

Empathy Level Prediction in Multi-Modal Scenario with Supervisory Documentation Assistance

Yufei Xiao and Shangfei Wang, *Member, IEEE*,

Abstract—Prevalent empathy prediction techniques primarily concentrate on a singular modality, typically textual, thus neglecting multi-modal processing capabilities. They also overlook the utilization of certain privileged information, which may encompass additional empathetic content. In response, we introduce an advanced multi-modal empathy prediction method integrating video, audio, and text information. The method comprises the Multi-Modal Empathy Prediction and Supervisory Documentation Assisted Training. We use pre-trained networks in the empathy prediction network to extract features from various modalities, followed by a cross-modal fusion. This process yields a multi-modal feature representation, which is employed to predict empathy labels. To enhance the extraction of text features, we incorporate supervisory documents as privileged information during the assisted training phase. Specifically, we apply the Latent Dirichlet Allocation model to identify potential topic distributions to constrain text features. These supervisory documents, created by supervisors, focus on the counseling topics and the counselor's display of empathy. Notably, this privileged information is only available during training and is not accessible during the prediction phase. Experimental results on the multi-modal and dialogue empathy datasets demonstrate that our approach is superior to the existing methods.

Index Terms—Empathy, Multi-Modality, Assisted Training.

I. INTRODUCTION

EMPATHY is characterized by an emotional response that arises from the interplay between inherent traits and situational factors. This empathetic response is spontaneously triggered, yet it is also sculpted by deliberate cognitive control. The emergent emotion closely mirrors the individual's direct or imagined experience and cognitive understanding of the emotional stimulus, accompanied by an awareness that the origin of this emotion lies outside oneself [1]. It is an essential element of human communication and interaction that enables our profound understanding and connection with others. It requires the capacity to discern and respond to the sentiments and perspectives of others. Therefore, predicting empathy plays a significant role in a wide range of applications, including, but not limited to mental health support, social media, and human-robot interaction. For instance, for mental health care, precise empathy prediction can assist therapists in adapting their approaches, aligning more closely with the emotional needs of clients, resulting in more effective and personalized treatments.

Previous studies on predicting empathy aimed at designing efficient network architectures for predicting empathy levels [2]–[6]. They modeled the interaction between the client and the counselor during counseling sessions into feature representations to predict empathy ratings [7]–[9]. Although previous studies have made significant progress in empathy prediction,

they mainly focused on using unimodal input, particularly text. Most real-world scenarios requiring empathy understanding, such as mental counseling, usually involve multi-modal input, including text, audio, and video. The overreliance on singular modalities leads to the incomprehensive understanding of empathy.

Furthermore, few works in empathy prediction involve the utilization of privileged information, which may contain additional empathetic content. For example, the supervisory documents are objective descriptions of the entire counseling process conducted by a supervisor, which is independent of mental counseling. As illustrated in Figure 1, the descriptions mainly include topics and backgrounds of the counseling session, such as family, work, marriage, and others, as well as the client's demands and emotional expressions, and the counselor's empathetic behavior. Apart from these objective contexts and settings, this description typically includes the supervisor's subjective evaluation of the effectiveness of the counselor's empathetic behavior. Given their extensive expertise, psychological supervisors can offer clearer and more precise analyses of empathy in the counseling process. Therefore, the information contained in the supervisory documents, both subjective and objective, facilitates a more comprehensive analysis of empathetic expressions in counseling conversations, but they are exclusively accessible during the training phase, lacking presence in the testing phase and predictive downstream tasks.

To address these limitations, we propose an advanced multi-modal empathy prediction method integrating video, audio, and text information. The method comprises two parts: Multi-Modal Empathy Prediction Network and Supervisory Documentation Assisted Training. The proposed empathy prediction network takes inputs from text, audio, and visual sources and generates a multi-modal representation to predict the empathy level. In addition, we utilize the supervisory documents to assist in the extraction of text features of the counseling process. We propose the supervisory documentation assisted training framework including a Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) module to extract the topic distribution of the supervisory documents. We use this distribution as supervision to learn the corresponding conversation's topic distribution from the original text feature. In this way, the supervisory documents constrain the text features to focus on critical empathy information, thereby improving the accuracy of empathy prediction.

We conduct a series of experiments on the Multi-Modal Empathy Dataset in Counseling [10] (MEDIC) and the Mental Health Subreddits Dataset [11]. To the best of our knowledge, the MEDIC stands as the only publicly available multi-modal



Supervisory Document of this Counseling Session

a. Consultation Topic:

Here, the client expresses a desire for her husband to initiate a divorce,

b. Client's Demands and Emotional Expressions:

which actually reveals their subconscious fear of divorce.

c. Counselor's Empathetic Behavior:

We can observe that the counselor keeps repeating the client's worries and attempts to empathize with their fear from her perspective.

Fig. 1. An example of the supervisory documents. The left is the conversation in the counseling session, and the right is the corresponding supervisory document

empathy dataset. Specifically, we perform ablation experiments and then compare our method with related works on this multi-modal dataset. The experimental results show that our method improves the accuracy and F1 score with multi-modal input and assistance of supervised documents, compared to the single-modal and unassisted cases. Additionally, we conduct cross-dataset experiments on the Mental Health Subreddits Dataset [11] to validate the generalization capabilities of our approach. Compared to related work, our method demonstrates clear advantages.

Contributions of this work are summarized as follows:

- (1) We introduce a multi-modal empathy prediction network, which enables us to gather more comprehensive information across multiple modalities.
- (2) We novelly leverage supervisory documents through a dedicated training framework to assist the text feature extraction. This integrates the rich empathy information from supervisory documents into the text features.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section we will give a brief review on previous works of empathy prediction and assisted training with privileged information.

