

Efficient Eye-based Emotion Recognition via Neural Architecture Search of Time-to-First-Spike-Coded Spiking Neural Networks

Qianhui Liu¹ Jing Yang² Miao Yu³ Trevor E. Carlson³ Gang Pan⁴
Haizhou Li⁵ Zhumin Chen¹

¹School of Artificial Intelligence, Shandong University, Jinan, China

²School of Software, Shandong University, Jinan, China

³School of Computing, National University of Singapore, Singapore

⁴College of Computer Science and Technology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

⁵School of Data Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), Shenzhen, China

qhliu@sdu.edu.cn

Abstract

Eye-based emotion recognition enables eyewear devices to perceive users' emotional states and support emotion-aware interaction, yet deploying such functionality on their resource-limited embedded hardware remains challenging. Time-to-first-spike (TTFS)-coded spiking neural networks (SNNs) offer a promising solution, as each neuron emits at most one binary spike, resulting in extremely sparse and energy-efficient computation. While prior works have primarily focused on improving TTFS SNN training algorithms, the impact of network architecture has been largely overlooked. In this paper, we propose TNAS-ER, the first neural architecture search (NAS) framework tailored to TTFS SNNs for eye-based emotion recognition. TNAS-ER presents a novel ANN-assisted search strategy that leverages a ReLU-based ANN counterpart sharing an identity mapping with the TTFS SNN to guide architecture optimization. TNAS-ER employs an evolutionary algorithm, with weighted and unweighted average recall jointly defined as fitness objectives for emotion recognition. Extensive experiments demonstrate that TNAS-ER achieves high recognition performance with significantly improved efficiency. Furthermore, when deployed on neuromorphic hardware, TNAS-ER attains a low latency of 48 ms and an energy consumption of 0.05 J, confirming its superior energy efficiency and strong potential for practical applications.

1. Introduction

Eye-based emotion recognition has gained increasing attention for its non-invasive, privacy-preserving, and flexible nature compared to facial or EEG emotion recognition [29]. Recent approaches employ event cameras to avoid




Figure 1. Comparison of TNAS-ER with state-of-the-art eye-based emotion recognition models in terms of Weighted Average Recall (WAR), the number of parameters and operations. Circle size reflects the FLOPs (or SynOps for SNNs).

motion blur and support a wider dynamic range than conventional RGB cameras [8], enabling a precise capture of eye regions under challenging motion and lighting conditions. These advantages make eye-based emotion recognition particularly promising for wearable applications, such as immersive VR/AR interactions and emotion-aware mobile services [7, 11, 33].

Despite its potential, deploying eye-based emotion recognition models on embedded eyewear platforms remains challenging. As these devices are compact and battery-powered, their memory, computational and energy resources are often limited [33], necessitating recognition systems that are both accurate and highly efficient.

Time-to-first-spike-coded (TTFS) spiking neural networks (SNNs) offer a promising direction for efficient computing. SNNs are brain-inspired models that process information through discrete spike events, enabling event-

driven and energy-efficient processing [19, 24]. In TTFS SNNs, each neuron emits at most one spike, resulting in extremely sparse activity and significantly reduced computation [14, 35]. This property makes TTFS SNNs well-suited for efficient inference on resource-constrained devices.

Existing research has focused on developing training algorithms to improve the performance of TTFS SNNs [26, 31, 35, 40], while the effect of network architecture on their accuracy and efficiency has been overlooked. This gap motivates us to explore architecture design strategies for TTFS SNNs. However, TTFS SNNs are difficult to design, as their extremely sparse and timing-dependent spike activity leads to unstable gradients and high sensitivity to architectural configurations.

This paper proposes TNAS-ER, a novel neural architecture search (NAS) framework tailored to TTFS SNNs for eye-based emotion recognition. TNAS-ER leverages a ReLU-based ANN (hereafter ReLU ANN) counterpart that shares an identity mapping with the TTFS SNN to stabilize training, guide architecture optimization, and enhance performance, effectively mitigating the difficulty of directly applying TTFS SNNs in NAS. By analyzing how ReLU ANN and TTFS SNN collaborate at each stage of the search, an ANN-assisted search strategy that bridges the two domains is introduced. TNAS-ER employs an evolutionary algorithm, with weighted and unweighted average recall jointly defined as fitness objectives for emotion recognition. Extensive experiments on two publicly available event-based single-eye emotion recognition datasets demonstrate that TNAS-ER achieves high recognition performance with significantly improved efficiency (see Figure 1). Furthermore, we deploy TNAS-ER on the neuro-morphic hardware YOSO [6, 36], and the overall system achieves a low latency of 48 ms and energy consumption of 0.05 J, confirming its superior energy efficiency and strong potential for practical applications.

The main contributions of this work are summarized as follows:

- We present the first exploration of TTFS SNNs for eye-based emotion recognition, achieving state-of-the-art accuracy with over $1.6\times$ and $5.6\times$ reductions in parameters and operations, respectively, compared to existing ANN and SNN baselines.
- We develop TNAS-ER, the first NAS framework specifically designed for TTFS SNNs, which automatically discovers efficient and high-performing architectures and outperforms manually designed and randomly searched architectures.
- We introduce an ANN-assisted search strategy that establishes cooperative learning between TTFS SNNs and ReLU ANNs, effectively stabilizing training and guiding architecture optimization.

