

EFFECTIVE HYBRID JOINT UNIVERSALITY FOR DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS AND ITS APPLICATION

KEITA NAKAI

ABSTRACT. In 2003, Garunkštis provided a lower bound for the lower density of the universality theorem for the Riemann zeta-function. In this paper, we generalize this result for the hybrid joint universality theorem for Dirichlet L -functions whose moduli are prime numbers. Furthermore, by its application, we estimate a lower bound of the lower density of the universality theorem for Hurwitz zeta-functions with rational parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we use the symbol Θ with a specific meaning: if the inequality

$$|f(x)| \leq cg(x)$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$, then we write

$$f(x) = \Theta(cg(x)).$$

This notation follows that used in [6]. Note that unlike the standard Landau's big O -notation, we do not omit the constant c ; instead, we include it explicitly to emphasize the dependence on c .

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. The Riemann zeta-function is defined by $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ for $\sigma > 1$. The Riemann zeta-function can be continued meromorphically to the whole plane \mathbb{C} . In 1975, Voronin proved the approximation theorem called the universality theorem. Modern statement of universality is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{K} be a compact set in the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ with connected complement, and let $f(s)$ be a non-vanishing continuous function on \mathcal{K} that is analytic in the interior of \mathcal{K} . Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in \mathcal{K}} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0,$$

where meas denotes the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Roughly speaking, any non-vanishing holomorphic function can be approximated by the Riemann zeta-function with some shift τ , and the set of such τ has positive lower density. This universality theorem has been improved and extended in various zeta-functions and L -functions. One generalization is to combine universality and Kronecker-Weyl theorem, which is called hybrid joint universality now. First, Gonek proved the following hybrid joint universality theorem for Dirichlet L -functions.

Theorem 1.2 ([8, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer and let C be a simply connected compact set in $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$. Suppose that for each prime $p|q$ we have $0 \leq \theta_p < 1$ and that for each character $\chi \pmod{q}$, f_χ is continuous on C and analytic in the interior of C . If $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\left\| \tau \frac{\log p}{2\pi} - \theta_p \right\| < \varepsilon$$

for $p|q$ and

$$\max_{\chi \bmod q} \max_{s \in C} |L(s + i\tau, \chi) - e^{f_\chi(s)}| < \varepsilon,$$

which $\|x\|$ denotes the distance from x to the nearest integer.

Later, Kaczorowski and Kulas slightly generalized this result and proved that the set of such τ has a positive density. Moreover, hybrid joint universality has been studied and extended. Recently, in [21], hybrid joint universality was extended to the discrete setting, allowing shifts of the form n^k . Furthermore, a probabilistic proof of hybrid joint universality was established by Endo [5]. We can see other developments of hybrid joint universality in [14].

On the other hand, it is clear that we can decompose the universality theorem for the Riemann zeta-function into two parts as follows:

- (i) There exists $T > 0$ such that there is a $\tau \in [T, 2T]$ which the inequality

$$\sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$$

holds.

- (ii) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \geq C.$$

It is a natural question of how small such T is, and how large the positive lower density of the universality theorem is. The answer to the former question (i), and its generalization, were given in [6], [7], [22], [4], and [19]. The following is the most famous result related to (i) given by Garunkštis, Laurinćikas, Matsumoto, J. Stueding, and R. Steuding [7].

Theorem 1.3 ([7, Theorem 4]). *Let $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $1/2 < \sigma_0 < 1$, $r > 0$, $K = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s - s_0| \leq r\}$, and g be analytic on K with $g(s_0) \neq 0$. Put $M(g) = \max_{|s-s_0|=r} |g(s)|$. Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. If $N = N(\delta_0, \varepsilon, g)$ and $T = T(g, \varepsilon, \sigma_0, \delta_0, N)$ satisfy*

$$M(g) \frac{\delta_0^N}{1 - \delta_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and

$$T \geq \max\{c_0(\sigma_0, N) \exp(\exp(c_1(\sigma_0, N)A(N, g, (\varepsilon/3) \exp(\delta_0 r))))\}, r\}$$

then, there exists $\tau \in [T - t_0, 2T - t_0]$ such that

$$\max_{|s-s_0| \leq \delta r} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - g(s)| < \varepsilon$$

for any $0 \leq \delta < \delta_0$ satisfying

$$M(\tau) \frac{\delta^N}{1 - \delta} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Here, $c_0(\sigma_0, N)$, $c_1(\sigma_0, N)$ and $A(N, g, (\varepsilon/3) \exp(\delta_0 r))$ are effective constants, and $M(\tau) = \max_{|s-s_0|=r} |\zeta(s + i\tau)|$.

We note that Voronin [25] provided a quantitative version of the multidimensional denseness result for $\zeta(s)$.

The answer to the latter question was first obtained in [6]. In 2003, Garunkštis [6] estimated a lower bound of the lower density under some restrictions.

Theorem 1.4 ([6, Corollary 2]). *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ and $r = 0.0001$. Let $g(s)$ be analytic in the disc $|s| \leq 0.06$ with $\max_{|s| \leq 0.06} |g(s)| \leq 1$. Then,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{|s| \leq r} \left| \log \zeta \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau \right) - g(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} \geq \exp(-\varepsilon^{-13}).$$

This result was inspired by Good's result [9]. After this work, Nakamura and Pankowski [16] gave a lower bound related self-approximation, and Sourmelidis and Steuding [22] also showed a lower bound of the weak version of universality for Hurwitz zeta-functions with algebraic irrational parameters under some conditions. Furthermore, in [23] and [24], Steuding proved the non-trivial upper bound of the density of the universality theorem. In 2018, Lamzouri, Lester, and Radziwiłł [13] proved another version of the effective universality theorem.

In this paper, our aim is to estimate a lower bound of the lower density of hybrid joint universality for Dirichlet L -functions. More precisely, we evaluate the following measure effectively when q is a prime number.

Theorem 1.5 ([8, Theorem 3.1], [10, Theorem 3]). *Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer and let C be a connected compact set in $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ with connected complement. Suppose that for each prime $p|q$ we have $0 \leq \theta_p < 1$ and that for each character $\chi \pmod{q}$, f_χ is continuous on C and analytic in the interior of C . Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \max_{\chi \pmod{q}} \max_{s \in C} |L(s + i\tau, \chi) - e^{f_\chi(s)}| < \varepsilon \right. \\ \left. \max_{p|q} \left\| \tau \frac{\log p}{2\pi} - \theta_p \right\| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0$$

The following theorem is the main theorem of this paper using Garunkštis's way.

Theorem 1.6. *Let q be a prime number, χ_k be a Dirichlet character mod q for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$ and θ_q be a real number with $0 \leq \theta_q < 1$. Let $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \beta, r$, and R be positive numbers such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, $1.003\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$, $0 < r < R < 1/4$, $0 < \beta + R < 1/4$ and $r < \delta e^{-1-\frac{1}{4\delta}}$, where*

$$\delta := \frac{\frac{1}{4} - R - \beta}{\log \frac{e}{2R}}.$$

Let $g_k(s)$ be analytic in $|s| \leq R$ for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$, $\rho > 355991^{\frac{1}{1-4\delta}}$. Let

$$M := \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq R} |g_k(s)| + 1.5 + \frac{3.42}{1 - 4R} \leq \frac{\rho^\beta}{5e\delta^3 \log^4 \rho}$$

and let V, Q and T satisfy the following conditions:

$$50 \leq V \leq \rho < Q,$$

$Q \geq \exp(q^8)$ and

$$\log T \geq \max \left\{ \log \pi + \frac{1.02(0.75 + r)(Q - 872)}{0.25 - r}, \right. \\ \left. V \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (q-1)Q \left(241(q-1)Q + 434 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + 217(q-2) \log \log q \right) \right\}.$$

Then

$$\alpha := \delta \log \frac{\delta}{er} - \frac{1}{4}$$

is positive and the measure of $\tau \in [T, 2T]$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| \log L \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) - g_k(s) \right| &< \frac{M}{\left(\frac{R}{r} - 1\right) \rho^{\delta \log \frac{R}{r}}} + \frac{3}{\rho^\alpha \log \rho} + \frac{3 \log \rho}{\rho^{(1-4\delta)(\frac{3}{4}-r)}} \\ &+ \frac{3}{\rho^{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{2}-2r) \log \rho}} + \varepsilon + 188 \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}+r}}{V \log \rho} + \frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \frac{16}{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}-r} \sqrt{\log \rho}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \tau \frac{\log q}{2\pi} - \theta_q \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$$

is greater than

$$\frac{T}{2} \left(\varepsilon_1 V^{-(q-1)\pi(\rho)} - 1.02(q-1) \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} \frac{\log^2 Q}{\varepsilon^2 (0.25-r)^5 Q^{0.25-r}} \right),$$

where φ is the Euler totient function.

Moreover, choosing suitable parameters, we have the next Corollary.

