Signed Mahonian Polynomials on Colored Derangements

Hasan Arslan*, Nazmiye Alemdar^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039, Kayseri, Turkey

Abstract

The polynomial $\sum_{\pi \in W} q^{maj(\pi)}$ of major index over a classical Weyl group W with a generating set S is called the Mahonian polynomial over W, and also the polynomial $\sum_{\pi \in W} (-1)^{l(\pi)} q^{maj(\pi)}$ of major index together with sign over the group W is called the signed Mahonian polynomial over the group W, where l is the length function on W defined in terms of the generating set S. We concern with the signed Mahonian polynomial

$$\sum_{\pi \in D_n^{(c)}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

on the set $D_n^{(c)}$ of colored derangements in the group $G_{c,n}$ of colored permutations, where L denotes the length function defined by means of a complex root system described by Bremke and Malle in $G_{c,n}$ and fmaj defined by Adin and Roichman in $G_{c,n}$ represents the flag-major index, which is a Mahonian statistic. As an application of the formula for signed Mahonian polynomials on the set of colored derangements, we will derive a formula to count colored derangements of even length in $G_{c,n}$ when c is an even number. Finally, we conclude by providing a formula for the difference between the number of derangements of even and odd lengths in $G_{c,n}$ when c is even.

Keywords: Derangement, Mahonian statistic, Mahonian polynomials, length function.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A05, 05A15, 05A19.

Email Adressess: hasanarslan@erciyes.edu.tr (H. Arslan), nakari@erciyes.edu.tr (N. Alemdar)

^{*}Corresponding Author: Hasan Arslan

1 Introduction

In this paper, we deal with the q-enumeration of the Mahonian polynomial of colored derangements in the group of colored permutations $G_{c,n}$ based on the flag major index. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[n] := \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ (where $[0] := \emptyset$). The cardinality of a set X will be denoted by |X|. Let c and n be positive integers. The group of colored permutations $G_{c,n}$ may be described as follows: The group $G_{c,n}$ of n letters with n colors may be regarded as a split group extension $G_{c,n} = \mathfrak{S}_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_c^n$ of \mathbb{Z}_c^n by \mathfrak{S}_n , where $\mathbb{Z}_c := \{0, 1, \cdots, c-1\}$, \mathbb{Z}_c^n is the direct product of n copies of \mathbb{Z}_c and \mathfrak{S}_n is the symmetric group on [n]. A much more natural manner to introduce $G_{c,n}$ is in the following way: Now consider the set $I = \{i^{[k]} : i = 1, \cdots, n; k = 0, \cdots, c-1\}$ as the set [n] colored by the colors $0, 1, \cdots, c-1$. Then, the colored permutations group $G_{c,n}$ consists of all bijections $\pi: I \mapsto I$ providing that $\pi(i^{[k]}) = (\pi(i))^{[k]}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n; k = 0, \cdots, c-1$. Therefore, any element π of the group $G_{c,n}$ is written as follows:

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \pi_1^{[t_1]} & \pi_2^{[t_2]} & \cdots & \pi_n^{[t_n]} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\pi_i \in [n]$ and $0 \le t_i \le c-1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We shall represent π by the word by removing its first row:

$$\pi = \pi_1^{[t_1]} \ \pi_2^{[t_2]} \cdots \pi_n^{[t_n]}.$$

The *color* number of π is defined as the sum $\operatorname{col}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$. In particular, the underlying permutation of a colored permutation $\pi = \pi_1^{[t_1]} \pi_2^{[t_2]} \cdots \pi_n^{[t_n]} \in G_{c,n}$ is denoted by $|\pi| = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

As is well-known from [21], the inversion number and the descent set of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ are respectively defined in the following way:

$$inv(\sigma) = |\{(i,j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < j \text{ and } \sigma_i > \sigma_j\}|$$

 $Des(\sigma) = \{i \in [n-1] : \sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}\}.$

The usual (type A) major index, a statistic derivable from $Des(\sigma)$, is identified as $maj(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in Des(\sigma)} i$. MacMahon proved in [20] that the number of inversions inv is equidistributed with the major index maj over the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , that is,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{inv(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{maj(\sigma)} = [n]!_q$$

where q is an indeterminate, $[i]_q := \frac{1-q^i}{1-q}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ is a q-integer and $[n]_q! := [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n-1]_q[n]_q$ is the usual q-analogue of n!. If a permutation statistic is equidistributed with the length function, that is, the number of inversions, then it is called Mahonian.

Given $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \pi_1^{[t_1]} & \pi_2^{[t_2]} & \cdots & \pi_n^{[t_n]} \end{pmatrix} \in G_{c,n}$, the length of π is defined by

$$L(\pi) := \operatorname{col}(\pi) + c \cdot \sum_{t_j \neq 0} |\{(i, j) : i < j \text{ and } \pi_i < \pi_j\}| + inv(|\pi|)$$
 (1)

due to [10], where $inv(|\pi|)$ corresponds to the classical inversion in \mathfrak{S}_n . Clearly, $L(|\pi|) = inv(|\pi|)$ for all $\pi \in G_{c,n}$. For example, the length of $\pi = 2^{[3]} 1^{[1]} 3 4^{[2]} 5 \in G_{4,5}$ is $L(\pi) = 6 + 4 \cdot 3 + 1 = 19$. It is well known from [5] that for each $\pi \in G_{c,n}$ the length $L(\pi)$ corresponds to the colored inversion $inv_c(\pi)$. The flag-major index of any colored element can be practically computed by using the next theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Adin-Roichman [1]). Let $\pi \in G_{c,n}$. Then

$$fmaj(\pi) = c \cdot maj(\pi) + col(\pi) \tag{2}$$

where maj is computed with respect to the following total order:

$$1^{[c-1]} < \dots < n^{[c-1]} < \dots < 1^{[2]} < \dots < n^{[2]} < 1^{[1]} < \dots < n^{[1]} < 1 < \dots < n.$$
(3)

In Theorem 1.1, $maj(\pi)$ equals the sum $\sum_{i \in Des_c(\pi)} i$, where $Des_c(\pi) := \{i \in [n-1] : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\}$ is determined by considering the total ordering in (3).

To illustrate Theorem 1.1, we will continue with our running example. Thus, we obtain that the flag-major index of $\pi = 2^{[3]} 1^{[1]} 3 4^{[2]} 5 \in G_{4,5}$ is $fmaj(\pi) = 4 \cdot 3 + 6 = 18$. Adin and Roichman in [1] showed that the flag major index in the case c = 1 (i.e., for the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n) coincides with the usual major index. It is well known from [5] that the flag major index is a Mahonian statistic, that is,

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,r}} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [ci]_q = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q^{L(w)}.$$
 (4)

Although the statistics inv and maj have symmetric joint distribution

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{inv(\pi)} q^{maj(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{inv(\pi)} t^{maj(\pi)}$$
 (5)

over \mathfrak{S}_n [15], the statistics fmaj and inv_c do not generally have a symmetric joint distribution over $G_{c,n}$. For instance, observation of Table 1 shows that the joint symmetric distribution condition in question is not satisfied for $G_{4,2}$. In [3], Ahmia, Ramiréz and Villamizar introduced an inversion-like statistic \widehat{inv} on $G_{c,n}$ by

$$\widetilde{inv}(\pi) = c \cdot inv(|\pi|) + col(\pi)$$
 (6)

for each $\pi \in G_{c,n}$. It easily follows that \widetilde{inv} is equidistributed with fmaj over $G_{c,n}$. Clearly, $\widetilde{inv}(\pi) = \widetilde{inv}(\pi^{-1})$ for each $\pi \in G_{c,n}$. Furthermore, we will assert in Conjecture 2.6 that the joint distribution of the statistics \widetilde{inv} and fmaj is symmetric over $G_{c,n}$ in the sense of (5).