2. Related Work

2.1. Eye-based Emotion Recognition

Eye-based emotion recognition has emerged as a promising alternative to facial or EEG-based approaches [11]. Compared with EEG-based methods, it offers a more convenient and comfortable experience, and unlike facial analysis, it remains effective when the face is partially occluded (e.g., by masks or VR headsets) or when privacy concerns restrict full-face data use. Recent studies have shifted toward single-eye observations to overcome camera synchronisation and bandwidth constraints in binocular setups [33]. To ensure reliable perception under challenging motion and lighting conditions, Zhang *et al.* [37] employed event cameras to capture the eye region and proposed an ANN-SNN hybrid network for joint frame–event processing. Han *et al.* [9] designed a hierarchical event–RGB fusion framework for multi-scale semantics integration. Although these multimodal methods achieve high accuracy, they require handling both frame and event streams, resulting in large and complex networks. Wan *et al.* [29] proposed a sparse transformer for event-based single-eye emotion recognition, but still relied on a large network. Wang *et al.* [30] distilled a large ANN–SNN teacher network into a unimodal SNN, reducing computation but depending on manually designed architectures that limit adaptability and efficiency.

2.2. Spiking Neural Networks with TTFS Coding

SNNs are brain-inspired models that transmit information through discrete spikes, mimicking biological communication [24]. Unlike ANNs, they operate in an event-driven manner, activating computations only when spikes occur. TTFS SNNs encode information in spike timing, allowing each neuron to fire at most once and thus achieving far sparser and more energy-efficient computation than rate coding. Early works such as Rueckauer *et al.* [25] converted ANNs to TTFS SNNs but were limited to shallow networks. Zhang *et al.* [38] extended the conversion to deeper networks with reverse coding, and Park *et al.* [23] further improved it using dynamic thresholds and dendritic mechanisms. Yang *et al.* [35] later proposed a near-lossless conversion strategy addressing temporal distortions. Zhao *et al.* [40] proposed a conversion method for transformer architecture with TTFS-coding. In addition to conversion-based methods, Wei *et al.* [31] enabled direct TTFS training from pretrained ANNs. Stanojevic *et al.* [26] established an identity mapping between ReLU networks and TTFS SNNs, enabling both conversion-based and direct training of TTFS models. Despite these advances, their architectural design remains unexplored. Given the crucial role of architecture in determining accuracy and efficiency [20, 34], a systematic exploration of TTFS network design is essential.

2.3. Neural Architecture Search

Neural Architecture Search (NAS) aims to automatically discover high-performing neural network architectures. Existing NAS methods generally fall into three categories: reinforcement learning (RL)-based [2, 13, 43], gradient-based [3, 15, 32], and evolutionary computation (EC)-based approaches [17, 21, 42]. Since RL-based NAS typically incurs high computational costs and large action spaces [17], most SNN-based NAS frameworks adopt gradient-based or EC-based approaches. Che *et al.* [4] adapted DARTS to develop the first differentiable hierarchical search for SNNs, and Liu *et al.* [16] extended it by incorporating spatial and temporal compression. Yan *et al.* [34] proposed a branchless supernet for gradient-based multi-objective optimization. However, gradient-based NAS relies on stable gradients and strong learning capabilities, which are difficult to satisfy in TTFS SNNs. Na *et al.* [20] proposed an EC-based NAS framework with an effective SNN search space and backbone design. Che *et al.* [5] introduced evolutionary SNN neurons for transformer architecture search. Pan *et al.* [21] presented a multi-scale evolutionary search inspired by brain topologies. Building on these advances, our work adopts EC-based NAS for TTFS SNNs, which avoids bi-level optimisation instability and flexibly supports multi-objective fitness evaluation.

3. TNAS-ER Framework

3.1. Preliminary

Neural Dynamics of TTFS Spiking Neurons: In TTFS SNNs, information is encoded in the precise timing of spikes. Given the spike times t_j^{n-1} of presynaptic neurons j in the previous layer, the membrane potential V_i^n of neuron i in layer n updates as [26]:

$$\tau_c \frac{dV_i^{(n)}}{dt} = \begin{cases} \sum_j W_{ij}^{(n)} H(t - t_j^{n-1}), & t < t_{\min}^{(n)} \\ 1, & t_{\min}^{(n)} \leq t \leq t_{\max}^{(n)} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $W_{ij}^{(n)}$ is the synapse weight from neuron j to neuron i , τ_c is the time constant, and H denotes the Heaviside function, which equals 1 for positive arguments and 0 otherwise. $t_{\min}^{(n)}$ and $t_{\max}^{(n)}$ define the temporal bounds of the two




Figure 2. TTFS SNN and its neural dynamics.

membrane potential phases. As illustrated in Figure 2, the membrane potential starts from zero and increases with incoming spikes before $t_{\min}^{(n)}$. At $t_{\min}^{(n)}$, its slope switches to a constant value of 1, defining the B1 model [26]. When the potential $V_i^{(n)}$ reaches the threshold $\vartheta_i^{(n)}$, neuron i emits a spike at $t_i^{(n)}$ and enters a refractory period, ensuring that each neuron fires at most once.

Identity Mapping Between TTFS SNN and ReLU ANN: Stanojevic *et al.* [26] proved the existence of an identity mapping between TTFS SNNs and ReLU ANNs under the same architecture, based on the B1 neuron model. Given the synaptic weights $W_{ij}^{(n)}$ and firing thresholds $\vartheta_i^{(n)}$ of the SNN, the corresponding weight w and bias b of the equivalent ReLU network are defined as:

$$w_{ij}^{(n)} = \mathcal{M}(W_{ij}^{(n)}) = W_{ij}^{(n)}, \quad b_i^{(n)} = -\vartheta_i^{(n)} + \frac{t_{\max}^{(n)} - t_{\min}^{(n)}}{\tau_c} \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{M} is a function that maps the TTFS SNN weights $W_{ij}^{(n)}$ to the ReLU ANN weights $w_{ij}^{(n)}$. Under the identity mapping, two networks produce identical logits and losses for the same input. During training, t_{\max} adapts dynamically with the updates of $W_{ij}^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta_i^{(n)}$, allowing neurons active in the equivalent ReLU ANN to emit spikes within the interval $[t_{\min}^{(n)}, t_{\max}^{(n)}]$. Stanojevic *et al.* further demonstrated that a TTFS SNN and its ReLU ANN counterpart exhibit identical weight updates and training trajectories [26]:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta w_{ij}^{(n)} &= \mathcal{M}(W_{ij}^{(n)} - \eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dW_{ij}^{(n)}}) - \mathcal{M}(W_{ij}^{(n)}) \\ &= -\eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dW_{ij}^{(n)}} = \Delta W_{ij}^{(n)} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This identity mapping allows TTFS SNN to inherit the learning capabilities of ANNs to enhance the performance, forming the foundation for our architectural design.

