

# PRESERVATION OF NOTION OF LARGE SETS NEAR ZERO OVER REALS

KILANGBENLA IMSONG AND RAM KRISHNA PAUL

**ABSTRACT.** The study of the size of subsets in a semigroup have shown that many of these subsets have strong combinatorial properties and contribute richly to the algebraic structure of the Stone- Cech compactification of a discrete semigroup. N. Hindman and D. Strauss have proved that if  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M$  is a  $u \times v$  matrix satisfying restrictions that vary with the notion of largeness and if  $\Psi$  is a notion of large sets in  $\mathbb{N}$  then  $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^v : M\vec{x} \in \Psi^u\}$  is a large set in  $\mathbb{N}^v$ . In this article, we investigate the above result for various notions of largeness near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Many of the powerful combinatorial results of Ramsey Theory can be expressed using matrices. For example, van der Waerden's theorem [14] can be stated as, "The entries of the matrix  $M\vec{x}$ , where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ \vdots & \\ 1 & l \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{N}^2,$$

are monochromatic". This property of the matrix is called *Image Partition Regularity* which is defined below.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The matrix  $M$  is image partition regular over  $\mathbb{N}$  (abbreviated IPR/ $\mathbb{N}$ ) if and only if whenever  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ , there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  and  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C_i^u$ .

The first characterisations of matrices with entries from  $\mathbb{Q}$  that are IPR/ $\mathbb{N}$  were obtained in [10] and over the years other characterisations have been obtained, which can be found in detail in [12]. Among these, the ones involving the notion of *central* sets have been a focal point of study primarily because of the rich combinatorial structure they possess. Central subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  were first introduced by Furstenberg defined in terms of notions of topological dynamics and he has given and proved the Central Sets Theorem which we state below. We let  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  to denote any finite collection of subsets of a set  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ , let  $\{y_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $C$  be central subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  such that*

- (1) *for all  $n$ ,  $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$  and*
- (2) *for all  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ , and all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \in F} (a_n + \sum_{t \in H_n} y_{i,t}) \in C.$$

*Proof.* [6, Proposition 8.21] □

Central sets were also defined using the algebra of Stone  $\check{C}$ ech compactification of a discrete semigroup which can be found in [12, Definition 4.42]. The equivalence of the notions of *central* and *dynamically central* was established by V. Bergelson and N. Hindman in [1]. The following theorem is the characterisation of image partition regular matrices involving central sets.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $A$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  *$A$  is image partition regular over  $\mathbb{N}$ .*
- (b) *For every central set  $C$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^v$  such that  $A\vec{x} \in C^u$ .*
- (c) *For every central set  $C$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{N}^v : A\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is central in  $\mathbb{N}^v$ .*

*Proof.* [12, Theorem 15.24] □

The sets which satisfy the conclusion of Central Sets Theorem are called  $C$ -sets and hence the natural question arises as to whether Theorem 1.3 holds true if *central set* is replaced by  *$C$  set*. This has been answered in affirmative and Hindman and Strauss has proved it in [11, Theorem 1.4]. For any dense subsemigroup  $S$  of  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ , it has been observed that there are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  living near zero which also satisfy a version of the Central Sets Theorem. These sets have been defined as *central sets near zero* in [9] and the corresponding notion of *Image Partition Regularity Near Zero* has been developed in [3].

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $S$  be a subsemigroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  with  $0 \in \text{cl}S$ , let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $A$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $A$  is *image partition regular over  $S$  near zero* (abbreviated  $\text{IPR}/S_0$ ) if and only if, whenever  $S \setminus \{0\}$  is finitely colored and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in S^v$  such that the entries of  $A\vec{x}$  are monochromatic and lie in the interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$ .

In the same paper [3], image partition regularity near zero over various dense subsemigroups have been considered. Of notable significance for our work is the result that for finite matrices, image partition regular matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$  and image partition regular matrices near zero over  $\mathbb{R}^+$  are same which has been shown by one of the authors in [5, Theorem 2.3]. The notion of  $C$  sets have also been extended to  $C$  sets near zero in [2] and these sets also have rich combinatorial structure. In [13], the authors of this paper have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  *$M$  is image partition regular over  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .*
- (b) *For every  $C$ -set near zero  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$ .*
- (c) *For every  $C$ -set near zero  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is a  $C$ -set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .*

There are several other notions of largeness in a commutative semigroup and the relationship among them can be found in [8]. These notions can also be defined analogously for a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$  and in section 2, we define the notions of strongly central near zero, strongly central\* near zero, thick near zero, piecewise syndetic\* near zero,  $Q^*$  near zero,  $P^*$  near zero, central\* near zero, Quasicentral near zero, Quasicentral\* near zero,  $IP^*$  near zero,  $C^*$  near zero and  $J^*$  near zero. If  $\Psi$  is one of these notions, in section 3 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M$  be  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . If  $M$  is  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

