

Adaptive hydrogels with spatiotemporal stiffening using pH-modulating enzymes

Natascha Gray^{1,2}, Zoe Grämiger¹, André R. Studart^{1,2}, and Rafael Libanori^{1,2} *

¹Complex Material, Department of Materials, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

²NCCR Bio-inspired Materials, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Adaptive material systems that autonomously respond to external stimuli are crucial for advancing next-generation smart devices. Biological systems achieve autonomous behavior by utilizing chemical energy from out-of-equilibrium reactions to power life-like functions without requiring external energy inputs. Although responsive hydrogels with embedded enzymatic reactions offer a promising platform for implementing adaptive behavior in synthetic systems, previous studies have focused on controlling the supramolecular self-assembly of responsive building blocks rather than modulating network crosslinking. Here, we demonstrate direct enzymatic modulation of crosslinking density in a double-network hydrogel to achieve autonomous self-stiffening in response to a chemical stimulus. Our adaptive system embeds glucose oxidase within a polyacrylamide-alginate double-network hydrogel containing Ca(EDTA)^{2-} complexes that render the crosslinked alginate network pH-responsive through a competitive calcium binding mechanism. Chemical waves emerging from enzymatic reaction activation propagate at speeds ranging from 15 to 44 $\mu\text{m min}^{-1}$, driving spatiotemporal mechanical transitions that increase material stiffness by up to 2.1-fold. By integrating signal sensing and chemomechanical transduction within this responsive hydrogel, we realized adaptive behavior that autonomously converts localized chemical inputs into system-wide mechanical outputs. This positions our adaptive hydrogels as promising model systems to guide the design of intelligent materials for soft robotics and biomedical devices.

Introduction

Adaptive hydrogels are an emerging class of materials that exhibit complex, life-like functions typically associated with biological systems, including sensing, signal transduction, and communication [1, 2]. Analogous to their biological counterparts, synthetic adaptive systems can achieve autonomous behavior by incorporating temporal feedback regulation mechanisms that harness chemical energy from out-of-equilibrium processes to drive structural transitions in responsive materials. These temporal feedback mechanisms arise from nonlinear reaction kinetics that establish activation and inhibition pathways, enabling the system to autonomously regulate structural transitions in response to changes in the chemical environment. By coupling such temporal feedback loops with the molecular responsiveness of hydrogel networks, autonomous structural transitions can be programmed to exhibit swelling-contracting waves [3], transient and oscillating mechanical behavior [4], mechanical actuation [5], and propagation of chemical signals to induce macroscopic global response [6]. These feedback-regulated systems can also be stabilized in quiescent (semi-dormant) or dormant excitable states from which external stimuli activate autonomous structural transitions throughout the material system [7].

Despite these advances, the development of adaptive systems modulated by temporal feedback loops remains challenging due to the toxicity of their chemical components [8], and the challenging kinetic balance typically involved in multi-component chemical reaction networks [9]. pH-modulating enzymatic reactions offer a promising non-toxic alternative for implementing excitable temporal feedback loops based on a small number of components [10], including the hydrolysis of urea by urease to produce ammonia [11–13] and the oxidation of glucose by glucose oxidase (GOx) to produce gluconic acid [14, 15]. These enzymatic reactions are highly compatible with hydrogel systems and can provide temporal regulation by producing acid-base species that self-modulate enzymatic activity, enabling straightforward implementation of an excitable, quiescent state that can be activated by external stimuli

to generate an output that can be directly coupled to the pH-response of the system [16]. Such output-response coupling has enabled the use of pH-modulating enzymatic reactions as switches to induce programmed structural transitions, including liquid-to-solid [17, 18] and solid-to-liquid [13, 19, 20], temporal evolution of supramolecular structures [21], and shape morphing [22]. Beyond mere temporal regulation, the non-linear kinetics of pH-modulating enzymes also enable spatial modulation via a reaction-diffusion process that generates chemical waves for amplifying and propagating localized chemical signals [16, 23, 24]. While pH-modulating enzymatic reactions provide a promising and powerful platform for programming spatiotemporal structural transitions in host material systems, their implementation in adaptive systems has mostly relied on an auxiliary, non-integrated aqueous phase to carry the enzymatic reaction components. To our knowledge, only one study has reported a fully integrated adaptive material system in which the pH-modulating enzymatic reaction is entirely hosted within the pH-responsive hydrogel network [25].

Spatiotemporal self-stiffening represents a particularly promising adaptive function among those programmable through pH-modulating enzymatic reactions, as it enables global mechanical transitions from local stimuli that are essential for applications in advanced soft robotics and adaptive biomedical devices. This self-stiffening mechanism is widespread in biological systems, such as the defense mechanism exhibited by echinoderms [26] and the controlled growth pattern of plants [27]. In synthetic hydrogel systems, self-stiffening has been implemented through the adaptive formation of a reinforcing interpenetrating network upon a thermal stimulus [28], modulation of physical interactions between adjacent nanofibers in nanocomposites through solvent exchange [29], localized crosslinking based on dynamic transesterification due to temperature [30], or piezoelectrically-induced precipitation of reinforcing particles [31]. However, these systems generally require continuous external inputs and lack an integrated temporal feedback control unit to sense, amplify, and propagate chemical signals to drive a truly autonomous self-stiffening transition. While a recent urease-based system has achieved autonomous self-stiffening through pH-triggered supramolecular network assembly within a pre-existing hydrogel network [25], the underlying design principles governing how chemomechanical transduction is governed via enzymatic reaction-diffusion processes remain poorly understood, particularly for systems where enzymatic activity directly modulates the dynamic crosslinking density of hydrogel networks.

Here, we present an adaptive double network (DN) hydrogel system that achieves an autonomous, system-wide self-stiffening via direct enzymatic modulation of crosslinking density. The adaptive system employs GOx to both detect local chemical signals and generate acidic waves that propagate through the material. This wave propagates through the material, driving the progressive formation of crosslinks between calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) and alginate chains embedded in a polyacrylamide (PAM) matrix, thereby creating a mechanically reinforced DN hydrogel. The ionically crosslinked alginate network is rendered pH-responsive by adding ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), which establishes a competitive equilibrium with alginate chains for binding Ca^{2+} that shifts from CaEDTA to Ca -alginate following a alkaline-to-acidic pH transition. We first investigate the chemomechanical transduction mechanism by characterizing the mechanical response of single and DN hydrogels subjected to spontaneous pH changes induced by GOx. Next, we demonstrate that propagation speed of self-sustained acidic waves activated by local chemical triggers strongly depend on enzymatic reaction kinetics and system's buffering capacity, ranging from 15 to 44 $\mu\text{m min}^{-1}$. Finally, we map the spatiotemporal evolution of the chemomechanical transduction mechanism using a non-destructive mechanical characterization, thereby revealing how reaction-diffusion dynamics within pH-responsive DN hydrogels drive autonomous mechanical transitions.

Results and Discussion

To achieve global mechanical stiffening from a local trigger, our system relies on the orchestrated integration of three key elements: nonlinear kinetics of pH-modulating enzymatic reactions, propagation of chemical signals through reaction-diffusion processes, and pH-responsive crosslinking within the hydrogel matrix (Figure 1). GOx is at the core of this integration, serving as both the engine powering mechanical transitions and the amplifier that propagates chemical signals throughout the adaptive system. GOx catalyzes glucose oxidation to glucono- δ -lactone, which spontaneously hydrolyzes to gluconic acid, thereby decreasing the pH of the reaction medium (Figure 1a). This redox reaction reduces GOx to its inactive form, which must be regenerated to its active, oxidized state by an electron-accepting mediator. While molecular oxygen O_2 typically serves as the natural oxidizing agent for GOx regeneration, it presents several experimental drawbacks such as poor water solubility, difficult control over concentration, and production of inhibitory H_2O_2 [32]. Moreover, H_2O_2 formation in this redox reaction consumes two H^+ per O_2 molecule, reducing the amplification of the autocatalytic H^+ production. These limitations motivate the use of alternative oxidizing agents for more reproducible




Figure 1: Design and mechanism of trigger-activated autonomous mechanical transition in adaptive double network (DN) hydrogels. a) The glucose oxidase (GOx) enzymatic system and its pH-dependent activity profile. Top: GOx catalyzes the oxidation of glucose to produce gluconic acid, decreasing the system's pH. Bottom: GOx's pH-dependent activity profile generates fast, autocatalytic positive feedback, followed by a negative feedback regulation, enabling alkaline-to-acidic pH transition. At high pH, GOx exhibits minimal catalytic activity, maintaining the system in a quiescent (semi-dormant), excitable state that can be triggered by pH reduction prior to the spontaneous activation of GOx autocatalysis. b) Spatiotemporal signal amplification and propagation from localized acidic triggering. Local acidic signal activates GOx, initiating autocatalytic acid production that diffuses to sequentially activate adjacent GOx molecules and generates self-sustained chemical waves. c) Conversion of chemical signals into mechanical transitions (chemomechanical transduction). The polyacrylamide-alginate DN hydrogel undergoes pH-dependent stiffening as EDTA releases calcium ions (Ca²⁺) upon enzymatically-driven alkaline-to-acidic pH transition, enabling progressive increase in crosslinking density of alginate chains. The hydrogel transitions from a soft state (alkaline pH, Ca²⁺ sequestered by EDTA) to a stiff state (acidic pH, Ca-alginate crosslinking).

reaction conditions [33]. Unlike O₂, ferricyanide (Fi) enables precise control over initial conditions and does not consume additional H⁺ when regenerating GOx, thereby enabling higher net H⁺ production rates and more rapid pH transitions. Additionally, the conversion of yellow Fi to colorless ferrocyanide during GOx oxidation enables direct optical monitoring of the enzymatic reaction state.

The pH-dependent activity profile of GOx creates interconnected feedback regulations that enable autonomous pH modulation within the system (Figure 1a). At pH values above the optimum, GOx produces acidic species that increase its activity, creating an autocatalytic positive feedback auto-regulation that amplifies acid production. Conversely, at pH values below the optimum, continued acid production generates a negative feedback auto-regulation in which GOx activity progressively decreases as the out-of-equilibrium reaction approaches steady-state. This non-linear pH-dependent response allows the system to be kinetically trapped into a quiescent excitable state, wherein the autocatalytic positive feedback remains in a semi-dormant state. Under these conditions, external acidic triggers can activate this excitable state by decreasing the pH below a critical threshold, initiating a spontaneous alkaline-to-acidic transition throughout the system.