3.2. ANN-Assisted TTFS SNN Search

Neural architecture design plays a crucial role in enhancing the efficiency and capability of SNNs [16, 20]. However, TTFS SNNs present unique challenges due to their single-spike temporal coding and layer-wise time scheduling, which result in unstable gradients and high sensitivity to architectural configurations [26]. These characteristics make direct NAS on TTFS SNNs particularly difficult, as the search space is typically large and involves diverse connectivity patterns.

To address this challenge, TNAS-ER proposes an ANN-assisted search strategy (see Figure 3). Instead of relying solely on TTFS SNN learning, we leverage a ReLU ANN counterpart that shares an identity mapping with the TTFS SNN to assist the search process. The framework consists of three stages: supernet training, evolutionary search, and




Figure 3. ANN-assisted TTFS SNN search strategy in TNAS-ER: (a) Supernet training with a ReLU ANN counterpart sharing the same backbone as the TTFS SNN and can be mapped back to the spiking domain; (b) Evolutionary search on both networks to search high-performing architectures; (c) Subnet retraining in the ANN domain, followed by transfer to the TTFS SNN and SNN fine-tuning.




Figure 4. Evolutionary search quality comparison between TTFS SNN and its counterpart ANN: Top - fitness curves, Bottom - best architecture evolution.

subnet retraining. The following paragraphs detail how the ANN counterpart assists the TTFS SNN in each stage.

Supernet training. We first construct an SNN supernet composed of searchable blocks, each containing multiple candidate operations (see Section 3.3). However, directly training a large TTFS SNN supernet is highly unstable, as different candidate operations may yield inconsistent $t_{\max}^{(n)}$ values, causing temporal misalignment within one layer. Moreover, NAS supernets typically include skip operations to explore variable network depths, yet in TTFS SNNs, such connections disrupt strict layer-wise temporal scheduling.

To address these issues, we introduce a ReLU ANN counterpart that shares the same backbone architecture as the TTFS SNN and conduct the supernet training on ReLU ANN. Since ReLU ANN operates on continuous activations rather than spike timings, it supports stable backpropagation and efficient optimization. After training, the learned

parameters are transferred to the TTFS SNN via the identity mapping, with $t_{\max}^{(n)}$ determined by the maximum ReLU activations in the n -th layer. This ANN-assisted training scheme eliminates temporal inconsistencies and provides the TTFS SNN supernet with high-quality transferred representations, thereby improving search reliability and enabling the discovery of high-performing architectures.

Evolutionary search. During the evolutionary search, candidate subnets are iteratively sampled, evaluated, and evolved to discover high-performing architectures. Each candidate subnet is treated as an individual whose fitness is evaluated through forward inference, and higher-scoring candidates generate new ones via mutation and crossover, progressively converging toward the optimal design.

We evaluate two settings for architecture discovery: (1) transferring the trained ANN supernet to TTFS SNN and conducting the search based on SNN, and (2) performing the search directly on the ReLU ANN counterpart. In both cases, network accuracy from the forward pass serves as the fitness score for illustration. As shown in Figure 4, using a search space with five searchable blocks (details in Section 3.3), fitness curves of the two settings are almost identical, and the evolved architectures are exactly the same across all rounds. This consistency arises from the identity mapping, which guarantees identical logits for identical inputs. Consequently, evolutionary search can be equivalently carried out on either the ReLU ANN or the TTFS SNN, both converging to the same optimal architecture.

Subnet retraining. For retraining the selected architecture, we explore three settings: (1) retraining in the ANN domain and transferring to the TTFS SNN via the identity mapping, (2) performing (1) followed by SNN fine-tuning, and (3) directly retraining the SNN from scratch. Using a backbone where all searchable blocks adopt 3×3 convolution kernels, we observe in Figure 5 that ANN-assisted settings (1) and (2) outperform direct SNN retraining, highlighting




Figure 5. Subnet retrain quality comparison across different strategies.

the superior learning capability provided by the ANN counterpart. Although (1) and (3) share theoretically identical training trajectories, their accuracies diverge because this equivalence holds only in the absence of Batch Normalization (BN). In practice, (1) trains the ReLU ANN with BN, fuses BN into the weights, and transfers them to the TTFS SNN, yielding higher accuracy than (3), which trains without BN. Furthermore, setting (2) fine-tunes the transferred model to better adapt to spiking dynamics and provides greater flexibility for future hardware deployment.

It is worth noting that although TNAS-ER leverages the ANN counterpart to assist the search, the search objective, space, and evaluation are all defined within the TTFS SNN domain. The ReLU ANN merely serves as a differentiable proxy, enabling effective network training that would otherwise be unstable to apply directly in TTFS SNNs.

