

# EISENSTEIN COHOMOLOGY AND CONGRUENCES FOR THE RATIOS OF RANKIN–SELBERG $L$ -FUNCTIONS

P. NARAYANAN & A. RAGHURAM

**ABSTRACT.** A well-known principle states that a congruence between objects should give rise to a corresponding congruence between the special values of  $L$ -functions attached to these objects. In this article, using the machinery of Eisenstein cohomology after refining it for integral cohomology, we prove an instance of this principle for the ratios of critical values for Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions attached to pairs of holomorphic cuspforms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A principle, with origins in Iwasawa theory, says that a congruence between objects should give rise to a congruence between the special values of  $L$ -functions attached to these objects. In this article, we prove an instance of this principle: that a congruence between modular forms gives rise to a congruence between the ratio of special values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions of these forms with an auxiliary modular form.

To state our results more precisely, suppose  $h(z) = \sum a(n, h)e^{2\pi inz}$  and  $h'(z) = \sum a(n, h')e^{2\pi inz}$  are primitive holomorphic modular cuspforms of levels  $N$  and  $N'$ , weights  $k$  and  $k'$ , with nebentypus characters  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$ , respectively. Denote this as  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$  and  $h' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}(h, h')$  be the number field obtained by adjoining the Fourier coefficients  $\{a(n, h)\}$  and  $\{a(n, h')\}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Assume that  $k' > k$ . A well-known theorem of Shimura [18] says that for  $D_N(s, h, h')$ , the degree-4 Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function attached to the pair  $(h, h')$ , and for any integer  $m$  with  $k \leq m < k'$ , we have:  $D_N(m, h, h') \approx (2\pi i)^{l+1-2m} \mathfrak{g}(\chi) u^+(f') u^-(f')$ , where  $\approx$  means equality up to an element of  $\mathbb{Q}(h, h')$ ,  $u^\pm(h')$  are the two periods attached to  $h'$  by Shimura, and  $\mathfrak{g}(\chi)$  is the Gauss sum of  $\chi$ . The integers  $k \leq m < k'$  are all the critical points for  $D_N(s, h, h')$ . Suppose  $k' \geq k + 2$ , and we look at two successive critical values, then the only change in the right hand side is  $(2\pi i)^{-2}$  which may be seen to be exactly accounted for by the  $\Gamma$ -factors at infinity. Suppose  $L(s, h \times h')$  denotes the completed degree-4  $L$ -function attached to  $(h, h')$ , then we deduce, for any  $m$  with  $k \leq m < m + 1 < k'$ , that

$$\frac{L(m, h \times h')}{L(m + 1, h \times h')} \in \mathbb{Q}(h, h').$$

Now suppose  $h'' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$ . We say  $h''$  is congruent to  $h'$  if in some number field  $E$ , large enough to contain all the rationality fields, and some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{l}$  of the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$  of  $E$ , the congruence  $a(n, h') \equiv a(n, h'') \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$  holds for all  $n$ . Both the  $L$ -functions  $L(s, h \times h')$  and  $L(s, h \times h'')$  have the same set of critical points. Under some general hypotheses we prove:

$$(1) \quad h' \equiv h'' \pmod{\mathfrak{l}} \implies \frac{L(m, h' \times h)}{L(m + 1, h' \times h)} \equiv \frac{L(m, h'' \times h)}{L(m + 1, h'' \times h)} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

This is proven in Thm. 8.3 when  $\mathfrak{l}$  is away from a finite set of primes (about which we will say more presently), the mod- $\mathfrak{l}$  Galois representations attached to  $h'$  and  $h''$  are absolutely irreducible, and the levels  $N$  and  $N'$  are square-free and relatively prime. If we drop the hypothesis on the levels then in Thm. 8.2 a weaker congruence is proven. A natural variation by taking the congruent modular forms to be lower weight, i.e., if  $k' < k$ , is addressed in Thm. 8.4.

*Date:* December 3, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F33; 11F67, 11F66, 11F70, 11F75, 22E55.

In a companion paper [12], we have computationally verified such a congruence in several concrete examples. The methods we use in this paper proving the theorems are completely independent of the companion paper. The reader is also referred to Vatsal [20] in which is proved that a congruence between modular forms gives rise to a congruence between special values of their degree-2  $L$ -functions divided by certain periods provided the periods are canonically chosen. Vatsal's theorem was a major motivation for our own work. In our situation, one of the advantages is that for ratios of  $L$ -values there is no need to appeal to any periods, and their canonical normalization is a moot point. The ratio of successive  $L$ -values appears naturally in Langlands's famous constant term theorem. In the work of the second author with Harder [5] this theorem of Langlands was interpreted in the general framework of Eisenstein cohomology. We prove the main results of this paper (Thm. 8.2, Thm. 8.3) using the tools developed in [5] but after refining that framework to deal with integral cohomology.

In results concerning congruences and special values of  $L$ -functions, the congruence prime  $\mathfrak{l}$  is usually assumed to be not among a finite set of primes; see, for example, Hida [7], Vatsal [20], or Balasubramanyam and Raghuram [1]. For the main results of our paper, for similar reasons, we need to avoid certain finite sets of primes: (i) small primes with respect to the weight  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{weight}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_E \mid p \leq k, p \leq k'\}$ ; (ii) primes dividing the levels  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{level}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_E \mid p \mid NN'\}$ ; (iii) primes supporting torsion in integral Eisenstein cohomology  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}}$  (see 6.2 for the precise definition); (iv) primes coming from archimedean considerations  $\mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$ .

For the introduction, let us now adumbrate the proofs. Under the hypotheses of the theorems, the congruent modular forms  $h'$  and  $h''$  give rise to two cohomology classes that are congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$ ; this is possible since the Hecke algebra is Gorenstein; see Sect. 3. These cohomology classes are in the inner cohomology of a locally symmetric space for  $\text{GL}(2)$  with coefficients in an integral local system attached to a lattice in a highest weight module determined by the weight  $k'$ . Tensoring with a similarly defined cohomology class attached to  $h$ , gives rise to cohomology classes on  $\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)$  which are congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$ . We then go through the formalism of rank-one Eisenstein cohomology of [5] on  $\text{GL}(4)$  for the  $(2, 2)$ -parabolic subgroup. The configuration of maps in (6.18) of *loc. cit.* is then reworked at an integral level for this specific context. This configuration of maps is at the heart of affording a cohomological interpretation of Langlands's theorem mentioned above: the constant term of an Eisenstein series is essentially the standard intertwining operator between two induced representations. While working integrally, one needs a delicate control on integral structures on cohomology groups and such induced representations which necessitates avoiding a finite set  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}}$  of primes. One also needs delicate control on the local standard intertwining operator; at the archimedean place it gives rise to a rational number forcing us to avoid the finite set  $\mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$  of primes supporting that number; and at finite ramified primes the local computation is explicitly carried out, but only in the presence of mild ramification explaining the condition on the levels  $N$  and  $N'$ .

#### CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Hecke algebras and Gorenstein property	8
4. Double coset representatives	10
5. Integral structures on the induced space	15
6. Congruence of the Eisenstein operator	16
7. Computing the Eisenstein operator on some special vectors	19
8. The main theorems on the ratios of $L$ -values	21
9. Local calculation	24
References	31

*Acknowledgements:* The authors are grateful to the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, for a summer collaborator's grant in 2023 when this project got started. The authors thank Baskar Balasubramanyam, Haruzo Hida, Jacques Tilouine, and Eric Urban for some invaluable comments and feedback during the course of the work. The first author is supported by the CSIR fellowship for his Ph.D.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Basic notations.** Let  $G_n$  be the algebraic group  $\mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Q}$  with the chain of subgroups

$$G_n \supset B_n = T_n U_n \supset T_n \supset Z_n,$$

where  $B_n$  is the upper triangular Borel subgroup,  $T_n$  the torus consisting of all the diagonal matrices,  $U_n$  the unipotent radical of the Borel, and  $Z_n$  the center of  $G_n$ . Let  $X^*(T_n)$  denote the group of characters of  $T_n$  which is free abelian on the basis  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_i : \mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \mapsto t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $\delta_n = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n$  is the determinant character. Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1} \in X_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(T_n) = X^*(T_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be the fundamental dominant weights with respect to the usual pairing. Let  $\rho_n$  denote half the sum of positive roots. Let  $\Delta_n$  denote the set of roots and  $\Delta_n^+$  is the subset of positive roots with respect to  $B_n$ . Let  $\Pi_n$  denote the set of simple roots  $\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $W_n$  denote the Weyl group of  $G_n$  which will be identified with the set of permutation matrices.

We will be using rank-one Eisenstein cohomology when the ambient group is  $G_4 = \mathrm{GL}_4/\mathbb{Q}$ . In that context, we will let  $P$  denote the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G_4$  corresponding to the deletion of the simple root  $\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3$  of  $\Pi_4$ . Then  $P$  is the  $(2, 2)$  block upper matrices in  $G_4$ . Let  $U_P$  denote the unipotent radical of  $P$  and  $\kappa : P \rightarrow P/U_P \cong M_P$  be the projection onto the Levi quotient  $M_P \cong G_2 \times G_2$ . (The notation  $G_2$  standing for  $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$  will cause absolutely no confusion whatsoever as we do not need any exceptional group in this article.) The simple roots of  $M_P$  are  $\Pi_{M_P} = \{\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_4\}$ . The Weyl group of the Levi is denoted by  $W^{M_P}$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and realized as a subgroup of the Weyl group  $W_4 \simeq S_4$  of  $G_4$ . The set of Kostant representatives for  $P$  is  $W^P = \{w \in W_4 \mid w^{-1}\alpha > 0, \forall \alpha \in \Pi_{M_P}\}$ , giving a complete set of representatives for the right cosets  $W_M \backslash W_4$ ; there are six elements in  $W^P$ .

A number field  $E$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; very often this is a field containing all the Fourier coefficients of various modular forms at hand. We will reserve  $l$  for a prime ideal in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$  of  $E$ ; this  $l$  will often be a congruence prime.

**2.2. Sheaves and cohomology.**

**2.2.1. Locally symmetric spaces.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{A}_f$ ) ring of adeles (resp., finite adeles) of  $\mathbb{A}$ . If  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$  denotes the standard special orthogonal group as a subgroup of  $G_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then let  $K_{n,\infty} = \mathrm{SO}(n) \times Z_n(\mathbb{R})^0$ , where  $(\cdot)^0$  denotes the connected component of the group. Let  $K_f \subset G_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$  be an open compact subgroup. The adelic locally symmetric space is:

$$S_{K_f}^{(n)} := G_n(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_n(\mathbb{A}) / K_{n,\infty} \cdot K_f.$$

**2.2.2. Highest weight representations.** A weight  $\mu = b_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + b_n \mathbf{e}_n$  is integral if  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and dominant if  $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n$ . For such a dominant integral weight, let  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  denote the finite dimensional irreducible representation of  $G_n$  with highest weight  $\mu$  which is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For  $A = E, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , define  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,A} := \mathcal{M}_{\mu,E} \otimes A$ . For an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  put  $\mu(m) = \mu + m\delta_n$ ; then  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu(m)} = \mathcal{M}_\mu \otimes \det^m$ .

**2.2.3. Sheaves and their cohomology.** Let  $\pi : G_n(\mathbb{A}) / K_{n,\infty} \times K_f \rightarrow S_{K_f}^{(n)}$  be the projection. For  $A = E, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , define a sheaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,A}$  whose sections over an open  $U \subset S_{K_f}^{(n)}$  is given by:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,A}(U) = \{s : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mu,A} \mid s(\gamma \cdot g) = \gamma s(g) \ \forall \gamma \in G_2(\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

Let  $H^\bullet(S_{K_f}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,E})$  denote the sheaf cohomology groups. For  $A = E, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , one has isomorphisms for changing the base:  $H^\bullet(S_{K_f}^{(2)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,A}) \cong H^\bullet(S_{K_f}^{(2)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,E}) \otimes A$ . If  $K_{1,f} \subset K_{2,f}$  then there is a natural map  $H^\bullet(S_{K_{1,f}}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,E}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(S_{K_{2,f}}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,E})$ , letting us define

$$H^\bullet(S^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,E}) = \mathrm{colim}_{K_f} H^\bullet(S_{K_f}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu,E}).$$

2.2.4. *The mirahoric congruence subgroups in  $G_2$  and  $G_4$ .* For a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n_p \geq 0$ , let

$$K_p(n_p) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^{n_p}}\}.$$

For a positive integer  $N$ , let  $K_1(N)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  defined as  $K_1(N) = \prod_{p < \infty} K_p(n_p)$ . Similarly, in  $G_4$ , define

$$K_p^{n_p} := \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^{n_p}} \right\}.$$

and define  $K_f^N$  to be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  by  $K_f^N = \prod_{p < \infty} K_p^{n_p}$ .

2.2.5. *Inner cohomology of  $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$ .* For the level structure  $K_1(N)$  in  $G_2$ , abbreviate  $S_1^{(2)}(N) := S_{K_1(N)}^{(2)}$ . For  $A = E, E_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathbb{C}$ , let  $H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, E})$  denote the inner cohomology group, by which one means the image of cohomology with compact supports inside full cohomology. If  $\mathbf{S}$  denotes the set all the finite places  $p \mid N$ , then the action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_2^{\mathbf{S}} = \bigotimes'_{p \nmid N} C_c^\infty(G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash G_2(\mathbb{Z}_p))$  on  $H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, E})$  is semi-simple. Let  $\mathrm{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu, K_1(N))$  denote the set of all isotypic components of  $H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, E})$ .

2.2.6. *Representation at infinity.* We will assume the weight  $\mu$  is regular. Given an absolutely simple Hecke module  $\sigma_f \in \mathrm{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu, K_1(N))$  and an embedding  $\iota : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the module  ${}^\iota\sigma_f$  is the  $K_1(N)$ -invariants of the finite part of a cuspidal automorphic representation which (up to a minor abuse of notation) will be denote  ${}^\iota\sigma$ ; its representation at infinity  ${}^\iota\sigma_\infty$  is an essentially discrete series representation  $\mathbb{D}_\mu$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that the relative Lie algebra cohomology  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2, \infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathbb{C}})$  is nonzero. The notations are as in [5, Sect. 3.1]. Since we are working with  $\mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Q}$ ,  ${}^\iota\sigma_\infty$  is independent of  $\iota$ ; without any cause for confusion, we will denote it as  $\sigma_\infty$ .

### 2.3. Integral structures on cohomology groups for $\mathrm{GL}_2$ .

2.3.1. *Highest weights for modular forms.* For an integer  $k \geq 2$ , define:

$$\mu_k = (k-2)\gamma_1 + (k/2-1)\delta_2 = (k-2)\mathbf{e}_1 + 0\mathbf{e}_2.$$

The underlying  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu_k}$  consists of homogenous polynomials of degree  $k-2$  in two variables  $X$  and  $Y$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If the  $k$  is clear from context then  $\mu := \mu_k$ . Also, if  $k > 2$  then  $\mu_k$  is a regular weight. Similarly, an integer  $k' \geq 2$  determines a  $\mu' = \mu_{k'}$ . Hereafter the weights  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  will be assumed to be regular.