In addition to exploiting GOx's pH-dependent activity for temporal alkaline-to-acidic transitions, we harness reaction-diffusion dynamics to implement spatial responses in the system. The coupling of GOx's nonlinear reaction and diffusion kinetics generates self-sustained chemical waves in the reaction medium, thereby enabling the implementation of spatially-modulated pH transitions in our adaptive system (Figure 1b). Localized addition of an acidic trigger activates GOx in a limited volume, generating H^+ that diffuse outward and sequentially activate GOx in adjacent regions. This generates a self-sustained chemical wave front that propagates through the entire system, converting a local chemical signal into a global chemical response. Unlike passive diffusion fronts that decay with distance, these wave fronts can propagate at much higher speeds and maintain constant amplitude over centimeter-scale distances [8, 16]. Because their propagation speed is determined by the combination of diffusive transport and nonlinear enzymatic kinetics, spatiotemporal transitions can be modulated by varying GOx concentration, substrate and mediator availability, and the system's buffer capacity [24, 34]. The spatiotemporal pH signal, propagated by the enzymatically-driven chemical wave, enables global mechanical transitions when coupled with responsive hydrogels that exhibit pH-dependent crosslinking density (Figure 1c). We employ a DN hydrogel comprised of permanently crosslinked PAM and reversibly crosslinked calcium-alginate (PAM-CaEDTA-alginate) [35]. The PAM network provides structural integrity, while the Ca-alginate network introduces crosslinking dynamics. Addition of the chelating agent EDTA renders this network pH-responsive by establishing a pH-dependent competitive equilibrium for calcium binding. As pH decreases, progressive protonation of EDTA's carboxylic acid groups ($pK_{a1} = 2.0$; $pK_{a2} = 2.7$; $pK_{a3} = 6.2$; $pK_{a4} = 10.3$ [36]), reduces EDTA's affinity for Ca^{2+} , causing Ca^{2+} ions to preferentially bind to the carboxylate groups of guluronic acid residues in alginate chains [37], thereby increasing crosslinking density. Embedding the GOx enzymatic reaction in the pH-responsive DN hydrogel yields a metastable, semi-dormant, adaptive system capable of sensing, amplifying, and propagating localized chemical signals to induce autonomous global mechanical transitions.




Figure 2: Spontaneous autonomous mechanical transition in pH-responsive alginate induced by glucose oxidase (GOx) autocatalysis. a) Time-sweep rheology with in situ pH monitoring measurements of autonomous temporal mechanical transitions in calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (CaEDTA)-alginate system. Stock solutions containing ferricyanide (Fi) and CaEDTA-alginate are mixed immediately before measurement, enabling monitoring of storage modulus evolution during the GOx-driven pH transition. b) Averaged pH transition and time-sweep curves for Fi concentrations ranging from 7.5 to 112.5 mM at constant CaEDTA and glucose (50 mM and 100 mM, respectively). c) Apparent storage modulus as a function of the final pH. Symbols and colors represent different Fi and CaEDTA concentrations, respectively; color scale on the right side indicates alginate crosslinking state from (a). Shaded areas in (b) represent standard deviation. Error bars in (c) show standard deviation of the values acquired in the final 20 min of measurement.

The alkaline-to-acidic pH transition induced autocatalytically by the embedded GOx can be harnessed to activate the crosslinking mechanism of the pH-responsive CaEDTA-alginate network (Figure 2). Upon spontaneous activation of the GOx enzymatic reaction, Ca^{2+} ions are continuously released from CaEDTA complexes and bind to guluronic acid residues in adjacent alginate chains, progressively increasing the material's storage modulus and inducing a sol-gel transition. To monitor the evolution of this process during the autonomous pH transition, we employ oscillatory rheology with in situ pH measurement (Figure 2, Experimental Section). Time-sweep experiments were performed on samples prepared by mixing two stock solutions immediately before testing (Figure 2a). One solution contains substrate ($[\text{glucose}] = 100 \text{ mM}$) and mediator ($7.5 \text{ mM} \leq [\text{Fi}] \leq 112.5 \text{ mM}$) while the other contains enzyme ($[\text{GOx}] = 100 \text{ U g}^{-1}$), uncrosslinked polymer (2 wt% alginate) and the pH-responsive crosslinking supplier ($[\text{CaEDTA}] = 10 \text{ mM}, 50 \text{ mM}$ and 100 mM). The glucose concentration is maintained well above the Michaelis-Menten constant for GOx-catalyzed glucose oxidation ($K_m = 2.4$ to 18.0 mM) [38–40]), ensuring that the enzymatic rate depends solely on the GOx and Fi concentrations. Additionally, the initial pH was set to 10.0 ± 0.1 to minimize GOx activity and favor CaEDTA complex formation while minimizing the risk for irreversible GOx denaturation and $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ precipitation at more alkaline pH values. These conditions ensured that the CaEDTA-alginate system transitions from uncrosslinked to crosslinked states during the measurement.

Time-sweep measurements were limited to 3 h, providing sufficient time for most samples to approach equilibrium storage modulus (G'_{eq}) and pH values. We defined the apparent storage modulus (G'_{app}) and final pH as the averaged data from the final 20 min of measurement (the shaded region depicted in Figure 2b and summarized in Figure 2c). It should be noted that sample compositions with slow reaction kinetics may not reach equilibrium within the 3-hour rheological measurement window, potentially underestimating their actual G'_{eq} values. Under conditions of excess substrate and constant GOx and CaEDTA concentrations, the final pH and G'_{app} can be tuned by varying the initial Fi concentration (Figure 2b). At constant CaEDTA (50 mM), increasing Fi from 7.5 mM (lightest pink curve in Figure 2b) to 112.5 mM (darkest pink curve) shifts the final pH from 6.0 ± 0.4 to 3.2 ± 0.2 . Because lower pH values favor the crosslinked state of the CaEDTA-alginate network, the G'_{app} increases by five orders of magnitude, from $3.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kPa}$ to $1.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ kPa}$. Additionally, higher Fi concentrations increase both the system's capacity to restore GOx's active state and its regeneration turnover rate, significantly reducing the time required to reach final pH levels from 3 h to 0.5 h across the Fi concentration range explored in this study.

The pH-dependent evolution of G'_{app} reveals three distinct crosslinking states for CaEDTA-alginate systems. The lower plateau at more alkaline pH values corresponds to an uncrosslinked network state (green region indicated on the lateral color bar in Figure 2c), whereas the upper plateau values indicate a crosslinked state (orange region). CaEDTA-alginate systems with G'_{app} values between these plateau states represent a partially crosslinked transition state (yellow region). Since the fraction of Ca^{2+} released from CaEDTA at any given pH remains constant regardless of total CaEDTA concentration, systems with higher CaEDTA concentrations provide a larger absolute amount of free Ca^{2+} . This higher Ca^{2+} availability increases the maximum G'_{app} achievable at a given pH and shifts the crosslinking onset to more alkaline pH values (Figure 2c). Based on this pH-dependent analysis, we estimated that the onset of crosslinking shifts from approximately pH 4.6 to 5.3 to 5.6 as CaEDTA concentration increases from 10 mM (yellow dataset in Figure 2c) to 50 mM (pink dataset) to 100 mM (blue dataset). The pH interval ranging from approximately 3.2–6.0 aligns well with the reported pH interval where Ca^{2+} preferential binding shifts from EDTA to alginate [41].

While this pH-dependent crosslinking mechanism suggests that increasing CaEDTA concentrations should enhance the mechanical transition through increased Ca^{2+} availability, the G'_{app} exhibits divergent trends with CaEDTA concentration depending on initial [Fi] (Figure 2c). At 22.5 mM Fi, the G'_{app} decreases from $1.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kPa}$ to $9.8 \pm 4.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kPa}$ to $3.7 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kPa}$ with increasing CaEDTA concentration from 10 mM to 50 mM to 100 mM (Figure S1 and square symbols in Figure 2c). In contrast, we observed a non-monotonic increase with a maximum in G'_{app} for higher Fi concentrations (30.0 mM, diamond symbols; 112.5 mM, star symbols). This complex behavior arises from the antagonistic dual role of CaEDTA. While serving as the Ca^{2+} source for alginate crosslinking, EDTA acts as a strong H^+ acceptor that buffers pH changes [36]. Because the conditional stability constant (K'_f) of CaEDTA is directly proportional to the fraction of deprotonated EDTA, lower pH values reduce its Ca^{2+} binding affinity, thereby releasing more free Ca^{2+} for crosslinking. Consequently, higher CaEDTA concentrations increase buffering capacity, which limits pH reduction and maintains more Ca^{2+} in the CaEDTA complex, ultimately reducing crosslinking density. These results illustrate the intricate interplay between enzyme kinetics, ion release, and acid-base equilibria in CaEDTA-alginate network formation.

The implementation of an enzymatically driven crosslinking mechanism that dynamically forms a




Figure 3: Spontaneous autonomous mechanical transition in pH-responsive double network (DN) alginate-based hydrogels induced by glucose oxidase (GOx) autocatalysis. a) Experimental protocol for quasi-static tensile testing of polyacrylamide (PAM)-calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (CaEDTA)-alginate DN hydrogels. The PAM network is crosslinked with bisacrylamide (MBA) in the presence of uncrosslinked alginate and CaEDTA, followed by substrate and mediator incorporation via bath immersion. Tensile tests are performed after GOx-driven pH transition (adaptive) or without substrate/mediator (non-adaptive). b) Averaged stress-strain curves for adaptive and non-adaptive samples (50 mM CaEDTA, 22.5 mM ferricyanide, 100 mM glucose, $N = 6$), calculated over the strain interval from 0 to mean strain at rupture. c) Relative increase in apparent modulus and work of fracture of adaptive and non-adaptive samples. Apparent modulus is determined from a linear fit of the 50 to 100 % strain interval; work of fracture is calculated from the area under the stress-strain curves. Shaded areas in (b) represent the standard deviation. Error bars in (c) the standard deviation calculated via statistical error propagation.

reinforcing network in the presence of a permanently crosslinked network enables the design of adaptive DN hydrogels that exhibit self-stiffening behavior (Figure 3). To demonstrate this, we adapted existing protocols for DN hydrogels synthesis to fabricate PAM networks (12.5 wt%) via free-radical photopolymerization in the presence of alginate (2 wt%), GOx (100 U g^{-1}), and CaEDTA (0 to 100 mM) (Figure 3a) [42, 43]. Because the photopolymerization process decreases pH and decomposes Fi, and including glucose during polymerization could initiate premature enzymatic activity, we incorporated the substrate and mediator by diffusion after hydrogel synthesis. First, we immersed the as-synthesized hydrogels for 10 min in a bath containing a solution at $\text{pH } 10.0 \pm 0.1$ with CaEDTA concentration equivalent to the sample composition. Subsequently, substrate and mediator were incorporated by immersing the samples for 10 min in a second CaEDTA solution at a pH of 10.0 ± 0.1 containing glucose and Fi at concentrations of 100 mM and 22.5 mM, respectively. After incorporating the substrate and mediator, the hydrogels were immersed in mineral oil overnight to allow spontaneous autocatalytic pH decrease driven by GOx, thereby inducing Ca-alginate crosslink formation and network stiffening.