3.3. Search Space

We adopt an effective hierarchical search space inspired by prior SNN NAS studies [20]. As shown in Figure 6, it consists of a macro-level backbone and a micro-level spiking convolution block. At the macro level, the backbone includes a stem, multiple searchable blocks separated by max-pooling, and a fully connected head. At the micro level, each searchable block contains convolution blocks with different $k \times k$ kernel sizes or a skip operation. Residual shortcuts are excluded since TTFS SNNs process layerwise, non-overlapping temporal windows, where cross-layer links would misalign spike timing. Each spiking convolution block comprises two convolution layers with searched kernel sizes interleaved with spiking activations. In the ANN counterpart, these activations are replaced by ReLU functions under identity mapping to ensure equivalence. BN layers applied during ANN training are fused into the weights when transferring to the TTFS SNN.

3.4. Search Algorithm

As shown in Figure 3, TNAS-ER adopts a one-shot weight-sharing strategy based on the evolutionary algorithm. Dur-




Figure 6. Hierarchical search space. Left: Macro-level backbone architecture. Right: Micro-level spiking convolution block and its ANN counterpart.

ing supernet training, single-path uniform sampling is used to randomly sample subnets, train them, and share their updated weights back to the supernet. Afterward, the evolutionary algorithm is applied to explore the search space and identify the architecture with the highest fitness value. We define the fitness value score of an architecture \mathcal{A} as:

$$F(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{WAR + UAR}{2}, \quad (4)$$

where UAR (Unweighted Average Recall) $= \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FN_i}$ measures the average recall across all emotion classes, treating each class equally regardless of frequency, and WAR (Weighted Average Recall) $= \frac{TP}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$ reflects the overall recognition accuracy across all samples. Combining both metrics provides a balanced evaluation of recognition accuracy and robustness to class imbalance. The evolutionary process begins by randomly sampling N_{eval} candidate architectures to form an evaluation pool P_{eval} . We compute the fitness score of each architecture in P_{eval} and select the top N_{top} candidates as the elite set P_{top} . From P_{top} , we generate a new set of N_{eval} architectures through mutation and crossover, forming the new P_{eval} . We then combine P_{eval} and P_{top} and retain the top N_{top} architectures to update the elite pool. This process iterates until convergence, yielding the optimal architecture with the highest fitness score.

4. Experiments

4.1. Datasets

We evaluate the proposed TNAS-ER method on two publicly available single-eye event-based emotion datasets:

Table 1. Comparison of different methods on the **SEE** dataset. The best and the second-best results are highlighted with number and number, respectively. The abbreviations are defined as Ha \rightarrow Happiness, Sa \rightarrow Sadness, An \rightarrow Anger, Di \rightarrow Disgust, Su \rightarrow Surprise, Fe \rightarrow Fear, Ne \rightarrow Neutral, Nor \rightarrow Normal, Over \rightarrow Overexposure, Low \rightarrow Low-light. “Hybrid” denotes architectures combining both SNN and ANN components.

Methods	Network	Input region	Accuracy for different emotions (%)								Accuracy for different lightings (%)				Metrics (%)	
			Ha	Sa	An	Di	Su	Fe	Ne		Nor	Over	Low	HDR	WAR \uparrow	UAR \uparrow
Resnet18 + LSTM	ANN	Face	37.0	81.2	38.9	44.7	39.0	48.5	56.4		53.2	49.5	50.4	40.8	48.6	49.4
Resnet50 + GRU	ANN	Face	31.9	70.1	42.0	48.0	49.2	46.0	58.7		52.1	51.6	51.6	41.1	49.3	49.4
3D Resnet18 [10]	ANN	Face	79.6	<u>93.7</u>	72.9	<u>77.1</u>	58.5	75.3	83.6		75.6	75.3	80.2	73.9	76.3	77.2
R(2+1)D [27]	ANN	Face	<u>82.0</u>	93.6	77.9	72.5	61.5	70.4	<u>84.1</u>		76.6	76.2	79.6	73.1	76.4	77.4
Former DFER [41]	ANN	Face	74.2	89.4	<u>80.0</u>	68.1	61.7	72.5	74.5		75.3	74.3	74.0	71.3	73.8	74.3
Eyemotion [11]	ANN	Eye	63.7	78.0	59.5	57.9	44.7	60.1	71.0		60.8	57.8	64.4	61.1	61.0	62.0
EMO [33]	ANN	Eye	72.6	91.2	79.8	65.5	57.7	<u>77.8</u>	76.6		73.3	74.0	77.8	69.6	73.7	74.5
SEEN [37]	Hybrid	Eye	58.5	76.9	67.4	57.1	50.7	60.9	64.7		61.8	64.1	65.9	55.1	61.8	62.3
MSKD [30]	SNN	Eye	64.4	82.3	71.0	60.9	52.8	67.1	70.1		65.7	67.7	68.4	63.1	66.3	66.9
Wan <i>et al.</i> [29]	ANN	Eye	<u>84.2</u>	92.8	79.9	<u>76.7</u>	<u>66.2</u>	75.8	<u>84.2</u>		<u>78.4</u>	<u>80.6</u>	<u>83.1</u>	74.4	79.2	80.0
TNAS-ER	SNN	Eye	77.8	<u>95.0</u>	<u>81.3</u>	72.1	<u>71.2</u>	<u>82.3</u>	81.2		<u>78.1</u>	<u>80.5</u>	<u>83.8</u>	<u>75.8</u>	<u>79.6</u>	<u>80.1</u>

Table 2. Comparison of different methods on the **DSEE** dataset. The best and the second best results are highlighted in number and number, respectively. The abbreviations are defined as Ha \rightarrow Happiness, Sa \rightarrow Sadness, An \rightarrow Anger, Di \rightarrow Disgust, Su \rightarrow Surprise, Fe \rightarrow Fear, Ne \rightarrow Neutral, Nor \rightarrow Normal, Over \rightarrow Overexposure, Low \rightarrow Low-light. “Hybrid” denotes architectures combining both SNN and ANN components.

