

# QUIVER DOWN-UP ALGEBRAS

JASON GADDIS AND DENNIS KEELER

**ABSTRACT.** We present a generalization of down-up algebras, originally defined by Benkart and Roby. These quiver down-up algebras arise as quotients of the double of the extended Dynkin quiver of type  $A$ . Under a certain non-degeneracy condition, we show that quiver down-up algebras are noetherian piecewise domains. The  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded members of the family are proved to be, generically, twisted Calabi–Yau. Finally, we consider the isomorphism problem for graded quiver down-up algebras.

Throughout, let  $\mathbb{k}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Down-up algebras were introduced by Benkart and Roby [1, 2]. They have drawn significant interest in representation theory [13], invariant theory [11], and the study of Hopf algebras [3]. In this paper, we realize a family of algebras which may reasonably be considered as a generalization of down-up algebras to quivers with many vertices.

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $Q$  be the following quiver:

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & e_0 & & \\ & \nearrow d_0 & & & \nwarrow d_{n-1} & & \\ e_1 & \xleftarrow{u_1} & e_2 & \xleftarrow{u_2} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{u_{n-3}} & e_{n-2} & \xleftarrow{u_{n-2}} & e_{n-1} \\ & \xleftarrow{d_1} & & \xleftarrow{d_2} & & \xleftarrow{d_{n-3}} & & \xleftarrow{d_{n-2}} & \end{array}$$

For  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}^n$ , the corresponding *quiver down-up algebra*  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is defined as the quotient of  $\mathbb{k}Q$  by the relations

$$d_{i-1}u_{i-1}u_i = \alpha_i u_i d_i u_i + \beta_i u_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1} + \gamma_i u_i$$

$$d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1} = \alpha_i d_i u_i d_i + \beta_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1} d_i + \gamma_i d_i,$$

for  $i \in Q_0$ . If  $\gamma_i = 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ , then we write  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ .

The classical down-up algebras occur when  $n = 1$ , so there is a single vertex and two loops ( $u = u_0$ ,  $d = d_0$ ) with parameters  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ ,  $\beta = \beta_0$ , and  $\gamma = \gamma_0$ . These algebras are known to be noetherian domains if and only if  $\beta \neq 0$ . When  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , they are cubic Artin–Schelter regular algebra of global dimension three. We generalize these results to the quiver down-up algebras from Definition 0.1.

In Section 1 we provide background on quivers and path algebras with relations, and establish a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis for the quiver down-up algebras. In Section 2, we show that quiver down-up algebras appear as generalized Weyl algebras over the algebra  $\oplus_{i \in Q_0} R[x_i, y_i]$ . This shows that the  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  are noetherian and piecewise

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E65, 16S38, 16P90, 16W50, 16P40 .

*Key words and phrases.* Down-up algebras, twisted-graded Calabi–Yau, piecewise domain, generalized Weyl algebra, skew group ring, isomorphism problems.

domains when  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Section 3 realizes certain quiver down-up algebras as skew group rings of an Artin–Schelter regular algebra and provides the last pieces of proving the following theorem.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 3.6). Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  is twisted-graded Calabi–Yau of global dimension three with Nakayama automorphism  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}$  given by  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}(u_i) = -\beta_{i-1}^{-1}u_i$  and  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}(d_i) = -\beta_{i-1}^{-1}d_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ .

The following theorem, which is analogous to [12, Theorem] in the single-vertex case, is proved in Section 4.

**Theorem B** (Theorem 4.2). Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{H}$  is right (or left) noetherian,
- (3)  $\mathbb{k}[u_i d_i, d_{i-1} u_{i-1}]$  is a polynomial ring in two variables for all  $i \in Q_0$ ,
- (4)  $\mathcal{H}$  is a piecewise domain.

Finally, in Section 5 we consider the isomorphism problem for the graded quiver down-up algebras. This is related to recent work in [9] on isomorphisms of certain quantized preprojective algebras.

**Theorem C** (Theorem 5.2). Fix  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{k}^n$  and  $\beta, \beta' \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0) \cong \mathcal{H}(\alpha', \beta', 0)$  if and only if there exists  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^n$  and  $k \in Q_0$  such that one of the following hold:

- (1)  $\alpha'_i = \lambda_{i+k} \lambda_{i+k-1}^{-1} \alpha_{i+k}$ ,  $\beta'_i = \lambda_{i+k+1} \lambda_{i+k-1}^{-1} \beta_{i+k}$ , or
- (2)  $\alpha'_i = -\lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1} \lambda_{n-i-k-1} \beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1} \alpha_{n-i-k-1}$ ,  $\beta'_i = \lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1} \lambda_{n-i-k-2}^{-1} \beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1}$ .

In a companion piece [8], the authors show that certain graded quiver down-up algebras appear as normal extensions of preprojective algebras. This gives an alternate way to realize some of the results in this paper.

**Acknowledgements.** Gaddis is partially supported by an AMS–Simons Research Enhancement Grant for PUI Faculty. The authors thank Dan Rogalski for helpful conversations

## 1. QUIVERS AND A BASIS FOR QUIVER DOWN-UP ALGEBRAS

A *quiver*  $Q$  is a directed graph. More precisely, it is a set  $Q_0$  of vertices and a set  $Q_1$  of edges along with maps  $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t} : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ . For each  $a \in Q_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{s}(a)$  is the *source* and  $\mathfrak{t}(a)$  is the *target*. A *path* in  $Q$  is a string  $p = a_0 a_1 \dots a_m$  with  $\mathfrak{t}(a_i) = \mathfrak{s}(a_{i+1})$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ . The maps  $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}$  then extend naturally to the set of paths with  $\mathfrak{s}(p) = \mathfrak{s}(a_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(p) = \mathfrak{t}(a_m)$ . Throughout, we assume that  $Q_0$  and  $Q_1$  are finite.

The set of paths in  $Q$  form a  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear basis of the *path algebra*  $\mathbb{k}Q$ . Multiplication in  $\mathbb{k}Q$  is given by concatenation. That is, for paths  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $p \cdot q = pq$  if  $\mathfrak{t}(p) = \mathfrak{s}(q)$  and  $p \cdot q = 0$  otherwise. At each vertex  $k \in Q_0$  there is a trivial path  $e_k$  which has the properties  $e_k p = p$  (resp.  $p e_k = p$ ) if  $\mathfrak{s}(p) = k$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{t}(p) = k$ ) and 0 otherwise.

