

Exploring Definitions of Quality and Diversity in Sonic Measurement Spaces

Björn Þór Jónsson^{*1,2}, Çağrı Erdem², Stefano Fasciani³, and Kyrre Glette^{1,2}

¹*RITMO, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

²*Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

³*Department of Musicology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

December 3, 2025

Abstract

Digital sound synthesis presents the opportunity to explore vast parameter spaces containing millions of configurations. Quality diversity (QD) evolutionary algorithms offer a promising approach to harness this potential, yet their success hinges on appropriate sonic feature representations. Existing QD methods predominantly employ handcrafted descriptors or supervised classifiers, potentially introducing unintended exploration biases and constraining discovery to familiar sonic regions. This work investigates unsupervised dimensionality reduction methods for automatically defining and dynamically reconfiguring sonic behaviour spaces during QD search. We apply Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and autoencoders to project high-dimensional audio features onto structured grids for MAP-Elites, implementing dynamic reconfiguration through model retraining at regular intervals. Comparison across two experimental scenarios shows that automatic approaches achieve significantly greater diversity than handcrafted behaviour spaces while avoiding expert-imposed biases. Dynamic behaviour-space reconfiguration maintains evolutionary pressure and prevents stagnation, with PCA proving most effective among the dimensionality reduction techniques. These results contribute to automated sonic discovery systems capable of exploring vast parameter spaces without manual intervention or supervised training constraints.

Keywords: Sound Synthesis, Quality Diversity Search, Innovation Engines, Unsupervised Learning¹

1 Introduction

Modern digital audio synthesis systems generate sounds by navigating high-dimensional parameter spaces that span millions of possible configurations. However, systematically exploring these vast sonic territories remains challenging given the inherent difficulties in characterising and navigating such high-dimensional spaces. Manual approaches require domain expertise and risk overlooking novel sonic relationships, whilst supervised machine learning methods remain constrained by their training data, potentially limiting exploration to familiar sonic territories.

Evolutionary algorithms offer a promising approach to automated sonic discovery, but their effectiveness critically depends on how they characterise and navigate the underlying sonic behaviour space. Quality Diversity (QD) algorithms like Multi-dimensional Archive of Phenotypic Elites (MAP-Elites) [37] have shown success in various domains, yet their application to sonic

^{*}bthj@uio.no

¹**Abbreviations:** QD, Quality Diversity; DR, Dimensionality Reduction; CPPN, Compositional Pattern Producing Network.

discovery has typically relied on manually crafted behaviour descriptors or supervised classifiers that may impose unintended biases on the exploration process.

This work addresses the need for automated, unbiased methods to define and dynamically reconfigure sonic behaviour spaces for evolutionary search. We investigate two core research questions: **Do automatic behaviour space definition approaches outperform manual behaviour space definition for sonic quality diversity search in terms of the diversity of discovered sounds?** This encompasses different dimensionality reduction (DR) methods and dynamic reconfiguration strategies compared to expert-selected features. **How do different quality evaluation approaches affect the effectiveness of sonic discovery?** This examines reference-based quality assessment (single and Multiple Reference) versus reference-free evaluation methods.

We investigate the application of unsupervised DR methods to automatically define and dynamically reconfigure sonic behaviour spaces during evolutionary search, adapting approaches from robotics research to the sonic domain. Specifically, we apply the DR techniques Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and autoencoders to project high-dimensional audio features onto structured two-dimensional grids for MAP-Elites operation. To prevent evolutionary stagnation, we implement dynamic behaviour space reconfiguration through periodic retraining of these models using sounds discovered during the search process.

Our experimental results show that unsupervised DR models can autonomously discover meaningful sonic diversity without expert bias, achieving significantly higher diversity than manually crafted behaviour spaces. The contributions to quality diversity algorithms applied to audio synthesis are: (1) demonstrating that unsupervised behaviour space definition, including dynamic reconfiguration, achieves substantially higher sonic diversity than expert-defined spaces whilst maintaining sound quality; and (2) comparing reference-based quality evaluation approaches (single and multiple reference sounds) with reference-free methods, revealing how different quality assessment strategies affect the diversity and perceptual characteristics of discovered sounds.

The following sections review related work in evolutionary sound synthesis and quality diversity algorithms, detail our methodology for unsupervised behaviour space definition, present experimental results, and discuss implications for automated sonic discovery systems.

2 Related Work

2.1 Evolutionary Computation for Sound Synthesis

Efforts to expand our sonic vocabulary through evolutionary algorithms are wide and varied. One branch of these efforts has involved searching the parameter spaces of established sound synthesisers [15, 50], while another has focused on evolving the structure of sound generators themselves [33, 31]. More recent explorations have ventured into the latent spaces of deep generative models [14].

Early pioneering work established fundamental approaches to evolutionary sound synthesis, including FM parameter matching [15] and the evolution of sound synthesis algorithms [33]. Comprehensive surveys by Romero et al. [36] documented the breadth of evolutionary approaches to sound synthesis, while interactive evolution has enabled exploration of complex parameter spaces through human aesthetic guidance [7, 21].

Human input has been incorporated into evolutionary processes through interactive evolutionary computation [49], though our initial explorations into applying this approach to the discovery of sounds [21] revealed how challenging that domain can be for human evaluators. The limited capacity of human evaluation [35] is particularly noticeable in sound evaluation, where mental fatigue can arise from repeatedly assessing diverse sounds. Those limitations make it especially appealing to seek for approaches that enable automatic evolutionary search for sounds.

2.2 Quality Diversity Algorithms and Innovation Engines

Drawing inspiration from the accumulation of all interesting discoveries in nature and human culture, QD search algorithms [43, 5] drive evolution towards novelty whilst also taking into account measures of quality or fitness. These methods shift the focus from finding a single optimum to producing collections of high-quality solutions that together illuminate the space of possibilities [47]. MAP-Elites, our chosen algorithm, organises search into a structured, grid-based container where each cell stores the best solution exhibiting a given behaviour, thereby encouraging broad coverage across behavioural dimensions rather than collapse to a single peak.

QD algorithms have demonstrated success across diverse creative applications beyond robotics, including procedural content generation and game level design [12, 26, 29], demonstrating how these approaches can illuminate design spaces in creative contexts and reveal possibilities that traditional optimisation methods might overlook.

Building upon QD algorithms, the *Innovation Engine* concept [39, 38] combines diversity-promoting evolutionary search with learned representations that both define behavioural spaces and evaluate solution quality. In initial implementations, pre-trained deep neural networks provided both the structure of the behaviour space (through class labels) and the fitness signal (through classification confidence), enabling automated creative discovery at scales impossible with interactive evolution. This configuration has succeeded in producing interesting visual [38, 28] and sonic [23] artefacts. However, these implementations remain constrained by what can be characterised within their training data: pre-trained classifiers guide discovery towards artefacts recognisable within predefined taxonomies of categories, potentially limiting exploration to familiar territories whilst overlooking genuinely novel concepts that don't fit established classifications.

The conceptual foundations of Innovation Engines can be traced through a progression of key developments. Novelty search [27] demonstrated that rewarding behavioural novelty could outperform objective-based approaches in deceptive environments. Interactive evolutionary systems like Picbreeder [45] showed how human aesthetic guidance could lead to serendipitous discoveries, though with scalability limitations due to user fatigue. QD algorithms, particularly MAP-Elites, provided structured methods for maintaining diverse collections whilst illuminating fitness landscapes. The Innovation Engine concept united these insights by automating aesthetic judgement through learned representations whilst preserving open-ended exploration. Further research has investigated whether QD algorithms provide better stepping stones for exploration compared to objective-based search [11], whilst recent extensions explore automated creativity through deep learning integration [1].

The ultimate vision for Innovation Engines would operate without requiring labelled data or domain-specific knowledge [38]. Our work explores an alternative approach to this vision by decoupling behavioural characterisation from quality evaluation. Rather than deriving both from a single learned model, we employ unsupervised dimensionality reduction techniques (PCA and autoencoders) to define behaviour spaces autonomously, whilst quality evaluation operates through independent mechanisms including reference-based similarity measures and reference-free audio quality assessment. This separation enables exploration beyond the categorical constraints of supervised classifiers, potentially revealing sonic territories that pre-trained models might not recognise or value.

2.3 Behaviour Space Definition in Quality Diversity

Search based on the family of QD algorithms relies on characterisations referred to as Behavioural Descriptors (BDs). A collection of such behaviours describes characteristics of the artefacts the algorithm searches for, be that robotic behaviours, images, or sounds. Traditional approaches have relied on manually defined behaviour descriptors or supervised classifiers, where manual definition requires domain expertise and may miss important relationships, whilst supervised

approaches can inherit biases from their training data.