The mechanical reinforcement resulting from spontaneous GOx-driven crosslinking was quantified via quasi-static uniaxial tensile testing, comparing stress-strain responses of adaptive hydrogels (orange curve in) to non-adaptive controls (green curve). Samples were rendered non-adaptive by excluding glucose from their formulations and they thus remained at pH 10 until tested. The stress-strain curves reveal that non-adaptive hydrogels exhibit more pronounced nonlinear elastic response between 0 – 50 % applied strain, whereas adaptive hydrogels display a significant enhancement in mechanical response due to enzymatic activity. Remarkably, the strain at rupture is not affected by the spontaneous autonomous mechanical transition. To exclude non-linear behavior from the quantitative analysis, we extracted the apparent modulus by calculating the slope of the stress-strain curve between 50 – 100 % of the applied strain, and the work of fracture by integrating the area under the stress-strain curves. Adaptive

hydrogels containing CaEDTA and Fi at concentrations 50 mM and 22.5 mM, respectively, exhibited a 2.0 ± 0.3 -fold increase in the apparent modulus and a 1.7 ± 0.8 -fold increase in the work of fracture compared to the reference hydrogels. The self-stiffening effect in adaptive hydrogels is also evident when comparing the relative deformation experienced by the reacted and reference samples at the same applied stress (inset images in Figure 3b).

The antagonistic role of CaEDTA in the pH-dependent crosslinking mechanism of the CaEDTA-alginate network is also reflected in the mechanical response of DN hydrogels in quasi-static tensile mode. The variation of relative increase in apparent modulus and work of fracture with increasing CaEDTA concentration clearly demonstrates the interplay between the pH-dependent competitive mechanism for Ca^{2+} binding and the buffering effect of EDTA (Figure 3c). At CaEDTA concentrations below 30 mM, the low buffer capacity does not significantly affect the acid-base and binding equilibria, and CaEDTA serves primarily as a source of Ca^{2+} ions for the crosslinking mechanism. As a result, the relative increase in apparent modulus is directly proportional to the amount of CaEDTA, reaching a maximum value of 2.7 ± 0.7 at 30 mM. Conversely, at concentrations above 30 mM, the high buffering capacity of CaEDTA dominates the acid-base equilibria and dampens the pH-modulating driving force provided by the enzymatic reaction. In this regime, increasing CaEDTA concentration results in a continuous decrease in apparent modulus, reaching values only 1.3 ± 0.2 -fold higher than non-adaptive hydrogels. Notably, the apparent modulus and work of fracture of non-adaptive hydrogels do not exhibit such a strong dependence on CaEDTA concentration (Figure S2), confirming that the observed trends arise from pH-dependent crosslinking rather than direct effects of CaEDTA on the PAM network. Importantly, the mechanical response of the adaptive DN hydrogel measured in quasi-static loading mode is consistent with that of the CaEDTA-alginate single network in oscillatory rheology (dynamic loading mode), suggesting that the PAM network does not significantly impact the pH-responsive crosslinking process of the CaEDTA-alginate network. Surprisingly, the adaptive DN hydrogels reported here show a more modest increase in mechanical performance than previous studies of similar DN hydrogels, which reported up to a 6-fold and 3.5-fold increase in elastic modulus and strain at rupture, respectively [43, 44]. While significant, the mechanical reinforcement achieved in our adaptive DN hydrogels is constrained by limited pH reduction, which affects network development through two mechanisms. First, the competitive binding equilibrium between EDTA and alginate restricts Ca^{2+} availability for intra-network ionic crosslinking. Second, low protonation degree of alginate carboxylate groups weakens both intra-network hydrogen bonds between alginate chains and inter-network hydrogen bonds with PAM. More acidic pH conditions are expected to enhance mechanical performance by simultaneously increasing Ca^{2+} release for ionic crosslinking and promoting carboxylate protonation, thereby strengthening hydrogen bonding interactions. The use of weaker chelating agents, such as ethylenediaminediacetic acid (EDDA), could shift the Ca^{2+} binding equilibrium toward alginate, while incorporating additional autocatalytic H^+ sources could enable more acidic pH conditions and thereby increase the availability of free Ca^{2+} for alginate crosslinking. Overall, this dual-network strategy demonstrates that temporal pH regulation via enzymatic catalysis enables autonomous mechanical transitions, producing adaptive DN hydrogels that self-stiffen through enzymatically-controlled alginate crosslinking.

Beyond inducing spontaneous temporal pH transitions, the chemical energy released by out-of-equilibrium enzymatic reactions can be harnessed to propagate self-sustained chemical waves in double network (DN) hydrogels (PAM-CaEDTA-alginate) (Figures 4 and 5). To demonstrate this potential, we prepared adaptive PAM-CaEDTA-alginate strips measuring $2 \times 5 \times 20 \text{ mm}^3$ using the experimental protocol depicted in Figure 3a. Freshly synthesized samples were immersed in mineral oil to prevent water evaporation and minimize O_2 diffusion, as O_2 can compete with Fi as GOx mediator in certain reaction conditions [45]. Rather than relying on spontaneous GOx autocatalysis to drive the pH transition, a PAM hydrogel equilibrated at pH 1 was brought into contact with one end of the adaptive hydrogel for durations ranging from 1 to 5 min (Figure 4a and Figure 4b at $t = 0 \text{ min}$). This time-limited physical contact transfers H^+ by diffusion from the acidic trigger hydrogel to the alkaline adaptive hydrogel, lowering the local pH and activating the semi-dormant GOx. Following an induction period, ranging from 0.5 min to 20 min (Figure 4c), inversely correlated with contact time the system reaches a new dynamic steady state, primarily governed by GOx enzymatic activity.

We exploited the conversion of yellow Fi into colorless ferrocyanide caused by GOx regeneration to optically monitor the spatiotemporal enzymatic reaction coordinate (Figure S3, Experimental Section). Time-lapsed RGB images captured by a conventional reflex camera were analyzed using a MATLAB script that extracts the blue channel intensity and converts it into grayscale. The reaction front position was approximated as the inflection point of the grayscale intensity profile along the sample's longitudinal axis (Figure S3). Our results reveal the emergence of a reaction front whose position scales linearly with time until spontaneous activation of GOx autocatalysis ahead of the propagating front ($t_{\text{autocatalysis}} = 270 \text{ min}$ in Figure 4c), which induces a global color transition of the sample. The time-




Figure 4: Propagation of self-sustained chemical waves in double network (DN) hydrogels. a) Experimental protocol for initiating chemical wave propagation in adaptive DN hydrogels. A local chemical signal is delivered by contacting the DN hydrogel with a polyacrylamide hydrogel pre-equilibrated at pH 1 for at least 24 h. b) Representative blue channel images showing duplicate samples at three time points. The trigger hydrogel is false-colored orange ($t = 0$ min) and defines the triggered region (dashed line), with the propagating chemical wave front marked by a solid line. Sample compositions: glucose oxidase (GOx, 250 U g^{-1}), ferricyanide (Fi, 22.5 mM), calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (CaEDTA, 50 mM), polyacrylamide (12.5 wt\%) and alginate (2 wt\%). Scale bar: 5 mm . c) Representative kymograph showing the spatiotemporal progression of the self-sustained chemical wave in the adaptive DN hydrogel. d) Temporal evolution of wave front positions in four adaptive DN hydrogel samples from the same batch, presented in shades of blue. For comparison, the front position of a purely diffusive system (yellow) is shown, determined by Alizarin Red S color change upon 1-minute contact with a hydrogel at pH 1. Sample compositions: adaptive system contained GOx (350 U g^{-1}), Fi (7.5 mM), glucose (100 mM), and CaEDTA (50 mM); diffusive system contained CaEDTA (50 mM), both contained polyacrylamide (12.5 wt\%) and alginate (2 wt\%). The trigger hydrogel was removed at $t = 0$ min for all experiments.

to-autocatalysis is defined as the inflection point of the temporal grayscale intensity variation of samples that underwent spontaneous GOx autocatalysis (Figure S3). In contrast to purely diffusive fronts, PAM-CaEDTA-alginate hydrogels containing GOx (350 U g^{-1}), Fi (7.5 mM), and CaEDTA (50 mM) exhibited chemical fronts propagating at a constant speed of $30 \pm 2 \text{ mm min}^{-1}$ between $t = 0$ min and $t = 120$ min (Figure 4d) throughout the PAM-CaEDTA-alginate hydrogel network. Despite its multi-component composition, the adaptive DN system exhibited high reproducibility, with a maximum coefficient of variability of 0.11 across both intra- and inter-batch measurements (Figures 4d and S4). These self-sustained chemical fronts arise from the reaction-diffusion mechanism governed by the non-linear reaction and diffusion kinetics of the enzymatic system [24]. Self-sustained propagation is further corroborated by experiments where propagation speed remains largely unaffected when trigger time varies from 0.16 to 15 min (Figure S5a). In contrast, the front position in purely diffusive systems strongly depends on trigger time (Figure S5b). Quantification of apparent H^+ diffusion coefficient from purely diffusive propagation fronts reveals that increasing trigger time from 0.16 to 15 min also increases the apparent H^+ diffusion coefficient from $1.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $8.8 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure S5b). Note that the absolute numbers depend on the choice of indicator, these numbers are therefore only accurate in a relative sense. Self-sustained wave propagation eventually terminates (Figure 4c) because the semi-dormant GOx undergoes homogeneous autocatalytic activation ahead of the wave front, thereby limiting the maximum propagation distance. Extending wave propagation distance requires establishing a deeper dormant enzyme state through more extreme alkaline initial pH conditions that suppress spontaneous GOx autocatalysis. However, we limited the initial pH value to 10.0 ± 0.1 to improve system reproducibility and stability, as highly alkaline conditions favors Fi instability and irreversible GOx deactivation. Alternatively, strategies that do not rely solely on kinetic balancing of initial conditions, such as incorporating an extrinsic enzymatic regulatory mechanism, could establish a truly excitable dormant state through active regulation rather than extreme initial conditions. Such approaches could enable higher initial substrate concentrations, potentially allowing the enzymatic system to overcome buffering effects, suppress spontaneous nucleation, achieve faster propagation speeds, and extend propagation range while improving overall system stability.




Figure 5: Chemical wave propagation kinetics in adaptive double network (DN) hydrogels. a) Temporal evolution of wavefront positions in adaptive hydrogels containing varying glucose oxidase (GOx) concentrations (250, 300, 350, and 400 U g^{-1}) at fixed ferricyanide (Fi, 7.5 mM) and calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (CaEDTA, 50 mM). Data points represent mean values whereas shaded regions indicate the standard deviation ($N = 4$). b) Wave propagation speed versus GOx concentration for two Fi concentrations (7.5 mM and 22.5 mM), at constant CaEDTA (50 mM). Solid and open circles indicate self-sustained and damped wave propagations, respectively. c) Correlation between propagation speed and time to spontaneous autocatalysis (time-to-autocatalysis) for the dataset in (b). d) Propagation speed versus CaEDTA concentration at fixed Fi (22.5 mM) and GOx (100 U g^{-1}). Inset shows propagation speed versus time-to-autocatalysis. Dashed lines in (b–d) represent power law fits ($v \propto x^p$) with exponents indicated in the legends. All adaptive DN hydrogels contained a fixed glucose (100 mM), polyacrylamide (12.5 wt%) and alginate (2 wt%) concentrations.