There is a filtration on  $Q$  by path length, which extends naturally to  $\mathbb{k}Q$ . An element  $f \in \mathbb{k}Q$  is *homogeneous* if  $f$  is the sum of elements of the same path length, source, and target. An ideal  $I$  in  $\mathbb{k}Q$  is *homogeneous* if it is generated by homogeneous elements.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}^n$ . A  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of paths of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad (u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_{i+k})(d_{i+k} u_{i+k})^j (d_{i+k} d_{i+k-1} \cdots d_{i+k-\ell}),$$

with  $j, k, \ell \geq 0$ . (In particular, we can have  $j, k, \ell > n$ , so that the arrows repeat.)

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be as in (0.1). We order the arrows of  $Q$  by

$$d_0 > d_1 > \cdots > d_{n-1} > u_0 > u_1 > \cdots > u_{n-1}.$$

The leading terms of the relations under this ordering are  $d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1}$  and  $d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i$  for all  $i$ . The only overlaps between these terms are of the form  $d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i$ . We claim that these overlap ambiguities resolve:

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1}) u_i - d_i (d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i) \\ &= (\alpha_i d_i u_i d_i + \beta_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1} d_i + \gamma_i d_i) u_i - d_i (\alpha_i u_i d_i u_i + \beta_i u_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1} + \gamma_i u_i) \\ &= \beta_i (u_{i+1} (d_{i+1} d_i u_i) - (d_i u_i u_{i+1}) d_{i+1}) \\ &= \beta_i (u_{i+1} (\alpha_{i+1} d_{i+1} u_{i+1} d_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1} u_{i+2} d_{i+2} d_{i+1} + \gamma_{i+1} d_{i+1}) \\ &\quad - (\alpha_{i+1} u_{i+1} d_{i+1} u_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1} u_{i+1} u_{i+2} d_{i+2} + \gamma_{i+1} u_{i+1}) d_{i+1}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Hence, a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of those paths which avoid the leading terms  $d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1}$  and  $d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i$ . It is easily seen that these are the paths (1.1).  $\square$

Let  $\Gamma$  be an abelian monoid. An algebra  $A$  is  $\Gamma$ -graded if there is a vector space decomposition  $A = \bigoplus_{k \in \Gamma} A_k$  such that  $A_k \cdot A_\ell \subset A_{k+\ell}$ . A graded algebra  $A$  is *locally finite* if  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} A_k < \infty$  for all  $k$ .

The quiver down-up algebras  $H(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  are  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded and locally finite via the path-length filtration ( $\deg(u_i) = \deg(d_i) = 1$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ ). This is the grading we use throughout, however there is also a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  obtained by setting  $\deg(u_i) = 1$  and  $\deg(d_i) = -1$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ .

Given a quiver  $Q$  with  $|Q_0| = n$ , the associated *adjacency matrix* is  $M_Q \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$  where  $(M_Q)_{ij}$  denotes the number of arrows with source  $i$  and target  $j$ . Let  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal in  $\mathbb{k}Q$  and set  $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $H_k \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$  where  $(H_k)_{ij}$  denotes the number of paths of length  $k$  (modulo relations) with source  $i$  and target  $j$ . Note if  $I \subset (\mathbb{k}Q)^2$ , then  $H_1 = M_Q$ . The *matrix-valued Hilbert series* of  $A$  is defined as

$$h_A(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k t^k.$$

The *total Hilbert series* of  $A$ ,  $h_A^{\text{tot}}(t)$ , is the infinite series in which the coefficient of  $t^k$  is the sum of the entries of  $H_k$ .

**Example 1.2.** Let  $Q$  be as in (0.1). Define the algebra

$$A = \mathbb{k}Q / (d_i u_i - u_{i+1} d_{i+1} : i \in Q_0).$$

The algebra  $A$  is a particular preprojective algebra, and it is well-known that  $A$  is graded Calabi–Yau algebra of dimension two. Thus,  $h_A = (I - Mt + It^2)^{-1}$  [16, Theorem 1.2].

It is easy to see from the relations that a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis for  $A$  consists of paths of the form

$$(1.2) \quad u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_{i+k} d_{i+k} d_{i+k-1} \cdots d_{i+k-\ell}.$$

For each vertex  $i \in Q_0$ , there is a bijection between paths of the form (1.2) with source  $e_i$  and the monomial basis of  $\mathbb{k}[a, b]$ . It follows that  $h_A^{\text{tot}} = n(1-t)^2$ .

We now use a similar strategy to establish a basis for the graded quiver down-up algebras.

**Corollary 1.3.** Suppose  $\gamma = 0$ . Then the total Hilbert series of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  is

$$h_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tot}} = n(1-t)^{-2}(1-t^2)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* There is a bijection between paths of the form (1.1) with source vertex  $i$  and monomials  $a^{k+1}b^j c^{\ell+1}$  in  $\mathbb{k}[a, b, c]$  where  $b$  is given degree two. The Hilbert series of  $\mathbb{k}[a, b, c]$  is  $(1-t)^{-2}(1-t^2)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

In Lemma 3.5 we compute the matrix-valued Hilbert series of  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ .

## 2. GENERALIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS

In this section we establish several key properties of quiver down-up algebras by recognizing them as generalized Weyl algebras. It is well known that the single-vertex down-up algebras appear in this way [12].

Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra and  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $R$ . A  $\sigma$ -*derivation* of  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  satisfying  $\delta(rr') = \sigma(r)\delta(r') + \delta(r)r'$  for all  $r, r' \in R$ . Given the above, the *Ore extension*  $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is generated over  $R$  by  $x$  subject to the relations  $xr = \sigma(r)x + \delta(r)$  for all  $r \in R$ . If  $R$  is twisted Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$ , then  $S$  is twisted Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d + 1$  [14, Theorem 3.3].

Suppose that  $R = \mathbb{k}Q/I$  with  $I \subset \mathbb{k}Q_{\geq 2}$  homogeneous. Further, suppose that  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  are graded. This implies that  $\sigma$  permutes the set of idempotents, so  $\sigma(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$ , and  $\sigma$  commutes with the source and target maps. Hence, if  $a \in Q_1$  with  $\mathfrak{s}(a) = e_i$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(a) = e_j$ , then  $\mathfrak{s}(\sigma(a)) = e_{\sigma(i)}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(\sigma(a)) = e_{\sigma(j)}$ . Moreover,  $\delta(a) \in e_{\sigma(i)}R_2e_j$ . In  $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ , we have  $x = \sum x_i$  where  $x_i = xe_i = e_{\sigma(i)}x$ . Then for  $a \in e_iRe_j$ ,  $x_ia = \sigma(a)x_j + \delta(a)$  is a homogeneous relation from  $e_{\sigma(i)}$  to  $e_j$ .