A. Empathy prediction

Empathy prediction is to estimate the level of empathy displayed in given communication scenarios, such as counseling sessions or online conversations. Related studies on predicting empathy mainly focus on developing network architectures to predict empathy. Gibson et al. [12] introduced a recurrent neural network (RNN) that maps the text content of each speaker turn to a series of behavioral acts, which was then employed to initialize lower layers of the network to predict counselors' empathy ratings. They conducted the experiments on the dataset including motivational interviews collected from six independent clinical studies on addiction counseling. Sharma et al. [11] proposed a computational approach to comprehend the expression of empathy in online mental health

platforms. They created a new unified, theoretically grounded framework to characterize empathy communication in text-based conversations. Xiao et al. [13] employed an N-gram language model based on a maximum likelihood strategy to differentiate empathic from non-empathic utterances to measure empathy. They carried out the experiments on the dataset of the transcripts from clinical studies on substance use. Kumano et al. [14] developed a probabilistic model that employed a hierarchical structure involving facial expressions, and other behaviors, including utterances and gaze direction to estimate emotional interactions. Guda et al. [15] introduced EMPATH-BERT, a demographic-aware framework for empathy prediction based on Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers [16] (BERT). They used an empathy-distress dataset including text pairs of news-responses for the empathy prediction. Vasava et al. [17] presented a framework that employs the RoBERTa model for training, followed by the addition of a few layers on top to fine-tune the transformer. They evaluated their results on the same dataset as that used by Guda et al. [15]. Dey et al. [18] performed a study on empathic language using a computational approach and linguistic analysis. They developed a novel system architecture to enhance the classification of empathy using state-of-the-art recurrent neural networks and transformer models. The experiments were conducted on a large corpus of 440 essays written by pre-med students, which narrated simulated patient-doctor interactions.

However, most predicting methods above only utilized unimodal input to predict empathy levels, especially text. This may lead to the loss of multi-modal information and the lack of diversity in feature representations. In this paper, we introduce an effective multi-modal empathy prediction network, which combines text, audio, and visual information, to predict empathy levels in multi-modal scenarios.

B. Assisted training with privileged information

Assisted training with privileged information is to utilize additional information during the training process that may

not be available during the testing phase. Privileged information can enhance the model's accuracy and efficiency by providing additional information. For instance, Vapnik et al. [19] introduced the Learning Using Privileged Information (LUPI) paradigm. Unlike traditional machine learning methods, LUPI allowed the inclusion of privileged information during the training phase, which was not available during testing. They extended the Support Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm to create SVM+, which incorporated the privileged information. Wang et al. [20] proposed a novel ontology-assisted text document similarity measurement method called Concept Forest (CF). The CF approach constructed semantic representations of documents by mapping keywords to their respective synsets and forming a semantic tree using the WordNet lexical database. Li et al. [21] introduced a novel Comment-Guided Learning (CGL) framework to bridge the knowledge gap between expert assessors and feature engineers in natural language processing (NLP) systems. The CGL framework utilizes comments from human assessors as privileged information during the training phase to guide feature encoding. Hoeve et al. [22] explored document-centered assistance in productivity contexts, addressing the gap in conversational digital assistants' ability to facilitate document-related tasks. They developed reasonably accurate models to answer questions during conversations using document-centered assistance.

We are inspired by the mentioned works and propose a supervisory documentation assisted training framework that includes two components: a Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) module and a topic distribution prediction module. The LDA module enables us to obtain the topic distribution of the supervision document, which we utilize as supervision to learn the topic distribution of the corresponding conversation from the text features. By constraining the text features through this assisted training framework, we can prioritize the content that is more relevant to empathy in the conversation, thereby improving the accuracy of empathy prediction.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The original data comprises three types of information: text, audio, and visual information. It consists of N conversation segments with corresponding text $\{T_i\}$, audio $\{A_i\}$, and video $\{V_i\}$ representations, as well as the associated supervisory documents $\{P_i\}$ in text form, where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. The training set is $S_{test} = \{T_{train}, A_{train}, V_{train}, P_{train}\}$, where T_{train} , A_{train} , V_{train} , P_{train} are the subsets of $\{T_i\}$, $\{A_i\}$, $\{V_i\}$ and $\{P_i\}$ respectively. The testing set is $S_{test} = \{T_{test}, A_{test}, V_{test}\}$. In addition, the supervisory documents are only available in the training set, indicating that no additional information can be utilized during testing. Our goal is to utilize the rich semantic information in the multi-modal representations and supervisory documents to predict the levels of empathy.

IV. PROPOSED METHOD

As illustrated in Figure 2, we introduce a multi-modal empathy prediction network assisted by supervisory documentation to predict empathy under the multi-modal scenario. Our

network comprises two primary components: a multi-modal empathy prediction network, which combines text, audio, and visual features to predict empathy labels, and the supervisory documentation assisted training process that leverages supervisory documents to learn the distribution of topics, which is then used to supervise the prediction of dialogue's topic distribution.

The multi-modal empathy prediction network consists of three steps: feature extraction, cross-modal combination and multi-modal aggregation. In the feature extraction part, we use three pre-trained models to extract the feature representations of the source data of three modalities. In the cross-modal combination part, we employ a combination similar to the attention mechanism on the extracted unimodal features to learn the bimodal fusion between text modality and other modalities. In the multi-modal aggregation part, we further concatenate the bimodal fusions and text feature using a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) layer to deeply fuse the three modalities, because previous studies [23] have demonstrated that text modality often plays a major role among the three modalities. Thus we obtain the final deeply-fused feature representations of the three modalities, which are input to a softmax layer for predicting the final empathy prediction.

Then we present a supervisory documentation-assisted training process, which comprises two components: a Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) module and a topic distribution prediction module. The LDA module learns the topic distribution from the supervisory documents and the probabilities of words associated with each topic, which enables us to obtain the topic distribution for a supervisory document in the training set. The topic distribution prediction module utilizes a linear layer with a softmax function applied to the text feature to predict the topic distribution of the conversation, using the topic distribution of the corresponding supervisory document as the supervision, instead of directly using the supervisory documents.

A. Multi-Modal Empathy Prediction Network

The inconsistency in multi-modal representations presents a significant challenge. To tackle these problems, we develop a multi-modal empathy prediction network that comprises three modules: a feature extraction module, a cross-modal combination module, and a multi-modal aggregation module. The network predicts empathy labels by the multi-modal fusion, which is aggregated by the bimodal features and the text feature. This allows for a more accurate prediction of empathy labels.