The propagation speed of chemical waves across the adaptive DN hydrogel is modulated by the enzymatic H^+ production rate and the system's buffering capacity (Figure 5). The H^+ production rate is controlled by GOx and Fi concentrations at constant glucose concentration (100 mM), while the system's buffering capacity is dominated by the CaEDTA concentration. Propagation speeds were determined from linear regression of wavefront positions versus time for at least four independent intra-batch measurements per composition (solid dark lines in Figure 5a). The results reveal that increasing GOx concentration causes propagation speed to rise asymptotically (Figure 5b), reaching a maximum value of $44 \pm 1 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ within the design space explored in this study. The observed propagation speeds of $15 \pm 3 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ to $44 \pm 1 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ were substantially lower than those reported for other hydrogel systems, ranging from 100 to 2000 $\mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ [24, 25]. However, reference measurements in single-network hydrogels composed of PAM alone yielded propagation speeds on the order of 1200 $\mu\text{m min}^{-1}$, which aligns well with previously reported values for similar networks containing GOx enzymatic reactions mediated by Fi (Figure S6a) [24] and validates our experimental approach. The markedly reduced propagation speeds in our DN hydrogel system likely arise from the combination of the strong buffering capacity of EDTA and the presence of entangled alginate chains within the PAM network, which not only provides additional buffering, but also reduces the diffusivity of protons and counterions in the

medium by decreasing the effective mesh size and increasing the network tortuosity (Figure S6b).

To obtain quantitative insights on the effects of the enzymatic H^+ production rate and system's buffering capacity on the chemical wave propagation speed, we applied a theoretical model describing the propagation kinetics of chemical waves generated by autocatalytic H^+ production in monoprotic buffered systems [34]. This model (Equation 1) predicts that propagation speed v scales with autocatalytic rate (k), initial substrate concentration (s), and buffer concentration (c_b):

$$v \propto \sqrt{ksD_h}/(1 + c_bK_b) \quad (1)$$

where D_h is the effective H^+ diffusion coefficient, and K_b is the buffer equilibrium constant. Assuming that under constant concentrations of glucose and Fi, k is proportional to GOx concentration, and c_b positively correlates to CaEDTA concentration, the model predicts a square-root dependence (exponent $p = 0.5$) on GOx concentration and inverse scaling ($p = -1$) with the CaEDTA concentration. We tested these predictions by fitting experimental data with power law functions: $v = a \cdot c_{GOx}^p$ for GOx-dependent propagation speed and $v = a \cdot (1 + n \cdot c_{CaEDTA})^p$ for CaEDTA-dependent propagation speed, where a , n and p are fitting parameters. The experimental scaling exponents obtained from our datasets are 0.56 and 0.66 for Fi concentrations of 7.5 and 22.5 mM, respectively (Figure 5b), in reasonable agreement with that predicted by the model ($p = 0.5$). This power law relationship confirms that propagation speed is positively correlated with local H^+ production rate, which is constrained by GOx turnover under mediator-limited conditions. The scaling exponent increases from 0.56 to 0.66 with a 3-fold increase in Fi concentration from 7.5 mM to 22.5 mM, demonstrating that higher mediator concentrations enhance the sensitivity of propagation speed to GOx concentration. At the lower Fi concentration of 7.5 mM, the maximum propagation speed is limited to only $28 \pm 3 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$, representing a $\sim 35\%$ reduction compared to the higher Fi condition (22.5 mM) (Figure 5b).

The eventual spontaneous activation of GOx autocatalysis imposes a temporal constraint on propagation dynamics, limiting the maximum distance chemical waves can travel within the adaptive DN hydrogel (Figure 5a,c). Because both the propagation speed and time required for spontaneous autocatalysis activation are regulated by local H^+ production rate, increasing GOx concentration simultaneously accelerates wave propagation and shortens autocatalysis activation time (Figures 5b,c and S7). The higher absolute scaling exponents obtained from power law fitting of time-to-autocatalysis ($p = -0.96$ and -1.08 in Figure S7b) compared to propagation speed ($p = 0.56$ and 0.66 in Figure 5b) suggests that GOx concentration exerts a stronger impact on autocatalysis activation than on wave propagation speed. This asymmetric scaling relationship establishes an inverse correlation between propagation speed and time-to-autocatalysis, with the difference in scaling exponents ($p_{\text{time-to-autocatalysis}} - p_{\text{propagation speed}} \cong -0.5$) indicating that autocatalysis activation is approximately twice as sensitive to GOx concentration than propagation speed (Figure 5c). Because the maximum propagation distance is proportional to time-to-autocatalysis, faster waves are more spatially confined due to rapid autocatalytic activation compared to slower waves, which can propagate longer distances. For instance, wavefronts propagating at $28 \pm 3 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ cover distances of only $1.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ (purple dataset in Figure 5a), whereas slower waves propagating at $19 \pm 4 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ extend the maximum propagation distance by 100% ($3.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ mm}$, yellow dataset). Thus, maximizing wave propagation distance requires balancing two opposing constraints. At low GOx concentrations, self-sustained propagation fails because diffusive and buffering losses exceed the local H^+ production rate. According to our experiments, the critical GOx concentration required to generate a self-sustained wave propagation lies between 50–100 U g^{-1} (Figure 5b). In contrast, at high GOx concentrations, rapid spontaneous autocatalytic activation limits propagation time, confining waves to shorter distances despite faster speeds. Therefore, intermediate GOx concentrations establish a kinetic regime in which only propagation speed or propagation distance can be maximized individually, yielding slow and long-lasting chemical waves at GOx concentrations near the critical lower limit, or fast and short-lived chemical waves at high GOx concentrations.

While GOx and Fi modulate local H^+ production rate to promote propagation of self-sustained chemical waves, CaEDTA exerts an antagonistic effect through buffering, also consistent with theoretical model predictions [34]. Compared to CaEDTA-free systems where propagation speed reaches $63 \pm 4 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$, the introduction of 16 to 100 mM CaEDTA reduces the propagation speed to only $14 \pm 1 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ (Figure 5d). Power-law fitting of propagation speed versus CaEDTA concentration ($v = a \cdot (1 + n \cdot c_{CaEDTA})^p$) yields a scaling exponent of $p = -1.21$, which is comparable to that predicted by the model and reflects the strong buffering capacity that EDTA exerts on the adaptive DN hydrogel. The reasonable agreement between model predictions and experimental observations demonstrates that the theoretical framework captures the essential physicochemical mechanisms governing wave propagation, despite several simplifying assumptions. Most notably, the model assumes pH-independent autocatalytic kinetics, suggesting that GOx's pH-dependent activity does not significantly

affect wave propagation dynamics in our system. This approximation proves valid because autocatalytic front speed is determined by reaction conditions at the leading edge rather than the trailing edge [46]. While pH gradients behind the wavefront are steep and affect GOx activity significantly, H^+ concentration gradients at the leading edge remain shallow, resulting in minimal variation in GOx activity across this region. Thus, the effective GOx autocatalytic rate governing wave propagation remains relatively constant across the pH conditions present at the leading edge, validating the pH-independent approximation. Additionally, while CaEDTA exhibits polyprotic acid-base equilibria, the model's monoprotic buffer approximation appears adequate for predicting the overall buffering effect on wave speed. These results validate the use of simplified reaction-diffusion frameworks for understanding enzymatic wave propagation in adaptive DN hydrogels. The integration of tunable spatiotemporal wave propagation dynamics (Figures 4 and 5) with programmable mechanical self-stiffening (Figures 2 and 3) demonstrates the potential of using these adaptive DN hydrogels as a model platform for designing adaptive materials that sense localized chemical cues, amplify and propagate these signals through responsive networks, and execute spatially programmed soft-to-stiff mechanical transitions.




Figure 6: Spatiotemporal mechanical wave propagation in adaptive double network (DN) hydrogels. a) Experimental protocol for spatiotemporally resolved macroindentation measurements. Shear modulus values, G , are determined by repeated indentation along the longitudinal axis at regular intervals following baseline characterization. b) Representative spatially resolved force-indentation depth curves obtained at $t = 70$ min. G was calculated by Hertzian contact mechanics. Evolution of spatially resolved G over time for adaptive (c) and non-adaptive (d) DN hydrogels. The mechanical wavefront position is tracked by extracting the inflection points of sigmoidal curves fitted for each temporal profile (continuous lines). Dashed horizontal lines indicate the average G baseline measured before mechanical wave activation. Temporal evolution of the mechanical wavefront position in adaptive (e) and non-adaptive (f) DN hydrogels. The mechanical wave propagation speed is determined from the pre-autocatalysis phase (gray region in e), where self-sustained chemical waves were observed to propagate with constant speed. The adaptive DN hydrogel system (c,e) contains glucose oxidase (100 U g^{-1}), ferri-cyanide (22.5 mM), glucose (100 mM), and calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (30 mM), whereas the non-adaptive DN hydrogel system (d,f) lacks all enzymatic reaction components, both systems contain polyacrylamide (12.5 wt\%) and alginate (2 wt\%).

The mechanical wave propagates along the hydrogel longitudinal axis following chemical wave activation, producing distinct spatiotemporal patterns in self-stiffening response. We quantified these spatiotemporal mechanical transitions through non-destructive indentation measurements along the longitudinal axis of adaptive and non-adaptive DN hydrogel samples (Figure 6a). Adaptive hydrogels contained GOx, Fi, and glucose to enable enzymatically driven pH transitions, while non-adaptive reference systems consisted of the DN hydrogel matrix alone. Following the preparation and triggering protocols described previously (Figure 3a and Figure 4a), samples were subjected to consecutive spa-

tially resolved indentation measurements along their longitudinal axis using a 1.5 mm radius probe at time intervals ranging from 20 min to overnight (Figure 6b). Initial mechanical states (baseline) were quantified by performing spatially resolved indentations on each sample before chemical wave activation. Each spatiotemporal measurement yielded a force-indentation depth curve from which the shear modulus (G) was calculated using Hertzian contact mechanics and corrected for sample thickness effects (Experimental Section) [47].