For $n \geq 1$, let $D_n^{(c)} = \{ \pi \in G_{c,n} : \pi(i) \neq i \text{ for all } i \in [n] \}$ be the set of all colored derangements in $G_{c,n}$. Specifically, we investigate the Mahonian polynomials defined on the set $D_n^{(c)}$ of all colored derangements in the group $G_{c,n}$:

$$d_n^{(c)}(q) := \sum_{\pi \in D_n^{(c)}} q^{fmaj(\pi)} \tag{7}$$

The use of the length function L together with fmaj, which we have been unable to find in the existing literature, leads to some new formulas for the signed Mahonian polynomials on the set $D_n^{(c)}$ of colored derangements in the colored permutations group $G_{c,n}$. In addition, Bagno [7] introduced a length function ℓ on $G_{c,n}$. Signed Mahonian and Euler-Mahonian polynomials studied in the literature are mainly based on the length function ℓ (see [11,14]). We remark that the length function ℓ , which will be used throughout the paper, is completely different from ℓ . Utilizing equations (4) and (7) together, we will produce an explicit formula for the signed Mahonian polynomials over $D_n^{(c)}$

$$\bar{d}_n^{(c)}(q) := \sum_{\pi \in D_n^{(c)}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$
(8)

when c is even. Gessel [17] found a remarkable formula for the Mahonian polynomial over the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , which is also known as $G_{1,n}$, by major index. Subsequently, another elegant proof was given by Wachs [22] in a combinatorial way. Chow [13] extended Wachs' method to produce a formula for the Mahonian polynomial over the group of signed permutations B_n (a Weyl group of type B), which can be viewed as the group $G_{2,n}$, by employing the flag major index.

In a recent work, Ji and Zhang [18] derived a formula for the Mahonian polynomial of derangements in the even-signed permutations group (a Weyl group of type D) by considering the D-major index introduced by Biagioli and Caselli in [8], extending Wachs' approach and utilizing a refinement of Stanley's shuffle theorem produced by themselves in [19]. Moreover, Ji and Zhang [18] established an explicit formula for signed Mahonian polynomial on the set of derangements in each classical Weyl group W.

2 The signed Mahonian polynomial over $D_n^{(c)}$

In this section, our primary focus will be on deriving a formula for the sum

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} \tag{9}$$

where L stands for the length function described by the formula (1). We will prove equation (9) depending on the parity of c. To this end, we will use the formula

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(|\pi|)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = [c]_q [2c]_{-q} [3c]_q [4c]_{-q} \cdots [nc]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}.$$
(10)

proven by Biagioli and Caselli in [9].

Theorem 2.1. If c is an even number, then we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = [c]_{-q} [2c]_q [3c]_{-q} [4c]_q \cdots [nc]_{(-1)^n q}.$$
(11)

Proof. Since c is even and considering the length formula given in equation (1), we deduce that $L(\pi) \equiv \operatorname{col}(\pi) + inv(|\pi|) \pmod{2}$ for any $\pi \in G_{c,n}$. From equations (2) and (10), we obtain

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{\operatorname{col}(\pi) + inv(|\pi|)} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(|\pi|)} (-q)^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

$$= [c]_{-q} [2c]_q [3c]_{-q} [4c]_q \cdots [nc]_{(-1)^n q}$$

as desired.

Equation (11) can also be expressed as

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [ci]_q$$
 (12)

when c is even. In Table 1, one can see the distribution of the length function L and flag major index fmaj on $G_{4,2}$. We will illustrate the formula given in (11) in the following example.

Example 2.2. Taking Table 1 into account, it can be checked that the formula (11) holds for $G_{4,2}$. Namely, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{4,2}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = 1 + q^2 - q^8 - q^{10} = [4]_{-q} [8]_q.$$

When we set c = 2, Theorem 2.1 corresponds to

$$\sum_{\pi \in B_n} (-1)^{l_B(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = [2]_{-q} [4]_q \cdots [2n]_{(-1)^n q}$$

which is a result of Adin, Gessel, and Roichman for the hyperoctahedral group B_n (see Theorem 5.1 in [2]). In [1], Adin and Roichman proved that the flag major index in the case c = 2 (i.e., for the hyperoctahedral group B_n) is identical with the flag major index in the group B_n . It should be also noted here that in the case of c = 2 the length function L agrees with the canonical length function l_B of the Weyl group B_n (see [10]).

Table 1: Distribution of the length, flag major index and \widetilde{inv} on $G_{4,2}$

$\pi =$		$L(\pi)$	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$	$\pi =$	$L(\pi)$	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$
π_1	π_2				$\pi_1\pi_2$			
1	2	0	0	0	$2 1^{[3]}$	4	7	7
$1^{[1]}$	2	1	1	1	$2^{[1]}$ $1^{[3]}$	5	8	8
$1^{[2]}$	2	2	2	2	$2^{[2]}$ $1^{[3]}$	6	9	9
$1^{[3]}$	2	3	3	3	$2^{[3]}$ $1^{[3]}$	7	10	10
2	1	1	4	4	$1 2^{[1]}$	5	5	1
$2^{[1]}$	1	2	1	5	$1^{[1]} 2^{[1]}$	6	2	2
$2^{[2]}$	1	3	2	6	$1^{[2]}$ $2^{[1]}$	7	3	3
$2^{[3]}$	1	4	3	7	$1^{[3]} 2^{[1]}$	8	4	4
2	$1^{[1]}$	2	5	5	$1 2^{[2]}$	6	6	2
$2^{[1]}$	$1^{[1]}$	3	6	6	$1^{[1]} 2^{[2]}$	7	7	3
$2^{[2]}$	$1^{[1]}$	4	3	7	$1^{[2]}$ $2^{[2]}$	8	4	4
$2^{[3]}$	$1^{[1]}$	5	4	8	$1^{[3]} 2^{[2]}$	9	5	5
2	$1^{[2]}$	3	6	6	$1 2^{[3]}$	7	7	3
$2^{[1]}$	$1^{[2]}$	4	7	7	$1^{[1]} 2^{[3]}$	8	8	4
$2^{[2]}$	$1^{[2]}$	5	8	8	$1^{[2]}$ $2^{[3]}$	9	9	5
$2^{[3]}$	$1^{[2]}$	6	5	9	$1^{[3]}$ $2^{[3]}$	10	6	6

When c is an odd number, a brute-force computation for $c \leq 7$ and $n \leq 3$

shows that the polynomial

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

does not have a nice factorial-type product formula as in (11). Similarly to Theorem 2.1, we state the following result without proof, based on equations (2), (4) and (6).

Corollary 2.3. When c is an even number, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{\widetilde{inv}(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{fmaj(\pi)} q^{\widetilde{inv}(\pi)} = \prod_{i=1}^n [ci]_{-q}.$$

Table 2: Distribution of the length, flag major index and \widetilde{inv} on $G_{3,2}$

$\pi =$		$L(\pi)$	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$	$\pi =$	$L(\pi)$	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$
$\pi_1\pi_2$					$\pi_1\pi_2$			
2	1	1	3	3	1 2	0	0	0
$2^{[1]}$	1	2	1	4	$1^{[1]}$ 2	1	1	1
$2^{[2]}$	1	3	2	5	$1^{[2]}$ 2	2	2	2
2	$1^{[1]}$	2	4	4	$1 2^{[1]}$.] 4	4	1
$2^{[1]}$	$1^{[1]}$	3	5	5	$1^{[1]} 2^{[1]}$	5	2	2
$2^{[2]}$	$1^{[1]}$	4	3	6	$1^{[2]} 2^{[1]}$	6	3	3
2	$1^{[2]}$	3	5	5	$1 2^{[2]}$	5	5	2
$2^{[1]}$	$1^{[2]}$	4	6	6	$1^{[1]} 2^{[2]}$	_	6	3
$2^{[2]}$	$1^{[2]}$	5	7	7	$1^{[2]}$ $2^{[2]}$	7	4	4

The next result immediately follows from the equations (13), (14) and (15) which occur in the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [9].

