \xi_s(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{d_s}{\gamma_\beta} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is not of type } A_{2n}^{(2)}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{2n}^{(2)} \text{ and } \beta' \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})_1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{2n}^{(2)} \text{ and } \beta' \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})_2, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})_1$ (resp. $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})_2$) is the subset of $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})$ containing the positive roots of the form $\alpha + k\delta$ (resp. $2\alpha + (2k+1)\delta$) for $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}_+$ (resp. $\alpha \in (\dot{\Delta}_+)_s$) and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Theorem 5.4. For $s \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \text{ch}(U_q^-(w_s)) = \text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{[\beta]_s} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{\xi_s(\beta) [\beta]_s} & \text{if } r > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $[\beta]_s$ is the coefficient of the simple root α_s in β .

Proof. By definition of λ_s , we have

$$(\lambda_s, \alpha) = \begin{cases} [\alpha]_s & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of untwisted affine type or } A_{2n}^{(2)}, \\ d_s[\alpha]_s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have (5.2) for $\text{ch}(U_q^-(w_s))$. For $r = 1$, (5.2) for $\text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm)$ follows from [31, 38]².

²The formula (5.2) of $\text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm)$ was conjectured in [35], where some cases were proved, and proved in [31] for all untwisted types except for certain cases of $E_8^{(1)}$. Recently, this formula is also proved in [38] for all untwisted types, by using quantum shuffle algebras.

Assume $r > 1$. Let us consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi : \mathbf{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Q}^\sigma \\ \alpha_i & \longmapsto & \alpha_{\bar{i}} \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{Q} (resp. \mathbf{Q}^σ) is the root lattice for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$). By Proposition 2.1, the map Π induces the surjective map from $\overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ onto $\overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_{\bar{s}}})}$. Furthermore, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{\beta' \in \Pi^{-1}(\beta)} [\beta']_s = \xi_s(\beta) [\beta]_s.$$

By Theorem 5.1, we deduce the formula (5.2) of $\text{ch}^\sigma(L_{s,a}^\pm)$ from (5.3), since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}^\sigma(L_{s,a}^\pm) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \pi(\text{ch}(L_{s,a}^\pm)) \\ &\stackrel{(5.2)}{=} \prod_{\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\Pi(\beta)}} \right)^{[\beta]_s} \\ &= \prod_{\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_s})}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{\sum_{\beta' \in \Pi^{-1}(\beta)} [\beta']_s} \\ &\stackrel{(5.3)}{=} \prod_{\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_s})}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \right)^{\xi_s(\beta) [\beta]_s} \end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ holds by Theorem 5.1, and the second equality follows from the formula (5.2) for $r = 1$. Now we verify (5.3) as follows.

Case 1. Suppose $X_N^{(r)} = A_{2n}^{(2)}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is of type A_{2n} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is of type B_n . Each positive root for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is one of the following forms

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{j \leq k \leq n} \alpha_k \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{j \leq k < l} \alpha_k \quad (1 \leq j < l \leq n),$$

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{j \leq k < l} \alpha_k + 2 \sum_{l \leq k \leq n} \alpha_k \quad (1 \leq j < l \leq n),$$

where the positive roots in (5.4) are short and the others in (5.5) and (5.6) are long. Note that each root in $\overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ is of the form $\sum_{j \leq k \leq l} \alpha_k$ for $1 \leq j \leq l \leq 2n$. Then we have

$$|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \beta \text{ is (5.6) for } l \leq s, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \xi_s(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \beta = 2\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in (\mathring{\Delta}_+)_s, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we have (5.3) in this case.