The spatial G profiles acquired at each time interval after triggering the chemical wave display characteristic sigmoidal shapes that evolve over time (Figure 6c). These G profiles exhibit a maximum plateau in the triggered region due to H^+ diffusion from the acidic trigger hydrogel (shaded region in Figure 6c). In the proximal (triggered) region, the G achieves 7.0 kPa (interquartile range (IQR) 5.8 – 7.6 kPa), representing a 1.3-fold and 3.3-fold increase over the non-adaptive system (5.3 kPa, IQR 4.50 – 5.6 kPa) and the average baseline (2.1 kPa, IQR 1.8 – 2.3 kPa), respectively (Figure S8). Moving toward the distal region, the G profiles gradually transition to a minimum plateau value of 4.5 kPa (IQR 3.2 – 5.0 kPa), representing a 2.1-fold increase compared to the average baseline. This mechanical reinforcement level matches the G of 4.5 kPa (IQR 3.8 – 5.6 kPa) presented by equilibrated non-triggered adaptive samples after spontaneous GOx autocatalysis (Figures S8 and S9), suggesting that enzymatic activity alone determine the mechanical reinforcement in the distal region.

Surprisingly, the distal region in triggered non-adaptive hydrogels exhibits an unexpected self-stiffening with G being 57 % above baseline values, yet remains 27 % lower than the distal self-stiffening induced by spontaneous GOx autocatalysis (triggered non-adaptive references, Figures 6d, S8 and S9). Although these samples were exposed to the acidic triggering hydrogel, this unexpected stiffening in distal regions cannot be attributed to H^+ diffusion from the proximal region, as H^+ transport in DN hydrogel networks is too slow to induce mechanical changes at these timescales. Such slow H^+ transport kinetics is confirmed by preliminary diffusion experiments in single- and double-network hydrogels. Adaptive DN hydrogels exhibit persistent pH gradients even ~ 1 d after being triggered by the acidic hydrogel (Figure S10a). In addition to graded pH profiles, non-adaptive samples also exhibited detectable persistent mechanical gradients for at least 6 days after removal of the acidic triggering hydrogel (Figure S10b). Compared to a single-network hydrogel (PAM), incorporation of the CaEDTA-alginate dynamic system to render a pH-responsive DN hydrogel results in a reduction of the apparent H^+ diffusion coefficient ($D_{app}^{H^+}$) by more than one order of magnitude (Figure S6b). Such strong reduction arises primarily from the increased network tortuosity present in DN hydrogels, with the EDTA buffering capacity contributing to a lesser degree due to trapping of H^+ in the bound state (Figure S6b) [48]. Since the slow H^+ cannot account for the unexpected self-stiffening in the reference samples, we hypothesize that such an increase in G in the distal region of reference samples may be caused by improved sample-substrate bedding caused by repeated indentations and/or by strain-induced network rearrangements and water migration, which are facilitated by the dynamic nature of CaEDTA-alginate crosslinks [49, 50]. This hypothesis is corroborated by the observation that non-adaptive DN hydrogels which are not placed in contact with the acidic triggering hydrogel also present a significant self-stiffening, achieving a 1.75-fold increase in G over a period of ~ 4 h being subjected to repeated indentation measurements (Figure S9b).

In addition to hosting the enzymatic reaction, the DN hydrogel functions as a chemomechanical transducer, converting the self-sustained chemical waves into spatiotemporal soft-to-stiff mechanical transitions. To quantify this chemomechanical coupling, we tracked the mechanical wavefront position over time by determining the inflection point of the G profiles during the quiescent period between trigger removal and spontaneous GOx autocatalytic activation (time-to-autocatalysis in Figure 5c). In adaptive systems, the mechanical wavefront advances significantly with a propagation speed of $4 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ (IQR 3 to $7 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$), whereas it remains stationary in non-adaptive reference systems (Figures 6e-f and S11c). Remarkably, the mechanical wavefront propagates approximately 5 times slower than the speed of the chemical wave determined by optical tracking (Figures S11 and 5), suggesting that chemomechanical transduction leads to a substantial kinetic lag in the propagation of mechanical waves. Such kinetic lag is likely caused by the temporal offset between the chemical transition and the delayed structural response that is often observed in other autonomous structural transitions [16]. Specifically, the delayed mechanical response of these adaptive DN hydrogels is caused by the multiple-step crosslinking mechanism inherent to the dynamic CaEDTA-alginate network. Following enzymatic-induced acidification, Ca^{2+} must be released from CaEDTA, diffuse to alginate chains to promote ionic crosslinking, and accumulate to reach levels that can be detectable by indentation. Additionally, spatial averaging by the macroscopic indentation probe (radius 1.5 mm) across the sharp transition zone may also broaden the apparent stiffening front, resulting in an even more delayed apparent mechanical response.

The demonstrated integration of out-of-equilibrium enzymatic reactions with pH-responsive crosslinking dynamics establishes a versatile platform for programmable chemomechanical transduction in soft

materials. This integrated platform enables autonomous sensing of localized chemical cues, signal amplification through autocatalytic feedback regulation, spatial communication via self-sustained chemical wave propagation, and spatiotemporally programmable mechanical transitions. Future work could extend this adaptive platform by incorporating enzymatic cascade reactions to create more complex signal-processing networks, implementing signal transduction strategies to detect other types of external stimuli, integrating extrinsic regulatory mechanisms to stabilize excitable states and extend wave-propagation distances, or coupling chemical and mechanical transitions to other functional outputs such as controlled release of small molecules, autonomous self-healing, or adaptive mechanical actuation. The reversible nature of CaEDTA-alginate crosslinks further enables the integration of reversible autonomous mechanical transitions, allowing adaptive systems to be reset and re-triggered multiple times provided chemical fuel is continuously supplied and waste removed. Overall, this work demonstrates how reaction-diffusion dynamics and reversible crosslinking chemistry can be orchestrated to create hydrogel-based materials with life-like capabilities, providing insights into relevant design principles for advancing truly intelligent synthetic systems capable of autonomous and programmable structural transitions.

Conclusions

We have developed adaptive hydrogels capable of autonomous spatiotemporal mechanical transitions by integrating a pH-modulating enzyme, glucose oxidase (GOx), into a pH-responsive polyacrylamide-alginate double network (DN) hydrogel. This autonomous material system harnesses chemical energy from out-of-equilibrium enzymatic reactions to drive programmable spatiotemporal soft-to-stiff transitions through localized chemical signal sensing, amplification and propagation. The enzymatic reaction-diffusion process generates self-sustained chemical waves with propagation speeds tunable between $15 - 44 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ through systematic control of enzymatic kinetics and system's buffering capacity, following predictable power-law scaling relationships with GOx and ferricyanide concentrations, and inverse scaling with CaEDTA concentration. Crucially, the adaptive system achieves chemomechanical transduction by converting propagating chemical signals into traveling mechanical stiffening fronts. This transduction occurs through a multi-step cascade, consisting of enzymatically-induced pH decrease, pH-responsive Ca^{2+} release from CaEDTA complexes, Ca^{2+} diffusion to alginate chains, and progressive increase of reversible crosslinking bonds. The mechanical front propagates at approximately $4 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$, which is 5 times slower than the chemical wave, revealing the slower kinetic timescales of Ca^{2+} -mediated crosslinking that govern the transduction process. This chemomechanical coupling drives autonomous material stiffening, increasing the shear modulus by up to 2.1-fold (from 2.1 to 4.5 kPa) and establishing mechanical gradients that persist for days due to slowed H^+ diffusion within the strongly buffered DN hydrogel. By integrating chemical sensing, autocatalytic signal amplification, wave-mediated signal transmission, and chemomechanical transduction within a single programmable material system, this work establishes design principles for the next generation of autonomous, adaptive materials with potential applications in adaptive soft robotics, autonomous sensing platforms, and intelligent biomedical devices.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Kirill Feldmann for introducing the pH rheology setup and measurement, Dr. Hanspeter Schmid for help with the automation of linear fitting to measure the propagation speed, Nicolas Wyler for his support in the optical tracking experiments, Dr. Robert Style for help with the indentation measurement and analysis and Christian Furrer for producing the in-situ rheology setup and the cooling plate for the UV curing chamber. This work was financially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through the NCCR Bio-inspired Materials (51NF40-205603).

Author Contributions

R.L. and N.G. conceptualized the research project. R.L. and N.G. designed the experiments. N.G. and Z.G. carried out the experiments: N.G. performed rheology, tensile testing, and indentation, with Z.G. providing support in developing the indentation protocol; N.G. and Z.G. optically tracked wave propagation kinetics. N.G. analyzed all experimental data. N.G., A.R.S., and R.L. wrote the manuscript and prepared the figures. N.G., Z.G., A.R.S., and R.L. discussed the results and contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflc of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Experimental Section

Materials

All chemicals were used as delivered and stored as indicated in the safety data sheets. The numbers in parentheses indicate the product codes and batch numbers. Potassium ferricyanide (Fi, 196785000, A0222431) was purchased from Acros Organics. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, 0.5 M solution at pH 8, BP2482-1) and bis-acrylamide (MBA, 2 w/v% solution, BP1404, 164579) were purchased from Fisher Bioreagents. 2-Hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone (HMPP, 405655, MKCJ0031) was purchased from Aldrich. Acrylamide (AM, A8887) was purchased from Sigma. D-(+)-Glucose (glucose, G7528), alginic acid sodium salt (alginate, 180947, MKCS7881), glucose oxidase (GOx Type VII, G2133, batches BCCB5681 and BCCD5059), sodium hydroxide (NaOH pellets, 71690) and mineral oil (330779) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Calcium chloride dihydrate (CaCl_2 , 22317.260) and hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37 %, 20252.290) were purchased from VWR Chemicals. Deionized water was used throughout this study unless otherwise mentioned.

Analysis was performed using the commercial software MATLAB2023B, unless otherwise stated.

Stock solutions

Three stock solutions were prepared for all experiments. First, a $\text{Ca}[\text{EDTA}]^{2-}$ (CaEDTA) stock solution was prepared by adding a stoichiometric amount of CaCl_2 to a 0.5 M EDTA solution. The pH was then adjusted to 10.0 ± 0.5 with NaOH to prevent immediate crosslinking of alginate upon mixing. Second, an alginate stock solution was prepared by dissolving 4 mg of alginic acid sodium salt powder per 1 mL of water while stirring and stored at 4 °C after complete dissolution. Third, an AM stock solution of 50 wt% in water was prepared and stored at room temperature.

