

# A New Approach from Lattice of Subgroup Sets to Generalized Solvable Extension Formations

Ran Li, Long Miao\*, Wenxia Zhou, Yinan Chen

## Abstract

In this paper, we establish the decomposition of morphisms from lattice of subgroup sets to generalized solvable extension formations. To achieve this, we develop a unified framework involving maximal subgroup functors, generating formation morphism and contraction-extension functors. In particular, solvability-induced sets of maximal subgroups are determined and generating formation morphism gives rise to generalized solvable extension formations.

*AMS classification:* 20D05; 20D10; 20D30; 20E25; 20E28; 20F19

**Keywords:** Finite group, generalized solvable extension formation, classification of finite simple group, morphism decomposition, generalized solvable formation, contraction-extension functor.

## 1 Introduction

In the book *Finite Group Theory*[1], Isaacs states the local-global principle: global information can be deduced from appropriate data about local subgroups. Focusing on Sylow  $p$ -subgroups, numerous results have been established(see[2],[3]). In addition, maximal subgroups are guaranteed to exist in any finite group and serve as key local structures. Craven [4] lists all maximal subgroups to study the finite simple groups of type  $F_4$ ,  $E_6$  and twisted  $E_6$  over all finite fields.

Building on Craven's work, we extend the framework to the level of maximal subgroup sets and formations shown in Diagram (1.1). The motivation also stems from the fundamental theorem of group homomorphism shown in Diagram (1.2).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{MAX}(G) & \xrightarrow{u} & F(\mathcal{G}) \\
 \downarrow f & \nearrow g & \\
 \overline{\text{MAX}_2(G)} & & 
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathfrak{F} \\
 \downarrow f & \nearrow g & \\
 f(\mathcal{Z}) & & 
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{u} & G' \\
 \downarrow \phi & \nearrow \tau & \\
 G/\text{Ker}(u) & & 
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 g & \xrightarrow{u} & g' \\
 \downarrow \phi & \nearrow \tau & \\
 g\text{ker}(u) & & 
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.2}$$

---

\*Corresponding author

We postpone our notation and terminology to Section 4 and will introduce, at this point, only notation and terminology needed for Diagram (1.1) and Diagram (1.3). Unless otherwise stated, all groups considered in this paper are finite. Let  $Max(G)$  be the set of all maximal subgroups of  $G$  and  $Max_2(G)$  the set of all second maximal subgroups of  $G$ . We denote the power set of  $Max(G)$  by  $MAX(G)$  and the power set of  $Max_2(G)$  by  $MAX_2(G)$ .  $\overline{MAX_2(G)}$  is defined as the collection of suitable sets of second maximal subgroups of  $G$  and  $F(\mathcal{G})$  is the set of all formations.

As noted by Huppert[5] in his book, if a group  $G$  belongs to the formation of all solvable groups  $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}$  and  $N \triangleleft G$ , then  $N \in \hat{\mathfrak{S}}$  and  $G/N \in \hat{\mathfrak{S}}$ . Its contrapositive statement is a classic result of the local-global principle: non-solvability behaves in a “local-to-global” manner. Motivated by the transitivity of non-solvability, we consider the following sets of maximal subgroups to explore non-solvable finite groups:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{M \triangleleft G \mid M \text{ is non-solvable}\}.$$

Ballester-Boliches and Ezquerro, in their book *Classes of Finite Groups* [6], states: Helmut Wielandt proposed giving priority after the classification to the extension of these brilliant results of the theory of finite soluble groups to the more ambitious universe of all finite groups. In fact, set  $\mathcal{X}$  enlarges the methods of the solvable cases to finite non-necessarily solvable, according to Wielandt’s proposal. Table 1 shows some examples about solvability of  $M$  in non-solvable groups.

$G$	$M$	Solvability of $M$
	$S_3: 2$	$T$
$S_5$	$S_4$	$T$
	$A_5$	$F$
	$A_4$	$T$
$A_5$	$D_{10}$	$T$
	$S_3$	$T$

**Table 1:** Solvability of  $M$ .

In this context, we focus on Diagram (1.3) to illustrate the decomposition of morphisms between lattice of maximal subgroup sets and generalized solvable extension formations. Here, solid arrows indicate explicitly defined morphisms, whereas dashed arrows refer to morphisms whose existence follows from the corresponding morphism construction.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & MAX(G) & \overset{u}{\dashrightarrow} F(\mathcal{G}) \\
 & \downarrow f & \nearrow g \\
 MAX_2(G) & \xrightarrow{H} \overline{MAX_2(G)} & 
 \end{array}
 \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \Phi \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad (1.3)$$

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is about generalized solvable extension formations and examples. In section 3, we define various decomposition and state their main properties. Section 4 covers the existing results and lemmas, which will be used in the sequel. Section 5 is devoted to set  $\mathcal{X}$  and its localization associated with functor  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . The interplay between these sets and formation  $\mathfrak{J}$ ,  $\mathfrak{J}'$  &  $\mathfrak{J}''$  are shown. It is worth mentioning that the proofs in this section highlights the

subtle differences in the behavior of functor  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . Section 6 covers relative applications in this topic.