QD algorithms like MAP-Elites highlighted the importance of appropriate behaviour characterisation through systematic studies [42], which emphasised how the alignment between quality measures and behaviour descriptors impacts algorithmic performance. Recent work in robotics has begun exploring autonomous characterisation through unsupervised descriptors. AURORA [6, 13] employs dimensionality reduction models (encoders) trained on sensory data from discovered solutions to automatically define behavioural characterisations. The algorithm operates with unstructured containers where diversity of characteristics is illuminated through distance comparisons in high-dimensional latent spaces. Complementary approaches have explored unsupervised learning of reachable outcome spaces [40] and robust QD operation in noisy domains typical of robotic applications [10]. In contrast with those previous studies, we investigate the suitability of illuminating a diversity of sound objects within dynamically structured two-dimensional containers.

2.4 Dynamic Behaviour Space Reconfiguration

Static behaviour spaces may become saturated during evolution, potentially limiting continued discovery. Expansive variants of MAP-Elites [51] address this limitation through dynamic reconfiguration, typically by expanding container sizes or introducing new behavioural dimensions.

AURORA (introduced in Section 2.3) advances this approach through periodic retraining of its dimensionality reduction models [6, 13]. The algorithm alternates between a QD phase, where solutions fill an unstructured container, and an encoder update phase, where the DR model is incrementally retrained on sensory data from discovered solutions. This periodic redefinition allows the behavioural space to adapt to the evolving distribution of discoveries whilst maintaining continuity through incremental parameter updates. To manage container size in these unbounded spaces, adaptive distance thresholds determine when solutions are sufficiently novel to be added to the container.

Alternative approaches have explored transformational creativity through incremental learning of new behavioural representations [29], where autoencoders progressively refine the criteria for novelty evaluation. Our work adapts these periodic redefinition principles to the sonic domain with structured grid-based containers, as detailed in Section 3.

2.5 Quality Diversity in Sound Matching vs Sound Discovery

QD algorithms have been applied to different sonic contexts. While this work focuses on discovering diverse sonic artefacts through open-ended exploration, other research has applied QD algorithms to the problem of sound matching. Masuda & Saito [34] introduced novelty objectives to genetic algorithm-based synthesiser parameter estimation, seeking multiple diverse ways to recreate a target sound rather than a single optimal match. Their approach employs Novelty Search with Global Competition (NS-GC) and Local Competition (NS-LC) variants, using manually selected behavioural descriptors (spectral centroid and spectral flatness) to characterise sonic diversity.

These two applications represent different contexts for QD algorithms. Sound matching approaches employ reference sounds as specific targets to guide search toward particular sonic characteristics, whilst sound discovery approaches employ reference sounds as quality thresholds for exploring diverse sonic territories. The former defines desired output characteristics through reference targets; the latter establishes minimum coherence criteria whilst permitting broad exploration across the behaviour space. Sound matching approaches often benefit from manual selection of acoustically meaningful behavioural characteristics, whilst discovery approaches may explore automated behaviour space definition. Fixed synthesiser architectures (such as subtractive and FM synthesis) provide interpretable parameter spaces suited to matching tasks, whilst

open-ended generative systems allow for structural evolution at the cost of reduced interpretability.

The distinction between *sound matching* and *sound discovery* reflects broader applications in creative AI systems. Matching problems typically benefit from expert knowledge of relevant acoustic features and well-defined target characteristics. Discovery problems often explore automated characterisation approaches to avoid constraining exploration to familiar territories, as different applications may warrant different balance points between expert guidance and automated exploration.

2.6 Compositional Pattern Producing Networks for Sound

The sound generation approach employed in our experiments builds upon the application of evolutionary algorithms to sound synthesis through Compositional Pattern Producing Networks (CPPNs) [46]. Those networks have been developed to represent or abstract unfolding development in evolutionary processes, which build a phenotype over time, and this can be compared with the process of timbral development, where musical expression depends on changes and nuances over time.

CPPNs have demonstrated remarkable versatility across multiple domains, from the evolution of visual patterns in collaborative systems like Picbreeder [45] to recent applications in automated environment generation [1]. In the sonic domain, CPPNs have been applied to interactive sound synthesis [21], demonstrating their capacity to evolve complex timbral structures through neuroevolution.

Our distinct approach to sound synthesis couples CPPNs with Digital Signal Processing (DSP) graphs [24], to both of which we apply NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies (NEAT). This design poses challenges as it does not encode any inductive bias towards recognisable sounds, but our generative system can evolve in any direction, spanning a vast search space for our search to navigate, potentially leading to transformational discoveries. The technical details of our implementation are described in Section 3.1.

3 Methodology

To facilitate evolutionary search for quality and diversity beyond the constraints of manual expert guidance, we investigate different approaches to unsupervised characterisation of sonic behaviour spaces and their dynamic redefinition. This investigation encompasses multiple strategies for feature extraction and characterisation of discovered sound objects.

Our approach employs the MAP-Elites quality diversity algorithm to discover diverse, high-performing sound objects. MAP-Elites operates on a structured, grid-based container where each cell stores the best solution exhibiting particular behavioural characteristics. The algorithm performs selection of occupied cells to identify previous discoveries to evolve through mutation as new elite candidates. Individuals in a new generation descending from existing container occupants are assigned a cell according to behaviour descriptors, and if the cell is either empty or the candidate achieves higher fitness according to the chosen quality metric, it is declared a new elite.

Figure 1 depicts our evolutionary QD search pipeline. The system integrates three components: (1) sound synthesis through evolved CPPN-DSP networks, (2) feature extraction from discovered sounds, and (3) unsupervised dimensionality reduction that projects high-dimensional features onto structured behaviour spaces. We explore both static configurations, where projection models remain fixed throughout evolution, and dynamic variants that periodically retrain these models using sounds discovered during the search, allowing the behaviour space itself to adapt and evolve alongside the sound population.




Figure 1: Visualisation of our MAP-Elites QD search pipeline for discovering sounds, with behaviour space container statuses at different stages of evolution on the top left, defined by unsupervised projection models.

We quantify both the quality of individual solutions and the diversity of the overall collection through metrics detailed in the following sections. Quality evaluation primarily employs similarity to reference sounds, though we also explore reference-free approaches based on audio problem detection (Section 5.3.1 and Section 5.3.2). Diversity is measured through pairwise distances between elite solutions in feature space.

3.1 Sound Generation System

Any sound generator, or synthesiser, is theoretically applicable to our experimental setup, as long as its configuration is evolvable, e.g. by mutation. For our experiments we use a sound synthesis architecture based on the coupling of CPPNs [46] and DSP graphs, to both of which we apply NEAT [48]. This method of generating sound is detailed in [24] and extensions, where the CPPNs are specialised for frequency ranges, are discussed in [22].

The CPPN networks provide audio and control signals to a DSP graph, which functions akin to a modular synthesiser patch. The CPPNs receive inputs such as a linear ramp representing time and sinusoidal signals representing pitch, allowing them to generate patterns that evolve over the duration of a sound and respond to changes in pitch. This approach enables the rendering of sounds of any duration, where the patterns encoded in the CPPNs unfold over time, revealing sub-patterns that contribute to timbral development.

Rather than using the full repertoire of mathematical functions typically available in CPPN implementations (such as Gaussian, sigmoid, or linear functions), we configure the CPPN networks to compose primarily from functions representing waveforms commonly used in modular sound synthesis: sine, square, sawtooth, and triangle waveforms. This choice provides a more constrained search space than arbitrary mathematical functions, potentially guiding evolution towards more sonically coherent results whilst maintaining the open-ended pattern generation capabilities of CPPNs.

We employ NEAT to evolve both the CPPN network topologies and the DSP graph structures. NEAT enables networks to start with minimal topologies and gradually complexify through the addition of nodes and connections. In our implementation, we utilise NEAT’s mutation operators, though we do not employ its speciation mechanism or crossover operators, focusing instead on

mutation-driven exploration. This allows the system to discover both interesting waveforms and effective ways to process and combine them through co-evolution of pattern generators and signal processing architectures.

A benefit of this approach is that the networks start out with the simplest possible topology and gradually complexify. By the same token, this design poses challenges as it does not encode any inductive bias [30] towards recognisable sounds, as e.g. Differentiable Digital Signal Processing (DDSP) [9] and frequency modulation (FM) synthesis [34] do: our generative system can evolve in any direction, spanning a vast search space for our search to navigate. This can effectively require our search to undergo many iterations before approaching aesthetically pleasing or interesting sounds.

3.2 Sonic Features

There are several ways to characterize a sound by computational analysis. There are low-level acoustic features, which focus each on specific aspects, such as brightness (e.g. spectral centroid) or power spectrum mean ratios (e.g. spectral flatness). Then there are perceptual features like Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs), which describe the spectral shape with compact vectors. Here we focus on statistically extended MFCC components for multi-dimensional audio feature extraction. We also use a selection of low-level acoustic features for comparison with results obtained from evolutionary runs based on unsupervised models. The features are used both for placement in unsupervised sonic behaviour measurement spaces and for quality evaluation.