Corollary 1.7. *Let q be a prime number, χ_k be a Dirichlet character mod q for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$ and θ_q be a real number with $0 \leq \theta_q < 1$. Put $R = 0.06$, $\beta = 0.039$, $r \leq 0.0001$ in Theorem 1.6, and*

$$\begin{aligned} a(r) &:= \frac{(1-4\delta)^4 \left(\frac{1}{4} - r\right)^4}{47920e\delta^3} + 3 + \frac{9}{2}(1-4\delta) \left(\frac{1}{4} - r\right) \\ &+ 188 \sqrt{\frac{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{4} - r)}{2}} + 16 \frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \sqrt{\frac{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{4} - r)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g_k(s)$ be analytic in the disc $|s| \leq r$ for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. Let $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \rho$ be positive numbers such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$, $2.006\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$,

$$\rho \geq \left(\frac{2a(r)}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{2}{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{4}-r)}}, \quad \frac{\rho^\beta}{5e\delta^3 \log^4 \rho} \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq 0.06} |g_k(s)| + 6.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| \log L \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) - g_k(s) \right| < \varepsilon, \right. \\ \left. \left\| \tau \frac{\log q}{2\pi} - \theta_q \right\| < \varepsilon_1 \right\} \geq \varepsilon_1 e^{-(q-1)\rho} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, this formulation is a bit different from Garunkštis's original statement. This formulation is based on the result [16] of Nakamura and Pańkowski.

2. APPROXIMATION BY TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

In this section, our purpose is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let q be 1 or a prime number and χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q . Let β, r and R be such that $0 < r < R < 1/4$, $0 < \beta + R < 1/4$ and $r < \delta e^{-1-\frac{1}{4\delta}}$, where*

$$\delta := \frac{\frac{1}{4} - R - \beta}{\log \frac{e}{2R}}.$$

Let $g(s)$ be analytic in $|s| \leq R$ and let

$$M := \max_{|s| \leq R} |g(s)| + 1.5 + \frac{3.42}{1 - 4R} \leq \frac{\rho^\beta}{5e\delta^3 \log^4 \rho}.$$

Let $\rho > 355991^{\frac{1}{1-4\delta}}$. Then, there are real numbers θ_p , $p \leq \rho$, $p \neq q$ such that

$$g(s) = - \sum_{p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s + \frac{3}{4}}} \right) + \Theta \left(\frac{M}{\left(\frac{R}{r} - 1\right) \rho^{\delta \log \frac{R}{r}}} + \frac{3}{\rho^\alpha \log \rho} + \frac{3 \log \rho}{\rho^{(1-4\delta)(\frac{3}{4}-r)}} + \frac{3}{\rho^{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{2}-2r) \log \rho}} \right)$$

In order to prove this proposition, we introduce the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *We have that*

$$\pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2.51}{\log^2 x} \right) < 1.094 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for $x \geq 355991$.

Proof. This is obtained by Dusart [3]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let q be 1 or a prime number and χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q . Let $\Delta_{\lambda\rho}$, $\lambda < \rho$, denote the set of vectors $z = (z_p)_{\lambda < p \leq \rho}$ with complex components z_p of modulus ≤ 1 . Let g_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots$ be functions on $\Delta_{\lambda\rho}$ defined by*

$$g_k(z) = \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \chi(p) z_p p^{-\sigma} (-\log p)^k, \quad \sigma \leq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Let K be a positive integer and w_k , $k = 0, \dots, K$, complex numbers such that

$$K^3 \leq 0.06 \log^2 \lambda \log \frac{\rho}{\lambda}$$

and

$$|w_k| \leq \frac{\lambda^{1-\sigma} \log \rho}{10K^3 \log \lambda} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\log \lambda}{\log \rho}}{2K} \right)^{K+1} k!(K-k)! \log^k \rho.$$

Then, the system of equations

$$g_k(z) = w_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, K,$$

has a solution z in $\Delta_{\lambda\rho}$ for $\lambda \geq 355991$.

Proof. This lemma is an analogue of [6, Lemma 5] and [9, Lemma 9]. We can prove this lemma by the same way as [9, Lemma 9]. □

Lemma 2.4 ([9, Lemma 6]). *Let K and L be positive integers and $K \leq L$. Let a_{kl} and b_k , $1 \leq k \leq K$, $1 \leq l \leq L$, denote complex numbers. Suppose that system of equations*

$$\sum_{l=1}^L a_{kl} z_l = b_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K,$$

has a solution (z_1, \dots, z_L) belonging to

$$\Delta^L = \{(z_1, \dots, z_L) : z_l \text{ complex and } |z_l| \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq L\}.$$

Then the above equation has a solution (z'_1, \dots, z'_L) in Δ^L such that $|z'_l| = 1$ for at least $L - K$ positive integers $l \leq L$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let q be a positive integer and χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q . Let $\sigma > 1/2$, $355991 \leq \lambda < \rho$ and $\theta_p \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$-\sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^s} \right) = \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^s} + \Theta \left(\frac{1.11\sigma}{2\sigma-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2\sigma-1} \log \lambda} \right).$$

Proof. We calculate the left hand side. By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^s} \right) &= \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{\chi^k(p)e^{-2k\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{ks}} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^s} + \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{\chi^k(p)e^{-2k\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{ks}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the partial summation and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{\chi^k(p)e^{-2k\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{ks}} \right| \leq \frac{1.11\sigma}{2\sigma-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2\sigma-1} \log \lambda}.$$

□

Using these lemmas, we prove Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $f(s)$ be analytic in $|s| \leq R$. By the Taylor expansion, for $|s| \leq r$,

$$(2.1) \quad f(s) = \sum_{k=0}^K a_k s^k + \Theta \left(\frac{\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^K \max_{|u| \leq R} |f(u)|}{\frac{R}{r} - 1} \right).$$

In particular, the coefficients a_k satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad |a_k| \leq \frac{\max_{|u| \leq R} |f(u)|}{R^k}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, K$.

First, we approximate the above polynomial by a trigonometric polynomial

$$\sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \chi(p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p} p^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

We put

$$K := \lfloor \delta \log \rho \rfloor \text{ and } \lambda := \rho e^{-4K} \geq \rho^{1-4\delta}.$$

We note that K satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.3.

Using the same way as [6, Proposition 1], we have

$$\left| \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \chi(p) z_p p^{-s-\frac{3}{4}} - \sum_{k=0}^K s^k \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \chi(p) z_p p^{-\frac{3}{4}} \frac{(-\log p)^k}{k!} \right| \leq \frac{3}{\rho^\alpha \log \rho}.$$

If $\sigma = \frac{3}{4}$ and complex numbers $\{w_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq K}$ satisfy

$$|w_k| \leq \frac{1}{5e} \rho^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log \rho)^{k-K-1} K^{-3} (K-k)! \left(\frac{e}{2} \right)^{-K}$$

then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^{1-\sigma} \log \rho}{10K^3 \log \lambda} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\log \lambda}{\log \rho}}{2K} \right)^{K+1} k!(K-k)! \log^k \rho \\ & \geq \frac{1}{5e} \rho^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log \rho)^{k-K-1} K^{-3} (K-k)! \left(\frac{e}{2} \right)^{-K} \geq |w_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with Lemma 2.3, we find complex numbers z_p satisfying $|z_p| \leq 1$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^K s^k \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \chi(p) z_p p^{-\frac{3}{4}} \frac{(-\log p)^k}{k!} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} s^k w_k.$$

Putting $\beta = \frac{1}{4} - R - \delta \log \frac{e}{2R}$, we obtain

$$\rho^{\frac{1}{4}} (K-k)! (\log \rho)^{k-K} \left(\frac{e}{2R} \right)^{-K} \geq \frac{\rho^\beta}{R^k}$$

Thus, (2.3) holds if

$$|w_k| \leq \frac{\rho^\beta}{5e\delta^3 R^k \log^4 \rho}.$$

On the other hand, if

$$\max_{|s| \leq u} |f(u)| \leq \frac{\rho^\beta}{5e\delta^3 \log^4 \rho}$$

holds, then coefficients a_k satisfy

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\max_{|u| \leq R} |f(u)|}{R^k} \leq \frac{\rho^\beta}{5\delta^3 R^k \log^4 \rho}.$$

Hence, by the same arguments, there are $|z_p| \leq 1$ for $\lambda < p \leq \rho$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad f(s) = \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \frac{\chi(p) z_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} + \Theta \left(\frac{3}{\rho^\alpha \log \rho} + \frac{\max_{|u| \leq R} |f(u)|}{\left(\frac{R}{r} - 1 \right) \rho^{\delta \log \frac{R}{r}}} \right).$$

Next, we replace these z_p by complex numbers of modulus = 1.