For this paper, we need the algebraic structure of the Stone-Cech Compactification, denoted by  $\beta S$ , of a discrete semigroup  $(S, +)$ . We let  $\beta S = \{p : p \text{ is an ultrafilter on } S\}$  and identify the principal ultrafilters on  $S$  with the points of  $S$  and so we may assume  $S \subseteq \beta S$ . Given  $A \subseteq S$ ,  $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta S : A \in p\}$ , where  $\bar{A}$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in  $\beta S$  and  $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq S\}$  is a basis for the topology of  $\beta S$ . The operation  $+$  on  $S$  extends to an operation, also denoted by  $+$ , on  $\beta S$  so that  $\beta S$  is a right topological semigroup with  $S$  contained in the topological centre of  $\beta S$ . That is, for each  $p \in \beta S$ , the function  $\rho_p : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$  defined by  $\rho_p(q) = q + p$  is continuous and for each  $x \in S$ , the function  $\lambda_x : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$  defined by  $\lambda_x(q) = x + q$  is continuous. Given  $p, q \in \beta S$ ,  $A \in p + q$  if and only if  $\{x \in S : -x + A \in q\} \in p$ , where  $-x + A = \{y \in S : x + y \in A\}$ . Since  $\beta S$  is a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup, it contains idempotents and a smallest two sided ideal, denoted by  $K(\beta S)$ , which is the union of all of the minimal left ideals of  $\beta S$  and also the union of all the minimal right ideals of  $\beta S$ . The detailed algebraic structure of  $\beta S$  is available in [12]. In this section, we prove some results for subsets  $E$  of  $S^v$ ,  $v \geq 1$  where  $S$  is a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$ . We consider  $S$  to be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$ , where *dense* means with respect to usual topology on  $((0, \infty), +)$  and when we use the Stone Cech Compactification of such a semigroup  $S^v$ ,  $v \geq 1$  then we deal with  $S_d^v$  which is the set  $S^v$  with the discrete topology. We also prove some results which will be useful to prove Theorem 1.6.

We start with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$  and  $v \geq 1$ . Then  $0^+(S^v) = \{p \in \beta(S_d^v) : (\forall \epsilon > 0)((0, \epsilon) \cap S)^v \in p\}$ .

The following is Lemma 2.2 of [13].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$ , then  $0^+(S^v)$ ,  $v \geq 1$  is a compact right topological subsemigroup.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2,  $0^+(S^2)$  contains idempotents. The following lemma is a very useful result and can be found in [13, Lemma 2.4].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$  then for  $v \geq 1$ ,  $0^+(S^v) \cap K(\beta S^v) = \phi$ .

Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$ . Let  $A \subseteq S^v$  for  $v \geq 1$ . The set of sequences in  $S^v$  converging to zero is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_0^v$ . In the following definitions,  $\overline{A}$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in  $0^+(S^v)$  and  $E(A)$  denotes the set of idempotents in  $A$ . We recall the following definitions from [2] and [4].

- Definition 2.4.**
- (1)  $A$  is an IP set near zero if and only if there exists an idempotent  $p \in 0^+(S^v)$  such that  $A \in p$ .
  - (2)  $A$  is  $IP^*$  set near zero if and only if  $E(0^+(S^v)) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .
  - (3)  $A$  is a central\* set near zero if and only if  $E(K(0^+(S^v))) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .
  - (4)  $A$  is a J-set near zero in  $S^v$  iff whenever  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0^v)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{a} \in S^v \cap (0, \delta)^v$  and  $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  such that for each  $\vec{f} \in F$ ,  $\vec{a} + \sum_{t \in H} \vec{f}(t) \in A$ .
  - (5)  $J_0(S^v) = \{p \in 0^+(S^v) : \forall A \in p, A \text{ is a J-set near zero}\}$ .

We now define some notions of largeness near zero.

- Definition 2.5.**
- (1)  $A$  is a  $P$  set near zero in  $S^v$  if and only if for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ ,  $A \cap (0, \epsilon)^v$  contains a length  $k$  Arithmetic Progression(AP).
  - (2)  $Prog(S_0^v) = \{p \in 0^+(S^v) : \forall A \in p, A \text{ is a } P \text{ set near zero}\}$ .
  - (3)  $A$  is a Q set near zero if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\vec{x}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $S^v$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \vec{x}_n$  converges and whenever  $m < n$ ,  $\vec{x}_n \in \vec{x}_m + A$ .
  - (4)  $A$  is a strongly central (SC) set near zero if and only if for every minimal left ideal  $L$  of  $0^+(S^v)$  there is an idempotent in  $L \cap \overline{A}$ .
  - (5)  $A$  is a strongly central\* (SC\*) set near zero if and only if there is a minimal left ideal  $L$  of  $0^+(S^v)$  with  $E(L) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .
  - (6)  $A$  is a thick set near zero if and only if there is a left ideal  $L$  of  $0^+(S^v)$  such that  $L \subseteq \overline{A}$ .
  - (7)  $A$  is a Piecewise Syndetic\* (PS\*) set near zero if and only if  $K(0^+(S^v)) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .
  - (8)  $A$  is  $C^*$  set near zero if and only if  $E(J_0(S^v)) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .
  - (9)  $A$  is a Quasi Central (QC) set near zero if and only if there is an idempotent in  $Cl_{0^+(S^v)}K(0^+(S^v)) \cap \overline{A}$ .
  - (10)  $A$  is a Quasi Central\* (QC\*) set near zero if and only if  $E(Cl_{0^+(S^v)}K(0^+(S^v))) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .

- (11)  $A$  is  $J^*$  set near zero if and only if  $J_0(S^v) \subseteq \overline{A}$ .  
 (12)  $A$  is a  $Q^*$  set near zero or a  $P^*$  set near zero if and only if  $S^v \setminus A$  is not a  $Q$  set near zero or not a  $P$  set near zero respectively.