Rheology

Rheological characterization with in situ pH measurement was conducted to determine the influence of pH on the enzymatically driven gelation of alginate alone (Figure 2). In summary, the rheometer (MCR 302, AntonPaar) was equipped with the standard upper part of a Couette geometry (CC27 Cat. No. 3912, AntonPaar). The bottom cap of the measuring cup was replaced with a custom-made part containing a hole to accommodate a flat-end pH electrode (662-1763, VWR). A custom-made base plate was used to hold the measuring cup. The temperature was not actively controlled as this modification prevented the use of the standard Peltier temperature control unit. Since the rheometer requires a Peltier device to function, it was still connected to the equipment but set aside. Measurements were controlled using the instrument's software (RheoCompass, AntonPaar) in oscillatory mode with a frequency of 1 Hz and a strain of 2 % for at least 3 h. Rheological and pH data points were collected independently every 30 s and manually synchronized during data processing. The pH data were acquired using a pH meter (pH 1100 L, VWR) and transmitted to a spreadsheet using a dedicated software plug-in (MultiLab Importer Software, Xylem Analytics). For each measurement, two stock solutions with pH adjusted to (10.0 ± 0.1) using NaOH were prepared in excess and mixed immediately before measurement in the rheometer's measuring cup to a total volume of 8.4 mL at the ratio required to achieve the final concentrations. All concentrations reported are for the final mixed solutions. The first solution contained varying concentrations of CaEDTA, 100 U mL^{-1} GOx, and 2 wt% alginate. The second solution contained 100 mM glucose and varying concentrations of Fi. The average data presented in Figure 2b were calculated from at least two measurements. In Figure 2c, the storage modulus and pH values of equivalent samples were averaged from the last 20 min of the measurements.

Preparation of Double Network Hydrogels

To investigate homogeneous enzymatically driven self-stiffening (Figure 3), propagation of chemical waves (Figures 4, 5), and chemomechanical transduction (Figure 6), we prepared two types of double network (DN) hydrogels, namely adaptive and non-adaptive. The adaptive DN hydrogels comprised a permanent polyacrylamide (PAM)-based covalent network, a dynamic and pH-responsive CaEDTA-alginate network, and the enzymatic reaction components (GOx, Fi, and glucose) to drive spatiotemporal pH transitions. Non-adaptive DN hydrogels were formulated identically but without the enzymatic

mediator (Fi), and substrate (glucose), unless otherwise stated. Throughout this study, we fixed the PAM:CaEDTA-alginate weight ratio at 85:15. The preparation of DN hydrogels followed an adapted protocol based on previously reported DN hydrogel systems [42, 43]. In general, the PAM network was polymerized in the presence of CaEDTA, alginate, and GOx, followed by pH adjustment and incorporation of glucose and Fi through bath soaking (Figure 3d). The polymerization molds were 3D printed from polylactic acid (PLA, various sources) using a commercial 3D printer (i3 MK3S, Prusa). To ensure easy demolding, the mold surfaces were sprayed with a release agent (Smooth-On, Universal Mold Release) and then covered with talcum powder (Puder, Penaten Baby). Excess talcum powder was subsequently removed by brushing and blowing with compressed air. For quasi-static tensile tests, the mold was designed as a slab large enough to fit at least six dogbone-shaped samples with a gauge section measuring $21 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$. For chemical and mechanochemical wave propagation, the mold was designed as strips measuring $21 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$. In a typical fabrication, the precursor solution was prepared by mixing 12.5 wt% AM, 2 wt% alginate and the appropriate amount of CaEDTA in an aqueous solution. To crosslink AM, 0.4 wt% MBA and 3.4 wt% HMPP were added, with both weight percentages calculated with respect to the AM content. To improve GOx solubility in adaptive DN hydrogels, the enzyme was dissolved in the precursor mixture at the specified concentration before the addition of AM. Next, the precursor solution was pipetted into the molds, which were then placed on a water-cooled metal plate inside a UV chamber. The chamber was flushed with N_2 for 5 min, after which the precursor solution was irradiated with a UV source equipped with 365 nm LED lamp at 15 % intensity (DELOLUX 20 A4 equipped with a DELOLUX pilot AxT controller, Delo). The measured intensity at 365 nm was 25 mW cm^{-2} (measured with DELOLUXcontrol, Delo). After polymerization, the hydrogels were demolded and soaked consecutively in two liquid baths for 10 min each. The first bath contained equimolar concentrations of CaEDTA (relative to the precursor solution) at $\text{pH } 10.0 \pm 0.1$, while the second contained the same solution supplemented by 100 mM glucose and the appropriate Fi concentration. Finally, the hydrogels were removed from the second bath and stored in mineral oil. The acidic hydrogels used to trigger the propagation of chemical waves were comprised of PAM alone (12.5 wt%) and polymerized under the same conditions described above. After polymerization, trigger hydrogels were stored in an HCl solution adjusted to pH 1.

Tensile Tests

Quasi-static uniaxial tensile testing was performed on adaptive and non-adaptive DN hydrogels after being stored in mineral oil overnight to allow for any spontaneous autocatalytic self-stiffening (Figure 3). Dogbone-shaped samples were punched from the hydrogel slab (shape ISO 37, Type 4; punch from Wallace Instruments) and re-immersed in mineral oil until tested. Tensile testing was performed on a universal mechanical testing machine (Z2.5, ZwickRoell) at a crosshead speed of 100 mm min^{-1} . A 10 N load cell (Xforce HP, ZwickRoell) was used, and the samples were secured with screw grips (Screw Grips 8033, ZwickRoell). The initial grip-to-grip separation was maintained constant for all measurements, ensuring that the samples were unloaded at the start. Continuous mechanical deformation was applied until the sample fractured. The strain values were corrected by identifying the point at which the stress began to increase sharply. The mechanical properties were calculated for each sample individually and then averaged for each condition tested ($N = 6 \text{ or } 7$). The apparent modulus and work of fracture were calculated from a linear fit between 50 to 100 % strain and from the area under the curve. Error propagation was used to calculate the standard deviation of the relative increase in mechanical properties from the non-adaptive and adaptive DN hydrogel samples.

Optical Tracking of Propagating Chemical Waves

Optical tracking was used to monitor the propagation of the chemical wave fronts in triggered adaptive DN hydrogel samples (Figures 4 and 5). The samples were placed in a glass-bottomed mineral oil bath and imaged at regular intervals from above using a reflex camera (EOS 2000D, Canon). For each experiment, eight samples with the same composition were prepared: half were triggered by placing the trigger hydrogel at pH 1 on one end for 1 to 5 min, while the other half were used as reference samples and underwent spontaneous autocatalytic enzymatic reaction (reference samples). First, the samples were individually identified in the images using an open-source software (Fiji, ImageJ, [51]) by aligning them horizontally and marking them with a rectangle (Figure S3a). Next, the time until the onset of spontaneous autocatalysis was determined using the reference samples, followed by tracking the wave front in the triggered samples from the removal of the trigger hydrogel until the onset of autocatalysis in the reference samples. The propagation speed was obtained by linear regression of the wave front position against propagation time ($N \geq 4$). Further technical information about the optical tracking is provided in the Supporting Information (Figure S3).

Tracking Mechanical Waves using Indentation

Set up: The mechanical wave was tracked using indentation, which allows the non-destructive measurement of mechanical properties with spatiotemporal resolution (Figure 6). The indentation measurements were performed using a texture analyzer (TA.XTplus, Stable Micro Systems) equipped with a 500 g load cell (Stable Micro Systems) and a 1.5 mm radius spherical probe (1/8" diameter, TA-8A, Texture technologies). A manually adjustable x-y stage with 10 μm resolution was mounted on the texture analyzer to allow precise and repeatable spatially resolved measurements along the longitudinal direction of the samples. At the start of each spatiotemporal set of measurements, the probe height was set to zero in an empty sample container, which was subsequently filled with mineral oil to host the sample. Three reference sample variations were tested: triggered samples without substrate and mediator (diffusive fronts), untriggered samples with substrate and mediator (spontaneous autocatalytic enzymatic reaction), and untriggered samples without substrate and mediator. All samples remained stationary throughout the experiment. Each indentation measurement was initiated with the probe at a height of 2.7 mm, preventing mechanical contact with the sample. Next, the probe was moved 1.5 mm downwards at a speed of 0.5 mm s^{-1} , before returning to its original position. For each sample, a baseline measurement was taken ($t = 0 \text{ min}$) by indenting the hydrogel along its longitudinal axis before a 30 s or 2 min trigger. After removing the trigger ($t = 0 \text{ min}$), the samples were indented along their longitudinal axis at regular intervals. The measurements were grouped into a single dataset for each time interval. Each dataset was acquired during approximately 10 min, covering up to 10 mm in distance with a spatial resolution of 200 μm . Given that the average propagation speed of the mechanical waves is $4 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$, the wave front can only move 40 μm during the acquisition of a single time interval dataset, which is negligible considering the spatial resolution of the indentation experiment.

Quantification: We analyzed single force-indentation depth curves by fitting the raw data using the Hertzian model for contact mechanics, with the shear modulus G as a fitting parameter. We assumed a Poisson's ratio of 0.5 and a probe elastic modulus significantly higher than that of the sample. A finite-thickness correction factor f was introduced into the fit to account for the relatively low sample thickness compared to the contact radius [47]. Uncertainty in determining the contact point for oil-immersed samples was addressed by including two additional fitting parameters: the distance at first contact (d_0) and the force at first contact (F_0). An approximate contact point was identified using a moving standard deviation approach. Specifically, the standard deviation of the applied force was calculated over a sliding window of 9 consecutive data points. The approximate contact point was defined as the point where this standard deviation first exceeded a threshold value of 0.12 mN. This threshold was selected empirically by analyzing its effect on the quality of regression analysis. Low values resulted in the shear modulus being strongly dependent on threshold selection, while high values resulted in a fitting window that no longer matched the Hertzian model ($F \propto d^{3/2}$). To satisfy the Hertzian approximation, which assumes small strains and contact radii, data fitting was restricted to the regime where the contact radius remained below 20 % of the actual probe radius. The final fitting function with the incorporated finite-thickness correction factor is: $F = \frac{16}{3} G \cdot R^{1/2} \cdot (d - d_0)^{3/2} \cdot f + F_0$, where G is the shear modulus, R is the probe radius, d is the indentation depth, and F_0 and d_0 are the distance and force offsets at first contact, respectively. The correction factor $f = (1 + 1.33(a/h) + 1.33(a/h)^3)^{-1}$ accounts for substrate effects in thin samples, where a/h is the ratio of contact radius to sample thickness. The contact radius is given by $a = \sqrt{R \cdot d}$ and the effective sample thickness calculated as $h = h_0 - d_0$, with h_0 being the initial probe height. Finally, the spatially resolved shear moduli were plotted as a function of their corresponding longitudinal position along the hydrogel. For tracking the mechanical waves, the shear modulus profiles at each time point were fitted with sigmoidal functions, and the wavefront position was defined as the inflection point of each sigmoidal fit. The overall baseline was calculated as the mean value of all measurements performed on all samples (adaptive and non-adaptive, triggered and untriggered). The propagation speed was determined with the Theil-Sen estimator.