Case 2. Suppose $X_N^{(r)} = A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is of type A_{2n-1} and $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is of type C_n . Each positive root for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is one of the following forms

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{j \leq k < l} \alpha_k \quad (1 \leq j < l \leq n),$$

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{j \leq k < l} \alpha_k + 2 \sum_{l \leq k < n} \alpha_k + \alpha_n \quad (1 \leq j < l \leq n),$$

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{j \leq k < l} 2\alpha_k + \alpha_n \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

where the positive roots in (5.9) are long and the others in (5.7) and (5.8) are short. Since each root in $\overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ is of the form $\sum_{j \leq k \leq l} \alpha_k$ for $1 \leq j \leq l \leq 2n-1$, we have

$$\xi_s(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1/2 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \\ 2 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \end{cases} \quad |\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = \begin{cases} [\beta]_s & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \\ 2 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \end{cases}$$

so that $[\beta']_s = [\beta'']_s$ for $\beta', \beta'' \in \Pi^{-1}(\beta)$, and $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = \xi_s(\beta) [\beta']_s$.

Case 3. Suppose $X_N^{(2)} = D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is of type D_{n+1} and $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is of type B_n . Recall that the positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ are given in (5.4)–(5.6). The positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ are given as follows:

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \leq k < l} \alpha_k \quad (1 \leq j < l \leq n+1), \\ & \sum_{j \leq k \leq n-1} \alpha_k + \alpha_{n+1} \quad (1 \leq j < n+1), \\ & \sum_{j \leq k < l} \alpha_k + 2 \sum_{j \leq k < n} \alpha_k + \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} \quad (1 \leq j < l < n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\xi_s(\beta) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1/2 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \end{cases} \quad |\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s \neq n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = n \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long.} \end{cases}$$

If $\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ is long and $[\beta]_s = 2$, then we have two cases by (5.4)–(5.6) and (5.10):

- (1) $\xi_s(\beta) = 1$ and $\Pi^{-1}(\beta) = \{\beta'\}$, where $[\beta']_s = 2$, or
- (2) $\xi_s(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Pi^{-1}(\beta) = \{\beta'\}$, where $[\beta']_s = 1$.

In any case, we have (5.3). If $s \neq n$ and β is short, one can check that $\xi_s(\beta) = 2$ and $[\beta']_s = [\beta'']_s = 1$ for $\beta', \beta'' \in \Pi^{-1}(\beta)$, so (5.3) also holds in this case. The other cases follow by the similar argument.

Case 4. Suppose $X_N^{(r)} = E_6^{(2)}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is of type E_6 and $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is of type F_4^\dagger , where there are 36 (resp. 24) positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$). For $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$, we denote a positive

root $a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_2 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_4 + e\alpha_5 + f\alpha_7$ by $\frac{f}{abcde}$. We also use the similar notation for the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$. Recall Remark 4.3. Then $\overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ and $\overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ are given as follows, which enable us to verify (5.3) in this case.

- (1) If $s = 1$, then we have the following, where we place the roots of $\overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_1})}$ on the top, and then those of $\overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_1})}$ at the bottom (we use this convention for other cases).

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc}
\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} \\
10000 & 11000 & 11100 & 11110 & 11111 & 11100 & 11110 & 11210 & 12210 & 11111 & 11211 & 12211 & 11221 & 12221 & 12321 & 12321 \\
1000 & 1100 & 1110 & 1210 & \textcolor{blue}{2210} & 1111 & 1211 & 1221 & 1321 & \textcolor{blue}{2211} & \textcolor{blue}{2221} & 2321 & 2321 & \textcolor{blue}{2421} & \textcolor{blue}{2431} & \textcolor{blue}{2432}
\end{array}$$

where the roots in blue are long and $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = 1$ for roots β except for 2321.

- (2) If $s = 2$, then we have the following.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc}
\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} \\
01000 & 11000 & 01100 & 11100 & 01110 & 11110 & 01111 & 11111 & 12210 & 12211 & 12221 & 12321 & 01100 & 01110 & 01210 & 01210 \\
0100 & 1100 & 0110 & 1110 & \textcolor{blue}{0210} & 1210 & 1210 & \textcolor{blue}{2210} & 1321 & 2321 & \textcolor{blue}{2421} & \textcolor{blue}{2431} & 0111 & \textcolor{blue}{0211} & \textcolor{blue}{0221} & \\
\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \\
11100 & 11110 & 12321 & 01111 & 11111 & 01211 & 11211 & 01221 & 11221 & 11221 & 11221 & 11221 & 11221 & 11221 & 11221 & 11221 \\
1111 & 1211 & 1221 & \textcolor{blue}{2432} & 1211 & \textcolor{blue}{2211} & 1221 & \textcolor{blue}{2221} & 1321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321
\end{array}$$