The notions of  $P$  set and  $Q$  set were proved to be partition regular in [8]. We prove in the following theorems that their analogous counterparts,  $P$  set near zero and  $Q$  set near zero are also partition regular.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$ . The property  $P$  set near zero is partition regular. Consequently, if  $A \subseteq S$  and  $A$  is a  $P$  set near zero then  $\overline{A} \cap \text{Prog}(S_0) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\text{Prog}(S_0)$  is a compact two sided ideal of  $0^+(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_1 \cup A_2$  be a  $P$  set near zero. We will show there exists  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $A_i$  is a  $P$  set near zero, i.e., for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $i(k) \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $A_{i(k)} \cap (0, \epsilon)$  contains a length  $k$  arithmetic progression. By Vander Waerden's theorem, [12, Corollary 14.3], there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is 2-coloured there is a monochromatic length  $k$  arithmetic progression. Since  $A_1 \cup A_2$  is a  $P$  set near zero, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $a, d \in S$  such that  $\{a + td : t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\} \subseteq (A_1 \cup A_2) \cap (0, \epsilon)$ . Define for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $B_i = \{t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : a + td \in A_i \cap (0, \epsilon)\}$ . Let  $i(k) \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $B_{i(k)}$  contains length  $k$  arithmetic progression. Then there exists  $e, f \in S$  such that  $\{e + sf : s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq B_{i(k)}$ . Then for  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $a + (e + sf)d \in A_{i(k)} \cap (0, \epsilon)$ . Let  $a' = a + ed, d' = fd$  then,  $\{a' + sd' : s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq A_{i(k)} \cap (0, \epsilon)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{A \subseteq S : A \text{ is a } P \text{ set near zero}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}^\uparrow = \{B \subseteq S : A \subseteq B \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . Then by [12, Theorem 3.11], there exists  $q \in 0^+(S)$  such that  $A \in q \subseteq \mathcal{R}^\uparrow$  and so  $q \in \text{Prog}(S_0)$ . Thus  $\text{Prog}(S_0) \neq \emptyset$ . We will now prove that  $\text{Prog}(S_0)$  is a closed subset of  $0^+(S)$ . Let  $q \in 0^+(S) \setminus \text{Prog}(S_0)$ . Then there exists  $B \in q$  such that  $B$  is not a  $P$  set near zero. If  $\overline{B} \cap \text{Prog}(S_0) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $r \in \text{Prog}(S_0)$  such that  $B \in r$  which implies  $B$  is a  $P$  set near zero which is a contradiction. Therefore  $q$  is not a limit point of  $\text{Prog}(S_0)$ . Thus  $\text{Prog}(S_0)$  is a compact set in  $0^+(S)$ .

We now prove that  $\text{Prog}(S_0)$  is a two sided ideal of  $0^+(S)$ . Let  $p \in \text{Prog}(S_0), q \in 0^+(S), A \in q + p, k \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ . We will prove  $A \cap (0, \epsilon)$  contains a length  $k$  arithmetic progression. Since  $A \in q + p \implies A \in \rho_p(q)$  and since  $\rho_p$  is continuous, there exists  $B \in q$  such that  $\rho_p(\overline{B}) \subseteq \overline{A}$ . Since  $q \in 0^+(S)$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0, (0, \epsilon) \cap B \in q$ . So pick  $x \in B$  such that  $x < \epsilon$ . Then  $\lambda_x(p) = x + p = \rho_p(x) \in \overline{A}$ . Pick  $C \in p$  such that  $\lambda_x(\overline{C}) \subseteq \overline{A}$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_x(C) \subseteq A$ . Since  $C \in p$ ,  $C$  is a  $P$  set near zero and so for  $\epsilon' = \epsilon - x, C \cap (0, \epsilon')$  contains a length  $k$  arithmetic progression. Choose  $a, d \in S$  such that  $\{a + td : t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq C \cap (0, \epsilon')$ . For all  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, x + a + td \in x + C \subseteq A$  and  $x + a + td \in (x, x + \epsilon') \subseteq (0, \epsilon)$ . Let  $x + a = a'$ , then  $\{a' + td : t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq A \cap (0, \epsilon)$ .

To prove  $\text{Prog}(S_0)$  is a right ideal of  $0^+(S)$ , let  $p \in \text{Prog}(S_0), q \in 0^+(S), A \in p + q, k \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ . We will prove  $A \cap (0, \epsilon)$  contains length  $k$  arithmetic

progression. Since  $A \in p + q \implies A \in \rho_q(p)$  and since  $\rho_q$  is continuous, pick  $B \in p$  such that  $\rho_q(\overline{B}) \subseteq \overline{A}$ . Now  $B$  is a P set near zero so  $B \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  contains a length  $k$  arithmetic progression. Pick  $a, d \in S$  such that  $\{a + td : t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq B \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ . For each  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , let  $x(t) = a + td$ , then  $x(t) \in B \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ . Now,  $\lambda_{x(t)}(q) = x(t) + q = \rho_q(x(t)) \in \overline{A}$ . Since  $\lambda_{x(t)}$  is continuous, pick  $C_t \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \in q$  such that  $\lambda_{x(t)}(\overline{C_t \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon}{2})}) \subseteq \overline{A}$ . Let  $z \in \bigcap_{t=1}^k (C_t \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon}{2}))$ , then  $x(t) + z \in A$ , i.e.,  $a + td + z \in A$  for all  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Now,  $a + td + z \in (0, \epsilon)$ . Let  $a' = a + z$ , then  $\{a' + td : t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq A \cap (0, \epsilon)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$ . The property  $Q$  set near zero is partition regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2 \subseteq S$  such that  $A_1 \cup A_2$  is a Q set near zero. We will show there exists  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $A_i$  is a Q set near zero. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $S$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$  converges and whenever  $m < n$ ,  $x_n \in x_m + (A_1 \cup A_2)$ . Let  $N' = \{m, n \in \mathbb{N} : m < n \text{ and } x_n \in x_m + (A_1 \cup A_2)\}$ . then  $N'$  is an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $[N']^2 = B_1 \cup B_2$  where for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $B_i = \{m, n\} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m < n \text{ and } x_n \in x_m + A_i\}$ . Using Ramsey's Theorem, there exists  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and an infinite subset  $A$  of  $N'$  such that  $\{m, n\} : m, n \in A, m \neq n\} \subseteq B_i$ . Write  $A$  in increasing order as  $A = \{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . For any  $t_k < t_{k'}, x_{t_{k'}} \in x_{t_k} + A_i$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $y_k = x_{t_k}$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty y_k$  converges and for any  $m < n$ ,  $y_n \in y_m + A_i$ .  $\square$