1) *Feature Extraction*: Initially, we obtain the original multi-modal data from the training set, labeled as T_{train} , A_{train} , and V_{train} , corresponding to the text, audio, and vision modality, respectively. Subsequently, we model the original data from each modality into features utilizing three pre-trained networks - Librosa [24], OpenPose [25] and BERT-wm [26]. These methods have been widely used for extracting Mel-frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) features from audio, body posture keypoint features, and text features. The hidden state outputs of the three modalities are represented




Fig. 2. The framework of Empathy Level Prediction in Multi-Modal Scenario with Supervisory Documentation Assistance method. It consists of two parts (a) Multi-Modal Empathy Prediction Network (b) Supervisory Documentation Assisted Training

as K_T , K_A , and K_V , respectively, as indicated in Equation (1). These preliminary features will serve as the inputs for the subsequent cross-modal combination module for more profound fusion.

$$\begin{aligned} K_A &= \text{Librosa}[A_{train}] \\ K_V &= \text{OpenPose}[V_{train}] \\ K_T &= \text{BERT}_{wvm}[T_{train}] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

2) *Cross-modal Combination*: In the cross-modal fusion section, we employ an approach similar to the attention mechanism [27] to design bimodal feature representations between the text modality and other modalities. The module takes the unimodal features K_T , K_A , and K_V as inputs, and produces bimodal representations $C_{T\&V}$ and $C_{T\&A}$ as shallow fusions of the multi-modal information. Initially, we employ a non-linear projection layer to align the text feature's dimension with those of the other modalities, as presented in Equation (2).

$$K'_T = \tanh(K_T \mathbf{W}_T + b_T) \quad (2)$$

Next, we use a covariance matrix $K_V(K'_T)^T$ to compute affinity scores for all hidden state pairs of the corresponding modalities. To generate attention weights A_V for each timestep of the visual (or audio) features across the text, we apply the softmax function to normalize the covariance matrix row-wise. Similarly, attention weights A_T for each word across the visual (or audio) features are obtained by normalizing the covariance matrix column-wise. The attention contexts of text features can be computed by taking the product of the attention weights A_V and the new text feature K'_T . Finally, we get the bimodal feature $C_{T\&V}$ by multiplying the concatenated matrix of the visual feature K_V and the attention contexts C_V by the attention weight A_T . Similarly, we obtain another bimodal

feature $C_{T\&A}$ by conducting the same process on the text and audio features. These bimodal features serve as the inputs for the following multi-modal aggregation module to achieve the final fusion. The whole process of generating bimodal features is shown in the equations below:

$$\begin{aligned} A_V &= \text{softmax}(K_V(K'_T)^T) \\ A_T &= \text{softmax}(K'_T K_V^T) \\ C_V &= A_V K'_T \\ C_{T\&V} &= A_T \times \text{Concat}[K_V, C_V] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

3) *Multi-Modal Aggregation*: We define $C_{T\&V}$ and $C_{T\&A}$ as co-dependent representations of the text and vision (or audio) modalities. However, these bimodal fusions have limitations: they are shallow fusions and do not effectively capture the knowledge in the text modality, nor do they support trimodal fusion. To overcome this limitation, we propose a multi-modal aggregation module that aggregates the bimodal fusions and the text features through an LSTM layer to obtain the final multi-modal representation, L_{agg} . This representation plays an important role in predicting empathy labels. The equation for this module is presented below:

$$L_{agg} = \text{LSTM}([C_{T\&V}, C_{T\&A}, K_T]) \quad (4)$$

The multi-modal empathy prediction network takes the original multi-modal data T_{train} , A_{train} , and V_{train} as inputs and produces the deeply-fused multi-modal representation L_{agg} for empathy prediction. In this network, we take full advantage of the multi-modal information and prioritize the text modality, which plays a more significant role than other modalities during the fusion process. Consequently, compared to related works on empathy prediction with unimodal information, our

proposed network can learn empathetic knowledge from multi-modal features, resulting in improved accuracy in assessing empathy levels.

B. Supervisory Documentation Assisted Training

The additional challenge faced by this issue lies in optimizing the fusion of multi-modal features while incorporating supervisory documents. The content of supervisory documents is often verbose and may contain irrelevant information. Directly converting such text into feature vectors not only significantly increases computational complexity but also risks contaminating the original feature vectors, leading to a substantial decrease in the accuracy of empathy prediction. To address the limitations, we propose a supervisory documentation assisted training network comprising two parts: the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) module and a topic distribution prediction module. This network enables the learning of the topic distribution of supervisory documents, which is then utilized to supervise the learning of the dialogue's topic distribution.

1) *Latent Dirichlet Allocation*: We apply the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) module on the supervisory documents to identify potential topics with their distributions. Latent Dirichlet Allocation is a statistical model for uncovering latent topics that are present in a collection of documents. It is based on the assumption that a document is a mixture of several topics, and each topic is represented by a distribution of words. The goal of LDA is to learn the underlying topic distribution of a collection of documents, as well as the word distribution of each topic. The model is unsupervised, meaning it can automatically discover latent topics without prior knowledge or human intervention.

Specifically, we begin by setting the number of topics, denoted as K , that each document in the training set P_{train} will be represented by a K -dimensional probability vector. Subsequently, the LDA module randomly assigns each word in each document to one of the topics and calculates the likelihood of the data given the current topic assignments. And then, the algorithm updates the topic distribution for each document and the word distribution for each topic. This iterative process continues until the algorithm converges to a stable set of topic distributions and word distributions.

As a result of the LDA module, we obtain two sets of parameters: the word probabilities $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_K\}$ given a topic and the topic probabilities $(p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{iK})$ given a document T_i . By utilizing the latter set of parameters, we can represent each document and map any new document into the topic space through the LDA module. This allows us to express each document as a probability distribution of the topic, which yields a K -dimensional probability vector of topic distribution. These results can be used for topic classification tasks in the subsequent module and represented in Equation (5).

$$\begin{aligned} Topics &= \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_K\} \\ y_{dis}(T_i) &= (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{iK}) \\ \text{Where } \sum_{j=1}^K p_{ij} &= 1, \quad 0 \leq p_{ij} \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

2) *Topic Distribution Prediction*: After applying the LDA module to extract the topic distribution, we propose a linear model that incorporates softmax layers on the text feature K_T to predict the distribution of topics within a conversation. Specifically, each conversation T_i is associated with a corresponding supervisory document P_i . The conversation T_i possesses a topic distribution of $(p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{iK})$. In this way, the topic distribution serves as a supervision mechanism to guide the learning process on the text features K_T . The module is formally presented through Equation (6).

$$\begin{aligned} z_{dis} &= K'_T \mathbf{W}_{dis} + b_{dis} \\ \hat{y}_{dis} &= \text{softmax}(z_{dis}) = \frac{\exp(z_{dis})}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(z_j)} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where \hat{y}_{dis} refers to the final prediction of the distribution of the conversations.