As above, let  $R$  be an algebra and  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $R$ . Let  $h$  be a regular central element of  $R$ . The *generalized Weyl algebra* (GWA)  $R(\sigma, h)$  is the algebra generated by  $R$  and  $X^+, X^-$  subject to the relations

$$X^-X^+ = h, \quad X^+X^- = \sigma(h), \quad X^+r = \sigma(r)X^+, \quad X^-\sigma(r) = rX^-,$$

for all  $r \in R$ .

Every GWA is (isomorphic to) a quotient of an iterated Ore extension. Let  $S = R[X^-; \sigma][X^+; \sigma^{-1}, \delta]$  where  $\delta(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $\delta(X^-) = \sigma(h) - h$ . Then  $R(\sigma, h) \cong S/(X^-X^+ - h)$ , so  $R(\sigma, h)$  is noetherian if  $R$  is.

Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{k}[x_i, y_i]$  and denote the identity in  $\mathbb{k}[x_i, y_i]$  by  $e_i$  for each  $i \in Q_0$ . If  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ , then the linear map given by

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(e_i) = e_{i+1}, \quad \sigma(x_i) = y_{i+1}, \quad \sigma(y_i) = \alpha_i y_{i+1} + \beta_i x_{i+1} + \gamma_i,$$

defines an automorphism of  $R$ . Let  $x = \sum x_i$  and define the GWA  $T = R(\sigma, x)$ . In  $T$ , the generators  $X_i^-, X_i^+$  decompose as  $X_i^- = X^- e_i = e_{i+1} X^-$  and  $X_i^+ = e_i X^+ = X^+ e_{i+1}$ . These satisfy the relations

$$X_i^- r = \sigma^{-1}(r) X_i^-, \quad X_i^+ r = \sigma(r) X_i^+, \quad X_i^- X_i^+ = x_i, \quad X_i^+ X_i^- = \sigma(x_i) = y_{i+1}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Keep the above setup and assume  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Then  $T \cong \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Define a map  $\theta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T$  by  $\theta(u_i) = X_i^-$  and  $\theta(d_i) = X_i^+$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(d_{i-1})\theta(u_{i-1})\theta(u_i) - \alpha_i\theta(u_i)\theta(d_i)\theta(u_i) - \beta_i\theta(u_i)\theta(u_{i+1})\theta(d_{i+1}) - \gamma_i\theta(u_i) \\ &= X_{i-1}^+ X_{i-1}^- X_i^- - \alpha_i X_i^- X_i^+ X_i^- - \beta_i X_i^- X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ - \gamma_i X_i^- \\ &= y_i X_i^- - \alpha_i X_i^- X_i^+ X_i^- - \beta_i X_i^- X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ - \gamma_i X_i^- \\ &= X_i^- \sigma(y_i) - \alpha_i X_i^- X_i^+ X_i^- - \beta_i X_i^- X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ - \gamma_i X_i^- \\ &= X_i^- (\alpha_i y_{i+1} + \beta_i x_{i+1} + \gamma_i) - \alpha_i X_i^- X_i^+ X_i^- - \beta_i X_i^- X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ - \gamma_i X_i^- = 0, \\ & \theta(d_i)\theta(d_{i-1})\theta(u_{i-1}) - \alpha_i\theta(d_i)\theta(u_i)\theta(d_i) - \beta_i\theta(u_{i+1})\theta(d_{i+1})\theta(d_i) + \gamma_i\theta(d_i) \\ &= X_i^+ X_{i-1}^+ X_{i-1}^- - \alpha_i X_i^+ X_i^- X_i^+ - \beta_i X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ X_i^+ - \gamma_i X_i^+ \\ &= X_i^+ y_i - \alpha_i X_i^+ X_i^- X_i^+ - \beta_i X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ X_i^+ - \gamma_i X_i^+ \\ &= \sigma(y_i) X_i^+ - \alpha_i X_i^+ X_i^- X_i^+ - \beta_i X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ X_i^+ - \gamma_i X_i^+ \\ &= (\alpha_i y_{i+1} + \beta_i x_{i+1} + \gamma_i) X_i^+ - \alpha_i X_i^+ X_i^- X_i^+ - \beta_i X_{i+1}^- X_{i+1}^+ X_i^+ - \gamma_i X_i^+ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\theta$  extends to an algebra homomorphism.

Now define  $\theta' : T \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by

$$\theta'(X_i^-) = u_i, \quad \theta'(X_i^+) = d_i, \quad \theta'(x_i) = X_i^- X_i^+, \quad \theta'(y_i) = X_{i-1}^+ X_{i-1}^-.$$

One verifies similarly that  $\theta'$  defines an algebra homomorphism, which is clearly inverse to  $\theta$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ .

- (1) The quiver down-up algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is noetherian.
- (2) The subalgebra  $\mathbb{k}[u_i d_i, d_{i-1} u_{i-1}]$  is a polynomial ring in two variables for all  $i \in Q_0$ .

*Proof.* Property (1) is clear. For (2), let  $\theta$  be as in Proposition 2.1, so  $\theta(u_i d_i) = x_i$  and  $\theta(d_{i-1} u_{i-1}) = y_i$ . As  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  commute and are algebraically independent in  $R$ , the same is true of their preimages.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring with a complete set  $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$  of orthogonal idempotents. For example,  $R = \mathbb{k}Q$  with  $Q$  a finite quiver. Then  $R$  is a *piecewise domain* (PWD) if  $ab = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  for all  $a \in e_i R e_k$  and  $b \in e_k R e_j$  [10]. For example, the direct sum of domains is a piecewise domains, and a polynomial extension of a piecewise domain is a piecewise domain. We extend these examples below.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a PWD with complete set  $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$  of orthogonal idempotents. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$ .

- (1) The Ore extension  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a PWD.
- (2) The GWA  $R(\sigma, h)$  is a PWD.

*Proof.* (1) Set  $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ . Let  $a \in e_i S e_k$  and  $b \in e_k S e_j$  be nonzero. By definition of an Ore extension,  $a = e_i \sum_{u=0}^d r_u x^u e_k$  and  $b = e_k \sum_{v=0}^{d'} r_v x^v e_j$  with  $r_u, r_v \in R$  for all  $u, v$  and  $r_d, r_{d'} \neq 0$ . Now the top degree term of  $ab$  is

$$(e_i r_d x^d e_k)(e_k r_{d'} x^{d'} e_j) = (e_i r_d \sigma^d(e_k))(\sigma^d(e_k) \sigma^d(r_{d'}) \sigma^{d+d'}(e_j)) x^{d+d'} + (\text{lower degree terms}).$$

Note that  $0 \neq e_i r_d x^d e_k = e_i r_d \sigma^d(e_k) x^d$ . That is,  $e_i r_d \sigma^d(e_k) \neq 0$ . Similarly,  $e_k r_{d'} \sigma^{d'}(e_j) \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$0 \neq \sigma^d(e_k r_{d'} \sigma^{d'}(e_j)) = \sigma^d(e_k) \sigma^d(r_{d'}) \sigma^{d+d'}(e_j).$$

Because  $R$  is a PWD, then  $(e_i r_d \sigma^d(e_k))(\sigma^d(e_k) \sigma^d(r_{d'}) \sigma^{d+d'}(e_j)) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $ab \neq 0$ , so  $S$  is a PWD.