Corollary 2.4. When c is an odd number, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{\widetilde{inv}(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} &= \\ & \begin{cases} [c]_q [2c]_{-q} [3c]_q [4c]_{-q} \cdots [nc]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}, & \text{if n is even} \\ [c]_{-q} [c]_q [2c]_{-q} [3c]_q [4c]_{-q} \cdots [(n-2)c]_q [(n-1)c]_{-q} [n]_{q^c}, & \text{if n is odd.} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Now, we will give the following example to illustrate Corollary 2.4 based on Table 2.

Example 2.5. Considered Table 2, we get

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{3,2}} (-1)^{\widetilde{inv}(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = 1 + q^2 - q^3 + q^4 - q^5 - q^7 = [3]_q [6]_{-q}.$$

If we substitute $c \to 1$ in Corollary 2.4, then we encounter the polynomial

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{inv(\pi)} q^{maj(\pi)} = [1]_q [2]_{-q} \cdots [n]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}$$

which is nothing else but the result constructed by Gessel and Simon for the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n (see Corollary 2 in [23]). We also realized that for some c odd numbers the polynomial $\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{fmaj(\pi)} q^{\widetilde{inv}(\pi)}$ has the same formula as in Corollary 2.4. For instance, this situation may be checked for each group $G_{3,2}$, $G_{5,2}$, $G_{7,2}$ and $G_{3,3}$. In addition, we observed that the joint distribution of the permutation statistics \widetilde{inv} and fmaj on $G_{c,n}$ is symmetric for some values of c and n. Therefore, the above observation allows us to pose the following conjecture, which is computationally confirmed for every group $G_{c,n}$ with $1 \le c \le 7$ and n = 2 as well as for the groups $G_{3,3}$ and $G_{4,3}$ (see Appendix A).

Conjecture 2.6. The statistic inv has a symmetric joint distribution with fmaj over $G_{c,n}$. That is,

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} \widetilde{t^{inv}(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} \widetilde{q^{inv}(\pi)} t^{fmaj(\pi)}.$$

It is important to note here that Conjecture 2.6 may be considered as a generalization of the equality (5). The best way to prove that the statistics \widehat{inv} and fmaj on $G_{c,n}$ have a symmetric joint distribution is to find, if possible, an explicit bijection $\psi: G_{c,n} \to G_{c,n}$ such that for all $\pi \in G_{c,n}$

$$\widetilde{inv}(\pi) = fmaj(\psi(\pi))$$
 and $fmaj(\pi) = \widetilde{inv}(\psi(\pi))$.

In other words, one needs to show that ψ interchanges the two statistics \widetilde{inv} and fmaj.

Following Wachs' work [22], for any colored permutation $\pi \in G_{c,A}$, where $A = \{0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k\}$, the reduction of π to the non-fixed points is defined to be the colored permutation $dp(\pi) \in G_{c,k}$ by replacing each letter $\pi_j^{[r_j]}$ by $i^{[r_j]}$ if $\pi_j = a_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Thus, the derangement part $dp(\pi)$ of a colored permutation $\pi \in G_{c,n}$ is the reduction of subword of non-fixed points of π . For example, $dp(2^{[3]} 1^{[1]} 3 4^{[2]} 5) = 2^{[3]} 1^{[1]} 3^{[2]}$. It should be noted here that the derangement part of a colored permutation is a colored derangement, and

conversely, any derangement in $D_n^{(c)}$ and a subset having n-k elements of [n] give rise to a unique colored permutation in $G_{c,n}$ with n-k fixed points.

Now let $\pi = \pi_1^{[t_1]} \pi_2^{[t_2]} \cdots \pi_n^{[t_n]} \in G_{c,n}$. A letter $\pi_i^{[t_i]}$ is called a *subcedant* (respectively an *excedant*) of π if $\pi_i < i$ (respectively, $\pi_i > i$) with respect to the total ordering in (3). It is obvious that all excedants of π are positive. Let $s(\pi)$ and $e(\pi)$ denote the number of subcedants and excedants of π , respectively. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a colored permutation having k letters obtained from $\pi \in G_{c,k}$ with $k \leq n$ in the following order:

- Replace *i*th smallest (in absolute value) subcedant $\pi_j^{[t_j]}$ of π by $i^{[t_j]}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s(\pi)$.
- Assign ith smallest fixed point of π to $s(\pi)+1$, $i=1,2,\cdots,k-s(\pi)-e(\pi)$.
- Write n i + 1 instead of ith largest excedant of π , where i ranges from 1 to $e(\pi)$.

Note that if k = n, then $\tilde{\pi} \in G_{c,n}$. Moreover, if $\pi \in D_n^{(c)}$ then $\tilde{\pi} \in D_A^{(c)}$, where $A = \{1, 2, \dots, s(\pi)\} \cup \{n - e(\pi) + 1, n - e(\pi) + 2, \dots, n\}$. For instance, the collections of subcedants, excedants and fixed points of $\pi = 2$ 1^[1] 3 4^[2] 5 7 6^[2] are, respectively, $\{1^{[1]}, 4^{[2]}, 6^{[2]}\}$, $\{2, 7\}$ and $\{3, 5\}$. Since the above construction, π corresponds to $\tilde{\pi} = 6$ 3^[1] 4 2^[2] 5 7 1^[2]. One can observe that descent set of π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are the same. This is not an accident.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\pi \in G_{c,k}$ with $k \leq n$. Then $Des_c(\pi) = Des_c(\tilde{\pi})$ and $col(\pi) = col(\tilde{\pi})$.

Proof. It is easy to see from the construction of $\tilde{\pi}$ that the colors remain the same. Based on the total ordering in (3), we achieved $Des_c(\pi) = Des_c(\tilde{\pi})$ by following the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1 in [22].

Given two disjoint permutations $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_j$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$, a shuffle of τ and σ is a permutation $w = w_1 \cdots w_{j+k}$ such that τ and σ appear as subsequences in w. The collection of all shuffles of τ and σ is denoted by $sh(\tau, \sigma)$. Garsia and Gessel [16] showed that the weight of the major index on $sh(\tau, \sigma)$ is

$$\sum_{w \in sh(\tau,\sigma)} q^{maj(w)} = q^{maj(\tau) + maj(\sigma)} \begin{bmatrix} j+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$
 (13)

Equation (13) remains true if τ and σ are colored words of different letters from the alphabet in equation (3). It is apparent that if $\pi \in sh(\tau, \sigma)$ we have $col(\pi) =$

 $col(\tau) + col(\sigma)$. Considering the definition of flag major index in (2), it is not hard to see that the following identity is true:

$$\sum_{\pi \in sh(\tau,\sigma)} q^{fmaj(w)} = q^{fmaj(\tau) + fmaj(\sigma)} \begin{bmatrix} j+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^c}.$$
 (14)

The above construction of $\tilde{\pi}$ from π yields to following bijection, proved by Assaf in [6], is a generalized version of Theorem 2 in [22] for the $G_{c,n}$. In the proof of the following lemma, Assaf used the total ordering

$$n^{[c-1]} < \dots < 1^{[c-1]} < \dots < n^{[2]} < \dots < 1^{[2]} < n^{[1]} < \dots < 1^{[1]} < 1 < \dots < n^{[2]}$$

instead of the total ordering in equation (3). However, we note that Lemma 2.8 still remains true when used the total ordering in equation (3).

Lemma 2.8 (Assaf [6]). Let $\alpha \in D_k^{(c)}$ with $k \leq n$ and $\gamma = (s(\alpha) + 1) \dots (s(\alpha) + k)$. Then the map $\phi : \{\pi \in G_{c,n} : dp(\pi) = \alpha\} \to sh(\tilde{\alpha}, \gamma)$ defined to be $\phi(\pi) = \tilde{\pi}$ is a bijection such that $Des_c(\phi(\pi)) = Des_c(\pi)$ and $col(\phi(\pi)) = col(\pi)$.