The supervisory documentation assisted training network learns the distribution of supervisory documents through the Latent Dirichlet Allocation module. Then the network uses text features to predict the conversation distribution with the supervisory document distribution serving as supervision. This approach enhances the understanding of empathetic expressions by supervising the text features and increasing their attention to the essential content for empathy patterns of the text.

C. Loss Function

The loss function of the proposed multi-modal fusion model with a supervisory documentation-assisted network consists of two parts. The first part is the loss function of the multi-modal empathy prediction network. In the previous subsection, we explained how the model generates the final multi-modal representation, L_{agg} . The model then feeds this representation to a linear layer with a softmax function to obtain the empathy label predictions, as shown in the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{emp} &= L_{agg} \mathbf{W}_{emp} + b_{emp} \\ \hat{y}_{emp} &= \text{softmax}(z_{emp}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

\hat{y}_{emp} refers to the predicted empathy labels. Then we utilize the cross-entropy loss function L_s on the given true label y_i , as presented in the equation below:

$$L_s = -\frac{1}{N_{train}} \sum_i \hat{y}_{emp,i} \log(y_i) \quad (8)$$

where N_{train} denotes the size of the training set.

In the second part, we employ the KL divergence loss L_t to evaluate the distance of the predicted distribution \hat{y}_{dis} and the true distribution y_{dis} , which is demonstrated in the following equation.

$$L_t = \sum_i \hat{y}_{dis}(T_i) \log \frac{\hat{y}_{dis}(T_i)}{y_{dis}(T_i)} \quad (9)$$

Finally, we obtain the total loss function L by linearly combining L_s and L_t with the corresponding weights w_s and w_t , as shown in the equation below:

$$L = w_s L_s + w_t L_t \quad (10)$$

TABLE I
THE PERFORMANCE OF OUR MODEL USING UNIMODAL, BIMODAL AND MULTI-MODAL FEATURES

Model	Source	EE label		ER label		CR label	
		Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1
Unimodal	Text	0.753	0.732	0.783	0.773	0.750	0.754
	Audio	0.721	0.719	0.783	0.781	0.651	0.653
	Video	0.656	0.659	0.691	0.685	0.586	0.519
Bimodal	Text+Audio	0.773	0.776	0.783	0.775	0.783	0.778
	Text+Video	0.857	0.858	0.796	0.792	0.822	0.823
	Audio+Video	0.753	0.758	0.789	0.783	0.763	0.758
Multi-Modal	Text+Audio+Video	0.883	0.884	0.822	0.812	0.829	0.827

To provide a clearer illustration of the method's workflow, we present a detailed training process in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 The learning algorithm of the proposed method.

Input: Training set: $\mathcal{S}_{Train} = \{T_i, A_i, V_i, P_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$;
Number of topics: K ;
Number of iterations: T
Output: Prediction of conversation's empathy level \hat{y}

- 1: Obtain the feature representations of three modalities, namely K_A , K_V , and K_T .
- 2: Get the topic distribution θ of the supervisory documents.
 $y_{dis} = LDA[\{P_i\}_{i=1}^N, K]$
- 3: Initialize the weight matrices \mathbf{W}_T , \mathbf{W}_{dis} , \mathbf{W}_{egg} and the bias matrices b_T , b_{dis} , b_{emp} randomly
- 4: **for** iterations $i = 1$ to T **do**
- 5: Calculate the aligned text features K'_T .
- 6: Get the multi-modal representations L_{agg} .
- 7: Calculate the predicted empathy labels \hat{y}_{emp} .
- 8: Obtain the loss of the predicted empathy labels L_s .
- 9: Calculate the predicted distributions \hat{y}_{dis} .
- 10: Obtain the KL divergence loss of the predicted distributions L_t .
- 11: Update the weight matrices \mathbf{W}_T , \mathbf{W}_{dis} , \mathbf{W}_{egg} and the bias matrices b_T , b_{dis} , b_{emp} with the loss.
- 12: **end for**
- 13: Calculate the multi-modal representations L_{agg}
- 14: Calculate the final predicted empathy labels
 $\hat{y} = \argmax(\text{softmax}(L_{agg}\mathbf{W}_{emp} + b_{emp}))$
- 15: **return** The prediction \hat{y}

V. EXPERIMENTS

A. Experimental Setup

1) *Datasets*: To evaluate our proposed method, we conduct experiments on the Multi-Modal Empathy Dataset in Counseling [10] (MEDIC), which is currently the only known public multi-modal empathy dataset in counseling scenarios. This dataset is built on wild, face-to-face, authentic counseling sessions. It comprises 771 video clips including the text modality reflecting the conversations' content, the visual modality that captures the body and facial expressions, and the audio modality recording the voices. To evaluate the degree of empathy between the counselors and their clients, the dataset proposes three labels: expression of experience

(EE), emotional reaction (ER), and cognitive reaction (CR). The empathy labels were annotated by trained annotators. We obtained the supervisory documents from the source of the dataset, UM Psychology's counseling case courses. We discovered that for each original mental counseling session video in the dataset, there is an accompanying text segment providing supervision and commentary on the empathy process by external supervisors. These contents can be used as supplementary data to the primary dataset, as they contain rich empathy-related information that can be leveraged to predict empathy labels. Following the work of the dataset paper [10], we divided MEDIC into three parts, consisting of a training set, a validation set, and a testing set, with a ratio of 7:1:2.