where $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = 1$ for roots β except for 1210, 1321, 2321, 1211, 1221. In particular, we have

$$\xi_2(2321) = 1, \quad \Pi^{-1}(2321) = \{12211, 11221\}$$

so that $\sum_{\beta' \in \Pi^{-1}(2321)} [\beta']_2 = 3$ and $\xi_2(2321)[2321]_2 = 3$.

- (3) If $s = 3$, then we have the following.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc}
\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} \\
00100 & 01100 & 11100 & 00110 & 01110 & 11110 & 00111 & 01111 & 11111 & 12321 & 01210 & 01211 & 01221 & 11210 & 11211 & 11211 \\
0010 & 0110 & 1110 & 0110 & \textcolor{blue}{0210} & 1210 & 1110 & 1210 & \textcolor{blue}{2210} & \textcolor{blue}{2431} & \textcolor{blue}{0221} & 1221 & 1321 & 1221 & 1221 & \textcolor{blue}{2221} \\
\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} \\
11221 & 12210 & 12211 & 12221 & 12321 & 00100 & 01100 & 11100 & 00110 & 01110 & 11110 & 00111 & 01111 & 11111 & 11111 & 11111 \\
2321 & 1321 & 2321 & \textcolor{blue}{2421} & \textcolor{blue}{2432} & 0011 & 0111 & 1111 & 0111 & 0211 & 1211 & 1111 & 1211 & 1211 & 1211 & \textcolor{blue}{2211}
\end{array}$$

Note that $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = 2$ for all short roots, while $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = 1$ for all long roots. If β is a short root with $[\beta]_3 = m$, then we have $\xi_3(\beta) = 2$ and $[\beta']_3 = m$ for $\beta' \in \Pi^{-1}(\beta)$. If β is a long root with $[\beta]_3 = m$, then $\xi_3(\beta) = 1$ and $[\beta']_3 = m$, where $\Pi^{-1}(\beta) = \{\beta'\}$.

- (4) If $s = 6^3$, then we have the following.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc}
\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} \\
00000 & 00100 & 01100 & 11100 & 00110 & 01110 & 11110 & 00111 & 01111 & 11111 & 12321 & 01210 & 01211 & 01221 & 11210 & 11210 \\
0001 & 0011 & 0111 & 1111 & 0111 & \textcolor{blue}{0211} & 1211 & 1111 & 1211 & 1211 & \textcolor{blue}{2211} & \textcolor{blue}{2432} & \textcolor{blue}{0221} & 1221 & 1321 & 1221 \\
\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} & \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{smallmatrix} \\
11211 & 11221 & 12210 & 12211 & 12221 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 & 12321 \\
\textcolor{blue}{2221} & 2321 & 1321 & 2321 & \textcolor{blue}{2421} & \textcolor{blue}{2431} & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321 & 2321
\end{array}$$

³Recall that we denote $\bar{6}$ by 4 in I_{fin}^σ

Note that $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = 2$ for all short roots, while $|\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = 1$ for all long roots. As in (3), we have $\xi_4(\beta) = 2$ for a short root β , and $\xi_4(\beta) = 1$ otherwise. Also, $[\beta']_4 = [\beta]_4$ for $\beta' \in \Pi^{-1}(\beta)$.