2.3.2. *Integral sheaves.* Assume  $N > 3$ . One can also re-define the sheaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, E}$  with respect to the projection:

$$\pi_1 : G_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (G_2(\mathbb{R})/K_{2, \infty} \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)) \rightarrow S_1^{(2)}(N)$$

In this case, sections over an open set  $U$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, E} &= \{ \tilde{s} : \pi_1^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mu, E} \otimes \mathbb{A}_E^{(\infty)} \mid \tilde{s} \text{ is locally constant,} \\ &\quad g_f \cdot \tilde{s}(x_\infty, g_f) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu, E} \text{ and } \tilde{s}(x_\infty, g_f k_f) = k_f^{-1} \cdot s(x_\infty, g_f) \ \forall \ k_f \in K_1(N) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E}$  to be the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice generated by  $X^j Y^{k-2-j}$ . It is clear that for  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , one has  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E} \otimes A = \mathcal{M}_{\mu, A}$ . It is also clear that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_E$  is stable under the action of  $K_1(N)$ . For  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , define:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A} &= \{ \tilde{s} : \pi_1^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_E \mid \tilde{s} \text{ is locally constant,} \\ &\quad g_f \cdot \tilde{s}(x_\infty, g_f) \in M_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E} \otimes A \text{ and } \tilde{s}(x_\infty, g_f k_f) = k_f^{-1} \cdot s(x_\infty, g_f) \ \forall \ k_f \in K_1(N) \}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $1 \leq N \leq 3$  we do necessary modifications; see Hida [7] and Faltings and Jordan [3].

**2.3.3. Classical cohomology groups.** Let  $\mathbb{H}$  denote the complex upper half space which is acted upon by  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  in the usual way. The group  $\Gamma_1(N) = \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K_1(N)$  is the congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of matrices which are congruent to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  modulo  $N$ . Put  $X_1(N) = \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . Let  $\pi_{\mathbb{H}} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow X_1(N)$  be the canonical projection. For  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , and an open set  $U \subset X_1(N)$ , define

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}(U) = \{ s : \pi_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mu, A} \mid s \text{ is locally constant; } s(\gamma(z)) = \gamma \cdot s(z), \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_1(N), \ z \in \mathbb{H} \}.$$

Given  $g_f \in G_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , one can express  $g_f = \gamma k_f$  for some  $\gamma \in G_2(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $k_f \in K_1(N)$ . The map  $G(\mathbb{Q})(g_\infty, g_f) K_{2, \infty} K_1(N) \mapsto \Gamma_1(N) \gamma^{-1} g_\infty \cdot \sqrt{-1}$  is a homeomorphism between  $S_1^{(2)}(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1(N)$ , giving then an isomorphism of sheaves  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}$ , from which one has:

$$H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}) \cong H_!^1(X_1(N), \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}), \quad A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}$$

Furthermore, there is also a canonical isomorphism

$$H_!^1(X_1(N), \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}) \cong H_!^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{M}_{\mu, A}),$$

where the latter are the parabolic cohomology groups defined by Shimura [17, Chapter 8]; see also Hida [8, Appendix]. Since  $\mathcal{O}_l, E, E_l, \mathbb{C}$  are all flat  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -modules one gets

$$H_!^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E}) \otimes A \cong H_!^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{M}_{\mu, A}) \quad \text{for } A = \mathcal{O}_l, E, E_l, \mathbb{C}.$$

See Hida [8, p. 168] which in turn implies

$$(2) \quad H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E}) \otimes A \cong H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}) \quad \text{for } A = \mathcal{O}_l, E, E_l, \mathbb{C}.$$

When the

**2.3.4. Avoiding torsion in integral cohomology.** For an integer  $N \geq 1$ , define a finite set of prime ideals:

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{S}_N := \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal of } \mathcal{O}_E \text{ which divides } N \}.$$

Similarly, for an integer  $k \geq 2$ , define another finite set of prime ideals:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{S}_{\mu_k} = \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal of } \mathcal{O}_E \text{ over any prime } p \leq k \}.$$

(Note:  $\mathbf{S}_{\mu_k} = \mathbf{S}_{k!}$ .) If  $\mathfrak{l} \notin \mathbf{S}_N \cup \mathbf{S}_{\mu_k}$  then by Hida [7, (1.14<sub>b</sub>)], the cohomology group  $H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E})$  has no  $\mathfrak{l}$ -torsion.

**2.3.5. Tate twists.** For  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}$  it is clear that  $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A} \cong \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu(m), A}$  due to sheaves being defined by the action of  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence we fix *one* integral structure, namely the image of

$$(5) \quad H_!^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E}) \text{ (resp. } H_!^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathcal{M}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_l}) \text{)}$$

in all of the cohomology groups  $H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu(m), E})$  (resp.  $H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu(m), E_l})$ ) with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The notations  $\tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E})$  and  $\tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_l})$  will be used to denote the images respectively. This is done to ensure there are no torsion cohomology classes. If we avoid a suitable finite set of primes as in 2.3.4 then there is no  $\mathfrak{l}$ -torsion and we may simplify the notation  $\tilde{H}_!^1(\dots)$  to  $H_!^1(\dots)$ . It should be kept in mind that the twists appear when one considers the action of an integral Hecke algebra on integral cohomology.

In general we shall reserve the notation  $\tilde{H}^\bullet(\dots)$  to denote the image of the cohomology with integral coefficients inside the cohomology with rational coefficients. This is done to kill any torsion.

## 2.4. Cohomology of the Levi quotient $M_P = G_2 \times G_2$ .

2.4.1. *Künneth isomorphisms.* The weights  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  give a highest weight  $\mu + \mu'$  for  $M_P$ . For  $A = E, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , let  $H^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A})$  denote the cohomology groups at degree 2 of the locally symmetric space associated to the Levi  $M_P$  with level structure  $K_1(N) \times K_1(N')$ , and coefficient system  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A}$ . If the weights  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are regular, then the notion of inner and strongly inner cohomology in [5] coincide. Moreover, we have a Künneth isomorphism:

$$H_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A}) \cong H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, A}) \otimes_A H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', A}).$$

2.4.2. *Integral structures.* Via the Künneth isomorphism above, the image of

$$\tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_E}) \hookrightarrow H_!^1(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', E})$$

gives an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice which will be denoted  $\tilde{H}_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu'+\mu, \mathcal{O}_E})$ . Similarly, an  $\mathcal{O}_l$  lattice:

$$\tilde{H}_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', \mathcal{O}_{E_l}}) \subset \tilde{H}_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', E_l}).$$

Suppose for the moment,  $R$  and  $S$  are commutative rings with 1 and  $R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, and if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$ -modules then  $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R S \cong (M \otimes_R S) \otimes_S (N \otimes_R S)$ . Applying this for  $\mathcal{O}_E \hookrightarrow A$ , for  $A = \mathcal{O}_l, E, E_l, \mathbb{C}$ , we get

$$(6) \quad \tilde{H}_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', \mathcal{O}_E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} A \cong \tilde{H}_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A}).$$

There will be variations on the cohomology of the Levi (as when we look at both sides of an intertwining operator), but the same recipe as above will be adopted for all variations.

## 2.5. Rankin–Selberg $L$ -functions.

2.5.1. *Classical Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions.* For integers  $N', N \geq 1$ , Dirichlet characters  $\chi'$  and  $\chi$  of levels  $N'$  and  $N$ , respectively, and integers  $k' > k \geq 2$  consider primitive forms  $h' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$  and  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$ , with Fourier expansions:  $h'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, h') q^n$  and  $h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, h) q^n$ , where as usual  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ . By primitive one means an eigenform, new form, and normalized as  $a_1 = 1$ . For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) \gg 0$  define the finite-part of the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -function as a Dirichlet series:

$$L^{(\infty)}(s, h \times h') := L^{(M)}(s, \chi\chi') \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(h) a_n(h') n^{-s} \right),$$

where  $M$  is the LCM of  $N$  and  $N'$ , and  $L^{(M)}(s, \chi\chi')$  denotes the Dirichlet  $L$ -function attached to the character  $\chi\chi'$  of level  $M$  with the Euler factors at  $p|M$  deleted. From Shimura [19, Lem. 1] one has an Euler product:  $L^{(\infty)}(s, h \times h') = \prod_{p < \infty} L_p(s, h \times h')$ . Keeping the assumption  $k' > k$  in mind, the archimedean factor is defined by:

$$L_{\infty}(s, h \times h') := (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s+1-k).$$

The completed  $L$ -function is defined by:  $L(s, h \times h') = L_{\infty}(s, h \times h') L^{(\infty)}(s, h \times h')$ . It is well-known that  $L(s, h \times h')$  can be analytically continued to all of the complex plane, and satisfies a functional equation, towards which, define the action of complex conjugation via:  $h'^{\rho} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a(n, h')} q^n$  and  $h^{\rho} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a(n, h)} q^n$ . Then  $h'$  and  $h$  are newforms in  $S_{k'}(N', \chi'^{-1})$  and  $S_k(N, \chi^{-1})$ , respectively; see, for example, Miyake [11, Thm. 4.6.15]. Also,  $\overline{a(p, h)} = \chi(p)^{-1} a(p, h)$  and  $\overline{a(p, h')} = \chi(p)^{-1} a_p(h')$ ; see, for example, Shimura [17, Prop. 3.56]. The functional equation then can be roughly stated as

$$L(k' + k - 1 - s, h \times h') \approx L(s, h^{\rho} \times h'^{\rho}).$$

See Hida [6, Thm. 9.1] for the precise factors involved. For our purposes it is enough to observe that for ratios of  $L$ -functions one has:

$$(7) \quad \frac{L(k' + k - 1 - s, h \times h')}{L(k' + k - s, h \times h')} = c(N, N', k, k') \frac{L(s, h^\rho \times h'^\rho)}{L(s - 1, h^\rho \times h'^\rho)},$$

where  $c(N, N', k, k') \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  is a constant which depends only on the prime factors of  $N$  and  $N'$  and on the weights  $k'$  and  $k$ .

**2.5.2. Critical points for classical Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions.** The line of symmetry for the functional equation is  $\Re(s) = (k + k' - 1)/2$ . An integer  $m$  is *critical* for  $L(s, h \times h')$ , if the archimedean factors on both sides of the functional equation are finite at  $s = m$ , i.e., if  $\Gamma(m)\Gamma(m + 1 - k)$  and  $\Gamma(k + k' - 1 - m)\Gamma(k' - m)$  are finite. Therefore the critical set is:

$$(8) \quad \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq m \leq k' - 1\}.$$

The number of critical points is  $k' - k$ . The condition  $k' > k$  was imposed to guarantee the existence of critical points. For the main results on congruences for ratios of successive critical values, we will furthermore need to assume that  $k' - k \geq 2$ .

**2.5.3. Relation between classical and automorphic  $L$ -functions.** Given primitive forms  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$  and  $h' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$  as above, consider highest weights  $\mu = \mu_k = (k - 2, 0)$  and  $\mu' = \mu_{k'} = (k' - 2, 0)$ , and Hecke modules in inner cohomology  $\sigma \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu)$  and  $\sigma' \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu')$ , and an embedding  $\iota : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be taken such that

$$(9) \quad {}^\iota\sigma \cong \Pi(h) \cdot |\cdot|^{-k/2+1}, \quad {}^\iota\sigma' \cong \Pi(h'^\rho) \cdot |\cdot|^{-k'/2+1}.$$

where  $\Pi(h)$  and  $\Pi(h'^\rho)$  are the cuspidal automorphic representations attached to  $h$  and  $h'^\rho$  respectively. The reason for taking  $h'^\rho$  for  $\sigma'$  (instead of  $h'$  itself) will become clear in (10) below. The reader is referred to Raghuram and Tanabe [14] for details of the dictionary between the modular forms and cohomological cuspidal representations. In particular, one has the following relations:

$$L(s, \sigma) = L(s + \frac{1}{2}, h), \quad L(s, \sigma^\vee) = L(s + k - \frac{3}{2}, h),$$

and similarly for  $\sigma', h'$  and  $k'$ . Furthermore, for an integer  $m$ , the Tate-twist  $\sigma(-m)$  has cohomology with respect to  $\mu(m)$ , then we have the following relation between the automorphic-representation theoretic and the classical Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions:

$$(10) \quad L(s, \sigma(-m) \times \sigma'^\vee) = L(s + k' - m - 1, h \times h').$$

All this applies just the same to the pair  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$  and  $h'' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$ .

**2.5.4. Setting-up the context of Eisenstein cohomology.** To apply the machinery of [5], we will be looking at the intertwining operator:

$$T_{\text{st}}(s)|_{s=-2} : {}^a\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma(-m) \times \sigma') \longrightarrow {}^a\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma'(2) \times \sigma(-m-2)),$$

which in *loc. cit.*, gives a rationality result for the ratio:

$$\frac{L(-2, \sigma(-m) \times \sigma'^\vee)}{L(-1, \sigma(-m) \times \sigma'^\vee)} = \frac{L(-2 - m, \sigma \times \sigma'^\vee)}{L(-1 - m, \sigma \times \sigma'^\vee)} = \frac{L(k' - m - 3, h \times h')}{L(k' - m - 2, h \times h')},$$

provided  $m$  satisfies the constraints imposed by combinatorial lemma which is exactly equivalent to the above  $L$ -values being critical; from (8) this imposes the following bounds on permissible Tate-twists  $m$ :

$$-1 \leq m \leq k' - k - 3.$$

Furthermore, to carry out [5], the data  $(\mu_k(m), \mu_{k'})$  needs to be on the right of the unitary axis (required for a certain Eisenstein series to be holomorphic), which is the condition:

$$-2 + \frac{k' - 2}{2} - \left( \frac{k - 2}{2} + m \right) \geq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad m \leq \frac{k' - k}{2} - 2.$$

Hence, as  $m$  varies from  $-1$  to  $\frac{k'-k}{2} - 2$ , we are looking at the string of ratios of  $L$ -values from the rightmost up to a little more than the central value:

$$\frac{L(k' - 2, h \times h')}{L(k' - 1, h \times h')}, \frac{L(k' - 3, h \times h')}{L(k' - 2, h \times h')}, \dots, \frac{L(\lfloor \frac{k+k'-1}{2} \rfloor, h \times h')}{L(\lfloor \frac{k+k'+1}{2} \rfloor, h \times h')}.$$

If we are on the left of the unitary axis, then reversing the direction of the intertwining operator and using the functional equation offers the possibility of a result for all successive ratios critical values exactly as in [5]; see the discussion in Sect. 8.2.

### 3. HECKE ALGEBRAS AND GORENSTEIN PROPERTY

**3.1. Classical Hecke algebras.** For  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l$ , define  $A$  sub-modules  $S_k(N, A) = \{f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, f)q^n \in A[[q]] \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {}'a(n, f)q^n \in S_k(N)\}$  of the space of classical cusp forms  $S_k(N) := S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ . Recall a theorem of Shimura, Deligne, Rapoport and Katz; see Hida [9, Chapter 3].

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l$  the space  $S_k(N, A)$  is an  $A$ -module of full rank in  $S_k(N)$ , i.e.,*

$$(11) \quad S_k(N, A) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_l} \mathbb{C} = S_k(N).$$

For  $A = \mathcal{O}_E, E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l$  define  $h_k(N, A) \subset \text{End}_A(S_k(N, A))$  to be the usual Hecke algebra generated by the operators  $T(p)$  for all primes  $p$  and  $T(p, p)$  for  $p \nmid N$ . Due to the perfect pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot) : S_k(N, A) \times h_k(N, A) \rightarrow A$ ,  $(f, T) \mapsto a(1, f|T)$ , Hida [9, Theorem 3.17], one gets  $h_k(N, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes A = h_k(N, A)$  for  $A = E, \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}$  and

$$(12) \quad S_k(N, A) \cong \text{Hom}_A(h_k(N, A), A) \quad (\text{as } h_k(N, A)\text{-modules}).$$

**3.2. Formalism of a Gorenstein datum.** Suppose  $R$  is the ring of integers of a local field of characteristic 0 and  $\mathfrak{l} \subset R$  will be the unique maximal principal ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a commutative  $R$ -algebra with 1 which is also finite and free as an  $R$ -module. Since  $R$  is complete  $\mathbb{T}$  is complete as well. It is well known that  $\mathbb{T}$  has only finitely many maximal ideals and each such ideal  $\mathfrak{L}$  defines an idempotent  $e_{\mathfrak{L}} \in \mathbb{T}$ . Furthermore,  $e_{\mathfrak{L}}\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  and  $\mathbb{T} = \sum_{\mathfrak{L}} e_{\mathfrak{L}}\mathbb{T} \cong \oplus_{\mathfrak{L}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ , where the sum is over the finitely many maximal ideals. Let  $H$  be a *fixed* free  $R$ -module and also a  $\mathbb{T}$ -module (not necessarily free) and  $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathbb{T}$  a *fixed* maximal ideal. Observe  $H_{\mathfrak{L}} \cong e_{\mathfrak{L}}H$  and so  $H_{\mathfrak{L}} \hookrightarrow H$ . In applications we will be under the following assumptions:

- (1) There is a  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  equivariant isomorphism  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{L}} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathfrak{L}}$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R) \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  as  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  modules.