To further investigate the generalization capabilities of our proposed model, we conducted cross-dataset experiments with the trained network on the Mental Health Subreddits Dataset [11]. The dataset comprises 3,081 pairs of psychological question-and-answer dialogues collected from Reddit, annotated with three empathy indicators similar to those in the MEDIC dataset, namely Emotional Reactions, Explorations, and Interpretations. Due to the lack of multi-modal empathy datasets, to the best of our knowledge, this is the only text-based empathy dataset for psychological counseling dialogues with annotations comparable to the MEDIC dataset. Consequently, we were able to directly transfer our trained ablation model, which solely relies on textual input, onto the Mental Health Subreddits dataset without the necessity for retraining. Following their work [11], we split the dataset into training, validation, and test sets with a ratio of 75:5:20 to align with comparable methods.

2) *Implementation Details*: The proposed model was trained using a dual setup of 3090 graphics cards. A batch size of 32 was used for training, along with a learning rate of 1e-3 and a dropout rate of 0.3. The proposed model underwent 60 epochs of training. The number of topics K is set to 10 using the grid search. The weighting factors for the loss functions, denoted as w_s and w_t , are assigned values of 0.84 and 0.16, respectively. We computed accuracy and weighted F1 scores based on the true and predicted labels to assess the model's performance. Higher accuracy and F1 score values indicate better model performance.

B. Ablation Study and Analysis

As outlined in Section 4, our framework consists of two major components. To assess the effectiveness of each com-

TABLE II
COMPARISON BETWEEN THE MODELS WITH AND WITHOUT SUPERVISORY DOCUMENTATION ASSISTED TRAINING

Model	EE label		ER label		CR label	
	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1
Model without SDAT	0.851	0.852	0.783	0.779	0.796	0.788
Model with SDAT	0.883	0.884	0.822	0.812	0.829	0.827

TABLE III
COMPARISON WITH RELATED WORKS

Model	EE label		ER label		CR label	
	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1
Concat	0.819	0.810	0.703	0.699	0.735	0.731
TFN	0.758	0.758	0.729	0.719	0.722	0.712
SWAFN	0.864	0.863	0.743	0.743	0.783	0.785
BERT-based	0.727	0.722	0.757	0.730	0.776	0.762
Ours	0.883	0.884	0.822	0.812	0.829	0.827

ponent, we conducted ablation studies. We utilized similar networks using unimodal, bimodal, and multi-modal features to assess the performance of the multi-modal fusion network. Additionally, we used a similar network without the supervisory documentation- assisted training network to determine the efficacy of supervisory documents. Detailed results are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

1) *Ablation Study on Multi-Modality*: In our multi-modality ablation experiments, we utilized similar networks that employ unimodal, bimodal, and multi-modal features to assess the performance of the multi-modal empathy prediction network. The results of the experiments are presented in Table 1, indicating that the model achieves the best performance with multi-modal input on each label. This outcome suggests that the model learns significantly from the multi-modal information. Compared to the model’s accuracy with unimodal input, our model demonstrates conspicuous improvements of 17.3%, 7.0%, and 16.6% on each label. The model’s most significant enhancement is observed in the EE label, indicating that the multi-modal empathy prediction network effectively captures and utilizes empathy information from audio and visual features, derived from the client’s sounds and movements, to predict the empathy level. Conversely, the improvements on ER and CR labels are less pronounced because mental counselors usually regulate their emotional expression during counseling sessions. Additionally, our findings indicate that the bimodal input accuracy and F1 score are higher than those of the model with unimodal input. This outcome demonstrates that bimodal input has more empathy information for prediction than unimodal input.

2) *Ablation Study on Supervisory Documents*: Table 2 presents the results of our ablation study on supervisory documents, where we compared models with and without the supervisory documentation assisted training (SDAT) module. Our findings indicate that the inclusion of supervisory documents improves accuracy for each label by 3.2%, 3.9%, and 3.3%, respectively. These results demonstrate that our model

learns valuable empathy information from the supervisory documents. Notably, we observed a slightly higher improvement in the ER label compared to other labels. This result can be attributed to the fact that supervisory documents mainly focus on evaluating the emotional reactions of mental counselors during counseling sessions, which provides crucial information for predicting the ER label.

C. Comparisons to Related Works

In the section of comparisons to the related works, we compared our approach with baselines on the dataset paper [10] and a BERT-based empathy prediction model. The straightforward multi-modal concatenation model, TFN model, and SWAFN model serve as the baselines for the MEDIC dataset. Detailed descriptions of these methods can be found in the dataset’s original paper. In addition, we conducted comparative experiments using the empathy prediction model proposed in the paper [11] against our model. This model is a variant of BERT [16], which implies that it exclusively utilizes the textual information from the dataset. To the best of our knowledge, it is the only recent empathy prediction work with publicly available source code.

The experimental findings are presented in Table 3. Our proposed approach surpasses prior related works, exhibiting the highest accuracy levels of 88.3%, 82.2%, and 82.9% for empathy labels, indicating improvements of 1.9%, 6.5%, and 4.6% compared to the best- performing method for each label. Additionally, our model achieved the highest F1 score of 0.884, 0.812, and 0.827. Notably, the improvements are more significant for the ER and CR labels, suggesting our method’s superiority over other approaches for mental counselor-related labels. This advantage can be attributed to the evaluation of the supervisor to the treatment process of the mental counselor, which is a central aspect of the supervisory documents and immensely beneficial in predicting ER and CR labels.

TABLE IV
CROSS-DATASET PERFORMANCE

Model	Emotion Reactions		Explorations		Interpretations	
	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1	Acc.	F1
Log. Reg.	41.69	42.69	67.08	46.63	70.58	49.77
RNN	71.63	42.85	85.58	30.74	76.21	51.76
HRED	71.11	44.10	85.58	30.74	79.65	54.16
BERT	72.13	50.41	89.35	56.54	82.16	61.20
GPT-2	76.69	71.65	88.25	58.28	82.32	62.27
DialoGPT	66.07	51.66	89.65	70.65	81.85	68.95
RoBERTa	76.99	70.35	90.58	63.41	82.16	61.38
Baseline	79.43	74.46	92.61	72.58	84.04	62.60
Ours	73.70	69.66	78.50	78.52	72.21	70.83

TABLE V
MISCLASSIFIED EXAMPLES OF EE LABEL

Conversations	True	Predicted
Client: What else can I do! Mental Counselor: It's because when faced with a situation that seems to have a choice, you seem to have no choice.	1	0
Client: Worry about their relationship? Mental Counselor: It seems that you have an intolerance for inequality that disturbs you.	1	0