For $|s| \leq r$, if η is greater than 355991 and N is the integer part of $0.3 \log \eta$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) z_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} s^k \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) z_p}{p^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{(-\log p)^k}{k!} + \sum_{k > N} s^k \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) z_p}{p^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{(-\log p)^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} s^k \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) z_p}{p^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{(-\log p)^k}{k!} + \Theta(0.3\eta^{-\frac{3}{4}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we apply Lemma 2.4 to

$$b_k = \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) (-\log p)^k}{k!} z_p, \quad k = 0, \dots, N.$$

Then, there exist complex numbers z'_p with $|z'_p| \leq 1$, $\eta < p \leq 2\eta$, and $|z'_p| = 1$ for at least $\pi(2\eta) - \pi(\eta) - N$ primes p such that

$$\sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) (-\log p)^k}{k!} z_p = \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p) (-\log p)^k}{k!} z'_p, \quad k = 0, \dots, N.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p)z_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} = \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p)z'_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} + \Theta(0.6\eta^{-\frac{3}{4}}).$$

Hence we see that there exist $\theta_p \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\eta < p \leq 2\eta$) such that

$$\sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p)z_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} = \sum_{\eta < p \leq 2\eta} \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i\theta_p}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} + \Theta(N\eta^{r-\frac{3}{4}} + 0.6\eta^{-\frac{3}{4}})$$

for $|s| \leq r$. Accordingly, for any z_p with $|z_p| \leq 1$, $\lambda < p \leq \rho$, there are $\theta_p \in \mathbb{R}$. $\lambda < p \leq \rho$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \frac{\chi(p)z_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq N_0} \sum_{2^j \lambda < p \leq 2^{j+1}\lambda} \frac{\chi(p)z_p}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq N_0} \sum_{2^j \lambda < p \leq 2^{j+1}\lambda} \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i\theta_p}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} + \Theta\left(\sum_{0 \leq j < N_0} ([0.3 \log 2^j \lambda](2^j \lambda)^{r-\frac{3}{4}} + 0.6(2^j \lambda)^{-\frac{3}{4}})\right) \\ (2.5) \quad &= \sum_{\lambda < p \leq \rho} \frac{\chi(p)e^{-2\pi i\theta_p}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} + \Theta(1.1\lambda^{r-\frac{3}{4}} \log \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_0 = (\log(\rho/\lambda))/\log 2 - 1$. Therefore we can replace z_p in (2.4) by complex numbers of modulus = 1.

Next, we consider the following summation

$$\sum_{\substack{p_n \leq \lambda \\ p_n \neq q}} \log \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right).$$

Using the Taylor expansion, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{p_n \leq \lambda \\ p_n \neq q}} \log \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{\substack{p_n \leq \lambda \\ p_n \neq q}} \frac{(-1)^n}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right| + \sum_{p_n \leq \lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k p_n^{k(\sigma+\frac{3}{4})}}$$

for $|s| \leq r$. We define $A_q(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} (-1)^{\pi(p)} \chi_0(p)$, where χ_0 is the principal character modulo q . Then, the first term of the left hand side in (2.6) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{p_n \leq \lambda \\ p_n \neq q}} \frac{(-1)^n}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right| &= \left| \int_{2^-}^{\lambda} x^{-s-\frac{3}{4}} dA_q(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|A_q(\lambda)|}{\lambda^{\sigma+\frac{3}{4}}} + \left| s + \frac{3}{4} \right| \int_2^{\lambda} |A_q(x)| x^{-\sigma-\frac{7}{4}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|A_q(x)| \leq 2$ for all x , we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{p_n \leq \lambda \\ p_n \neq q}} \frac{(-1)^n}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right| \leq \frac{|s + \frac{3}{4}|}{\sigma + \frac{3}{4}} 2^{r+\frac{1}{4}} \leq 1.5.$$

By direct calculations, we can estimate the second term of the left hand side in (2.6) as

$$\sum_{p_n \leq \lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k p_n^{k(\sigma+\frac{3}{4})}} \leq \frac{3.42}{1-4R}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{p_n \leq \lambda \\ p_n \neq q}} \log \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \leq 1.5 + \frac{3.42}{1-4R}.$$

Finally, we apply the above arguments to

$$f(s) = g(s) + \sum_{p_n \leq \lambda} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p_n) e^{-2\pi i \theta_{p_n}}}{p_n^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right),$$

where $g(s)$ satisfies assumptions of Proposition 2.1 and for $p_n \neq q$, θ_{p_n} is a real number with $\chi(p_n) e^{-2\pi i \theta_{p_n}} = (-1)^n$. By (2.4), (2.5), (2.7) and Lemma 2.5, we obtain the conclusion. \square

3. APPROXIMATION BY A FINITE PRODUCT

Let

$$L_Q(s, \chi) = \prod_{p \leq Q} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

The purpose of this section is to prove the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let q_1, \dots, q_K be 1 or prime numbers with $q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_K$ and χ_k be a Dirichlet character modulo q_k for $k = 1, \dots, K$. Let $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. The measure of $\tau \in [T, 2T]$ such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| \log L \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) - \log L_Q \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) \right| < \varepsilon$$

is greater than

$$T \left(1 - 0.51K \frac{\varphi^4(q_K)}{q_K^4} \frac{\log^2 Q}{(0.25-r)^5 Q^{0.25-r} \varepsilon^2} \right)$$

if

$$Q > \max\{\exp(q_K^8), 355991\} \text{ and } T \geq \pi e^{\frac{1.02(0.75+r)(Q-872)}{0.25-r}}.$$

We introduce lemmas to prove this proposition.

Lemma 3.2 ([6, Lemma 9]). *Let $f(x)$ be a real-valued function on the interval $[a, b]$, and let $f'(x)$ be continuous and monotonic on $[a, b]$ and $|f'(x)| \leq \delta < 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) = \int_a^b e(f(x)) dx + \Theta \left(\frac{4\sqrt{2}\delta}{\pi(1-\delta)} + \frac{6\sqrt{2}\delta}{\pi} + 3 \right),$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $q \geq 1$ and χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q . For $\sigma > 0$, $x \geq |t|/\pi$, $x \geq 1$, $s = \sigma + it$,*

$$(3.1) \quad L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq qx} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} + E_0(\chi) \frac{x^{1-s}}{s-1} + \Theta \left(\left(\varphi(q) \frac{7\sqrt{2}\pi^{-1} + 4}{q^\sigma} + c_1(q) \right) x^{-\sigma} \right),$$

where

$$E_0(\chi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_0, \end{cases} \quad c_1(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = 1, \\ \frac{\varphi(q)}{2} & \text{if } q \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We can prove this lemma by the same way as [6, Lemma 10] with Lemma 3.2. \square

We prepare the following lemma to prove Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.4. *For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \geq 1$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,q)=1}} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \left(\log x + \gamma + \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) + \Theta \left(\frac{2^{\nu(q)}}{x} \right),$$

where γ is Euler's constant and $\nu(q)$ is the number of distinct primes dividing q .

Proof. First we prove the case $q = 1$. Using Euler's summation formula, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + 1 - \int_1^\infty \frac{t - [t]}{t^2} dt + \int_x^\infty \frac{t - [t]}{t^2} dt - \frac{x - [x]}{x}.$$

By simple observations, we have

$$-\frac{1}{x} \leq \int_x^\infty \frac{t - [t]}{t^2} dt - \frac{x - [x]}{x} \leq \frac{1}{x} \text{ and } \gamma = 1 - \int_1^\infty \frac{t - [t]}{t^2} dt.$$

Therefore, (3.2) holds when $q = 1$.

Next, we show the case $q \geq 2$ using the case $q = 1$. By the standard method and the case $q = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,q)=1}} \frac{1}{n} &= \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{d}} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= (\log x + \gamma) \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} - \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d} + \Theta \left(\frac{2^{\nu(q)}}{x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d} = -\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1},$$

we obtain the Lemma. \square

Let $\tau(n)$ denote a number of divisors of n including 1 and n .

Lemma 3.5. *Write*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + \Delta(x),$$

where $\Delta(x)$ is the error term for the divisor problem. Assume a bound

$$(3.5) \quad |\Delta(x)| \leq Cx^\theta \quad (x \geq 1)$$

with some $C > 0$ and $\theta > \frac{1}{4}$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \geq 3$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,q)=1}} \left\{ \frac{x}{dn} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} \left(1 - \gamma - \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) x + \Theta \left(C \sigma_{-\theta}^b(q)^2 x^\theta + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} 2^{\nu(q)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_\kappa^\flat(q) := \sum_{d|q} \mu^2(d) d^\kappa.$$

Proof. We first decompose the sum as

$$S = S_1 - S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 := \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,q)=1}} \frac{x}{dn}, \quad S_2 := \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,q)=1}} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{dn} \right\rfloor$$

and evaluate these sums separately.

For the sum S_1 , we have

$$S_1 = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,q)=1}} \frac{1}{n}.$$

By using Lemma 3.4, we get

$$(3.6) \quad S_1 = \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} \left(\log x + \gamma + \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) x + \Theta \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} 2^{\nu(q)} \right).$$

For the sum S_2 , note that if $n > x/d$, then $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{dn} \right\rfloor = 0$, and so

$$S_2 = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x/d \\ (n,q)=1}} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{dn} \right\rfloor.$$

We then rewrite the sum by using

$$\mathbb{1}_{(n,q)=1} = \sum_{\substack{e|q \\ em=n}} \mu(e)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d) \mu(e) \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{de}} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{dem} \right\rfloor \\ &= \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d) \mu(e) \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{de}} \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{dem}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d) \mu(e) \sum_{mn \leq \frac{x}{de}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d) \mu(e) \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{de}} \tau(n). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), (3.4) and the formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + \Delta(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &= \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d)\mu(e) \left(\frac{x}{de} \left(\log \frac{x}{de} + (2\gamma - 1) \right) + \Delta \left(\frac{x}{de} \right) \right) \\
&= x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) \sum_{d,e|q} \frac{\mu(d)\mu(e)}{de} - x \sum_{d,e|q} \frac{\mu(d)\mu(e)}{de} \log(de) + \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d)\mu(e) \Delta \left(\frac{x}{de} \right) \\
&= \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) - 2x \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{d} \sum_{e|q} \frac{\mu(e)}{e} + \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d)\mu(e) \Delta \left(\frac{x}{de} \right) \\
&= \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} x \left(\log x + 2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) + \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d)\mu(e) \Delta \left(\frac{x}{de} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (3.5), we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{d,e|q} \mu(d)\mu(e) \Delta \left(\frac{x}{de} \right) \right| \leq Cx^\theta \left(\sum_{d|q} \mu^2(d) d^{-\theta} \right)^2 = C\sigma_{-\theta}^b(q)x^\theta.$$

