

THE LIOUVILLE THEOREM ON H-TYPE GROUPS

CHUANYANG LI¹, JUAN ZHANG¹ AND PEIBIAO ZHAO^{1,*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain a Liouville type theorem to the semilinear subcritical elliptic equation on H-type groups. The semilinear subcritical elliptic equation studied in this paper is a generalization of a classical semilinear subcritical elliptic equation on the Heisenberg group. The proofs are based on an *a priori* integral estimate and a generalized differential identity which found by Jerison and Lee [J. Diff. Geom, 29 (1989)].

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider solutions to the following critical semilinear elliptic equation

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} u = 2n^2 u^q \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where Ω is a domain in H-type group \mathbb{G} (also known as group of Heisenberg type), and u is a real, smooth and nonnegative function defined in Ω , while $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} u = u_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + u_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}$ is the H-type laplacian of u , and $q^* = \frac{Q+2}{Q-2}$ with $Q = 2n+2m$ the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{G} . Our main results are an entire Liouville type theorem and an integral estimate for solutions to (1.1). Indeed we have

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{G}$ be the whole space. Then the equation (1.1) has no positive solution, namely, the only nonnegative solution of (1.1) is the trivial one.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < q < q^*$, $B_{4r}(\xi_0) \subset \Omega$ be any ball centered at ξ_0 with radio $4r$. Then any positive solution u of (1.1) satisfies:*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{B_r(\xi_0)} u^{3q-q^*} \leq C r^{Q-2 \times [\frac{3q-q^*}{q-1} + \frac{2}{(q-q^*)(n+m-1)+2}]},$$

with some positive constant C depending only on n, m and q .

We can prove theorem 1.1 through theorem 1.2. For $1 < q < q^*$, we see $Q - 2 \times [\frac{3q-q^*}{q-1} + \frac{2}{(q-q^*)(n+m-1)+2}] < 0$. So if u be a positive solution of (1.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{G}$, taking $r \rightarrow +\infty$ in (1.2) we have

Key words and phrases. Liouville type theorem; H-type group; subcritical elliptic equation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J61 32V20.

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{G}} u^{3q-q^*} \leq 0.$$

This contradiction indicates that theorem 1.1 is valid.

The analogue of the equation (1.1) in the Heisenberg Group

$$(1.4) \quad -\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^n} = 2n^2 u^q$$

has been deeply studied in decades. The (1.4) on the Heisenberg Group comes from the CR Yamabe problem on Heisenberg Group. The CR Yamabe problem was initiated and studied preliminarily by Jerison and Lee on [8]-[11]. In the subcritical case, for $1 < q < q_*$ where $q_* = \frac{Q+2}{Q-2}$ and $Q = 2n + 2$ is the homogeneous dimension, the nonexistence of positive entire solutions (Liouville theorem) and a point wise estimate near an isolated singularity for (1.4) was obtained by Ma and Ou [7]. The core of their proof relies on a novel a priori integral estimate, which is derived from a generalized and more transparent version of a key identity originally introduced by Jerison and Lee. This paper will also conduct the proof following their method. For

$$(1.5) \quad -\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^n} = 2n^2 u^{q^*},$$

there are positive solutions of (1.5) given by Jerison and Lee [9]

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{U}_{\lambda,\mu}(z,t) := \frac{C}{|t+i|z|^2 + z \cdot \mu + \lambda|^n},$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^n, \text{Im}(\lambda) > \frac{|\mu|^2}{4}$ and $C = C(n, \lambda) > 0$. Catino and Li [3] also obtained a complete classification of positive solutions for (1.5).

The analogue of the equation is (1.1) in the Euclidean space

$$(1.7) \quad -\Delta u = u^{n^*-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $n^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$. Equation (1.3) is connected to the Yamabe problem in Riemannian geometry [8] and the extremals in the Sobolev inequality [12]. From [13],[14] and [1] we know that there are the solutions of (1.7)

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\lambda,x_0}(x) := \left(\frac{\lambda \sqrt{n(n-2)}}{\lambda^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}},$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For (1.7), in [2] Caffarelli, Gidas and Spruck obtained a classification of solutions, namely (1.8) are the only positive solutions to (1.7). In the subcritical case

$$(1.9) \quad -\Delta u = u^q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $1 < q < n^* - 1$. Gidas and Spruck [5] obtained that the only nonnegative solution of (1.9) is the trivial one.