We extract MFCC features through a multi-stage augmentation process to capture both spectral and temporal characteristics of sounds. We begin by extracting 13 MFCC coefficients from the audio signal using frames of 400 samples with an overlap of 240 samples at a sampling rate of 16 kHz, yielding a time series of MFCC values across multiple frames for our 4-second duration sounds. We then exclude the first coefficient (MFCC0), which typically represents the overall energy of the signal, thereby focusing the feature representation on spectral shape and timbral characteristics captured by the remaining 12 MFCCs rather than overall loudness variations.

To capture the temporal dynamics of how sounds evolve, we compute first-order derivatives (delta coefficients) for each of the 12 MFCC components. These derivatives represent the rate of change in each coefficient over time, capturing important aspects of timbral development. We then compress the temporal dimension by computing statistical summaries for both the original MFCC time series and their derivatives—specifically, the mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values across all frames. This aggregation approach, following [44], produces 4 statistical measures for each of the 12 MFCCs (48 values) and 4 statistical measures for each of the 12 delta coefficients (48 values), resulting in a 96-dimensional feature vector that captures both spectral characteristics and temporal dynamics. This statistical aggregation provides a fixed-length representation independent of the temporal duration of the sound signal, enabling direct comparison between sounds of different lengths.

3.3 Quality

Previous implementations of the innovation engine algorithm in the domain of sounds have used pre-trained classifier networks [23], which were based on similar experiments in the visual domain [38]. In those experiments, the classifier provided definition of a behaviour space and niches within this space by the different classes it distinguishes between, and also an evaluation of quality, in the form of confidence signals for each class.

Having adopted unsupervised approaches for behaviour space definition in this work, we require alternative methods for quality evaluation that do not rely on classifier confidence signals. To this end, we investigate three approaches to quality evaluation during evolutionary sonic discovery: single-reference evaluation comparing candidates to a fixed reference sound, multi-

ple reference evaluation comparing against collections of reference sounds, and reference-free evaluation based on audio problem detection. Each approach offers distinct trade-offs between computational efficiency, exploration bias, and perceptual quality.

3.3.1 Single-Reference Quality Evaluation

To drive the search towards higher-quality solutions, we employ similarity metrics to arbitrarily chosen reference sounds, extracting features from target audio signals. For individual sound objects, we define quality $Q(s)$ through similarity to the chosen reference sound:

$$Q_{\text{single-ref}}(s) = (1 - d_{\text{cosine}}(\mathbf{f}(s), \mathbf{f}(r)))^p \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{f}(s)$ and $\mathbf{f}(r)$ represent feature vectors of the candidate and reference sounds respectively, p is a power scaling factor, and $d_{\text{cosine}}()$ is the cosine distance metric. This approach maintains clear separation between quality assessment (fitness) and diversity characterisation (behaviour descriptors): whilst fitness evaluates how well individual sounds meet basic quality criteria, diversity emerges from the behaviour space structure defined by unsupervised DR. The reference sound serves as a quality gate—a threshold for determining whether discovered sounds exhibit sufficient coherence to warrant retention—whilst leaving vast space for diverse exploration around that threshold. The MAP-Elites algorithm maintains one elite per behaviour space cell, ensuring diversity regardless of fitness values. In our primary configuration, we compare distances between feature vectors extracted from discovered sounds and a single sound from the NSynth dataset [8].

For such full-reference quality assessment, we employ the cosine distance metric, where higher fitness is associated with lower distances to the given reference. This metric focuses on directional similarity and can be more robust to variations in vector magnitude, which can result in less meaningful similarity measures in high-dimensional spaces by other metrics, such as the Euclidean distance. The features extracted from discovered and reference sounds are standardised with Z-score normalisation, where the data is adjusted to present zero mean and unit variance. Global statistics for that scaling are obtained online from the evolutionary sound discovery process and the corresponding reference dataset; if e.g. only one reference sound is used from the NSynth dataset, then features from all sounds in the dataset are used for the Z-score normalisation. Features extracted from the reference datasets are available in the dataset accompanying this article [25].

3.3.2 Multiple Reference Quality Evaluation

Whilst single-reference quality assessment provides clear fitness signals by comparing all candidates to one fixed reference sound, multiple reference approaches compare discovered sounds against collections of reference sounds, providing more varied fitness signals and potentially reducing bias towards specific sonic characteristics.

We implement multiple reference evaluation using vector databases with Hierarchical Navigable Small World (HNSW) graphs [32] for efficient nearest neighbour search. The database is populated with features extracted from all sounds in a reference dataset (e.g., NSynth). Fitness is computed as:

$$Q_{\text{multi-ref}}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - d_{\text{cosine}}(\mathbf{f}(s), \mathbf{f}(r_i))) \right)^p \quad (2)$$

where r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k are the k nearest reference sounds from the collection. Even when $k = 1$, this approach differs from single-reference evaluation: whilst the single-reference approach always compares candidates to the same fixed reference sound, the multiple reference approach with $k = 1$ compares each candidate to its nearest neighbour in the database, meaning the reference

sound varies dynamically across different candidates. This allows the fitness landscape to adapt to different regions of the sonic space, potentially promoting more diverse exploration than a fixed reference. We experiment with k values of 1 and 15 to assess the impact of neighbourhood size on diversity and quality outcomes.

3.3.3 Reference-Free Quality Evaluation

Obtaining measures of quality from comparison with existing sounds introduces selection bias towards specific sonic characteristics. An alternative approach evaluates quality through objective technical criteria rather than similarity to reference sounds.

We implement reference-free evaluation based on audio problem detection [2], using algorithms implemented by the Essentia library [4], targeting six categories of sound artefacts: (1) clicks and discontinuities indicating synthesis instabilities, (2) gaps in audio signal suggesting synthesis failures, (3) clipping distortion from exceeded dynamic range limits, (4) noise bursts from high-energy random signals, (5) signal saturation from prolonged maximum amplitude periods, and (6) DC offset indicating improper signal centring. For each problem category i , we compute the proportion $P_i(s)$ as the fraction of detected events relative to the total number of analysis frames.

We supplement problem detection with compression-based quality assessment using zlib compression ratios as an indicator of signal structure and coherence. More structured, less noisy signals compress more efficiently. The compression ratio $C(s)$ is computed as:

$$C(s) = 1 - \frac{\text{Compressed size of } s}{\text{Original size of } s} \quad (3)$$

where higher values indicate greater compressibility and more structured content.

The final reference-free fitness score combines all assessment components with equal weighting:

$$Q_{\text{ref-free}}(s) = \frac{1}{7} \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 (1 - P_i(s)) + C(s) \right) \quad (4)$$

where $(1 - P_i(s))$ ensures that fewer detected problems result in higher quality scores.

3.4 Unsupervised Behaviour Space Definition

QD algorithms rely on solution characterisations referred to as Behavioural Descriptors (BDs). A collection of such behaviours describes characteristics of discovered sound objects. Just as in robotics applications of QD search, we face choices about how to characterise the diversity of discovered artefacts—for robotic locomotion, this might be walking distance, speed, or even flight capability; for sonic discovery, we must similarly choose how to measure and distinguish between different sound characteristics. We use unsupervised DR models to automate the definition of low dimensional, structured containers for the QD algorithm MAP-Elites, without requiring manual selection of one combination of simple features over the other, aiming to free us from decisions based on the signal processing concepts they represent. As a reference to evaluate this approach, we compare the results from such an automatic characterization with results obtained from manually selected BDs. In both cases the containers are discretised into a 100×100 grid.

There are a variety of approaches to consider for reducing the dimensionality of the audio features we extract from discovered sound objects.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [41] provides a fast approach to constructing linear projections that capture the largest variation in the data. It produces linear combinations of input dimensions, ranked by their contribution in terms of carried information, represented by the variance. This information is obtained with linear projections to find orthogonal vectors

that best fit the data. Selecting the best-fitting (principal) components is our main approach to reducing the dimensionality of the extracted audio features.

We also experiment with non-linear approaches to automatically characterise discovered sounds by reducing their audio feature dimensionality.

Autoencoders consist of two, jointly trained networks, for encoding and decoding [3]. The encoding part can be used for non-linear DR and the decoder part can be useful for reconstruction of the original data from the lower dimensional latent space. While our experiments do not require the generative ability of the decoder, our unsupervised characterisation of sound objects may benefit from the encoder’s ability to represent different levels of abstractions in the data.