Thus, the bijection ϕ given in Lemma 2.8 preserves the flag major index, i.e., $fmaj(\phi(\pi)) = fmaj(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \{\pi \in G_{c,n} : dp(\pi) = \alpha\}$. In the following corollary, we will extend Wachs' formula

$$\sum_{\substack{dp(\pi) = \sigma \\ \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{p}}} q^{maj(\pi)} = q^{maj(\sigma)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q}$$

given in [22] to colored case by employing Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.8 and equation (14).

Corollary 2.9. Let $0 \le k \le n$ and $\sigma \in D_k^{(c)}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{dp(\pi) = \sigma \\ \pi \in G_{c,n}}} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = q^{fmaj(\sigma)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^c}$$

where $\binom{n}{k}_{q^c} = \frac{[n]_{q^c}!}{[k]_{q^c}![n-k]_{q^c}!}$ is the q-binomial coefficient.

Proposition 2.10. Let $0 \le k \le n$ and $\sigma \in D_k^{(c)}$. If c is even, then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{dp(\pi) = \sigma \\ \pi \in G_{c,n}}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = (-1)^{L(\sigma)} q^{fmaj(\sigma)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^c}.$$

Proof. Viewed through the lens of Corollary 2.9, it suffices to prove that

$$L(\pi) \equiv L(dp(\pi)) \pmod{2}$$

for each colored permutation $\pi \in G_{c,n}$. Suppose that the fixed points of $\pi = \pi_1^{[t_1]} \pi_2^{[t_2]} \cdots \pi_n^{[t_n]}$ are in increasing order $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$. Since $L(\pi) = inv_c(\pi)$, the contribution of inversions at exactly each fixed point p_j to the total number of inversions $inv_c(\pi)$ can be obtained from the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3] as $2(p_j - j)(n - k - p_j + j)$ and so the contribution of this case to the inversion number $inv_c(\pi)$ is even. On the other hand, any $\pi_r^{[t_r]}$ with $t_r \neq 0$ appearing after the position of p_j and greater than p_j contributes the number c to $inv_c(\pi)$. Thus, removing all fixed points from π , $inv_c(\pi)$ decreases by an even number since c is even. In conclusion, we deduce that $L(\pi) \equiv L(dp(\pi)) \pmod{2}$.

Theorem 2.11. For any $n \ge 1$, we have

$$d_n^{(c)}(q) = \sum_{\pi \in D_n^{(c)}} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = [c]_q[2c]_q \dots [nc]_q \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k q^{c\binom{k}{2}}}{[c]_q[2c]_q \dots [kc]_q}.$$
 (15)

Proof. Considering Corollary 2.9 and equation (4), we have

$$[c]_q[2c]_q \dots [nc]_q = \sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in D_k^{(c)}} \sum_{dp(\pi) = \alpha} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\alpha \in D_k^{(c)}} q^{fmaj(\alpha)} {n \brack k}_{q^c}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n {n \brack k}_{q^c} d_k^{(c)}(q).$$

Hence, Gauss inversion (i.e., q-binomial inversion) given in Corollary 3.38 of [4] on the resulting equation leads to the desired formula (15).

For any $n \geq 1$, we denote by $d_n^{(c)}$ the number of all colored derangements in $D_n^{(c)}$. Then

$$d_n^{(c)} = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k c^{n-k}}{k!}.$$
 (16)

It should be emphasized that Assaf [6] established the formula for $d_n^{(c)}$ in (16) by using Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion. Next result of this paper is the

following theorem, giving us the formula for the signed Mahonian polynomial over $D_n^{(c)}$.

Theorem 2.12. Let c be even and $n \ge 1$. Then, we have

$$\bar{d}_{n}^{(c)}(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in D_{n}^{(c)}} (-1)^{L(\alpha)} q^{fmaj(\alpha)} = [c]_{q}[2c]_{q} \dots [nc]_{q} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{c\binom{k}{2}}}{[c]_{q}[2c]_{q} \dots [kc]_{q}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Proof. Summing over all colored derangements $\alpha \in D_k^{(c)}$ with $0 \le k \le n$, we can deduce from Proposition 2.10 and equation (8) that

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in D_{k}^{(c)}} \sum_{dp(\pi) = \alpha, \ \pi \in G_{c,n}} (-1)^{L(\pi)} q^{fmaj(\pi)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\alpha \in D_{k}^{(c)}} (-1)^{L(\alpha)} q^{fmaj(\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{c}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{c}} \bar{d}_{k}^{(c)}.$$

Taking the formula in (12) into account, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \brack k}_{q^c} \bar{d}_k^{(c)} = \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [ci]_q. \tag{17}$$

It is also well known from the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [3] that $[ci]_q = [c]_q[i]_{q^c}$ for any positive integer i. Applying Gauss inversion to equation (17) yields to

$$\bar{d}_{n}^{(c)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \brack k}_{q^{c}} (-1)^{n-k} q^{c\binom{n-k}{2}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} \prod_{i=1}^{k} [ci]_{q}$$
$$= [c]_{q} [2c]_{q} \dots [nc]_{q} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k} q^{c\binom{k}{2}}}{[c]_{q} [2c]_{q} \dots [kc]_{q}} \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor}$$

which is equivalent to the desired formula.

Let $D_n^{+(c)}$ denote the sets of colored derangements with even length (according to the length function L) in $G_{c,n}$. Define $d_n^{+(c)} := |D_n^{+(c)}|$. We infer from Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 2.12 that

$$d_n^{+(c)}(q) = [c]_q[2c]_q \dots [nc]_q \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k q^{c\binom{k}{2}}}{[c]_q[2c]_q \dots [kc]_q} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{n-k+1}{2} \rfloor}\right)$$
(18)

when c is even. In similar vein, we indicate by $D_n^{-(c)}$ the set of odd colored derangements in $G_{c,n}$. Let $d_n^{-(c)} := |D_n^{-(c)}|$. Substituting $q \to 1$ in equation (18), we obtain

$$d_n^{+(c)} = \frac{n!}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k c^{n-k}}{k!} + (-1)^n.$$

Moreover, we conclude that $d_n^{-(c)} = \frac{n!}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k c^{n-k}}{k!}$. Thus, the difference between the number of even and odd colored derangements in $G_{c,n}$ is

$$d_n^{+(c)} - d_n^{-(c)} = (-1)^n (19)$$

when c is even. As a matter of the fact, the formula (19) is actually a general form of the difference, which can be easily obtained from [18], for the hyperoctahedral group corresponding to the case c = 2. Since the number $d_n^{+(2)}$ of even length derangements in the hyperoctahedral group B_n has been already computed by Ji and Zhang in [18], one can also count the number of odd derangements in the group B_n . In the case of symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , Chapman [12] provided a bijective proof that the difference between the number of even and odd derangements in \mathfrak{S}_n is $d_n^+ - d_n^- = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)$. Due to [18], it can easily be seen that the difference in question is equal to zero for the even-signed permutation group.

Question:

• It is a natural question to ask here what the structure of the signed Mahonian polynomial $\bar{d}_n^{(c)}(q)$ is exactly when c is odd? In this case, what is the concrete formula for the difference $d_n^{+(c)} - d_n^{-(c)}$?

3 Appendix A

In this section, we will present Table 3 and Table 4, which show the distributions of fmaj and inv on the groups $G_{3,3}$ and $G_{4,3}$, respectively. These tables helps one to satisfy that fmaj and inv have a symmetric joint distribution on both $G_{3,3}$ and $G_{4,3}$.

References

- [1] R.M. Adin, Y. Roichman, The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings, European J. Combin. **22** (2001) 431-446.
- [2] R.M. Adin, I.M. Gessel, Y. Roichman, Signed Mahonians, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 109(1) (2005) 25-43.