The second assumption is the definition of a ring (here  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ ) being Gorenstein. Hereafter call the tuple  $(R, \mathbb{T}, H, \mathfrak{L})$  which satisfies the the above assumptions to be a *freely Gorenstein datum*.

**3.3. Presence of two congruent morphisms.** Assume now there are two *distinct*  $R$ -algebra morphisms  $\Theta', \Theta'' : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow R$  such that their compositions with the map  $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{l}$  are the same, i.e.,

$$\overline{\Theta'} = \overline{\Theta''},$$

where,  $\overline{\Theta'} := \Theta' \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$  and  $\overline{\Theta''} := \Theta'' \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ ; the kernels of  $\overline{\Theta'}$  and  $\overline{\Theta''}$  are the same; put  $\mathfrak{L} := \ker \overline{\Theta'} = \ker \overline{\Theta''}$ . Hence the morphisms  $\Theta'$  and  $\Theta''$  factors through  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  which will be denoted by the same symbols. It will be *assumed* that  $(R, \mathbb{T}, H, \mathfrak{L})$  is a freely-Gorenstein datum.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Under the  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ -equivariant isomorphism, say  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}} : \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R) \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ , one has*

$$\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta'), \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta'') \notin \mathfrak{l}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, \quad \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta') - \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta'') \in \mathfrak{l}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}.$$

*The algebra  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  acts on  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta')$  and  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta'')$  by the characters  $\Theta'$  and  $\Theta''$  respectively.*



*Proof.* The natural map  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R/\mathfrak{l})$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathbb{T}$  is a free  $R$ -module, fix an  $R$  basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  to get a dual basis  $\{e_i^{\vee}\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R)$ . Suppose  $\sum_i r_i e_i^{\vee}$  maps to the 0 element of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R/\mathfrak{l})$ . Then evaluating at  $e_i$  we see that  $r_i \in \mathfrak{l}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $(\sum_i r_i e_i^{\vee}) \otimes 1 = 0$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{l}$  which proves injectivity. Using the dual basis  $e_i^{\vee}$  one can show it is surjective as well.

Due to the isomorphism  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}$  we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R) & \xrightarrow{\sim \text{ via } \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}} & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{l} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{l} \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R/\mathfrak{l}) & \xrightarrow{\sim \text{ via } \bar{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{L}}} & \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathfrak{l}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}. \end{array}$$

Since  $\bar{\Theta}' = \bar{\Theta}''$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}, R/\mathfrak{l})$  we have that  $\bar{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{L}}(\bar{\Theta}') - \bar{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{L}}(\bar{\Theta}'') = \bar{\Psi}_{\mathfrak{L}}(\bar{\Theta}' - \bar{\Theta}'') = 0$  in  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathfrak{l}\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ . Due to the commutativity of the above diagram the first assertion is true as  $\Theta'(1) = \Theta''(1) = 1 \notin \mathfrak{l}$ .

Now, for the second claim. For all  $t \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  one has  $t \cdot \Theta' = \Theta'(t)\Theta'$  since for all  $x \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  we have  $(t \cdot \Theta')(x) = \Theta'(tx) = \Theta'(t)\Theta'(x)$ . Since  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}$  is  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  equivariant it is  $R$ -linear as well and so  $t \cdot \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta') = \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(t \cdot \Theta') = \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta'(t)\Theta') = \Theta'(t)\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}(\Theta')$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Set  $v(\Theta') := (\Phi_{\mathfrak{L}} \circ \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}})(\Theta')$  and  $v(\Theta'') := (\Phi_{\mathfrak{L}} \circ \Psi_{\mathfrak{L}})(\Theta'')$ . On the vectors  $v(\Theta')$  and  $v(\Theta'')$ , the algebra  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  acts by  $\Theta'$  and  $\Theta''$ , respectively. Moreover,*

$$v(\Theta'), v(\Theta'') \notin \mathfrak{l}e_{\mathfrak{L}}H, \quad \text{and} \quad v(\Theta') - v(\Theta'') \in \mathfrak{l}e_{\mathfrak{L}}H.$$

*Proof.* Follows from Lem. 3.1 and that both  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{L}}$  and  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{L}}$  are  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  equivariant.  $\square$

**3.4. Specializing to a particular  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $H$ .** Take  $\mathbb{T}$  to be the Hecke algebra  $h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  and  $H$  the cohomology group  $H_1^1(X_1(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}})$ . Let  $\Theta' : h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$  (resp.,  $\Theta'' : h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ ) be the morphisms  $T(p) \mapsto a(p, h')$  (resp.,  $T(p) \mapsto a(p, h'')$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{L} \subset h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  be the maximal ideal determined by the hypothesis  $\Theta' \equiv \Theta'' \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ . Assume  $E$  is large so that  $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}/\mathfrak{l}$ .

Let  $\varrho_{\Theta'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{L})$  be the Galois representation modulo  $l$  attached to the Hecke algebra morphism  $\Theta'$ . It is a semi-simple representation determined by

$$(13) \quad \mathrm{Trace} \, \varrho_{\Theta'}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = a(p, h') \pmod{\mathfrak{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \det \varrho_{\Theta'}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \chi'(p)p^{k'-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

for  $p \nmid N'l$ . This was constructed first by Deligne. See Gross [4, p. 483, Proposition 11.1]. Consider the twist  $\varrho_{\Theta'}\chi'^{-1}$  of the representation of  $\varrho_{\Theta'}$  by the character  $\chi'^{-1}$  which satisfies:

$$(14) \quad \mathrm{Trace} \, \varrho_{\Theta'}\chi'^{-1}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = a(p, h')\chi'^{-1}(p) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \det \varrho_{\Theta'}\chi'^{-1}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \chi'^{-1}(p)p^{k'-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

for  $p \nmid N'l$ . This is the mod  $l$  representation attached to the newform  $h'^{\rho} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a(n, h')} q^n$  or equivalently the Hecke algebra morphism  $\Theta'^{\rho} : h_{k'}(N', \mathfrak{l}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ ,  $T(p) \mapsto {}^{\iota}\chi'^{-1}(p) {}^{\iota}a(p, h)$ , due to  ${}^{\iota}a(p, h) = {}^{\iota}\chi'^{-1}(p) {}^{\iota}a(p, h)$  for  $p \nmid N'l$ . Hence  $\varrho_{\Theta'}$  is irreducible if and only if  $\varrho_{\Theta'}\chi'^{-1}$  is irreducible. Also, the maximal ideal of  $h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  determined by  $\Theta'$  and  $\Theta'^{\rho}$  are the same.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $l > k'$  and the Galois representation  $\varrho_{\Theta'}$  is irreducible. Then*

$$(15) \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}, h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}), H_1^1(X_1(N'), \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}})(\epsilon'), \mathfrak{L})$$

*is a freely Gorenstein datum.*

*Proof.* The  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ -freeness of  $H_1^1(X_1(N'), \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}})(\epsilon')$  is due to the fact  $l > k'$ . It is proved in Faltings and Jordan [3, Theorem 2.1] that  $h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{\mathfrak{L}}$  is Gorenstein. The freeness condition in the definition of a freely Gorenstein datum follows from the perfect pairing between Hecke algebras and cusp forms as in (12) and the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism.  $\square$

### 3.5. Explicit congruent cohomology classes.

**Theorem 3.3.** *There are cohomology classes  ${}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho)$  and  ${}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho)$  in  $\tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon')$  on which  $h_{k'}(N', \mathcal{O}_1)$  acts by  $\Theta'^\rho$  and  $\Theta''^\rho$ , respectively. They are non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$  but their difference is 0 modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$ , i.e.,*

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho), {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho) &\notin \mathfrak{l} \tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon') \\ {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho) - {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho) &\in \mathfrak{l} \tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu', \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon'). \end{aligned}$$

Also, fix a non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$  cohomology class for the cusp form  $h$ :

$$(17) \quad {}^\circ v_{\mu}^{\epsilon'}(h) \in \tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon' \times \sigma_f) \setminus \mathfrak{l} \tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon' \times \sigma_f),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon' \times \sigma_f)$  is  $\tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon') \cap \tilde{H}_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, E_1})(\epsilon' \times \sigma_f)$ .

It is helpful to keep in mind that  ${}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho) = {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-n)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho)$  and  ${}^\circ v_{\mu}^{\epsilon'}(h) = {}^\circ v_{\mu(-m)}^{\epsilon'}(h)$  for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Only the action of the Hecke algebra is different on these vectors. Fix the notations

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h'^\rho) &:= {}^\circ v_{\mu}^{\epsilon'}(h) \otimes {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho), & {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho, h) &:= {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho) \otimes {}^\circ v_{\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h), \\ {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h''^\rho) &:= {}^\circ v_{\mu}^{\epsilon'}(h) \otimes {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho), & {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho, h) &:= {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho) \otimes {}^\circ v_{\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h). \end{aligned}$$

The vectors  ${}^\circ v_{\mu}^{\epsilon'}(h) \otimes {}^\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho)$  are in the isotypic components  $H_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', E})(\epsilon' \times \sigma_f \otimes \sigma_f')$  for  $\epsilon' \in \{\epsilon', \epsilon''\}$ . Similar comments apply to the other vectors.

**Corollary 3.1.** *The vectors  ${}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h'^\rho), {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h''^\rho)$  are not zero modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$ , but are congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$ , i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h'^\rho), {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h''^\rho) &\notin \mathfrak{l} H_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon'), \\ {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h'^\rho) - {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h''^\rho) &\in \mathfrak{l} H_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon'). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho, h), {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho, h) &\notin \mathfrak{l} H_!^2(S_{N' \times N}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2), \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon'), \\ {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho, h) - {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h''^\rho, h) &\in \mathfrak{l} H_!^2(S_{N' \times N}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2), \mathcal{O}_1})(\epsilon'). \end{aligned}$$

The reader should note that the auxiliary cusp form  $h$  has no bearing on the choice of the prime  $\mathfrak{l}$ . So we don't impose the hypothesis that  $\mathfrak{l} \notin \mathcal{S}_k$ , where  $k$  is the weight of the form  $h$ .

## 4. DOUBLE COSET REPRESENTATIVES

Next, we need to consider certain specific vectors in induced representations built from the vectors in Sect. 3.5. Towards this, while using Mackey theory, we need to understand double cosets; specifically, in this section, we calculate a set of representatives  $\Xi_p$  of the double cosets  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) / K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$ , where  $K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$  is the Mirahoric subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  of level  $n'_p + n_p$  and  $P$  is the  $(2, 2)$  parabolic subgroup.

**4.1. Calculation for the Borel and principal congruence subgroups.** Thm. 4.1 and Cor. 4.1 below are essentially due to Januszewski [10]. We follow closely the notation therein and reproduce the proof with a minor modification. Recall the Iwahori decomposition of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  points of  $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \coprod_{w \in W_n} B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) w I_n,$$

where  $B_n$  is the set of upper triangular matrices,  $W_n$  is the Weyl group identified with the set of permutation matrices and  $I_n$  is the Iwahori subgroup of  $G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $J_m$  denote the set of principal congruence subgroup of level  $m$  of  $G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , i.e., the set of matrices of  $g \in G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that  $g \equiv$

$\mathbf{1}_N \pmod{p^m}$ . Let  $R_m \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the complete set of coset representatives  $\{0, p, p^2, \dots, p^{m-1}\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the set

$$\mathcal{R}_m = \{r = (r_{ij})_{ij} \in I_N \mid r_{ij} \in R_m\}$$

forms a complete set of (left) coset representatives for  $I_N/J_m$ . For  $w \in W_n$  we have the obvious inclusion:  $B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m \subset B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n$ , for  $s \in \mathcal{R}_m$ . We have

$$B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{R}_m} B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m.$$

The cosets on the right need not be distinct. The following theorem shows that we can take the union over a smaller set of representatives and still get  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n$ . Before stating it we need some more notations. Let  $U_B$  denote the unipotent radical of  $B_n$  consisting of strictly upper triangular matrices and  $U_B^-$  denote the unipotent radical of The opposite Borel subgroup  $B^-$  of lower triangular matrices of  $G$ . For a fixed  $w \in W_n$  define  $B^w := B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap wI_nw^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_m^w := \mathcal{R}_{m,B} \cap w^{-1}U_B^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)w$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *For a fixed  $w \in W_n$  the set  $\mathcal{R}_{m,B}^w$  forms a complete system of coset representatives for  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash B(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n/J_m$ , i.e.,*

$$B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{R}_{m,B}^w} B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m.$$

*Proof.* The map sending the coset  $B^wwsJ_m \mapsto B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m$ , for  $s \in \mathcal{R}_m$ , is injective. Clearly, it is surjective as well. So it is enough to show that  $w\mathcal{R}_{m,B}^w$  forms a system of representatives for  $B^w \backslash B^wwI_n/J_m$ . Consider the following

**Assumption 4.1.** *One can find elements  $u^{(0)} := \mathbf{1}_n, u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, \dots, U_n \in B^w$ , and recursively define  $r^{(0)}, r^{(1)}, \dots, r^{(n)} \in I_n$  where  $r^{(0)} = 1$  and  $r^{(v+1)} = w^{-1}u^{(v)}w \cdot r^{(v)}$  for  $v > 1$ , such that  $r^{(n)} \in w^{-1}U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)w$ .*

From this assumption it follows if we define  $u := u^{(n-1)} \dots u^{(1)} \in B^w$ , then

$$u \cdot wrw^{-1} = w \cdot w^{-1}uwrw^{-1} = ww^{-1}U_n \dots u^{(1)}wrw^{-1} = wr^{(n)}w^{-1} \in U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{R}_m$  is a representative of the left coset  $w^{-1}uwrJ_m \in I_n/J_m$  then it follows

$$wsJ_m = uwrJ_m \implies B^wwsJ_m = B^wvrJ_m.$$

So  $ws$  represents the same double coset as  $wr$  in  $B^w \backslash B^wwI_n/J_m$  (equivalently in  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash B(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n/J_m$ ). Since  $s \in w^{-1}uwrJ_m$ , this implies  $wsu^{-1} \in uwrw^{-1}J_m \subset U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)J_m$ , hence  $s \in w^{-1}U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)wJ_m$ , whence  $s \in \mathcal{R}_m \cap w^{-1}U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)w = \mathcal{R}_{m,B}^w$ .  $\square$

Assump. 4.1 can be shown by changing the definition of the  $(n-v, n-v)$  entry of  $u^{(v)}$  as in Januszewski [10, Prop. 2.2]. This is possible because here  $u^{(v)} \in B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and not just in  $U_B^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** *With the notations as in the previous theorem we have*

$$B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_n = \coprod_{s \in \mathcal{R}_{m,B}^w} B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m.$$

*In other words, the double cosets in the previous theorem are all disjoint.*

*Proof.* Assume two of the cosets,  $B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m = B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)ws'J_m$  for  $s, s' \in \mathcal{R}_{m,B}^w$  are the same. This means  $B^wwsJ_m = B^wvs'J_m$ . Since  $B^w = B_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap wI_nw^{-1} = B_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap wI_nw^{-1}$ , we see that there exists  $u \in B^w \subset B_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $j \in J_m$  such that  $uws = ws'j$ . Observing that  $J_n$  is normal in  $G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  we get that  $u = ws'w^{-1} \cdot ws^{-1}w^{-1}j'$  for some  $j' \in J_m$ . But both the elements  $ws'w^{-1}$  and  $ws^{-1}w^{-1}$  are in  $U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  from the previous theorem. Combined with the fact that  $u \in B_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $u \in U_B^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)J_m$  we get  $u \equiv \mathbf{1}_n \pmod{J_m}$  if and only if  $u \in J_m$ . Then for some  $j'' \in J_m$  depending on  $u$  we have  $uws = ws'j'' = ws'j$  because  $J_m$  is normal in  $G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , hence  $s \equiv s' \pmod{J_m}$ , whence  $s = s'$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Calculation for the parabolic and the mirahoric subgroups.** Now we focus on  $G_4 = \mathrm{GL}_4/\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $P$  the  $(2, 2)$  parabolic subgroup of with the Levi decomposition  $P = M_P U_P$ . The Iwahori decomposition gives

$$G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \coprod_{w \in W^P} P(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_4,$$

where  $W^P$  is the set of Kostant representatives. This is due to the fact that the Weyl group of the Levi  $W_{M_P} \subset P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and there is a bijection between  $W_{M_P} \backslash W_4 \cong W^P$ . For a fixed  $w \in W_4$  define  $P^w := P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap wI_4w^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_m^w := \mathcal{R}_m \cap w^{-1}U_P^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)w$ . (This  $\mathcal{R}_m^w$  is different from the one in the previous subsection; this abuse of notation will not cause any confusion.)