(2) This is similar and requires only minimal modification. Set  $T = R(\sigma, h)$ . Let  $a \in e_i T e_k$  and  $b \in e_k T e_j$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $X^k = (X^+)^k$  if  $k > 0$  and  $X^k = (X^-)^{-k}$  if  $k < 0$ . Then  $a = e_i \sum_{u=d_1}^{d_2} r_u X^u e_k$  and  $b = e_k \sum_{v=d'_1}^{d'_2} r_v X^v e_j$  with  $r_u, r_v \in R$  for all  $u, v$  and  $r_{d_1}, r_{d_2}, r_{d'_1}, r_{d'_2} \neq 0$ . The remainder of the proof follows similarly. In particular, the top degree term of  $ab$  is  $(e_i r_{d_2} x^{d_2} e_k)(e_k r_{d'_2} x^{d'_2} e_j)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is a PWD.

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions 2.1 and 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. SKEW GROUP ALGEBRAS AND TWISTED CY ALGEBRAS

In this section we establish that the  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  are twisted graded Calabi–Yau. The *enveloping algebra* of an algebra  $A$  is defined as  $A^e = A \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} A^{\text{op}}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** An algebra  $A$  is *homologically smooth* if  $A$  has a finite-length resolution by finitely generated projective  $A^e$ -modules. The algebra  $A$  is *twisted Calabi–Yau* of dimension  $d$  if it is homologically smooth and there exists an invertible  $(A, A)$ -bimodule  $U$  such that there are right  $A^e$ -module isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq d \\ U & i = d. \end{cases}$$

If  $U = {}^1A^\mu$  for an automorphism  $\mu$  of  $A$ , then we call  $\mu$  the *Nakayama automorphism*.

We will use  $\mu^A$  to denote the Nakayama automorphism of the algebra  $A$ , and we will omit the superscript when the algebra is clear. Note that the Nakayama is unique up to composition with an inner automorphism. That is,  ${}^1A^\mu \cong {}^1A^\nu$  if and only if  $\mu\nu^{-1}$  is an inner automorphism [15, Lemma 1.7(d)].

One can also make appropriate adjustments to the above definition to obtain the definition of twisted *graded* Calabi–Yau algebras. For example, one can insist that the resolution is by *graded*  $A^e$ -modules. By [17, Theorem 4.2], a graded algebra  $A$  is twisted graded Calabi–Yau if and only if it is twisted Calabi–Yau. In this setting the Nakayama automorphism  $\mu^A$  is necessarily graded, and we use the notation  $\mu_0^A$  to denote the restriction of  $\mu^A$  to  $A_0$ . A connected graded algebra  $A$  is twisted Calabi–Yau if and only if it is Artin–Schelter regular [15, Lemma 1.2].

**3.1. Superpotential algebras.** Let  $\eta$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{k}Q$ . An  $\eta$ -*twisted superpotential* of  $\mathbb{k}Q$  is a linear combination of paths of length  $d$  that is invariant under the linear map sending the path  $a_1a_2\cdots a_d$  to  $(-1)^{d+1}\eta(a_d)a_1\cdots a_{d-1}$ . In the name of efficiency, we will often use the following shorthand to write superpotentials. Let  $p = a_1a_2\cdots a_d$  be a summand of a superpotential  $\omega$ . Let  $S$  denote the set of signed  $\eta$ -twists of  $p$ . Then the *compact form* of  $p$  is  $[p] = \sum_{s \in S} s$ .

For each  $a \in Q_1$ , there is a (linear) map  $\delta_a$  sending the path  $a_1a_2\cdots a_d$  to  $a_2\cdots a_d$  if  $a = a_1$  and 0 otherwise. Given an  $\eta$ -twisted superpotential  $\omega$  and an integer  $k \geq 0$ , the corresponding *derivation-quotient algebra* is  $\mathbb{k}Q/I$  where  $I = (\partial_a\omega : a \in Q_1)$ . Hence,  $I$  is generated by relations of the same degree  $r$ . Let  $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$  be twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension three. By [5, Theorem 6.8, Remark 6.9],  $A$  is a derivation-quotient algebra for some  $\eta$ -twisted superpotential  $\omega$ .

The following is easy to verify.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$  and let  $Q$  be as in (0.1). Define  $\eta \in \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}}(\mathbb{k}Q)$  by  $\eta(u_i) = \beta_{i-1}u_i$  and  $\eta(d_i) = \beta_{i-1}d_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Then the algebra  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  is the derivation-quotient algebra on  $\mathbb{k}Q$  with  $\eta$ -twisted superpotential

$$\Omega = \sum_{i \in Q_0} [d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i] - \alpha_i [d_i u_i d_i u_i].$$

**3.2. Skew group algebras.** Skew group algebras over Artin–Schelter regular algebras provide a plethora of examples of twisted graded Calabi–Yau algebras.

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  a finite group action on  $R$  via automorphism. As a vector space, the *skew group ring*  $R\#\mathbb{k}G$  is  $R \otimes \mathbb{k}G$  and multiplication is defined as

$$(r\#g)(s\#h) = rg(s)\#gh,$$

for all  $r\#g, s\#h \in R\#\mathbb{k}G$ , extended linearly.

Let  $R$  be a twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$ , generated by  $R_1$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group acting homogeneously on  $R$ . Then  $A = R\#\mathbb{k}G$  is also twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$ , generated by  $A_0$

and  $A_1$  [15, Theorem 4.1(c)]. Let  $e \in A_0$  be a full idempotent such that  $B = eAe$  is graded elementary with  $B_0 = \mathbb{k}^m$  for some  $m$ . Then  $B$  is Morita equivalent to  $A$  and so  $B$  is also twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$  [16, Theorem 4.3, Lemma 6.2]. Furthermore,  $B \cong \mathbb{k}Q/I$  where  $Q$  is the McKay matrix of the action of  $G$  on  $R_1$  and  $I \in \mathbb{k}Q_{\geq 2}$ .

