

ADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS OF HARMONIC SAMPLES: THE CONDITIONED DICKMAN REGIME

VICTOR BERNAL RAMIREZ AND ARTURO JARAMILLO

ABSTRACT. We study the distributional behavior of additive arithmetic functions evaluated at integers drawn from the harmonic distribution. Our main result shows that a broad family of such functions converges in law to conditioned Dickman-type limits. This contrasts with the Gaussian limits of the classical Erdős-Kac theorem for uniform samples. Our perspective combines the probabilistic representation of harmonic samples via independent geometric variables with analytic inputs such as Mertens' approximation, together with a Poissonization procedure

KEYWORDS: Probabilistic number theory, Dickman distribution, Additive functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive arithmetic function. That is to say, a function satisfying the condition

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b).$$

This paper is devoted to the study of the behavior of additive functions evaluated at random integers drawn from a harmonic distribution. More precisely, let H_n be a random variable taking values in the set $[n]$ as the integer interval from one to n , with probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{kL_n} \mathbb{1}_{[n]}(k),$$

with L_n adjusted so that the right-hand side defines a probability measure. Our main contribution consists of exhibiting a family of functions φ , for which the distribution of $\varphi(H_n)$ converges weakly towards a power of a Dickman distribution, conditioned on taking small values. This type of limiting behavior connects with a classical and well-developed line of work on the probabilistic structure of additive functions and their limiting distributions. Before stating our main theorems precisely, it is therefore natural to situate our contribution within the broader context of probabilistic number theory.

We begin by recalling the classical framework from which this line of results originates. Our starting point is the celebrated Erdős-Kac theorem [7], which describes the statistical behavior of the prime factor counting function under uniform sampling from $[n]$. More

†) UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

*) CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS A.C., DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS, MÉXICO

E-mail addresses: †) vbernalramirez@ucsb.edu, *) jagil@cimat.mx.

precisely, let \mathcal{P} denote the set of prime numbers and let \mathcal{P}_n be defined as those elements in \mathcal{P} bounded by n . The Erdős-Kac theorem studies the additive function

$$\omega(k) = |\{p \in \mathcal{P}_n : p \text{ divides } k\}|.$$

By means of a truncation argument combined with the method of moments, it was proved that

$$\frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log n}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \xrightarrow{Law} N,$$

where J_n is uniformly distributed on $[n]$ and N is a standard normal random variable. This theorem is a cornerstone of probabilistic number theory. Beyond its intrinsic statement, it initiated the formal use of probabilistic models to study arithmetic functions. In particular, it introduced the systematic use of stochastic independence arguments in analytic number theory, a perspective that was subsequently developed in depth by Kubilius and later by Elliott (see [5, 6, 10]). Building on this framework, much of the subsequent research was focused on analyzing the quantitative content of the works by Kubilius, Elliott, Erdős, and Kac. In particular, the convergence rates in the Erdős-Kac theorem have been refined in a long line of work, including contributions by Rényi and Turán [11], Kowalski and Nikeghbali [9], and more recently Chen, Jaramillo, and Yang [3]. On a different front, a parallel line of work, emerging soon after the introduction of probabilistic number theory, focused on extending existing limit theorems to functional settings, where additive functions are viewed as evolving stochastic processes.

The present work remains closer in spirit to classical convergence results, without addressing functional or distance-based formulations, although it draws substantial motivation from both fronts. Among these, we will emphasize the contribution of Billingsley, presented in his Wald Lectures and later published in 1974 [2]. In that work, Billingsley compared the classical model of uniform sampling of integers from $[n]$ with the Kubilius model (see [10]), in which the event “ p divides n ” is replaced by an independent Bernoulli random variable of mean $1/p$. This reformulation embeds additive functions into a probabilistic setting where classical limit theorems apply directly. Within this framework, Billingsley showed that, once centered and normalized, additive functions converge to Gaussian laws under Lindeberg-type conditions, but may also give rise to more general infinitely divisible limits when prime contributions have heavier tails. He further established functional central limit theorems: in the Gaussian regime the limiting process is Brownian motion, while in the general case it is a Lévy process.