**Theorem 4.2.** *For a fixed  $w \in W^P$  the set  $\mathcal{R}_m^w$  forms a complete system of coset representatives for  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash P(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_4/J_m$ , i.e.,*

$$P(\mathbb{Q}_p)wI_4 = \coprod_{s \in \mathcal{R}_m^w} P(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m.$$

Moreover, we have from the Iwahori decomposition

$$G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \coprod_{w \in W^P} \coprod_{s \in \mathcal{R}_m^w} P(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m.$$

*Proof.* (The proof is essentially the same as Thm. 4.1.) The map  $P^w wsJ_m \mapsto P(\mathbb{Q}_p)wsJ_m$ , for  $s \in \mathcal{R}_m$ , is injective. Clearly, it is surjective as well. So it is enough to show that  $w\mathcal{R}_m^w$  forms a system of representatives for  $P^w \backslash P^w wI_4/J_m$ . Suppose Assump. 4.1 holds for  $u \in U_B^-(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , giving  $u^{(0)}, u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{(3)} \in B^w$  and  $r^{(0)}, r^{(1)}, r^{(2)}, r^{(3)}, r^{(4)} \in I_4$ . Define  $u^{(4)} \in P(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $r^{(5)} \in I_4$  by

$$u^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -r_{\sigma(2)\sigma(1)}^{(4)} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r_{\sigma(4)\sigma(3)}^{(4)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r^{(5)} = w^{-1}u^{(4)}w \cdot r^{(4)}.$$

It is clear that  $u^{(4)} \in P^w$  and  $wr^{(5)}w^{-1} \in U_P^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Therefore if  $u = u^{(4)}u^{(3)}u^{(2)}u^{(1)}$  then  $u \cdot wrw^{-1} \in wI_4w^{-1} \cap U_P^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . The rest of the arguments are essentially the same as in Thm. 4.1 and Cor. 4.1.  $\square$

Recall the notations  $n_p = v_p(N)$  and  $n'_p = v_p(N')$ , and  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  is the mirahoric subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  of level  $p^{n'_p+n_p}\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $J_{n_p+n'_p} \subset K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ , from Thm. 4.2 one gets

**Corollary 4.2.** *The set  $\bigcup_{w \in W^P} \{ws | s \in \mathcal{R}_{n'_p+n_p}^w\}$  contains a complete set of coset representatives for  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ .*

The representatives in the above corollary up to left action of  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and right action of  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  need not be distinct.

### 4.3. Explicit representatives.

For  $\xi_p \in P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  define  $K_p^P(\xi_p) = P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \xi_p K_p^{n'_p+n_p} \xi_p^{-1}$  and  $K_p^{M_P}(\xi_p) = \kappa(K_p^P(\xi_p))$  denote its projection onto the Levi quotient via the canonical map  $\kappa_P : P \rightarrow P/U_P \cong M_P$ . Let us enumerate the set  $W^P$  of Kostant representatives thus:

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$w_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Cor. 4.2 may be restated as that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^6 \{w_i s | s \in \mathcal{R}_{n'_p+n_p}^{w_i}\}$  contains a complete set of coset representatives

for  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \backslash G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ . For  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_P^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , and  $i = 4, 5, 6$ , the matrices  $u_i :=$

$w_i^{-1}uw_i$ , explicitly given by:

$$u_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & 1 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 & 1 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad u_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

are clearly in  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ . Therefore  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_i s K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_i K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  for  $i = 4, 5, 6$ , and  $s \in \mathcal{R}_{n'_p+n_p}^{w_i}$ .

Define  $\xi_p^{(0)} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**

- (i)  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_4 K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_5 K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_6 K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)\xi_p^{(0)} K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ .
- (ii)  $K_p^M(\xi_p^{(0)}) = \kappa_P(P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \xi_p^{(0)} K_p^{n'_p+n_p} \xi_p^{(0)-1}) = K_p(n'_p + n_p) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

*Proof.* For (i), observe that

$$w_4 = w_6 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$$

and, similarly,

$$w_5 = w_6 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}.$$

Hence  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_4 K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_5 K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_6 K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ . To get the last equality of (i), further observe that

$$w_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ . This completes the proof of (i).

For (ii), since  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \xi_p^{(0)} K_p^{n'_p+n_p} \xi_p^{(0)-1} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap w_6 K_p^{n'_p+n_p} w_6^{-1}$ , and for an element  $k = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} \\ k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33} & k_{34} \\ k_{41} & k_{42} & k_{43} & k_{44} \end{pmatrix}$  in  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ , one has  $w_6 k w_6^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{33} & k_{34} & k_{31} & k_{32} \\ k_{43} & k_{44} & k_{41} & k_{42} \\ k_{13} & k_{14} & k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{23} & k_{24} & k_{21} & k_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ , (ii) follows.  $\square$

From Lem. 4.1, and the discussion preceding it, one has:

$$\bigcup_{i=4,5,6} P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_i s K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)\xi_p^{(0)} K_p^{n'_p+n_p}.$$

Now, we will consider the other double cosets represented by  $w_i s$  with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $s$  as before. For an element  $u \in U_P^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  as before, and for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the matrices  $u_i := w_i^{-1}uw_i$  are

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 1 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & x_4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 & x_4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , if  $w_i^{-1}uw_i \in \mathcal{R}_{n'_p+n_p}^{w_i}$  then since  $\mathcal{R}_{n'_p+n_p}^{w_i} \subset I_4$ , it is necessary that either  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , or  $x_1, x_3, x_4 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , or  $x_3, x_4 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$  depending on whether  $i = 1$  or  $2$  or  $3$ . Henceforth, assume  $v_p(x_3), v_p(x_4) > 0$ . Moreover,  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)w_i w_i^{-1}uw_i K_p^{n'_p+n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p)u K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  since  $w_i \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $u \in U_P^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that  $u \in \mathcal{R}_{n'_p+n_p}^{w_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , The double coset  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)u K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  is also represented by one of the elements:

$$\xi_p^{(j)} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^j & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $0 < j \leq n'_p + n_p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & y & 1 & 0 \\ z & w & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_P^-(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , with  $v_p(z), v_p(w) > 0$ . If both  $w, z = 0$  then  $u \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ . So assume that's not the case. If necessary, conjugating  $u$  by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  which belongs to both  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ , assume  $v_p(z) \geq v_p(w) > 0$  with  $v_p(w) \neq \infty$  or in other words  $v_p(z) \geq v_p(w) > 0$  and  $w \neq 0$ . If  $z = 0$  then we skip to the next step. If  $z \neq 0$  then since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & y & 1 & 0 \\ z & w & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-1}wp^{v_p(z)-v_p(w)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -p^{v_p(z)-v_p(w)} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ (xz^{-1}w-y)p^{v_p(z)-v_p(w)} & y & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & w & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p^{v_p(z)-v_p(w)} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w^{-1}zp^{-(v_p(z)-v_p(w))} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and the observation that the last two matrices are in  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ , one is reduced to the case that  $z = 0$ . Define  $x' := (xz^{-1}w - y)p^{v_p(z)-v_p(w)}$ . It is clear that  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Again, if  $y = 0$  then skip to the next step. If  $y \neq 0$  then since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x' & y & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & w & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1}p^{v_p(y)} & 0 & 0 \\ x' & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & wy^{-1}v_p(y) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p^{v_p(y)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-v_p(y)} & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the observation that the last two matrices are in  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  and so one can assume  $y = 0$ . Note that  $v_p(wy^{-1}p^{v_p(y)}) = v_p(w)$ . Finally, due to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

it can be assumed  $x' = 0$  and what remains is one of the  $\xi_p^{(j)}$ . □

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $0 \leq i \leq n'_p + n_p$ , we have  $K_p^{MF}(\xi_p^{(i)}) = K_p(n'_p + n_p - i) \times K_p(i)$ . In particular,

$$K_p^{MF}(\xi_p^{(n_p)}) = K_p(n'_p) \times K_p(n_p), \quad \text{and} \quad K_p^{MF}(\xi_p^{(n'_p)}) = K_p(n_p) \times K_p(n'_p).$$

*Proof.* For  $k = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} \\ k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33} & k_{34} \\ k_{41} & k_{42} & k_{43} & k_{44} \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  note that

$$(19) \quad \xi_p^{(i)} k \xi_p^{(i)-1} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & -k_{14}p^i + k_{12} & k_{13} & k_{14} \\ k_{21} & -k_{24}p^i + k_{22} & k_{23} & k_{24} \\ k_{31} & -k_{34}p^i + k_{32} & k_{33} & k_{34} \\ k_{21}p^i + k_{41} & -(k_{24}p^i + k_{44})p^i + k_{22}p^i + k_{42} & k_{23}p^i + k_{43} & k_{24}p^i + k_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The case  $i = 0$  has already been proved. For  $i = n'_p + n_p$ , since  $\xi_p^{(n'_p+n_p)} \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} K_p^M(\xi_p^{(n'_p+n_p)}) &= \kappa_P(P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \xi_p^{(n'_p+n_p)} K_p^{n'_p+n_p} \xi_p^{(n'_p+n_p)-1}) \\ &= \kappa_P(P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p^{n'_p+n_p}) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \times K_p(n'_p + n_p). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $0 < i < n'_p + n_p$ . Since  $k_{44} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n'_p+n_p}}$ , one has  $k_{24}p^{n_p} + k_{44} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^i}$ . Also, since  $v_p(k_{42}) \geq n'_p + n_p$  one has  $v_p(k_{42}p^{-i}) \geq n_p + n'_p - i$ . The  $(4, 2)$ -entry of the matrix in (19) is 0 (because we are looking at a situation when  $\xi_p^{(i)} k \xi_p^{(i)-1}$  is in  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ), which is

$$\begin{aligned} -(k_{24}p^i + k_{44})p^i + k_{22}p^i + k_{42} &= (-k_{24}p^i + k_{22})p^i - k_{44}p^i + k_{42} = 0 \\ \implies (-k_{24}p^i + k_{22}) &= k_{44} - k_{42}p^{-i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n_p+n'_p-i}}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, under the assumption  $\xi_p^{(i)} k \xi_p^{(i)-1} \in P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , the  $(2, 4)$  and the  $(4, 4)$  entry of the matrix in (19) are congruent to 1  $\pmod{p^{n'_p+n_p-i}}$  and 1  $\pmod{p^i}$ , respectively. Since  $k_{21}p^i + k_{41} = 0$ , we get that  $v_p(k_{21}) \geq n'_p + n_p - i$ . Similarly,  $v_p(k_{23}p^i + k_{43}) \geq i$  as  $v(k_{43}) \geq n'_p + n_p$ . Also, note that

$-k_{34}p^i + k_{32} = 0 \implies v_p(k_{32}) \geq i > 0$  and  $k_{31} = 0$ . Now, calculating the determinant by expanding the last row we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \ni \det k &= -k_{44}[k_{31}(\cdots) - k_{32}(\cdots) + k_{33}(k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}k_{21})] \\ &\quad + k_{43}[\cdots] - k_{42}[\cdots] + k_{41}[\cdots] \\ &= -k_{44}[0 - p^i(\cdots) + k_{33}(k_{11}k_{22} - p^{n'_p+n_p-i}(\cdots))] \\ &\quad + p^{n'_p+n_p}[\cdots] - p^{n'_p+n_p}[\cdots] + p^{n'_p+n_p}[\cdots] \\ &= -k_{44}k_{33}k_{11}k_{22} + p^i(\cdots) + p^{n'_p+n_p-i}(\cdots) \quad (\text{after re-grouping}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $k_{11}k_{22}$  and  $k_{33}k_{44}$  are units in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $0 < i < n'_p + n_p$ . Therefore,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & -k_{14}p^i + k_{12} \\ k_{21} & -k_{24}p^i + k_{22} \end{pmatrix} = -(k_{24}p^i - k_{22})k_{11} + (k_{14}p^i - k_{12})k_{21} = k_{11}k_{22} + p^i(\cdots) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Similarly,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} k_{33} & k_{34} \\ k_{23}p^i + k_{43} & k_{24}p^i + k_{44} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

Combined with the previous observations  $v_p(k_{21}) \geq n'_p + n_p - i$  and  $v_p(k_{23}p^{n_p} + k_{43}) \geq i$ , and  $(-k_{24}p^i + k_{22}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n'_p+n_p-i}}$  and  $k_{24}p^{n_p} + k_{44} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^i}$  shows that

$$K_p^{MP}(\xi_p^{(i)}) \subset K_p(n'_p + n_p - i) \times K_p(i).$$

The reverse containment is easy.  $\square$

**4.4. A corollary for global level structures.** Let  $\underline{i} = (i_p)_{p|NN'}$  with  $i_p \in \{0, \dots, n'_p + n_p\}$ . Set

$$\xi_f^{(\underline{i})} = \prod_{p|NN'} \{\xi_p^{(i_p)}\} \times \prod_{p \nmid NN'} \{1_4\} \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_f), \quad N_{\underline{i}} = \prod_{p|NN'} p^{i_p}, \quad N^{(\underline{i})} = NN'/N_{\underline{i}}.$$

**Corollary 4.3.** For  $K_f = K_f^{N'+N}$

$$K_f^{MP}(\xi_f^{(\underline{i})}) = K_1(N^{(\underline{i})}) \times K_1(N_{\underline{i}}).$$

In particular, if  $\underline{i} = (n_p)_{p|NN'}$  (resp.,  $\underline{i} = (n'_p)_{p|NN'}$ ) we have

$$K_f^{MP}(\xi_f^{(\underline{i})}) = K_1(N') \times K_1(N) \quad (\text{resp., } K_f^{MP}(\xi_f^{(\underline{i})}) = K_1(N) \times K_1(N').)$$

As a shorthand for the notation  $\xi_f^{(\underline{i})}$  when  $\underline{i} = (n_p)_{p|NN'}$  (resp.,  $\underline{i} = (n'_p)_{p|NN'}$ ) will be denoted as  $\xi_f^{(N)}$  (resp.,  $\xi_f^{(N')}$ ).

## 5. INTEGRAL STRUCTURES ON THE INDUCED SPACE

Parabolically induced representations appear in the cohomology of the Borel–Serre boundary stratum for a given parabolic subgroup  $P$  in an ambient reductive group  $G$ . In arithmetic applications as in [5] one compares two such pieces in the cohomology of the boundary. In this article, we need to further refine the constructions of *loc. cit.* to work integrally. This section contains a formalism of how one can define an integral structure on the invariants under of an open compact subgroup of an induced space via the Mackey isomorphism.