Case 5. Suppose $X_N^{(r)} = D_4^{(3)}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is of type D_4 and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is of type G_2^\dagger . Recall that the positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ is given in (5.10) where $n = 3$. The set of positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}^\sigma$ is $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\}$. Then $\overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ and $\overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_s})}$ are given as follows:

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_s})} &= \begin{cases} \{1000, 1100, 1110, 1101, 1111, 1211\} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \{0100, 1100, 0110, 0101, 1110, 1101, 0111, 1111, 1211\} & \text{if } s = 2, \end{cases} \\ \overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_s})} &= \begin{cases} \{10, 11, 21, \textcolor{blue}{31}, \textcolor{blue}{32}\} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \{\textcolor{blue}{01}, 11, 21, \textcolor{blue}{31}, \textcolor{blue}{32}\} & \text{if } s = 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the roots are written by the similar convention as in *Case 4* and the roots in blue are long. Then we have

$$\xi_s(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1/3 & \text{if } s = 1 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \\ 3 & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \end{cases} \quad |\Pi^{-1}(\beta)| = \begin{cases} [\beta]_1 & \text{if } s = 1 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \\ 3 & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is short,} \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } \beta \text{ is long,} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $\beta \in \overline{\Delta_+^\sigma(t_{-\lambda_2})}$ is long and $[\beta]_2 = 2$, then one can check that $\Pi^{-1}(\beta) = \{\beta'\}$ and $[\beta']_2 = 2$ by (5.11). This shows (5.3) in this case. \square

Example 5.5. Suppose $X_N^{(r)} = A_2^{(2)}$. Since $\text{ch}(L_{1,a}^\pm) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha_1}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}}$, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that

$$\text{ch}^\sigma(L_{1,a}^\pm) = \pi(\text{ch}(L_{1,a}^\pm)) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha_1}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\alpha_1}}.$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have $\Delta_+(t_{-\lambda_1}) = \{\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1 + \delta\}$ with $\xi_1(\alpha_1) = 1$ and $\xi_1(2\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2}$. We remark that an explicit construction of $L_{1,a}^\pm$ for type $A_2^{(2)}$ is known in [15] (cf. [44, Appendix B]). The construction gives the following character formula given by

$$\text{ch}^\sigma(L_{1,a}^\pm) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{2} \right\rfloor e^{-k\alpha_1},$$

which coincides with the above formula.

6. MINUSCULE PREFUNDAMENTAL MODULES

6.1. Computation of ℓ -weights. Throughout this subsection, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is not of type $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ for brevity. Let 2ρ be the sum of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} . Take a reduced expression $t_{2\rho} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N} \in W$. Consider a doubly infinite sequence $\dots, i_{-2}, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, i_2 \dots$ by

$i_k := i_{k \pmod N}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we define

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} s_{i_0} s_{i_{-1}} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{E}_{\beta_k} = \begin{cases} T_{i_0}^{-1} T_{i_{-1}}^{-1} \cdots T_{i_{k+1}}^{-1}(e_{i_k}) & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(e_{i_k}) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we define \mathbf{F}_{β_k} for $-\beta_k$ in the same way with e_{i_k} replaced by f_{i_k} . Note that $\mathbf{E}_{\beta_k} \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\beta_k} \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ [34], which are called the (real) root vectors for β_k . In particular, if $\beta_k = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in I_0$, then $\mathbf{E}_{\beta_k} = e_i$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\beta_k} = f_i$.

Lemma 6.1. *For $i \in I$ and $k > 0$, the root vectors $\mathbf{E}_{k\delta \pm \alpha_i}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{k\delta \pm \alpha_i}$ are independent of the choice of a reduced expression of $t_{2\rho}$.* \square

Proof. It follows from [36, Corollary 4.6] (cf. [22, Lemma 4.2]). \square

Let $o : I_0 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a map such that $o(i) = -o(j)$ whenever $a_{ij} < 0$. It follows from [1, 8] (cf. [9, 10]) that

$$(6.1) \quad \psi_{i, \mathbf{d}_i k}^+ = o(i)^k (q_i - q_i^{-1}) k_i (\mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} - q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i})$$

for $i \in I_0$ and $k > 0$, where $\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is given in Remark 4.1. Note that if $s \in I_0$ is a minuscule node, then $\mathbf{d}_s = 1$.