3.4.1 Behaviour Space Redefinitions

To dynamically adapt the unsupervised, behaviour-defining DR models to the data discovered during QD evolutionary search, and its evolving distribution, we periodically retrain the models at linearly increasing intervals, as discussed in [13]. This approach addresses the challenge that as evolution progresses, the distribution of discovered phenotypes changes dramatically, and a behaviour space defined by the initial random population may poorly characterise the diversity present in later generations. Dynamic reconfiguration ensures that the behaviour characterisation remains relevant to the current evolutionary state whilst preventing convergence through periodic disruption of the competition dynamics.

Our approach adopts AURORA’s core principle of periodic DR model retraining to enable behavioural space adaptation during evolution. However, we make different design choices that distinguish our implementation. First, whilst AURORA operates with unstructured containers and explicit size control mechanisms through adaptive distance thresholds, we investigate structured, two-dimensional grid-based containers. This maintains a fixed 100×100 grid structure that facilitates visualisation and interactive exploration whilst still allowing the behavioural characterisation to evolve. The structured container provides consistent reference points for comparison and analysis across different stages of evolution.

Second, we focus specifically on two-dimensional projections suitable for immediate visual interpretation, which could be useful in interactive and creative contexts, whereas AURORA accommodates higher-dimensional latent spaces. This constraint to 2D allows direct examination of the behaviour space and discovered sounds, though it may limit the richness of behavioural characterisation compared to higher-dimensional representations.

This combination of periodic redefinition with structured containers creates dynamic competition amongst retained solutions. As the DR models are retrained with newly discovered sounds, the relative positions of existing elites within the behaviour space shift with each reconfiguration. Solutions that were previously in different cells may be repositioned to compete within the same cell, whilst others may move to previously unoccupied regions. This dynamic repositioning maintains evolutionary pressure throughout the search and prevents stagnation in locally optimal regions of the behaviour space.

In particular, we define the generation gaps between retraining events as a triangular number sequence with increment factor 50. The generation gap T_n at the n th retraining event is calculated using the formula:

$$T_n = 25n(n + 1) \quad (5)$$

where n represents the index of the retraining event within the sequence. For example, the first five retraining events occur at generation number 50, 150, 300, 500, and 750. As in [6], we use the current population of elites as training data and when using an autoencoder for unsupervised BD, we incrementally finetune the model, rather than training it from scratch, as in [29].

After each retraining of the projection model, the current elites in the container are reprojected to the behaviour space through the newly trained model. This can and does result in new competition dynamics, where any elite may compete with another for its original position in

the behaviour space, as several elites can be projected to the same position after retraining. In conjunction with elite remapping, to maintain diversity and promote exploration in the search space, we employ innovation or novelty protection, such that an elite is not replaced by a new, higher-scoring competitor, which has been assigned the same position in the container after retraining, unless a protection period of 10 generations has elapsed, or if it is 10% fitter when the protection period is in effect.

3.5 Implementation

The complete implementation of the methods described in this section, including the sound generation system, quality diversity search, feature extraction, quality evaluation, and unsupervised behaviour space definition, is openly available [19, 16, 18, 17, 20].

4 Experimental Setup

Our experiments explore several variations of the evolutionary search processes, characterized by different design choices and configurations. These configurations are defined by: how behaviours are defined, manually or automatically with unsupervised models, which are either trained initially or periodically retrained; and what types and sets of features are extracted from reference and candidate sounds, for both defining behaviours by projection to a low dimensional space and for comparing distances during quality evaluation.

At the start of each run, 512 seed evaluation iterations were performed, followed by batches of 64 evaluation iterations: each batch can be defined as one generation and with a budget of 300K iterations, each simulation evaluated 4688 generations. Each experimental configuration was repeated five times to ensure statistical robustness. Further repetitions were not performed due to the high computational cost of each run, which took approximately 48 hours on 30 High Performance Computing (HPC) CPU cores (where genome variation, sound rendering and evaluation was parallelised between modules implemented in Node.js and Python).

We focus the experimental investigation on two core research questions through comparison of different evolutionary search configurations:

Automatic vs Manual Behaviour Space Definition: We compare automatic behaviour space definition approaches—encompassing different DR methods (PCA and autoencoders) and dynamic reconfiguration strategies—against manually crafted behaviour spaces using expert-selected audio features (spectral slope and rolloff - see Appendix A).

Quality Evaluation Approaches: We assess how different quality evaluation methods affect sonic discovery effectiveness, examining reference-based quality assessment (single and multiple reference) versus reference-free evaluation methods.

To quantify the diversity of discovered solutions, we measure the average pairwise distance between all elite solutions \mathcal{E} in feature space:

$$D(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n d_{\cosine}(\mathbf{f}(s_i), \mathbf{f}(s_j)) \quad (6)$$

where n is the number of elites in \mathcal{E} and $d_{\cosine}()$ measures the cosine distance between feature vectors.

5 Results

The experiments show significant differences in the ability of various approaches to discover diverse, high-quality sound objects, evaluated using the quality and diversity measures defined in Section 3. All experimental data, including discovered sound objects, extracted features, and

performance metrics, are available in the accompanying dataset [25]. Sample sounds from the different experimental configurations can be auditioned online².

The quantitative results are summarised in Table 1, which presents performance metrics for the core experimental configurations addressing our research questions.

Table 1: Performance comparison across core experimental configurations. Values represent mean \pm standard deviation across 5 independent runs. The multiple-reference and reference-free configurations were run within dynamic behaviour space definitions by periodically retrained PCA.

Configuration	Coverage	Diversity	Grid Mean Fitness	Goal Switches
Dynamic PCA	0.444 ± 0.026	0.514 ± 0.040	0.425 ± 0.014	34.8 ± 2.8
Static PCA	0.752 ± 0.040	0.512 ± 0.020	0.570 ± 0.011	7.3 ± 0.4
Dynamic Autoencoder	0.399 ± 0.079	0.343 ± 0.043	0.437 ± 0.027	22.9 ± 3.3
Static Autoencoder	0.636 ± 0.049	0.297 ± 0.050	0.543 ± 0.029	9.2 ± 0.6
Manual BD	0.886 ± 0.009	0.148 ± 0.004	0.662 ± 0.008	7.8 ± 0.3
Multiple Ref. (k=15)	0.497 ± 0.048	0.801 ± 0.049	0.436 ± 0.042	32.0 ± 3.4
Multiple Ref. (k=1)	0.482 ± 0.062	0.791 ± 0.023	0.597 ± 0.033	31.6 ± 2.1
Reference-Free	0.445 ± 0.055	0.508 ± 0.104	0.464 ± 0.079	31.9 ± 1.0

5.1 Automatic vs Manual Behaviour Space Definition

Comparison between autonomous and manual BD configurations reveals significant advantages for the unsupervised approaches, as shown in Figure 2. QD search within BDs defined by PCA (static and dynamic) achieves significantly higher diversity $D(\mathcal{E})$ compared to Manual BD (0.514 ± 0.013 vs 0.148 ± 0.001 , $p < 0.001$), whilst Manual BD approaches produced results with noticeable noise components despite higher fitness scores.

In the audio domain, our approach can free experimental design from decisions based on signal processing concepts represented by individual feature descriptors. To evaluate this approach, we compare results from QD search in automatically defined BDs with results from manually defined BDs. In previous work, such comparisons have been made where the data supplied to an unsupervised model, to automatically define a BD, has contained all the information related to the manually defined BDs [13].

In the sound domain, where different approaches can be used to extract features, the relationship between data sources can be complex, where one source cannot be said to be strictly contained within the other. For example, when we project (extended) MFCC features through PCA to automatically define a BD, a comparison with a hand-crafted BD is not straightforward, e.g. where two instantaneous features are manually chosen to define the two axes of a behaviour space, such as spectral centroid and spectral flatness. Even though such instantaneous features and MFCCs are derived from the same underlying spectral information of the audio signal, spectral centroid and spectral flatness cannot be directly derived from MFCCs. MFCCs contain information about the overall spectral shape, which is related to but not identical to specific instantaneous features. In addition, we augment our MFCC vectors with statistical information to capture dynamic characteristics of the sound, which the instantaneous features are less capable of representing.

Regardless of the disjoint nature of the sources of information for automatically (e.g. MFCC) or manually defining behaviour spaces, we are curious to compare results from QD search within those different landscapes. To that end, we rank features according to criteria based on their variance, which we take as a representation of the quantity of information they carry, and their cross-

²<https://video.synth.is/harpsitrees/>




Figure 2: Performance comparison: Automatic vs manual behaviour space definition and dynamic vs static configuration. Solid lines show mean values across 5 independent runs. Shaded areas represent 95% confidence intervals. Dynamic PCA achieves significantly higher diversity than Manual BD ($p < 0.001$), indicating that automatic approaches can outperform expert-defined spaces. PCA shows superior diversity generation and computational efficiency, whilst autoencoders provide competitive performance with less auditory noise.

correlation (by checking if a feature provides the same information as others, down-prioritising those with a strong positive or negative correlation). Considering several feature types—such as spectral centroid, spread, skewness, kurtosis, rolloff, decrease, slope, flux, flatness and crest factor—we compute their values from audio files in the NSynth dataset ($\sim 290\text{K}$ files).