Suppose  $V$  is an admissible  $M_P(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -module over  $E$  (resp.,  $E_l$ ). After inflating it to  $P(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , let  ${}^a\mathrm{Ind}(V)$  denote the algebraically induced space from  $P(\mathbb{A}_f)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_f)$ . If  $K_f$  is an open compact subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_f)$ , then one has the Mackey isomorphism:

$$(20) \quad {}^a\mathrm{Ind}(V)^{K_f} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\xi_f \in P(\mathbb{A}_f) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_f) / K_f} V^{K_f^{MP}(\xi_f)}, \quad \phi_f \mapsto \sum_{\xi_f} \phi_f(\xi_f),$$

where  $K_f^{MP}(\xi_f) = \kappa_P(P(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap \xi_f K_f \xi_f^{-1})$  is a subgroup of  $M_P(\mathbb{A}_f)$  for every  $\xi_f$ . Suppose now each  $V^{K_f^{MP}}(\xi_f)$  has an  $\mathcal{O}_E$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_I$ )–lattice, say  ${}^\circ V^{K_f^{MP}}(\xi_f)$ , then an  $\mathcal{O}_E$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_I$ )–lattice in  ${}^a\text{Ind}(V)^{K_f}$  is defined to be all the vectors  $\phi_f$  in the algebraically induced space such that  $\phi_f(\xi_f) \in {}^\circ V^{K_f^{MP}}(\xi_f)$ .

Now, specialize by considering the mirahoric subgroups; let  $K_f = K_f^{N'+N}$ . For Hecke modules in inner cohomology  $\sigma' \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu')$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu)$ , and for  $A = E, E_I, \mathbb{C}$ , define  $I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma'_f, \epsilon', A)$  to be the isotypic component

$${}^a\text{Ind}\left(H_!^2(S^{MP}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A})(\tilde{\epsilon}' \otimes \sigma_f \otimes \sigma'_f)\right)^{K_f^{N'+N}},$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}' = \epsilon' \times \epsilon'$ . Similarly, one can define the isotypic spaces

$$I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma''_f, \epsilon', A), \quad I_4^S(\sigma'_f(2), \sigma_f(-2), \epsilon', A), \quad I_4^S(\sigma''_f(2), \sigma_f(-2), \epsilon', A).$$

The latter two are algebraically induced representations of the isotypic components of cohomology of the Levi with coefficients  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2), A}$ .

Now, collect all these induced spaces (for  $A = E, E_I, \mathbb{C}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^S(\mu + \mu', A) &:= \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu)} \bigoplus_{\sigma' \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu')} I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma'_f, \epsilon', A), \\ I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), A) &:= \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu)} \bigoplus_{\sigma' \in \text{Coh}_!(G_2, \mu')} I_4^S(\sigma'_f(2), \sigma_f(-2), \epsilon', A). \end{aligned}$$

The notations  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', \epsilon', A)$  and  $I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), \epsilon', A)$  will mean the  $\tilde{\epsilon}'$  isotypic component. There are only finitely many summands because we have taken  $K_f^{N'+N}$  invariants.

Applying the isomorphism in Eq. (20) and Corollary 4.3 one gets for  $A = E, E_I, \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^S(\mu + \mu', A) &\cong \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\substack{M, M' \\ MM' = NN'}} H_!^2(S_{M \times M'}^{MP}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A})(\tilde{\epsilon}'), \\ I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), A) &\cong \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\substack{M, M' \\ MM' = NN'}} H_!^2(S_{M' \times M}^{MP}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2), A})(\tilde{\epsilon}'). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can appeal to the discussion in the beginning of this section; the  $\mathcal{O}_E$  or  $\mathcal{O}_I$ –lattice are clear; define for  $A^\circ = \mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{O}_I$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^S(\mu + \mu', A^\circ) &:= \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\substack{M, M' \\ MM' = NN'}} \tilde{H}_!^2(S_{M \times M'}^{MP}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu', A^\circ}), \\ I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), A^\circ) &:= \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\substack{M, M' \\ MM' = NN'}} \tilde{H}_!^2(S_{M' \times M}^{MP}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2), A^\circ}). \end{aligned}$$

These are lattices in  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', A)$  and  $I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), A)$  respectively when  $A = E, E_I$ . It follows from the definitions that for  $A = \mathcal{O}_I, E, E_I, \mathbb{C}$ :

$$I_4^S(\mu + \mu', \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} A \cong I_4^S(\mu + \mu', A), \quad \text{and} \quad I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} A \cong I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), A).$$

## 6. CONGRUENCE OF THE EISENSTEIN OPERATOR

**6.1. Review of Harder and Raghuram [5].** We briefly summarize the technical results of [5]; especially, Section 5.3.7, Theorem 5.12, and the proof of Theorem 6.2 in Section 6.3.7.

Here we assume that the pair of weights  $(\mu, \mu')$  satisfies the conditions of the combinatorial lemma (see [5, Lem. 7.14]); this then gives us  $\lambda$  a weight on  $\text{GL}_4$  which is of the form  $\lambda = w^{-1} \cdot (\mu + \mu')$  for a Kostant representative  $w$  with  $l(w) = 2 = \dim(U_P)/2$ . The Eisenstein operator comes about as



follows: assume the pair of weights  $(\mu, \mu')$  is on the right of the unitary axis. For  $\tau' \in \text{Coh}_1(G_2, \mu')$  and  $\tau \in \text{Coh}_1(G_2, \mu)$  the image of the composition of maps:

$$H^4(S^{(4)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, E})^{K_f^{N'+N}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}^*} H^4(\partial S^{(4)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, E})^{K_f^{N'+N}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'}^4} I_4^S(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E) \oplus I_4^S(\tau'_f(2), \tau_f(-2), \epsilon', E)$$

is a  $k_\tau$ -dimensional subspace of  $I_4^S(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E) \oplus I_4^S(\tau'_f(2), \tau_f(-2), \epsilon', E)$ , where  $k_\tau$  is the common dimension of the two summands  $I_4^S(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E)$  and  $I_4^S(\tau'_f(2), \tau_f(-2), \epsilon', E)$ . The image, denoted  $\mathfrak{J}^4(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E)$ , is of the form

$$\mathfrak{J}^4(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E) = \{(\phi_f, \phi_f + T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E)\phi_f) \mid \phi_f \in I_4^S(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E)\}$$

where the Eisenstein operator  $T_{\text{Eis}}$  is such that

$$T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E) \otimes_{\iota} \mathbb{C} = T_{\text{st}}(-2, {}^{\iota}\tau \otimes {}^{\iota}\tau')^{\bullet}.$$

The Eisenstein operator is a rational ‘avatar’ of the map induced in cohomology by the standard intertwining operator which is only defined at the transcendental level.

Now collect all the summands by running over all the Hecke modules in inner cohomology. Define:

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\mu, \mu'}^4 := \sum_{\epsilon'} \sum_{\tau'_f} \sum_{\tau_f} \mathfrak{R}_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'}^4.$$

Applying the above discussion on the Eisenstein operator, the image

$$(21) \quad H^4(S^{(4)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, E})^{K_f^{N'+N}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}^*} H^4(\partial S^{(4)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, E})^{K_f^{N'+N}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}_{\mu, \mu'}^4} I_4^S(\mu + \mu', E) \oplus I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), E)$$

is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', E) \oplus I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), E)$ , where  $k$  now is the common dimension of  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', E)$  and  $I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), E)$ . The image of the composition of maps, denoted by  $\mathfrak{J}^4(\mu, \mu', E)$ , is of the form:

$$\mathfrak{J}^4(\mu, \mu', E) = \bigoplus_{\epsilon'} \bigoplus_{\tau'} \bigoplus_{\tau} \{(\phi_f, \phi_f + T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E)\phi_f) \mid \phi_f \in I_4^S(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E)\}.$$

Define an  $E$ -linear morphism from the sum of induced representations to this image as follows:

$$\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{J}} : I_4^S(\mu + \mu', E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}^4(\mu, \mu', E),$$

given by

$$\sum_{\tau, \tau', \epsilon'} \phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'} \mapsto \sum_{\tau, \tau', \epsilon'} (\phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'} + \phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'} + T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E)\phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'}),$$

and, similarly, define an  $E$ -linear morphism from the image to the sum of induced representations:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{J}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)} : \mathfrak{J}^4(\mu, \mu', E) \rightarrow I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), E)$$

given by

$$\sum_{\tau, \tau', \epsilon'} (\phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'} + \phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'} + T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E)\phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'}) \mapsto \sum_{\tau', \tau, \epsilon'} T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E)\phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'},$$

where  $\phi_{\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon'} \in I_4^S(\tau_f, \tau'_f, \epsilon', E)$ .

For  $A = E, E_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathbb{C}$  define

$$(22) \quad T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', A) := T_{\text{Eis}}(\tau, \tau', \epsilon', E) \otimes A.$$

**6.2. Another integral structure on induced space.** Using Eq. (21) define an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice of full rank in  $\mathfrak{I}^4(\mu, \mu', E)$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{I}^4(\mu, \mu', \mathcal{O}_E) := \text{Im} \left( \tilde{H}^4(\partial S^{(4)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, \mathcal{O}_E})^{K_f^{N'+N}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}_{\mu, \mu'}^4} \mathfrak{I}^4(\mu, \mu', E) \right),$$

$$\mathfrak{I}^4(\mu, \mu', A) := \mathfrak{I}^4(\mu, \mu', \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} A \quad \text{for } A = \mathcal{O}_l, E_l, \mathbb{C}.$$

The extension of  $\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}$  to the  $\mathfrak{l}$ -adic completions will again be denoted by the same symbols. The  $E$ -linear isomorphisms  $\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}$  need not preserve the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattices in either of the co-domains. Also, to obtain the main result for other critical values, one would also like to consider Tate twists. For an integer  $m$  with  $-1 \leq m < \frac{k'-k}{2} - 1$  consider the ideals

$$(23) \quad \{x \in \mathcal{O}_E \mid x \cdot \pi_{\mu(m)+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}(I_4^S(\mu(m) + \mu, \mathcal{O}_E)) \subset \mathfrak{I}^4(\mu(m), \mu, \mathcal{O}_E)\},$$

$$\{x \in \mathcal{O}_E \mid x \cdot \pi_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2+m)}(\mathfrak{I}^4(\mu(m), \mu', \mathcal{O}_E)) \subset I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2+m), \mathcal{O}_E)\},$$

and define the union of their supports to be the set  $S_{\text{Eis}}$  of primes which we would like to avoid in Eisenstein cohomology. By definition it follows that  $S_{\text{Eis}} = S_{\text{Eis}}(\mu, \mu', m)$  depends only on the weights  $\mu'$  and  $\mu$  and the finitely many Tate twists and not on any of the isotypic components of the cohomology group of the Levi. The following lemma follows from the definition of  $S_{\text{Eis}}$ :

**Lemma 6.1.** *If  $\mathfrak{l} \notin S_{\text{Eis}}$  then*

$$(24) \quad \pi_{\mu'+\mu}^{\mathfrak{I}}(I_4^S(\mu(m) + \mu', \mathcal{O}_l)) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}^4(\mu(m), \mu', \mathcal{O}_l),$$

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2+m)}(\mathfrak{I}^4(\mu(m), \mu', \mathcal{O}_l)) \subseteq I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2+m), \mathcal{O}_l).$$

### 6.3. Congruence of the Eisenstein operator.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\phi'_f \in I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma'_f, \epsilon', E_l)$  and  $\phi''_f \in I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma''_f, \epsilon', E_l)$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{l} \notin S_{\text{Eis}}$ . Also, assume  $\phi'_f$  and  $\phi''_f$  are integral in that they are in  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l)$ . We have:*

$$\phi'_f \equiv \phi''_f \pmod{\mathfrak{l}} \implies T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_l)\phi'_f \equiv T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma'', \epsilon', E_l)\phi''_f \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $B \subset V$  and  $B' \subset V'$  are  $\mathcal{O}_l$  lattices inside  $E_l$  vector spaces. Let  $T : V \rightarrow V'$  be a morphism of vector spaces such that  $T(B) \subset B'$  then for  $x, y \in V$  one has  $x \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ , which, by definition means  $x - y \in \mathfrak{l}B$ , implies  $T(x) \equiv T(y) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ , which, by definition, means  $T(x) - T(y) \in \mathfrak{l}B'$ . Since  $\mathfrak{l} \notin S_{\text{Eis}}$  one has  $\phi'_f - \phi''_f \in \mathfrak{l}(I_4^S(\mu + \mu', \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l))$ . From which one gets  $\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}(\phi'_f) - \pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}(\phi''_f) \in \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{I}^4(\mu, \mu', \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l))$ . Hence,

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}(\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}(\phi'_f)) - \pi_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2)}(\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{I}}(\phi''_f)) \in \mathfrak{l}(I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l)),$$

whence,

$$T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_l)\phi'_f - T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma'', \epsilon', E_l)\phi''_f \in \mathfrak{l}(I_4^S(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2), \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l)).$$

□

Define vectors in the induced space  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l)$ :

$${}^\circ\phi'_f(\xi_f) = \begin{cases} {}^\circ v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h'^\rho) & \xi_f = \xi_f^{(N')} \\ 0 & \xi_f \neq \xi_f^{(N')} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^\circ\phi''_f(\xi_f) = \begin{cases} {}^\circ v_{\mu'+(-2)+\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho, h) & \xi_f = \xi_f^{(N)} \\ 0 & \xi_f \neq \xi_f^{(N)}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, define vectors for the pair  $(h, h'')$ . Then,  ${}^\circ\phi'_f \in I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma'_f, \epsilon', E_l)$  and  ${}^\circ\phi''_f \in I_4^S(\sigma_f, \sigma''_f, \epsilon', E_l)$ . From the definition of  $I_4^S(\mu + \mu', \epsilon', \mathcal{O}_l)$  it follows that  ${}^\circ\phi'_f \equiv {}^\circ\phi''_f \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ . We get the following

**Corollary 6.1.**  $T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_l){}^\circ\phi'_f \equiv T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma'', \epsilon', E_l){}^\circ\phi''_f \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$

## 7. COMPUTING THE EISENSTEIN OPERATOR ON SOME SPECIAL VECTORS

In this section the effect of  $T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  on  ${}^{\iota}\phi'_f$  will be determined. To do so we shall introduce periods attached to cohomology classes by comparing them with certain canonically defined vectors at the transcendental level.

## 7.1. Periods attached to the cohomology classes.

7.1.1. *For  $\text{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$ .* For a dominant integral weight  $\mu$  for  $\text{GL}_2$ , recall from 2.2.6, the relative Lie algebra cohomology  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})$  is nonzero. It is a two-dimensional space, on which for the action of  $\text{O}(2)/\text{SO}(2)$ , one has both the trivial and sign character appearing; for each character  $\epsilon'$  of  $\text{O}(2)/\text{SO}(2)$ , the  $\epsilon'$ -isotypic component  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon')$  is one-dimensional. Fix a basis  $w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu)$  for this one-dimensional space as in [5, Section 5.2.1].