Therefore we get

$$(3.7) \quad S_2 = \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} x \left(\log x + 2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) + \Theta(C\sigma_{-\theta}^b(q)x^\theta).$$

By combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain the assertion. \square

Lemma 3.6. *For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$(3.8) \quad D(x, q) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q)=1}} \tau(n) = \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} x \log x + \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} c_2(q)x + \Theta(c_3(q)x^\theta + c_4(q)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2(q) &= 2\gamma + 2 \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - 1, \\
c_3(q) &= C\sigma_{-\theta}^b(q)^2 = C \left(\sum_{d|q} \mu(d)^2 d^{-\theta} \right)^2, \\
c_4(q) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 1, \\ \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} 2^{\nu(q)+1} & \text{if } q > 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and θ and C are in (3.5). Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad D_2(x, q) &:= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} \tau^2(n) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} x \log^3 x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} (1 + 2c_2(q)) x \log^2 x \\
 &\quad + \left(2c_2(q) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} + c_2^2(q) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} + \frac{2}{1-\theta} c_3(q) + 2c_4(q) \right) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} x \log x \\
 &\quad + \left(c_2^2(q) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} + \frac{2}{1-\theta} c_2(q) c_3(q) + 2c_2(q) c_4(q) - 2 \frac{\theta}{(1-\theta)^2} c_3^2(q) \right) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} x \\
 &\quad + \theta c_3^2(q) x^\theta \log x \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{2\theta-1}{(1-\theta)^2} c_3(q) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} - \frac{2\theta}{1-\theta} c_2(q) c_3(q) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} + c_3^2(q) + 2c_3(q) c_4(q) \right) x^\theta \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} c_3(q) \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{q^2} + c_4^2(q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Particularly, if q is 1 or a prime number and $x > \max\{\exp(q^8), 355991\}$, then

$$(3.10) \quad D_2(x, q) \leq 0.24 \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} x \log^3 x.$$

Proof. First, we show (3.6). The case $q = 1$ is obvious. We assume $q > 1$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} 1 &= \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor \\
 &= \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x - \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{x}{d} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x, q) &= \sum_{\substack{nm \leq x \\ (n, q) = (m, q) = 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{m \leq \frac{x}{n} \\ (m, q) = 1}} 1 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{x}{n} - \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{x}{dn} \right\} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} \left\{ \frac{x}{dn} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we obtain the assertion.

By the same manner as [6, Lemma 12], we can show (3.9). Finally, taking $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $C = 0.397$ for $x \geq 5560$ (see [2]), we can estimate $D_2(x, q)$ as (3.10). □

Lemma 3.7 ([6, Lemma 13]). *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be arbitrary complex numbers. Then*

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n n^{it} \right|^2 dt = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2 + \Theta \left(837 \sum_{n \leq N} n |a_n|^2 \right).$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let q be 1 or a prime number and χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q . Let $E = \{s : |s| \leq d\}$ with $0 < d < 1/4$ and $\omega = 1/4 - d$. Then for*

$$Q > \max\{\exp(q^8), 355991\} \text{ and } T \geq \pi e^{\frac{0.51(1-2\omega)(Q-872)}{\omega}},$$

we have

$$J := \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \iint_E \left| L\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi\right) L_Q^{-1}\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi\right) - 1 \right|^2 d\tau d\sigma dt \leq 0.0062T \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} \frac{\log^2 Q}{\omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$L\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi\right) = \sum_{n \leq q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s+\frac{3}{4}+i\tau}} + \Theta\left(\left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^\sigma} + c_1(q)\right) \left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{\sigma+\frac{3}{4}}\right)$$

for $s \in E$ and $\tau \in [T/2, T]$. Using the Minkowski inequality, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq \iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left| L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi) \sum_{n \leq q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s+i\tau}} - 1 \right|^2 d\tau \right) d\sigma dt \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left| L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi) \sum_{n \leq q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s+i\tau}} - 1 \right|^2 d\tau d\sigma dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^\sigma} + c_1(q) \right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{T} \right)^{2\sigma} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T |L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau d\sigma dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^\sigma} + c_1(q) \right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{T} \right)^{2\sigma} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T |L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau d\sigma dt \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

From now, we calculate each terms. Combining bounds of J_1 and J_3 , we can estimate J_2 . Therefore it is sufficient to calculate J_1 and J_3 . First, we estimate J_1 . Putting $Q_1 = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{\pi(Q)}$, for $Q < q \frac{T+d}{\pi}$, we have

$$L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi) \sum_{n \leq q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s+i\tau}} - 1 = \sum_{Q < n < Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)b(n)}{n^{s+i\tau}},$$

where $|b(n)| \leq \tau(n)$. From the inequality $\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq 1.000081x$ for $x > 0$ (see [20]), we see that $Q_1 \leq e^{1.000081Q}$. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left| L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi) \sum_{n \leq q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s+i\tau}} - 1 \right|^2 d\tau = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left| \sum_{Q < n < Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{\chi(n)b(n)}{n^{s+i\tau}} \right|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{T}{2} \sum_{\substack{Q < n < Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \\ (n, q)=1}} \frac{\tau^2(n)}{n^{2\sigma}} + 837 \sum_{\substack{Q < n < Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \\ (n, q)=1}} \frac{\tau^2(n)}{n^{2\sigma}} \\ &\leq \sigma T \int_Q^{Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{D_2(u, q)}{u^{2\sigma}} du + 837(2\sigma - 1) \int_Q^{Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{D_2(u, q)}{u^{2\sigma}} du + \frac{837 D_2(Q_1 q (T+d)/\pi,)}{(Q_1 q (\frac{T+d}{\pi}))^{2\sigma-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.6, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \sigma T \int_Q^{Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{D_2(u, q)}{u^{2\sigma}} du &\leq 0.12 \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} T \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}^{1-\omega} \sigma \int_Q^\infty \frac{\log^3 u}{u^{2\sigma}} du \\
&\leq 0.12 \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} T \frac{\log^2 Q}{\omega^3 Q^{2\omega}} \left(\frac{\omega^2}{2} + \frac{3\omega}{4 \log Q} + \frac{7}{8 \log^2 Q} \right) \\
&\leq 0.006156 \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} T \frac{\log^2 Q}{\omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&837 \iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \left((2\sigma-1) \int_Q^{Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{D_2(u, q)}{u^{2\sigma}} du + \frac{D_2(Q_1 q (T+d)/\pi, q)}{(Q_1 q (\frac{T+d}{\pi}))^{2\sigma-1}} \right) d\sigma dt \\
&\leq 200.88 \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} \log^3 \left(Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \right) \iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \left((2\sigma-1) \int_Q^{Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{du}{u^{2\sigma-1}} + \frac{1}{(Q_1 q (\frac{T+d}{\pi}))^{2\sigma-2}} \right) d\sigma dt \\
&\leq \frac{50.22}{\omega} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} \log^3 \left(Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}^{1-\omega} \left(Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \right)^{2-2\sigma} d\sigma \\
&\leq \frac{25.11}{\omega} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} \left(Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \right)^{1-2\omega} \log^2 \left(Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{25.11}{\omega} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} 2^{1-2\omega} e^{1.01(1-2\omega)Q} \left(q \frac{T}{\pi} \right)^{1-2\omega} \left(1.01Q + \log q \frac{T}{\pi} + \log 2 \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, $(qx)^{-\omega}(1.01Q + \log qx + \log 2)$ is decreasing for $x \geq \frac{T}{\pi} > \exp\left(\frac{0.51(1-2\omega)(Q-872)}{\omega}\right)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{25.11}{\omega} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} 2^{1-2\omega} e^{1.01(1-2\omega)Q} \left(q \frac{T}{\pi} \right)^{1-2\omega} \left(1.01Q + \log q \frac{T}{\pi} + \log 2 \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{25.11}{\omega} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{1.01(1-2\omega)Q} q \frac{T}{\pi} e^{-1.02(1-2\omega)(Q-872)} \left(1.01Q + \frac{0.51(1-2\omega)(Q-872)}{\omega} + \log 2 \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{25.11 \cdot 0.51^2 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\omega^3} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^3} Q^{-2\omega} \frac{T}{\pi} Q^{2+2\omega} e^{-0.01(1-2\omega)Q+889.44(1-2\omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the assumption $Q \geq \max\{\exp(q^8), 355991\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&q Q^{2+2\omega} e^{-0.01(1-2\omega)Q+889.44(1-2\omega)} (\log Q)^{-2} \\
&\leq (\log Q)^{\frac{1}{8}} Q^{2+2\omega} e^{-0.01(1-2\omega)Q+889.44(1-2\omega)} (\log Q)^{-2} \leq 6.818 \times 10^{-373}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&837 \iint_{E+\frac{3}{4}} \left((2\sigma-1) \int_Q^{Q_1 q \frac{T+d}{\pi}} \frac{D_2(u, q)}{u^{2\sigma}} du + \frac{D_2(Q_1 q (T+d)/\pi, q)}{(Q_1 q (\frac{T+d}{\pi}))^{2\sigma-1}} \right) d\sigma dt \\
&\leq 2.1 \times 10^{-372} \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} T \frac{\log^2 Q}{\omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, J_1 can be estimated as

$$(3.12) \quad J_1 \leq 0.006157 \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} T \frac{\log^2 Q}{\omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}.$$