## 2 Generalized Solvable Extension Formations

In *The Theory of Classes of Groups*, Guo[7] mentioned the method of constructing local formation by the concept of formation functions. A formation function [8] is a function

$$f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{formations}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the set of all primes. In particular, one takes a group function defined on the set of all simple groups:

$$f : \{\text{simple groups}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{formations}\},$$

assigning to each simple group a formation. In the composition satellite approach, Shemetkov[16] first assigns a formation to each composition factor. In this section, we extend this approach by assigning a formation to set of simple groups, rather than just singletons. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of all finite simple groups and  $P(\mathcal{S})$  be the power set of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Now we consider

$$f_1 : P(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \{\text{formations}\},$$

$$s \mapsto \mathfrak{F}$$

s.t.  $\mathfrak{F}$  consists of all groups whose non-abelian chief factors are isomorphic to a direct product of copies of some  $A$ . Here,  $A$  runs through all elements of  $s$ . In particular, if  $s = \emptyset$ , then  $f_1(s) = \mathfrak{S}$  the formation of all finite solvable groups. It is trivial that formation  $f_1(s)$  is extension-closed for every set  $s$ .

Next, we introduce certain group classes so that we could define special subsets  $s \subset \mathcal{S}$ , which will be applied to  $f_1$ . In recent years, several theories have been proposed to study various generalized  $p$ -local group classes with respect to chief factors (see, e.g., [9],[10]). In parallel with these developments, we now introduce generalized solvable group classes with respect to maximal subgroups. There have been numerous studies to investigate finite groups in this perspective: Thompson[11] classified minimal simple groups(non-solvable group all of whose proper subgroups are solvable); Guralnick[12] studied the structure of groups in which every maximal subgroup has prime power index.

In 2015, Demina and Maslova[13] introduced the following group class with respect to solvability and index of maximal subgroups:

$$\mathfrak{J}_{pr} = \{G \mid \forall M \in \text{Max}(G), \text{ either } M \text{ is solvable or } |G : M| \text{ is a prime power}\}.$$

And the possible structure of the non-abelian composition factors of a group in  $\mathfrak{J}_{pr}$  was provided[14]. We denote the set of these simple groups as  $s_1$  and define  $f_1(s_1)$  as the extension formation  $\hat{\mathfrak{J}}_{pr}$  associated with  $\mathfrak{J}_{pr}$ .

And a more general group class was defined in [15]:

$$\mathfrak{J} = \{G \mid \forall M \in \text{Max}(G), \text{ either } M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable or } |G : M| \text{ is a prime power}\}.$$

We define  $s_2$  as the collection of the corresponding non-abelian composition factors of a group in  $\mathfrak{J}$  and the extension formation  $\hat{\mathfrak{J}}_{pr}$  as  $f(s_2)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a formation. A group  $G$  is called a minimal non- $\mathfrak{F}$  group if  $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$  but all proper subgroups of  $G$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Recall that a group  $G$  is minimal non-nilpotent[5] if  $G$  is non-nilpotent and every proper subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  is nilpotent. Similarly, we define a group  $G$  is minimal non-solvable if  $G$  is non-solvable and every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  is solvable. Specifically,  $A_5$  is an example. In fact, we are motivated to consider the following group classes:

$$\mathfrak{F}' = \left\{ G \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall M \in \text{Max}(G), \quad M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable, or} \\ \text{minimal non-solvable, or} \\ |G : M| \text{ is a } q\text{-prime power} \end{array} \right\};$$

By localization, we get the definition of minimal non- $p$ -solvable groups and define:

$$\mathfrak{F}'' = \left\{ G \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall M \in \text{Max}(G), \quad M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable, or} \\ \text{minimal non-}p\text{-solvable, or} \\ |G : M| \text{ is a } q\text{-prime power} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Remark:**  $q$  is not necessarily inequal to  $p$ . So there are at least, not exactly, one case happens for every group lying in the given group class.

These group classes extend the group class  $\mathfrak{J}_{pr}$  and the inclusion relation

$$\mathfrak{J}_{pr} \subset \mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{F}' \subset \mathfrak{F}''$$

is illustrated by the following examples.

**Example 2.1.** [15, Example 1.1] Consider  $G = \text{PSL}(2, 2^4)$  of order 4080 and suppose  $p = 17$ . Notably, maximal subgroup  $A_5$  is non-solvable but  $p$ -solvable, illustrating that  $G \notin \mathfrak{J}_{pr}$  but  $G \in \mathfrak{J}$ .

**Example 2.2.** Consider  $G = A_7$  of order 2520 and suppose  $p = 7$ . Since maximal subgroups of  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$  are solvable ( $Z_7 : Z_3$  and  $S_4$ ),  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$  is minimal non-solvable. So  $G \in \mathfrak{F}' \setminus \mathfrak{J}$ .

structure	order	prime power index	solvability	$p$ -solvability
$A_6$	360	$T(7)$	$F$	$T$
$\text{PSL}(2, 7)$	168	$F(15)$	$F$	$F$
$S_5$	120	$F(21)$	$F$	$T$
$(A_4 \times 3) : 2$	72	$F(35)$	$T$	$T$

**Table 2:** Maximal subgroups of  $A_7$ .

**Example 2.3.** Consider Sporadic Mathieu group  $G = M_{11}$  of order 7920 and suppose  $p = 11$ . Table 4 shows that  $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$  is not minimal non-solvable but minimal non-11-solvable. Hence,  $G \in \mathfrak{F}'' \setminus \mathfrak{F}'$ .

structure	order	Solvability	$p$ -solvability	prime power index
$M_{10}$	720	$F$	$T$	$T(11)$
$\text{PSL}_2(11)$	660	$F$	$F$	$F(12)$
$M_9 : S_2$	144	$F$	$T$	$F(55)$
$S_5$	120	$F$	$T$	$F(66)$
$Q_8 : S_3$	48	$T$	$T$	$F(165)$