### 3. PRESERVATION OF NOTIONS OF LARGE SETS NEAR ZERO

As was mentioned in section 1, in this section we will prove Theorem 1.6 for various notions of large sets near zero. We begin with the following theorem which follows easily from [9, Theorem 3.1]

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a dense subsemigroup of  $((0, \infty), +)$  and let  $A \subseteq S$ . Then there exists  $p = p + p$  in  $0^+(S)$  with  $A \in p$  if and only if for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is some sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $(0, \epsilon)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$  converges and  $FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A$ .*

*Proof.* A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  can be chosen in  $(0, \epsilon)$  in the proof of [9, Theorem 3.1].  $\square$

The following theorems, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, are needed to prove Theorem 1.6 which are slightly stronger versions of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 of [5].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  first entries matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $C$  be central set near-zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists sequences  $\{x_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{x_{2,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \{x_{v,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that for any*

$i \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ ,  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} x_{i,t}$  converges and for each  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $M\vec{x}_F \in C^u$ , where  $\vec{x}_F = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t \in F} x_{1,t} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{t \in F} x_{v,t} \end{pmatrix} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$ .

*Proof.* By [5, Lemma 2.2], there exists sequences  $\{x_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{x_{2,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \dots, \{x_{v,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the given hypotheses. We can choose subsequences, say,  $\{y_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{y_{2,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \dots, \{y_{v,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{x_{1,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{x_{2,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \dots, \{x_{v,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  respectively such that for every  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ ,  $\sum_{t \in F} y_{i,t} \in (0, \epsilon)$ . Take  $\vec{x}_F = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t \in F} y_{1,t} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{t \in F} y_{v,t} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\vec{x}_F \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x}_F \in C^u$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- 1)  $M$  is  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ .
- 2)  $M$  is  $IPR$  near zero over  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .
- 3) For any central set  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$ .
- 4) For any central set near zero  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$ .
- 5) For any central set near zero  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of (1),(2),(3) and (4) follows from [5, Theorem 2.3]. (5) implies (1) is trivial. We now prove (1) implies (5). Let  $C$  be a central set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $M$  be  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ . So by [7, Theorem 4.1], there exists  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  and a  $v \times m$  first entries matrix  $G$  with non-negative entries and no row equal to  $\vec{0}$  such that, if  $B = MG$  then  $B$  is a first entries matrix with all of its first entries equal to 1. Let  $G = (g_{ij})_{v \times m}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $g = \max\{g_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq v, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ . By Theorem 3.2, there exists  $\vec{y} \in (0, \frac{\epsilon}{gm})^m$  such that  $B\vec{y} \in C^u$ , i.e.,  $MG\vec{y} \in C^u$ . Now,

$$G\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & \dots & g_{1m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{v1} & \dots & g_{vm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}y_1 + \dots + g_{1m}y_m \\ \vdots \\ g_{v1}y_1 + \dots + g_{vm}y_m \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Now, for each } i \in$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, v\}, g_{i1}y_1 + \dots + g_{im}y_m \leq g \frac{\epsilon}{gm} + \dots + g \frac{\epsilon}{gm} = \epsilon$$

Take  $\vec{x} = G\vec{y} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$ . Therefore, there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$ .  $\square$

The following results, lemma 4.4, lemma 4.6 and lemma 4.7 are very often used in the theorems that follow.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row equal to the zero row. Define  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Let  $q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$*

*Proof.* Let  $q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  and let  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_u \end{pmatrix}$ . We have to prove that for

each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $(0, \epsilon) \in p_i \forall \epsilon > 0$ . Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  and suppose there exists some  $\epsilon_i > 0$  such that  $(0, \epsilon_i) \notin p_i$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus (0, \epsilon_i) \in p_i$ . Let for each  $i = \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $C_i = \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus (0, \epsilon_i)$ . Then  $C_i \in p_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ . Let  $\delta = \min \{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_u\}$  and  $C = \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus (0, \delta)$ . Then  $C \in p_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ . Thus,  $p_i \in C\ell_{\beta\mathbb{R}^+}C$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  and so,  $C\ell_{(\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u$  is a neighbourhood of  $\tilde{\phi}(q)$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is continuous, there exists a neighbourhood, say,  $C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}W$  of  $q$ , where  $W \in q$ , such that  $\tilde{\phi}(C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}W) \subseteq C\ell_{(\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u \implies \phi(W) \subseteq C^u \implies W \subseteq \phi^{-1}[C^u] \implies \phi^{-1}[C^u] \in q$ . Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(0, \epsilon)^v \cap \phi^{-1}[C^u] \in q$ . This implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $\phi(\vec{x}) \in C^u$ . That is, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that every entry of  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  is in  $[\delta, \infty)$ .