Now, in our situation of  $h' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$  and  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$ , let  $\mathbf{h}$  and  $\mathbf{h}'^\rho$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued automorphic forms on  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  attached to  $h$  and  $h'^\rho$ , respectively. Let  $\mathbf{h}_f$  and  $\mathbf{h}'_f^\rho$  denote their restrictions to  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$  respectively. We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{(2)} : H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathbf{h}_f &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N), \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon' \times {}^{\iota}\sigma_f), \\ \Phi'_{(2)} : H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_{\mu'} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu',\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathbf{h}'_f^\rho &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_!^1(S_1^{(2)}(N'), \mathcal{M}_{\mu',\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon' \times {}^{\iota}\sigma'_f) \end{aligned}$$

between one-dimensional spaces. But there are vectors which already span one dimensional target-spaces, namely the base change of  ${}^{\circ}v_\mu^{\epsilon'}(h)$  and  ${}^{\circ}v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  via an embedding  $\iota$ . Hence, there are two complex numbers  $\Omega^{\epsilon'}(\Phi'_{(2)}, \mu', {}^{\iota}\sigma')$  and  $\Omega^{\epsilon'}(\Phi_{(2)}, \mu, {}^{\iota}\sigma)$  such that

$$\Phi'_{(2)}(w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu') \otimes \mathbf{h}'_f^\rho) = \Omega^{\epsilon'}(\Phi'_{(2)}, \mu', {}^{\iota}\sigma') {}^{\iota}\circ v_{\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho)$$

and

$$\Phi_{(2)}(w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu) \otimes \mathbf{h}_f) = \Omega^{\epsilon'}(\Phi_{(2)}, \mu, {}^{\iota}\sigma) {}^{\iota}\circ v_\mu^{\epsilon'}(h).$$

Exactly as in [5, Section 5.2.4], there is an invariance with respect to even Tate twists; for the generators of the relative Lie algebra cohomology, one has  $w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu(2m)) = w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu)$ , and hence for the periods:

$$(25) \quad \Omega^{\epsilon'}(\Phi_{(2)}^?, \mu^?(2m), {}^{\iota}\sigma^?(-2m)) = \Omega^{\epsilon'}(\Phi_{(2)}^?, \mu^?, {}^{\iota}\sigma^?).$$

7.1.2. *For the Levi quotient  $M_P$ .* The discussion above on periods for  $\text{GL}_2$  naturally bootstraps via Künneth theorem for periods for the cohomology classes for the Levi  $M_P = \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2$ . Begin by fixing the basis element  $w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu')$  for  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \otimes H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_{\mu'} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu',\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon')$  defined as  $w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu') := w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu) \otimes w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu')$ . One has the isomorphism  $\Phi_{M_P}$  from the one-dimensional

$$\left( H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \otimes H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_{\mu'} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu',\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \right) \otimes (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{h}_f \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathbf{h}'_f^\rho)$$

to the one-dimensional space

$$H_!^2(S_{N \times N'}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu+\mu',\mathbb{C}})(\tilde{\epsilon}' \otimes {}^{\iota}\sigma_f \otimes {}^{\iota}\sigma'_f).$$

Using the base change of the element  ${}^{\circ}v_{\mu+\mu'}^{\epsilon'}(h, h'^\rho)$  generating the target space, gives us a period  $\Omega(\Phi_{M_P}, w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu'), {}^{\iota}\sigma \otimes {}^{\iota}\sigma')$ . Using (25) one has the following period relation:

**Theorem 7.1.**

$$\Omega(\Phi_{M_P}, w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu'), {}^{\iota}\sigma \otimes {}^{\iota}\sigma') = \Omega(\Phi_{M_P}, w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2)), {}^{\iota}\sigma'(2) \otimes {}^{\iota}\sigma(-2)).$$

7.1.3. *For the ambient group  $\mathrm{GL}_4/\mathbb{Q}$ .* The discussion above on periods for cohomology classes for the Levi  $M_P$  naturally boot-straps via Delorme's lemma for periods for the cohomology classes for the ambient group  $G_4 = \mathrm{GL}_4$ . By Delorme's lemma one has the isomorphism between the relative Lie algebra cohomology of a parabolically induced representation with that of the inducing representation:

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \otimes H^1(\mathfrak{g}_2, K_{2,\infty}, \mathbb{D}_{\mu'} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\mu',\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon') \\ \cong H^4(\mathfrak{g}_4, K_{4,\infty}, {}^a\mathrm{Ind}(\mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mu'}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon' \times \epsilon'), \end{aligned}$$

Here we assume that the pair of weights  $(\mu, \mu')$  satisfies the conditions of the combinatorial lemma (see [5, Lem. 7.14]); this then gives us  $\lambda$  a weight on  $\mathrm{GL}_4$  which is of the form  $\lambda = w^{-1} \cdot (\mu + \mu')$  for a Kostant representative  $w$  with  $l(w) = 2 = \dim(U_P)/2$ . The vector  $w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu')$  is now also to be thought of as a generator for the cohomology group on  $\mathrm{GL}_4$  via Delorme's lemma. Similarly, for  $w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2))$ .

At the finite places fix vectors in the one-dimensional space of invariants under  $K_f^{N'+N}$  of induced representations which are supported only on one double-coset:

$$\psi'_f \in {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G_4(\mathbb{A}_f)}({}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f)^{K_f^{N'+N}}, \quad \tilde{\psi}'_f \in {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G_4(\mathbb{A}_f)}({}^t\sigma'_f(2) \otimes {}^t\sigma_f(-2))^{K_f^{N'+N}}$$

such that

$$\psi'_f(\xi_f) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{h}_f \otimes \mathbf{h}'_f & \xi_f = \xi_f^{(N')} \\ 0 & \xi_f \neq \xi_f^{(N')}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}'_f(\xi_f) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{h}'_f(2) \otimes \mathbf{h}_f(-2) & \xi_f = \xi_f^{(N)} \\ 0 & \xi_f \neq \xi_f^{(N)}. \end{cases}$$

We have an isomorphism  $\Phi_{(4)}$  between the one-dimensional space

$$H^4(\mathfrak{g}_4, K_{4,\infty}, {}^a\mathrm{Ind}(\mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mu'}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mathbb{C}})(\epsilon' \times \epsilon') \otimes {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G_4(\mathbb{A}_f)}({}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f)^{K_f^{N'+N}}$$

and the space  $I_4^S({}^t\sigma_f, {}^t\sigma'_f, \epsilon', \mathbb{C})$  (see Sect. 5) giving a period construction via comparison of chosen basis elements:

$$(26) \quad \Phi_{(4)}(w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu') \otimes \psi'_f) = \Omega(\Phi_{M_P}, w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu'), {}^t\sigma \otimes {}^t\sigma') {}^t\phi'_f.$$

Similarly, we have a period  $\Omega(\Phi_{M_P}, w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2)), {}^t\sigma'(2) \otimes {}^t\sigma(-2))$ .

**7.2. The standard intertwining operator on the special vectors.** The reader is referred to [5, 6.3.3] for the definition and notations for the standard intertwining operator.

7.2.1. *At the infinite place.* Recall that we have assumed  $(\mu, \mu')$  satisfies the conditions of [5, Lem. 7.14]; in particular, the values of  $L(s, \sigma_\infty \times \sigma_\infty^{\vee})$  are finite at  $s = -1$  and  $s = -2$ . Define an operator between induced representations:

$$T_{\mathrm{loc}}(\sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty) : {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\infty}^{G_{4,\infty}}(\mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mu'}) \rightarrow {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\infty}^{G_{4,\infty}}(\mathbb{D}_{\mu'}(2) \otimes \mathbb{D}_\mu(-2))$$

such that the map it induces at the level of the relative Lie algebra cohomology is pinned down by:

$$T_{\mathrm{loc}}(\sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty)^\bullet(w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu')) = w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2)).$$

On the other other, there is the standard intertwining operator

$$T_{\mathrm{st}}(-2, \sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty) : {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\infty}^{G_\infty}(\mathbb{D}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\mu'}) \rightarrow {}^a\mathrm{Ind}_{P_\infty}^{G_\infty}(\mathbb{D}_{\mu'}(2) \otimes \mathbb{D}_\mu(-2)).$$

The operator  $T_{\mathrm{loc}}(\sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty)^\bullet$  and the map induced at the level of cohomology by the standard intertwining operator are equal up to a scalar multiple. From [5, Thm. 7.25], there exists a  $c'_\infty \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  such that

$$T_{\mathrm{st}}(-2, \sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty)^\bullet(\epsilon') = c'_\infty \frac{L(-2, \sigma_\infty \times \sigma_\infty^{\vee})}{L(-1, \sigma_\infty \times \sigma_\infty^{\vee})} T_{\mathrm{loc}}(\sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty)^\bullet.$$

Hence

$$(27) \quad T_{\mathrm{st}}(-2, \sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma'_\infty)^\bullet(w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu + \mu')) = c'_\infty \frac{L(-2, \sigma_\infty \times \sigma_\infty^{\vee})}{L(-1, \sigma_\infty \times \sigma_\infty^{\vee})} w_\infty^{\epsilon'}(\mu'(-2) + \mu(2)).$$

7.2.2. *At the finite places.* Let  $S_f$  denote the set of all finite places where either  $\sigma_f$  or  $\sigma'_f$  is ramified; it is the support of the integer  $NN'$ . Let  $S$  denote  $S_f$  together with the archimedean place. We will now compute the effect of the standard intertwining operator at all finite places:

$$T_{\text{st}}(-2, {}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f) : {}^a\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)}({}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f) \rightarrow {}^a\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)}({}^t\sigma_f(2) \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f(-2))$$

on the vector  $\psi'_f$ . By one-dimensionality of invariants under the mirahoric  $K_f^{N'+N}$ , the operator maps  $\psi'_f$  to a multiple of  $\tilde{\psi}'_f$ . This is stated in the following

**Theorem 7.2.** *There exists a nonzero constant  $c'_{S_f} \in E^\times$ , such that*

$$T_{\text{st}}(-2, {}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f)\psi'_f = c'_{S_f} \frac{L^S(-2, {}^t\sigma \times {}^t\sigma'^V)}{L^S(-1, {}^t\sigma \times {}^t\sigma'^V)} \tilde{\psi}'_f.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to compute the value  $(T_{\text{st}}(2, {}^t\sigma'_f \otimes {}^t\sigma_f)\psi'_f)(\xi_f^{(N')})$ . Going through the definitions, there is a  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $T_{\text{st}}(-2, {}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f)(\psi'_f(\xi_f^{(N')})) = c \mathbf{h}'_f(2) \otimes \mathbf{h}_f(-2)$ . The scalar  $c$  can be determined by evaluating at  $\mathbf{1} \in M_P(\mathbb{A}_f)$  as  $(\mathbf{h}'_f(2) \otimes \mathbf{h}_f(-2))(\mathbf{1}) = 1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . At the unramified places  $p \notin S_f$  this is exactly the calculation of Langlands (known as the Gindikin-Karplevic formula) that the constant is the ratio of local  $L$ -values. The convergence is guaranteed here and  $c'_{S_f} = \prod_{p \in S_f} c'_p$  are local constants which are in  $E$  follows from the main result in [13].  $\square$

When the levels  $N'$  and  $N$  of  $h'$  and  $h$  are square-free and coprime to each other, the local constants are calculated in Sect. 9, where it is shown that  $c'_{S_f}$  is exactly the product of ratios of the correct local  $L$ -values. One hopes that this is true in all generality.

7.2.3. *At a global level.* Recall once again that we have assumed  $(\mu, \mu')$  satisfies the conditions of [5, Lem. 7.14]; in particular,  $s = -1$  and  $s = -2$  are critical points for  $L(s, {}^t\sigma \times {}^t\sigma'^V)$ . Furthermore, we now assume that the pair  $(\mu, \mu')$  is on the right of the unitary axis guaranteeing holomorphy of an Eisenstein series; see Thm. 6.4 in [5, 6.3.6]. The consequence of these conditions for the classical Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions were discussed in 2.5.4.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Under  $T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_l) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$  the image of  ${}^t\phi'_f$  is*

$$(T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_l) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}) {}^t\phi'_f = c'_\infty c'_{S_f} \frac{L^S(-2, {}^t\sigma \times {}^t\sigma'^V)}{L^S(-1, {}^t\sigma \times {}^t\sigma'^V)} {}^t\phi'_f.$$

*Proof.* The map  $T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon') \otimes_E \mathbb{C} : I_4^S({}^t\sigma_f, {}^t\sigma'_f, \epsilon', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow I_4^S({}^t\sigma'_f(2), {}^t\sigma_f(-2), \epsilon', \mathbb{C})$  after using the isomorphisms  $\Phi_{(4)}$  is the same as the map  $T_{\text{st}}(-2, \sigma_\infty \otimes \sigma_\infty)^\bullet \otimes T_{\text{st}}(-2, {}^t\sigma_f \otimes {}^t\sigma'_f)$ . The theorem follows from (27) and Thm. 7.2.  $\square$

## 8. THE MAIN THEOREMS ON THE RATIOS OF $L$ -VALUES

Recall our notations: the cusp forms  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$  and  $h', h'' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$ ; the weights  $\mu = (k-2, 0)$  and  $\mu' = (k'-2, 0)$  which we assume are regular, i.e.,  $k', k > 2$ ; a number field  $E$  containing all the Fourier coefficients of  $h, h', h''$ ; the Hecke modules  $\sigma \in \text{Coh}_1(G_2, \mu)$  and  $\sigma', \sigma'' \in \text{Coh}_1(G_2, \mu')$  such that for an embedding  $\iota : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  one has:  ${}^t\sigma \cong \Pi(h) \cdot |\cdot|^{-k/2+1}$ ,  ${}^t\sigma' \cong \Pi(h'^\rho) \cdot |\cdot|^{-k'/2+1}$ , and  ${}^t\sigma'' \cong \Pi(h''^\rho) \cdot |\cdot|^{-k'/2+1}$ , where  $\Pi(h)$  (resp.,  $\Pi(h'^\rho)$ ,  $\Pi(h''^\rho)$ ) is the unitary cuspidal automorphic representation attached to  $h$  (resp.,  $h'^\rho$ ,  $h''^\rho$ ). The pair  $(\mu, \mu')$  is such that  $s = -1$  and  $s = -2$  are critical points for  $L(s, {}^t\sigma \times {}^t\sigma'^V)$  ([5, Lem. 7.14]) and is on the right of the unitary axis ([5, 6.3.6]).