TABLE VI
MISCLASSIFIED EXAMPLES OF ER LABEL

Conversations	True	Predicted
Client: Yes, that is other people's business, but why, when it comes to me, I don't know what's wrong with me, that is, why can't I be the kind of person who has the passion and motivation to build a big family and fill the home with laughter every day. Mental Counselor: It sounds like you really want such a family, but it may be a conflict. You really want it, but I think that kind of desire is too far away from you, so on the other hand, you may feel that it is someone else's family and has nothing to do with you at all.	1	0
Client: Fine. Mental Counselor: Well, if that's the case, then maybe, um, there will be a choice here. Do you choose a person who is like a big tree and can give you enough care when you need it, or do you need someone who is similar to you but can accompany you to move forward?	1	0

D. Cross-Dataset Experiments

We conducted comparative analyses with existing methods on the Mental Health Subreddits Dataset [11] dataset, with experimental results presented in Table 4. Since this dataset contains only textual dialogue information, we directly applied the pre-trained text ablation model to generate the corresponding empathy labels without requiring additional training.

We find that our model achieves a performance that ranks in the upper middle range across all models, with an accuracy that lags by an average of 10.6% behind the optimal model. However, in terms of the F1 score, our model surpasses the optimal model on the Explorations and Interpretations labels. Given that our model was not trained on this dataset, the discrepancy in accuracy falls within a desirable range. Moreover, our model exhibits a small discrepancy between accuracy and

TABLE VII
MISCLASSIFIED EXAMPLES OF CR LABEL

Conversations	True	Predicted
Client: Hmm. Could you repeat that? I didn't quite catch you. Mental Counselor: Yeah, so, like, when you were talking earlier about changing jobs, it got me thinking about how every different job switch is unpredictable, especially when you're quitting and starting a new one. You know what I mean?	2	1
Client: Did I do something wrong? Mental Counselor: It seems that your daughter was not affected by what you told her. On the contrary, she felt that, well, she could comfort her mother.	1	2

the F1 score, with the F1 score outperforming the majority of models. This suggests that the model exhibits a well-balanced performance, capable of effectively identifying categories with fewer instances of labels, thereby demonstrating commendable overall efficacy.

E. Error Analysis

As presented in Table 5, we identified two random cases of misclassified EE labels that occurred when the client's question was relatively straightforward. In these cases, the model did not infer any expression of experience in the conversation. However, certain punctuation marks at the end of the sentences altered the emotional tone conveyed by the client. For example, in the first dialogue in Table 5, the phrase "What else can I do" is neutral in tone, but with the addition of an exclamation mark, we can sense the client's urgency. These punctuation marks were overlooked during the preprocessing phase of our work, potentially leading to misclassification. These examples highlight the need to pay closer attention to emotional punctuation in future research to improve our results.

Furthermore, the ER label quantifies the emotional reaction of the mental health counselor, whereas the CR label evaluates the cognitive reaction. Tables 6 and 7 present examples of misclassified instances in ER and CR labels respectively. Our observations indicate that the proposed model may produce inaccurate predictions when processing the counselor's long conversations composed of multiple short sentences. Due to the nature of their work, counselors often stop on important parts of the counseling process for extended periods. This will

TABLE VIII
AN EXAMPLE SHOWING TRAINING WITH AND WITHOUT SUPERVISORY DOCUMENTS ASSISTANCE ON EE LABELS

Conversations	<p>Client: It seems like you are trying to make me feel less guilty.</p> <p>Mental Counselor: Well, this is my true understanding. For example, if you are sitting in this consultation room, you can also understand that we are using each other. I am also using you to nourish me and increase my accumulation of cases. You can also use it, and you understand that you are using me to help you complete the task, whether it is to pass the level or to help you achieve self-growth.</p>
Supervisory Document of this Counseling Session	<p>The counselor once again made a strong confrontation and answered very straightforwardly about his understanding of the word “use”. His understanding of the two words was neutral, which meant it didn’t matter whether it was good or bad. The client’s response to this feedback was “I feel much more relaxed”. This relaxation also needs to be understood in terms of mental dynamics. This is a feeling that many people have. They feel that if they exploit others, it is equivalent to murdering others in their subconscious mind. The explanation for the origin of this feeling is that in my early years, in the relationship between my parents and me, the message conveyed by my parents was like this: child, if you continue to ask me to give you more things, I don’t have them anymore. So if you want me to give you more things, it means murdering me. When parents have such a sense of scarcity when giving love or other things, the child’s inner world will form another kind of scarcity, which is that after adulthood, they will not be able to make the most of the surrounding environment, including natural resources and interpersonal relationships, etc. This is why we see so many differences between people. Some people can use the environment to achieve their goals and make their lives better and better, while some people cannot. Some people can make the most of smartphones and use them as the best tool to help themselves, while some people only use part of the huge functions of mobile phones. Let’s continue watching the video.</p>
True EE Label	1 (weak expression)
Predicted EE Label with SDAT	1 (weak expression)
Predicted EE Label without SDAT	0 (no expression)

TABLE IX
AN EXAMPLE SHOWING TRAINING WITH AND WITHOUT SUPERVISORY DOCUMENTS ASSISTANCE ON ER LABELS

Conversations	<p>Client: Actually, I’m also very nervous. You said that my expression changed and my voice became different, but I didn’t feel it at all for I was very scared. It is just this feeling that you pushed me away. When you feel that these things have nothing to do with you, I feel a sense of being pushed away, a real sense of fear.</p> <p>Mental Counselor: Emm.</p> <p>Client: So do you want to express this? Just let me not count on you too much. You frowned, is it because I gave you a headache? Did I make you uncomfortable again?</p> <p>Mental Counselor: Well, with this question of concern for me, our consultation is brought back to the beginning.</p>
Supervisory Document of this Counseling Session	<p>I watched this interview for a long time and finally found that the counselor’s handling of the reality of this issue left a deep impression on me. The counselor said: “With this question of concern for me, our consultation is brought back to the beginning.” I think the reality of this issue has not been well responded to in this interview. I think everyone can respond more clearly. At this time, the counselor said that when you didn’t get a definite answer from me, you would feel bad about yourself again, afraid of causing trouble for me or making me feel embarrassed. Although these questions sound like a reshaping of the questions raised by the client, we will find that the counselor here feels that he has not fully entered the client’s heart to feel the state of this visit between the lines of his description. That is when there is no definite answer, such as this kind of uncertainty, and then this trouble, fear of causing trouble to others, fear of making the counselor feel embarrassed, this situation, and this emotion is not well experienced by the counselor. Then when the client has such emotions in his heart, I actually hope that the counselor can feel and respond to this emotion, and feel that the client’s heart is already bitter enough.</p>
True ER Label	0 (no reaction)
Predicted ER Label with SDAT	0 (no reaction)
Predicted ER Label without SDAT	1 (weak reaction)

result in long dialogues with significant semantic spans, which poses challenges for the model’s analysis. Therefore, future research will focus on enhancing our model’s ability to predict empathy in the context of long conversations.