Let  $M = (a_{ij})$  and  $a = \max \{a_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq u, 1 \leq j \leq v\}$  then  $a > 0$ . Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{\delta}{va}$ . Then for any  $\vec{x} \in (0, \frac{\delta}{va})^v$ ,  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_v)$ ,  $a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{iv}x_v < a\frac{\delta}{va} + \dots + a\frac{\delta}{va} = \delta$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ , i.e.,  $M\vec{x} \notin C^u$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row equal to the zero row. Define  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \longrightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Let  $r \in (\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \setminus (0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(r) \notin (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r \in (\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \setminus (0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$(0, \epsilon)^v \notin r$ , i.e.,  $[\epsilon, \infty)^v \in r$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\phi}(r) \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_u \end{pmatrix}$

$\in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ . Let  $M = (a_{ij})$  and  $a = \min \{\sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij} : i = 1, 2, \dots, u\}$ . Then  $a > 0$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is continuous, for the neighbourhood  $C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(B_1 \cap (0, \epsilon a)) \times \dots \times C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(B_u \cap (0, \epsilon a))$  of  $\tilde{\phi}(r)$ , where  $B_i \in r_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, u$  and  $W \in r$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}W$  of  $r$ , such that  $\tilde{\phi}(C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}W) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(B_1 \cap (0, \epsilon a)) \times \dots \times C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(B_u \cap (0, \epsilon a))$ , i.e.,  $\phi(W) \subseteq B_1 \cap (0, \epsilon a) \times \dots \times B_u \cap (0, \epsilon a)$ . Now  $W \cap [\epsilon, \infty)^v \in r$ , therefore  $\phi(W \cap [\epsilon, \infty)^v) \subseteq$

$B_1 \cap (0, \epsilon a) \times \dots \times B_u \cap (0, \epsilon a)$ . Take  $\vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_v \end{pmatrix} \in (W \cap [\epsilon, \infty)^v)$ . Then

$\phi(\vec{z}) = M\vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}z_1 \cdots a_{1v}z_v \\ \vdots \\ a_{u1}z_1 \cdots a_{uv}z_v \end{pmatrix}$ . Now, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $a_{i1}z_1 +$



$\cdots + a_{iv}z_v \geq a_{i1}\epsilon + \cdots + a_{iv}\epsilon = (a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{iv})\epsilon > a\epsilon$ . Thus,  $\phi(\vec{z}) \notin (0, \epsilon a)^v$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row equal to the zero row. Define  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \longrightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Let  $p$  be an idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . If for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every  $C \in p$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$  then there exists an idempotent*

*$q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ . If  $p$  is mini-*

*mal idempotent then  $q$  is also minimal idempotent and  $\tilde{\phi}[K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))] = \tilde{\phi}[0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)] \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be an idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) : \tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p}\}$ . Then we will prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-empty, i.e.,  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\{\vec{p}\}) \cap 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \neq \emptyset$  i.e., to prove that  $\vec{p} \in \tilde{\phi}[0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)]$ . Suppose  $\vec{p} \notin \tilde{\phi}[0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)]$ . Then  $\vec{p}$  is not a limit point of  $\tilde{\phi}[0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)]$ . Therefore, there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $\vec{p}$  such that  $W \cap \tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)) = \emptyset$ , where  $W = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}B_1 \times \cdots \times C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}B_u$  for  $B_i \in p, i = 1, 2, \dots, u$ . Take  $B = B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_u$  then  $B \in p$ . Therefore,  $C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}B^u \cap \tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)) = \emptyset$ . Given for  $B \in p, \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $\phi(\vec{x}) \in B^u$ , i.e.,  $\vec{x} \in \phi^{-1}[B^u]$ . Therefore,  $\phi^{-1}[B^u] \cap (0, \epsilon)^v \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[B^u] \cap C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(0, \epsilon)^v : \epsilon > 0\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of closed sets in  $0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  which has the finite intersection property. Therefore,  $\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[B^u] \cap (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(0, \epsilon)^v)) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $(C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[B^u]) \cap (\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(0, \epsilon)^v)) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $(C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[B^u]) \cap 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $r \in (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[B^u]) \cap 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$ . This implies that  $r \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(r) \in C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}B^u$ . Therefore,  $C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}B^u \cap \tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-empty. Now,  $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\{\vec{p}\})$  is a compact right topological semigroup in  $0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$ . Thus, there exists an idempotent  $q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p}$ .

Let  $p$  be minimal idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $w$  in  $0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(w) = \vec{p}$ . For this idempotent  $w$ , by [12, Theorem 1.60] there exists a minimal idempotent, say  $q$  in  $0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  such that  $q \leq w$ . i.e.,  $q = q + w \implies \tilde{\phi}(q) = \tilde{\phi}(q + w) = \tilde{\phi}(q) + \tilde{\phi}(w) \implies \tilde{\phi}(q) \leq \tilde{\phi}(w)$ . Since  $q$  and  $w$  are idempotents and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is homomorphism, therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(q)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(w)$  are also idempotents in  $(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$  by using Lemma 3.4. Since  $p$  is minimal,  $\vec{p}$  is also minimal and  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \leq \vec{p}$  which implies that  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p}$ . Therefore, there exists minimal idempotent  $q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}[0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)] \cap K((0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u) \neq \emptyset$  and by [12, Theorem 2.23],  $\tilde{\phi}[0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)] \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u \neq \emptyset$ .