In [4] Garofalo and Vassilev study that symmetry properties and uniqueness of positive entire solutions to the Yamabe-type equation

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{L}u = -u^{\frac{Q+2}{Q-2}}$$

on groups of Heisenberg type, including Iwasawa-type groups. The authors establish that if a non-trivial positive entire solution possesses partial symmetry, then it must actually exhibit cylindrical symmetry, and furthermore, it must belong to the explicit one-parameter family of solutions K_ϵ (modulo group translations and dilations). Their work generalizes the celebrated results of Jerison and Lee on the Heisenberg group to the broader setting of groups of Heisenberg type, providing a complete symmetry and uniqueness theory for positive entire solutions of the Yamabe equation in this context.

2. PRELIMINARIES, NOTATIONS AND GENERALIZATION OF JERISON-LEE'S IDENTITY IN H-TYPE GROUP

We first give a brief introduction to the H-type group \mathbb{G} and some notation. Let $U^{(k)}$ is a family of skew-Hermitian matrix. $U^{(k)}$ can be written as $A^{(k)} + iB^{(k)}$. Let

$$V^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(k)} & -B^{(k)} \\ B^{(k)} & A^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

And $V^{(k)}$ satisfy the following conditions. $V^{(k)}$ is an antisymmetric orthogonal matrix, $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m; V^i V^j + V^j V^i = 0, \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, i \neq j$. We consider

$$\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

with coordinates (w, t) and group law \circ :

$$(w, t) \cdot (w', t') = \left(w + w', t + t' + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \langle w, \overline{U^{(k)}} w' \rangle e_k \right) \right),$$

$$\text{for } (w, t), (w', t') \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product of Hermite and e^k is the unit vector. We define, for $\xi = (w, t) \in \mathbb{G}$, the distance from the origin as $d(\xi) = (|w|^4 + 16|t|^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. We use the notation $B_R(\xi)$ for the metric ball centered at $\xi \in \mathbb{H}^n$ with radius $R > 0$, that is

$$B_R(\xi) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{H}^n : d(\xi, \zeta) < R\}$$

It is well-known and it is important to recall that the volume of a metric ball is given by

$$|B_r(\xi)| = Cr^Q,$$

where $C > 0$ is a positive constant, $Q = 2n + 2m$ and $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.

We define the following left-invariant vector fields in \mathbb{G}

$$Z_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n U_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} \bar{w}_\beta \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}, \quad Z_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n \overline{U_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)}} w_\beta \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$.

We would indicate the derivatives of functions or vector fields with indices preceded by a comma, to avoid confusion. For a smooth function $f : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we denote its derivatives by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha &= Z_\alpha f, \quad f_{\bar{\alpha}} = Z_{\bar{\alpha}} f, \quad f_{k0} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_k}, \\ f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= Z_{\bar{\beta}}(Z_\alpha f), \quad f_{k0,\alpha} = Z_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. There hold the following commutation rules

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha\beta} - f_{\beta\alpha} &= 0, \quad f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - f_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} = 2U_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} f_{k0}, \\ f_{k0,\alpha} - f_{\alpha,k0} &= 0, \quad f_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}} - f_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}\beta} = 2U_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}^{(k)} f_{k0,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Where here and in the sequel we use the Einstein notation sum for the Greek indices $1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n$ and $1 \leq k, k' \leq m$. Moreover, we define

$$|\partial f|^2 := \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_\alpha f_{\bar{\alpha}} = f_\alpha f_{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Given $u > 0$ a solution of (1.1), we consider the auxiliary function f defined as follows $e^f = u^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Take $q = q^* + \frac{p}{n+m-1}$, then f satisfies the following equation

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{Re} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} = -n|\partial f|^2 - ne^{\frac{n(2+p)f}{n+m-1}}.$$

Define the tensors

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha\beta} &= f_{\alpha\beta} - 2f_\alpha f_\beta, & D_\alpha &= D_{\alpha\beta} f_{\bar{\beta}}, \\ E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f_{\gamma\bar{\gamma}} \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, & E_\alpha &= E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f_{\bar{\beta}}, \\ G_\alpha &= \frac{U_{\beta\bar{\beta}}^{(k)} f_{k0,\alpha}}{n} - \frac{U_{\beta\bar{\beta}}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_\alpha + e^{(2+p)f} f_\alpha + |\partial f|^2 f_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Denote the function

$$g = |\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{U_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}.$$

Then we can rewrite the equation (2.1) as

$$(2.3) \quad f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} = -ng.$$

Moreover, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + g\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, & E_\alpha &= f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} f_{\bar{\beta}} + g f_\alpha, \\ D_\alpha &= f_{\alpha\beta} f_{\bar{\beta}} - 2|\partial f|^2 f_\alpha, & G_\alpha &= \frac{U_{\beta\bar{\beta}}^{(k)} f_{k0,\alpha}}{n} + g f_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