VI. CASE STUDY

To further investigate the impact of supervisory documentation assisted training on the experimental results, we randomly selected instances from the MEDIC dataset that were correctly classified with the assistance of supervised documentation for the case study. The results are shown in Tables 8, 9, and 10. Additionally, due to the lack of corresponding supervisory documents for the other Subreddits dataset, and the fact that it

only contains textual modality information, we did not conduct ablation experiments or case studies on this dataset.

For the case with the EE label, as shown in Table 8, the background of this counseling session involves the client exploring feelings of guilt and self-worth in her intimate relationships. On the one hand, the client describes feelings of guilt toward her brother during her upbringing, as she received most of the family’s love and affection. She perceives herself as not being good enough and unworthy of this love. On the other hand, she expresses pressure stemming from her husband’s “overly perfect” nature, feeling that she cannot balance this unequal relationship, as her husband bears most of the family responsibilities, making her contributions seem insignificant. The conversation in the table took place near

TABLE X
AN EXAMPLE SHOWING TRAINING WITH AND WITHOUT SUPERVISORY DOCUMENTS ASSISTANCE ON CR LABELS

Conversations	Client: So if this situation doesn't change, I think it may cause great harm to me and my child. Mental Counselor: Yes. On the one hand, you feel uncomfortable in this environment, and on the other hand, you worry that your mother-in-law's raising may affect your child's future development. Client: Yes. Mental Counselor: So you said earlier that you hope your mother-in-law will leave your home. Client: Exactly. Mental Counselor: Hmm. Have you discussed this issue with your husband? How was the discussion? How did you express this to him?
Supervisory Document of this Counseling Session	The client emphasized that if the current situation does not change if her mother-in-law does not change her parenting methods, it will cause great harm to the child's personality. I think this may be a little exaggerated. The client made such an exaggeration for two purposes. One goal is to exaggerate the cause of such harm so that she can continue to maintain the conflict with her mother-in-law. The second purpose is to put pressure on the counselor. We find that this client's way of dealing with conflict is to make the other party disappear, to make her mother-in-law leave her home. Of course, her desire to make the other party disappear if there is a conflict is also received by her husband, so her husband said, well if you let my mother leave, I will leave too. This is actually the result of the recognition of the client's strong inner desire for divorce. Our counselor grasped this point very well, and he continued to move in the direction of promoting the client's awareness and understanding. Let's continue watching the video.
True CR Label	2 (strong expression)
Predicted CR Label with SDAT	2 (strong expression)
Predicted CR Label without SDAT	1 (weak expression)

the end of the session. After a lengthy consultation, the client expressed his feelings. In this segment of the conversation, the client experienced a sense of relaxation and a reduction in guilt, which was also emphasized in the corresponding supervisory documentation, as highlighted in red in the table. The supervisor provided a detailed psychological analysis of the emotions expressed by the client. Compared to networks trained without the assistance of supervisory documentation, our proposed method captured this additional information, leading to a correct classification for the EE label.

In the example of the ER label, as shown in Table 9, the background of this counseling session is that the client shared her confusion regarding her intimate relationship with her boyfriend. She hoped to strengthen her connection with the counselor by increasing the frequency of sessions and seeking the counselor's help in clarifying her concerns. During the discussion, the client expressed trust in the counselor and a desire for clear responses. However, the counselor, due to professional boundaries, declined her dependency, leading to the client's anxiety and fear of causing inconvenience to the counselor. The conversation in the table occurred at the beginning of the session. It can be observed that the client exhibited significant emotional fluctuations at the beginning of the counseling session, characterized by intense nervousness and fear, as well as anxiety about disturbing the counselor. When confronted with the client's intense emotional fluctuations, the counselor in this conversation merely articulated some of their feelings, without adequately responding to the client's emotions or demonstrating a rich empathetic reaction. This shortcoming was also emphasized by the supervisor in the corresponding supervisory documentation, as highlighted in red in the table. The supervisor specifically pointed out that the counselor failed to fully engage with the client's inner world and lacked understanding and empathy toward the emotions expressed. Therefore, the true label for emotional reaction in this conversation is 0, indicating no emotional reaction. With the inclusion of this additional privileged information,

we observed that our proposed method made more accurate predictions for the ER label compared to networks trained without the assistance of supervisory documentation.

In the example of the CR label, as shown in Table 10, the background of this counseling session is that the client perceives her mother-in-law to be overly involved in family life, while her husband consistently sides with his mother, leaving the client feeling isolated and helpless. The client also expresses concerns about her mother-in-law's parenting methods, believing they may have potential negative impacts on her child, which contributes to her confusion and distress within the marriage. The conversation in the table occurred at the very beginning of the counseling session, during which the client explained the reasons and background for seeking therapy. In response to the client's account, the counselor expressed a strong desire to further explore the issue. This was confirmed and explicitly endorsed by the supervisor in the supervision documentation, as highlighted in red and bold in the table. Therefore, the true label corresponding to the cognitive response for this conversation is 2, indicating a strong cognitive response. We found that with the inclusion of additional supervision information, our method was more accurate in predicting the intensity of empathy in the CR label compared to the network trained without the supervisory documentation assisted training.