**Table 3:** Maximal subgroups of  $M_{11}$ .

structure	solvability	$p$ -solvability
$A_5$	$F$	$T$
$11 : 5$	$T$	$T$
$D_{12}$	$T$	$T$

**Table 4:** Maximal subgroups of  $PSL_2(11)$ .

Applying  $f_1$  in a similar way, we define the extension formations  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}'$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$  with respect to non-abelian composition factor. For later use, we also define:

$$\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G, M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable}\};$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_2 = \{G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G, M \text{ is either } p\text{-solvable or minimal non-}p\text{-solvable}\}$$

and get extension formations  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2$ . Such extension formations are referred to as generalized solvable extension formations and Diagram (2.1) shows the relationship among them.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}'' \\
 | \\
 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}' \\
 | \\
 \hat{\mathfrak{J}} \\
 | \\
 \hat{\mathfrak{J}}_{pr} \\
 | \\
 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \\
 | \\
 \mathfrak{S}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \nearrow \\
 \searrow \\
 \nearrow \\
 \searrow \\
 \nearrow \\
 \searrow \\
 \nearrow \\
 \searrow
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \\
 \\
 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \end{array}
 \tag{2.1}$$

### 3 Decomposition of formation morphism

In this section, we focus on the decomposition of formation morphisms. They are the maximal subgroup functors, local formation morphism and generating formation morphism, along with various contraction and extension functors (Eg.  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{P}_c, \mathcal{P}_{ci}$ ).

**Definition 3.1.** We use the following terminology for the morphisms that arise in Diagram (1.3).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & MAX(G) & \overset{u}{\dashrightarrow} F(\mathcal{G}) \\
 & \downarrow f & \nearrow g \\
 \Phi \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 MAX_2(G) & \xrightarrow{H} & \overline{MAX_2(G)}
 \end{array}
 \tag{1.3}$$

- (1). A morphism  $u : MAX(G) \rightarrow \{\text{formations}\}$ , is called a local formation morphism.
- (2). A morphism  $g$  from  $MAX_2(G)$  to  $F(\mathcal{G})$ :  $g : MAX_2(G) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{G})$  with operation  $g(\mathcal{Y}) = \{\mathfrak{F} \in$

$F(\mathcal{G}) \mid$  if  $\mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  is called a generating morphism.

(3). A morphism  $f$  is a maximal subgroup functor if it assigns to a suitable maximal subgroup set  $\mathcal{X}$  a possibly empty set  $f(\mathcal{X})$  of second maximal subgroups of  $G$  with  $g(f(\mathcal{X})) \in F(\mathcal{G})$ .

(4). A morphism  $\Phi : \text{MAX}(G) \rightarrow \text{MAX}_2(G)$  with operation  $\Phi(\mathcal{Y}) = \{H \triangleleft G \mid \exists M \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ s.t. } H \triangleleft M\}$  is called a natural morphism.

**Remark:**

(1). Comparing with the concept of formation function in Section 2, the morphism  $u$  offers an alternative way of assigning formations..

(2). The maximal subgroup functor is motivated by subgroup functor defined in [17]. It assigns to each group  $G$  a possibly empty set  $f(G)$  of subgroups of  $G$  satisfying  $\theta(f(G)) = f(\theta(G))$  for any isomorphism  $\theta: G \rightarrow G'$ .

(3). Various contraction and extension functors arise as refinements of the maximal subgroup functor, particularly in constructions related to localization.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\text{MAX}_2(G)$  be the power set of  $\text{Max}_2(G)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a subset of  $\text{Max}_2(G)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a set of maximal subgroups and then  $\Phi(\mathcal{Y})$  is the set of corresponding second maximal subgroups.

(1). A contraction functor  $T_c$  is a map with operation

$$T_c : \text{MAX}_2(G) \rightarrow \text{MAX}_2(G)$$

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \mapsto \Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \cap \mathcal{Z} \triangleq \Phi(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{Z}}$$

and satisfies that  $H(\Phi(\mathcal{Y})) = f$  is a maximal subgroup functor. In other words, Diagram (1.3) holds. Here,  $H$  is a composition of contraction functor  $T_{c_i}$  including  $T_c$  and we write  $H = \prod_{i=1}^n T_{c_i}$ .

(2). An extension functor is a map  $T_e$  with operation:

$$T_e : \text{MAX}_2(G) \rightarrow \text{MAX}_2(G)$$

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \mapsto \Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \cup \mathcal{Z} \triangleq \Phi(\mathcal{Y})^{\mathcal{Z}}$$

and satisfies that  $H(\Phi(\mathcal{Y})) = f$  is a maximal subgroup functor. In other words, Diagram (1.3) holds in the case of  $H = \prod_{i=1}^n T_{c_i} T_{e_i}$ . Here,  $H$  is a composition of contraction & extension functor  $T_{c_i}$  &  $T_{e_i}$  including  $T_e$ .