- [3] M. Ahmia, J.L. Ramírez, D. Villamizar, Inversions in colored permutations, derangements, and involutions, arXiv:2505.01550, (2025).
- [4] M. Aigner, Combinatorial Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, (1979).
- [5] H. Arslan, A. Altoum, M. Zaarour, An inversion statistic on the generalized symmetric groups, Adv. in Appl. Math. **154** (2024) 102655.
- [6] S.H. Assaf, Cyclic derangements, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010) R163.
- [7] E. Bagno, Euler-Mahonian parameters on colored permutation groups, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **51** (2004), Art. B51f, 16 pp.
- [8] R. Biagioli, F. Caselli, Invariant algebras and major indices for classical Weyl groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 88(3) (2004) 603-631.
- [9] R. Biagioli, F. Caselli, Weighted enumerations on projective reflection groups, Adv. in Appl. Math. **48**(1) (2012) 249-268.
- [10] K. Bremke, G. Malle, Reduced words and a length function for G(e, 1, n), Indag. Math. 8 (1997) 453-469.
- [11] H. Chang, S.-P. Eu, S. Fu, Z. Lin, Y.-H. Lo, Signed Mahonian polynomials for major and sorting indices, J. Algebraic Combin. **53** (2021) 201-226.
- [12] R. Chapman, An involution on derangements, Discrete Math. **231** (2001) 121-122.
- [13] C.-O. Chow, On derangement polynomials of type B, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **55** (2005/07/2007), Art. B55b, 6 pp.
- [14] S.-P. Eu, Z.-C. Lin, Y.-H. Lo, Signed Euler-Mahonian identities, European J. Combin. 91 (2021) 103209.
- [15] D. Foata, M.P. Schützenberger, Major index and inversion number of permutations, Math. Nachr. 83 (1978) 143-159.
- [16] A.M. Garsia, I.M. Gessel, Permutation statistics and partitions, Adv. in Math. 31 (1979) 288-305.
- [17] I.M. Gessel, C. Reutenauer, Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **64** (1993) 189-215.
- [18] K.Q. Ji, D.T.X. Zhang, Signed Mahonian polynomials on derangements in classical Weyl groups, European J. Combin. **124** (2025) 104083.

- [19] K.Q. Ji, D.T.X. Zhang, Some refinements of Stanley's shuffle theorem, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 203 (2024) 105830.
- [20] P.A. MacMahon, Combinatory analysis Volume I, Cambridge University Press (1915).
- [21] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics Volume I, Cambridge University Press (2011).
- [22] M.L. Wachs, On q-derangement numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989) 273-278.
- [23] M.L. Wachs, An involution for signed Eulerian numbers, Discrete Math. 99 (1992) 59-62.

Table 3: Distribution of fmaj and \widetilde{inv} on $G_{3,3}$

Rank	π_1	π_2	π_3	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$	Rank	π_1	π_2	π_3	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$	Rank	π_1	π_2	π_3	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$
1	1	2	3	0	0	55	1	2	3[1]	7	1	109	1	2	3[2]	8	2
2	$1^{[1]}$	2	3	1	1	56	$1^{[1]}$	2	$3^{[1]}$	8	2	110	$1^{[1]}$	2	$3^{[2]}$	9	3
3	$1^{[2]}$	2	3	2	2	57	$1^{[2]}$	2	$3^{[1]}$	9	3	111	$1^{[2]}$	2	$3^{[2]}$	10	4
4	1	$2^{[1]}$	3	4	1	58	1	$2^{[1]}$	$3^{[1]}$	5	2	112	1	$2^{[1]}$	$3^{[2]}$	12	3
5	$1^{[1]}$	$2^{[1]}$	3	2	2	59	$1^{[1]}$	$2^{[1]}$	$3^{[1]}$	3	3	113	$1^{[1]}$	$2^{[1]}$	$3^{[2]}$	10	4
6	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[1]}$	3	3	3	60	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[1]}$	$3^{[1]}$	4	4	114	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[1]}$	$3^{[2]}$	11	5
7	1	$2^{[2]}$	3	5	2	61	1	$2^{[2]}$	$3^{[1]}$	6	3	115	1	$2^{[2]}$	$3^{[2]}$	7	4
8	$1^{[1]}$	$2^{[2]}$	3	6	3	62	$1^{[1]}$	$2^{[2]}$	$3^{[1]}$	7	4	116	$1^{[1]}$	$2^{[2]}$	$3^{[2]}$	8	5
9	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[2]}$	3	4	4	63	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[2]}$	$3^{[1]}$	5	5	117	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[2]}$	$3^{[2]}$	6	6
10	2	1	3	3	3	64	2	1	$3^{[1]}$	10	4	118	2	1	$3^{[2]}$	11	5
11	$2^{[1]}$	1	3	1	4	65	$2^{[1]}$	1	$3^{[1]}$	8	5	119	$2^{[1]}$	1	$3^{[2]}$	9	6
12	$2^{[2]}$	1	3	2	5	66	$2^{[2]}$	1	$3^{[1]}$	9	6	120	$2^{[2]}$	1	$3^{[2]}$	10	7
13	2	$1^{[1]}$	3	4	4	67	2	$1^{[1]}$	$3^{[1]}$	5	5	121	2	$1^{[1]}$	$3^{[2]}$	12	6
14	$2^{[1]}$		3	5	5	68	$2^{[1]}$		$3^{[1]}$	6	6	122	_	$1^{[1]}$	$3^{[2]}$	13	7
15	$2^{[2]}$		3	3	6	69	$2^{[2]}$	$1^{[1]}$	$3^{[1]}$	4	7	123		$1^{[1]}$	$3^{[2]}$	11	8
16	2	$1^{[2]}$	3	5	5	70	2	$1^{[2]}$	$3^{[1]}$	6	6	124	2	$1^{[2]}$	$3^{[2]}$	7	7
17	$2^{[1]}$		3	6	6	71	$2^{[1]}$	_	$3^{[1]}$	7	7	125		$1^{[2]}$	$3^{[2]}$	8	8
18	$2^{[2]}$		3	7	7	72	$2^{[2]}$		$3^{[1]}$	8	8	126		$1^{[2]}$	$3^{[2]}$	9	9
19	1	3	2	6	3	73	1	3	$2^{[1]}$	7	4	127	1	3	$2^{[2]}$	8	5
20	1[1]		2	7	4	74	1[1]	3	$2^{[1]}$	8	5	128	1[1]	-	2[2]	9	6
21	1[2]		2	8	5	75	$1^{[2]}$		2[1]	9	6	129	1[2]	-	2[2]	10	7
22	1	3[1]	2	4	4	76	1	3[1]	2[1]	11	5	130	1	$3^{[1]}$ $3^{[1]}$	2[2]	12	6
23	1[1]	-	2	2	5	77		3[1]	$2^{[1]}$	9	6	131	-	•	$2^{[2]}$	10	7
24	1[2]		2	3	6	78		3[1]		10	7	132		3[1]	$2^{[2]}$	11	8
25	$\frac{1}{1^{[1]}}$	3[2]	2	5	5	79	1	$\frac{3^{[2]}}{3^{[2]}}$	$2^{[1]}$	6	6	133	1	$3^{[2]}$ $3^{[2]}$	$\frac{2^{[2]}}{2^{[2]}}$	13	7
$\frac{26}{27}$	1[2]	-	2	6	6	80	1[2]	-	$\frac{2^{[1]}}{2^{[1]}}$	7	7	134	_	3[2]	_	14	8
28	3	1	2	3	7	81	3	1	2[1]	5	8	135 136	3	1	2[2]	12	9
29	3 ^[1]		$\frac{2}{2}$	1	7	83	$\frac{3}{3}$		2[1]	8	8	137	$\frac{3}{3}$		2[2]	9	9
30	3[2]		2	2	8	84	3[2]		2[1]	9	9	138	3[2]		2[2]	10	10
31	3	1[1]	2	4	7	85	3	1[1]	2[1]	5	8	139	3	1[1]	2[2]	12	9
32	3[1]	_	2	5	8	86	$3^{[1]}$	1[1]	2[1]	6	9	140	-	1[1]	2[2]	13	10
33	$3^{[2]}$		2	3	9	87	$3^{[2]}$		$\frac{2}{2^{[1]}}$	4	10	141	_	1[1]	$\frac{2}{2^{[2]}}$	11	11
34	3	1[2]	2	5	8	88	3	1[2]	$\frac{-}{2^{[1]}}$	6	9	142	3	1[2]	$\frac{-}{2^{[2]}}$	7	10
35	$3^{[1]}$	1[2]	2	6	9	89	3[1]	1[2]	$2^{[1]}$	7	10	143	-	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[2]}$	8	11
36	$3^{[2]}$	$1^{[2]}$	2	7	10	90	3[2]	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[1]}$	8	11	144	3[2]	$1^{[2]}$	$2^{[2]}$	9	12
37	2	3	1	6	6	91	2	3	$1^{[1]}$	7	7	145	2	3	$1^{[2]}$	8	8
38	$2^{[1]}$	3	1	7	7	92	$2^{[1]}$	3	$1^{[1]}$	8	8	146	$2^{[1]}$	3	$1^{[2]}$	9	9
39	$2^{[2]}$	3	1	8	8	93	$2^{[2]}$	3	$1^{[1]}$	9	9	147	$2^{[2]}$	3	$1^{[2]}$	10	10
40	2	$3^{[1]}$	1	4	7	94	2	$3^{[1]}$	$1^{[1]}$	11	8	148	2	$3^{[1]}$	$1^{[2]}$	12	9
41	$2^{[1]}$	~	1	2	8	95	$2^{[1]}$	~	$1^{[1]}$	9	9	149	$2^{[1]}$	-	$1^{[2]}$	10	10
42	$2^{[2]}$	~	1	3	9	96	$2^{[2]}$	_	$1^{[1]}$	10	10	150	$2^{[2]}$	•	$1^{[2]}$	11	11
43	2	$3^{[2]}$		5	8	97	2		$1^{[1]}$		9	151	2	-	1[2]		10
44	$2^{[1]}$	-		6	9	98	l	-	$1^{[1]}$		10	152		$3^{[2]}$			11
45	$2^{[2]}$		1	4	10	99		3 ^[2]			11	153		$3^{[2]}$			12
46	3	2	1	9	9	100	3	2	1[1]		10	154	3	2	1 [2]		11
47	3[1]		1	7	10	101	3[1]		1 ^[1]		11	155	3[1]	_	1[2]	9	12
48	3 ^[2]		1	8	11	102	$3^{[2]}$		1 ^[1]		12	156	3 ^[2]		1[2]	10	13
49	3 3 ^[1]	$2^{[1]}$	1	4	10	103	3	_	1[1]		11	157	3	$2^{[1]}$ $2^{[1]}$	_		12
50	3[2]			5	11	104	-	_	1[1]		12	158	-	$2^{[1]}$			13
51 52	3[2]	2[2]	1	3 5	12 11	105	3[2]		1[1]		13 12	159	3[4]	$2^{[1]}$		11 13	14
53	$\frac{3}{3^{[1]}}$	_	_	6	12	106 107			1 ^[1]		13	160 161			1[2]		13 14
54	3[2]			7	13	107			1[1]		13	161			1[2]		15
J4	9. ,	Z' 1	1	1	10	100	ാപ	Z. J	Tri	0	14	102	O.		1, ,	10	10