Let  $R$  be a twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$ , generated by  $R_1$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group acting homogeneously on  $R$ . Then  $A = R\#\mathbb{k}G$  is also twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$ , generated by  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  [15, Theorem 4.1(c)]. Let  $e \in A_0$  be a full idempotent such that  $B = eAe$  is graded elementary with  $B_0 = \mathbb{k}^m$  for some  $m$ . Then  $B$  is Morita equivalent to  $A$  and so  $B$  is also twisted graded Calabi–Yau of dimension  $d$  [16, Theorem 4.3, Lemma 6.2]. Furthermore,  $B \cong \mathbb{k}Q/I$  where  $Q$  is the McKay matrix of the action of  $G$  on  $R_1$  and  $I \in \mathbb{k}Q_{\geq 2}$ .

Now let  $R = \mathbb{k}\langle u, d \mid d^2u + ud^2, du^2 + u^2d \rangle$ . The algebra  $R$  is a graded down-up algebra, which is Artin–Schelter regular (and Calabi–Yau) of global dimension three. In particular,  $R$  is a derivation-quotient algebra with potential  $\Omega = [d^2u^2]$  and  $\eta = \text{id}_R$ . Choose  $n \geq 2$  and let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n = \langle g \rangle$  act on  $R$  by  $g(u) = \xi u$  and  $g(d) = \xi^{-1}d$ , where  $\xi$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. It is easy to see that the McKay quiver corresponding to the group action of  $G$  on  $R_1$  is the adjacency matrix  $M$  of the quiver  $Q$  as in (0.1).

In  $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ , define the orthogonal idempotents  $f_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \xi^{ij} \# g^j$ . Let  $f = f_0 + \cdots + f_{n-1}$ , so that  $f$  is a full idempotent, and set  $B = f(R\#\mathbb{k}G)f$ . Then  $B$  is graded Calabi–Yau of dimension three and since  $g(\Omega) = \Omega$ , then  $\text{hdet } g = 1$  and so  $B$  has type  $(M, I, 4)$ . We claim that  $B$  is isomorphic to a quiver down-up algebra.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $B$  be as above. Then

$$h_B = (I - Mt + It^2)^{-1}(1 - t^2)^{-1}$$

$$h_B^{\text{tot}} = n(1 - t)^{-2}(1 - t^2)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $B$  is twisted graded Calabi–Yau of global dimension three and of type  $(M, I, 4)$ , and because  $M = M^T$ , then  $h_B$  is as stated by [16, Theorem 1.3]. It suffices to show that the matrix-valued Hilbert series corresponds to the total Hilbert series as stated.

We have  $h_B = p(t)^{-1}$  where

$$p(t) = I - Mt + Mt^3 - It^4 = (1 - t^4)I - (t - t^3)M = (1 - t^2)(I - Mt + It^2).$$

We note that  $(I - Mt + It^2)^{-1}$  is the Hilbert series of the algebra  $A$  in Example (1.2). By that example, the total Hilbert series of  $A$  is  $n(1 - t^2)^{-1}$ . It follows that  $h_B^{\text{tot}} = n(1 - t^2)^{-1}(1 - t^2)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Keep the above setup. Set  $\beta_i = 1$  for all  $i \in Q_0$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(0, \beta, 0)$ . Then  $B \cong \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* In  $B$ , set  $U_i = f_i(u\#f)$  and  $D_i = (d\#f)f_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . A calculation shows

$$U_i = f_i(u\#f) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \xi^{ij} \# g^j \right) (u\#f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \xi^{ij} (\xi^j u) \# g^j = (u\#f) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \xi^{(i+1)j} \# g^j \right) = (u\#f) f_{i+1}.$$



Similarly,  $D_i = (d\#f)f_i = f_{i+1}(d\#f)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{i-1}U_{i-1}U_i + U_iU_{i+1}D_{i+1} &= (d\#f)f_{i-1}f_{i-1}(u\#f)f_i(u\#f) + f_i(u\#f)f_{i+1}(u\#f)f_{i+2}(d\#f) \\
&= f_i((d\#f)(u\#f)(u\#f) + (u\#f)(u\#f)(d\#f)) \\
&= f_i(du^2 + u^2d)\#f = 0, \\
D_iD_{i-1}U_{i-1} + U_{i+1}D_{i+1}D_i &= (d\#f)f_i(d\#f)f_{i-1}f_{i-1}(u\#f) + f_{i+1}(u\#f)(d\#f)f_{i+1}(d\#f)f_i \\
&= f_{i+1}((d\#f)(d\#f)(u\#f) + (u\#f)(d\#f)(d\#f)) \\
&= f_{i+1}(du^2 + u^2d)\#f = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is a surjective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow B$  given by  $e_i \mapsto f_i$ ,  $u_i \mapsto U_i$ , and  $d_i \mapsto D_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Since  $h_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tot}} = h_B^{\text{tot}}$  (Corollary 1.3 and Lemma 3.3, respectively), then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $M$  be the adjacency matrix for  $Q$  as in (0.1). Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{k}^n$  and set  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ . Then

$$h_{\mathcal{H}} = (I - Mt + Mt^3 - It^4)^{-1} = (I - Mt + It^2)^{-1}(1 - t^2)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $B$  is graded Calabi–Yau of dimension three of type  $(M, I, 4)$ , then by [16, Theorem 1.3] its Hilbert series is  $h_B = (I - Mt + Mt^3 - It^4)^{-1}$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.4, the result holds when  $\alpha_i = 0$  and  $\beta_i = 1$  for all  $i$ . However, the  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis given in Proposition (1.1) does not depend on the choice of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .  $\square$

We are now (almost) ready to prove that the  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  are twisted graded Calabi–Yau. We first need one additional definition.

Let  $A$  be a locally finite graded algebra. The *graded left socle* of  $A$  is  $\text{soc}_l(A) = \{x \in A \mid J(A)x = 0\}$  where  $J(A)$  is the graded Jacobson radical. Similarly,  $\text{soc}_r(A) = \{x \in A \mid xJ(A) = 0\}$  is the *graded right socle* of  $A$ . If  $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$  for a homogeneous ideal  $I$ , then  $J(A)$  is the arrow ideal generated by  $Q_1$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  is twisted-graded Calabi–Yau of global dimension three with Nakayama automorphism  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}$  given by  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}(u_i) = -\beta_{i-1}^{-1}u_i$  and  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}}(d_i) = -\beta_{i-1}^{-1}d_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the adjacency matrix for  $Q$  as in (0.1). By Lemma 3.5,  $h_{\mathcal{H}} = (I - Mt + M^T t^3 - It^4)^{-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is a PWD by Corollary 2.4, then it has trivial graded left and right socle. Since the restriction of  $\beta$  to  $Q_0$  is trivial, then by [16, Lemma 8.6],  $\mathcal{H}$  is twisted Calabi–Yau of dimension three.