While the uniform sample and Kubilius model form the natural starting point for probabilistic number theory, the present work turns from uniform sampling to the closely related harmonic distribution H_n , recently examined in the study of additive functions under alternative sampling frameworks. This model exhibits a clean probabilistic structure, as it admits a stochastic representation in terms of independent geometric random variables (see [8] and Section 2), which facilitates the use of standard tools from distributional limit theorems, such as the Stein method. Its effectiveness is best illustrated in problems such as the description of the law of the largest prime factor of H_n , where the perspective uncovers deep connections with the Dickman distribution (see [4] for the original work in the uniform setting) and

yields quantitative approximations with nearly optimal rates, both for the uniform sampling model [1] and for the harmonic model [8].

Returning to the central problem: our goal is to describe the limiting law of $\varphi(H_n)$. Our approach addresses this by combining the probabilistic representation of harmonic samples introduced in [8] with classical analytic inputs, most notably Mertens' approximation. In this framework, the harmonic variable H_n admits a representation in terms of independent geometric variables, which makes it natural to study additive functions through their characteristic functions. To analyze these effectively, we introduce a Poissonization procedure that expresses the relevant quantities as integrals against a Poisson point process, thereby ensuring tractability in the study of the associated Fourier transforms. Finally, Mertens-type estimates identify the limiting exponent, which in turn pins down the Dickman law as the natural limit.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 collects the probabilistic and analytic ingredients of our approach, including a representation of the harmonic distribution via independent geometric variables, a Poissonization procedure that embeds our problem into a stochastic representation of prime multiplicities, classical Mertens-type estimates for sums over primes, and a brief review of the Dickman distribution together with its realization as a Poisson integral. Section 3 presents the statement of our main results, introducing a new class of limit theorems for additive functions under harmonic sampling. Sections 4 and 5 contain the proofs of the main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect the main probabilistic and analytic ingredients underlying our approach. We begin by describing the stochastic representation of the harmonic distribution in terms of independent geometric variables, followed by a Poissonization procedure that enables the analysis of the geometric random variables via characteristic functions. We then review a set of estimates of arithmetic averages over \mathcal{P} , derived from Mertens' formulas, and conclude with a brief account of the Dickman distribution and the framework of infinitely divisible laws, which together provide the natural limiting setting for additive arithmetic functions.

2.1. Prime multiplicities of harmonic samples. A natural way to describe the arithmetic structure of an integer is through its prime factorization, where every number can be uniquely written as a product of prime powers. For each prime p , we record the multiplicity of p in this decomposition through the p -adic valuation $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, defined by

$$\alpha_p(k) = \max\{m \geq 0 : p^m \mid k\}.$$

When integers are sampled uniformly from $[n]$, the p -adic valuations exhibit a remarkably regular probabilistic behavior: asymptotically, each $\alpha_p(k)$ follows a geometric distribution, and any finite collection of such multiplicities becomes asymptotically independent. To make this connection precise, let ε_p , with p in \mathcal{P}_n , be a family of independent random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}[\varepsilon_p = m] = (1 - 1/p) p^{-m},$$

for m a non-negative integer. Then, for each fixed prime p , the distribution of $\alpha_p(k)$ under uniform sampling on $[n]$ converges in law to that of ε_p . This asymptotic picture observed under uniform sampling admits an exact analogue, up to a suitable adjustment, in the harmonic model. In this setting, each prime multiplicity follows an exact geometric law, with dependence arising from a single global conditioning event. This correspondence, formalized in [8, pp. 18-20], establishes that for any additive function φ ,

$$\varphi(H_n) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \varphi(p^{\varepsilon_p}) \mid A_n\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\varepsilon_p} \leq n \right\}.$$

Classical estimates derived from Mertens' theorem (see [3]) imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n] = e^{-\gamma},$$

where γ denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Moreover, for all $n \geq 21$, one has $\mathbb{P}[A_n] \geq 0.5$. As a consequence, the conditioning event A_n introduces only a mild distortion of the distribution, and the effective dependence of prime multiplicities remains tractable.

2.2. Poissonization and linear approximation. Building on the geometric decomposition of H_n , the next step is to develop a representation that allows one to handle the dependence structure introduced by the conditioning event in (2.1). To achieve this, we introduce a Poissonization procedure that encodes the prime exponents as points of a Poisson process, yielding a tractable method for studying the associated additive functionals. Concretely, we consider the discrete state space

$$\mathcal{Y} := \{(p, k) : p \in \mathcal{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

and a Poisson point process N on \mathcal{Y} with intensity $\nu(p, k) = 1/(kp^k)$, so that the geometric variable is represented as

$$\varepsilon_p \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 1} k N(p, k). \quad (2.2)$$

In the sequel, we will assume that the ε_p have precisely this expression. For a given $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $N[g]$ the integral

$$N[g] = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} g(y) N(dy).$$

With this notation in mind, we have that $\varepsilon_p = N[f_p]$, where

$$f_p(q, k) = \mathbb{1}_{\{p=q\}} k. \quad (2.3)$$

Additive functionals of H_n can then be expressed as linear combinations of the ε_p , which in turn become functionals of the Poisson process.