Now we introduce various contraction functors. For instance, strictly second maximal subgroups play an essential role in exploring the group structures. In 1995, Flavell[18] established an upper bound for the number of maximal subgroups containing a strictly second maximal subgroup. Building on this, Meng and Guo [19] proved that if  $H$  is a second maximal subgroup of  $G$  with  $G/H_G$  is solvable, then  $|G : M|$  is divisible by  $m(G, H) - 1$ . Further extending this work, they[20] studied WSM-groups(every second maximal subgroup is in  $\text{Max}_2^*(G)$ ), focusing on the relation between  $\text{Max}_2(G)$  and  $\text{Max}_2^*(G)$ . In fact, there exists the morphism  $g$  between strictly second maximal subgroups and generalized p-local group classes. The behavior of  $\text{Max}_2^*(G)$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_1$  helps us to define group class  $S_p^\#$ [21]:

$$g : \mathcal{Y}_1 \mapsto \text{formation of all } p - \text{solvable groups}$$

$$g : \text{Max}_2^*(G) \cap \mathcal{Y}_1 \mapsto S_p^\#$$

Here, we define  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{H \triangleleft\triangleleft G \mid p \mid |H|\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{H \triangleleft\triangleleft G \mid \exists M \triangleleft G \text{ s.t. } H_G = M_G\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1$ .

For later use, we introduce strict functor  $S$  and localized functor  $\mathcal{L}_1$  &  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $MAX_2(G)$  be the power set of  $Max_2(G)$  and  $Max_2^*(G)$  is a subset of  $Max_2(G)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a set of maximal subgroup and then  $\Phi(\mathcal{Y})$  is the set of corresponding second maximal subgroup. A strict functor  $S$  associated with  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a map with operation

$$S : MAX_2(G) \rightarrow MAX_2(G)$$

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \mapsto \Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \cap Max_2^*(G) \triangleq \Phi(\mathcal{Y})_S$$

and satisfies that  $H(\Phi(\mathcal{Y})) = f$  is a maximal subgroup functor. In other words, Diagram (1.3) holds. Here,  $H$  is a composition of contraction & extension functor  $T_{c_i}$  &  $T_{e_i}$  including  $S$ .

Apart from that, Sylow theorem is a classic localization, providing detailed information about subgroups with respect to one fixed prime divisor  $p$ . Recent work by Wang et al.[21] has expanded this area of research by defining the set of second maximal subgroups whose orders are divisible by  $p$ . More recently, Miao et al.[22] focused on the set of maximal subgroups whose orders are divisible by  $p$  and consider the corresponding second maximal subgroups. The correspondence between these sets and various formations are demonstrated:

$$g : L_1 \cap Max_2^*(G) \longmapsto G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{F} \text{ is a formation of all } p\text{-solvable groups.}$$

$$g : L_2 \cap Max_2^*(G) \longmapsto G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{F} \text{ is a formation of all solvable groups}$$

Here,  $L_1 = \{H \triangleleft\triangleleft G \mid p \mid |H|\}$  and  $L_2 = \{H \triangleleft\triangleleft G \mid \exists M \in Max(G, H) \text{ s.t. } p \mid |M|\}$ .

Now we could define localized functors with respect to a fixed prime  $p$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $MAX_2(G)$  be the power set of  $Max_2(G)$  and  $L_1 = \{H \triangleleft\triangleleft G \mid p \mid |H|\}$  is a subset of  $Max_2(G)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a set of maximal subgroup and then  $\Phi(\mathcal{Y})$  is the set of corresponding second maximal subgroup. A contraction functor  $\mathcal{L}_1$  associated with  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a map with operation

$$\mathcal{L}_1 : MAX_2(G) \rightarrow MAX_2(G)$$

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \mapsto \Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \cap L_1 \triangleq \Phi(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$$

and satisfies that  $H(\Phi(\mathcal{Y})) = f$  is a maximal subgroup functor. In other words, Diagram (1.3) holds. Here,  $H$  is a composition of contraction & extension functor  $T_{c_i}$  &  $T_{e_i}$  including  $\mathcal{L}_1$ .

Analogously, we could get localized functor  $\mathcal{L}_2$  associated with

$$L_2 = \{H \triangleleft\triangleleft G \mid \exists M \in Max(G, H) \text{ s.t. } p \mid |M|\}.$$

### 3. Property Functors

Now we define property functors with respect to core relation and  $|M : H|$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $MAX_2(G)$  be the power set of  $Max_2(G)$  and  $P_c = \{H \triangleleft G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G \text{ s.t. } H_G = M_G\}$  is a subset of  $Max_2(G)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a set of maximal subgroup and then  $\Phi(\mathcal{Y})$  is the set of corresponding second maximal subgroup. A contraction functor  $\mathcal{P}_c$  associated with  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a map with operation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_c : MAX_2(G) &\rightarrow MAX_2(G) \\ \Phi(\mathcal{Y}) &\mapsto \Phi(\mathcal{Y}) \cap P_c \triangleq \Phi(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathcal{P}_c}\end{aligned}$$

and satisfies that  $H(\Phi(\mathcal{Y})) = f$  is a maximal subgroup functor. In other words, Diagram (1.3) holds. Here,  $H$  is a composition of contraction & extension functor  $T_{c_i}$  &  $T_{e_i}$  including  $\mathcal{P}_c$ .

Similarly, we define set

$$\mathcal{P}_{ci} = \{H \triangleleft G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G \text{ s.t. } H_G = M_G \text{ or } |M : H| \text{ is not a prime power}\}$$

and get property functor  $\mathcal{P}_{ci}$ .