The highest ranking spectral features resulting from variance and correlation analysis are spectral slope, with a variance of 0.05, and spectral rolloff, with a variance of 0.04 and 0.06 max correlation with other features. Even though QD search within such a manually defined behaviour space obtains high fitness scores, there is a noticeable noise component in the sounds and they are considerably less diverse. This indicates that unsupervised approaches may be more effective for discovering sonic diversity.

To compare different behaviour spaces directly and gain insight into how well sonic discoveries from QD search within automatically defined search spaces address the sonic characteristics defined by our manually selected pair of audio features, we remap them into the manually defined BD, as shown in Figure 3. While high and continuous coverage is achieved when searching directly within the manually defined container (visible on the right of the figure), the remapped discoveries from automatic BDs do reach most areas of that specifically defined container, with results from the periodically retrained variants seemingly less constrained to specific areas. Coverage percentages for each configuration in both native and remapped spaces are provided in Table 2. The higher diversity measured between sonic discoveries within autonomously defined containers suggests that results from such QD search, unconstrained by expert decisions, may also cover significant proportions of search spaces confined by measures of manually selected signal processing concepts.




Figure 3: Coverage analysis when remapping unsupervised discoveries to manually defined behaviour space. Top row shows coverage achieved by different unsupervised approaches in their native spaces. Bottom row shows how these same discoveries map to the manual BD space (spectral slope vs rolloff). While direct search within the manual BD achieves the highest coverage (rightmost panel), remapped discoveries from automatic BDs reach most areas of the manually defined container, demonstrating that unsupervised approaches maintain substantial coverage of expert-defined sonic characteristics while achieving higher overall diversity.

5.2 Dynamic Behaviour Space Reconfiguration

Comparison between Dynamic PCA and Static PCA configurations reveals significant trade-offs between final performance and exploration dynamics, as demonstrated in Figure 2. Static PCA achieves significantly higher coverage (0.752 ± 0.013 vs 0.444 ± 0.008 , $p < 0.001$) and grid mean fitness (0.570 ± 0.004 vs 0.425 ± 0.005 , $p < 0.001$) compared to Dynamic PCA. Regarding diversity, both configurations show similar final diversity values (Dynamic PCA: 0.514 ± 0.040 vs Static PCA: 0.512 ± 0.020 , $p > 0.05$), indicating no statistically significant difference in final

Table 2: Coverage percentages for different behaviour space configurations in their native spaces and when remapped to the manually defined behaviour space (spectral slope vs rolloff).

Configuration	Native Coverage	Remapped Coverage
PCA (Static)	74.46%	22.85%
PCA (Dynamic)	41.69%	20.77%
Autoencoder (Static)	56.19%	16.46%
Autoencoder (Dynamic)	51.06%	21.84%
Manual BD (Spectral Slope/Rolloff)	88.18%	—

diversity between dynamic and static approaches.

However, these traditional performance metrics may not capture the full value of dynamic approaches. The periodic disruptions during retraining events create opportunities for continued exploration and prevent evolutionary stagnation, as evidenced by the sustained discovery of new elite solutions throughout the simulation rather than early convergence. While differences between using a fixed projection model and periodically retraining it produce dramatic changes in coverage and fitness, the evolutionary trajectories differ substantially, with dynamic configurations showing periodic disruptions during retraining events that may promote continued exploration and maintain evolutionary pressure, indicating an evolutionary landscape open to further innovation.

The temporary disruptions following each retraining event serve as creative destruction processes that promote continued exploration. Periodic DR model retraining was associated with a modest diversity increase only in the autoencoder configuration, though further runs would be needed to reveal the statistical significance of this trend, and this tentative benefit comes at the cost of reduced coverage and fitness scores within the respective behaviour spaces. This suggests that the principal value of dynamic behaviour space configuration may lie less in final metric optimization and more in sustaining evolutionary potential and open-ended discovery dynamics.

Regarding the effectiveness of different DR methods, PCA demonstrates the most effective balance between diversity generation and computational efficiency, as shown in Figure 2. The comparison between PCA and autoencoders reveals trade-offs for sonic behaviour characterisation. PCA achieves higher coverage and diversity values, indicating it is capable of broader exploration of sonic territories. The linear projections provided by PCA offer computational efficiency and interpretability, allowing for rapid model retraining during dynamic configurations.

Autoencoders, whilst achieving lower overall diversity, provide notable advantages in perceptual quality. Using an autoencoder to define the search space, where the projection goes through dense layers of 64 and 32 neurons, results in competitive coverage and fitness scores. The hierarchical representations learned by autoencoders appear to better capture perceptually relevant sonic features, as evidenced by the sonic discoveries being less challenging for the listener within the more homogeneous set of discoveries. This suggests that the non-linear transformations performed by autoencoders may align more closely with human auditory perception, producing sounds that, while less diverse in feature space, are more coherent from a listening perspective.

The incremental fine-tuning capability of autoencoders during dynamic reconfiguration represents a methodological advantage over PCA’s complete model replacement. This allows autoencoders to preserve learned representations while gradually adapting to evolving phenotype distributions, potentially explaining the modest diversity benefits observed in dynamic autoencoder configurations.

The evolutionary pathways reveal how dynamic reconfiguration affects exploration patterns, as visualized in Figure 4. Periodic retraining of the BD model results in a higher variety of distinct evolutionary paths being more fully explored, with dynamic BD configurations showing more diverse branching patterns compared to static approaches. The temporary disruptions

following each retraining event serve as creative destruction processes that can help sustain exploration.




Figure 4: Evolutionary pathways visualization showing how behaviour space definition and re-definition affect exploration patterns. These radial tree diagrams display the phylogenetic tree relationships formed during the quality diversity sound search simulations, with initial seeds positioned at the centre and evolutionary branching patterns radiating outward. (A) Static PCA without retraining. (B) Dynamic PCA with periodic retraining and remapping. (C) Manually defined behaviour space (spectral slope vs rolloff). (D) Dynamic autoencoder with incremental fine-tuning. The vertical color bar indicates the fitness used for colouring branches.

5.3 Quality Evaluation Approaches

To address our second research question regarding different quality evaluation approaches, we compared reference-based and reference-free methods for assessing the quality of discovered sounds during evolutionary search. Figure 5 demonstrates the comparative performance across different quality evaluation approaches.

5.3.1 Multiple Reference Quality Evaluation

We evaluated the impact of multiple reference quality assessment on sonic discovery effectiveness. Experimental comparison demonstrates that multiple reference approaches achieve higher diversity than single reference methods. The $k = 15$ configuration achieved diversity of 0.801 ± 0.049 and dynamic single reference with $k = 1$ resulted in similar diversity of 0.791 ± 0.023 . Comparing this to the diversity of 0.514 ± 0.040 achieved by runs using a fixed, single reference for quality evaluation (both within dynamic PCA BDs) indicates that exposing the evolutionary process to multiple reference targets can promote exploration of diverse sonic territories whilst maintaining quality standards.




Figure 5: Performance comparison of alternative reference approaches for quality evaluation. Multiple reference approaches (with $k=15$) achieve the highest diversity, while reference-free evaluation provides comparable performance to single-reference methods while avoiding selection bias.

Coverage metrics showed comparable performance across configurations (Multiple Ref $k=15$: 0.497 ± 0.048 ; Multiple Ref $k=1$: 0.482 ± 0.062), whilst grid mean fitness values demonstrated variation ($k=15$: 0.436 ± 0.042 ; $k=1$: 0.597 ± 0.033). The higher fitness with single nearest neighbour comparison reflects more focused selection pressure, whilst the broader neighbourhood averaging promotes diverse exploration. Both multiple reference configurations maintained high goal switching frequencies ($k=15$: 32.0 ± 3.4 ; $k=1$: 31.6 ± 2.1), indicating sustained exploration throughout evolution comparable to dynamic behaviour space configurations.

5.3.2 Reference-Free Quality Evaluation

Reference-free evaluation methods (within dynamic PCA BDs) demonstrate that quality assessment without reference sounds can achieve comparable diversity whilst avoiding selection bias towards specific sonic characteristics. Reference-free evaluation achieved diversity of 0.508 ± 0.104 , comparable to single reference approaches and indicating that technical quality criteria can guide discovery of diverse sonic territories without requiring similarity to existing sounds.

Sounds deemed high-quality by reference-free metrics showed varied characteristics and could potentially be useful in creative applications. The absence of reference comparison bias allowed exploration of sonic characteristics that might otherwise be under-represented when fitness is determined through similarity measures. Coverage (0.445 ± 0.055) and grid mean fitness (0.464 ± 0.079) values fell within the range of other experimental configurations. Goal switching frequency (31.9 ± 1.0) matched dynamic behaviour space configurations, indicating sustained evolutionary exploration throughout the simulation.