And by observation we find $f_\alpha E_{\bar{\alpha}} = f_{\bar{\alpha}} E_\alpha$. This means it's real. And by Straight calculations, we have

$$(2.5) \quad (|\partial f|^2)_{,\bar{\alpha}} = D_{\bar{\alpha}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}} + \bar{g} f_{\bar{\alpha}} - 2f_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{\frac{n(2+p)f}{n+m-1}},$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{k0} = & -\frac{n}{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)}} f_{\alpha} G_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{n}{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)}} f_{\bar{\alpha}} G_{\alpha} + 2f_{k0} |\partial f|^2 \\ & + \frac{n}{n+m-1} (2+p) f_{k0} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0,k'0}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

And by we find

$$(2.7) \quad g_{\bar{\alpha}} = D_{\bar{\alpha}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}} + G_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} f_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{\frac{n(2+p)f}{n+m-1}}.$$

By generalizing the equations on the Heisenberg group based on the above observations, the following equality is obtained

Proposition 2.1.

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \mathbf{Re}\{Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\{e^{2(n-1)f}[(g + 3\frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n})E_{\alpha} + \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}\right)D_{\alpha} \\ & - 3\frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}G_{\alpha} - \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)}f_{\alpha}|\partial f|^4]\} - e^{2(n-1)f}B\} \\ = & e^{(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2)f} \left(|E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 \right) \\ & + e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|G_{\alpha}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}f_{\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}f_{\beta}|^2 \right) \\ & + \left(|G_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}|^2 + |G_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha}|^2 \right) e^{2(n-1)f} \\ & + e^{(2n-2)f} \mathbf{Re}(E_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}) f_{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} (2n-1) |\partial f|^2 e^{(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2)f} \\ & + \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} (n+2) + (1-2n) \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right) |\partial f|^4 e^{(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2)f} \\ & - \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} n |\partial f|^6 e^{(2n-2)f} - 3n \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} |f_{k0}|^2 e^{(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2)f}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} B = & \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \right) \left[2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0,\alpha} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2\frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)}}{n} (f_{k0} f_{\beta\bar{\beta}} + f_{k0,\beta} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right] \\ & + 2\frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \left(-2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0,\alpha} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2\frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)}}{n} (f_{k0} f_{\beta\bar{\beta}} - f_{k0,\beta} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. When $m = 1$, that is \mathbb{G} is the Heisenberg group, the (2.7) is exactly the crucial identity found by Ma and Ou [7] on the Heisenberg group.

Now we verify this equality (2.8) by applying the previously derived equations and commutation rules.

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{Re} (\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 - e^{2(n-1)f} B)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 &= Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) E_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) D_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ -3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} G_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_4 &= Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ -\frac{np - 2m + 2}{4(n + m - 1)} f_{\alpha} \mid \partial f \mid^4 e^{2(n-1)f} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

First we compute \mathcal{L}_1 . By (2.4) and using the commutative formulae, we can get the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}(2.10) \quad E_{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}} &= f_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}} f_{\beta} + f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} f_{\beta \bar{\alpha}} + g_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} + g f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \\ &= f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}} f_{\beta} + f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} (f_{\bar{\alpha} \beta} + 2U_{\beta \alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}) + g_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} + g f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \\ &= -ng_{\bar{\beta}} f_{\beta} + f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} f_{\bar{\alpha} \beta} + 2U_{\beta \alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} + g_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} + g f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \\ &= (1 - n) f_{\alpha} g_{\bar{\alpha}} + (E_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} - g \delta_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}) (E_{\bar{\alpha} \beta} - \bar{g} \delta_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}) - n|g|^2 \\ &\quad + 2U_{\beta \alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} - 2 \frac{U_{\beta \beta}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