Methods	Network	Input region	Accuracy for different emotions (%)								Accuracy for different lightings (%)				Metrics (%)	
			Ha	Sa	An	Di	Su	Fe	Ne		Nor	Over	Low	HDR	WAR \uparrow	UAR \uparrow
R(2+1)D [27]	ANN	Face	68.5	67.0	72.0	<u>75.0</u>	68.7	<u>62.4</u>	73.0		68.9	66.3	68.4	<u>78.7</u>	69.4	69.5
Former DFER [41]	ANN	Face	65.4	68.6	71.4	70.9	67.7	<u>57.0</u>	77.6		68.3	66.4	66.2	70.9	67.9	68.4
Eyemotion [11]	ANN	Eye	63.0	50.2	57.3	52.2	48.9	56.6	61.8		55.5	49.8	54.0	64.5	55.2	55.7
EMO [33]	ANN	Eye	57.7	61.0	67.2	61.1	61.0	48.0	71.8		60.1	58.0	61.7	65.1	60.5	61.1
SEEN [37]	Hybrid	Eye	54.8	55.5	62.6	58.8	63.1	47.6	69.8		58.5	59.0	56.6	58.5	58.3	58.9
MSKD [30]	SNN	Eye	61.3	59.3	65.6	60.9	63.3	47.4	67.1		60.1	59.1	61.4	63.0	60.4	60.7
Wan <i>et al.</i> [29]	ANN	Eye	<u>75.1</u>	70.6	<u>72.9</u>	<u>76.1</u>	<u>73.0</u>	56.2	<u>80.6</u>		<u>72.3</u>	<u>69.4</u>	67.8	77.8	71.6	72.1
TNAS-ER	SNN	Eye	<u>70.4</u>	<u>70.7</u>	<u>78.2</u>	74.5	<u>74.7</u>	<u>62.4</u>	<u>79.3</u>		<u>72.1</u>	<u>70.2</u>	<u>72.2</u>	<u>79.7</u>	<u>72.6</u>	<u>72.9</u>

SEE [37] and DSEE [30].

The SEE dataset was collected using a DAVIS346 event camera mounted on a helmet in front of the participant’s right eye to capture eye-region activity. It contains 71.5 minutes of recordings from 111 volunteers. Following prior works [30, 37], the dataset is split into 1,638 training samples and 767 testing samples. SEE covers seven emotion categories (happiness, sadness, anger, disgust, surprise, fear, and neutral) under four lighting conditions (normal, overexposure, low-light, and high dynamic range (HDR)).

The DSEE dataset extends SEE by incorporating additional samples converted from five conventional video-based emotion datasets [1, 18, 22, 28, 39] using the video-to-event simulator [12]. It maintains the same seven emotion categories and four lighting conditions as SEE, but includes 6,235 samples from 394 subjects, covering a wider range of ages and ethnic backgrounds. This diversity makes DSEE a more comprehensive and challenging benchmark for evaluating event-based emotion recognition methods.

4.2. Experimental Settings

Implementation Details. All experiments are implemented in PyTorch and conducted on an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 4090 GPU (24 GB). The learning rate is set to 5e-3,

Table 3. Computational efficiency comparison of different eye-based emotion recognition methods. For ANN-based methods, OPs refer to FLOPs, while for SNN-based methods, OPs are measured as SynOPs.

Methods	WAR (%)	UAR (%)	OPs (G)	Params (M)
Eyemotion	61.0	62.0	5.73	25.13
EMO	73.7	74.5	0.35	1.68
SEEN	61.8	62.3	0.73	6.08
MSKD	66.3	66.9	0.17	4.04
Wan <i>et al.</i>	79.2	80.0	8.44	51.94
TNAS-ER	79.6	80.1	0.03	1.04

5e-4, and 5e-5 for supernet training, retraining, and fine-tuning, respectively. The supernet and subnet are trained for 600 epochs, the evolutionary search runs for 18 rounds, and the SNN fine-tuning is performed for 100 epochs. The networks are optimized using Adam, and the batch size is 96. All reported results are averaged over 20 inference runs. Following prior works [30, 37], we adopt UAR and WAR to quantitatively evaluate emotion recognition performance.

Architecture The stem block consists of two convolutional layers with 3×3 kernel size. The searched backbone in-

cludes $n_l = 2$ macro-block groups, each containing two searchable blocks. The first convolution in the stem block takes 4 input channels and outputs 32 channels, and the channel width doubles after each max-pooling layer. During the search, N_{eval} and N_{top} are set to 12.

4.3. Performance Comparison

Table 1 and Table 2 compare the proposed TNAS-ER with state-of-the-art methods on the SEE and DSEE datasets, following the same experimental settings as prior works [29, 30] for fair evaluation.

TNAS-ER consistently achieves the highest performance on both datasets, outperforming all facial and eye-region methods. On the SEE dataset, TNAS-ER reaches a WAR of 79.6% and a UAR of 80.1%, and achieves top scores in four emotion categories and in all lighting conditions. Notably, compared with other SNN-based approaches such as MSKD and SEEN, TNAS-ER achieves substantially stronger performance, with improvements exceeding 10% in both WAR and UAR. On the DSEE dataset, our TNAS-ER obtains a WAR of 72.6% and a UAR of 72.9%, outperforming the best-performing baseline [29] by 1.0% in WAR and 0.8% in UAR. Furthermore, TNAS-ER achieves top scores across six emotion categories and all lighting conditions. Considering that DSEE includes a broader set of subjects with greater variation in age and ethnicity, the larger performance improvement highlights the superior generalization and robustness of TNAS-ER across diverse and more challenging real-world conditions.