Table 4: Distribution of fmaj and \widetilde{inv} on $G_{4,3}$

Rank	$\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3$	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$	Rank	$\pi_1 \ \pi_2 \ \pi_3$	$fmaj(\pi)$	~(_\	Rank	$\pi_1 \ \pi_2 \ \pi_3$	fmaj(π	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$	Rank	$\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3$	$fmaj(\pi)$	$\widetilde{inv}(\pi)$
1	1 2 3	0	0	97	1 2 3[1]	9	$inv(\pi)$	193		2] 10	2	289	1 2 3[3]		3
2	1[1] 2 3	1	ī	98	1[1] 2 3[1]	10	2	194		2 11	3	290	1[1] 2 3[3]		4
3	1[2] 2 3	2	2	99	1[2] 2 3[1]	11	3	195	1	2] 12	4	291	1[2] 2 3[3]	13	5
4	1[3] 2 3	3	3	100	1[3] 2 3[1]	12	4	196		2] 13	5	292	1[3] 2 3[3]	14	6
5	1 2[1] 3	5	1	101	1 2[1] 3[1]	6	2	197	1 2[1] 3	2] 15	3	293	1 2[1] 3[3]	16	4
6	1[1] 2[1] 3	2	2	102	$1^{[1]}$ $2^{[1]}$ $3^{[1]}$	3	3	198	$1^{[1]}$ $2^{[1]}$ 3		4	294	$1^{[1]}$ $2^{[1]}$ $3^{[3]}$	13	5
7	1[2] 2[1] 3	3	3	103	$1^{[2]} \ 2^{[1]} \ 3^{[1]}$	4	4	199	$1^{[2]}$ $2^{[1]}$ 3	2] 13	5	295	$1^{[2]}$ $2^{[1]}$ $3^{[3]}$	14	6
9	1 2 2 3	6	2	104 105	1[3] 2[1] 3[1]	5	5	200 201	1[3] 2[1] 3	2] 14	6	296 297	1[3] 2[1] 3[3]	15 17	5
10	1 2 ^[2] 3	7	3	106	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2^{[2]} & 3^{[1]} \\ 1^{[1]} & 2^{[2]} & 3^{[1]} \end{array}$	8	4	202	1 2 ^[2] 3 1 ^[1] 2 ^[2] 3		5	298	1 2 ^[2] 3 ^[3] 1 ^[1] 2 ^[2] 3 ^[3]	18	6
11	1[2] 2[2] 3	4	4	107	1[2] 2[2] 3[1]	5	5	203	1[2] 2[2] 3	2] 9	6	299	1 ^[1] 2 ^[2] 3 ^[3] 1 ^[2] 2 ^[2] 3 ^[3]	15	17
12	1[3] 2[2] 3	5	5	108	1[3] 2[2] 3[1]	6	6	204		2] 7	7	300	1[3] 2[2] 3[3]	16	8
13	1 2[3] 3	7	3	109	1 2[3] 3[1]	8	4	205		2 9	5	301	2[3] 3[3]	10	6
14	1[1] 2[3] 3	8	4	110	1[1] 2[3] 3[1]	9	5	206		2] 10	6	302	1[1] 2[3] 3[3]	11	7
15	1[2] 2[3] 3	9	5	111	1[2] 2[3] 3[1]	10	6	207	1[2] 2[3] 3	2] 11	7	303	1[2] 2[3] 3[3]	12	8
16	1[3] 2[3] 3	6	6	112	1[3] 2[3] 3[1]	7	7	208	1[3] 2[3] 3	2] 8	8	304	1[3] 2[3] 3[3]	9	9
17	2 1 3	4	4	113	2 1 3[1]	13	5	209	2 1 3		6	305	2 1 3[3]	15	7
18	2[1] 1 3	2	5	114 115	2[1] 1 3[1]	10 11	6	210 211	2 ^[1] 1 3		7	306 307	$2^{[1]}$ 1 $3^{[3]}$	12	8
20	2 ^[2] 1 3 2 ^[3] 1 3	3	7	116	2(-) 3(-)	12	8	212	2(-) 3	- 10	9	308	2 ^[2] 1 3 ^[3] 2 ^[3] 1 3 ^[3]	13	10
21	2 1[1] 3	5	5	117	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	6	213	2(*) 3	1 12	7	309	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	8
22	2[1] 1[1] 3	6	6	118	2[1] 1[1] 3[1]	7	7	214	2 1 ^[1] 3 2 ^[1] 1 ^[1] 3	-1 17	8	310	2[1] 1[1] 3[3]	17	9
23	2[2] 1[1] 3	3	7	119	2[2] 1[1] 3[1]	4	8	215	2[2] 1[1] 3	110	9	311	2[2] 1[1] 3[3]	14	10
24	2[3] 1[1] 3	4	8	120	2[3] 1[1] 3[1]	5	9	216	2[3] 1[1] 3		10	312	2[3] 1[1] 3[3]	15	11
25	2 1[2] 3	6	6	121	$\frac{2}{1^{[2]}} \frac{3^{[1]}}{3^{[1]}}$	7	7	217	2 1[2] 3	2] 8	8	313	2 1[2] 3[3]	17	9
26	2[1] 1[2] 3	7	7	122	$2^{[1]}$ $1^{[2]}$ $3^{[1]}$	8	8	218	$2^{[1]}$ $1^{[2]}$ 3	2] 9	9	314	$2^{[1]}$ $1^{[2]}$ $3^{[3]}$	18	10
27	2[2] 1[2] 3	8	8	123	$2^{[2]}$ $1^{[2]}$ $3^{[1]}$	9	9	219	$2^{[2]} 1^{[2]} 3$	2] 10	10	315	$2^{[2]} 1^{[2]} 3^{[3]}$	19	11
28 29	2[3] 1[2] 3	5	9	124 125	$2^{[3]}$ $1^{[2]}$ $3^{[1]}$	6	10	220 221	2[3] 1[2] 3	2 0	9	316	2[3] 1[2] 3[3]	16	12
30	111	8	8	125	1., 9.,	9	9	221	2 1[3] 3		10	317 318	1., 9.,	10	10
31	2 ^[1] 1 ^[3] 3 2 ^[2] 1 ^[3] 3	9	9	127	$2^{[1]}$ $1^{[3]}$ $3^{[1]}$ $2^{[2]}$ $1^{[3]}$ $3^{[1]}$	10	10	223		1 11	111	319	2 ^[1] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 ^[2] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3]	12	12