### 8.1. The main results on the right of the unitary axis.

**Theorem 8.1.** *For notations and assumptions as above, suppose  $\mathfrak{l}$  is a prime ideal in  $E$  outside of  $S_{k'} \cup S_{\text{level}} \cup S_{\text{Eis}} \cup S_{c'_\infty}$ , and such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  ${}^t a(n, h') \equiv {}^t a(n, h'') \pmod{{}^t \mathfrak{l}}$  then*

$$(28) \quad \left( c'_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)} - c''_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)} \right) \in {}^t \mathfrak{l}.$$

*Proof.* From Thm. 7.3 one has

$$(T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_{\mathfrak{l}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C})^{\iota \circ} \phi'_f = c'_\infty c'_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)} \iota \circ \tilde{\phi}'_f.$$

Similarly, from Thm. 7.3 for the pair  $(\sigma, \sigma'')$  one has

$$(T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma'', \epsilon', E_{\mathfrak{l}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C})^{\iota \circ} \phi''_f = c''_\infty c''_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)} \iota \circ \tilde{\phi}''_f.$$

Note that  $c'_\infty = c''_\infty$  because they depend only on the representations at infinity and  $\sigma'_\infty = \sigma''_\infty$ . Applying Thm. 6.1 for the vectors  ${}^\circ \phi'_f$  and  ${}^\circ \phi''_f$  and then base-changing to  $\mathbb{C}$  one gets

$$(T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma', \epsilon', E_{\mathfrak{l}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C})^{\iota \circ} \phi'_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \equiv (T_{\text{Eis}}(\sigma, \sigma'', \epsilon', E_{\mathfrak{l}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C})^{\iota \circ} \phi''_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \pmod{{}^t \mathfrak{l}}$$

where  $x \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$  means that  $x - y \in {}^t \text{IH}^2(S_{N' \times N}^{M_P}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ . By Thm. 7.3 one has

$$c'_\infty c'_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)} \iota \circ \tilde{\phi}'_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \equiv c'_\infty c''_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)} \iota \circ \tilde{\phi}''_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \pmod{{}^t \mathfrak{l}}.$$

Since  ${}^{\iota \circ} \tilde{\phi}'_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \equiv {}^{\iota \circ} \tilde{\phi}''_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \pmod{{}^t \mathfrak{l}}$ , one has

$$\left( c'_\infty c'_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma'^V)} - c'_\infty c''_{S_f} \frac{L^{S_f}(-2, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)}{L^{S_f}(-1, {}^t \sigma \times {}^t \sigma''^V)} \right) \iota \circ \tilde{\phi}'_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{{}^t \mathfrak{l}}$$

But  ${}^{\iota \circ} \tilde{\phi}'_f(\xi_f^{(N)}) = {}^\circ v_{\mu'(-2)}^{\epsilon'}(h'^\rho) \otimes {}^\circ v_{\mu(2)}^{\epsilon'}(h) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{{}^t \mathfrak{l}}$ . Hence (8.1) follows since  $\mathfrak{l} \notin S_{c'_\infty}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $h', h'' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')$  and  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)$  with  $k', k > 2$  and  $k' - k > 2$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{l} \notin S_{k'} \cup S_{\text{level}} \cup S_{\text{Eis}} \cup S_{c_\infty}$ . If for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $a(n, h') \equiv a(n, h'') \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$ , and the Galois representations attached to  $h'$  and  $h''$  modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$  are both absolutely irreducible then, for integer  $m$  and  $-1 \leq m < \frac{k'-k}{2} - 1$ ,*

$$(29) \quad c'_{S_f}(m) \frac{L^{S_f}(k' - m - 3, h \times h')}{L^{S_f}(k' - m - 2, h \times h')} - c''_{S_f}(m) \frac{L^{S_f}(k' - m - 3, h \times h'')}{L^{S_f}(k' - m - 2, h \times h'')} \in \mathfrak{l},$$

where  $c'_{S_f}(m) = \prod_{p|NN'} c'_p(m)$  and  $c''_{S_f}(m) = \prod_{p|NN'} c''_p(m)$  with  $c'_p(m), c''_p(m) \in E$ .

*Proof.* Recall the identification of integral cohomology  $H^1_{\mathfrak{l}}(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}}) \cong H^1_{\mathfrak{l}}(S_1^{(2)}(N), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu(m), \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}})$ . Now apply Thm. 8.1 to the pair  $(\mu(m), \mu')$  and use the dictionary between classical and automorphic  $L$ -functions.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let the notations and assumptions be as in Thm. 8.2. Further assume that the levels  $N$  and  $N'$  are square-free and relatively prime. Then for  $-1 \leq m < \frac{k'-k}{2} - 1$*

$$(30) \quad \frac{L(k' - m - 3, h \times h')}{L(k' - m - 3, h \times h')} - \frac{L(k' - m - 2, h \times h'')}{L(k' - m - 2, h \times h'')} \in \mathfrak{l}.$$

*Proof.* Under the hypothesis on the levels  $N$  and  $N'$ , for  $p|NN'$ , it is proved in Sect. 9 that

$$c'_p(m) = \frac{L_p(k' - 3 - m, h \times h')}{L_p(k' - 2 - m, h \times h')}.$$

Similarly for  $c''_p(m)$ . Then the congruence in Thm. 8.2 becomes exactly (30).  $\square$

**8.2. The left of the unitary axis.** If the pair  $(\mu, \mu')$  is on the left of the unitary axis, then we reverse the direction of the intertwining operator and consider the intertwining operator

$$T_{\text{st}}(s)|_{s=2} : {}^a\text{Ind}_P^G({}^t\sigma'(2) \times {}^t\sigma(-m-2)) \longrightarrow {}^a\text{Ind}_P^G({}^t\sigma(-m) \times {}^t\sigma').$$

Now we are in the right of the unitary axis for the pair  $(\mu'(-2), \mu(m+2))$ . One can now define the  $E$ -linear isomorphisms analogous to  $\pi_{\mu+\mu'}^{\mathfrak{J}}$  and  $\pi_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(m+2)}^{\mu'(-2)+\mu(m+2)}$ , say  $\pi_{\mu'(-2)+\mu(m+2)}^{\mathfrak{J}}$  and  $\pi_{\mu(m+2)}^{\mu(m+2)}$ . Enlarge the set  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$  if necessary, which we shall denote again by  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$  respectively.

Assuming  $\mathfrak{l} \notin \mathbf{S}_{\text{weight}} \cup \mathbf{S}_{\text{level}} \cup \mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}} \cup \mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$ , for an integer  $m$  and  $\frac{k'-k}{2} - 1 \leq m < k' - k - 2$  one gets

$$\tilde{c}'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(2, {}^t\sigma' \times {}^t\sigma(-m)^\vee)}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(3, {}^t\sigma' \times {}^t\sigma(-m)^\vee)} - \tilde{c}''_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(2, {}^t\sigma'' \times {}^t\sigma(-m)^\vee)}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(3, {}^t\sigma'' \times {}^t\sigma(-m)^\vee)} \in \mathfrak{l}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\tilde{c}'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+1, h'^\rho \times h^\rho)}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+2, h'^\rho \times h^\rho)} - \tilde{c}''_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+1, h''^\rho \times h^\rho)}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+2, h''^\rho \times h^\rho)} \in \mathfrak{l}.$$

Yet we cannot conclude from (7) that the ratios to the left of the line of the symmetry are congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$ , because  $\mathfrak{l} \notin \mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}} \cup \mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$  ensures that

$$(31) \quad \tilde{c}'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+1, h'^\rho \times h^\rho)}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+2, h'^\rho \times h^\rho)}, \quad \tilde{c}''_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+1, h''^\rho \times h^\rho)}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+k+2, h''^\rho \times h^\rho)}$$

are in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$  and need not be in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times$ . Therefore, if one further assumes that the quantities in (31) are  $\mathfrak{l}$ -adic units then one gets, using the functional equation, for  $k \leq m < k' - 1$ :

$$c'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m, h \times h')}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+1, h \times h')} - c'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m, h \times h'')}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(m+1, h \times h'')} \in \mathfrak{l}.$$

As before, if the levels  $N$  and  $N'$  are square-free and relatively prime then for  $k \leq m < k' - 1$

$$\frac{L(m, h \times h')}{L(m+1, h \times h')} - \frac{L(m, h \times h'')}{L(m+1, h \times h'')} \in \mathfrak{l}.$$

**8.3. Varying the modular forms of lower weight.** For convenience take twists  $\mu(-m)$  instead of  $\mu(m)$ . Only for the integers  $3 \leq m \leq k - k' + 1$  two successive  $L$ -values are critical. The pair  $(\mu(-m), \mu')$  is on the right of the unitary axis only for integers  $m$  and  $\frac{k-k'}{2} + 1 < m \leq k - k' + 1$ . Then going through the above proof with a newly defined  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$  one gets

**Theorem 8.4.** *Let  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)$  and  $h', h'' \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')$  with  $k', k > 2$  and  $k - k' \geq 2$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{l} \notin \mathbf{S}_{k'} \cup \mathbf{S}_{\text{level}} \cup \mathbf{S}_{\text{Eis}} \cup \mathbf{S}_{c_\infty}$ . If  $a(n, h') \equiv a(n, h'') \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and the Galois representations attached to  $h'$  and  $h''$  modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$  are both absolutely irreducible, then for an integer  $m$  such that  $\frac{k-k'}{2} + 1 < m \leq k - k' + 1$ , one has*

$$c'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(k' + m - 3, h \times h')}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(k' + m - 2, h \times h')} - c''_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) \frac{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(k' + m - 3, h \times h'')}{L^{\mathbf{S}_f}(k' + m - 2, h \times h'')} \in \mathfrak{l},$$

where  $c'_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) = \prod_{p|NN'} c'_p(m)$  and  $c''_{\mathbf{S}_f}(m) = \prod_{p|NN'} c''_p(m)$  with  $c'_p(m), c''_p(m) \in E$ . If the levels  $N$  and  $N'$  are square-free and relatively prime then

$$\frac{L(k' + m - 3, h \times h')}{L(k' + m - 2, h \times h')} - \frac{L(k' + m - 3, h \times h'')}{L(k' + m - 2, h \times h'')} \in \mathfrak{l}.$$

The reader should bear in mind that  $c'_{S_f}(m), c''_{S_f}(m)$  in Thm. 8.2 and Thm. 8.4 are different. When one is on the left of the unitary axis same remarks as in Sect. 8.2 applies here.

**8.4. A non-example.** In the companion paper [12, Section 3.4] there is a non-example, i.e., a specific situation when the ratios of the Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -values at certain critical values are not congruent. Let  $h \in S_{26}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and  $h', h'' \in S_{13}(\Gamma_1(3))$ . Fix  $h'$  to be form with rational Fourier coefficients and  $h''$  to be the newform whose coefficients lie in  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-8424})$  an imaginary quadratic extension. Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a prime ideal of  $K$  lying above 13. It happens that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(32) \quad a(n, h') \equiv a(n, h'') \pmod{\mathfrak{l}} \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{L(24, h \times h')}{L(25, h' \times h)} \not\equiv \frac{L(24, h \times h'')}{L(25, h \times h'')} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

The levels are square-free and coprime to each other yet the congruence for the ratios of this particular  $L$ -values fail. There are two reasons our main theorem does not hold here. First the hypothesis  $l > k'$  is violated as  $l = k = 13$ . The second being the hypothesis that the mod  $l$  Galois representation  $\varrho_{\Theta'}$  obtained from  $h'$  is irreducible is *not* satisfied here. There exists an Eisenstein series  $E_{13} \in M_{13}(\Gamma_1(3))$  with  $q$ -expansion  $E_{13} = \frac{55601}{3} + q - 4095q^2 + q^3 + 16773121q^4 \dots$  and that

$$E_{13} \equiv h' \equiv h'' \pmod{\mathfrak{l}},$$

which can be seen from Sturm's bound. It should be observed however that the ratios of  $L$ -values at other critical points are still congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{l}$  even though it follows outside the purview of our main theorems of this paper.

## 9. LOCAL CALCULATION

Let  $\sigma_p$  and  $\sigma'_p$  denote the local representation at a prime  $p|NN'$  obtained from the cusp forms  $h \in S_k(N, \chi)^{\text{new}}$  and  $h'^\rho \in S_{k'}(N', \chi')^{\text{new}}$ . Let  $\phi'_p \in I_P^{G_4}(s, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p)$  (resp.  $\tilde{\phi}'_p \in I_P^{G_4}(-s, \sigma'_p \otimes \sigma_p)$ ) be a vector which spans the one-dimensional space  $I_P^{G_4}(s, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p)^{K_p^{n'_p+n_p}}$  (resp.  $I_P^{G_4}(-s, \sigma'_p \otimes \sigma_p)^{K_p^{n'_p+n_p}}$ ) where  $K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  is the mirahoric subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  of level  $n'_p + n_p$ . Then  $\phi'_p$  (resp.  $\tilde{\phi}'_p$ ) is supported only on the double coset  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)\xi_p^{(n'_p)}K_p^{N'+N}$  (resp.  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p)\xi_p^{(n_p)}K_p^{N'+N}$ .) Consider the standard intertwining operator  $T_{\text{st}}(s, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p) : I_P^{G_4}(s, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p) \rightarrow I_P^{G_4}(-s, \sigma'_p \otimes \sigma_p)$  given by the integral

$$T_{\text{st}}(s, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p)(g) = \int_{U_P(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \phi'_p(w_0^{-1}ug)du,$$

where  $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We know from [5] that the integral converges when  $s = -2$ . Since it is a map between two one-dimensional spaces there exists  $c'_p \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $(T_{\text{st}}(-2, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p)\phi'_p)(g) = c'_p \tilde{\phi}'_p$ . The main result of this section, Thm. 9.1, is to evaluate the constant  $c'_p$  when  $\sigma_p \cong \text{St} \otimes \chi_p$  is a twist of the Steinberg representation by an unramified character and  $\sigma'_p \cong \pi(\chi'_{1,p}, \chi'_{2,p})$  is an unramified principal series representation.

**9.1. Fixing canonical new vectors.** Let  $\sigma_p \cong \text{St} \otimes \chi_p$  where  $\chi_p$  is unramified, hence  $n_p = 1$ . Fix the new vector  $v_p \in \text{St} \otimes \chi_p \subset I_{B_2}^{G_2}(|\cdot|^{1/2}\chi_p, |\cdot|^{-1/2}\chi_p)$  as follows: It is a map  $v_p : G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(33) \quad (\sigma_p \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & * \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix}) v_p(\mathbf{1}_2) = \chi_p(t_1)\chi_p(t_2), \quad v_p(\mathbf{1}_2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_p(\mathbf{w}) = -1/p,$$

where  $\mathbf{w} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . See Schmidt [15, Sect. 2.1]. This normalization is done so that there is a *canonical* isomorphism between the  $G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  representation generated by  $\mathbf{h}_f|_{G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  and  $\text{St} \otimes \chi_p$ .



For  $\sigma'_p \cong \pi(\chi'_{1,p}, \chi'_{2,p})$ , an unramified principal series representation, as one has  $n_p$  is 0. The characters  $\chi'_{1,p}$  and  $\chi'_{2,p}$  are unramified. The normalized spherical vector of  $\sigma'_p$  is a function  $v'_p : G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(34) \quad (\sigma'_p \left( \begin{smallmatrix} t_1 & * \\ & t_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) v'_p)(1) = |t_1 t_2^{-1}|^{1/2} \chi'_{1,p}(t_1) \chi'_{2,p}(t_2).$$

Again there is a *canonical* isomorphism between the  $G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  representation generated by  $\mathbf{h}'_f|_{G_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  and  $\pi(\chi'_{1,p}, \chi'_{2,p})$ . One has the following relations with Fourier coefficients:

$$(35) \quad \chi_p(p) = a(p, h), \quad \chi'_{1,p}(p) + \chi'_{2,p}(p) = a(p, h'^p) p^{1/2}, \quad \chi'_{1,p}(p) \chi'_{2,p}(p) = p^{k'-2} \chi'^{-1}(p).$$

**9.2. Fixing vectors  $\phi'_p$  and  $\tilde{\phi}'_p$ .** Given  $f_p \in I_P^{G_4}(s, {}^t\sigma_p \otimes {}^t\sigma'_p)$ , since  $f_p(g) \in V_{\sigma_p} \otimes V_{\sigma'_p}$ , and the local representations being subrepresentations of induced representations, one can evaluate  $f_p(g)$  at an element of  $\underline{m} \in M_P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  to get:  $f_p(g)(\underline{m}) = (\underline{m} \cdot f_p(g))(\underline{1}) = f_p(\underline{m} \cdot g)(\underline{1})$ . So one can identify the induced vector  $f_p(g)$  with the complex number  $f_p(g)(\underline{1})$ . Next, since  $n_p + n'_p = 1 + 0 = 1$ , the coset representative  $\xi_p^{(n'_p)}$  in Section 4 is an element of  $K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$ . Hence  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xi_p^{(n'_p)} K_p^{n'_p + n_p} = P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mathbf{1}_4 K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$ . So to make evaluations less cumbersome we take  $\xi_p^{(n_p)} = \mathbf{1}_4$ . Fix the vectors in  $I_P^{G_4}(-2, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p)$  and  $I_P^{G_4}(2, \sigma'_p \otimes \sigma_p)$  by:

$$(36) \quad \phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n'_p)}) = v_p \otimes v'_p, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\phi}'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)}) = v'_p \otimes v_p,$$

respectively. So,  $\phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n'_p)})(\underline{1}) = (v_p \otimes v'_p)(\underline{1}) = 1$  and  $\phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{1}) = v'_p(\mathbf{w}) \otimes v_p(\mathbf{1}) = -1/p$ , where  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{1}) \in M_P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi}'_p$  is normalized as  $\tilde{\phi}'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\underline{1}) = 1$ , to determine the scalar  $c'_p$ , it is enough to evaluate the integral at  $\underline{1}$ , i.e.,

$$c'_p = (T_{\text{st}}(-2, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p) \phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\underline{1}) = (T_{\text{st}}(-2, \sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p) \phi'_p)(\mathbf{1}_4)(\underline{1}).$$

This constant  $c'_p$  will be shown to be exactly the ratio of the local  $L$ -values:

**Theorem 9.1.** *With the above assumptions on the local components for  $p|NN'$*

$$c'_p = \frac{L_p(k' - 2, h \times h')}{L_p(k' - 1, h \times h')}.$$

**9.3. Certain formal integrals.** Fix a measure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} dg = 1$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Define

$$t(x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/x_1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & x_1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t(x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1/x_2 & & \\ & & x_2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t(x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/x_3 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t(x_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1/x_4 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any  $x_i$ 's as above and  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$  formally define the operators

$$(37) \quad T_{<M}(x_i) := \int_{\substack{x_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p \\ v_p(x_4) < M}} \delta(t(x_i))^{1/2} {}^t\sigma'_p(t(x_i)) dx_i, \quad T_{\geq M}(x_i) := \int_{\substack{x_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p \\ v_p(x_i) \geq M}} dx_i,$$

where  $\sigma'_p(t(x_i)) = (\sigma_p \otimes \sigma'_p)(t(x_i))$ .