There for

$$(2.11) \quad e^{-2(n-1)f} \mathcal{L}_1 = e^{-2(n-1)f} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) E_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) E_{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}} \\
&\quad + \left(g_{\bar{\alpha}} + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha}}{n} \right) E_{\alpha} + 2(n-1) \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) f_{\bar{\alpha}} E_{\alpha} \\
&= \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(|E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 + (1-n) f_{\alpha} g_{\bar{\alpha}} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2 \frac{U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{\alpha\alpha} \right) \\
&\quad + g_{\bar{\alpha}} E_{\alpha} + 3(-G_{\bar{\alpha}} + \bar{g} f_{\bar{\alpha}}) E_{\alpha} + 2(n-1) \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) f_{\bar{\alpha}} E_{\alpha} \\
&= \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) |E_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + (g_{\bar{\alpha}} - 3G_{\bar{\alpha}}) E_{\alpha} \\
&\quad + \left(3\bar{g} + 2(n-1)(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) \right) f_{\bar{\alpha}} E_{\alpha} + (1-n)(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) f_{\alpha} g_{\bar{\alpha}} \\
&\quad + (g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2 \frac{U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute \mathcal{L}_2 . Also by (2.4) and using the commutative formulae, we can get the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad f_{\alpha\beta\bar{\alpha}} &= f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} \\
&= (f_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0})_{, \beta} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} \\
&= -n\bar{g}_{\beta} + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \beta} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

By this and (2.4), (2) we deduce

(2.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}} \\
&= f_{\alpha\beta\bar{\alpha}} f_{\bar{\beta}} + f_{\alpha\beta} f_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}} - 2(|\partial f|^2)_{, \bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} - 2|\partial f|^2 f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \\
&= \left(-n\bar{g}_{\beta} + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \beta} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} \right) f_{\bar{\beta}} \\
&\quad + (D_{\alpha\beta} + 2f_{\alpha} f_{\beta})(D_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} + 2f_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \\
&\quad - 2 \left(D_{\bar{\alpha}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}} + \bar{g} f_{\bar{\alpha}} - 2f_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \right) f_{\alpha} + 2n|\partial f|^2 g \\
&= |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + 2f_{\bar{\alpha}} D_{\alpha} - 2f_{\alpha} E_{\bar{\alpha}} - n f_{\alpha} \bar{g}_{\alpha} + \left(2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \beta} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} \right) f_{\bar{\beta}} \\
&\quad + 2|\partial f|^2 (ng + g).
\end{aligned}$$

There for

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-2(n-1)f} \mathcal{L}_2 \\
&= e^{-2(n-1)f} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) D_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \right\} \\
&= \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) D_{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}} + \left(g_{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0, \bar{\alpha}}}{n} \right) D_{\alpha} \\
&\quad + 2(n-1) \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) f_{\bar{\alpha}} D_{\alpha} \\
&= \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left[|D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + 2f_{\bar{\alpha}} D_{\alpha} - 2f_{\alpha} E_{\bar{\alpha}} - n f_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{g}_{\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \beta} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2|\partial f|^2 (ng + g) \right] \\
&\quad + (g_{\bar{\alpha}} + G_{\bar{\alpha}} - \bar{g} f_{\bar{\alpha}}) D_{\alpha} + 2(n-1) \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) f_{\bar{\alpha}} D_{\alpha} \\
&= \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + (g_{\bar{\alpha}} + G_{\bar{\alpha}}) D_{\alpha} + (2ng - \bar{g} - 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}) f_{\bar{\alpha}} D_{\alpha} \\
&\quad - 2(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) f_{\alpha} E_{\bar{\alpha}} - n(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) f_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{g}_{\alpha} \\
&\quad + (g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) \left(2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \beta} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2|\partial f|^2 (ng + g) \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}$$

Then we compute \mathcal{L}_3 . Also by (2.4) and using the commutative formulae, we can get the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha\bar{\alpha}}}{n} + g_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} + g f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}, k0}}{n} + g_{\bar{\alpha}} f_{\alpha} + g(f_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha} + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}) \\
&= f_{\alpha} g_{\bar{\alpha}} - U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} g_{k0} - n |g|^2 + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} g.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.15}$$