32	2[3] 1[3] 3	10	10	128	2 ^[2] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[1] 2 ^[3] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[1]	11	11	224	2 ^[2] 1 ^[3] 3 2 ^[3] 1 ^[3] 3	10	12	320	2 ^[2] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 ^[3] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3]	13	13
33	1 3 2	8	4	129	1 3 2[1]	9	5	225		2] 10	6	321	1 3 2[3]	11	7
34	1[1] 3 2	9	5	130	1[1] 3 2[1]	10	6	226	1[1] 3 2	2] 11	7	322	1[1] 3 2[3]	12	8
35	$1^{[2]}$ 3 2	10	6	131	$1^{[2]}$ 3 $2^{[1]}$	11	7	227	1[2] 3 2	2] 12	8	323	1[2] 3 2[3]	13	9
36	1[3] 3 2	11	7	132	1[3] 3 2[1]	12	8	228	1[3] 3 2	2] 13	9	324	1[3] 3 2[3]	14	10
37 38	1 3[1] 2	5	5	133	1 3[1] 2[1]	14	6	229	1 3[1] 2		7	325	1 3[1] 2[3]	16	8
39	1[1] 3[1] 2		6	134	1[1] 3[1] 2[1]	11	7	230	1[1] 3[1] 2		8	326	1[1] 3[1] 2[3]	13	9
40	1[2] 3[1] 2	3	8	135 136	1[2] 3[1] 2[1]	12	8	231 232	1[2] 3[1] 2		9	327 328	1[2] 3[1] 2[3]	14 15	10 11
41	100 300	6	6	136	1[3] 3[1] 2[1]	7	7	233	1[3] 3[1] 2	1 10	8	329	1[3] 3[1] 2[3]	17	9
42	1 3[2] 2 1[1] 3[2] 2	7	7	138	$1 3^{[2]} 2^{[1]}$ $1^{[1]} 3^{[2]} 2^{[1]}$	8	8	234	1 3 ^[2] 2 1 ^[1] 3 ^[2] 2	1 1 7 7	9	330	1 3 ^[2] 2 ^[3] 1 ^[1] 3 ^[2] 2 ^[3]	18	10
43	1[2] 3[2] 2	4	8	139	1[2] 3[2] 2[1]	5	9	235		2 14	10	331	1[2] 3[2] 2[3]	15	11
44	1[3] 3[2] 2	5	9	140	1[3] 3[2] 2[1]	6	10	236	1[3] 3[2] 2	·	11	332	1[3] 3[2] 2[3]	16	12
45	1 3[3] 2	7	7	141	1 3[3] 2[1]	8	8	237	1 3[3] 2	2] 9	9	333	1 3[3] 2[3]	18	10
46	1[1] 3[3] 2	8	8	142	$1^{[1]}$ $3^{[3]}$ $2^{[1]}$	9	9	238	$1^{[1]} 3^{[3]} 2$	2] 10	10	334	1[1] 3[3] 2[3]	19	11
47	1[2] 3[3] 2	9	9	143	$1^{[2]}$ $3^{[3]}$ $2^{[1]}$	10	10	239	1[2] 3[3] 2		11	335	1[2] 3[3] 2[3]	20	12
48 49	1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 3 1 2	6	10	144 145	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	11 9	240 241		2] 8 2] 14	12	336 337	1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 ^[3] 3 1 2 ^[3]	17 15	13
50	3 1 2	1	9	146		10	10	241			10	338		15	112
51	3[2] 1 2	2	10	147	$3^{[1]}$ 1 $2^{[1]}$ $3^{[2]}$ 1 $2^{[1]}$	11	11	243	$3^{[1]}$ 1 2 $3^{[2]}$ 1 2	-1	12	339	$3^{[1]}$ 1 $2^{[3]}$ $3^{[2]}$ 1 $2^{[3]}$	13	13
52		3	11	148	$\frac{3^{[2]}}{3^{[3]}}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{2^{[1]}}{2^{[1]}}$	12	12	244	3[3] 1 2	-1	13	340		14	14
53	3 1 2 3 1 2	5	9	149	3 1[1] 2[1]	6	10	245	3 1[1] 2		11	341	3[3] 1 2[3] 3 1[1] 2[3]	16	12
54	3[1] 1[1] 2	6	10	150	$3^{[1]}$ $1^{[1]}$ $2^{[1]}$	7	11	246	3[1] 1[1] 2	2] 16	12	342	3[1] 1[1] 2[3]	17	13
55	3[2] 1[1] 2	3	11	151	$3^{[2]} 1^{[1]} 2^{[1]}$	4	12	247	$3^{[2]} 1^{[1]} 2$	2] 13	13	343	$3^{[2]} 1^{[1]} 2^{[3]}$	14	14
56	3[3] 1[1] 2	4	12	152	3[3] 1[1] 2[1]	5	13	248	$3^{[3]}$ $1^{[1]}$ 2		14	344	$3^{[3]}$ $1^{[1]}$ $2^{[3]}$	15	15
57 58	3 1[2] 2	7	10 11	153 154	107 207	8	11 12	249 250	111 4		12	345 346	3 1[2] 2[3]	17	13
59	30, 10,	8	12	155	3[1] 1[2] 2[1]	9	13	251	3[1] 1[2] 2	1 10	14	347	3[1] 1[2] 2[3]	19	15
60	3[3] 1[2] 2	5	13	156	$3^{[2]}$ $1^{[2]}$ $2^{[1]}$ $3^{[3]}$ $1^{[2]}$ $2^{[1]}$	6	14	252	3 ^[2] 1 ^[2] 2 3 ^[3] 1 ^[2] 2	-	15	348	3 ^[2] 1 ^[2] 2 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 1 ^[2] 2 ^[3]	16	16
61	3 1[3] 2	7	11	157	3 1[3] 2[1]	8	12	253	3 1[3] 2	-) .	13	349	3 1[3] 2[3]	10	14
62	3[1] 1[3] 2	8	12	158	$3^{[1]}$ $1^{[3]}$ $2^{[1]}$	9	13	254	$3^{[1]} 1^{[3]} 2$	2] 10	14	350	3[1] 1[3] 2[3]	11	15
63	3[2] 1[3] 2	9	13	159	$3^{[2]}$ $1^{[3]}$ $2^{[1]}$	10	14	255	3[2] 1[3] 2	2] 11	15	351	3[2] 1[3] 2[3]	12	16
64	3[3] 1[3] 2	10	14	160	$3^{[3]}$ $1^{[3]}$ $2^{[1]}$	11	15	256	$3^{[3]} 1^{[3]} 2$	2] 12	16	352	3[3] 1[3] 2[3]	13	17