4.4. Computational Efficiency

This section evaluates the computational efficiency of the proposed TNAS-ER, which is essential for practical deployment. We compare TNAS-ER with existing eye-based approaches on the SEE dataset in terms of recognition performance (WAR and UAR) and computational cost (the number of parameters and operations).

As shown in Table 3, TNAS-ER achieves the best balance between accuracy and efficiency. It surpasses all baseline methods with the highest recognition performance, while requiring only 0.03 G SynOPs and 1.04 M parameters. Compared to the most accurate baseline Wan *et al.* [29], TNAS-ER reduces the number of operations by over $280\times$ and parameters by nearly $50\times$. Even when compared to the lightweight EMO model, TNAS-ER delivers nearly 6% higher accuracy with $11\times$ fewer operations and $1.6\times$ fewer parameters. We further observe that both SNN-based methods, MSKD and ours, exhibit substantially fewer operations than ANN-based models, confirming that the intrinsic sparsity of SNNs contributes to improved computational efficiency. Owing to its compact architecture and TTFS coding, TNAS-ER achieves lower SynOPs than MSKD. These results collectively highlight the superior ef-




Figure 7. Performance comparison with SNNs obtained from other settings.

fectiveness and efficiency of TNAS-ER, making it particularly suitable for low-power, real-world deployments.

4.5. Effectiveness of the Searched Architecture

In this section, we conduct comparative experiments on the more challenging DSEE dataset to validate the effectiveness of the architecture discovered by TNAS-ER.

Comparison with Random Sampling. We first randomly sample 10 architectures from the search space, where each searchable block independently selects a convolution block with a kernel size of 3×3 or 5×5 , or a skip connection. Each sampled architecture is trained and evaluated independently, and the reported WAR and UAR values are averaged among 10 architectures. As shown in Figure 7, the architectures obtained through random sampling achieve lower performance than TNAS-ER, highlighting the superiority of the searched architecture.

Comparison with Random Search. We implement random search following [20] by selecting the architecture with the highest fitness scores among 100 randomly sampled architectures based on the trained supernet. As shown in Figure 7, this approach yields better performance than random sampling but still falls short of TNAS-ER. The result indicates that while fitness-based selection helps identify stronger TTFS SNN architectures, the evolutionary strategy in TNAS-ER further enhances search effectiveness.

Comparison with Fixed Backbones. We further compare our searched architecture with fixed-backbone architectures, where all searchable blocks are replaced with convolution blocks of uniform kernel sizes (3×3 or 5×5). As shown in Figure 7, both architectures with fixed configurations perform worse than the architecture discovered by TNAS-ER. We observe that the architecture using 3×3 kernels outperforms that using 5×5 kernels, indicating that a larger network does not necessarily lead to higher performance. These results demonstrate that the proposed evolutionary search can automatically discover an optimal balance between network capacity and efficiency, yielding compact yet high-performing architectures beyond what




Figure 8. Overview of YOSO, a TTFS-based neuromorphic hardware [36].

manual design can achieve.

Architecture Transferability. We evaluate the transferability of the searched architecture to examine its adaptability across different datasets. Transferability is particularly important when users need to rapidly deploy an existing architecture to new tasks, avoiding the cost of searching from scratch. Since the DSEE dataset contains a broader range of samples, we first search for the optimal architecture on SEE and then train and test this architecture on DSEE. The transferred model achieves 72.1% WAR and 72.3% UAR, with less than a 1% performance drop compared to the architecture searched directly on DSEE. Remarkably, it attains competitive results with Wan et al. [29] while using only 1.04 M parameters, compared to their 51 M, demonstrating strong generalization and efficiency of the searched architecture.

4.6. Evaluation on Neuromorphic Hardware

To further evaluate the real performance on hardware of TNAS-ER, we deploy it on YOSO [6, 36], a neuromorphic hardware designed for TTFS SNNs. As shown in Figure 8, YOSO consists of multiple Processing Elements (PEs) interconnected through a Network-on-Chip (NoC). Each PE integrates a dedicated core capable of parallel load, write, and compute operations, with clock-gated SRAMs for storing weights, neuron states, accumulated values, and spike information. The clock frequency is set to 54 MHz.

Before deployment, the synaptic parameters of TNAS-ER are quantized to 8 bits, and the temporal resolution of each layer is discretized into 16 time steps. After quantization, the performance on the SEE dataset drops by 19.81%. Applying the SNN fine-tuning in TNAS-ER restores most of the accuracy, achieving 76.6% WAR and 77.1% UAR, with only a 3% decrease compared to the original model.

During hardware mapping, different layers are assigned to distinct PE groups to maximize parallelism. Each output

Table 4. Hardware performance of different eye-based emotion recognition systems.

System	Hardware	Latency (ms)	Energy (J)
EMO	Open-Q820	60.0	0.11
EMO	Hikey	125.0	0.20
Ours	YOSO	48.0	0.05