There for

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-2(n-1)f} \mathcal{L}_3 \\
&= e^{-2(n-1)f} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ -3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} G_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \right\} \\
&= -3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} G_{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}} - 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0, \bar{\alpha}}}{n} G_{\alpha} - 6(n-1) \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{\bar{\alpha}} G_{\alpha} \\
(2.16) \quad &= -3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \left(f_{\alpha} g_{\bar{\alpha}} - U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} g_{k0} - n |g|^2 + 2U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} g \right) \\
&+ 3(G_{\bar{\alpha}} - \bar{g} f_{\bar{\alpha}}) G_{\alpha} - 6(n-1) \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{\bar{\alpha}} G_{\alpha} \\
&= 3 |G_{\alpha}|^2 - 3(\bar{g} + 2(n-1) \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n}) f_{\bar{\alpha}} G_{\alpha} - 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{\alpha} g_{\bar{\alpha}} \\
&+ 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)} g_{k0} + 3n \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} |g|^2 - 6 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)}}{n} U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} |f_{k0}|^2 g.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we compute \mathcal{L}_4 . By (2.3) and (2), we get the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-2(n-1)f} \mathcal{L}_4 \\
&= e^{-2(n-1)f} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ -\frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} f_{\alpha} |\partial f|^4 e^{2(n-1)f} \right\} \\
(2.17) \quad &= -\frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} (E_{\bar{\alpha}} + D_{\bar{\alpha}}) f_{\alpha} |\partial f|^2 - \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} n |\partial f|^6 \\
&+ \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} (n+2) |\partial f|^4 e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
&- \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} (n+2) \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} |\partial f|^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (2.3), (2.6), (2.7)(2.12), (2), (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad & e^{-2(n-1)f}(\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4) \\
&= \left(g - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + \left(g + 3 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) |E_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + 3 |G_\alpha|^2 \\
&\quad + (D_{\bar{\alpha}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}} + 2G_{\bar{\alpha}})D_\alpha + (D_{\bar{\alpha}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}} - 2G_{\bar{\alpha}})E_\alpha \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{np - 2m + 2}{n + m - 1} f_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{2(n + m - 1)} f_{\bar{\alpha}} |\partial f|^2 \right) (D_\alpha + E_\alpha) \\
&\quad + (n - 1) \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \right) (f_{\bar{\alpha}} G_\alpha - f_\alpha G_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&\quad + (5n + 1) \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} (f_{\bar{\alpha}} G_\alpha + f_\alpha G_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\
&\quad - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{n + m - 1} (2n - 1) |\partial f|^2 e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{np - 2m + 2}{4(n + m - 1)} (n + 2) + (1 - 2n) \frac{np - 2m + 2}{n + m - 1} \right) |\partial f|^4 e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
&\quad - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{4(n + m - 1)} n |\partial f|^6 - 3n \frac{np - 2m + 2}{n + m - 1} |f_{k0}|^2 e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
&\quad - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{4(n + m - 1)} (n + 2) \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} |\partial f|^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{n + m - 1} \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} |\partial f|^2 e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
&\quad + 3n \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} |g|^2 + 6 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} U_{\beta\beta}^{(k)}}{n^2} U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} |f_{k0}|^2 f_{k0} + 3n \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} f_{k0, k10} \\
&\quad + \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \right) \left[\left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} f_{\beta} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right) - 2 \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)}}{n} (f_{k0} f_{\beta\bar{\beta}} + f_{k0, \beta} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right] \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \left[\left(-2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0, \alpha} f_{\bar{\beta}} + 2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right) - 2 \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)}}{n} (f_{k0} f_{\beta\bar{\beta}} - f_{k0, \beta} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The last two items are B . So, since f is real, we can complete the proof of proposition 2.1 easily by some simplification.

Next, we verify some properties of \mathcal{M} . By (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad \mathcal{M} = & e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \left(|E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 \right) \\
& + e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|G_\alpha|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}f_{\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}f_\beta|^2 \right) \\
& + s \left(|G_\alpha + D_\alpha|^2 + |G_\alpha - E_\alpha|^2 \right) e^{2(n-1)f} \\
& + (1-s) \left(|G_\alpha + D_\alpha|^2 + |G_\alpha - E_\alpha|^2 \right) e^{2(n-1)f} \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \mathbf{Re}(G_\alpha + D_\alpha) f_{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \mathbf{Re}(E_\alpha - G_\alpha) f_{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \\
& - \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} (2n-1) |\partial f|^2 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& + \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} (n+2) + (1-2n) \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right) |\partial f|^4 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& - \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} n |\partial f|^6 e^{(2n-2)f} - 3n \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} |f_{k0}|^2 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f}.
\end{aligned}$$

To verify that every term in \mathcal{M} is nonnegative, we rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad \mathcal{M} = & e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \left(|E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 \right) + e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|G_\alpha|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}f_{\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}f_\beta|^2 \right) \\
& + s \left(|G_\alpha + D_\alpha|^2 + |G_\alpha - E_\alpha|^2 \right) e^{2(n-1)f} \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \left| \sqrt{1-s} (G_\alpha + D_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-s}} f_\alpha \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \right|^2 \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \left| \sqrt{1-s} (E_\alpha - G_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-s}} f_\alpha \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \right|^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{2(1-s)} e^{(2n-2)f} |\partial f|^2 \left[\left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right)^2 e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}2(2+p)f} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right)^2 |\partial f|^4 \right] \\
& - \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right)^2 e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |\partial f|^2 \\
& - \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} (2n-1) |\partial f|^2 e^{\left(\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& + \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} (n+2) + (1-2n) \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right) |\partial f|^4 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& - \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} n |\partial f|^6 e^{(2n-2)f} - 3n \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} |f_{k0}|^2 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f}.
\end{aligned}$$