By [12, Theroem 1.65],  $K[\tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))] = \tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$ . By [12, Exercise 1.7.3],  $\tilde{\phi}[K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))] = K[\tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))]$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}[K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))] = \tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row of  $M$  as the zero row. Define  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Let  $p \in 0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $C \in p$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}[Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u] = Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is continuous,  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}[Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u]$  is a closed set containing  $\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . But  $Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$  is smallest closed set containing  $\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ , therefore  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}[Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u] \supseteq Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .

Let  $q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u]$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $q \in 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$ . Now,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is continuous, therefore there exists a neighbourhood  $Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}W$  of  $q$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}W) \subseteq Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^u}C^u$  or,  $\phi(W) \subseteq C^u$  or,  $W \subseteq \phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . Since  $W \in q$ , therefore  $\phi^{-1}[C^u] \in q$ , i.e.,  $q \in Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.6 for the various notions of largeness.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  which is  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $C$  is an  $SC^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is an  $SC^*$  set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be defined by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Since  $C$  is  $SC^*$  set near zero, there exists a minimal left ideal  $L$  of  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $E(L) \subseteq Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ .

Let  $p$  be an idempotent in  $L$  and let  $\vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^v$ . Since every

member of  $p$  is central near zero, so by Theorem 3.3,  $\forall C \in p, \epsilon > 0, \exists \vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in C^u$ . Also by Lemma 3.6, there exists an idempotent  $q \in K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p}$ . Let  $L' = 0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) + q$ . Then  $L'$  is a minimal left ideal of  $0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$ . We claim that  $E(L') \subseteq$

$Cl_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . Let  $r \in E(L')$ . Then  $r = r + q$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_u \end{pmatrix}$

$\in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is homomorphism and  $r$  is an idempotent, therefore each  $s_i$  is an idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . But  $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \tilde{\phi}(r + q) = \tilde{\phi}(r) + \tilde{\phi}(q) =$

$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_u \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 + p \\ \vdots \\ s_u + p \end{pmatrix}$ . Now for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $s_i + p \in L$

and since for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, u$ ,  $s_i + p$  is an idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$  so  $s_i + p \in E(L)$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(r) \in (E(L))^u$ , i.e.,  $r \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[(E(L))^u] \subseteq \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u] = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . Therefore,  $\phi^{-1}[C^u]$  is an  $SC^*$  set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  which is  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $C$  is a thick set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is also thick near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be defined by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Let  $C$  be a thick set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore, there exists a minimal left ideal  $L$  of  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $L \subseteq$

$C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Let  $p$  be an idempotent in  $L$ . Let  $\vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ . Since

$M$  is  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ , by Lemma 3.6 there exists an idempotent  $q \in 0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \vec{p}$ . We claim that  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v + q \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . Let  $r \in 0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  and let  $\tilde{\phi}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_u \end{pmatrix}$ . Then by Lemma 3.4,  $\tilde{\phi}(r) \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ .

Now,  $\tilde{\phi}(r + q) = \tilde{\phi}(r) + \tilde{\phi}(q) = \tilde{\phi}(r) + \vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_u \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 + p \\ \vdots \\ s_u + p \end{pmatrix} \in L^u$ .

Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(r + q) \in L^u \subseteq C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ , i.e.,  $r + q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u] = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  which is  $IPR/\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $C$  is a  $PS^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is a  $PS^*$  set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be defined by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension. Let  $C$  be a  $PS^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,  $K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Let  $p$  be an idempotent in  $K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))$ . Then by Theorem 3.3, for every  $P \in p, \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in P^u$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $\tilde{\phi}(K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v)) = \tilde{\phi}(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\phi}(K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v)) \subseteq (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u \subseteq C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ . Therefore,  $K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \subseteq \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u) = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . Thus,  $\phi^{-1}[C^u]$  is a  $PS^*$  set near zero.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row of  $M$  as the zero row. Let  $\Psi$  be  $Q^*$  set near zero or*

$P^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $C$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be defined by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension.

Case  $\Psi = Q^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a  $Q^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $B = \{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$ . Suppose  $B$  is not  $Q^*$  set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v \setminus B$  is  $Q$  set near zero. So there exists a sequence  $\{\vec{s}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \vec{s}_n$  converges and such that whenever  $m < n$ ,  $\vec{s}_n \in \vec{s}_m +$

$((\mathbb{R}^+)^v \setminus B)$ . We write  $\vec{s}_n = \begin{pmatrix} s_{n1} \\ \vdots \\ s_{nv} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{s}_m = \begin{pmatrix} s_{m1} \\ \vdots \\ s_{mv} \end{pmatrix}$ . Given, whenever

$m < n$ ,  $\vec{s}_n \in \vec{s}_m + ((\mathbb{R}^+)^v \setminus B)$ . So,  $\vec{s}_n - \vec{s}_m \notin B$ , i.e.,  $\phi(\vec{s}_n - \vec{s}_m) \notin C^u$  i.e.,  $M(\vec{s}_n - \vec{s}_m) \notin C^u$  i.e., there exists  $k_{m,n} \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  such that the  $k_{m,n}^{th}$  entry of the product matrix  $M(\vec{s}_n - \vec{s}_m)$  does not belong to  $C$ . By Ramsey's Theorem, if the collection of all the subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  of cardinality 2 is  $u$  coloured, there exists an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that the collection of all subsets of  $A$  of cardinality 2 is monochromatic. Therefore,  $\{\{m, n\} : m, n \in A\}$  and in particular,  $\{\{m, n\} : m, n \in A, m < n\}$  is monochromatic. Choose  $k_{m,n} = i$  where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ . Let  $A = \{t(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Let for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n = \sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij} s_{t(n)j}$  where  $M = (a_{ij})$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$  converges and whenever  $m < n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n - x_m \notin C$  i.e.,  $x_n - x_m \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus C$  whenever  $m < n$ . This is a contradiction since  $C$  is a  $Q^*$  set near zero.