To standardize the localization, we define the following functors in quotient form.

$$\begin{aligned}T_c \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= \mathcal{Y}(G/L) \cap \mathcal{Z}(G/L); \\ T_e \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= \mathcal{Y}(G/L) \cup \mathcal{Z}(G/L); \\ S \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= Max_2^*(G/L) \cap \mathcal{Y}(G/L); \\ \mathcal{L}_1 \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= L_1(G/L) \cap \mathcal{Y}(G/L); \\ \mathcal{L}_2 \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= L_2(G/L) \cap \mathcal{Y}(G/L); \\ \mathcal{P}_c \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= P_c(G/L) \cap \mathcal{Y}(G/L); \\ \mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot \mathcal{Y}(G/L) &= P_{ci}(G/L) \cap \mathcal{Y}(G/L).\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{Y}(G/L), \mathcal{Z}(G/L) \in MAX_2(G/L)$ .

## 4 Notations and Preliminaries

Our notation are standard and all groups considered in this paper are finite. In this section, we review the results that we need for the proofs that follow.

**Definition 4.1.** [23, 1.8] An order  $(L; \leq)$  is a lattice if  $\sup\{a, b\}$  and  $\inf\{a, b\}$  exist for all  $a, b \in L$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $A$  be a nonempty set and  $P(A)$  the power set of  $A$ . Then  $(P(A), \leq)$  is a lattice.

**Lemma 4.2.** [24, Theorem 3.1] Let  $G$  be a group. Then  $G$  is solvable if and only if every second maximal subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the condition that there exists a maximal subgroup  $M \in Max(G, H)$  such that  $H_G < M_G$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** [24, COROLLARY 3.2] Let  $G$  be a group. Then  $G$  is solvable if and only if every strictly second maximal subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the condition that there exists a maximal subgroup  $M \in Max(G, H)$  such that  $H_G < M_G$ .





Diagram (1.3) induces the following morphism of objects.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 & \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \nearrow g & \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{L}_1 \quad} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1} & & \\
 & \downarrow f & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that: If  $\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1} = \emptyset$ , then

$$G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \{G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G, \text{ } M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable}\}.$$

Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  s.t.  $M$  is non- $p$ -solvable. We show that it is impossible. As  $p \nmid |M|$ , there must exist a maximal subgroup  $H$  of  $M$  s.t.  $M_p \leq H \triangleleft M$ , here  $M_p \in \text{Syl}_p(M)$ . Then  $H \in \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1} = \emptyset$  implies that  $H \notin \Phi(\mathcal{X})$ . It is equivalent that every maximal subgroup containing  $H$  is solvable. Then  $M$  is solvable, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.1.** *We set  $H = \mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \{G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G, \text{ } M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable}\}$ . Then Diagram (5.2) induces the following morphism of objects.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 & \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \nearrow g & \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{L}_2 \quad} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2} & & \\
 & \downarrow f & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

The following proof leads us to introduce an extension functor  $E_1$ :

$$E_1 = \{H \triangleleft G \mid \exists M \in \text{Max}(G, H) \text{ s.t. } |G : M| \neq p^\alpha\}.$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Given objects  $\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1} \in \overline{\text{Max}_2(G)}$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \in F(\mathcal{G})$ , Diagram (5.2) admits a completion into the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}'' & \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \nearrow g & & \nearrow g & \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{L}_1 \quad} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1} & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{P}_{ci}]{E_1} & \mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{E_1} & & \\
 & \downarrow f & & & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5.4}$$

*Proof.* Obviously,  $G$  is not simple and suppose  $L$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Working by induction on  $|G|$ , we consider the quotient group  $G/L$ .

Now we will show that  $G/L \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ . Pick any  $H/L \in \Phi(\mathcal{X}(G/L))_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{E_1} = \emptyset$ . As  $\exists M_1/L \in \text{Max}(G/L, H/L)$

s.t.  $|G/L : (M_1)/L| \neq p^\alpha$ , then  $M_1 \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  and  $|G : M_1| \neq p^\alpha$ . As  $H/L \in \Phi(\mathcal{X}(G/L))_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ , we have  $\exists M_2/L \in \text{Max}(G/L, H/L)$  s.t.  $M_2/L$  is non-solvable and  $p \nmid |H/L|$ . Then we have  $M_2 \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  and  $M_2$  is non-solvable. It leads that  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{E_1}$ . It is obvious that  $H \notin \mathcal{P}_{ci}$  given that  $H \notin \mathcal{P}_{ci}$ . Applying induction,  $G/L \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ .

We will show that  $G/L$  is solvable and  $L$  is unique. Assume that  $L$  is non- $p$ -solvable, which gives that  $p \nmid |L|$ . Pick any maximal subgroup  $M/L$  of  $G/L$  and  $H/L$  of  $M/L$ , then we have  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})$  and  $p \nmid |H|$ . So  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ . It is equivalent to say that  $\exists M \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  s.t.  $H_G < M_G$  for every second maximal subgroup  $H/L$  of  $G/L$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $G/L$  is solvable. We claim that  $L$  is unique. Suppose, for a contradiction,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two distinct minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ , then both  $G/L_1$  and  $G/L_2$  are solvable and so is  $G/L_1 \cap L_2$ . Consequently,  $G$  is solvable. This is a contradiction.