feature map is partitioned into multiple regions according to the number of neurons, with each region processed by a single PE. This mapping strategy minimizes inter-PE data transactions, thereby reducing overall energy consumption. Following the evaluation protocol of EMO [33], we measure only the resource usage during the emotion recognition phase, as the overhead of eye-region video acquisition lies outside network computation. Under these conditions, the TNAS-ER + YOSO system achieves an energy consumption of 0.05 J and a latency of 48.0 ms. Since the latency is much shorter than the 0.4 s duration of event input, the system satisfies real-time processing requirements. Due to the lack of hardware evaluations in eye-based emotion recognition models, and none of them are TTFS-based, direct hardware performance comparisons are not feasible. The EMO system [33], which has been deployed on a wearable headset, is the only prior eye emotion recognition work that reports hardware performance. As shown in Table 4, our system exhibits lower energy consumption and shorter latency than the EMO system [33]. These results demonstrate that our TNAS-ER + YOSO system delivers high efficiency and holds strong potential for practical deployment in future wearable devices.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we present TNAS-ER, a NAS framework tailored to TTFS SNNs for efficient eye-based emotion recognition. TNAS-ER introduces an ANN-assisted search strategy that couples the TTFS SNN with its ReLU ANN counterpart to enable stable training and effective architecture exploration. Extensive experimental results demonstrate that TNAS-ER achieves state-of-the-art recognition performance with $1.6\times$ fewer parameters and $5.6\times$ fewer operations compared to existing ANN and SNN baselines. We further validate that TNAS-ER outperforms both manually designed and randomly searched architectures. We also deploy TNAS-ER on the YOSO neuromorphic hardware and show the strong potential of the system for practical deployment. Overall, this work underscores the potential of architecture-level optimization in advancing spiking neural computing and paves the way for next-generation wearable and edge-intelligent systems.

References

- [1] Niki Aifanti, Christos Papachristou, and Anastasios Delopoulos. The mug facial expression database. In *11th International Workshop on Image Analysis for Multimedia Interactive Services WIAMIS 10*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2010. 6
- [2] Irwan Bello, Barret Zoph, Vijay Vasudevan, and Quoc V Le. Neural optimizer search with reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 459–468. PMLR, 2017. 3
- [3] Han Cai, Ligeng Zhu, and Song Han. Proxylessnas: Direct neural architecture search on target task and hardware. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019. 3
- [4] Kaiwei Che, Luziwei Leng, Kaixuan Zhang, Jianguo Zhang, Qinghu Meng, Jie Cheng, Qinghai Guo, and Jianxing Liao. Differentiable hierarchical and surrogate gradient search for spiking neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:24975–24990, 2022. 3
- [5] Kaiwei Che, Zhaokun Zhou, Jun Niu, Zhengyu Ma, Wei Fang, Yanqi Chen, Shuaijie Shen, Li Yuan, and Yonghong Tian. Auto-spikformer: Spikformer architecture search. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 18:1372257, 2024. 3
- [6] Kyle Timothy Ng Chu, Burin Amornpaisannon, Yaswanth Tavva, Venkata Pavan Kumar Miriyala, Jibin Wu, Malu Zhang, Haizhou Li, Trevor E Carlson, et al. You only spike once: Improving energy-efficient neuromorphic inference to ann-level accuracy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.09982*, 2020. 2, 8
- [7] Roddy Cowie, Ellen Douglas-Cowie, Nicolas Tsapatsoulis, George Votsis, Stefanos Kollias, Winfried Fellenz, and John G Taylor. Emotion recognition in human-computer interaction. *IEEE Signal processing magazine*, 18(1):32–80, 2001. 1
- [8] Guillermo Gallego, Tobi Delbrück, Garrick Orchard, Chiara Bartolozzi, Brian Taba, Andrea Censi, Stefan Leutenegger, Andrew J Davison, Jörg Conradt, Kostas Daniilidis, et al. Event-based vision: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(1):154–180, 2020. 1
- [9] Runduo Han, Xiuping Liu, Yi Zhang, Jun Zhou, Hongchen Tan, and Xin Li. Hierarchical event-rgb interaction network for single-eye expression recognition. *Information Sciences*, 690:121539, 2025. 2
- [10] Kensho Hara, Hirokatsu Kataoka, and Yutaka Satoh. Can spatiotemporal 3d cnns retrace the history of 2d cnns and imagenet? In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6546–6555, 2018. 6
- [11] Steven Hickson, Nick Dufour, Avneesh Sud, Vivek Kwatra, and Irfan Essa. Eyemotion: Classifying facial expressions in vr using eye-tracking cameras. In *2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 1626–1635. IEEE, 2019. 1, 2, 6
- [12] Yuhuang Hu, Shih-Chii Liu, and Tobi Delbruck. v2e: From video frames to realistic dvs events. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1312–1321, 2021. 6
- [13] Yesmina Jaafra, Jean Luc Laurent, Aline Deruyver, and Mohamed Saber Naceur. Reinforcement learning for neural architecture search: A review. *Image and Vision Computing*, 89:57–66, 2019. 3
- [14] Saeed Reza Kheradpisheh and Timothée Masquelier. Temporal backpropagation for spiking neural networks with one spike per neuron. *International Journal of Neural Systems*, 30(06):2050027, 2020. 2
- [15] Hanxiao Liu, Karen Simonyan, and Yiming Yang. Darts: Differentiable architecture search. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019. 3
- [16] Qianhui Liu, Jiaqi Yan, Malu Zhang, Gang Pan, and Haizhou Li. Lite-snn: designing lightweight and efficient spiking neural network through spatial-temporal compressive network search and joint optimization. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3097–3105, 2024. 3
- [17] Yuqiao Liu, Yanan Sun, Bing Xue, Mengjie Zhang, Gary G Yen, and Kay Chen Tan. A survey on evolutionary neural architecture search. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 34(2):550–570, 2021. 3
- [18] Patrick Lucey, Jeffrey F Cohn, Takeo Kanade, Jason Saragih, Zara Ambadar, and Iain Matthews. The extended cohn-kanade dataset (ck+): A complete dataset for action unit and emotion-specified expression. In *2010 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition-Workshops*, pages 94–101. IEEE, 2010. 6
- [19] Wolfgang Maass. Networks of spiking neurons: the third generation of neural network models. *Neural Networks*, 10(9):1659–1671, 1997. 2
- [20] Byunggook Na, Jisoo Mok, Seongsik Park, Dongjin Lee, Hyeokjun Choe, and Sungroh Yoon. Autosnn: Towards energy-efficient spiking neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 16253–16269. PMLR, 2022. 2, 3, 5, 7
- [21] Wenxuan Pan, Feifei Zhao, Guobin Shen, Bing Han, and Yi Zeng. Brain-inspired multi-scale evolutionary neural architecture search for deep spiking neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 2024. 3
- [22] Maja Pantic, Michel Valstar, Ron Rademaker, and Ludo Maat. Web-based database for facial expression analysis. In *2005 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo*, pages 5–pp. IEEE, 2005. 6
- [23] Seongsik Park, Seijoon Kim, Byunggook Na, and Sungroh Yoon. T2fsnn: deep spiking neural networks with time-to-first-spike coding. In *2020 57th ACM/IEEE design automation conference (DAC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2020. 2
- [24] Kaushik Roy, Akhilesh Jaiswal, and Priyadarshini Panda. Towards spike-based machine intelligence with neuromorphic computing. *Nature*, 575(7784):607–617, 2019. 2
- [25] Bodo Rueckauer and Shih-Chii Liu. Conversion of analog to spiking neural networks using sparse temporal coding. In *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2018. 2
- [26] Ana Stanojevic, Stanisław Woźniak, Guillaume Bellec, Giovanni Cherubini, Angeliki Pantazi, and Wulfram Gerstner. High-performance deep spiking neural networks with 0.3