Continue to get

(2.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M} = & e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \left(|E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 \right) + e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|G_{\alpha}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}f_{\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}f_{\beta}|^2 \right) \\
& + s \left(|G_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}|^2 + |G_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha}|^2 \right) e^{2(n-1)f} \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \left| \sqrt{1-s} (G_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-s}} f_{\alpha} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \right|^2 \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \left| \sqrt{1-s} (E_{\alpha} - G_{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-s}} f_{\alpha} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \right|^2 \\
& - \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} (2n-1) + \frac{1}{2(1-s)} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right)^2 \right) |\partial f|^2 e^{\left(\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& + \left[\frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} (n+2) + (1-2n) \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2(1-s)} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right)^2 \right] |\partial f|^4 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& - \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} n + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2(1-s)} \right) |\partial f|^6 e^{(2n-2)f} \\
& - 3n \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} |f_{k0}|^2 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take $0 < s = s_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{np-2m+2}{4n(n+m-1)} < 1$, then

(2.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M} = & e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \left(|E_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}|^2 \right) + e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|G_{\alpha}|^2 + |D_{\alpha\beta}f_{\bar{\gamma}} + E_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}f_{\beta}|^2 \right) \\
& + s \left(|G_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}|^2 + |G_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha}|^2 \right) e^{2(n-1)f} \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \left| \sqrt{1-s} (G_{\alpha} + D_{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-s}} f_{\alpha} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \right|^2 \\
& + e^{(2n-2)f} \left| \sqrt{1-s} (E_{\alpha} - G_{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-s}} f_{\alpha} \left(\frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{np-2m+2}{2(n+m-1)} |\partial f|^2 \right) \right|^2 \\
& - \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \frac{(2n^2-n)(2n+2m-2) + (np-2m+2)}{2n(n+m-1) - (np-2m+2)} |\partial f|^2 e^{\left(\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& + \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{7}{4}n\right) 2n(n+m-1) + \left(\frac{1}{4}n - \frac{3}{2}\right) (np-2m+2)}{2n(n+m-1) - (np-2m+2)} |\partial f|^4 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f} \\
& - \frac{np-2m+2}{4(n+m-1)} \frac{n^2(2n+2m-2) + n(np-2m+2)}{2n(n+m-1) - (np-2m+2)} |\partial f|^6 e^{(2n-2)f} \\
& - 3n \frac{np-2m+2}{n+m-1} |f_{k0}|^2 e^{\left(\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n-2\right)f}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now clearly, all the coefficients above are positive for $-2 < p < 0$ and $\mathcal{M} \geq 0$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Now we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2 based on the conclusion in Section 2. Since $B_{4r} \subset \Omega$, we can take a real smooth cut off function η such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \eta \equiv 1 & \text{in } B_r, \\ 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 & \text{in } B_{2r}, \\ \eta \equiv 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus B_{2r}, \\ |\partial \eta| \lesssim \frac{1}{r} & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In order to make the proof process more concise where here and in the sequel we use “ \lesssim ”, “ \cong ” to replace “ \leq ” and “ $=$ ” respectively, to eliminate the effect of some positive constants independent of r and f .

Rewrite (2.8) and take a real $s > 0$ big enough. Multiply both sides of it by η^s and integrate over Ω we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathcal{M} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left\{ Z_{\bar{\alpha}} \left\{ \left[(D_{\alpha} + E_{\alpha}) \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{m}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \right) \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} (2D_{\alpha} - 2E_{\alpha} + 3G_{\alpha}) - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{4(n+m-1)} f_{\alpha} |\partial f|^4 \right] e^{2(n-1)f} \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e^{2(n-1)f} B \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by part and using (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathcal{M} \\
&= -s \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\bar{\alpha}} \{ [(D_{\alpha} + E_{\alpha})(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f}) \\
&\quad - \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} (2D_{\alpha} - 2E_{\alpha} + 3G_{\alpha}) - \frac{np - 2m + 2}{4(n+m-1)} f_{\alpha} |\partial f|^4] e^{2(n-1)f} \} \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} \left\{ |D_{\alpha} + E_{\alpha}| (|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f}) e^{2(n-1)f} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |f_{k0}| |2D_{\alpha} - 2E_{\alpha} + 3G_{\alpha}| e^{2(n-1)f} + |\partial f|^5 e^{2(n-1)f} \right\} - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|D_{\alpha} + E_{\alpha}| \leq |D_{\alpha} + G_{\alpha}| + |E_{\alpha} - G_{\alpha}|,$$