2.3. Mertens' formulas. Next we present two classical estimates due to Mertens, which play a central role in the analytic part of our proofs (see [12, pp. 16-18]). The first Mertens formula provides an approximation for the logarithmically weighted sum of primes

$$\left| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\log p}{p} - \log n \right| \leq \frac{2}{\log n}, \quad (2.4)$$

while the second gives a refined estimate for the harmonic sum of prime reciprocals

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log n - c_1 \right| \leq \frac{5}{\log n}, \quad (2.5)$$

for some absolute constant c_1 . In the harmonic sampling framework, these approximations serve as key tools for evaluating expectations of additive functions and controlling their asymptotic behavior.

2.4. The Dickman distribution. The Dickman distribution \mathcal{D} was introduced in the seminal work of Dickman [4], arising in the study of the largest prime factor of integers. It is a probability distribution supported on $[0, \infty)$, whose density is proportional to the classical Dickman function ρ . The latter is defined as the unique continuous solution to the delay differential equation

$$u \rho'(u) = -\rho(u-1), \quad u > 1, \quad \rho(u) = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq u \leq 1.$$

A probabilistic perspective of this random variable is obtained by regarding the distribution as the unique distribution satisfying the bias identity

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{D}f(\mathcal{D})] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[f(\mathcal{D} + t)] dt. \quad (2.6)$$

for all bounded smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The above-mentioned distribution is infinitely divisible and exhibits a slowly decreasing tail. In particular, we can define a Lévy process $D = \{D_t ; t \geq 0\}$, such that D_1 is equal in law to \mathcal{D} . This process will be referred to as a Lévy subordinator.

A particular realization of the Dickman distribution can be obtained via a Poisson point process. Let η be a Poisson random measure on $\mathcal{X} := (0, 1]^2$ with intensity

$$\mu(dt, dx) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{(0,1]}(x)}{x} dt dx. \quad (2.7)$$

From here we can define the process

$$L_t := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s) x \eta(ds, dx), \quad (2.8)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\{L_t ; t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a Lévy subordinator with Lévy measure $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1]}(x) dx/x$ and no Gaussian part. This process will be referred to, in the sequel, as the Dickman subordinator.

The above construction naturally induces a wider family of infinitely divisible random variables obtained as Poisson integrals against η . For a deterministic measurable function $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is integrable with respect to the measure $x^{-1}dx$ on $(0, 1]$, that is,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|f(x)|}{x} dx < \infty,$$

we define

$$X[f] := \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) \eta(ds, dx).$$

Then $X[f]$ is infinitely divisible with characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iuX[f]}] = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} (e^{iuf(x)} - 1) dx \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

We now present our main results. Recall that any arithmetic additive function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is determined by its values in the set \mathcal{P} , as the prime decomposition yields the identity

$$f(k) = f \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)} \right) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} f(p^{\alpha_p(k)}), \quad (3.1)$$

for all positive integer k . Next we narrow down the type of additive functions we will be considering in this paper.

Additive embeddings of real functions.

Relation (3.1) shows that an additive arithmetic function is completely determined by its values on prime powers, that is, on arguments of the form p^ℓ , where p is a prime and ℓ a non-negative integer. Beyond this basic restriction, we are free to assign these values in any consistent manner. In particular, given an arbitrary real function ψ defined on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , we may set

$$f(p^\ell) := \psi(p, \ell),$$

thus embedding the real function ψ into the space of additive arithmetic functions through the rule (3.1). This perspective gives the theory a familiar analytical flavor, allowing additive functions to be modeled by real-valued maps on a continuous domain.