By [5, Theorem 6.8], the Nakayama automorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mu^{\mathcal{H}} = (-1)^{d+1}\eta^{-1}$ . Note that our convention on composing paths is opposite from that reference, hence the inverse.  $\square$

It follows from Theorem 3.6 that  $H(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  is Calabi–Yau when  $\beta_i = -1$  for all  $i$ . In this case, let  $\Omega$  be the potential from Lemma 3.2 and let  $\Omega' = \gamma_i[u_i d_i]$ . Then  $\Omega + \Omega'$  is an inhomogeneous potential and it follows from [4, Theorem 3.6] that  $H(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is Calabi–Yau. We conjecture that  $H(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is twisted Calabi–Yau in general.

#### 4. MAIN PROOF

We need one additional result before we are ready to prove our main theorem. The following is analogous to [12, Lemma 4.3].

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . If  $\beta_i = 0$  for some  $i \in Q_0$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  is not noetherian.

*Proof.* Let  $|Q_0| = n$ . Suppose  $\beta_i = 0$ . We claim that  $A$  is not right noetherian. Since  $A = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j A$ , it suffices to show that  $e_i A$  is not noetherian as a right  $A$ -module.

We generalize the proof of [12, Lemma 4.3]. For any  $m = 0, \dots, n-1$ , set  $x_m = d_m u_m$ . By Proposition 1.1,  $e_i A$  has a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of the form

$$(4.1) \quad (u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_{i+k}) x_{i+k}^j (d_{i+k} d_{i+k-1} \cdots d_{i+k-\ell})$$

with  $k, j, \ell \geq 0$ .

Since  $\beta_i = 0$ , the relations in Definition 0.1 yield

$$(4.2) \quad (\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1}) u_i = d_i (\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1}) = 0$$

Note that if  $u_k \neq u_i$ , then  $(\alpha_i u_i d_i - x_{i-1}) u_k = 0$  because of the source and target mismatch.

Set  $U = u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_{i+n}$ . That is,  $U$  is the product of the distinct  $u_m$ , starting at  $u_i$ . Then for  $u_k \neq u_i$ ,

$$U(\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1}) u_k = \gamma_i U u_k = 0.$$

Thus

$$(4.3) \quad U(\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1}) u_m = 0 \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For each  $s \geq 1$ , define

$$I_s = \sum_{m=1}^s U^m (\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1}) A.$$

By (4.3),

$$I_s = \sum_{m=1}^s \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \mathbb{k} U^m (\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1}) x_{i-1}^j d_{i-1} d_{i-2} \cdots d_{i-k}.$$

By (4.2),  $d_i x_{i-1} = d_i \alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i d_i = (\alpha_i x_i + \gamma_i) d_i$  and hence  $d_i x_{i-1}^j = (\alpha_i x_i + \gamma_i)^j d_i$  for any  $j \geq 0$ . Thus  $I_s$  is contained in the  $\mathbb{k}$ -span of the basis monomials

$$U^m x_{i-1}^{j+1} d_{i-1} d_{i-2} \cdots d_{i-k}, \quad U^m u_i x_i^j d_i d_{i-1} d_{i-2} \cdots d_{i-k}$$

with  $k, j \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq m \leq s$ . Thus no polynomial in  $I_s$  has  $U^{s+1} x_{i-1}$  in its support, while  $I_{s+1}$  does contain the polynomial  $U^{s+1} (\alpha_i u_i d_i + \gamma_i - x_{i-1})$ .

Thus  $I_s \subsetneq I_{s+1}$  for all  $s \geq 0$  and so  $e_i A$  is not noetherian. So  $A$  is not right noetherian. A similar proof shows that  $A$  is not left noetherian.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{H}$  is right (or left) noetherian,
- (3)  $\mathbb{K}[u_i d_i, d_{i-1} u_{i-1}]$  is a polynomial ring in two variables for all  $i \in Q_0$ ,
- (4)  $\mathcal{H}$  is a piecewise domain.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . Then (2) and (3) follow from Corollary 2.2, and (4) follows from Corollary 2.4.

Suppose  $\beta_i = 0$  for some  $i \in Q_0$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $\mathcal{H}$  is not noetherian so (2) fails. Then we have

$$(4.4) \quad (d_{i-1} u_{i-1} - \alpha_i u_i d_i - \gamma_i) u_i = 0,$$

$$(4.5) \quad d_i (d_{i-1} u_{i-1} - \alpha_i u_i d_i - \gamma_i) = 0,$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{H}$  is not a piecewise domain, so (4) fails. Moreover, we have  $(d_{i-1} u_{i-1} - \alpha_i u_i d_i - \gamma_i) u_i d_i = 0$ , so  $u_i d_i$  and  $d_{i-1} u_{i-1}$  are algebraically dependent and (3) fails.  $\square$

## 5. THE ISOMORPHISM PROBLEM

In [9], the authors consider the isomorphism problem for quantum analogues of type A preprojective algebras. The following results are closely related because the algebras live on the same quivers. The isomorphisms of the next lemma correspond respectively to scaling, rotation, and reflection.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}^n$ .

(1) Let  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{K}^\times)^n$ . For all  $i \in Q_0$ , set  $\alpha'_i = \lambda_i \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$ ,  $\beta'_i = \lambda_{i+1} \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \beta_i$ , and  $\gamma'_i = \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \gamma_i$ . Then the linear map  $\phi_\lambda : \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$  defined by

$$\phi_\lambda(e_i) = e_i, \quad \phi_\lambda(u_i) = \lambda_i u_i, \quad \phi_\lambda(d_i) = d_i,$$

extends to an isomorphism.

(2) For all  $i \in Q_0$ , set  $\alpha'_i = \alpha_{i-1}$ ,  $\beta'_i = \beta_{i-1}$ , and  $\gamma'_i = \gamma_{i-1}$ . Then linear map  $\psi : \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$  defined by

$$\psi(e_i) = e_{i+1}, \quad \psi(u_i) = u_{i+1}, \quad \psi(d_i) = d_{i+1},$$

extends to an isomorphism.

(3) Suppose  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . For all  $i \in Q_0$ , set  $\alpha'_i = -\beta_{n-i-1}^{-1} \alpha_{n-i-1}$ ,  $\beta'_i = \beta_{n-i-1}^{-1}$ , and  $\gamma'_i = -\beta_{n-i-1}^{-1} \gamma_{n-i-1}$ . Then the linear map  $\pi : \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$  defined by

$$\pi(e_i) = e_{n-i}, \quad \pi(u_i) = d_{n-i-1}, \quad \pi(d_i) = u_{n-i-1},$$

extends to an isomorphism.