65 66		9	8	161 162	, I(-)	9	9	257 258		2] 10	10	353 354	1 [9]	11	11
67	2 ^[1] 3 1 2 ^[2] 3 1	10	10	163	2 ^[1] 3 1 ^[1] 2 ^[2] 3 1 ^[1]	10	10	259		2 11	12	355	2 ^[1] 3 1 ^[3] 2 ^[2] 3 1 ^[3]	13	13
68	2 ^[2] 3 1 2 ^[3] 3 1	11	11	164	2 ^[2] 3 1 ^[1] 2 ^[3] 3 1 ^[1]	12	12	260		2] 12 2] 13	13	356	2 ^[2] 3 1 ^[3] 2 ^[3] 3 1 ^[3]	14	14
69	2 3[1] 1	5	9	165	2 3[1] 1[1]	14	10	261	2 3[1] 1		11	357	2 3[1] 1[3]	16	12
70	2[1] 3[1] 1	2	10	166	2[1] 3[1] 1[1]	11	11	262	9, , 1	2] 12	12	358	2[1] 3[1] 1[3]	13	13
71	2[2] 3[1] 1	3	11	167	2[2] 3[1] 1[1]	12	12	263	$2^{[2]} 3^{[1]} 1$	2] 13	13	359	2[2] 3[1] 1[3]	14	14
72	2[3] 2[1] 1	4	12	168	9[3] 2[1] 1[1]	13	13	264	9[3] 3[1] 1	2] 14	14	360	$2^{[2]}$ $3^{[1]}$ $1^{[3]}$	15	15
73	2 3[2] 1	6	10	169	$2 3^{[2]} 1^{[1]}$	7	11	265	$\frac{2}{3^{[2]}} \frac{1}{1}$	2] 16	12	361	2 3[2] 1[3]	17	13
74 75	2[1] 3[2] 1	1	11	170	$2^{[1]} 3^{[2]} 1^{[1]}$	8	12 13	266 267	$2^{[1]} 3^{[2]} 1$	2] 17	13	362 363	2[1] 3[2] 1[3]	18	14
76	2 ^[2] 3 ^[2] 1 2 ^[3] 3 ^[2] 1	5	13	171 172	$2^{[2]}$ $3^{[2]}$ $1^{[1]}$ $2^{[3]}$ $3^{[2]}$ $1^{[1]}$	5	14	268	2 ^[2] 3 ^[2] 1 2 ^[3] 3 ^[2] 1		14	364	2 ^[2] 3 ^[2] 1 ^[3] 2 ^[3] 3 ^[2] 1 ^[3]	15 16	15 16
77	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	11	173	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	12	269	$\begin{bmatrix} 2^{[3]} & 3^{[2]} & 1 \\ 2 & 3^{[3]} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$		13	365	2 3[3] 1[3]	18	14
78	2 ^[1] 3 ^[3] 1	8	12	174	2[1] 3[3] 1[1]	9	13	270	2[1] 3[3] 1		14	366	2[1] 3[3] 1[3]	10	15
79	2[2] 3[3] 1	9	13	175	9[2] 9[3] 1[1]	10	14	271		2] 11	15	367	0[2] 0[3] 1[3]	20	16
80	2[3] 3[3] 1	6	14	176	2[3] 3[3] 1[1]	7	15	272	2[3] 3[3] 1	2] 8	16	368	2[3] 3[3] 1[3]	17	17
81		12	12	177		13	13	273	3 2 1	2] 14	14	369			15
82	3[1] 2 1	9	13 14	178	$3^{[1]}$ 2 $1^{[1]}$	10	14 15	274	3[1] 2 1	2] 11	15 16	370	3[1] 2 1[3]	12	16
83 84	1.3(=)	10 11	14	179 180	3(-)	11	16	275 276	13(-)	2] 12 2] 13	16	371 372	3 ^[2] 2 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 1 ^[3]		17 18
85	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	13	181		14	14	277	14.	1 12	15	373	3 ^[2] 2 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 1 ^[3] 3 2 ^[1] 1 ^[3]		16
86	3[1] 2[1] 1	6	14	182	3 2 ^[1] 1 ^[1] 3 ^[1] 2 ^[1] 1 ^[1]	15	15	278	21/1	2] 15	16	374	3[1] 2[1] 1[3]		17
87	3[2] 2[1] 1	3	15	183	3[2] 2[1] 1[1]	12	16	279		2 13	17	375	3[2] 2[1] 1[3]	14	18
88	3[3] 2[1] 1	4	16	184	$3^{[3]}$ $2^{[1]}$ $1^{[1]}$	13	17	280	$3^{[3]} 2^{[1]} 1$	2] 14	18	376	3[3] 2[1] 1[3]	15	19
89	3 2[2] 1	6	14	185	$3 2^{[2]} 1^{[1]}$	7	15	281		2] 16	16	377	3 9[2] 1[3]	17	17
90	3[1] 2[2] 1	7	15	186	$3^{[1]} 2^{[2]} 1^{[1]}$	8	16	282	$3^{[1]} 2^{[2]} 1$	2] 17	17	378	3[1] 2[2] 1[3]	18	18
91 92	$3^{[2]} 2^{[2]} 1$	8	16	187	$3^{[2]}$ $2^{[2]}$ $1^{[1]}$	9	17	283	$3^{[2]} 2^{[2]} 1$	2] 18	18	379	3[2] 2[2] 1[3]	19	19
92	$3^{[3]} 2^{[2]} 1$	7	17	188 189	$3^{[3]} 2^{[2]} 1^{[1]}$	8	18 16	284 285	$3^{[3]} 2^{[2]} 1$	2] 15	19	380 381	3[3] 2[2] 1[3]		20
94	3 2[3] 1 3[1] 2[3] 1	8	16	190	3 2 ^[3] 1 ^[1] 3 ^[1] 2 ^[3] 1 ^[1]	9	17	286	- Z ^(∞) 1	-10	18	382	3 2[3] 1[3] 3[1] 2[3] 1[3]		19
95	3[2] 2[3] 1	9	17	191	$3^{[1]}$ $2^{[3]}$ $1^{[1]}$ $3^{[2]}$ $2^{[3]}$ $1^{[1]}$	10	18	287	$3^{[1]}$ $2^{[3]}$ 1 $3^{[2]}$ $2^{[3]}$ 1		19	383			20
96	3[3] 2[3] 1	10	18	192	3[3] 2[3] 1[1]	11	19	288	3[2] 2[3] 1	2 12	20	384	3 ^[2] 2 ^[3] 1 ^[3] 3 ^[3] 2 ^[3] 1 ^[3]		21
				11	July 200 100			1	1 O U Z (**) 1		1	11	100 Z ^(a) I ^[b]		1 1