Overall, the results of these case studies collectively demonstrate the importance of the supervisory documents assisted training. Whether in terms of overall accuracy comparisons or content-specific analyses of several test cases, our proposed method successfully extracts useful empathy-related information from the supervision documents, leading to more accurate empathy prediction results.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents an approach for predicting empathy levels in multi-modal scenarios with the assistance of supervisory documentation. Our method includes a multi-modal

empathy prediction network for predicting empathy levels, as well as a supervisory documentation assisted training module. The assisted module obtains the topic distribution of the supervisory documents, which contributes to constraining the text features for better prediction. Experimental results on two empathy datasets demonstrate the superiority of our proposed approach over existing works. We also conducted ablation studies to verify the effectiveness of each component. In future research, we plan to develop multi-task learning to improve the generalization capability of the framework.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. M. Cuff, S. J. Brown, L. Taylor, and D. J. Howat, "Empathy: A review of the concept," *Emotion review*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 144–153, 2016.
- [2] K. Zhou, L. M. Aiello, S. Scepanovic, D. Quercia, and S. Konrath, "The language of situational empathy," *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 5, no. CSCW1, pp. 1–19, 2021.
- [3] E. C. Montiel-Vázquez, J. A. Ramírez Uresti, and O. Loyola-González, "An explainable artificial intelligence approach for detecting empathy in textual communication," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 19, p. 9407, 2022.
- [4] A. Lahnama, C. Welch, and L. Flek, "Caisa at wassa 2022: Adapter-tuning for empathy prediction," in *Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjectivity, Sentiment & Social Media Analysis*, 2022, pp. 280–285.
- [5] G. Vettigli and A. Sorgente, "Empna at wassa 2021: A lightweight model for the prediction of empathy, distress and emotions from reactions to news stories," in *Proceedings of the Eleventh Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjectivity, Sentiment and Social Media Analysis*, 2021, pp. 264–268.
- [6] S. Shi, Y. Sun, J. Zavala, J. Moore, and R. Girju, "Modeling clinical empathy in narrative essays," in *2021 IEEE 15th International Conference on Semantic Computing (ICSC)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 215–220.
- [7] Z. Wu, R. Helou, D. R. Recupero, and D. Riboni, "Towards low-resource real-time assessment of empathy in counselling," in *Proceedings of the Seventh Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: Improving Access*, 2021, pp. 204–216.
- [8] —, "Towards automated counselling decision-making: Remarks on therapist action forecasting on the annomi dataset," *Change*, vol. 25, p. 17, 2022.
- [9] J. H. N. Lee, H. Chui, T. Lee, S. Luk, D. Tao, and N. W. T. Lee, "Formality in psychotherapy: How are therapists' and clients' use of discourse particles related to therapist empathy?" *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, vol. 13, 2022.
- [10] Z. Zhu, C. Li, J. Pan, X. Li, Y. Xiao, Y. Chang, F. Zheng, and S. Wang, "Medic: A multimodal empathy dataset in counseling," in *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2023, pp. 6054–6062.
- [11] A. Sharma, A. S. Miner, D. C. Atkins, and T. Althoff, "A computational approach to understanding empathy expressed in text-based mental health support," 2020.
- [12] J. Gibson, D. Can, B. Xiao, Z. E. Imel, D. C. Atkins, P. Georgiou, and S. Narayanan, "A deep learning approach to modeling empathy in addiction counseling," *Commitment*, vol. 111, no. 2016, p. 21, 2016.
- [13] B. Xiao, D. Can, P. G. Georgiou, D. Atkins, and S. S. Narayanan, "Analyzing the language of therapist empathy in motivational interview based psychotherapy," in *Proceedings of The 2012 Asia Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 1–4.
- [14] S. Kumano, K. Otsuka, D. Mikami, and J. Yamato, "Analyzing empathetic interactions based on the probabilistic modeling of the co-occurrence patterns of facial expressions in group meetings," in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG)*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 43–50.
- [15] B. P. R. Guda, A. Garimella, and N. Chhaya, "Empathbert: A bert-based framework for demographic-aware empathy prediction," 2021.
- [16] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [17] H. Vasava, P. Uikey, G. Wasnik, and R. Sharma, "Transformer-based architecture for empathy prediction and emotion classification," in *Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjectivity, Sentiment & Social Media Analysis*. Dublin, Ireland: Association for Computational Linguistics, May 2022, pp. 261–264. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/2022.wassa-1.27>
- [18] P. Dey and R. Girju, "Enriching deep learning with frame semantics for empathy classification in medical narrative essays," in *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Health Text Mining and Information Analysis (LOUHI)*, 2022, pp. 207–217.
- [19] V. Vapnik and A. Vashist, "A new learning paradigm: Learning using privileged information," *Neural networks*, vol. 22, no. 5-6, pp. 544–557, 2009.
- [20] J. Z. Wang and W. Taylor, "Concept forest: A new ontology-assisted text document similarity measurement method," in *IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence (WI'07)*. IEEE, 2007, pp. 395–401.
- [21] X. Li, W.-P. Lin, and H. Ji, "Comment-guided learning: Bridging the knowledge gap between expert assessor and feature engineer," in *Proc. International Conference on Advances in Information Mining and Management (IMMM2011)*, 2011.
- [22] M. ter Hoeve, R. Sim, E. Nouri, A. Fourney, M. de Rijke, and R. W. White, "Conversations with documents: An exploration of document-centered assistance," in *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval*, 2020, pp. 43–52.
- [23] S. Mai, H. Hu, and S. Xing, "Divide, conquer and combine: Hierarchical feature fusion network with local and global perspectives for multimodal affective computing," in *Proceedings of the 57th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics*, 2019, pp. 481–492.
- [24] B. McFee, C. Raffel, D. Liang, D. P. Ellis, M. McVicar, E. Battenberg, and O. Nieto, "librosa: Audio and music signal analysis in python," in *Proceedings of the 14th python in science conference*, vol. 8, 2015, pp. 18–25.
- [25] Z. Cao, G. Hidalgo, T. Simon, S.-E. Wei, and Y. Sheikh, "Openpose: Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields," 2019.
- [26] Y. Cui, W. Che, T. Liu, B. Qin, and Z. Yang, "Pre-training with whole word masking for chinese bert," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 29, pp. 3504–3514, 2021.
- [27] A. Vaswani, "Attention is all you need," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017.