Next, we consider J_3 . The finite product $L_Q^{-1}(s, \chi)$ can be represented by the Dirichlet polynomial

$$L_Q^{-1}(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq Q_1} \frac{c(n)}{n^s},$$

where $|c(n)| \leq 1$ and $c(n) = 0$ for $(n, q) > 1$. Thus applying Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T |L_Q^{-1}(s + i\tau, \chi)|^2 d\tau &\leq \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n \leq Q_1} \frac{|c(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}} + 837 \sum_{n \leq Q_1} \frac{|c(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{T}{2} \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q_1 \\ (n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma}} + 837 \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q_1 \\ (n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma-1}} \end{aligned}$$

By the partial summation and (3.11), for $\sigma \neq 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q_1 \\ (n, q)=1}} \frac{1}{n^\sigma} &= \int_{1^-}^{Q_1} \frac{1}{u^\sigma} d\left(\sum_{\substack{n \leq u \\ (n, q)=1}} 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_1^\sigma} \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q_1 \\ (n, q)=1}} 1 + \sigma \int_1^{Q_1} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \leq u \\ (n, q)=1}} 1 \right) \frac{1}{u^{\sigma+1}} du \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{1}{Q_1^{\sigma-1}} + \frac{1}{Q_1^\sigma} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{Q_1^{\sigma-1}} - 1 \right) + 1 - Q_1^{-\sigma} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{1}{1-\sigma} \frac{1}{Q_1^{\sigma-1}} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore J_3 can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + c_1(q) \right)^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}^{1-\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{T} \right)^{2\sigma} \left(\frac{T}{2} \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{1}{1-2\sigma} \frac{1}{Q_1^{2\sigma-1}} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{2\sigma}{2\sigma-1} + 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 837 \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{1}{2-2\sigma} \frac{1}{Q_1^{2\sigma-2}} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{2\sigma-1}{2\sigma-2} + 1 \right) \right) d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + c_1(q) \right)^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\omega}^{1-\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{T} \right)^{2\sigma} \left(\frac{T}{2} \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{2\sigma}{2\sigma-1} + 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 837 \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{1}{2-2\sigma} \frac{1}{Q_1^{2\sigma-2}} + 1 \right) \right) d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + c_1(q) \right)^2 \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{\pi(2\omega+1)}{8} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 837 \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{\pi}{T} \frac{1}{4\omega \log Q_1} e^{1.01(1-2\omega)Q} + \frac{\pi}{2T} \right) \right) e^{-1.02(1-2\omega)(Q-872)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by $c_1(q) \leq \varphi(q)$, we estimate

$$\left(7.8 \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + c_1(q) \right)^2 \leq \varphi^2(q) \left(\frac{7.8^2}{q} + \frac{15.6}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 1 \right) \leq 77.44 \varphi^2(q).$$

Furthermore, using assumptions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{\pi(2\omega+1)}{8} + \frac{\pi}{4} + 837 \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \frac{\pi}{T} \frac{1}{4\omega \log Q_1} e^{1.01(1-2\omega)Q} + \frac{\pi}{2T} \right) \right) e^{-1.02(1-2\omega)(Q-872)} \\ & \leq \frac{6.3 \times 10^{-388}}{\omega Q^{2\omega}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad J_3 \leq \frac{2.44 \times 10^{-388} \varphi^2(q)}{\omega Q^{2\omega}} \leq 3.3 \times 10^{-391} \frac{\varphi^4(q) \log^2 Q}{q^4 \omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}.$$

Finally, (3.12) and (3.13) implies the conclusion

$$J \leq 0.0062T \frac{\varphi^4(q) \log^2 Q}{q^4 \omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}.$$

□

Lemma 3.9 (cf. [12, Appendix Section 2 Theorem 7]). *If $f(z)$ is regular for $|z - z_0| \leq R$ and*

$$\iint_{|z-z_0| \leq R} |f(z)|^2 dx dy = H,$$

then

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{(H/\pi)^{1/2}}{R - R'}$$

for $|z - z_0| \leq R' < R$.

Using these lemmas, we prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $d = r + \frac{1/4-r}{2}$ and $E = \{s : |s| \leq d\}$. Then $\omega = \frac{1/4-r}{2}$ and the set A_T is defined by

$$A_T := \left\{ \tau \in \left[\frac{T}{2}, T \right] : \iint_E \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left| L\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k\right) L_Q^{-1}\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k\right) - 1 \right|^2 d\sigma dt \leq (0.5\varepsilon\omega)^2 \pi \right\}.$$

By Lemma 3.8, for $Q > \max\{\exp(q_K^8), 355991\}$ and $T \geq \pi e^{\frac{0.51(1-2\omega)(Q-872)}{\omega}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{meas}([T/2, T] \setminus A_T) \times (0.5\varepsilon\omega)^2 \pi \\ & < \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \iint_E \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left| L\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k\right) L_Q^{-1}\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k\right) - 1 \right|^2 d\tau d\sigma dt \\ & \leq 0.0062TK \frac{\varphi^4(q_K) \log^2 Q}{q_K^4 \omega^3 Q^{2\omega}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}(A_T) & \geq \frac{T}{2} \left(1 - 0.0124K \frac{\varphi^4(q_K) \log^2 Q}{q_K^4 \omega^3 Q^{2\omega} (0.5\varepsilon\omega)^2 \pi} \right) \\ & \geq \frac{T}{2} \left(1 - 0.51K \frac{\varphi^4(q) \log^2 Q}{q^4 (0.25-r)^5 Q^{0.25-r} \varepsilon^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 3.9, for $\tau \in A_T$,

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \max_{s \in E} \left| L\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k\right) L_Q^{-1}\left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k\right) - 1 \right| \leq 0.5\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for $\tau \in A_T$, we obtain

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| \log L \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) - \log L_Q \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

□

4. APPLICATION OF WEYL'S CRITERION

In this section, our purpose is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let q be a prime number and χ_k be a Dirichlet character mod q for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. Let $\rho \geq 355991$, $50 \leq V \leq \rho < Q$, $q < Q$,*

$$V \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (q-1)Q \left(241(q-1)Q + 434 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + 217(q-2) \log \log q \right) \leq \log T,$$

and $\lambda_p^{(k)}$, $p \leq \rho$ be real numbers for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. Let $r < \frac{1}{4}$, θ_q be a real number with $0 \leq \theta_q < 1$ and ε_1 be a real number that satisfies $1.003\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$. Then the measure of $\tau \in [T, 2T]$, such that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| \sum_{p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p)}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}+i\tau}} \right) - \sum_{p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p)e^{-2\pi i \lambda_p^{(k)}}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \leq 188 \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}+r}}{V \log \rho} + \frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \frac{16}{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}-r} \sqrt{\log \rho}}$$

and

$$\left\| \tau \frac{\log q}{2\pi} - \theta_q \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$$

is greater than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 T V^{-(q-1)\pi(\rho)}$.

To prove this proposition, we prepare the following lemmas. The next lemma is a quantitative and continuous version of Weyl's criterion based on Koksma's lemma [11].

Lemma 4.2 ([6, Lemma 18], cf. [11]). *Let L be a positive integer and $a_1, \dots, a_L, b_1, \dots, b_L$ be real numbers such that $a_l < b_l \leq a_l + 1$ for $l = 1, \dots, L$. Let U denote the set of points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_L)$ in \mathbb{R}^L , the L -dimensional vector space, satisfying $a_l \leq x_l \leq b_l$ for $l = 1, \dots, L$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L)$ be and L -tuple of real numbers and let $T_\alpha(U)$ denote the Jordan measure of the set $t \in (0, T)$, such that $t\alpha = (t\alpha_1, \dots, t\alpha_L) \in U \bmod 1$. Let H_l , $l = 1, \dots, L$, be greater than 1 and*

$$\gamma_{h,l} = \begin{cases} b_l - a_l + \frac{75}{H_l}, & \text{for } h = 0, \\ \min \left(\gamma_{0,l}, \frac{30}{|h|} \right), & \text{for } h \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{T_\alpha(U)}{T} - \prod_{i=1}^L (b_i - a_i) \right| &\leq \prod_{l=1}^L (b_l - a_l) \left(\prod_{l=1}^L \left(1 + \frac{75}{H_l(b_l - a_l)} \right) - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T} \sum'_{(h)} \min \left(\left| \pi \sum_{l=1}^L \alpha_l h_l \right|^{-1}, T \right) \prod_{l=1}^L \gamma_{h_l, l}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum $\sum'_{(h)}$ extends over all $h = (h_1, \dots, h_L) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ such that h_l is an integer with $|h_l| \leq H_l(1 + \min(\log H_l, \log L))$, $l = 1, \dots, L$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let q be a positive integer, χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q and λ_p, λ'_p be real numbers. Then, for $M > 355991$ and $|s| \leq r < \frac{1}{4}$,*