Lemma 6.2. *For $i \in I_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{(k+1)\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} = & -\frac{1}{q_i + q_i^{-1}} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} - q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \right. \\ & \left. - \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} + q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof for untwisted types can be found in [22, Lemma 4.3] (cf. [23, Lemma 5.10]), while the proof for twisted types is almost identical by using the same functional equations (e.g. see [3, Remark 3.6]) involving the factor \mathbf{d}_i . \square

Lemma 6.3. Let V be a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module in the category \mathcal{O} . Suppose that there exists $v \in V_\omega$ such that

- (1) $e_i v = 0$ for all $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$, and
- (2) for each $i \in I_{\text{fin}}$, there exists $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v = b_i v$ and $\mathbf{E}_{2\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v = c_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v$.

Then v is an ℓ -weight vector with the ℓ -weight $(\Psi_i(z))$ given by

$$\Psi_i(z) = \omega(i) \frac{1 - (c_i + b_i(q_i^{-1} - q_i^{-3}))o(i)z^{\mathbf{d}_i}}{1 - o(i)c_i z^{\mathbf{d}_i}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1 and [1, 8], there exists $h \in U_q(\mathfrak{b})^0$ such that $[h, \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i}] = \mathbf{E}_{(k+1)\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i}$ for $k > 0$. Since $v \in V_\omega$, we have $hv = dv$ for some $d \in \mathbf{k}$. Then we have

$$h\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i - \alpha_i} v = \mathbf{E}_{2\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} hv = (c_i + d)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v.$$

Now we claim that

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbf{E}_{k\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v = c_i^{k-1} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{d}_i \delta - \alpha_i} v$$

for $k > 0$. We prove (6.2) by induction on k . Clearly, our claim holds for $k = 1$. Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(k+1)d_1\delta-\alpha_1} v &= hE_{kd_1\delta-\alpha_1} v - E_{kd_1\delta-\alpha_1} hv \\ &= c_i^{k-1} hE_{d_1\delta-\alpha_1} v - dc_i^{k-1} E_{d_1\delta-\alpha_1} v \\ &= c_i^{k-1} (c_i + d) E_{d_1\delta-\alpha_1} v - dc_i^{k-1} E_{d_1\delta-\alpha_1} v \\ &= c_i^k E_{d_1\delta-\alpha_1} v \end{aligned}$$

as desired. By (6.1) and (6.2) with (1) (cf. Remark 4.1), we have

$$\Psi_i(z) = \omega(i) - \omega(i)b_i(q_i^{-1} - q_i^{-3}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} o(i)^k c^{k-1} z^{d_1 k} = \omega(i) \frac{1 - (c_i + b_i(q_i^{-1} - q_i^{-3}))o(i)z^{d_1}}{1 - o(i)c_i z^{d_1}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6.4. Under the same hypothesis, we have

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} \omega(i) & \text{if } b_i = 0, \\ \omega(i)(1 - b_i(q_i^{-1} - q_i^{-3}))o(i)z^{d_1} & \text{if } c_i = 0, \\ \omega(i) \frac{1}{1 - o(i)c_i z^{d_1}} & \text{if } c_i + b_i(q_i^{-1} - q_i^{-3}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

6.2. Realization of minuscule prefundamental modules for twisted types. Assume that \mathbf{A} is of types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, where the Dynkin diagram corresponding to \mathbf{A} is given by

$$A_{2n-1}^{(2)} : \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \cdots \cdots \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \ll \\ \gg \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_n \end{array} \quad D_{n+1}^{(2)} : \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \ll \\ \gg \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \cdots \cdots \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \ll \\ \gg \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_n \end{array}$$

For types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, the minuscule nodes s are 1 and n , respectively. Note that $d_s = 1$, since $\sigma(s) \neq s$ (see Remark 4.1).

Now we are in position to state our main result in this paper.

Theorem 6.5. For a minuscule node $s \in I_0$, we have

$$U_q^-(w_s) \cong L_{s, a\eta_s}^-$$

for some $\eta_s \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, where $U_q^-(w_s)$ is the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module with respect to (4.3).