5.4 Goal Switches

A measure of *goal switches* can give insights into how the diversity of possible behaviours in the search space has been leveraged during the evolutionary process. Another way to interpret this metric is how often stepping stones, between different niches in the search space, have been collected. This measure has been defined in a niche-centric manner as the number of times during a run that a new elite in one cell was the offspring of an elite of another cell ([39, 38]). The forest plot in figure 6 shows the goal switches during the different variants of QD search, from the perspective of individual cells: the square (red) dots show how often on average a new elite

was crowned in the populated cells, and the circular (black) dots show how often on average a new occupant was a descendant of an elite from another cell, which can be interpreted as one pair of stepping stones.

When not periodically retraining the DR model, such stepping stone collection is much less frequent than when the BD is dynamically configured, unsupervised projections. The goal switches occurring in variants based on dynamic DR models are more frequent than the 21.7 ± 3.6 reported from sonic innovation engine experiments based on a pre-trained Deep Neural Network (DNN) classifier [22]. This can be partly attributed to the population reprojection after each DR model retraining event, where each member can be assigned to a new niche, and declared its occupant if it performs best among other competitors assigned to the same niche after redefinition of the search landscape.

It is interesting to observe in Figure 6 that new cell elites come almost always from different cells—by how close goal switches (black circles) are to average new elite counts (red squares)—while in those previous studies that was only the case in 63.2% of new elite settlements. This seems to indicate that the evolutionary variants under study here are more aggressively collecting stepping stones across the sonic search space.




Figure 6: Niche-centric goal switching showing average elite counts (red squares) and cross-niche descendants (black circles).

6 Discussion

Signal processing features selected for technical analysis may not fully capture the kinds of sonic distinctions that emerge during open-ended exploration. Our results show notable differences between automatic and manual approaches to characterising sonic diversity, with automatic behaviour space definition through unsupervised dimensionality reduction outperforming expert-selected features in discovering diverse sounds, though manually defined spaces achieved higher coverage and fitness scores.

6.1 Automatic vs Manual Behaviour Space Definition

Our comparison of automated and expert-defined behaviour characterisation revealed significant advantages for unsupervised approaches, as shown in Figure 2. Automatic behaviour space definition through Static and Dynamic PCA achieved significantly higher diversity than manually crafted behaviour spaces using spectral slope and rolloff, indicating that unsupervised methods can outperform expert-selected features for sonic discovery. This advantage persisted despite

manually defined spaces achieving higher fitness scores and coverage, suggesting a disconnect between signal-processing-motivated features and sonic diversity. The resulting sounds from manual behaviour spaces contained noticeable noise components despite their higher quantitative performance. This suggests that expert-selected features like spectral slope and rolloff, while well-motivated from an audio signal-processing perspective, may not fully capture the sonic qualities that lead to diverse discoveries. The remapping analysis revealed that automatic discoveries still cover substantial portions of expert-defined characteristic spaces, indicating that automatic approaches maintain breadth whilst producing sounds with less noticeable noise artefacts.

Regarding the effectiveness of different automatic approaches, PCA proved the most effective DR method, balancing diversity generation with computational efficiency. Autoencoders provided competitive performance with less auditory noise, suggesting that their hierarchical representations may better capture certain sonic features. The incremental fine-tuning capability of autoencoders during dynamic reconfiguration represents a methodological advantage over PCA’s complete model replacement. When retraining occurs, PCA must be recomputed entirely from the current elite population, discarding all previous model parameters and potentially causing abrupt shifts in behaviour space structure. In contrast, autoencoders can be incrementally fine-tuned from their previous weights, allowing the network to gradually adjust its learned representations while maintaining continuity with earlier projections. This preservation of learned structure may reduce the disruptive effects of remapping events, potentially explaining the modest diversity benefits observed in dynamic autoencoder configurations.

The relationship between dynamic reconfiguration and performance proves more complex than initially anticipated, as shown in Figure 2. Static PCA achieved superior coverage and grid mean fitness compared to Dynamic PCA, yet both configurations showed similar final diversity. However, the evolutionary trajectories differ: dynamic configurations demonstrated sustained exploration throughout the simulation rather than early convergence, with goal switching frequencies far exceeding static approaches. This suggests that the value of dynamic reconfiguration lies not in final metric optimisation but in maintaining evolutionary potential and preventing stagnation.

6.2 Quality Evaluation Approaches

The investigation of different quality evaluation methods shows varied impacts on sonic discovery effectiveness. Reference-based approaches using single targets provide clear fitness signals but may introduce bias toward specific sonic characteristics. Multiple reference approaches, comparing discovered sounds against collections rather than individual targets, achieved higher diversity compared to single reference methods, as shown in Figure 5, indicating that broader target exposure can promote more diverse exploration.

An important distinction exists between single-reference and multiple reference approaches that extends beyond neighbourhood size. Even when $k = 1$, the multiple reference approach differs from single-reference evaluation: whilst the single-reference approach creates a fixed fitness landscape by comparing all candidates to the same reference sound, the multiple reference approach with $k = 1$ creates a dynamic, adaptive fitness landscape where each candidate is compared to its nearest neighbour in the reference database. This dynamic reference assignment allows different regions of the sonic space to be evaluated against locally appropriate references, potentially explaining the higher diversity achieved by multiple reference approaches. The fitness landscape effectively adapts to the candidate’s location in feature space, providing contextually relevant quality assessment rather than a global standard. This spatial adaptation may reduce the convergence pressure towards a single sonic archetype whilst maintaining meaningful quality constraints.

Reference-free evaluation methods based on audio problem detection achieved comparable diversity to single reference approaches whilst avoiding selection bias, indicating viable alternatives for unbiased quality assessment. The choice of quality evaluation approach represents a

significant factor in balancing exploration breadth with target coherence in evolutionary sonic discovery.

6.3 Broader Implications

Our QD approach employs fitness for quality assessment within behavioural niches rather than global optimisation. Quality constraints ensure discovered sounds meet minimum coherence criteria, whilst behaviour space structure drives exploration of diverse sonic territories. The results indicate how challenging it can be to manually identify audio features that lead to diverse discoveries. When favouring exploration over exploitation, it is difficult to anticipate what audio features, high and low level, may reveal audio characteristics that prove inspiring to a sound artist.

Though unconstrained, the generative system employed in this and related works [24, 23] has its limitations. Those can be viewed in light of QD experiments with robotic locomotion, where agents (usually) don't invent flight. Similarly, the sound generator employed in our experiments can't invent all possible timbres. Future work could extend these investigations to other generative systems and experimental setups.

The influence of periodic retraining has been varied, depending on the type of model, with autoencoders leading to a higher diversity of sound discoveries when incrementally retrained, while the diversity discovered within behaviour spaces defined by PCA seems not influenced by such periodic re-definition based on the current population of elites. Retraining the models during QD search results in lower coverage and score within their respective behaviour spaces, while results from those configurations show different spatial distribution patterns when remapped to the manually defined search space, with dynamic approaches reaching different corners of the behaviour space despite slightly lower overall coverage, as visualized in Figure 3.

Our findings regarding the limitations of manually defined behaviour spaces provide insight into why different QD approaches suit different sonic applications. Masuda & Saito's success with expert-selected spectral features for sound matching [34] makes sense when the goal is finding diverse ways to approximate known targets. However, our results indicate that such manual definitions can significantly limit diversity in open-ended discovery contexts, where the goal is exploring unknown sonic territories rather than matching familiar ones.

7 Conclusion

We investigated the application of DR techniques to automatically define and dynamically re-configure behaviour spaces for evolutionary sound discovery. The experimental design combined periodic retraining of DR models to adapt behaviour characterisation, a generative system (CPPN-DSP networks) that can evolve both structure and parameters without architectural constraints, and quality evaluation independent of supervised classifiers. This prioritised exploration over exploitation of expert knowledge. Through experimental comparison, we have shown the viability of unsupervised sonic landscape illumination that can overcome limitations of expert-defined search spaces.

The investigation reveals that unsupervised approaches outperform manual behaviour space definition in discovering sonic diversity, despite achieving lower coverage in expert-defined feature spaces. This finding indicates limitations in relying solely on signal processing intuitions for creative exploration and suggests that meaningful sonic diversity may emerge from relationships not captured by conventional audio analysis.

In addition, we explored different quality evaluation approaches. Multiple reference evaluation methods achieved higher diversity compared to single-reference approaches, whilst reference-free evaluation based on audio problem detection provided comparable diversity without selection bias toward specific sonic characteristics. The choice of quality evaluation approach represents

an important factor in balancing exploration breadth with target coherence.