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq M} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p) e^{2\pi i \lambda_p}}{p^{s + \frac{3}{4}}} \right) - \sum_{p \leq M} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p) e^{2\pi i \lambda'_p}}{p^{s + \frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \leq 184 \frac{M^{\frac{1}{4} + r}}{\log M} \max_{p \leq M} \|\lambda_p - \lambda'_p\|.$$

Proof. For $|s| \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{p \leq M} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p) e^{2\pi i \lambda_p}}{p^{s + \frac{3}{4}}} \right) - \sum_{p \leq M} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p) e^{2\pi i \lambda'_p}}{p^{s + \frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{p \leq M \\ (p, q) = 1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k p^{k(s + \frac{3}{4})}} |e^{2\pi i k(\lambda_p - \lambda'_p)} - 1| \leq (2 + \sqrt{2}) \max_{p \leq M} |e^{2\pi i(\lambda_p - \lambda'_p)} - 1| \sum_{p \leq M} \frac{1}{p^{-r + \frac{3}{4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $|e^{2\pi i x} - 1| \leq 2\pi \|x\|$ and

$$\sum_{p \leq M} p^{r - \frac{3}{4}} \leq 8.57 \frac{M^{r + \frac{1}{4}}}{\log M}$$

for $M > 355991$ (cf. [16]), we obtain the conclusion. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $J = \left\lfloor V \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{4} + r} \right\rfloor$. When $q \leq \rho$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} U(\theta) &:= \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_{(q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1)+\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)+1}) \right. \\ &: |x_l - \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)}| \leq \frac{1}{2V}, \quad l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m < \pi(q), \\ &|x_l - \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)}| \leq \frac{1}{2V}, \quad l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ &|x_l - \theta_p| \leq \frac{1}{2J}, \quad l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) - 1 \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ &\left. |x_{(q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1)+\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)+1} - \theta_q| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$a_l = \begin{cases} \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2V}, & l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m < \pi(q), \\ \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2V}, & l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ \theta_{p_m} - \frac{1}{2J}, & l = (q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ \theta_q - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, & l = (q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + \pi(Q) - \pi(\rho) + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$b_l = \begin{cases} \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2V}, & l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m < \pi(q), \\ \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2V}, & l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ \theta_{p_m} + \frac{1}{2J}, & l = (q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ \theta_q + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, & l = (q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + \pi(Q) - \pi(\rho) + 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log p_m, & l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m < \pi(q), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log p_m, & l = (k-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log p_m, & l = (q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log q, & l = (q-1)(\pi(\rho)-1) + \pi(Q) - \pi(\rho) + 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\rho < q < Q$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\theta) := & \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_{(q-1)\pi(\rho)+\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)}) \right. \\
& : |x_l - \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)}| \leq \frac{1}{2V}, \quad l = (k-1)\pi(\rho) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq \pi(\rho), \\
& |x_l - \theta_{p_m}| \leq \frac{1}{2V}, \quad l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m < \pi(q), \\
& |x_l - \theta_{p_m}| \leq \frac{1}{2J}, \quad l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) - 1 \text{ for } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\
& \left. |x_{(q-1)\pi(\rho)+\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)} - \theta_q| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_l = \begin{cases} \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{2V}, & l = (k-1)\pi(\rho) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ \theta_{p_m} - \frac{1}{2J}, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m < \pi(q), \\ \theta_{p_m} - \frac{1}{2J}, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) - 1 \text{ for } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ \theta_q - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + \pi(Q) - \pi(\rho), \end{cases}$$

$$b_l = \begin{cases} \lambda_{p_m}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2V}, & l = (k-1)\pi(\rho) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ \theta_{p_m} + \frac{1}{2J}, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m < \pi(q), \\ \theta_{p_m} + \frac{1}{2J}, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) - 1 \text{ for } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ \theta_q + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + \pi(Q) - \pi(\rho), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log p_m, & l = (k-1)\pi(\rho) + m \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq \pi(\rho), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log p_m, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) \text{ for } \pi(\rho) < m < \pi(q), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log p_m, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + m - \pi(\rho) - 1 \text{ for } \pi(q) < m \leq \pi(Q), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log q, & l = (q-1)\pi(\rho) + \pi(Q) - \pi(\rho). \end{cases}$$

Here, $(\theta_p)_{\rho < q < Q, p \neq q}$ are real numbers that we define later. We put $n(\rho) = 1$ if $q \leq \rho$ and $n(\rho) = 0$ if $q > \rho$, $L = (q-1)(\pi(\rho) - n(\rho)) + (\pi(Q) - \pi(\rho) - 1 + n(\rho)) + 1$, $U = U(\theta)$, $H > JQ$, $H_l = \frac{H}{\log Q}$ for $l = 1, \dots, L-1$, $H_L = \frac{H}{\varepsilon_1 J \log Q}$, and we set

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_L).$$

We consider applying Lemma 4.2 with these notations. By the definition of a_l, b_l , we see

$$\prod_{l=1}^L (b_l - a_l) = V^{-(q-1)(\pi(\rho) - n(\rho))} J^{\pi(\rho) - \pi(Q) + 1 - n(\rho)} \varepsilon_1.$$

Furthermore, the inequality $(1+x)^y - 1 \leq e^{xy} - 1$ for $x, y \geq 0$, the fact that $L \leq (q-1)\pi(Q)$, and Lemma 2.2 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{l \leq L} \left(1 + \frac{75}{H_l(b_l - a_l)}\right) - 1 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{75J \log Q}{H}\right)^L - 1 \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{75J \log Q}{H} L\right) - 1 \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{75J \log Q}{H} (q-1)\pi(Q)\right) - 1 \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{82.05(q-1)JQ}{H}\right) - 1 \\ &\leq 0.46, \end{aligned}$$

if

$$(4.1) \quad H \geq 217(q-1)JQ.$$

Next, we consider

$$\sum'_{(h)} \left| \sum_{l \leq L} \alpha_l h_l \right|^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^L \gamma_{h_l, l}.$$

By the definition of $\gamma_{h_l, l}$, we can observe that if $h_L = 0$, then

$$\gamma_{h_L, L} = \varepsilon_1 + \frac{75\varepsilon_1 J \log Q}{H} < 1.003\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$$

holds. Moreover,

$$|h_L| \leq \frac{H}{\varepsilon_1 J \log Q} (1 + \log L) \leq \frac{1.1}{\varepsilon_1} H$$

and for $1 \leq l < L$, $h_l \leq 1.1H$. Applying these estimates, and the estimate of [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{(h)} \left| \sum_{p \leq Q} \alpha_p h_p \right|^{-1} \prod_{l \leq L} \gamma_{h_l, l} &\leq 2 \prod_{\substack{p \leq \rho \\ p \neq q}} \left(1 + 60 \sum_{1 \leq h_p \leq 1.1H} \frac{p^{h_p}}{h_p}\right)^{q-1} \prod_{\substack{\rho < p \leq Q \\ p \neq q}} \left(1 + 60 \sum_{1 \leq h_p \leq 1.1H} \frac{p^{h_p}}{h_p}\right) \\ (4.2) \quad &\times \left(1 + 60 \sum_{1 \leq h_q \leq \frac{1.1}{\varepsilon_1} H} \frac{q^{h_q}}{h_q}\right) \end{aligned}$$

By the partial summation and the inequality for the exponential integral

$$\text{Ei}(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^u}{u} du < \frac{e^x}{x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{3}{x^2}\right)$$

for $x \geq e^{13}$, the below inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{p^n}{n} &\leq \frac{p^{\frac{20}{11}x}}{x \log p} + \int_1^x \frac{p^{\frac{20}{11}u}}{u^2 \log p} du \\ &\leq \frac{p^{\frac{20}{11}x}}{x \log p} + \frac{1}{\log p} \text{Ei}\left(\frac{20}{11}x \log p\right) \\ &\leq \frac{p^{\frac{20}{11}x}}{x \log p} + \frac{p^{\frac{20}{11}x}}{\frac{20}{11}x \log p} \left(\frac{1}{\log p} + \frac{1}{\frac{20}{11}x (\log p)^2} + \frac{3}{\left(\frac{20}{11}x\right)^2 (\log p)^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

if $\frac{20}{11}x \log p > e^{13}$. Note that the Cauchy principal value is taken for $\text{Ei}(x)$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq 1.1H} \frac{p^n}{n} \leq 1.8 \frac{p^{2H}}{1.1H \log p}$$

for $p \neq q$ and

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq \frac{1.1}{\varepsilon_1} H} \frac{q^n}{n} \leq 1.8 \varepsilon_1 \frac{q^{\frac{2H}{\varepsilon_1}}}{1.1H \log q}.$$