Case  $\Psi = P^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a  $P^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus C$  is not a  $P$  set near zero. Therefore, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that  $(\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus C) \cap (0, \epsilon')$  does not contain any length  $k$  Arithmetic progression(AP).

For  $k, u$ , by Vander Waerden's theorem, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  is  $u$  coloured, there is a monochromatic length  $k$  Arithmetic Progression. Let  $B = \{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$ . Suppose  $B$  is not  $P^*$  set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v \setminus B$  is  $P$  set near zero. Let  $M = (a_{ij})$  and  $a = \max\{a_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq u, 1 \leq j \leq v\}$ . Therefore, for  $\frac{\epsilon'}{av} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\vec{s}, \vec{d} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v$  such that  $\{\vec{s} + \vec{d}, \dots, \vec{s} + m\vec{d}\} \subseteq ((\mathbb{R}^+)^v \setminus B) \cap (0, \frac{\epsilon'}{av})^v$ ,

where  $\vec{s} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_v \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{d} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_v \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e., for each  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $M(\vec{s} +$

$t\vec{d}) \notin C^u$  i.e., for each  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  there exists an entry,  $i(t)^{th}$  entry, of  $M(\vec{s} + t\vec{d})$  which does not belong to  $C$ . By Vander Waerden's theorem, whenever  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  is  $u$  coloured, there exists  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $t', f \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\{t' + f, \dots, t' + kf\}$  belongs to the  $r^{th}$  cell where  $t' + kf \leq m$ . Define  $i : \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  such that  $i(t' + f) = \dots = i(t' + kf) = r$  where  $t' + f, \dots, t' + kf \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Therefore, for each  $t'' \in \{t' + f, \dots, t' + kf\}$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^v a_{rj}(s_j + t''d_j) \notin C$ . Let  $b = \sum_{j=1}^v a_{rj}s_j + t' \sum_{j=1}^v a_{rj}d_j$ ,  $e = f \sum_{j=1}^v a_{rj}d_j$ . then  $b + e \notin C, b + 2e \notin C$

and so on. Also,  $b+e = \sum_{j=1}^v a_{rj}s_j + (t'+f) \sum_{j=1}^v a_{rj}d_j < a_{av}^{\epsilon'} + \dots + a_{av}^{\epsilon'} = \epsilon'$ . Similarly,  $b+2e \in (0, \epsilon')$  and so on. Therefore,  $\{b+e, b+2e, \dots, b+ke\} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus C) \cap (0, \epsilon')$ . This is a contradiction since  $(\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus C) \cap (0, \epsilon')$  does not contain any length  $k$  Arithmetic progression. Thus,  $B$  is a  $P^*$  set near zero in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .

□

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  which is IPR/ $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\Psi$  be central\* near zero or  $QC^*$  near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $C$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : A\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be defined by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension.

Case  $\Psi = \text{central}^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a central\* set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,  $E(K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Let  $p$  be an idempotent in  $K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))$ . Then by Theorem 3.3, for every  $P \in p, \epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\vec{x} \in (0, \epsilon)^v$  such that  $M\vec{x} \in P^u$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $\tilde{\phi}(K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))) = \tilde{\phi}(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)) \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$ . Let  $q$  be an idempotent in  $K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi}(q)$  is an idempotent in  $(K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$ . So,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ . Therefore,  $q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u] = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .

Case  $\Psi = QC^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a  $QC^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,  $E(C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . We will prove that  $E(C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^v}K(0^+((\mathbb{R}^+)^v))) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ . Let  $p \in E(C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\phi}[C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))] \subseteq C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}\tilde{\phi}[K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))] \\ &= C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}[\tilde{\phi}(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap (K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u] \text{ (Using Lemma 3.6)} \\ &\subseteq C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}\tilde{\phi}[0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)] \cap C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}(K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u \\ &= C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}\tilde{\phi}[0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)] \cap (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $q$  is an idempotent in  $C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}[K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))]$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}(q)$  is an idempotent in  $(C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)))^u$  and  $(E(C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}K(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))))^u \subseteq (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C)^u \subseteq C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in (C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C)^u$ , i.e.,  $q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u] \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}(\phi^{-1}[C^u])$ .

□

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row equal to the zero row. Define  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous*

extension. Let  $q \in J_0((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_u \end{pmatrix}$  then for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $p_i \in J_0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$  and let  $B \in p_i$ . We will show that  $B$  is a J set near zero. Let  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{R}_0^+)$ . We will show that for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $a \in (0, \delta)$  and  $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  such that for all  $f \in F$ ,  $a + \sum_{t \in H} f(t) \in B$ . Recall that  $J_0(\mathbb{R}^+) = \{p \in 0^+(\mathbb{R}^+) : \forall B \in p, B \text{ is a J set near zero}\}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ , so for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ ,  $p_i \in 0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Let  $\pi_i : (\beta(\mathbb{R}^+))^u \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{R}^+$  be the  $i^{th}$  projection map, then  $\pi_i^{-1}[C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}B]$  is a neighbourhood of  $\tilde{\phi}(q)$  so there exists a neighbourhood, say,  $C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}D$  of  $q$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}D) \subseteq \pi_i^{-1}[C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}B]$ , i. e., there exists  $D \in q$  such that  $(\pi_i \circ \tilde{\phi})(C\ell_{\beta(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}D) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}B$ , i.e., there exists  $D \in q$  such that  $(\pi_i \circ \phi)(D) \subseteq B$ . Let  $M = (a_{ij})$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij} = k$  Now,  $D$  is a J-set near zero in