Dynamic behaviour space reconfiguration presents a nuanced trade-off between final performance metrics and evolutionary dynamics. Whilst static approaches achieve superior coverage and fitness scores, dynamic reconfiguration helps maintain exploration potential throughout evolution, reducing the risk of stagnation that can accompany convergence to local optima. These results indicate that considering evolutionary dynamics alongside final metrics may be important for creative applications.

Among DR approaches, PCA provides the most effective balance for sonic applications, though autoencoders offer advantages in producing less auditory noise. The computational simplicity and interpretability of PCA offer practical advantages, whilst the hierarchical representations learned by autoencoders may better capture certain sonic relationships.

These findings indicate that meaningful creative exploration can emerge from computational processes without requiring continuous human aesthetic guidance or domain expertise. The ability to automatically discover sonic distinctions represents a step toward systems capable of more autonomous sonic exploration.

Future investigations could explore integration of novelty archives with dynamic behaviour spaces, application to higher-dimensional unstructured containers, and extension to alternative sound generation architectures. Human perceptual evaluation would strengthen validation of computational diversity measures, while real-time applications could explore the creative potential of these approaches for interactive music systems.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Simulation design, execution, analysis and corresponding software development were performed by Björn Þór Jónsson. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Björn Þór Jónsson with review input and corrections from all authors.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed on Educloud Fox – High Performance Computing cluster, owned by the University of Oslo IT Department. Additional computations were performed on the Norwegian Research and Education Cloud (NREC), using resources provided by the University of Bergen and the University of Oslo. Data storage and analysis was performed on resources provided by Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High-Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway, project 9648K.

Financial disclosure

This work was supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence scheme, project number 262762.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

References

- [1] Fuma Aki, Riku Ikeda, Takumi Saito, Ciaran Regan, and Mizuki Oka. LLM-POET: Evolving Complex Environments using Large Language Models. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and*

- Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, GECCO '24 Companion, pages 243–246, New York, NY, USA, August 2024. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 979-8-4007-0495-6. doi: 10.1145/3638530.3654115. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3638530.3654115>.
- [2] Pablo Alonso-Jiménez, Luis Joglar-Ongay, Xavier Serra, and Dmitry Bogdanov. Automatic Detection of Audio Problems for Quality Control in Digital Music Distribution. *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society*, (10205), March 2019.
 - [3] Dor Bank, Noam Koenigstein, and Raja Giryes. Autoencoders. In Lior Rokach, Oded Maimon, and Erez Shmueli, editors, *Machine Learning for Data Science Handbook*, pages 353–374. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023. ISBN 978-3-031-24627-2 978-3-031-24628-9. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-24628-9_16. URL https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-031-24628-9_16.
 - [4] Dmitry Bogdanov, Nicolas Wack, Emilia Gómez, Sankalp Gulati, Perfecto Herrera, Oscar Mayor, Gerard Roma, Justin Salamon, José R. Zapata, and Xavier Serra. Essentia: An Audio Analysis Library For Music Information Retrieval. November 2013. doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.1415016. URL <https://zenodo.org/record/1415016>. Publisher: Zenodo.
 - [5] Konstantinos Chatzilygeroudis, Antoine Cully, Vassilis Vassiliades, and Jean-Baptiste Mouret. Quality-diversity optimization: a novel branch of stochastic optimization. In *Black box optimization, machine learning, and no-free lunch theorems*, pages 109–135. Springer, 2021.
 - [6] Antoine Cully. Autonomous skill discovery with quality-diversity and unsupervised descriptors. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*, pages 81–89, Prague Czech Republic, July 2019. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-6111-8. doi: 10.1145/3321707.3321804. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3321707.3321804>.
 - [7] Palle Dahlstedt. Creating and Exploring Huge Parameter Spaces: Interactive Evolution as a Tool for Sound Generation. In *Proceedings of the 2001 International Computer Music Conference*, September 2001.
 - [8] Jesse Engel, Cinjon Resnick, Adam Roberts, Sander Dieleman, Douglas Eck, Karen Simonyan, and Mohammad Norouzi. Neural Audio Synthesis of Musical Notes with WaveNet Autoencoders, 2017. _eprint: arXiv:1704.01279.
 - [9] Jesse Engel, Lamtharn (Hanoi) Hantrakul, Chenjie Gu, and Adam Roberts. DDSF: Differentiable Digital Signal Processing. September 2019. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=B1x1ma4tDr>.
 - [10] Manon Flageat and Antoine Cully. Fast and stable MAP-Elites in noisy domains using deep grids. In *Artificial life conference proceedings 32*, pages 273–282. MIT Press One Rogers Street, Cambridge, MA 02142-1209, USA journals-info ..., 2020.
 - [11] Adam Gaier, Alexander Asteroth, and Jean-Baptiste Mouret. Are quality diversity algorithms better at generating stepping stones than objective-based search? In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, pages 115–116, Prague Czech Republic, July 2019. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-6748-6. doi: 10.1145/3319619.3321897. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3319619.3321897>.
 - [12] Daniele Gravina, Ahmed Khalifa, Antonios Liapis, Julian Togelius, and Georgios N. Yannakakis. Procedural Content Generation through Quality Diversity. In *2019 IEEE Conference on Games (CoG)*, pages 1–8, London, United Kingdom, August 2019. IEEE. ISBN

- 978-1-7281-1884-0. doi: 10.1109/CIG.2019.8848053. URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8848053/>.
- [13] Luca Grillotti and Antoine Cully. Unsupervised Behavior Discovery With Quality-Diversity Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 26(6):1539–1552, December 2022. ISSN 1089-778X, 1089-778X, 1941-0026. doi: 10.1109/TEVC.2022.3159855. URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9736995/>.
 - [14] Jinyue Guo, Anna-Maria Christodoulou, Balint Laczko, and Kyrre Glette. LVNS-RAVE: Diversified audio generation with RAVE and Latent Vector Novelty Search. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, GECCO '24 Companion, pages 667–670, New York, NY, USA, August 2024. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 979-8-4007-0495-6. doi: 10.1145/3638530.3654432. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3638530.3654432>.
 - [15] Andrew Horner, James Beauchamp, and Lippold Haken. Machine Tongues XVI: Genetic Algorithms and Their Application to FM Matching Synthesis. *Computer Music Journal*, 17(4):17, 1993. ISSN 01489267. doi: 10.2307/3680541. URL <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3680541?origin=crossref>.
 - [16] Björn Thór Jónsson. synth-is/kromosynth-cli: 2023-11-30, January 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14648998>.
 - [17] Björn Thór Jónsson. synth-is/kromosynth-evaluate: 2023-11-30, January 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14648988>.
 - [18] Björn Thór Jónsson. synth-is/kromosynth-render: 2023-11-30, January 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14649005>.
 - [19] Björn Thór Jónsson. synth-is/kromosynth: 2023-11-30, January 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14648987>.
 - [20] Björn Thór Jónsson. synth-is/phylogenetic-sequencer: 2025-01-15, January 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14649064>.
 - [21] Björn Thór Jónsson, Amy K. Hoover, and Sebastian Risi. Interactively Evolving Compositional Sound Synthesis Networks. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, GECCO '15, pages 321–328, New York, NY, USA, July 2015. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 978-1-4503-3472-3. doi: 10.1145/2739480.2754796. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/2739480.2754796>.
 - [22] Björn Thór Jónsson, Cagri Erdem, Stefano Fasciani, and Kyrre Glette. Quality-Diversity Search in Sound Generation: Investigating Innovation Engines for Audio Exploration. *in review*, 2024. URL https://www.uio.no/ritmo/english/people/phd-fellows/bthj/publications/sie1/quality_diversity_search_in_sound_generation__investigating_innovation_engines_for_audio_exploration.pdf.
 - [23] Björn Thór Jónsson, Cagri Erdem, Stefano Fasciani, and Kyrre Glette. Towards Sound Innovation Engines Using Pattern-Producing Networks and Audio Graphs. In *Artificial Intelligence in Music, Sound, Art and Design: 9th International Conference, EvoMUSART 2024*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer International Publishing, 2024.
 - [24] Björn Thór Jónsson, Cagri Erdem, and Kyrre Glette. A System for Sonic Explorations with Evolutionary Algorithms. *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society*, 72(4), 2024. doi: 10.17743/jaes.2022.0137.