Hence the left hand side of (4.2) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{100}{H} \right)^L q^{2H(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1))} (\log q)^{q-2} \left(\prod_{p \leq Q} \frac{p^{2H}}{\log p} \right)^{q-1} \\ &= 2\varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{100}{H} \right)^L q^{2H(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1))} (\log q)^{q-2} \frac{\exp(2H(q-1) \sum_{p \leq Q} \log p)}{\exp((q-1) \sum_{p \leq Q} \log \log p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using inequalities $\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq 1.000081x$ for $x > 0$ (see [20]), and $x/\log x < \pi(x)$ for $x \geq 17$ (see [17, Corollary 1]), we have

$$\sum'_{(h)} \left| \sum_{p \leq Q} \frac{h_p \log p}{2} \right|^{-1} \prod_{p \leq Q} \gamma_{h_p, p} \leq 2\varepsilon_1 e^{(q-1)(1.1HQ - 255585)} q^{2H(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1))} (\log q)^{q-2}.$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(1.1(q-1)HQ + 2H \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + (q-2) \log \log q \right) \\ (4.3) \quad & \leq TV^{-(q-1)(\pi(\rho) - n(\rho))} J^{\pi(\rho) - \pi(Q) + 1 - n(\rho)} \end{aligned}$$

holds, then

$$(4.4) \quad 2e^{(q-1)(1.1HQ - 255585)} q^{2H(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1))} (\log q)^{q-2} \leq 0.01 TV^{-(q-1)(\pi(\rho) - n(\rho))} J^{\pi(\rho) - \pi(Q) + 1 - n(\rho)}$$

is true. Now, since $H > Q \geq 355991$ holds,

$$(4.5) \quad 1.11(q-1)HQ + 2H \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + (q-2) \log \log q \leq \log T$$

implies (4.3). Therefore if

$$V \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (q-1)Q \left(241(q-1)Q + 434 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + 217(q-2) \log \log q \right) \leq \log T$$

holds, we can take H satisfying (4.1) and (4.5). Then, we have

$$(4.6) \quad T_\alpha(U(\theta)) \geq 0.53\varepsilon_1 TV^{-(q-1)(\pi(\rho) - n(\rho))} J^{\pi(\rho) - \pi(Q) + 1 - n(\rho)}.$$

On the other hand, let $\sum_{(j)}$ denote the summation over all $(j_p)_{\substack{\rho < p \leq Q \\ p \neq q}}$ with $j_p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_p \leq J$. We recall that χ_k is a Dirichlet character mod q for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. By the same

way as [16, Lemma 3.4], for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(j)} \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i j_p / J}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{(j)} \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_k^n(p) e^{-2\pi i n j_p / J}}{n p^{n(s+\frac{3}{4})}} \right|^2 \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{(j)} \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i j_p / J}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right|^2 + 2 \sum_{(j)} \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_k^n(p) e^{-2\pi i n j_p / J}}{n p^{n(s+\frac{3}{4})}} \right|^2 \\
& \leq 2 J^{\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)-1+n(\rho)} \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \frac{1}{p^{2(\sigma+\frac{3}{4})}} + 2 \sum_{(j)} \left(\sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \frac{1}{p^{2(\sigma+\frac{3}{4})}} \right)^2 \\
& \leq J^{\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)-1+n(\rho)} \left(\frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \right)^2 \frac{4.7}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}-2r} \log \rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when p runs over all primes satisfying $\rho < p \leq Q$ and $p \neq q$, we obtain more than $0.98 J^{\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)-1+n(\rho)}$ sets $U((\theta_p))$ with $\theta_p = j_p/J$ ($p \neq q$) such that

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+3/4}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \frac{\sqrt{50 \times 4.7}}{\rho^{1/4-r} \sqrt{\log \rho}}.$$

Let A denote the union of these sets. Then the measure of those $\tau \in (T, 2T)$ for which $\tau \alpha \in A \pmod{1}$ is greater than

$$0.53 \varepsilon_1 T V^{-(q-1)(\pi(\rho)-n(\rho))} J^{\pi(\rho)-\pi(Q)+1-n(\rho)} \times 0.98 J^{\pi(Q)-\pi(\rho)-1+n(\rho)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 T V^{-(q-1)\pi(\rho)}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 4.3 and (4.7), for such τ , all $|s| \leq r$, and all $1 \leq k \leq q-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p)}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}+i\tau}} \right) - \sum_{p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i \lambda_p^{(k)}}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \\
& \leq \left| \sum_{p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p)}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}+i\tau}} \right) - \sum_{p \leq \rho} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i \lambda_p^{(k)}}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p)}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}+i\tau}} \right) - \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \sum_{\rho < p \leq Q} \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi_k(p) e^{-2\pi i \theta_p}}{p^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \right| \\
& \leq 188 \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}+r}}{V \log \rho} + \frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \frac{16}{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}-r} \sqrt{\log \rho}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \tau \frac{\log q}{2\pi} - \theta_q \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}.$$

□

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the main results. Combining Proposition 2.1, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.1, we can show Theorem 1.6 easily. Therefore, it is enough to prove Corollary 1.7. Actually, we prove the next Corollary instead of Corollary 1.7.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $q, \chi_k, \theta_q, R, \beta, r, \varepsilon, \rho$ and $g_k(s)$ be the same as Corollary 1.7 for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. Let Q and T be positive numbers such that*

$$Q^{\frac{1}{4}-r} = \max \left\{ \frac{(1.02)^2(q-1)^2}{(0.25-r)^{10}\varepsilon^4\sqrt{2\varepsilon_1}} e^{2\rho}, \exp \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} - r \right) q^8 \right) \right\}$$

and

$$\log T \geq \max \left\{ \log \pi + \frac{1.02(0.75+r)(Q-872)}{0.25-r}, \right. \\ \left. V \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (q-1)Q \left(241(q-1)Q + 434 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + 217(q-2) \log \log q \right) \right\}.$$

Then, the measure of $\tau \in [T, 2T]$, such that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| \log L \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) - g_k(s) \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left\| \tau \frac{\log q}{2\pi} - \theta_q \right\| < \varepsilon_1$$

is greater than $2\varepsilon_1 e^{-(q-1)\rho T}$.

Proof. Put $V = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\log \rho}}$ and replace ε_1 by $2\varepsilon_1$. Since $\rho \geq 355991$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\frac{T}{2} \left(\varepsilon_1 V^{-\pi(\rho)} - 1.02(q-1) \frac{\varphi^4(q)}{q^4} \frac{\log^2 Q}{\varepsilon^2(0.25-r)^5 Q^{0.25-r}} \right) \geq 2\varepsilon_1 e^{-(q-1)\rho T}.$$

Furthermore, under the condition, we obtain

$$\frac{M}{\left(\frac{R}{r} - 1 \right) \rho^{\delta \log \frac{R}{r}}} + \frac{3}{\rho^\alpha \log \rho} + \frac{3 \log \rho}{\rho^{(1-4\delta)(\frac{3}{4}-r)}} + \frac{3}{\rho^{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{2}-2r)} \log \rho} + \frac{188\rho^{\frac{1}{4}+r}}{V \log \rho} + \frac{3-4r}{1-4r} \frac{16}{\rho^{\frac{1}{4}-r} \sqrt{\log \rho}} \\ < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, Corollary 1.7 can be proven. \square

In Theorem 1.6, Corollary 1.7 and Corollary 5.1, we consider the hybrid joint universality theorem for the logarithmic of Dirichlet L -functions. Applying these results, we immediately obtain a lower bound of the hybrid joint universality for Dirichlet L -functions.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $q, \chi_k, \theta_q, R, \beta, r, g_k(s), \varepsilon_1$ be the same as Corollary 5.1 for $k = 1, \dots, q-1$. We assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies $\varepsilon_2 := \varepsilon / (2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{s \leq r} |e^{g_k(s)}|) \leq 1$. Changing ε by ε_2 , we define ρ, Q and T satisfying the condition of Corollary 5.1. Then, the measure of $\tau \in [T, 2T]$ such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} \left| L \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \chi_k \right) - e^{g_k(s)} \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left\| \tau \frac{\log q}{2\pi} - \theta_q \right\| < \varepsilon_1$$

is not less than $2\varepsilon_1 e^{-(q-1)\rho T}$.

6. APPLICATION FOR HURWITZ ZETA-FUNCTIONS

The Hurwitz zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(s, \alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \alpha)^{-s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

where the parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The universality theorem for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with transcendental or rational α was proved independently by Bagchi [1] and Gonek [8]. It is known that the universality theorem for Hurwitz zeta-functions with a rational parameter can be proven by the hybrid joint universality theorem for Dirichlet L -functions. Using this fact, we can estimate a lower bound of universality for Hurwitz zeta-functions with a rational parameter in which the denominator is a prime.