$$|2D_{\alpha} - 2E_{\alpha} + 3G_{\alpha}| \leq 2|D_{\alpha} + G_{\alpha}| + 2|E_{\alpha} - G_{\alpha}| + |G_{\alpha}|,$$

combine this and Young's inequality for $p > 1, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$ab \leq \epsilon a^p + \frac{(p\epsilon)^{-\frac{q}{p}}}{q} b^q$ in (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathcal{M} \\
&\lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} (|D_{\alpha} + G_{\alpha}|^2 + |E_{\alpha} - G_{\alpha}|^2 + |G_{\alpha}|^2) e^{2(n-2)f} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} \left(|\partial f|^4 + e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + |f_0|^2 \right) e^{2nf} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f|^5 e^{2(n-1)f} - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B,
\end{aligned}$$

taking ϵ small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathcal{M} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} \left(|\partial f|^4 + e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + |f_0|^2 \right) e^{2nf} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f|^5 e^{2(n-1)f} - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B,
\end{aligned}$$

then for the first two terms continue to use Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f|^4 e^{2nf} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f|^6 e^{2nf} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |f_0|^2 e^{2nf} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |f_0|^3 e^{2nf} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f|^5 e^{2nf} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f|^6 e^{(2n-\frac{12}{5})f} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (3.5) and taking ϵ small we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathcal{M} \\
 & \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
 & + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the last term $-\int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} e^{2(n-1)f} B \\
&= \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \left[\left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right] f_{k0} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right]_{\alpha} f_{k0} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\bar{\beta}} e^{2(n-1)f} \left[\left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} \right) \right] f_{\alpha} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0} \right) \right]_{\bar{\beta}} f_{\alpha} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\bar{\beta}} e^{2(n-1)f} \left[\left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(-2 \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \right] f_{\beta} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(-2 \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \right]_{\bar{\beta}} f_{\beta} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\beta} e^{2(n-1)f} \left[\left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(-2 \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}}{n} \right) \right] f_{k0} \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(-2 \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \right]_{\beta} f_{k0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Use Young's inequality and partial integration to handle each of the above terms, for the first line

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \left[\left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right] f_{k0} \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} |\eta_{\alpha}| e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + \left| 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right| \right) |2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}| |f_{k0}| \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}| |f_{k0}| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |f_{k0}|^2 |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |\partial f|^2 |f_{\bar{\beta}}| |f_{k0}|,
\end{aligned}$$

for above three terms using Young's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}| |f_{k0}| \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{(2n-\frac{8}{3})f} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}|^{\frac{4}{3}} |f_{k0}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{r^4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |f_{k0}|^2 |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{(2n-\frac{12}{5})f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}|^{\frac{6}{5}} |f_{k0}|^{\frac{12}{5}} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |\partial f|^2 |f_{\bar{\beta}}| |f_{k0}| \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{(2n-\frac{12}{5})f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}|^{\frac{6}{5}} |f_{k0}|^{\frac{6}{5}} |\partial f|^{\frac{12}{5}} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (3.11) and taking ϵ small we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad & \int_{\Omega} s \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\alpha} e^{2(n-1)f} \left[\left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) (2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right] f_{k0} \\
 & \lesssim \frac{1}{r^4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the second line, using Young's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) (2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right] f_{k0} \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} \eta^s |f_{k0}| \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) (2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right]_{\alpha} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^s |f_{k0}|^2 \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) (2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right]_{\alpha} \\
 & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) (2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}}) \right]_{\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking ϵ small and integrating by part we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right]_{\alpha} f_{k0} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right]_{\alpha} \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \eta_{\alpha} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right] \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right] \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |f_{k0}| |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |\partial f|^2 |f_{\bar{\beta}}|.
\end{aligned}$$

for above three terms using Young's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{(2n-\frac{8}{3})f} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}|^{\frac{4}{3}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{r^4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |f_{k0}| |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{(2n-\frac{12}{5})f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}|^{\frac{6}{5}} |f_{k0}|^{\frac{6}{5}} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2(n-1)f} |\partial f|^2 |f_{\bar{\beta}}| \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{(2n-\frac{12}{5})f} |f_{\bar{\beta}}|^{\frac{6}{5}} |\partial f|^{\frac{12}{5}} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (3.17) and taking ϵ small we get

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathbf{Re} \left[e^{2(n-1)f} \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - 2 \frac{U_{\gamma\gamma}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) \left(2U_{\beta\alpha}^{(k)} f_{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right]_{\alpha} f_{k0} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{r^4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, other items of (3.10) can be handled. Combining these with (3.9) we can get

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \mathcal{M} & \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\ & + \frac{1}{r^4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf}. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1.