**Lemma 9.1.** *One has*

$$(38) \quad (T_{\geq 0}(x_1) \phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) + (T_{< 0}(x_1) \phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) = \frac{1 - p^{-1} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}{1 - p^{-2} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{\geq 0}(x_2)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) + (T_{< 0}(x_2)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) &= \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-2}} \frac{\chi_p(p)\chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}{\chi_p(p)\chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}, \\ (T_{\geq 0}(x_3)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) + (T_{< 0}(x_3)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) &= \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-2}} \frac{\chi_p(p)\chi'_{2,p}(p^{-1})}{\chi_p(p)\chi'_{2,p}(p^{-1})}, \\ (T_{\geq 0}(x_4)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) + (T_{< 0}(x_4)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(1) &= \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-2}} \frac{\chi_p(p)\chi'_{2,p}(p^{-1})}{\chi_p(p)\chi'_{2,p}(p^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove it only when  $i = 1$ . Other cases are similar.

$$\phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{1}) + (T_{< 0}(x_1)\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{1}) = 1 + \int_{\substack{x_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p \\ v_p(x_1) < 0}} \delta(t(x_i))^{-1/2} (\sigma'_p(t(x_1))\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{1}) dx_1,$$

the right hand side evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \int_{p^{-M}\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \delta(t(x_i))^{-1/2} (\sigma'_p(t(x_1))\phi'_p)(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{1}) dx_1 \\ = 1 + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right) p^{-2M} \chi_p(p^M) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-M}) \phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{1}), \end{aligned}$$

further simplifying as

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} (p^{-2} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1}))^M \\ = 1 + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \left( \frac{p^{-2} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}{1 - p^{-2} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})} \right) = \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-2}} \frac{\chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}{\chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The convergence is guaranteed here because we will be in the context of [5].

**9.3.1. Preliminaries on measure.** Fix the product measure  $dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4$  on  $U_P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  normalized by  $\text{vol}(U(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = 1$ . Suppose  $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in M_P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  then for  $f_p \in C_c^\infty(G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p))$

$$(39) \quad \int f_p \left( \underline{m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} & & & \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & \\ & x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \underline{m}^{-1} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 = \delta(\underline{m})^{-1/2} \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} & & & \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & \\ & x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4,$$

where  $\delta(\underline{m}) = |\det(m_1)|^2 |\det(m_2)|^{-2}$ .

**9.3.2. Some matrix identities.** Let us record some matrix identities in  $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  which will be useful in the computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a}{c} & b & 1 & a \\ 0 & \frac{d}{c} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a}{c} & b & 1 & a \\ 0 & \frac{d}{c} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a}{c} & b - \frac{ad}{c} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{d}{c} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{d} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{d} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & 1 & b \\ \frac{c}{d} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & \frac{b}{d} & 1 & b \\ \frac{c}{d} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a - \frac{bc}{d} & \frac{b}{d} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{d} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{b}{a} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{a} & d & c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{b}{a} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{a} & d & c & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{b}{a} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{a} & -\frac{bc}{a} + d & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

9.3.3. *Some integrals within the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_4$ .* Define the following matrices in  $U_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , where  $U_4$  is the unipotent radical of the upper triangular  $B_4 \subset G_4 = \mathrm{GL}_4/\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$u(x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(x_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1/x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .

**Lemma 9.2.** *If  $f_p \in C^\infty(G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  with  $f_p(ug) = f(g)$  for all  $u \in U_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  we have the following three identities:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(40) \quad \int f_p \left( t(x_3)^{-1} u(x_3)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u(x_3) t(x_3) \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4 \\
= \delta(t(x_3))^{-1/2} \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad \int f_p \left( t(x_4)^{-1} u(x_4)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u(x_4) t(x_4) \right) dx_1 dx_2 \\
= \delta(t(x_4))^{-1/2} \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad \int f_p \left( t(x_1)^{-1} u(x_1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u(x_1) t(x_1) \right) dx_2 \\
= \delta(t(x_1))^{-1/2} \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* To begin, the integral in (40) simplifies as

$$\int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{x_1}{x_3} & x_2 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & \frac{x_4}{x_3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4 = \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{x_1}{x_3} & x_2 - \frac{x_1 x_4}{x_3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x_4}{x_3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4.$$

The integral on the right is the same as

$$\int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{x_1}{x_3} & x_2 - \frac{x_1 x_4}{x_3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x_4}{x_3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4 = \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{x_1}{x_3} & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x_4}{x_3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4$$

which evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & x_2 & 1 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4 \\
= \delta(t(x_3))^{-1/2} \int f_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_4,
\end{aligned}$$

the last equality is due to (39). This completes the verification of (40). The other integrals are similar.  $\square$

**9.4. The evaluation.** The purpose of this section is to evaluate the constant

$$(43) \quad I := \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xi_p^{(n'_p)} \right) (\underline{1}) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \\ = \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) (\underline{1}) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2.$$

This is due to the choice  $\xi_p^{(n_p)} = \mathbf{1}_4$ . Writing out the evaluation at  $\underline{1}$  makes the notation cumbersome so we shall drop them and assume it implicitly.

**9.4.1. Eliminating the variable  $x_3$ .** Split the innermost integral as

$$\int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p} = \int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_3) \geq 0} + \int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_3) < 0}.$$

If  $v_p(x_3) \geq 0$  then  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$ , hence the first integral is

$$(44) \quad \int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_3) \geq 0} \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \\ = \int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_3) \geq 0} \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \\ = T_{\geq 0}(x_3) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \right].$$

If  $x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and  $v_p(x_3) < 0$ , then second integral is

$$\int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 = \int \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2.$$

which can be written as

$$\int \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{x_3} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{x_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x_3} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_4 dx_1 dx_2$$

When  $x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and  $v_p(x_3) < 0$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x_3} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n_p + n'_p}$ . Hence it simplifies as

$$\int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, v_p(x_3) < 0} \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u(x_3) t(x_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_1 dx_4 dx_2,$$

which can be written as

$$\int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, v_p(x_3) < 0} \underline{\sigma}'_p(t(x_3)) \cdot \phi'_p \left( t(x_3)^{-1} u(x_3^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u(x_3) t(x_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_4 dx_1 dx_2,$$

which in turn becomes

$$\int_{x_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, v_p(x_3) < 0} \delta(t(x_3))^{-1/2} \underline{\sigma}'_p(t(x_3)) \cdot \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_3 dx_4 dx_1 dx_2.$$

Using the notation in (37) we can write the above integral as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{<0}(t(x_3)) & \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \right] \\ & = T_{<0}(t(x_3)) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \right], \\ & = T_{<0}(t(x_3)) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral equals

$$(45) \quad T_{<0}(t(x_3)) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \right].$$

Combine (44) and (45) to get

$$(46) \quad I = (T_{\geq 0}(x_3) + T_{<0}(x_3)) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_1 dx_4 dx_2 \right].$$

9.4.2. *Eliminating the variable  $x_1$ .* Carrying out a very similar computation with the the inner integral in (46), by splitting it up as  $\int_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p} = \int_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_1) \geq 0} + \int_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_1) < 0}$ , the integral  $I$  equals

$$(47) \quad I = (T_{\geq 0}(x_3) + T_{<0}(x_3))(T_{\geq 0}(x_1) + T_{<0}(x_1)) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_4 dx_2 \right].$$

9.4.3. *Eliminating the variable  $x_4$ .* Once again, carrying out a very similar computation with the the inner integral in (47), we now get

$$(48) \quad I = (T_{\geq 0}(x_3) + T_{<0}(x_3))(T_{\geq 0}(x_1) + T_{<0}(x_1)) \left[ \begin{aligned} & T_{\geq 0}(x_4) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 \right] \\ & + T_{<0}(x_4) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \right) dx_2 \right] \end{aligned} \right].$$

9.4.4. *Eliminating the variable  $x_2$ .* There are two integrals in (48) to be evaluated now. The second integral in (48) will turn out to be 0, i.e.,

$$(49) \quad \int \phi_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \right) dx_2 = 0.$$

To see this, split the integral  $\int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p} = \int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) \geq 0} + \int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) < 0}$ , and observe that

$$\int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) \geq 0} \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \right) dx_2 = \int \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 = 0$$

because  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n'_p + n_p} \subset P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mathbf{1}_4 K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$  and  $\phi'_p$  is *not* supported in the coset  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mathbf{1}_4 K_p^{n'_p + n_p}$ . Similarly,

$$\int_{v(x_2) < 0} \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \right) dx_2 = \int \phi'_p \left( u(x_2) t(x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 = 0,$$

since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_p^{n_p+n'_p}$ . This proves (49). Next, we evaluate the first integral in (48)

$$\int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2,$$

By once again splitting the integral as  $\int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p} = \int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) \geq 0} + \int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) < 0}$ ; the first of which equals

$$\int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) \geq 0} \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 = \int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) \geq 0} \phi'_p(w_0^{-1}) dx_2 = (v'_p \otimes v_p)(\mathbf{1});$$

(the last equality is due to the facts, that  $w_0^{-1} = Q \xi_p^{(n_p)} K_1 K_2$  for some  $Q \in P(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $K_1, K_2 \in K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  and that  $\phi'_p$  is supported in  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xi_p^{(n_p)} K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$  and on the double coset it takes the value  $v'_p \otimes v_p$ . Recall that in the beginning of the evaluation the evaluation at  $\mathbf{1}$  was assumed implicitly.) The latter integral over  $v_p(x_2) < 0$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p: v_p(x_2) < 0} \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 \\ &= \int \phi'_p \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again due to the fact  $\phi'_p$  is not supported in  $P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mathbf{1}_4 K_p^{n'_p+n_p}$ . Therefore,

$$\int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 = T_{\geq 0}(x_2) \phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)}).$$

9.4.5. *Final evaluation.* Using the above calculations, (48) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (T_{\geq 0}(x_3) + T_{< 0}(x_3))(T_{\geq 0}(x_1) + T_{< 0}(x_1)) \\ &\quad \left( T_{\geq 0}(x_4) \left[ \int \phi_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 \right] + T_{< 0}(x_4) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \right) dx_2 \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$(T_{\geq 0}(x_3) + T_{< 0}(x_3))(T_{\geq 0}(x_1) + T_{< 0}(x_1)) \left( T_{\geq 0}(x_4) \left[ \int \phi'_p \left( w_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) dx_2 + 0 \right] \right)$$

which in turn simplifies as

$$(T_{\geq 0}(x_3) + T_{< 0}(x_3))(T_{\geq 0}(x_1) + T_{< 0}(x_1)) \left( T_{\geq 0}(x_4) \left[ T_{\geq 0}(x_2) \phi'_p(\xi_p^{(n_p)})(\mathbf{1}) \right] \right).$$

Using Lem. 9.1 for  $x_2, x_1$  and  $x_3$ , we get:

$$I = \left( \frac{1 - p^{-1} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})}{1 - p^{-2} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{1,p}(p^{-1})} \right) \left( \frac{1 - p^{-1} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{2,p}(p^{-1})}{1 - p^{-2} \chi_p(p) \chi'_{2,p}(p^{-1})} \right) = \frac{L_p(k' - 2, h \times h')}{L_p(k' - 1, h \times h')}.$$

Similar computation yields the results for the other possible twists as well.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BALASUBRAMANYAM, B., RAGHURAM, A., *Special values of adjoint  $L$ -functions and congruences for automorphic forms on  $GL(n)$  over a number field*, Amer. J. Math. 139 (2017), no. 3, 641–679.
- [2] BUMP, D., *Automorphic forms and representations*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., 55 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. xiv+574 pp.
- [3] FALTINGS, G.; JORDAN, W., *Crystalline cohomology and  $GL(2, \mathbb{Q})$* , Israel J. Math., 90 (1995), no. 1-3, 1–66.
- [4] GROSS, B. H., *A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod  $p$ )*, Duke Math. J., 61 (1990), no. 2, 445–517.
- [5] HARDER, G.; RAGHURAM, A., *Eisenstein cohomology for  $GL_N$  and the special values of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions*, Annals of Math. Stud., Vol. 203, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020. xi+220 pp.
- [6] HIDA, H., *A  $p$ -adic measure attached to zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. I*, Invent. Math., 79 (1985), no. 1, 159–195.
- [7] HIDA, H., *Congruences of cusp forms and special values of their zeta function*, Invent. Math., 63 (1981), no. 2, 225–261.
- [8] HIDA, H., *Elementary theory of  $L$ -functions and Eisenstein series*, London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, 26, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. xii+386 pp.
- [9] HIDA, H., *Modular forms and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., 69, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. x+343 pp.
- [10] JANUSZEWSKI, F., *Modular symbols for reductive groups and  $p$ -adic Rankin–Selberg convolutions over number fields* J. Reine Angew. Math., 653 (2011), 1–45.
- [11] MIYAKE, T., *Modular forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. x+335 pp.
- [12] NARAYANAN, P.; RAGHURAM, A., *Congruences for the ratios of Rankin–Selberg  $L$ -functions*, preprint (2025).
- [13] RAGHURAM, A., *An arithmetic property of intertwining operators for  $p$ -adic groups*, Canad. J. Math. 75 (2023), no. 1, 83–107.
- [14] RAGHURAM, A.; TANABE, N., *Notes on the arithmetic of Hilbert modular forms*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 26 (2011), no. 3, 261–319.
- [15] SCHMIDT, R., *Some remarks on local newforms for  $GL(2)$* , J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., 17 (2002), no. 2, 115–147.
- [16] SHAHIDI, F., *Eisenstein series and automorphic  $L$ -functions*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 58. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2010).
- [17] SHIMURA, G., *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Kanô Memorial Lectures, No. 1 Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, No. 11 Iwanami Shoten Publishers, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971. xiv+267 pp.
- [18] SHIMURA, G., *On the periods of modular forms*, Math. Ann., 229 (1977), no. 3, 211–221.
- [19] SHIMURA, G., *The special values of zeta functions associated to cusp forms*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 29 (1976), no. 6, 783–804.
- [20] VATSAL, V., *Canonical periods and congruence formulae*, Duke Math. J. 98 (1999), no. 2, 397–419.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, DR. HOMI BHABHA ROAD, PUNE 411008, INDIA.

Email address: [narayanan.p@students.iiserpune.ac.in](mailto:narayanan.p@students.iiserpune.ac.in)

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY AT LINCOLN CENTER, NEW YORK, NY 10023, USA.

Email address: [araghuram@fordham.edu](mailto:araghuram@fordham.edu)