References

1. Walther, A. Viewpoint: From Responsive to Adaptive and Interactive Materials and Materials Systems: A Roadmap. *Advanced Materials* **32**, 1905111. doi:10.1002/adma.201905111 (2020).
2. Chung, T. et al. Self-Regulating Hydrogel Actuators. *Chemical Reviews*. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5c00358 (2015).
3. Mao, Z., Kuroki, M., Otsuka, Y. & Maeda, S. Contraction waves in self-oscillating polymer gels. *Extreme Mechanics Letters* **39**, 100830. doi:10.1016/j.eml.2020.100830 (2020).
4. Blanc, B. et al. Collective chemomechanical oscillations in active hydrogels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **121**, e2313258121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2313258121 (2024).

5. Yoshimura, K. *et al.* Autonomous oil flow generated by self-oscillating polymer gels. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 12834. doi:[10.1038/s41598-020-69804-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69804-3) (2020).
6. Paikar, A. *et al.* Spatiotemporal Regulation of Hydrogel Actuators by Autocatalytic Reaction Networks. *Advanced Materials* **34**, 2106816. doi:[10.1002/adma.202106816](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106816) (2022).
7. Inui, K. *et al.* The Belousov–Zhabotinsky Reaction in Thermoresponsive Core–Shell Hydrogel Microspheres with a Tris(2,2'-bipyridyl)ruthenium Catalyst in the Core. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **124**, 3828–3835. doi:[10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c02238](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c02238) (2020).
8. Merindol, R. & Walther, A. Materials learning from life: concepts for active, adaptive and autonomous molecular systems. *Chemical Society Reviews* **46**, 5588–5619. doi:[10.1039/C6CS00738D](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00738D) (2017).
9. Yoshida, R. Self-Oscillating Gels Driven by the Belousov–Zhabotinsky Reaction as Novel Smart Materials. *Advanced Materials* **22**, 3463–3483. doi:[10.1002/adma.200904075](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200904075) (2010).
10. Caplan, S. R., Naparstek, A. & Zabusky, N. J. Chemical Oscillations in a Membrane. *Nature* **245**, 364–366. doi:[10.1038/245364a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/245364a0) (1973).
11. Hu, G., Pojman, J. A., Scott, S. K., Wrobel, M. M. & Taylor, A. F. Base-Catalyzed Feedback in the Urea-Urease Reaction. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **114**, 14059–14063. doi:[10.1021/jp106532d](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp106532d) (2010).
12. Heuser, T., Weyandt, E. & Walther, A. Biocatalytic Feedback-Driven Temporal Programming of Self-Regulating Peptide Hydrogels. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **54**, 13258–13262. doi:[10.1002/anie.201505013](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201505013) (2015).
13. Jagers, R. W. & Bon, S. A. F. Temporal and spatial programming in soft composite hydrogel objects. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B* **5**, 7491–7495. doi:[10.1039/C7TB02011B](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TB02011B) (2017).
14. Vanag, V. K., Míguez, D. G. & Epstein, I. R. Designing an enzymatic oscillator: Bistability and feedback controlled oscillations with glucose oxidase in a continuous flow stirred tank reactor. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **125**, 194515. doi:[10.1063/1.2378833](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2378833) (2006).
15. Fan, X. & Walther, A. pH Feedback Lifecycles Programmed by Enzymatic Logic Gates Using Common Foods as Fuels. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **60**, 11398–11405. doi:[10.1002/anie.202017003](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202017003) (2021).
16. Heuser, T., Merindol, R., Loescher, S., Klaus, A. & Walther, A. Photonic Devices Out of Equilibrium: Transient Memory, Signal Propagation, and Sensing. *Advanced Materials* **29**, 1606842. doi:[10.1002/adma.201606842](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201606842) (2017).
17. Jee, E., Bánsági Jr., T., Taylor, A. F. & Pojman, J. A. Temporal Control of Gelation and Polymerization Fronts Driven by an Autocatalytic Enzyme Reaction. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **55**, 2127–2131. doi:[10.1002/anie.201510604](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201510604) (2016).
18. Panja, S., Patterson, C. & Adams, D. J. Temporally-Programmed Transient Supramolecular Gels. *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*, 1900251. doi:[10.1002/marc.201900251](https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.201900251) (2019).
19. Jagers, R. W. & Bon, S. A. F. Independent responsive behaviour and communication in hydrogel objects. *Materials Horizons* **4**, 402–407. doi:[10.1039/C7MH00033B](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7MH00033B) (2017).
20. Hu, K. & Sheiko, S. S. Time programmable hydrogels: regulating the onset time of network dissociation by a reaction relay. *Chemical Communications* **54**, 5899–5902. doi:[10.1039/C8CC03237H](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CC03237H) (2018).
21. Panja, S. & Adams, D. J. Mimicking evolution of ‘mini-homeostatic’ modules in supramolecular systems. *Giant* **5**, 100041. doi:[10.1016/j.giant.2020.100041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giant.2020.100041) (2021).
22. Fusi, G., Del Giudice, D., Skarsetz, O., Di Stefano, S. & Walther, A. Autonomous Soft Robots Empowered by Chemical Reaction Networks. *Advanced Materials* **35**, 2209870. doi:[10.1002/adma.202209870](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202209870) (2023).
23. Wrobel, M. M. *et al.* pH Wave-Front Propagation in the Urea-Urease Reaction. *Biophysical Journal* **103**, 610–615. doi:[10.1016/j.bpj.2012.06.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.06.020) (2012).
24. Míguez, D. G., Vanag, V. K. & Epstein, I. R. Fronts and pulses in an enzymatic reaction catalyzed by glucose oxidase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 6992–6997. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0611438104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611438104) (2007).
25. Dúzs, B., Skarsetz, O., Fusi, G., Lupfer, C. & Walther, A. Mechano-adaptive meta-gels through synergistic chemical and physical information-processing. *Nature Communications* **15**, 8957. doi:[10.1038/s41467-024-53368-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-53368-1) (2024).

26. Wilkie, I. in *Echinodermata* (ed Matranga, V.) 1st ed., 221–250 (Springer-Verlag, 2005). doi:[10.1007/3-540-27683-1_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27683-1_10).
27. Martone, P. T. *et al.* Mechanics without Muscle: Biomechanical Inspiration from the Plant World. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **50**, 888–907. doi:[10.1093/icb/icq122](https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq122) (2010).
28. Hu, S. *et al.* Thermally trainable dual network hydrogels. *Nature Communications* **14**, 3717. doi:[10.1038/s41467-023-39446-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39446-w) (2023).
29. Capadona, J. R., Shanmuganathan, K., Tyler, D. J., Rowan, S. J. & Weder, C. Stimuli-Responsive Polymer Nanocomposites Inspired by the Sea Cucumber Dermis. *Science* **319**, 1370–1374. doi:[10.1126/science.1153307](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153307) (2008).
30. Wang, S. *et al.* Nonequilibrium Transesterification for Programming a Material's Stiffening. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials* **1**, 3227–3232. doi:[10.1021/acsapm.9b00962](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.9b00962) (2019).
31. Orrego, S. *et al.* Bioinspired Materials with Self-Adaptable Mechanical Properties. *Advanced Materials* **32**, 1906970. doi:[10.1002/adma.201906970](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201906970) (2020).
32. Wong, C. M., Wong, K. H. & Chen, X. D. Glucose oxidase: natural occurrence, function, properties and industrial applications. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **78**, 927–938. doi:[10.1007/s00253-008-1407-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1407-4) (2008).
33. Heller, A. & Feldman, B. Electrochemical Glucose Sensors and Their Applications in Diabetes Management. *Chemical Reviews* **108**, 2482–2505. doi:[10.1021/cr068069y](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr068069y) (2008).
34. Kovacs, K., Leda, M., Vanag, V. K. & Epstein, I. R. Front propagation in the bromate-sulfite-ferrocyanide-aluminum (III) system: Autocatalytic front in a buffer system. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. Emergent Phenomena in Spatially Distributed Systems* **239**, 757–765. doi:[10.1016/j.physd.2009.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2009.06.006) (2010).
35. Zhang, W., Zhang, Y., Dai, Y., Xia, F. & Zhang, X. Gradient adhesion modification of polyacrylamide/alginate–calcium tough hydrogels. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B* **10**, 757–764. doi:[10.1039/D1TB02599F](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1TB02599F) (2022).
36. Raaflaub, J. in *Methods of biochemical analysis* 301–325 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1956). doi:[10.1002/9780470110195.ch10](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470110195.ch10).
37. Onsøyen, E. in *Thickening and Gelling Agents for Food* (ed Imeson, A. P.) 22–44 (Springer US, Boston, MA, 1997). doi:[10.1007/978-1-4615-2197-6_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2197-6_2).
38. Zhou, H. *et al.* Glucose biosensor based on platinum microparticles dispersed in nano-fibrous polyaniline. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics* **20**, 1305–1311. doi:[10.1016/j.bios.2004.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2004.04.024) (2005).
39. Shan, D., Wang, S., He, Y. & Xue, H. Amperometric glucose biosensor based on *in situ* electropolymerized polyaniline/poly(acrylonitrile-co-acrylic acid) composite film. *Materials Science and Engineering C* **28**, 213–217. doi:[10.1016/j.msec.2006.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2006.12.003) (2008).
40. Arslan, F. & Beskan, U. An amperometric biosensor for glucose detection from glucose oxidase immobilized in polyaniline–polyvinylsulfonate–potassium ferricyanide film. *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology* **42**, 284–288. doi:[10.3109/21691401.2013.812650](https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2013.812650) (2014).
41. Bassett, D. C., Håti, A. G., Melø, T. B., Stokke, B. T. & Sikorski, P. Competitive ligand exchange of crosslinking ions for ionotropic hydrogel formation. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B* **4**, 6175–6182. doi:[10.1039/C6TB01812B](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6TB01812B) (2016).
42. Hirsch, M., Charlet, A. & Amstad, E. 3D Printing of Strong and Tough Double Network Granular Hydrogels. *Advanced Functional Materials* **31**, 2005929. doi:[10.1002/adfm.202005929](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202005929) (2021).
43. Sun, J.-Y. *et al.* Highly stretchable and tough hydrogels. *Nature* **489**, 133–136. doi:[10.1038/nature11409](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11409) (2012).
44. Gorke, O., Stuhlmüller, M., Tovar, G. E. M. & Southan, A. Unravelling parameter interactions in calcium alginate/polyacrylamide double network hydrogels using a design of experiments approach for the optimization of mechanical properties. *Materials Advances* **5**, 2851–2859. doi:[10.1039/D3MA00740E](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3MA00740E) (2024).
45. Wang, J. Electrochemical Glucose Biosensors. *Chemical Reviews* **108**, 814–825. doi:[10.1021/cr068123a](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr068123a) (2008).
46. Van Saarloos, W. Front propagation into unstable states. *Physics Reports* **386**, 29–222. doi:[10.1016/j.physrep.2003.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2003.08.001) (2003).