This embedding, however, comes with a caveat. While ψ is now defined on the extended domain \mathbb{R}_+^2 rather than on $\mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{N}_0$, certain natural algebraic identities that hold in the discrete setting may fail to persist in the extended one. For instance, on the restricted domain $\mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ we naturally have

$$\psi(x, y) = \psi(a, b) \quad \text{whenever } x^y = a^b,$$

since both pairs correspond to the same numerical value of the prime power. This property, however, need not hold automatically for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$. To preserve this compatibility, we may impose that ψ remain constant along the level sets of the mapping

$$(x, y) \mapsto x^y,$$

or, equivalently, of $(x, y) \mapsto y \log x$. Under this condition, ψ depends only on the composite variable $y \log x$, and therefore reduces to a single-variable function $\vartheta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined implicitly by $\vartheta(t) = \psi(x, y)$ whenever $t = y \log x$.

This observation motivates a convenient parametrization of additive arithmetic functions through a single real function ϑ . Given $\vartheta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\vartheta(0) = 0$, define the induced additive function $\iota[\vartheta] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\iota[\vartheta](p^\ell) := \vartheta(\ell \log p),$$

for all primes p and non-negative integers ℓ . The definition then extends additively to all integers via the prime factorization rule (3.1). Setting $\vartheta(0) = 0$ ensures that primes not appearing in the factorization of k make no contribution to the sum.

Specifications of the model

Next we describe the family of choices for ϑ for which our result will be applied. Denote by \mathcal{V} the collection of real functions on \mathbb{R}_+ of the form

$$\vartheta(x) = F(x) L(x),$$

where F is a function satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-\alpha} F(x) = 1,$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0$. For ϑ in \mathcal{V} , we define its degree as the unique integer $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $\vartheta(x)/x^\alpha$ is slowly varying and non-trivial as x tends to infinity. The mapping associating ϑ to its degree α , will be denoted by $\partial\vartheta$. In the rest of the section, we will adopt the notation \mathcal{X} and η , as described in Section 2.4. The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi = \iota[\vartheta]$ for some continuously differentiable $\vartheta \in \mathcal{V}$ decreasing in a neighborhood of infinity. Then*

$$\varphi(H_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Law}} \mathcal{L}\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}} x^{\partial\vartheta} \eta(ds, dx) \mid \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \eta(ds, dx) \leq 1\right),$$

as n tends to infinity.

The next proposition is the main ingredient for the proof of (3.1). Its content is of independent interest. Let ε_p denote the random variables introduced in Section 2.2.

Proposition 3.2. *Let φ be an additive function of the form $\varphi = \iota[\vartheta]$ for some continuously differentiable $\vartheta \in \mathcal{V}$ decreasing in a neighborhood of infinity. Consider the random variable*

$$Z_n = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left(\frac{u_1 \varphi(p)}{\vartheta(n)} + u_2 \frac{\log p}{\log n} \right) \varepsilon_p.$$

Then, as n tends to infinity,

$$Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{Law}} u_1 \int_{\mathcal{X}} x^{\partial\vartheta} \eta(ds, dx) + u_2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \eta(ds, dx),$$

As anticipated, this proposition serves as the key building block for determining the behavior of the additive functionals discussed in Section 1.

It is important to remark that results of this kind do not appear in the existing literature. As mentioned in the introduction, most previous work concerns the case where H_n is replaced by a uniform distribution. In that setting, Billingsley's results guarantee convergence to infinitely divisible laws are quite different from the Dickman-type limit exhibited in Theorem 3.1.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

We start representing the distribution of the variables (2.2) in terms of the point process η . To this end, we consider the representation $\varepsilon_p = N[f_p]$, with f_p given as in (2.3).

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be given and define $v_i = \lambda u_i$. In order to determine the asymptotic behavior of the law of W_n , it suffices to determine the asymptotics of

$$E \left[\exp \left\{ i \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(p)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log p}{\log n} \right) \varepsilon_p \right\} \right].$$

To this end, we will make use of the observation at the end of Section 2.4, which states that Poisson integrals have an explicit characteristic function that can be written in terms of the underlying control measure. To carry out this program, we observe that with the above notation in mind,

$$Z_n = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} g_n(z) N(dz),$$

where

$$g_n(z) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(p)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log p}{\log n} \right) f_p(z).$$

Then

$$E[\exp(iZ_n)] = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{Y}} (e^{ig_n(z)} - 1) \nu(dz) \right\}.$$

where ν is given as in Section 2.2. We are then left with the problem of determining the asymptotic behavior of

$$I_n := \int_{\mathcal{Y}} (e^{ig_n(z)} - 1) \nu(dz).$$

Observe that if $z = (j, q)$, then

$$g_n(z) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(p)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log p}{\log n} \right) = j \mathbf{1}_{[n]}(q) \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(q)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log q}{\log n} \right).$$