Proof. By Theorem 5.4, it is enough to show that the ℓ -weight $(\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_{\text{fin}}}$ of $1 \in U_q^-(w_s)$ is of the form

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - a\eta_s \omega^k z} & \text{if } i = \sigma^k(s), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(cf. the proof of [23, Theorem 5.4]). If $i \neq \sigma^k(s)$ for any k , then it follows from Proposition 2.1 and [23, Lemma 4.9] (see also [23, Remark 4.10]) that $-\alpha_{\bar{i}}$ is not a weight of $U_q^-(w_s)$ so

that $E_{\delta-\alpha_i}1 = 0$. This implies that $\Psi_{\sigma^k(i)}(z) = 1$ for any k , by Remark 4.4 and Corollary 6.4.

Suppose $i = \sigma^k(s)$. By Remark 4.4, it is enough to compute $\Psi_s(z)$. To do this, we compute $E_{\alpha_s}E_{\delta-\alpha_s}1$ and $E_{2\delta-\alpha_s}1$ as follows.

Case 1. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is of type $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$. Note that $\widetilde{w}_1 = (1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)$. By the same argument in [23, Lemma 5.11], one can check that

$$(6.3) \quad E_{\delta-\alpha_1} = -q^{-2n+2}e_2e_3 \dots e_{n-1}e_n e_{n-1} \dots e_2e_0 + X,$$

where X is the sum of the remaining monomials of the form $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ with $e_{i_k} \neq e_0$. By (6.3), Remark 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$E_{\delta-\alpha_1}1 = -aq^{-2n+2}f_1, \quad E_{\alpha_1}E_{\delta-\alpha_1}1 = -aq^{-2n+2}1,$$

(cf. Example 3.6). By (3.6), we also have $E_{\alpha_1}E_{\delta-\alpha_1}^21 = a^2q^{-4n+4}(1+q^{-2})f_1$. Then it follows from Lemma 6.2 that

$$E_{2\delta-\alpha_1}1 = a(q^{-2n+1} - q^{-2n-1})E_{\delta-\alpha_1}1.$$

Until now, we have seen that $1 \in U_q^-(w_1)$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 6.3, where $b_1 = -aq^{-2n+2}$ and $c_1 = a(q^{-2n+1} - q^{-2n-1})$. By Corollary 6.4, we have

$$\Psi_1(z) = \frac{1}{1 - o(1)c_1z},$$

since $c_1 + b_1(q^{-1} - q^{-3}) = 0$. This completes the proof in this case, where we set $\eta_1 = o(1)(q^{-2n+1} - q^{-2n-1})$.

Case 2. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is of type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. Note that $\widetilde{w}_n = w_1w_2 \dots w_n$, where $w_k = (n, n-1, \dots, k)$. By definition, one can check

$$(6.4) \quad E_{\delta-\alpha_n} = (-1)^{n-1}q^{-2n+2}e_{n-1}e_{n-2} \dots e_1e_0 + X,$$

where X is the sum of the remaining monomials of the form $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ with $e_{i_k} \neq e_0$. As in the previous case, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha_n}E_{\delta-\alpha_n}1 &= (-1)^{n-1}aq^{-2n+2}1, \\ E_{2\delta-\alpha_n}1 &= (-1)^{n-1}a(q^{-2n-1} - q^{-2n+1})E_{\delta-\alpha_n}1, \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Example 3.6). By Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4, we have

$$\Psi_n(z) = \frac{1}{1 - o(n)c_nz},$$

where $b_n = (-1)^{n-1}aq^{-2n+2}$ and $c_n = (-1)^{n-1}a(q^{-2n-1} - q^{-2n+1})$. This completes the proof in this case, where we set $\eta_n = (-1)^{n-1}o(n)(q^{-2n-1} - q^{-2n+1})$. \square

Example 6.6. Let us continue Example 3.6. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $D_3^{(2)}$. For simplicity, we take $o(2) = 1$. By Theorem 5.4, we have

$$\text{ch}(U_q^-(w_2)) = \text{ch}(L_{2,a}^\pm) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha_2}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2)}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}.$$

On the other hand, we have $E_{\delta-\alpha_2} = e_0e_1 - q^{-2}e_1e_0$. By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$E_{2\delta-\alpha_2}1 = aq^{-3}(1 - q^{-2})E_{\delta-\alpha_2}1, \quad E_{\alpha_2}E_{\delta-\alpha_2}1 = -aq^{-2}1,$$

where $b_2 = -aq^{-2}$ and $c_2 = aq^{-3}(1 - q^{-2})$. Note that $b_2(q^{-3} - q^{-1}) = c_2$. By Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4, we have

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - aq^{-3}(1 - q^{-2})z} & \text{if } i = 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence $U_q^-(w_2) \cong L_{2,a\eta_2}^-$ with $\eta_2 = q^{-3}(1 - q^{-2})$.