To show  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ , it remains us to consider any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ . We first consider the case  $L \leq M$ . Then we have  $|G : M| = |G/L : M/L|$  and  $|G : M|$  is a prime power. Now we consider the case  $L \not\leq M$ . In this case,  $G = LM$  and  $M_G = 1$ . There is nothing to prove if  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable. So we assume that  $M$  is non- $p$ -solvable. Pick any maximal subgroup  $H$  of  $M$ , we claim that  $p \nmid |H|$  and  $H$  is  $p$ -solvable. Otherwise, we have  $p \nmid |H|$ , then  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ . It concludes that  $\exists M_3 \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  s.t.  $H_G < (M_3)_G$  and  $|M_3 : H| = q^\beta$  is a prime power. By Lemma 4.4, we get  $|M_3 : H| = |HL : H| = |L : L \cap H| = q^\beta$ . Using Frattini argument, we have  $G = LN_G(L_q) = LM_4$ , here  $Q \leq N_G(L_q) \leq M_4$  and  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$ . If  $|G : M_4| = q_1^{\beta_1}$ , then  $|L : L \cap M_4| = |LM_4 : L| = |G : M_4| = q_1^{\beta_1}$ . Here we get  $|L : L \cap H| = q^\beta$  and  $|L : L \cap M_4| = q_1^{\beta_1}$ . This is a contradiction by Lemma 4.5. Now we consider the case  $|G : M_4| \neq q_1^{\beta_1}$ . In this case,  $H_1 \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{E_1}$  for every maximal subgroup  $H_1$  of  $M_4$ . Then  $\exists M_5 \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  s.t.  $(H_1)_G < (M_5)_G$  and  $|M_5 : H_1| = (q_2)^{\beta_2}$  is a prime power. By Lemma 4.4, we get  $|M_5 : H_1| = |H_1 L : H_1| = |L : L \cap H_1| = (q_2)^{\beta_2}$ . Now we get  $|L : L \cap H| = q^\beta$  and  $|L : L \cap H_1| = (q_2)^{\beta_2}$ . This is also a contradiction by Lemma 4.5.

Now for any arbitrary maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ , we have either  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable/minimal non- $p$ -solvable or  $|G : M|$  is a prime power. Hence,  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$  and such a completion exists..  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *We set  $H = \mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = \{G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G, \text{ either } M \text{ is } p\text{-solvable or } |G : M| \text{ is a prime power}\}$ . Substituting  $\mathcal{P}_{ci}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_c$ , Diagram 5.3 admits a completion into the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{u} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{J}} \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \nearrow g & & \nearrow g \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_2} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_c} & \mathcal{P}_c \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2} & \\
 & \uparrow f & & & & \\
 & \mathcal{X} & & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

*Proof.* Work by induction on  $|G|$ . Obviously,  $G$  is not simple and suppose  $L$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . We consider the quotient group  $G/L$  and show that  $G/L \in \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ . Pick any  $H/L \in \Phi(\mathcal{X}(G/L))_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ . Similar to the second paragraph in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we see that  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ . Applying induction,  $G/L \in \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ .

A similar argument works for that  $G/L$  is solvable and  $L$  is unique.

To show  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ , it remains us to consider any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ . We first consider the case  $L \leq M$ . Then we have  $|G : M| = |G/L : M/L|$  and  $|G : M|$  is a prime power. Now we consider the case  $L \not\leq M$ . In this case,  $G = LM$  and  $M_G = 1$ . There is nothing to prove if  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable. So we assume that  $M$  is non- $p$ -solvable. Pick any maximal subgroup  $H$  of  $M$ , then  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ . It concludes that  $\exists M_6 \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  s.t.  $H_G < (M_6)_G$  and  $M_6 = HL$  by Lemma 4.4. Then we get  $L \cap M \leq \Phi(M)$  and  $L \cap M$  is solvable. As  $G/L = ML/L \cong L/L \cap M$ ,  $M$  is solvable. This is a contradiction.

Now for any arbitrary maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ , we have  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable or  $|G : M|$  is a prime power. Hence,  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** Set  $H = (\mathcal{L}_1)_S$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = \{G \mid \forall M \triangleleft G, M \text{ is either } p\text{-solvable or minimal non-}p\text{-solvable}\}$ . Diagram (1.3) induces the following morphism of objects.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 \\
 & \downarrow f & \nearrow g \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S}
 \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

*Proof.* It is trivial if  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable for any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ . Assume that  $M$  is non- $p$ -solvable and  $p \mid |M|$ . Then we have either  $p \nmid |H|$  or  $p \mid |H|$  for any maximal subgroup  $H$  of  $M$ . In the former case,  $H$  is  $p$ -solvable. In the latter case, we claim that  $H \notin \text{Max}_2^*(G)$ . Otherwise,  $H \notin \Phi(\mathcal{X})$ . It gives  $M$  is solvable, which is a contradiction. As  $H \notin \text{Max}_2^*(G)$ , then there exists a strictly second maximal subgroup  $H^{M_7}$  s.t.  $H < \dots < H^{M_7} \triangleleft M_7 \triangleleft G$ . It leads to  $H^{M_7} \notin \Phi(\mathcal{X})$  and  $M_7$  is solvable. So  $H$  is solvable in the latter case. Hence, For any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ ,  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable or minimal non- $p$ -solvable.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** Set  $H = (\mathcal{L}_2)_S$  and Diagram (5.6) induces the following morphism of objects.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 \\
 & \downarrow f & \nearrow g \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_2)_S} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_2)_S}
 \end{array} \tag{5.7}$$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Diagram (5.6) admits a completion into the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{dashed } u} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}'' \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \nearrow g & & \nearrow g \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S} & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{P}_{ci}]{E_1} & \mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S}^{E_1} & \\
 & \downarrow f & & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5.8}$$

*Proof.* Now we turn to prove the statement of the theorem. Obviously,  $G$  is not simple. Now we proceed to prove by induction on  $|G|$ . Suppose  $L$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and we consider the quotient group  $G/L$ .