From here it follows that

$$I_n = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n} (e^{ig_n(q,k)} - 1) \nu[\{k, q\}] = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{1}{k q^k} \left(e^{ik \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(q)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(q)}{\log(n)} \right)} - 1 \right)$$

Next we show that the term k equal to one yields the main contribution as n tends to infinity. To this end, we consider the decomposition

$$I_n = \tilde{I}_n + R_n,$$

where

$$\tilde{I}_n := \sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left(e^{i \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(q)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(q)}{\log(n)} \right)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{q}$$

and

$$R_n := \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left(e^{ik \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(q)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(q)}{\log(n)} \right)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{kq^k}$$

One can easily check that the mapping $(k, p) \mapsto 1/(kq^k)$ is summable over $k \geq 2$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, so by an application of the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that R_n converges to zero as n tends to infinity. It then suffices to handle \tilde{I}_n . To this end, we observe that

$$\tilde{I}_n = \int_2^n \left(e^{i \left(\frac{v_1 \varphi(t)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(t)}{\log(n)} \right)} - 1 \right) F(dt)$$

where F is the distribution function

$$F(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{P}_{\lfloor x \rfloor}} \frac{1}{k}.$$

The fact that $\varphi = \iota[\vartheta]$, allows us to replace φ by $\vartheta \circ \log$ in the above formulas. By Merten's approximation the distribution function F is heuristically close to $\log \log(x) + c_1$; observation that naturally leads to considering the function $G(x) = F(x) - \log \log(x) - c_1$, and the associated decomposition

$$\tilde{I}_n = \hat{I}_n + \hat{R}_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_n &= \int_{2-}^n \left(e^{i \left(\frac{v_1 \vartheta \circ \log(t)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(t)}{\log(n)} \right)} - 1 \right) \log \log(dt) \\ \hat{R}_n &= \int_{2-}^n \left(e^{i \left(\frac{v_1 \vartheta \circ \log(t)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(t)}{\log(n)} \right)} - 1 \right) G(dt). \end{aligned}$$

The treatment of the terms \hat{I}_n and \hat{R}_n will be carried in separate steps, which will be followed by an identification of the corresponding limit.

Step I

We begin handling the term \hat{R}_n . To this end, define

$$\phi(t) := \frac{v_1 \vartheta \circ \log(t)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log t}{\log n}, \quad f(t) := e^{i\phi(t)} - 1,$$

so that

$$\hat{R}_n = \int_{(2, n]} f(t) G(dt).$$

By integration by parts,

$$\int_{(2,n]} f(t) G(dt) = [f(t)G(t)]_{2-}^n - \int_2^n G(t) df(t).$$

Since $df(t) = \mathbf{i}e^{\mathbf{i}\phi(t)} \phi'(t) dt$, we obtain

$$\widehat{R}_n = (e^{\mathbf{i}\phi(n)} - 1) G(n) - (e^{\mathbf{i}\phi(2-)} - 1) G(2-) - \mathbf{i} \int_2^n G(t) e^{\mathbf{i}\phi(t)} \phi'(t) dt.$$

Using $|e^{ix} - 1| \leq 2 \wedge |x|$ as well as Merten's approximation (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{R}_n| &\leq (2 \wedge |\phi(n)|) |G(n)| + (2 \wedge |\phi(2)|) |G(2-)| \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} \int_2^n |(\vartheta \circ \log)'(t)| / \log \log(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\log(n)} \int_2^n 1/(t \log \log(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of G and ϕ , it easily follows that $G(n)$ and $\phi(2-)$ are bounded by a constant multiple of $C(1/\vartheta(n) + 1/\log(n))$, and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n |\widehat{R}_n| &\leq \limsup_n \frac{C}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} \int_2^n |(\vartheta \circ \log)'(t)| / \log \log(t) dt \\ &\quad + \limsup_n \frac{C}{\log(n)} \int_2^n 1/(t \log \log(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lôpital's rule, we conclude that \widehat{R}_n tends to zero as n tends to infinity.