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s e^{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \eta^s |\partial f|^2 e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+2nf}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiply both sides of the equation (2.3) by $-\eta^s e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f}$ and integrate over Ω we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & n \int_{\Omega} \eta^s \left(|\partial f|^2 + e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} - \frac{U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)} f_{k0}}{n} \right) e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\ & = n \int_{\Omega} \eta^s g e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} \eta^s f_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\ & = \left[\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p) + 2n - 2 \right] \int_{\Omega} \eta^s |\partial f|^2 e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\ & + s \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} f_{\alpha} \eta_{\bar{\alpha}} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $U^{(k)}$ is a family of skew-Hermitian matrix, $U_{\alpha\alpha}^{(k)}$ is a pure imaginary number, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s e^{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \eta^s |\partial f|^2 e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\
 (3.25) \quad & + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} |\partial f| e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \eta^s e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\
 & + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is (3.23). This ends the proof of Lemma 3.1 \square

Combining this with (3.22) and using Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \eta^s e^{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\
 & \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{2n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} \\
 (3.26) \quad & + \frac{1}{r^4} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} e^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f} + \frac{1}{r^6} \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{2nf} \\
 & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \eta^{s-1} e^{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+2nf} + r^{-2 \times \frac{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n}{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)}} \int_{\Omega} 2\eta^{s-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

by choosing $s > 0$ big enough and ϵ small, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r(\xi_0)} \eta^s e^{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)f+(2n-2)f} \\
 (3.27) \quad & \lesssim_r \left(2n+2m-2 \times \frac{\frac{3n}{n+m-1}(2+p)+2n}{\frac{n}{n+m-1}(2+p)} \right) \\
 & = r^{2n+2m-2 \times \left(3 + \frac{2(n+m-1)}{2+p} \right)} \\
 & = r^{Q-2 \times \left[\frac{3q-q^*}{q-1} + \frac{2}{(q-q^*)(n+m-1)+2} \right]}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem 1.2 is proved. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to express their heartfelt thanks to Professors X Zhang and X Yang for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statements: No Applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin: Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **11** (1976), no. 4, 573-598.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck: Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), no. 3, 271-297.
- [3] G. Catino, Y. Li: Monticelli D D, et al: A Liouville theorem in the Heisenberg group, arXiv preprint arXiv:**2310** (2023),10469.
- [4] N. Garofalo, D. Vassilev: Symmetry properties of positive entire solutions of Yamabe type equations on the groups of Heisenberg type, *Duke Math. J.* **106** (2001), 411-448.
- [5] B. Gidas, J. Spruck: Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **34** (1981), no. 4, 525-598.
- [6] X. N. Ma & Q. Z. Ou: Rigidity results for a class semilinear elliptic on compact CR manifold, preprint.
- [7] X. N. Ma & Q. Z. Ou: A Liouville theorem for a class semilinear elliptic equations on the Heisenberg group, *Adv. Math.* **413** (2023), 108851.
- [8] D. S. Jerison & J. M. Lee: The Yamabe Problem on CR manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **25** (1987), 167-197.
- [9] D. Jerison & J. M. Lee: Extremals for the Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group and the CR Yamabe problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1988), 1-13.
- [10] D. Jerison & J. M. Lee: Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.*, **110** (1988), 157-178.
- [11] D. Jerison & J. M. Lee: Intrinsic CR normal coordinates and the CR Yamabe problem, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **29** (1989), 303-343.
- [12] A. Roncoroni: An overview on extremals and critical points of the Sobolev inequality in convex cones, *Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **33** (2022), 967-995.
- [13] E. Rodemich: The Sobolev inequalities with best possible constants, *Analysis Seminar at California Institute of Technology* (1966).
- [14] G. Talenti: Best constant in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **110** (4) (1976), 353-372.
- [15] X. Wang: On a remarkable formula of Jerison and Lee in CR geometry, *Math. Res. Lett.* **22** (2015), no. 1, 279-299.
- [16] L. Xu: Semi-linear Liouville theorems in the Heisenberg group via vector field methods, *J. Diff. Equa.*, **247** (2009), 2799-2820.