Now we will show that  $G/L \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ . Pick any  $H/L \in \Phi(\mathcal{X}(G/L))_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S}^{E_1}$ , then  $H \notin \mathcal{P}_{ci}(G/L)$ . By proof of Theorem 5.1 and  $H/L \in \text{Max}_2^*(G/L)$ , then  $H \in \text{Max}_2^*(G)$  and  $H \in \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S}^{E_1}$ . It is clear that  $H \notin \mathcal{P}_{ci}$ . Hence, we get  $G/L \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$  by induction.

A similar argument works for that  $G/L$  is solvable and  $L$  is unique.

To show  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ , it remains us to consider any maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ . We first consider the case  $L \leq M$ . Then we have  $|G : M| = |G/L : M/L|$  and  $|G : M|$  is a prime power. Now we consider the case  $L \not\leq M$ . In this case,  $G = LM$  and  $M_G = 1$ . There is nothing to prove if  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable. So we assume that  $M$  is non- $p$ -solvable. Pick any maximal subgroup  $H$  of  $M$ , we claim that  $p \nmid |H|$  and  $H$  is  $p$ -solvable. Otherwise, we have  $p \parallel |H|$ , then we consider the following subcases.

Subcase 1:  $H \in \text{Max}_2^*(G)$

It concludes that  $\exists M_8 \in \text{Max}(G, H)$  s.t.  $H_G < (M_8)_G$  and  $|M_8 : H| = q_3^{\beta_3}$  is a prime power. By Lemma 4.4, we get  $|M_8 : H| = |HL : H| = |L : L \cap H| = q_3^{\beta_3}$ . Using Frattini argument, we have  $G = LN_G(L_{q_3}) = LM_9$ , here  $N_G(L_{q_3}) \leq M_9$ . If  $|G : M_9| = q_4^{\beta_4}$ , then  $|L : L \cap M_9| = |LM_9 : L| = |G : M_9| = q_4^{\beta_4}$ . Here we get  $|L : L \cap H| = q_3^{\beta_3}$  and  $|L : L \cap M_9| = q_4^{\beta_4}$ . This is a contradiction by Lemma 4.5. Now we consider the case  $|G : M_9| \neq q_4^{\beta_4}$ . Pick  $(M_9)_{q_3} \leq H_2 < M_9$ . It follows that  $\exists M_{10} \in \text{Max}(G, H_2)$  s.t.  $(H_2)_G < (M_{10})_G$  and  $|M_{10} : H_2| = (q_5)^{\beta_5}$  is a prime power. By Lemma 4.4, we get  $|M_{10} : H_2| = |H_2L : H_2| = |L : L \cap H_2| = (q_5)^{\beta_5}$ . Now we get  $|L : L \cap H_2| = (q_3)^{\beta_3}$  and  $|L : L \cap H_2| = (q_5)^{\beta_5}$ . This is also a contradiction by Lemma 4.5.

Subcase 2:  $H \notin \text{Max}_2^*(G)$

There exists a strictly second maximal subgroup  $H^{M_{11}}$  s.t.  $H \leq \dots \leq H^{M_{11}} < M_{11}$ . It is obvious that  $p \parallel |H^{M_{11}}|$ . If  $M_{11}$  is solvable, then  $H$  is solvable. If  $M_{11}$  is not solvable, it concludes that  $\exists M_{12} \in \text{Max}(G, H^{M_{11}})$  s.t.  $(H^{M_{11}})_G < (M_{12})_G$  and  $|M_{12} : H^{M_{11}}| = q_6^{\beta_6}$  is a prime power. By Lemma 4.4, we get  $|M_{12} : H^{M_{11}}| = |H^{M_{11}}L : H^{M_{11}}| = |L : L \cap H^{M_{11}}| = q_6^{\beta_6}$ . Using Frattini argument, we have  $G = LN_G(L_{q_6}) = LM_{13}$ , here  $N_G(L_{q_6}) \leq M_{13}$ . The contradiction follows with a similar argument.

Now for any arbitrary maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ , we have  $M$  is  $p$ -solvable/minimal non- $p$ -solvable or  $|G : M|$  is a prime power. Hence,  $G \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ .  $\square$

By modifying the set  $\mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S}^{E_1}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot (\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{E_1})_S$ , we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Diagram (5.7) admits a completion into the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{F}}' \\
 & \searrow \Phi & & \nearrow g & & \nearrow g \\
 \Phi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{L}_2 \quad} & \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_2)_S} & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{P}_{ci} \quad} & \mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot (\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{E_1})_S & \\
 & \downarrow f & & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar. But for the case  $|G : M_{12}| \neq q_4^{\beta_4}$  in the first subcase, we need to consider  $H_2 \in \text{Max}_2^*(G)$  or  $H_2 \notin \text{Max}_2^*(G)$ . In the first case,  $H_2 \in (\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{E_1})_S$  and the proof is similar. In the second case, there exists a strictly second maximal subgroup  $H^{M_{14}}$  s.t.  $H_2 \leq \dots \leq (H_2)^{M_{14}} \triangleleft M_{14}$ . Now we have either  $(M_{14})_G = 1$  or  $(M_{14})_G \neq 1$ . In the former case, we get  $|L : L \cap M_{14}| = q_7^{\beta_7}$  if  $|G : M_{14}| = q_7^{\beta_7}$ , a contradiction. Otherwise,  $|G : M_{14}| \neq q_7^{\beta_7}$ . Then  $H_2 \in (\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{E_1})_S$  and the proof is similar. In the latter case, if  $M_{14}$  is  $p$ -solvable, then  $L$  is  $p$ -solvable. This is a contradiction. If  $M_{14}$  is non- $p$ -solvable, then  $p \nmid |M_{14}|$  and  $H^{M_{14}} \in (\Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{E_1})_S$ . The contradiction is similar. The similar proof works for the subcase 2.  $\square$