Let q be an odd prime number, p be a positive integer with $(p, q) = 1$ and $r, g(s)$ be the same as Corollary 1.7. We choose a positive C with

$$\max_{|s| \leq r} |g(s)| < \frac{C}{q^r}$$

and put

$$(6.1) \quad g(s, \chi_k) = \begin{cases} \chi_k(p)(g(s)q^{-s} + C), & k = 1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}, \\ \chi_k(p)(g(s)q^{-s} - C), & k = \frac{q-1}{2} + 1, \dots, q-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{q-1}$ are distinct Dirichlet characters mod q . Then, each $g(s, \chi_k)$ is non-vanishing on $|s| \leq r$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{4q^r \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} |g(s, \chi_k)|} \leq 1.$$

Then, applying Corollary 5.2, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 6.1. *Let q be an odd prime number, p be a positive integer with $(p, q) = 1$ and $R, \beta, r, g(s)$ be the same as Corollary 1.7. We choose ε satisfying (6.2) and put*

$$\varepsilon_1 := \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi q^r \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} |g(s, \chi_k)|} \text{ and } \varepsilon_2 := \frac{\varepsilon}{4q^r \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq r} |g(s, \chi_k)|}.$$

Let ρ, Q, T be positive numbers with

$$\rho \geq \left(\frac{2a(r)}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{2}{(1-4\delta)(\frac{1}{4}-r)}}, \quad \frac{\rho^\beta}{5e\delta^3 \log^4 \rho} \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq q-1} \max_{|s| \leq 0.06} |g(s, \chi_k)| + 6,$$

$$Q^{\frac{1}{4}-r} = \max \left\{ \frac{(1.02)^2(q-1)^2}{(0.25-r)^{10}\varepsilon_2^4\sqrt{2\varepsilon_1}} e^{2\rho}, \exp \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} - r \right) q^8 \right) \right\},$$

and

$$\log T \geq \max \left\{ \log \pi + \frac{1.02(0.75+r)(Q-872)}{0.25-r}, \right. \\ \left. V \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (q-1)Q \left(241(q-1)Q + 434 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} - (q-1) \right) \log q + 217(q-2) \log \log q \right) \right\}.$$

where $a(r)$ is defined in Corollary 1.7 and $g(s, \chi_k)$ is defined by (6.1). Then, we have

$$\text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{|s| \leq r} \left| \zeta \left(s + \frac{3}{4} + i\tau, \frac{p}{q} \right) - g(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} \geq 2\varepsilon_1 e^{-\rho T}.$$

On the other hand, Gonek [8] conjectured that universality also holds for the Hurwitz zeta function with an algebraic irrational parameter. However, the universality theorem for Hurwitz zeta functions with algebraic irrational parameters has not yet been completely established. Recently, Sourmelidis and Steuding [22] proved a weak form of universality for Hurwitz zeta functions with algebraic irrational parameters, depending on some auxiliary parameters. Later, Mine [15] showed that universality for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ holds for all but finitely many α in

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho(c) := \{0 < \alpha < 1 : \alpha \text{ is an algebraic irrational number satisfying } |\alpha - c| \leq \rho\},$$

where $0 < c < 1$ and $0 < \rho \leq \min\{c, 1 - c\}$.

We consider a different approach those in from previous studies [22] and [15], which is based on a lower bound of the lower density. We introduce the definition and property of normal families. Write $H(\Omega)$ for the set of all holomorphic functions on Ω .

Definition 6.2 (cf. [18, Definition 14.5]). *Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subset H(\Omega)$, for some region Ω . We call \mathcal{F} a normal family if every sequence of members of \mathcal{F} contains a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets of Ω . The limit function is not required to belong to \mathcal{F} .*

Theorem 6.3 (cf. [18, Theorem 14.6]). *Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subset H(\Omega)$ and \mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded on each compact subset of the region Ω . Then \mathcal{F} is a normal family.*

Lemma 6.4. *Denote $D := \{s : 1/2 < \sigma < 1\}$. Then, $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_0} := \{\zeta(s, \alpha) : 0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\} \subset H(D)$ is a normal family for fixed $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Assume K is a compact set of D . Then, by the well-known result (cf. [12, Lemma I.4.3]), for any $\zeta(s, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s, \alpha) &= \alpha^{-s} + (1 + \alpha)^{-s} + \frac{1}{s-1} \left(\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right)^{1-s} + s \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{u - [u] - \frac{1}{2}}{(u + \alpha)^{s+1}} du \\ &\ll \alpha^{-\sigma} + (1 + \alpha)^{-\sigma} + \frac{1}{|s-1|} \left(\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right)^{1-\sigma} + \frac{|s|}{\sigma} \left(\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right)^{-\sigma} \\ &\ll_{K, \alpha_0} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathcal{F}_{α_0} is uniformly bounded on K . By Theorem 6.3, we see that \mathcal{F}_{α_0} is a normal family in $H(D)$. \square

Applying this lemma, we can prove the following.

Theorem 6.5. *Let K be a compact set in the strip $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ with connected complement, and let $g(s)$ be a continuous function on K that is analytic in the interior of K . Suppose $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is an algebraic irrational number and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ converges to α . If*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_n) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \geq c(\varepsilon) > 0$$

holds for any enough small $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0$$

holds.

Proof. We put

$$E_n := \left\{ \tau \geq 0 : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_n) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\},$$

$$E := \left\{ \tau \geq 0 : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

First, we prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \subset E.$$

Assume $\tau \in \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$. By the definition of $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$, we find a subsequence n_k such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_{n_k}) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

holds for sufficiently large k . Here, $\{\zeta(s, \alpha_{n_k}) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a normal family, and $K + i\tau$ is a compact set. Therefore, by (6.4), there exists a subsequence k_j such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_{n_{k_j}}) - \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Combining these two inequalities, we get

$$\sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - g(s)| < \varepsilon,$$

i.e. $\tau \in E$. Finally, we estimate the lower density of

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We write that I_A is the indicator function of a set A . Then, using the fact that

$$I_{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{E_n},$$

and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} = \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} I_E(\tau) d\tau \\ & \geq \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} I_{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n}(\tau) d\tau \\ & = \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{E_n}(\tau) d\tau \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} I_{E_n}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty}$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - g(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \geq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [T, 2T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha_n) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \geq c(\varepsilon/2) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the assumption. □

In other words, if we can estimate a good lower bound of the lower density of universality for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, we can prove the universality theorem for $\zeta(s, \alpha)$, which α is an algebraic irrational number. Unfortunately, our lower bound given by Corollary 6.1 does not work, because in Corollary 6.1, our lower bound depends on the parameters.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Kohji Matsumoto and Professor Ramūnas Garunkštis for their helpful comments, Professor Yuta Suzuki for teaching Lemma 3.5, and Dr. Yuya Kanado for his valuable comments. This work was financially supported by JSPS Research Fellow (Grant Number:25KJ1412).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bagchi, The Statistical Behaviour and Universality Properties of the Riemann Zeta-Function and Other Allied Dirichlet Series, Ph. D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1981.
- [2] D. Berkane, O. Bordellès and O. Ramaré, Explicit upper bounds for the remainder term in the divisor problem, *Math. Comp.* **81** (2012), no. 278, 1025–1051.
- [3] P. Dusart, Inégalités explicites pour $\psi(X)$, $\theta(X)$, $\pi(X)$ et les nombres premiers, *Comptes Rendus Mathématiques de l'Académie des Sciences, La Société Royale du Canada* **2**, 53–59 (1999).
- [4] K. Endo, Effective uniform approximation by L -functions in the Selberg class, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **68** (2023), no. 1, 59–99.
- [5] K. Endo, Limit theorem for the hybrid joint universality theorem on zeta and L -functions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.17575, 2024.
- [6] R. Garunkštis, The effective universality theorem for the Riemann zeta function, in *Proceedings of the Session in Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Equations*, 21 pp., Bonner Math. Schriften, 360, Univ. Bonn, Bonn.
- [7] R. Garunkštis, A. Laurinćikas, K. Matsumoto, J. Steuding and R. Steuding, Effective uniform approximation by the Riemann zeta-function, *Publ. Mat.* **54** (2010), no. 1, 209–219.
- [8] S. M. Gonek, *Analytic properties of zeta and L -functions*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1979.
- [9] A. Good, On the distribution of the values of Riemann's zeta function, *Acta Arith.* **38** (1981), 347–388.
- [10] J. Kaczorowski and M. Kulas, On the non-trivial zeros off the critical line for L -functions from the extended Selberg class, *Monatsh. Math.* **150** (2007), no. 3, 217–232.
- [11] J. F. Koksma, *Some theorems on Diophantine inequalities*, Scriptum, no. 5, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1950.
- [12] A. A. Karatsuba and S. M. Voronin, *The Riemann zeta-function*, translated from the Russian by Neal Koblitz, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 5, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [13] Y. Lamzouri, S. J. Lester and M. Radziwiłł, An effective universality theorem for the Riemann zeta function, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **93** (2018), no. 4, 709–736.
- [14] K. Matsumoto, A survey on the theory of universality for zeta and L -functions, in *Number theory*, 95–144, Ser. Number Theory Appl., 11, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ.
- [15] M. Mine, New developments toward the Gonek Conjecture on the Hurwitz zeta-function. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.01262, 2023.
- [16] T. Nakamura and L. Pańkowski, Effective version of self-approximation for the Riemann zeta function, *Math. Nachr.* **290** (2017), no. 2-3, 401–414.
- [17] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 64–94.
- [18] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, third edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [19] N. Rungtanapirom, J. Steuding and S. Wananiyakul, Effective weak universality in short intervals, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **107** (2025), no. 1-2, 215–236.
- [20] L. Schoenfeld, Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. II, *Math. Comp.* **30** (1976), no. 134, 337–360.
- [21] A. Sourmelidis, Estimates for Weyl sums in the theory of discrete universality, *Ramanujan J.* **57** (2022), no. 4, 1399–1421.
- [22] A. Sourmelidis and J. Steuding, On the value-distribution of Hurwitz zeta-functions with algebraic parameter, *Constr. Approx.* **55** (2022), no. 3, 829–860.
- [23] J. Steuding, Upper bounds for the density of universality, *Acta Arith.* **107** (2003), no. 2, 195–202.
- [24] J. Steuding, Upper bounds for the density of universality. II, *Acta Math. Univ. Ostrav.* **13** (2005), no. 1, 73–82.
- [25] S. M. Voronin, Ω -theorems of the theory of the Riemann zeta-function, *Math. USSR-Izv.* **32** (1989), no. 2, 429–442; translated from *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **52** (1988), no. 2, 424–436, 448.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA 464-8602,
JAPAN.

E-mail address: m21029d@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp