

MAKING DIALOGUE GROUNDING DATA RICH: A THREE-TIER DATA SYNTHESIS FRAMEWORK FOR GENERALIZED REFERRING EXPRESSION COMPREHENSION

Juexi Shao^{*}, Siyou Li^{*}, Yujian Gan^{*}, Chris Madge^{*}, Vanja Karan[†], Massimo Poesio^{*}

^{*} Queen Mary University of London [†] University of Vienna

ABSTRACT

Dialogue-Based Generalized Referring Expressions Comprehension (GREC) requires models to ground the expression and unlimited targets in complex visual scenes while resolving coreference across a long dialogue context. However, existing systems struggle under distribution shift between training and evaluation domains, a gap exacerbated by the scarcity of annotated dialogue grounding data. We address this challenge with a three-tier data-synthesis method that balances realism and controllability to produce scalable supervision for dialogue-conditioned grounding. Fine-tuning on the synthesized data yields consistent, substantial improvements over prior approaches across standard evaluation metrics.

Index Terms— Visual Grounding, Referring Expression Comprehension, Generalized Referring Expression Comprehension, Coreference, Data Synthesis

1. INTRODUCTION

Referring Expression Comprehension (REC) - the task of locating a target referred to by a natural language description. [1, 2, 3] - is a key vision-language research task. Recent advances have pushed the state of the art beyond simple surface matching toward richer use of semantic information—most notably, constructing compositional referring expressions [4] and incorporating external knowledge [5, 6, 7]. Generalized REC (GREC) [8, 7] – the task of interpreting expressions referring to zero or multiple objects, as in *Pick up those three boxes* – relaxes these assumptions to better reflect real-world communication, where the referent may be uncertain, occluded, or missing from the scene.

However, this research typically relies on substantial collections of real-world images with large volumes of human annotations. Online crowdsourcing platforms offer controllable, reproducible testbeds for visual grounding research, helping to mitigate data collection costs and variability [9, 10]. Yet the situation changes when models are asked to jointly perceive the scene, maintain discourse state, and interact over multiple turns: under these conditions, existing approaches begin to show their limits. [7] introduced the first GREC benchmark grounded in multi-turn dialogue within a Minecraft building environment, finding that this task poses

a formidable challenge to both classical transformer-based neural networks and Large Vision-Language Models. Madge et al [7] also found that the cost of dialogue annotation is very high, resulting in an absence of in-domain training data and making the acquisition of large-scale training datasets a long-term goal. This motivates the data augmentation framework proposed in this paper, which synthesizes concise expressions and rich dialogues to scale grounded comprehension and stepwise reasoning.

Prior work on AI-driven data generation is relevant to our setting [11, 12, 13]. Yet translating these successes to GREC remains challenging: beyond fluent text synthesis, the task demands precise modeling of multi-object spatial relations and faithful grounding within the visual scene.

We address this gap by synthesizing data at three tiers of complexity to better reflect different degrees of realism and interactional complexity. At the simplest tier, we generate single-turn referring expressions using template-based methods. At the intermediate tier, we employ GPT-4 to produce a broader spectrum of compositional expressions (still single utterances). At the highest tier, we synthesize full multi-turn dialogues that evolve up to the moment of reference. Together, these sources constitute a ladder of expressiveness—from controlled single-turn mentions to dialogue references that highlight short-term memory, clarification, and disambiguation.

In summary, we make three contributions:

1. A three-tier data augmentation framework for solving the data sparsity of MDC-R [7], spanning short expressions to multi-turn dialogues.
2. Experimental demonstration that the model trained on these synthetic data achieves notable improvements, with precision increasing by $\approx 20\%$.
3. The finding that biases across data types influence model learning and generalization, motivating the importance of distribution-aware training.

2. RELATED WORK

REC and GREC REC has advanced rapidly in recent years. The early two-stage paradigm [14], couples off-

the-shelf object detectors with linguistic cues to compute region-expression matching scores. The field has progressed from specialist to generalist grounding frameworks [15] that are pre-trained at scale to jointly learn detection, alignment, and reasoning, and more recently to large vision-language models (LVLMs) [16]. Despite strong results on classical benchmarks, these systems often struggle in settings closer to realistic use—e.g., partially specified scenes where external knowledge must be integrated for reasoning [5, 6, 7].

GREC extends REC by requiring models to localize a variable number of targets conditioned on a single expression. Most current approaches adopt transformer-based architectures [8, 17]; some LVLMs are adapted via integrating GREC data [18]. Nevertheless, performance remains far from saturated.

The Minecraft Dialogue Corpus The MDC [7] consists of conversations [9] between an architect giving instructions to a builder to build a structure in a virtual world, exemplified in Figure 1.

Multimodal Data Synthesis As the data requirements of large-scale pre-training have grown, synthetic data has emerged as a prominent paradigm. A broad class of methods [9, 10, 19] relies on simulators to produce data with controllable distributions and programmatically generated annotations [11, 20, 21], whereas recent approaches leverage generative models to synthesize diverse textual [12, 13] and multimodal [22] training signals. Both lines of work aim to expand coverage and reduce manual labelling effort. Based on previous works, we propose three distinct tiers of data synthesis methods.

3. METHODOLOGY

To balance data realism and controllability, we introduce a three-tier synthesis framework comprising: (i) template-based short expression synthesis, (ii) prompted short expression synthesis, and (iii) full dialogue with coreference information synthesis. We detail the construction procedures and explain how the components integrate to produce the final training corpus. Before expression synthesis, we simulated numerous screenshots across 101 scenes, then computed the bounding boxes for all blocks. The specific details are discussed in the section 4.2.

3.1. Short Expressions

3.1.1. Template-based Expression Generation

The MC virtual environment we use features an 11 x 11 grid where seven different colored blocks can be freely placed, enabling an infinite number of possibilities for constructing target structures. Selecting the appropriate block from an environmental screenshot has become a challenging task, as entity mentions must be unambiguous. A heuristic approach involves grouping all blocks by color into distinct sets, then

progressively creating mention templates by constructing different attributes. Building upon previous work [11], we develop several different compositional templates.

Template	Example
the <Color> block(s)	the red blocks
the <Color> <Geometric Shape>	the red bar
the <position> of the <Color> <Geometric Shape>	the first block of the yellow column

Table 1: Template for the short compositional expression.




Fig. 1: The MC environment - example of the image of synthetic expression ‘the second green block from the top’.

Taking the second template as an example, we can create an expression for “the second green block from the top” based on the arrangement of green blocks in the Figure 1, and it’s unambiguous.

3.1.2. Prompted Synthetic Referring-Expression Generation

Prior studies [12, 13] have used large language models to synthesize constrained training data by Chain-of-Thought. In our setup, we randomly sample a target block via a moderately sized crop and prompt GPT-4 to extract its attributes (e.g., *id*, color, position, ordinal, reference, perspective). We then instantiate two controlled templates to compose a single, unambiguous referring expression per target, yielding compositional supervision for downstream training.

We synthesize compositional referring expressions with GPT-4.1 [23] using a tightly constrained instruction. Each query provides a pair of images: (i) the full Minecraft scene and (ii) a tight crop centered on the target block. The model is required to (a) recover the block identifier *id* from the crop and (b) produce a single, well-formed referring expression that uniquely localizes the target within the full scene.

To ensure linguistic consistency and downstream parsability, the model must instantiate exactly one of two canonical patterns, each ending with a period:

(i) the center <color> block of the <reference>, <perspective>.

(ii) the <ordinal> <color> block from the <position> of the <reference>, <perspective>.

The semantic slots are constrained as follows:

- **reference**: a noun phrase denoting the larger structure containing the target (e.g., “arch”).
- **position**: one or more words indicating the position of the target within reference.
- **ordinal**: a ordinal number indexing target order within reference (such as, “first” and “second”).
- **color**: the pixel color of the target.
- **perspective**: one supplemental modifier (e.g., “close to you”) defined relative to the builder’s viewpoint in the full scene.

Additionally, we require GPT-4 to output an ID to verify whether the model has correctly identified the target we initialized. The instruction explicitly requests internal Chain-of-Thought reasoning to improve reliability, while requiring that only the final JSON be emitted. This combination of a closed-form grammar and a typed schema reduces variance, facilitates strict validation, and simplifies downstream parsing for automatic evaluation and dataset curation. Finally, the short synthetic expression is parsed from the JSON output.

3.2. Synthesizing Dialogues with Coreference

We target the scarcity of GREC datasets featuring *multi-turn*, *co-referential* dialogues. Although VLLMs can be prompted to synthesize dialogues from target templates, recent work [24] indicates that off-the-shelf LLMs exhibit limited coreference tracking. We therefore fine-tune a Qwen2-VL [25] on external coreference-aware dialogue corpora [16], enabling coherent generation of dialogues with explicit coreference chains in Minecraft scenes. The outputs contain (i) coreference-consistent dialogues and (ii) structured expressions, providing complementary supervision for model training.




Fig. 2: Method of generating multi-turn dialogue containing coreference chain.

In particular, our method comprises four stages as shown in Figure 2.

(1) **Learning to Generate Dialogue** We fine-tune Qwen2-VL \mathcal{M} (with parameter θ) with a LoRA Adaptor (consisting of low rank matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$) on a visual coreference corpus to learn the mapping from (image \mathcal{I}_{ext} , bounding box on the target \mathcal{B}_{ext} and expression \mathcal{E}_{ext}) to a coherent multi-turn, coreference-consistent dialogue \mathcal{D}_{ext} , and preserve a model \mathcal{M}' with new parameter

$$\theta^{\text{ft}} := \theta + \Delta\theta, \text{ where } \Delta\theta = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}. \quad (\text{a})$$

(2) **Decompose Image Structure** For each simulated Minecraft scene image \mathcal{I}_{gt} , we decompose the scene into distinct sub-structures using GPT-4.1 \mathcal{M}^{GPT} , produce expressions \mathcal{E} with a list of unique block IDs \mathcal{U}_{gt} as the identifier, and align bounding boxes for the block IDs $\varphi : \mathcal{B}_{\text{gt}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\text{gt}}$ in every substructure programmatically:

$$(\mathcal{U}_{\text{gt}}, \mathcal{E}) := \mathcal{M}^{\text{GPT}}(\mathcal{I}_{\text{gt}}). \quad (\text{b})$$

(3) **Generating Dialogue from Prior Information** We condition the fine-tuned Qwen2-VL \mathcal{M}' on the simulated image \mathcal{I}_{gt} and the derived expressions \mathcal{E} from (2) to generate expression-based dialogues $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}$ with coreference information:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{M}'(\theta^{\text{ft}}; \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}_{\text{gt}}). \quad (\text{c})$$

(4) **Integrate Training Sample** We integrate the dialogue, image and aligned bounding boxes into a single training sample $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{I}_{\text{gt}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{gt}})$ for GREC training.

4. EXPERIMENT

4.1. Dataset

We adopted the MDC-R benchmark [7] for evaluation. The MDC-R test split comprises 423 instances; each instance includes a scene image, a multi-turn dialogue, and an associated target mention. As shown in Figure 3, given an input of dialogue and image, the model predicts multiple bounding boxes that should refer to the ground truth blocks. For training, we used three data sources corresponding to Sections 3.1.1, 3.1.2 and 3.2: (i) template-based data (Template), (ii) AI-generated short expressions (AI-Short), and (iii) AI-generated dialogue data (AI-Dialogue). Additionally, in Section 3.2, we fine-tuned Qwen2-VL [16] on VisPro [25] to learn to generate dialogues containing coreference information.

4.2. Bounding Boxes Reading

MDC-R [7] has assigned a unique identifier to each block, composed of letters and Arabic numerals, e.g., A1. This ensures that all entity mentions within a dialogue refer to distinct combinations of blocks.

Minecraft allows obtaining the pixel locations of the bounding boxes enclosing blocks within the environment.

This way, we obtained all bounding boxes for each image. The most challenging step is reading the ID of each block from the image. To this end, we designed a method to read the ID of each block from the image. We recovered block letters via a render-and-compare scheme: for each block X and candidate letter L , we re-render only X with L and compute a reconstruction error (MAE) on the block’s pixels.

With this procedure, for each block k in the image, we obtained a ground truth triplet (C_k, B_k, U_k) , where C_k denotes the block coordinate, B_k the bounding-box, and U_k a unique ID identifier. These annotations serve as filtering conditions for expression synthesis within section 3.

4.3. Implementation Details and Metrics

We employed Qwen2-VL-7B [16], which possesses grounding and instruction-following capabilities, and approaches state-of-the-art standards over other LVLMs, and MDETR-longformer [7] as baseline models. Both Qwen2-VL (LoRA adapters) and MDETR-Longformer are adapted through supervised fine-tuning. For metrics, we computed the average F1 score and Prec@ (F1=1, IoU \geq 0.5) [8] across all predicted samples and ground-truth samples.

4.4. Results

Model	Setting	Data	Size	Full Dialogue		Mention Only	
				Mean F1	Precision	Mean F1	Precision
MDETR-Longformer	FT	gRefcoco [8]	209k	9.0	1.4	-	-
Qwen2-VL-7B	ZS	-	-	5.3	5.2	4.2	3.6
	ICL	-	-	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	FT	gRefcoco [8]	209k	19.1	13.5	-	-
	FT	Template	19k	42.8	24.5	45.2	27.8
	FT	Template	1k	18.6	13.5	25.7	19.1
	FT	AI-Short	1k	22.8	15.7	28.9	15.2
	FT	AI-Dialogue	1k	24.8	11.2	27.7	10.4

Table 2: Results on MDC-R [7] benchmark, where ZS short for Zero Shot, FT short for Fine-Tune, and ICL short for In-Context-Learning. Metrics of mean F1 score (%) and Precision@ (F1=1 and IoU \geq 0.5) in (%) are measured for each model. For text inputs, Full Dialogue and Mention Only represent utilizing all dialogue with the mention and only the mention for models, respectively, which is illustrated in Figure 3.

Table 2 presents a comparison of the model before and after fine-tuning. It’s evident that the synthesis method data significantly enhances the model’s performance to varying degrees. First, the table demonstrates that fine-tuning on the synthesized data gives superior results to training on out-of-domain data (e.g., gRefCOCO), despite using far fewer samples. Second, by comparing Template data with other data, larger quantities of in-domain data produce greater improvements. However, at the same data size, AI-Short and AI-Dialogue yield superior results to Template data in Mean F1. Furthermore, across all reported metrics, the Mention-only setting yields the stronger performance, indicating that the model struggles to reliably resolve antecedents for mentions when full dialogue context is provided.

Combination of Data			Full Dialogue		Mention Only	
Template	AI-Short	AI-dialogue	Mean F1	Precision	Mean F1	Precision
✓	✓	✓	44.7	25.7	45.6	27.6
✓	✓	✓	39.7	23.5	43.1	28.7
✓	✓	✓	26.0	13.1	39.8	24.0
✓	✓	✓	39.7	25.7	43.8	29.7

Table 3: The performance of Qwen2-VL fine-tuned on different synthetic data combinations.

Full Dialogue

builder: hello,

architect: we will start using [green blocks],

.....

architect: [they] need to be attached to the same block , preferable to the one closer to you,

architect: so it looks like a cross,

builder: removed green@0,0,2,

.....

architect: now we are done with ([the green] Mention)




Fig. 3: A full dialogue (upper) with coreference chain highlighted in green and inference results (below) of Qwen2-VL under various settings. The green bounding box represents the ground truth, while the red bounding box represents the prediction.

To assess the combined impact of layered synthetic data, we compared models trained on different combinations of them (Table 3). When combining Template data with others, the trained model exhibits a decline in performance. We attribute this gap to a distributional mismatch between dialogue text and the short-expression format used during prior training. While ingesting synthesized dialogues can transfer some useful knowledge, it introduces spurious correlations and learning biases relative to concise short-text supervision. Beyond the table, we compared combining gRefCOCO with other synthetic data, which resulted in small improvements over the results with AI-dialogue only, but no improvement overall with the rest of the data.