*Proof.* The maps are all clearly bijective as linear maps. It suffices to show that each respects the relations on  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . For  $\phi_\alpha$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_\lambda(d_{i-1})\phi_\lambda(u_{i-1})\phi_\lambda(u_i) - \alpha_i\phi_\lambda(u_i)\phi_\lambda(d_i)\phi_\lambda(u_i) - \beta_i\phi_\lambda(u_i)\phi_\lambda(u_{i+1})\phi_\lambda(d_{i+1}) - \gamma_i\phi_\lambda(u_i) \\
&= \lambda_i(\lambda_{i-1}d_{i-1}u_{i-1}u_i - \lambda_i\alpha_iu_id_iu_i - \lambda_{i+1}\beta_iu_iu_{i+1}d_{i+1} - \gamma_iu_i) \\
&= \lambda_i\lambda_{i-1}(d_{i-1}u_{i-1}u_i - \alpha'_iu_id_iu_i - \beta'_iu_iu_{i+1}d_{i+1} - \gamma'_iu_i) = 0 \\
& \phi_\lambda(d_i)\phi_\lambda(d_{i-1})\phi_\lambda(u_{i-1}) - \alpha_i\phi_\lambda(d_i)\phi_\lambda(u_i)\phi_\lambda(d_i) - \beta_i\phi_\lambda(u_{i+1})\phi_\lambda(d_{i+1})\phi_\lambda(d_i) - \gamma_i\phi_\lambda(d_i) \\
&= \lambda_{i-1}d_id_{i-1}u_{i-1} - \lambda_i\alpha_id_iu_id_i - \lambda_{i+1}\beta_iu_{i+1}d_{i+1}d_i - \gamma_id_i \\
&= \lambda_{i-1}(d_id_{i-1}u_{i-1} - \alpha'_id_iu_id_i - \beta'_iu_{i+1}d_{i+1}d_i - \gamma'_id_i) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\psi$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(d_{i-1})\psi(u_{i-1})\psi(u_i) - \alpha_i\psi(u_i)\psi(d_i)\psi(u_i) - \beta_i\psi(u_i)\psi(u_{i+1})\psi(d_{i+1}) - \gamma_i\psi(u_i) \\
&= d_iu_iu_{i+1} - \alpha'_{i+1}u_{i+1}d_{i+1}u_{i+1} - \beta'_{i+1}u_{i+1}u_{i+2}d_{i+2} - \gamma'_{i+1}u_{i+1} = 0 \\
& \psi(d_i)\psi(d_{i-1})\psi(u_{i-1}) - \alpha_i\psi(d_i)\psi(u_i)\psi(d_i) - \beta_i\psi(u_{i+1})\psi(d_{i+1})\psi(d_i) - \gamma_i\psi(d_i) \\
&= d_{i+1}d_iu_i - \alpha'_{i+1}d_{i+1}u_{i+1}d_{i+1} - \beta'_{i+1}u_{i+2}d_{i+2}d_{i+1} - \gamma'_{i+1}d_{i+1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $\pi$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(d_{i-1})\pi(u_{i-1})\pi(u_i) - \alpha_i\pi(u_i)\pi(d_i)\pi(u_i) - \beta_i\pi(u_i)\pi(u_{i+1})\pi(d_{i+1}) - \gamma_i\pi(u_i) \\
&= u_{n-i}d_{n-i}d_{n-i-1} - \alpha_id_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-1} - \beta_id_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-2}u_{n-i-2} - \gamma_id_{n-i-1} \\
&= -\beta_i(d_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-2}u_{n-i-2} - \alpha'_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-1} \\
&\quad - \beta'_{n-i-1}u_{n-i}d_{n-i}d_{n-i-1} - \gamma'_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-1}) = 0 \\
& \pi(d_i)\pi(d_{i-1})\pi(u_{i-1}) - \alpha_i\pi(d_i)\pi(u_i)\pi(d_i) - \beta_i\pi(u_{i+1})\pi(d_{i+1})\pi(d_i) - \gamma_i\pi(d_i) \\
&= u_{n-i-1}u_{n-i}d_{n-i} - \alpha_iu_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1} - \beta_id_{n-i-2}u_{n-i-2}u_{n-i-1} - \gamma_iu_{n-i-1} \\
&= -\beta_i(d_{n-i-2}u_{n-i-2}u_{n-i-1} - \alpha'_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1}d_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1} \\
&\quad - \beta'_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1}u_{n-i}d_{n-i} - \gamma'_{n-i-1}u_{n-i-1}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, each linear map extends to an isomorphism.  $\square$

In general, we do not know the answer to the isomorphism problem for the quiver down-up algebras. However, in the graded case with  $n \geq 3$  vertices, we obtain a complete classification of isomorphism classes.

**Theorem 5.2.** Fix  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{k}^n$  and  $\beta, \beta' \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0) \cong \mathcal{H}(\alpha', \beta', 0)$  if and only if there exists  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^n$  and  $k \in Q_0$  such that one of the following hold:

- (1)  $\alpha'_i = \lambda_{i+k}\lambda_{i+k-1}^{-1}\alpha_{i+k}$ ,  $\beta'_i = \lambda_{i+k+1}\lambda_{i+k-1}^{-1}\beta_{i+k}$ , or
- (2)  $\alpha'_i = -\lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1}\lambda_{n-i-k-1}\beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1}\alpha_{n-i-k-1}$ ,  $\beta'_i = \lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1}\lambda_{n-i-k-2}^{-1}\beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* If (1) holds for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ , then by Lemma 5.1,  $\psi^{-k} \circ \phi_\lambda$  gives the intended isomorphism. If (2) holds for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ , then by Lemma 5.1,  $\psi^{-k} \circ \pi \circ \phi_\lambda$  gives the intended isomorphism.

Set  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}(\alpha', \beta', 0)$ . Assume  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  is an isomorphism. By [7, Theorem 8], we may assume that  $\Phi$  is a graded isomorphism. Then  $\Phi$  restricts to a permutation of the set of vertices  $\{e_i\}$ . Since  $u_i$  is an arrow from  $e_i$  to  $e_{i+1}$ , then this permutation preserves adjacency. Hence,  $\Phi$  may be regarded as an element of the dihedral group on  $n$  vertices.