Following [22], we endow $U_q^-(w_s)$ with another $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure as follows:

$$(6.5) \quad k_i(u) = \begin{cases} q^{(\alpha_i, \text{wt}(u))}u & \text{if } i \in I_0, \\ q^{-(\theta, \text{wt}(u))}u & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases} \quad e_i(u) = \begin{cases} e'_i(u) & \text{if } i \in I_0, \\ aq^{-(\theta, \text{wt}(u))}u_{\mathbf{x}_0} & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.7. *Let $s \in I_0$ be a minuscule node. Then $U_q^-(w_s)$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, which is an object of \mathcal{O} with respect to (6.5). Moreover, $U_q^-(w_s)$ is isomorphic to $L_{s,-a\eta_s}^+$ as a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.*

Proof. The proof for the first statement of this theorem is almost identical with [22, Theorem 4.17] (cf. [23, Theorem 5.15]) by using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, so we leave the details to the reader. In this proof, we only check the second statement as follows. By Theorem 5.4, it is enough to compute the highest ℓ -weight of $U_q^-(w_s)$ with respect to (6.5).

Case 1. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is of type $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$. Recall (6.3). Since $\text{wt}(1) = 0$, we have

$$E_{\delta-\alpha_1}1 = -aq^{-2n+2}f_1, \quad E_{\delta-\alpha_1}E_{\alpha_1}E_{\delta-\alpha_1}1 = a^2q^{-4n+4}f_1.$$

Since $(\text{wt}(f_1), \theta) = 0$ and $(\text{wt}(f_1), \alpha_2) = 1$, we obtain

$$E_{\alpha_1}E_{\delta-\alpha_1}^21 = a^2q^{-4n+6}(1 + q^{-2})f_1.$$

This implies that $E_{2\delta-\alpha_1}1 = 0$. By Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4, we conclude

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + a\eta_1z & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Case 2. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is of type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. Recall (6.4). Since $(\text{wt}(f_n), \theta) = 0$ and $(\text{wt}(f_n), \alpha_{n-1}) = 2$, we have

$$E_{\alpha_n}E_{\delta-\alpha_n}^21 = a^2q^{-4n+6}(1 + q^{-2})f_n,$$

which implies that $E_{2\delta-\alpha_n}1 = 0$. By Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.4, we conclude

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + a\eta_nz & \text{if } i = n, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof in this case. \square

APPENDIX A. DYNKIN DIAGRAMS OF AFFINE TYPES WITH KAC LABELS

type	Dynkin diagram	type	Dynkin diagram
$A_1^{(1)}$		$A_2^{(2)}$	
$A_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 2$)		$A_{2n}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$)	
$B_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 3$)		$A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 3$)	
$C_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 2$)		$D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$)	
$D_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 4$)			
$E_6^{(1)}$			
$E_7^{(1)}$			
$E_8^{(1)}$			
$F_4^{(1)}$		$E_6^{(2)}$	
$G_2^{(1)}$		$D_4^{(3)}$	

TABLE 2. Dynkin diagrams of affine types $X_N^{(r)}$ with Kac labels

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Beck, *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), no. 3, 555–568.
- [2] Jonathan Beck, Vyjayanthi Chari, and Andrew Pressley, *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 3, 455–487. MR 1712630