47. Shull, K. R. Contact mechanics and the adhesion of soft solids. *Materials Science and Engineering: R: Reports* **36**, 1–45. doi:[10.1016/S0927-796X\(01\)00039-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-796X(01)00039-0) (2002).
48. Choi, P., Jalani, N. H. & Datta, R. Thermodynamics and Proton Transport in Nafion: II. Proton Diffusion Mechanisms and Conductivity. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **152**, E123. doi:[10.1149/1.1859814](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1859814) (2005).
49. Wang, S.-C. *et al.* Understanding Gel-Powers: Exploring Rheological Marvels of Acrylamide/Sodium Alginate Double-Network Hydrogels. *Molecules* **28**, 4868. doi:[10.3390/molecules28124868](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28124868) (2023).
50. Zhang, J., Daubert, C. R. & Allen Foegeding, E. A proposed strain-hardening mechanism for alginate gels. *Journal of Food Engineering* **80**, 157–165. doi:[10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.04.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.04.057) (2007).
51. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**, 676–682. doi:[10.1038/nmeth.2019](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019) (2012).

Supporting Figures




Figure S1: Temporal evolution of pH and storage modulus for calcium-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (CaEDTA)-alginate hydrogels at three CaEDTA concentrations (10 mM, 50 mM, 100 mM) with constant ferricyanide (Fi) (22.5 mM) and glucose (100 mM). Progressive increase in storage modulus from lower to upper plateau indicates the mechanical transition from uncrosslinked to crosslinked network state. pH measurements and rheological data were collected independently and synchronized during data processing. Solid lines represent averages while shaded regions indicate standard deviation.




Figure S2: Summary of quasi-static uniaxial tensile testing for self-stiffened adaptive and equilibrated non-adaptive samples. Absolute median and data distribution values of a) apparent modulus and b) work of fracture. Adaptive double network (DN) hydrogels contained fixed concentrations of glucose oxidase (GOx) (100 U g^{-1}), ferricyanide (Fi) (22.5 mM), and glucose (100 mM) whereas non-adaptive DN hydrogels contained GOx (100 U g^{-1}). Statistical analysis was done using Matlab2023b




Figure S3: Image analysis methodology for optical tracking of chemical wave propagation. a) Representative image immediately after trigger removal ($t = 0$). Orange rectangle indicates triggered adaptive samples (left side), blue rectangle indicates untriggered adaptive reference samples, and black rectangles define the analysis region for each sample. Sample holder width (45 mm) provides scale calibration. b) Time-to-autocatalysis calculation from averaged blue-channel intensity of representative samples. Autocatalysis onset is defined as the half-maximum of gradient in grayscale intensity (continuous lines) whereas time-to-autocatalysis is determined by the inflection point of the intensity-time curve, identified as the maximum of the temporal gradient (dashed lines). Image analysis of triggered adaptive samples is interrupted at autocatalysis onset. c) Spatial evolution of blue channel intensity along sample length at sequential time points. Intensity is averaged across the sample width at each longitudinal position. The wavefront position (black circles on top plot) is determined as the inflection point of the spatial intensity profile, corresponding to the minimum of the grayscale intensity gradient (bottom graph). d) Automated detection of linear propagation regime onset. The algorithm iteratively removes data points from the beginning of the dataset and performs linear regression on the remaining data. For each truncated dataset, bootstrapping followed by Theil-Sen regression quantifies fit quality via mean-free relative variance ($\text{variance}(\text{data}-\text{mean})/\text{mean}^2$). The data truncation yielding minimum variance identifies the optimal linear regime and wave propagation onset. Early truncation produces poor fits due to non-linear induction phase and late truncation reduces statistical power. e) Comprehensive data analysis overview. Top: front position versus time with linear fit (continuous line) determining propagation speed ($46 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$). Middle: log-log plot of front position after y-offset correction from linear fit, demonstrating sensitivity of apparent scaling behavior to data transformation. Bottom: front position versus time, shifted to align with linear regime onset, illustrating deviation from purely diffusive behavior.




Figure S4: Intra- and inter-batch reproducibility of chemical wave propagation in adaptive DN hydrogels. Front positions versus time for two independent batches ($n = 4$ per batch) of adaptive DN hydrogels containing GOx (250 U g^{-1}), Fi (22.5 mM), and glucose (100 mM). Both batches exhibit consistent and comparable linear propagation speed.




Figure S5: Distinct wave propagation mechanisms in adaptive versus non-adaptive systems as a function of trigger hydrogel contact duration. a) Wave propagation speed in adaptive DN hydrogels is independent of trigger time, maintaining $\approx 50 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$. b) Apparent diffusion coefficient in non-adaptive systems strongly depends on trigger duration, increasing from $1.3 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-6}$ to $8.8 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ as trigger time increases from 0.16 to 15 min minutes. Self-sustained front positions were determined from ferricyanide (yellow) conversion into ferrocyanide (colorless) whereas diffusive front positions were determined from Alizarin Red S color change and fitted with diffusive square-root kinetics to obtain the effective diffusion coefficient (inset: $y = \sqrt{2D \cdot (t-a)} - b$, where a and b are fitting parameters). Note that the absolute numbers of D depend on the choice of indicator, these numbers are therefore only accurate in a relative sense.




Figure S6: Network complexity and buffering capacity reduces chemical wave propagation speed. a) Wavefront propagation in single-network polyacrylamide (PAM) hydrogels (75 U g^{-1} , 15 mM Fi) proceeds at $\sim 1.2 \text{ mm min}^{-1}$, approximately 25 to 70-fold faster than in DN hydrogels (from 15 to $44 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$). Four replicate samples shown with shifted coordinates for clarity. b) Effective H^+ diffusion coefficient in PAM-only systems versus PAM-alginate DN hydrogels with varying CaEDTA concentrations (buffering capacity). DN hydrogels exhibit $(D_{app}^{\text{H}^+})$ by more than one order of magnitude lower than single-network hydrogels due to increased network tortuosity. The system's buffering capacity provides a secondary contribution to the reduction in $(D_{app}^{\text{H}^+})$ by maintaining H^+ in bound states. Note that the absolute numbers of $(D_{app}^{\text{H}^+})$ depend on the choice of the pH indicator, enabling only relative comparisons between datasets acquired using the same experimental protocol.




Figure S7: Quantifying spontaneous GOx autocatalysis in adaptive DN hydrogels. a) Averaged grayscale intensity evolution over time in adaptive DN hydrogels ($n = 4$). Time-to-autocatalysis is calculated at the inflection point of intensity curves (continuous magenta lines), where the temporal gradient is at maximum. Autocatalysis onset is defined as the half-maximum of gradient in grayscale intensity (dashed black lines). b) Power law dependence ($y = a \cdot x^p$) of time-to-autocatalysis on GOx concentration for $Fi = 7.5$ mM (blue) and 7.5 mM (yellow). Scaling exponents ($p = -0.96$ and -1.08) indicate that doubling GOx concentration halves the time-to-autocatalysis. The higher sensitivity compared to wave propagation speed ($p \approx 0.5 - 0.7$, Figure 5b) explains the inverse correlation between speed and time-to-autocatalysis.




Figure S8: Statistical distribution of shear moduli from spatiotemporally resolved indentation measurements. a) Adaptive DN hydrogels exhibit gradient in shear modulus. Triggered regions reach median values of 7.0 kPa (interquartile range (IQR) 5.8 to 7.6 kPa), distal regions of triggered samples reach 4.5 kPa (IQR 3.2 to 5.0 kPa), and untriggered reference samples have an overall shear modulus of 4.5 kPa (IQR 3.8 to 5.6 kPa). b) Non-adaptive DN hydrogels show no enzymatic stiffening with triggered regions at 5.3 kPa (IQR 4.5 to 5.6 kPa) and distal regions at 3.0 kPa (IQR 2.9 to 3.3 kPa), untriggered reference samples have an overall shear modulus of 3.3 kPa (IQR 2.7 to 3.5 kPa). c) Summary of pre-triggered baseline shear moduli for all experimental conditions tested, the overall baseline has a shear modulus of 2.1 kPa, IQR 1.8 – 2.3 kPa. Box plots represent batch distributions (color-coded) with boxes spanning interquartile range. Batches 1-4: 50 mM CaEDTA, 5 min trigger duration. Batches 5-9: 30 mM CaEDTA and were triggered for 0.5 to 2 min.




Figure S9: Spatiotemporal shear modulus evolution in untriggered reference samples. a) Adaptive DN hydrogels undergo homogeneous temporal stiffening via spontaneous GOx autocatalysis activation, with shear modulus increasing from baseline (~ 1.5 kPa) to quasi-steady-state values (~ 3.75 kPa at overnight timepoints) uniformly across the samples' longitudinal axis. The absence of spatial gradients contrasts with triggered samples (Figure 6), confirming that localized chemical signaling generated gradients in mechanical properties. b) Non-adaptive DN hydrogels exhibit minimized temporal variation, validating that enzymatic activity is the major driving force for the self-stiffening in these adaptive DN hydrogels. Horizontal lines represent average shear modulus calculated from all spatial measurements at each timepoint. Individual datapoints indicate position-resolved measurements.




Figure S10: Correlation between persistent pH and mechanical gradients. a) Bromocresol green pH indicator (color range pH 3.8-5.4, shown at full saturation) applied to adaptive DN hydrogels 1 day post-triggering. The triggered sample (top) exhibits a spatial pH gradient (pH ~ 4.6 at triggered region and pH ~ 5.0 at distal region), whereas the spontaneously stiffened reference sample (bottom) shows uniform pH ~ 5.0 . The observed pH range (4.6-5.0) corresponds to the steep region of the pH-dependent crosslinking curve (Figure 2c) where mechanical properties vary most sensitively with pH. Scale bars: 5 mm. b) Longitudinal shear modulus profiles measured over 28 days in a non-adaptive DN hydrogel following acidic trigger removal. The mechanical gradient relaxes gradually due to slow H^+ diffusion, remaining detectable even after 6 days, after which it is comparable to untriggered samples as in Figure S9. Similar behavior is observed in adaptive samples at long time scales. Colored lines indicate sequential timepoints. Scattered points show individual spatiotemporally-resolved measurements.




Figure S11: Robustness of mechanical wave propagation across experimental parameters and different batches. Propagation speeds determined from Theil-Sen linear fits to wavefront position versus time for all triggered samples. Left: Comparison between Set 1 (batches 1-4: 50 mM CaEDTA, 5 min trigger) and Set 2 (batches 5-9: 30 mM, 0.5 to 2 min trigger). Adaptive DN hydrogels exhibit consistent median propagation speed across both parameter sets, confirming that mechanical wave propagation is robust to small variations in CaEDTA concentration and trigger duration. Non-adaptive systems show small positive (Set 1) or near-zero slopes (Set 2) reflecting limited diffusive propagation. Right: Combined data demonstrate clear distinction dynamic behavior between adaptive ($4 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ IQR 3 to $7 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$) and non-adaptive ($0 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ IQR -3 to $1 \mu\text{m min}^{-1}$) systems. Colors indicate experimental batches.