$(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ . So let  $F' = \{\frac{1}{k} \begin{pmatrix} f(n) \\ \vdots \\ f(n) \end{pmatrix} = \vec{f}(n) | f \in F\}$ , then  $F' \in \mathcal{P}_f((\mathcal{R}_0^+)^v)$ . Let

$\epsilon > 0$ , then, for  $\frac{\epsilon}{k} > 0$ ,  $F' \in \mathcal{P}_f((\mathcal{R}_0^+)^v)$ , there exists  $\vec{b} \in (0, \frac{\epsilon}{k})^v$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  such that for all  $\vec{f} \in F'$ ,  $\vec{b} + \sum_{t \in H} \vec{f}(t) \in D$ , i.e., there exists  $\vec{b} \in (0, \frac{\epsilon}{k})^v$ ,  $H \in$

$\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  such that for all  $\vec{f} \in F'$ ,  $(\pi_i \circ \phi)(\vec{b} + \sum_{t \in H} \vec{f}(t)) \in B$ . Let  $\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_v \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then  $\sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij}(b_j + \sum_{t \in H} \frac{f(t)}{k}) \in B$ . Let  $\sum_{j=1}^v a_{ij}b_j = c$  then  $c \in (0, \epsilon)$ . Thus  $c + \sum_{t \in H} f(t) \in B$  and hence  $B$  is a J-set near zero.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  be a  $u \times v$  matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and with no row of  $M$  as the zero row. Let  $\Psi$  be  $C^*$  near zero or  $J^*$  set near zero or  $IP^*$  near zero. If  $C$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $\{\vec{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^v : M\vec{x} \in C^u\}$  is a  $\Psi$  set in  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^v \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be defined by  $\phi(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$  and let  $\tilde{\phi} : \beta((\mathbb{R}^+)^v) \rightarrow (\beta\mathbb{R}^+)^u$  be its continuous extension.

Case  $\Psi = C^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a  $C^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,  $E(J_0(\mathbb{R}^+)) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Let  $q$  be an idempotent in  $J_0((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  and let

$\tilde{\phi}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_u \end{pmatrix}$ . Then by Lemma 3.13, each  $p_i$  is an idempotent in  $J_0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,

therefore,  $p_i \in E(J_0(\mathbb{R}^+)) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ , thus,  $q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u) = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .

Case  $\Psi = J^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a  $J^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,

$J_0(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Let  $q \in J_0((\mathbb{R}^+)^v)$  and let  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_u \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

by Lemma 3.13, each  $p_i \in J_0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , therefore,  $p_i \in C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ , thus,  $q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u] = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}\phi^{-1}[C^u]$ .

Case  $\Psi = \text{IP}^*$  near zero. Let  $C$  be a  $\text{IP}^*$  set near zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then,  $E(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)) \subseteq$

$C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ . Let  $q$  be an idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v$ . and let  $\tilde{\phi}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_u \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then by Lemma 3.4,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in (0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u$ . Now, each  $p_i$  is an idempotent in  $0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , therefore,  $p_i \in C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)}C$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\phi}(q) \in C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u$ , thus,  $q \in \tilde{\phi}^{-1}[C\ell_{(0^+(\mathbb{R}^+))^u}C^u] = C\ell_{0^+(\mathbb{R}^+)^v}(\phi^{-1}[C^u])$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, *Non-metrizable topological dynamics and Ramsey Theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), 293-320.
2. E. Bayatmanesh, M. Akbari Tootkaboni, *Central Sets Theorem near zero*, Topology and its Applications **248** (2018), 128-137.
3. D. De, N. Hindman, *Image partition regularity near zero*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 3219-3232.
4. D. De, R. K. Paul, *Combined Algebraic properties of  $\text{IP}^*$  and central\* sets near zero*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2012).
5. D. De, R. K. Paul, *Image partition regularity of matrices near zero with real entries*, New York J. Math. **17** (2011), 149-161.
6. H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1981.
7. N. Hindman, *Image partition regularity over the reals*, New York J. Math. **9** (2003), 79-91.
8. N. Hindman, *Notions of size in a semigroup-an update from a historical perspective*, Semigroup Forum **100** (2020), 52-76.
9. N. Hindman and I. Leader, *The semigroup of ultrafilters near zero*, Semigroup Forum **59** (1999), 33-55.
10. N. Hindman and I. Leader, *Image partition regularity of matrices*, Comb. Prob. and Comp. **2** (1993), 437-463.
11. N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Image partition regular matrices and concepts of largeness*, New York J. Math. **26** (2020), 230-260.
12. N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Ćech compactification – Theory and Applications*, W. de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998.
13. K. Imsong, R. K. Paul, *Preservation of notion of  $C$  Sets near zero over reals*, 2025, arxiv:2504.06756v1
14. B. van der Waerden, *Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde **19** (1927), 212-216.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NAGALAND UNIVERSITY, LUMAMI-798627, NAGALAND, INDIA.

*Email address:* `kilangbenla@nagalanduniversity.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NAGALAND UNIVERSITY, LUMAMI-798627, NAGALAND, INDIA.

*Email address:* `rmkpaul@gmail.com`, `rkpaul@nagalanduniversity.ac.in`