Step II

It now remains to determine the asymptotic behavior of \widehat{I}_n . To this end, we observe that

$$\widehat{I}_n = \int_2^n \left(\exp \left\{ i \left(\frac{v_1 \vartheta \circ \log(t)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + \frac{v_2 \log(t)}{\log(n)} \right) \right\} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{t \log(t)} dt$$

By the change of variables $u = \frac{\log(t)}{\log(n)}$, $du = \frac{1}{t \log(n)} dt$

$$\widehat{I}_n = \int_{\frac{\log(2)}{\log(n)}}^1 \left(e^{i \left(\frac{v_1 \vartheta(\log(n)u)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + v_2 u \right)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{u} du = \int_{\frac{\log(2)}{\log(n)}}^1 \left(e^{i \left(\frac{v_1 \vartheta(n^u)}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} + v_2 u \right)} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{u} du.$$

Since ϑ is the product of a slowly varying function and a function asymptotically equivalent to $x^{\partial\vartheta}$, we then conclude that

$$\lim_n \widehat{I}_n = \lim_n \widehat{I}_n = \int_0^1 (\exp\{i(v_1 u^{\partial\vartheta} + v_2 u)\} - 1) \frac{1}{u} du$$

Step III

Let \mathcal{X} , μ and η be the space, control measure and Poisson point process introduced in Section 2.4. Recall that for every function f , $X[f]$ has characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iuX[f]}] = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} (e^{\mathbf{i}v f(x)} - 1) dx \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Taking f as the function

$$f(x) = v_1 x^{\partial\vartheta} + v_2 x$$

we then conclude that

$$\lim_n \mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda W_n}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda u_1 \int_{\mathcal{X}} x^\alpha \eta(ds, dx) + \lambda u_2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \eta(ds, dx)}],$$

The proof of Proposition 3.2 follows from here.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth bounded test function. To prove the result, it suffices to analyze the asymptotic behavior of the expectation of $\Psi(\varphi(H_n)/\vartheta \circ \log(n))$. In view of the identity (2.1), we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Psi \circ \varphi(H_n)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \varphi(p^{\varepsilon_p})/\vartheta \circ \log(n)\right) \mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right] / \mathbb{P}[A_n].$$

Next, by writing $A_n = \{Z_{n,2} \leq 1\}$, with

$$Z_{n,2} := \frac{1}{\log(n)} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \log(p) \varepsilon_p,$$

we get that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Psi \circ \varphi(H_n)] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\Psi}(Z_{n,1}, Z_{n,2})] / \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{Z_{n,2} \leq 1\}}],$$

with $\tilde{\Psi}(a, b) := \Psi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\{b \in [0,1]\}}$ and

$$Z_{n,1} := \frac{1}{\vartheta \circ \log(n)} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \varphi(p^{\varepsilon_p}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, the vector $(Z_{n,1}, Z_{n,2})$ converges in law to

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}} x^\alpha \eta(ds, dx), \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \eta(ds, dx) \right).$$

The result easily follows from here.

Acknowledgements

Arturo Jaramillo Gil was supported by the grant CBF2023-2024-2088.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chinmoy Bhattacharjee and Matthias Schulte. Dickman approximation of weighted random sums in the kolmogorov distance, 2022.
- [2] P. Billingsley. The probability theory of additive arithmetic functions. *Annals of Probability*, 2:749–791, 1974.
- [3] L. H. Y. Chen, A. Jaramillo, and X. C. Yang. A probabilistic approach to the erdős–kac theorem for additive functions. *Preprint (2021)*. arXiv:2102.05094.
- [4] Karl Dickman. On the frequency of numbers containing prime factors of a certain relative magnitude. *Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik*, 22A(10):1–14, 1930.
- [5] P. D. T. A. Elliott. *Probabilistic number theory. I*, volume 239 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Mean-value theorems.

- [6] Peter D. T. A. Elliott. *Probabilistic Number Theory II: Central Limit Theorems*, volume 240 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1980.
- [7] P. Erdős and M. Kac. The gaussian law of errors in the theory of additive number theoretic functions. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 62:738–742, 1940.
- [8] Arturo Jaramillo and Xiaochuan Yang. Approximation of smooth numbers for harmonic samples: A stein method approach. *Preprint*, 2023. arXiv:2307.00000.
- [9] E. Kowalski and A. Nikeghbali. Mod-discrete expansions in probability and number theory. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 158(3–4):859–885, 2014.
- [10] A. Kubilius. *Probabilistic Methods in the Theory of Numbers*, volume 11 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 1964.
- [11] A. Rényi and P. Turán. On a theorem of Erdős-Kac. *Acta Arith.*, 4:71–84, 1958.
- [12] Gérald Tenenbaum. *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, volume 163 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition edition, 2015. Translated from the 4th French edition by Patrick D. F. Ion.