- [25] Björn Thór Jónsson, Cagri Erdem, Stefano Fasciani, and Kyrre Glette. Supporting data for: Exploring Definitions of Quality and Diversity in Sonic Measurement Spaces, 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.18710/GS4RT0>.
- [26] Ahmed Khalifa, Scott Lee, Andy Nealen, and Julian Togelius. Talakat: bullet hell generation through constrained map-elites. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*, pages 1047–1054, Kyoto Japan, July 2018. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-5618-3. doi: 10.1145/3205455.3205470. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3205455.3205470>.
- [27] Joel Lehman and Kenneth O. Stanley. Abandoning Objectives: Evolution Through the Search for Novelty Alone. *Evolutionary Computation*, 19(2):189–223, June 2011. ISSN 1063-6560. doi: 10.1162/EVCO_a_00025. Conference Name: Evolutionary Computation.
- [28] Joel Lehman, Sebastian Risi, and Jeff Clune. Creative Generation of 3D Objects with Deep Learning and Innovation Engines. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Computational Creativity : ICC3 2016*, 7, pages 180–187, Paris, France, June 2016. Sony CSL Paris. ISBN 978-2-7466-9155-1.
- [29] Antonios Liapis, Héctor Pérez Martínez, Julian Togelius, and Georgios N. Yannakakis. Transforming Exploratory Creativity with DeLeNoX. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Computational Creativity*, pages 56–63, United States, 2013. AAAI Press. ISBN 978-1-74210-317-4. URL <http://www.computationalcreativity.net/iccc2013/>.
- [30] Francesco Locatello, Stefan Bauer, Mario Lucic, Gunnar Rätsch, Sylvain Gelly, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Olivier Bachem. Challenging Common Assumptions in the Unsupervised Learning of Disentangled Representations. 2018. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.1811.12359. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12359>. Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 4.
- [31] Matthieu Macret and Philippe Pasquier. Automatic design of sound synthesizers as pure data patches using coevolutionary mixed-typed cartesian genetic programming. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, pages 309–316, Vancouver BC Canada, July 2014. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2662-9. doi: 10.1145/2576768.2598303. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2576768.2598303>.
- [32] Yu A. Malkov and D. A. Yashunin. Efficient and robust approximate nearest neighbor search using Hierarchical Navigable Small World graphs, August 2018. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.09320>. arXiv:1603.09320 [cs].
- [33] Jônatas Manzolli, Adolfo Maia, Jose Fornari, and Furio Damiani. The evolutionary sound synthesis method. In *Proceedings of the ninth ACM international conference on Multimedia*, pages 585–587, Ottawa Canada, October 2001. ACM. ISBN 978-1-58113-394-3. doi: 10.1145/500141.500248. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/500141.500248>.
- [34] Naotake Masuda and Daisuke Saito. Quality-diversity for Synthesizer Sound Matching. *Journal of Information Processing*, 31(0):220–228, 2023. ISSN 1882-6652. doi: 10.2197/ipsjip.31.220. URL https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/ipsjip/31/0/31_220/_article.
- [35] Jon McCormack. Open Problems in Evolutionary Music and Art. In David Hutchison, Takeo Kanade, Josef Kittler, Jon M. Kleinberg, Friedemann Mattern, John C. Mitchell, Moni Naor, Oscar Nierstrasz, C. Pandu Rangan, Bernhard Steffen, Madhu Sudan, Demetri Terzopoulos, Dough Tygar, Moshe Y. Vardi, Gerhard Weikum, Franz Rothlauf, Jürgen Branke, Stefano Cagnoni, David Wolfe Corne, Rolf Drechsler, Yaochu

- Jin, Penousal Machado, Elena Marchiori, Juan Romero, George D. Smith, and Giovanni Squillero, editors, *Applications of Evolutionary Computing*, volume 3449, pages 428–436. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005. ISBN 978-3-540-25396-9 978-3-540-32003-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-32003-6_43. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-32003-6_43. Series Title: Lecture Notes in Computer Science.
- [36] James McDermott, Niall J. L. Griffith, and Michael O’Neill. Evolutionary Computation Applied to Sound Synthesis. In Juan Romero and Penousal Machado, editors, *The Art of Artificial Evolution*, pages 81–101. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008. ISBN 978-3-540-72876-4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-72877-1_4. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-72877-1_4. Series Title: Natural Computing Series.
- [37] Jean-Baptiste Mouret and Jeff Clune. Illuminating search spaces by mapping elites, April 2015. arXiv:1504.04909 [cs, q-bio].
- [38] A. Nguyen, J. Yosinski, and J. Clune. Understanding innovation engines: Automated creativity and improved stochastic optimization via deep learning. *Evolutionary Computation*, 24(3):545–572, September 2016. ISSN 1063-6560. doi: 10.1162/EVCO_a_00189.
- [39] Anh Mai Nguyen, Jason Yosinski, and Jeff Clune. Innovation Engines: Automated Creativity and Improved Stochastic Optimization via Deep Learning. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation, GECCO ’15*, pages 959–966, New York, NY, USA, July 2015. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 978-1-4503-3472-3. doi: 10.1145/2739480.2754703.
- [40] Giuseppe Paolo, Alban Laflaquiere, Alexandre Coninx, and Stephane Doncieux. Unsupervised Learning and Exploration of Reachable Outcome Space. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 2379–2385, Paris, France, May 2020. IEEE. ISBN 978-1-7281-7395-5. doi: 10.1109/ICRA40945.2020.9196819. URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9196819/>.
- [41] Karl Pearson. LIII. *On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space.* *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 2(11):559–572, November 1901. ISSN 1941-5982, 1941-5990. doi: 10.1080/14786440109462720. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14786440109462720>.
- [42] Justin K. Pugh, L. B. Soros, Paul A. Szerlip, and Kenneth O. Stanley. Confronting the Challenge of Quality Diversity. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation, GECCO ’15*, pages 967–974, New York, NY, USA, July 2015. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 978-1-4503-3472-3. doi: 10.1145/2739480.2754664. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2739480.2754664>.
- [43] Justin K. Pugh, Lisa B. Soros, and Kenneth O. Stanley. Quality Diversity: A New Frontier for Evolutionary Computation. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 3, July 2016. ISSN 2296-9144. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2016.00040.
- [44] Gerard Roma, Anna Xambó, Owen Green, and Pierre Alexandre Tremblay. A General Framework for Visualization of Sound Collections in Musical Interfaces. *Applied Sciences*, 11(24):11926, January 2021. ISSN 2076-3417. doi: 10.3390/app112411926. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/11/24/11926>. Number: 24 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- [45] Jimmy Secretan, Nicholas Beato, David B. D’Ambrosio, Adelein Rodriguez, Adam Campbell, Jeremiah T. Folsom-Kovarik, and Kenneth O. Stanley. Picbreeder: a case study in

- collaborative evolutionary exploration of design space. *Evolutionary Computation*, 19(3): 373–403, 2011. ISSN 1530-9304. doi: 10.1162/EVCO_a_00030.
- [46] Kenneth O. Stanley. Compositional pattern producing networks: A novel abstraction of development. *Genetic Programming and Evolvable Machines*, 8(2):131–162, June 2007. ISSN 1389-2576. doi: 10.1007/s10710-007-9028-8. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10710-007-9028-8>.
 - [47] Kenneth O. Stanley and Joel Lehman. *Why Greatness Cannot Be Planned*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-15523-4 978-3-319-15524-1. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-15524-1. URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-15524-1>.
 - [48] Kenneth O. Stanley and Risto Miikkulainen. Evolving Neural Networks through Augmenting Topologies. *Evolutionary Computation*, 10(2):99–127, June 2002. ISSN 1063-6560, 1530-9304. doi: 10.1162/106365602320169811. URL <https://direct.mit.edu/evco/article/10/2/99-127/1123>.
 - [49] H. Takagi. Interactive evolutionary computation: fusion of the capabilities of EC optimization and human evaluation. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 89(9):1275–1296, September 2001. ISSN 1558-2256. doi: 10.1109/5.949485. Conference Name: Proceedings of the IEEE.
 - [50] Kivanç Tatar, Matthieu Macret, and Philippe Pasquier. Automatic Synthesizer Preset Generation with *PresetGen*. *Journal of New Music Research*, 45(2):124–144, April 2016. ISSN 0929-8215, 1744-5027. doi: 10.1080/09298215.2016.1175481. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09298215.2016.1175481>.
 - [51] Vassilis Vassiliades, Konstantinos Chatzilygeroudis, and Jean-Baptiste Mouret. A comparison of illumination algorithms in unbounded spaces. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, pages 1578–1581, Berlin Germany, July 2017. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-4939-0. doi: 10.1145/3067695.3082531. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3067695.3082531>.

A Dataset Coverage in Manually Defined Behaviour Spaces

To support our choice of instantaneous audio features for manually defining behaviour spaces for QD simulations, for comparison with our unsupervised approaches to such definitions, we projected sounds from the NSynth dataset to spaces delineated by several combinations of such features. Plots from such projections, to feature combinations that obtained the highest and lowest coverages, can be seen in Figure 7. The difference between those two extremes shows the effect manual definition of the behaviour space can have, which we strive to free experimental designers from with the unsupervised approaches discussed in this paper.




Figure 7: Sounds from the NSynth dataset projected into behaviour spaces defined by combinations of instantaneous audio features, achieving varying percentages of coverage.