- [3] Jonathan Beck and Hiraku Nakajima, *Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 2, 335–402. MR 2066942
- [4] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- [5] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley, *Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the nonsimply-laced case*, Lett. Math. Phys. **35** (1995), no. 2, 99–114.
- [6] ———, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 59–78.
- [7] ———, *Twisted quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **196** (1998), no. 2, 461–476.
- [8] Ilaria Damiani, *The R -matrix for (twisted) affine quantum algebras*, Representations and quantizations (Shanghai, 1998), China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, 2000, pp. 89–144.
- [9] ———, *Drinfeld realization of affine quantum algebras: the relations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **48** (2012), no. 3, 661–733.
- [10] ———, *From the Drinfeld realization to the Drinfeld-Jimbo presentation of affine quantum algebras: injectivity*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **51** (2015), no. 1, 131–171.
- [11] V. G. Drinfel'd, *A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **296** (1987), no. 1, 13–17.
- [12] Boris Feigin, Michio Jimbo, Tetsuji Miwa, and Eugene Mukhin, *Finite type modules and Bethe Ansatz equations*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **18** (2017), no. 8, 2543–2579.
- [13] Edward Frenkel and David Hernandez, *Baxter's relations and spectra of quantum integrable models*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 12, 2407–2460.
- [14] ———, *Spectra of quantum KdV Hamiltonians, Langlands duality, and affine opers*, Comm. Math. Phys. **362** (2018), no. 2, 361–414.
- [15] Alexandr Garbali, *The izergin-korepin model*, 2015, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris VI.
- [16] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, and J. Schröer, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), no. 2, 337–397.
- [17] David Hernandez, *The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture and solutions of T -systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **596** (2006), 63–87.
- [18] ———, *Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture: the general case*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 1, 149–193.
- [19] David Hernandez and Michio Jimbo, *Asymptotic representations and Drinfeld rational fractions*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 5, 1593–1623.
- [20] David Hernandez and Hiraku Nakajima, *Level 0 monomial crystals*, Nagoya Math. J. **184** (2006), 85–153.
- [21] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR 1066460
- [22] Il-Seung Jang, Jae-Hoon Kwon, and Euiyong Park, *Unipotent quantum coordinate ring and prefundamental representations for types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2023), no. 2, 1119–1172.
- [23] ———, *Unipotent quantum coordinate ring and cominuscule prefundamental representations*, J. Algebra **673** (2025), 260–303.
- [24] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [25] Victor G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Third ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [26] Masaki Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.
- [27] ———, *The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no. 3, 839–858.

- [28] ———, *Global crystal bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), no. 2, 455–485.
- [29] ———, *On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), no. 1, 117–175.
- [30] Yoshiyuki Kimura, *Quantum unipotent subgroup and dual canonical basis*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), no. 2, 277–331.
- [31] Chul-hee Lee, *Product formula for the limits of normalized characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2021), no. 13, 10014–10036.
- [32] Serge Levendorskii and Yan Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), no. 1, 141–170.
- [33] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498.
- [34] George Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010, Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [35] E. Mukhin and C. A. S. Young, *Affinization of category \mathcal{O} for quantum groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 9, 4815–4847.
- [36] Dinakar Muthiah and Peter Tingley, *Affine PBW bases and affine MV polytopes*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **24** (2018), no. 5, 4781–4810.
- [37] Hiraku Nakajima, *t -analogs of q -characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of quantum affine algebras*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 259–274.
- [38] Andrei Neguț, *Category \mathcal{O} for quantum loop algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2501.00724 (2025).
- [39] Paolo Papi, *A characterization of a special ordering in a root system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), no. 3, 661–665.
- [40] ———, *Convex orderings in affine root systems*, J. Algebra **172** (1995), no. 3, 613–623.
- [41] Théo Pinet, *A functor for constructing R -matrices in the category \mathcal{O} of Borel quantum loop algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **109** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. e12815, 46.
- [42] Sam Qunell, *2-categorical affine symmetries of quantum enveloping algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2502.08039 (2025).
- [43] Yoshihisa Saito, *PBW basis of quantized universal enveloping algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), no. 2, 209–232.
- [44] Keyu Wang, *$Q\tilde{Q}$ -systems for twisted quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **400** (2023), no. 2, 1137–1179.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INCHEON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, INCHEON 22012, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
 Email address: ilseungjang@inu.ac.kr