- spikes per neuron. *Nature Communications*, 15(1):6793, 2024. 2, 3
- [27] Du Tran, Heng Wang, Lorenzo Torresani, Jamie Ray, Yann LeCun, and Manohar Paluri. A closer look at spatiotemporal convolutions for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6450–6459, 2018. 6
- [28] Job Van Der Schalk, Skyler T Hawk, Agneta H Fischer, and Bertjan Doosje. Moving faces, looking places: validation of the amsterdam dynamic facial expression set (adfs). *Emotion*, 11(4):907, 2011. 6
- [29] Zixuan Wan, Jiqing Zhang, Yushan Wang, Hu Lin, Yafei Wang, Zetian Mi, Xin Yang, Xianping Fu, and Huibing Wang. Eye-based emotion recognition via event-driven sparse transformers. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 4659–4668, 2025. 1, 2, 6, 7, 8
- [30] Yang Wang, Haiyang Mei, Qirui Bao, Ziqi Wei, Mike Zheng Shou, Haizhou Li, Bo Dong, and Xin Yang. Apprenticeship-inspired elegance: synergistic knowledge distillation empowers spiking neural networks for efficient single-eye emotion recognition. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pages 3160–3168, 2024. 2, 6, 7
- [31] Wenjie Wei, Malu Zhang, Hong Qu, Ammar Belatreche, Jian Zhang, and Hong Chen. Temporal-coded spiking neural networks with dynamic firing threshold: Learning with event-driven backpropagation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*, pages 10552–10562, 2023. 2
- [32] Bichen Wu, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, Yanghan Wang, Fei Sun, Yiming Wu, Yuandong Tian, Peter Vajda, Yangqing Jia, and Kurt Keutzer. Fbnet: Hardware-aware efficient convnet design via differentiable neural architecture search. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10734–10742, 2019. 3
- [33] Hao Wu, Jinghao Feng, Xuejin Tian, Edward Sun, Yunxin Liu, Bo Dong, Fengyuan Xu, and Sheng Zhong. Emo: Real-time emotion recognition from single-eye images for resource-constrained eyewear devices. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, pages 448–461, 2020. 1, 2, 6, 8
- [34] Jiaqi Yan, Qianhui Liu, Malu Zhang, Lang Feng, De Ma, Haizhou Li, and Gang Pan. Efficient spiking neural network design via neural architecture search. *Neural Networks*, 173: 106172, 2024. 2, 3
- [35] Qu Yang, Malu Zhang, Jibin Wu, Kay Chen Tan, and Haizhou Li. Lc-ttfs: Toward lossless network conversion for spiking neural networks with ttfs coding. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, 16(5):1626–1639, 2023. 2
- [36] Miao Yu, Tingting Xiang, Srivatsa P, Kyle Timothy Ng Chu, Burin Amornpaisannon, Yaswanth Tavva, Venkata Pavan Kumar Miriyala, and Trevor E Carlson. A ttfs-based energy and utilization efficient neuromorphic cnn accelerator. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 17:1121592, 2023. 2, 8
- [37] Haiwei Zhang, Jiqing Zhang, Bo Dong, Pieter Peers, Wenwei Wu, Xiaopeng Wei, Felix Heide, and Xin Yang. In the blink of an eye: Event-based emotion recognition. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Conference Proceedings*, pages 1–11, 2023. 2, 6
- [38] Lei Zhang, Shengyuan Zhou, Tian Zhi, Zidong Du, and Yunji Chen. Tdsnn: From deep neural networks to deep spike neural networks with temporal-coding. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pages 1319–1326, 2019. 2
- [39] Guoying Zhao, Xiaohua Huang, Matti Taini, Stan Z Li, and Matti Pietikäinen. Facial expression recognition from near-infrared videos. *Image and Vision Computing*, 29(9):607–619, 2011. 6
- [40] Lusen Zhao, Zihan Huang, Jianhao Ding, and Zhaofei Yu. Ttfsformer: A ttfs-based lossless conversion of spiking transformer. In *Forty-second International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2025. 2
- [41] Zengqun Zhao and Qingshan Liu. Former-dfer: Dynamic facial expression recognition transformer. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on multimedia*, pages 1553–1561, 2021. 6
- [42] Hangyu Zhu and Yaochu Jin. Real-time federated evolutionary neural architecture search. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 26(2):364–378, 2021. 3
- [43] Barret Zoph and Quoc V Le. Neural architecture search with reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01578*, 2016. 3