We presented qualitative results in Figure 3. As can be seen from the illustration, compared to the model without fine-tuning, the fine-tuned model considers the integrity of the target in inference and captures more details of the target.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper addresses GREC data scarcity stemming from the high cost of annotation. We achieved substantial performance gains via a three-tier data synthesis method, followed by model fine-tuning. The method is generalizable to other vision-language tasks facing limited supervision. Future work could adopt distribution-aware training to mitigate biases arising from heterogeneous REC and GREC data.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Kazemzadeh, V. Ordonez, M. Matten, and T. Berg, “Referitgame: Referring to objects in photographs of natural scenes,” in *EMNLP*, 2014, pp. 787–798.
- [2] L. Yu, P. Poirson, S. Yang, A. C. Berg, and T. L. Berg, “Modeling context in referring expressions,” in *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 2016, pp. 69–85.
- [3] B. A. Plummer, L. Wang, C. M. Cervantes, J. C. Caicedo, J. Hockenmaier, and S. Lazebnik, “Flickr30k entities: Collecting region-to-phrase correspondences for richer image-to-sentence models,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2015, pp. 2641–2649.
- [4] Z. Chen, P. Wang, L. Ma, K.-Y. K. Wong, and Q. Wu, “Cops-ref: A new dataset and task on compositional referring expression comprehension,” in *CVPR*, 2020, pp. 10 086–10 095.
- [5] P. Wang, D. Liu, H. Li, and Q. Wu, “Give me something to eat: Referring expression comprehension with commonsense knowledge,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2020, pp. 28–36.
- [6] Z. Chen, R. Zhang, Y. Song, X. Wan, and G. Li, “Advancing visual grounding with scene knowledge: Benchmark and method,” in *CVPR*, 2023, pp. 15 039–15 049.
- [7] C. Madge, M. Camilleri, P. C. Garcia, V. Karan, J. Shao, P. Jayannavar, J. Hough, B. Roth, and M. Poesio, “Mdc-r: The minecraft dialogue corpus with reference,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.22062*, 2025.
- [8] S. He, H. Ding, C. Liu, and X. Jiang, “Grec: Generalized referring expression comprehension,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16182*, 2023.
- [9] A. Narayan-Chen, P. Jayannavar, and J. Hockenmaier, “Collaborative dialogue in minecraft,” in *ACL*, 2019, pp. 5405–5415.
- [10] J. Kiseleva, Z. Li, M. Aliannejadi, S. Mohanty, M. ter Hoeve, M. Burtsev, A. Skrynnik, A. Zholus, A. Panov, K. Srinet *et al.*, “Interactive grounded language understanding in a collaborative environment: Iglu 2021,” in *NeurIPS 2021 Competitions and Demonstrations Track*. PMLR, 2022, pp. 146–161.
- [11] M. M. Islam, R. Mirzaiee, A. Gladstone, H. Green, and T. Iqbal, “Caesar: An embodied simulator for generating multimodal referring expression datasets,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 21 001–21 015, 2022.
- [12] L. Yu, W. Jiang, H. Shi, J. YU, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Kwok, Z. Li, A. Weller, and W. Liu, “Metamath: Bootstrap your own mathematical questions for large language models,” in *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [13] Z. Lu, A. Zhou, H. Ren, K. Wang, W. Shi, J. Pan, M. Zhan, and H. Li, “MathGenie: Generating synthetic data with question back-translation for enhancing mathematical reasoning of LLMs,” in *ACL*, 2024, pp. 2732–2747.
- [14] L. Yu, Z. Lin, X. Shen, J. Yang, X. Lu, M. Bansal, and T. L. Berg, “Mattnet: Modular attention network for referring expression comprehension,” in *CVPR*, 2018, pp. 1307–1315.
- [15] A. Kamath, M. Singh, Y. LeCun, G. Synnaeve, I. Misra, and N. Carion, “Mdetr-modulated detection for end-to-end multimodal understanding,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 1780–1790.
- [16] P. Wang, S. Bai, S. Tan, S. Wang, Z. Fan, J. Bai, K. Chen, X. Liu, J. Wang, W. Ge *et al.*, “Qwen2-vl: Enhancing vision-language model’s perception of the world at any resolution,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12191*, 2024.
- [17] B. Hemanthage, H. Bilen, P. Bartie, C. Dondrup, and O. Lemon, “Recantformer: Referring expression comprehension with varying numbers of targets,” in *EMNLP*, 2024, pp. 21 784–21 798.
- [18] S. Pramanick, G. Han, R. Hou, S. Nag, S.-N. Lim, N. Ballas, Q. Wang, R. Chellappa, and A. Almahairi, “Jack of all tasks master of many: Designing general-purpose coarse-to-fine vision-language model,” in *CVPR*, 2024, pp. 14 076–14 088.
- [19] M. Shridhar, J. Thomason, D. Gordon, Y. Bisk, W. Han, R. Mottaghi, L. Zettlemoyer, and D. Fox, “Alfred: A benchmark for interpreting grounded instructions for everyday tasks,” in *CVPR*, 2020, pp. 10 740–10 749.
- [20] M. Tanaka, T. Itamochi, K. Narioka, I. Sato, Y. Ushiku, and T. Harada, “Generating easy-to-understand referring expressions for target identifications,” in *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2019, pp. 5793–5802.
- [21] R. Liu, C. Liu, Y. Bai, and A. L. Yuille, “Clevr-ref+: Diagnosing visual reasoning with referring expressions,” in *CVPR*, 2019, pp. 4185–4194.
- [22] L. Parolari, E. Izzo, and L. Ballan, “Harlequin: Color-driven generation of synthetic data for referring expression comprehension,” in *International Conference on Pattern Recognition*. Springer, 2025, pp. 292–307.
- [23] OpenAI, “Introducing GPT-4.1 in the API,” <https://openai.com/index/gpt-4-1/>, Apr. 2025, accessed: Sep. 17, 2025.
- [24] Y. Gan, J. Yu, and M. Poesio, “Assessing the capabilities of large language models in coreference: An evaluation,” in *LREC-COLING 2024*, 2024, pp. 1645–1665.
- [25] X. Yu, H. Zhang, Y. Song, Y. Song, and C. Zhang, “What you see is what you get: Visual pronoun coreference resolution in dialogues,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.00421*, 2019.