## 6 Applications

The order-reversing map is common in Algebra. Notable instances include the Galois correspondence in galois theory and the antitone relationship between an affine variety and its coordinate ring in commutative algebra. In this paper, the morphism  $g$  is *order-reversing*: For  $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2 \in \text{MAX}_2(G)$ ,

$$\mathcal{X}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_2 \implies g(\mathcal{X}_1) \supseteq g(\mathcal{X}_2).$$

Especially, we equip both  $\text{dom}(g)$  and  $\text{cod}(g)$  with two operations  $\wedge$  &  $\vee$ . On  $\text{dom}(g)$ , the operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are defined as set-theoretic intersection and union, respectively. On  $\text{cod}(g)$ , we define  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \wedge \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2$  as the intersection of the formation  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2$ ;  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \vee \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2$  as the the formation product  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = \{G \mid G \text{ is a group and } G^{\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2} \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1\}$ . Then the morphism  $g$  behaves like an anti-homomorphism on certain elements.

**Example 6.1.** *Set  $a = \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$  and  $b = \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)_S}$ . Then  $g(a) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1$  and  $g(b) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2$ . As*

$$g(a \wedge b) = g(b) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = g(a) \vee g(b)$$

and

$$g(a \vee b) = g(a) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \cap \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_2 = g(a) \wedge g(b),$$

we get

$$g(a \wedge b) = g(a) \vee g(b);$$

$$g(a \vee b) = g(a) \wedge g(b).$$

**Example 6.2.** Set  $a = \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$  and  $b = \mathcal{P}_{ci} \cdot \Phi(\mathcal{X})_{(\mathcal{L}_1)}^{E_1}$ . Then  $g(a) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1$  and  $g(b) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}''$ . As

$$g(a \wedge b) = g(b) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}'' = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \hat{\mathfrak{F}}'' = g(a) \vee g(b)$$

and

$$g(a \vee b) = g(a) = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 \cap \hat{\mathfrak{F}}'' = g(a) \wedge g(b),$$

we get

$$g(a \wedge b) = g(a) \vee g(b);$$

$$g(a \vee b) = g(a) \wedge g(b).$$

## References

- [1] I.M. Isaacs, Finite Group Theory, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [2] G. Glauberman, A characteristic subgroup of a  $p$ -stable group, Can. J. Math. 20 (1968) 1101–1135.
- [3] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [4] D. Craven, The maximal subgroups of the exceptional groups  $F_4(q)$ ,  $E_6(q)$  and  ${}^2E_6(q)$  and related almost simple groups, Invent. Math. 234 (2023) 637–719.
- [5] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1967.
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L.M. Ezquerro, Classes of Finite Groups, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [7] W. Guo, The Theory of Classes of Groups, Springer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [8] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [9] L. Miao, J. Zhang, On a class of non-solvable groups, J. Algebra 496(2018) 1-10.
- [10] Z. Gao, J. Li, L. Miao, On  $CAP_{S_p^*}$ -subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra. 49(2021) 1120-1127.
- [11] J. G. Thompson, Non-solvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, I, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1968) 383–437.
- [12] R. M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, J. Algebra 81(1983) 304-311.
- [13] N. V. Maslova, D. O. Revin, Nonabelian composition factors of a finite group with arithmetic constraints on nonsolvable maximal subgroups, Sib. Adv. Math. 23(2013) 196-209.
- [14] E. N. Demina, N. V. Maslova, Nonabelian composition factors of a finite group with arithmetic constraints on nonsolvable maximal subgroups, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 289(2015) S64-S76.
- [15] R. Li, L. Miao, Y. Wang, W. Zhou, The insight of Dual Second Maximal Subgroup Sets into Group Structures, under review.

- [16] L. A. Shemetkov. Frattini extensions of finite groups and formations, *Comm. Algebra* 25(1997) 955–964.
- [17] E. Salomon, *Strukturerhaltende Untergruppen, Schunkklassen und extreme Klassen endlicher Gruppen*, Dissertation, Johannes Gutenberg Universität, Mainz, Germany, 1987.
- [18] P. Flavell. Overgroups of second maximal subgroups, *Arch. Math.* 64(1995) 277–282.
- [19] H. Meng, X. Guo, OVERGROUPS OF WEAK SECOND MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 99(2019) 83–88.
- [20] H. Meng, X. Guo, Weak second maximal subgroups in solvable groups, *J. Algebra* 517(2019) 112–118.
- [21] Y. Wang, L. Miao, Z. Gao, W. liu, The influence of second maximal subgroups on the generalized  $p$ -solvability of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* 50(6) 2584–2591.
- [22] L. Miao, W. Zhou, B. Gao, W. Liu, On second maximal subgroups with core relation in finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* 52(2024) 2430–2436.
- [23] G. Grätzer, *Lattice Theory: Foundation*, Springer, Basel, 2011.
- [24] Y. Wang, The influence of some special second maximal subgroups on the structure of finite groups and their applications, PhD dissertation, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, China, 2023.