First, suppose that  $\Phi(e_i) = e_i$  for all  $i$ . As we have assumed  $n \geq 3$ , then  $Q$ , as in (0.1), is schurian. Thus, there are scalars  $\mu_i, \nu_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$  such that  $\Phi(u_i) = \mu_i u_i$  and  $\Phi(d_i) = \nu_i d_i$  for all  $i \in Q_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Phi(d_{i-1}u_{i-1}u_i - \alpha_i u_i d_i u_i - \beta_i u_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1}) \\ &= \mu_i (\mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1} d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i - \alpha_i \mu_i \nu_i u_i d_i u_i - \beta_i \mu_{i+1} \nu_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1}) \\ &= \mu_i \mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1} (d_{i-1} u_{i-1} u_i - \alpha_i (\mu_i \nu_i) (\mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1})^{-1} u_i d_i u_i - \beta_i (\mu_{i+1} \nu_{i+1}) (\mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1})^{-1} u_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1}) \\ 0 &= \Phi(d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1} - \alpha_i d_i u_i d_i - \beta_i u_{i+1} d_{i+1} d_i) \\ &= \nu_i (\mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1} d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1} - \mu_i \nu_i \alpha_i d_i u_i d_i - \beta_i \mu_{i+1} \nu_{i+1} u_{i+1} d_{i+1} d_i) \\ &= \nu_i (d_i d_{i-1} u_{i-1} - (\mu_i \nu_i) (\mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1})^{-1} \alpha_i d_i u_i d_i - \beta_i (\mu_{i+1} \nu_{i+1}) (\mu_{i-1} \nu_{i-1})^{-1} u_{i+1} d_{i+1} d_i). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\lambda_i = \mu_i \nu_i$  gives (1) for  $k = 0$ .

Now assume that  $\Phi$  corresponds to a (nontrivial) rotation, so  $\Phi(e_i) = e_{i-k}$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 < k < n$ . Set  $\alpha''_i = \alpha'_{i-k}$  and  $\beta''_i = \beta'_{i-k}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}'' = \mathcal{H}(\alpha'', \beta'', 0)$ . Thus,  $\psi^k : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}''$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\psi^k \circ \Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}''$  is an isomorphism that fixes the vertices. Consequently, there are scalars  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$  such that  $\alpha''_i = \lambda_i \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$  and  $\beta''_i = \lambda_{i+1} \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \beta_i$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_{i-k} &= \lambda_i \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i \Rightarrow \alpha'_i = \lambda_{i+k} \lambda_{i+k-1}^{-1} \alpha_{i+k} \\ \beta'_{i-k} &= \lambda_{i+1} \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \beta_i \Rightarrow \beta'_i = \lambda_{i+k+1} \lambda_{i+k-1}^{-1} \beta_{i+k}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, assume  $\Phi$  corresponds to a reflection, so  $\Phi(e_i) = e_{n-i-k}$  for some  $k \in Q_0$ . Define  $\mathcal{H}'' = \mathcal{H}(\alpha'', \beta'', 0)$  as above with  $\alpha''_i = \alpha'_{i-k}$  and  $\beta''_i = \beta'_{i-k}$ , so that  $\psi^k : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}''$  is an isomorphism. Set  $\alpha'''_i = -(\beta''_{n-i-1})^{-1} (\alpha''_{n-i-1})$  and  $\beta'''_i = (\beta''_{n-i-1})^{-1}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}''' = \mathcal{H}(\alpha''', \beta''', 0)$  so that  $\pi : \mathcal{H}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'''$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\pi \circ \psi^k \circ \Phi$  is an isomorphism that fixes the vertices, so there exists scalars  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$  such that  $\alpha'''_i = \lambda_i \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \alpha_i$  and  $\beta'''_i = \lambda_{i+1} \lambda_{i-1}^{-1} \beta_i$ . Unwinding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'_i &= \beta''_{i+k} = (\beta'''_{n-i-k-1})^{-1} = \lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1} \lambda_{n-i-k-2} \beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1} \\ \alpha'_i &= \alpha''_{i+k} = -(\beta'''_{n-i-k-1})^{-1} (\alpha'''_{n-i-k-1}) \\ &= -(\lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1} \lambda_{n-i-k-2} \beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1}) (\lambda_{n-i-k-1} \lambda_{n-i-k-2} \alpha_{n-i-k-1}) \\ &= -\lambda_{n-i-k}^{-1} \lambda_{n-i-k-1} \beta_{n-i-k-1}^{-1} \alpha_{n-i-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result. □

The isomorphism problem for  $n = 1$  is known (see [6, Proposition 3.14] translated to down-up algebras). We anticipate that Theorem 5.2 holds in the case  $n = 2$ . However, because the quiver in this case is not schurian, then more work is required. See [9, Proposition 3.12].

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Benkart and T. Roby. Down-up algebras. *J. Algebra*, 209(1):305–344, 1998.
- [2] G. Benkart and T. Roby. Addendum: “Down-up algebras”. *J. Algebra*, 213(1):378, 1999.
- [3] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon. A Hopf structure for down-up algebras. *Math. Z.*, 238(3):523–553, 2001.
- [4] R. Berger and R. Taillefer. Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt deformations of Calabi-Yau algebras. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 1(2):241–270, 2007.
- [5] R. Bocklandt, T. Schedler, and M. Wemyss. Superpotentials and higher order derivations. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(9):1501–1522, 2010.
- [6] J. Gaddis. Two-parameter analogs of the Heisenberg enveloping algebra. *Comm. Algebra*, 44(11):4637–4653, 2016.
- [7] J. Gaddis. Isomorphisms of graded path algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 149(4):1395–1403, 2021.
- [8] J. Gaddis and D. Keeler. Normal extensions of dimension two twisted graded Calabi-Yau algebras. *In preparation*, 2025.
- [9] J. Gaddis and D. Zazycki. Dimension two twisted graded Calabi-Yau algebras on two-vertex quivers. *arXiv preprint:2508.01950*, 2025.
- [10] R. Gordon and L. W. Small. Piecewise domains. *J. Algebra*, 23:553–564, 1972.
- [11] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J. J. Zhang. Invariant theory of finite group actions on down-up algebras. *Transform. Groups*, 20(1):113–165, 2015.
- [12] E. Kirkman, I. M. Musson, and D. S. Passman. Noetherian down-up algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(11):3161–3167, 1999.
- [13] R. S. Kulkarni. Down-up algebras and their representations. *J. Algebra*, 245(2):431–462, 2001.
- [14] L. Liu, S. Wang, and Q. Wu. Twisted Calabi-Yau property of Ore extensions. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 8(2):587–609, 2014.
- [15] M. Reyes, D. Rogalski, and J. J. Zhang. Skew Calabi-Yau algebras and homological identities. *Adv. Math.*, 264:308–354, 2014.
- [16] M. L. Reyes and D. Rogalski. Growth of graded twisted Calabi-Yau algebras. *J. Algebra*, 539:201–259, 2019.
- [17] M. L. Reyes and D. Rogalski. Graded twisted Calabi-Yau algebras are generalized Artin-Schelter regular. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 245:100–153, 2022.

MIAMI UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OXFORD, OHIO 45056

*Email address:* gaddisj@miamioh.edu, keelerds@miamioh.edu